

Article **Microbiological Safety and Functional Properties of a Fermented Nut-Based Product**

Giulia Tabanelli 1,2 [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8693-1716) Chiara Montanari 1,[*](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2273-2371) , Ana M. Gómez-Caravaca 3,4, Elixabet Díaz-de-Cerio ⁵ [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8404-6564) Vito Verardo 4,5 [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0723-5163) Fatemeh Shanbeh Zadeh [1](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8919-438X) , Lucia Vannini 1,2 [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9417-8410) Fausto Gardini 1,2 and Federica Barbieri [1](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1474-9533)

- ¹ Department of Agricultural and Food Sciences, University of Bologna, 40127 Bologna, Italy; giulia.tabanelli2@unibo.it (G.T.); fatemeh.shanbehzade2@unibo.it (F.S.Z.); lucia.vannini2@unibo.it (L.V.); fausto.gardini@unibo.it (F.G.); federica.barbieri16@unibo.it (F.B.)
- 2 Interdepartmental Centre for Industrial Agri-Food Research, University of Bologna, 47521 Cesena, Italy
- ³ Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Sciences, University of Granada, Avd. Fuentenueva s/n, 18071 Granada, Spain; anagomez@ugr.es
- ⁴ Biomedical Research Center, Institute of Nutrition and Food Technology 'José Mataix', University of Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain; vitoverardo@ugr.es
- ⁵ Department of Nutrition and Food Science, University of Granada, Campus of Cartuja s/n, 18071 Granada, Spain; elixabet.dzdecerio@gmail.com
- ***** Correspondence: chiara.montanari8@unibo.it

Abstract: Fermented nut-based products, obtained after soaking and fermentation, are gaining increasing interest as animal food substitutes because of ethical, environmental and health reasons. In these products, Lactic Acid Bacteria (LAB) perform the fermentation, leading to matrix acidification and contributing to controlling spoilage and pathogenic microbiota. In this work, LAB strains isolated from an artisanal product and combined with a commercial strain were added as starter cultures during nut soaking to produce a cheese-like fermented plant-based product. Three different LAB consortia were used in challenge tests at laboratory scale against *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Escherichia coli* or *Salmonella* Enteritidis, inoculated in nuts at 5 log CFU/g, and monitored for pathogen survival and matrix acidification. The combination of *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* 82 and *Leuc. carnosum* 4010 resulted in faster acidification (pH value < 4.4 after 18 h instead of 48 h) and the reduction of target pathogens; *L. monocytogenes* was already absent after seven days from production, and the counts of *E. coli* or *S.* Enteritidis were lower with respect to other samples. Thus, this microbial consortium was used for a pilot-scale production in which, beyond safety, the fermented plant-based product was also characterized for aroma profile and phenolic compounds, parameters that are known to be affected by LAB fermentation. The results showed an enhancement of the aroma profile, with an accumulation of molecules able to confer cheese-like notes (i.e., acetoin and diacetyl) and higher phenolic content, as well as the presence of compounds (i.e., phenyllactic acid and hydroxyphenyllactic acid) that could exert antimicrobial activity. This study allowed us to set up a guided fermentation for a cheese-like vegan product, guaranteeing safety and improving aromatic and functional features.

Keywords: vegan product; cheese analogues; lactic acid bacteria; bioprotective cultures; *Listeria monocytogenes*; *Escherichia coli*; *Salmonella* Enteritidis; phenolic content

1. Introduction

In recent years, the increasing attention towards "green", nutritional, and ethical aspects of foods has induced a higher consumer interest in vegan, vegetarian, and flexitarian diets, with about 5% of people in Western countries following a plant-based nutrition [\[1](#page-15-0)[,2\]](#page-15-1). Therefore, the renewed interest in plant-based dairy and meat alternatives has opened great opportunities for food industries to fulfil consumer demand, entering new markets which are estimated to reach a value of USD 422.26 million by 2026 [\[3\]](#page-15-2).

Citation: Tabanelli, G.; Montanari, C.; Gómez-Caravaca, A.M.; Díaz-de-Cerio, E.; Verardo, V.; Zadeh, F.S.; Vannini, L.; Gardini, F.; Barbieri, F. Microbiological Safety and Functional Properties of a Fermented Nut-Based Product. *Foods* **2024**, *13*, 3095. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods13193095) [foods13193095](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods13193095)

Academic Editor: Arun K. Bhunia

Received: 8 August 2024 Revised: 19 September 2024 Accepted: 26 September 2024 Published: 27 September 2024

Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) $4.0/$).

Products obtained from soy (i.e., tofu), rice, or other cereals are the most commonly available cheese and meat substitutes. Recently, there has been a growing popularity of artisanal fermented products derived from various types of nuts, such as cashews, macadamias, almonds, and others [\[4\]](#page-15-3). These plant-based dairy alternatives can be healthier compared to products of animal origin in terms of lower saturated fat and sugar, with similar protein content [\[1\]](#page-15-0). The mixture of these nuts, after soaking and water addition, undergoes a spontaneous fermentation, which is often performed at the domestic level. The fermentation process in which specialized microorganisms grow in these products increases their nutritional and functional characteristics (reduction of antinutritional compounds, increase of nutrient bioavailability, potential use of probiotic species) as well as the organoleptic features (accumulation of aroma compounds), being able also to enhance their microbiological safety [\[3\]](#page-15-2). Indeed, under favourable conditions, vegetables can undergo spontaneous lactic acid fermentation, resulting in acidification within the food matrix and alterations in abiotic conditions and contributing to controlling the Gram-negative bacteria, which are particularly vulnerable to fermentation effects [\[5,](#page-15-4)[6\]](#page-15-5). However, considering the domestic or artisanal nature of the fermented nut-based products and the frequent absence of specific starter cultures to drive the process, the safety and quality of the artisanal plant-based products are not always assured [\[3\]](#page-15-2). Additionally, traditional technological knowledge for fermenting nuts to produce cheese substitutes is lacking, and scientific documentation in this field is still scarce, mainly because these products are relatively new and innovative [\[7\]](#page-15-6).

From a food safety perspective, all the process steps have inherent risks, together with the ingredients and raw materials used in the manufacturing. In fact, low-moisture foods, such as nuts, are generally considered less susceptible to the growth of foodborne pathogens, but the long-term survival of the same bacteria in these products is well documented [\[8](#page-15-7)[,9\]](#page-15-8). For these reasons, the control of microbiological quality of the raw materials is of major importance in fermented nut-based foods, with pH, acidity, and high salt content being the main parameters that can inhibit undesirable microorganisms.

From this perspective, a strictly guided fermentation using specific functional Lactic Acid Bacteria (LAB) able to counteract spoilage or pathogenic microbiota is a key factor to avoid, or at least reduce, the biological risks associated with these innovative products [\[10\]](#page-15-9). In fact, the fermentation process, one of the most ancient and diffused strategies of food stabilization, can be applied in bio-protection, obtaining high added-value foods and guaranteeing the innovative products' safety $[7,11]$ $[7,11]$. LAB fermentation agents can be endowed with bio-protection features thanks to their aptitude to compete with spontaneous microbiota by the production of specific metabolites (i.e., bacteriocins, organic acids, etc.), assuring food safety and extending product shelf life while maintaining the foods' nutritional and sensorial properties [\[12–](#page-15-11)[14\]](#page-16-0). Moreover, fermentation affects the nutritional value of the matrices with the accumulation of secondary metabolites with bioactive features (exopolysaccharides, short-chain fatty acids, bioactive peptides) and the degradation of antinutritional factors, leading to an improvement in the bioavailability of essential nutrients [\[11](#page-15-10)[,15\]](#page-16-1). Specifically, fermentation can improve the content of phenolic compounds and change their profile due to the release of bound phenolic compounds as a consequence of the degradation of the cell wall's structure by microbial enzymes [\[16\]](#page-16-2). Thus, the fermented product is characterized by improved overall antioxidant capacity [\[17\]](#page-16-3), as well as the bioavailability of polyphenols, which have been demonstrated to provide several benefits for human health, such as reduction in the incidence of some degenerative diseases, the reduction in risk factors of cardiovascular diseases, and the enhancement of antioxidant, anti-allergenic, anti-inflammatory, and antimicrobial effects.

In addition, LAB fermentation can positively affect the flavour of foods, leading to a higher content of desirable volatile compounds, which results in higher aroma complexity and better sensorial characteristics [\[18–](#page-16-4)[20\]](#page-16-5).

Tabanelli et al. [\[21\]](#page-16-6) studied the spontaneous fermentation that occurs during the manufacture of a home-made cashew-nut fermented cheese analogue intended for vegan consumers. A crucial aspect for the commercialization of these products is the definition of process risk points and the implementation of microbial challenge tests to evaluate the safety aspects associated with its production, especially in relation to the presence of *Enterobacteriaceae* (such as *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* spp.) and *Listeria monocytogenes*, which represent the major microbiological hazards linked to this product. The presence of these microorganisms can be due to contaminated raw materials and inadequate sanitizing of processing equipment. The main obstacle to their growth and survival is represented, together with a strictly controlled refrigerated storage (0−4 °C), by a fast pH drop to under 4.4 during fermentation and the maintenance of a low pH value during shelf life.

The aim of this work was to assess the microbial safety of a vegan cheese analogue in relation to the LAB strains used for fermentation. In particular, cashews and almonds were soaked, ground and then fermented in order to obtain a spreadable cheese analogue (Quark style). Two different productions were considered. The first was carried out at laboratory level, and three different combinations of LAB starter cultures were used. All these combinations were challenged by inoculating *Listeria monocytogenes* Scott A, *Escherichia coli* 555 and *Salmonella* Enteritidis 155 and evaluating the survival/growth of the pathogens. The second was carried out in an industrial pilot plant, using the microbial consortium with the better performances in the previous step and challenged against the same pathogens. In addition, the fermented cheese analogue obtained in the pilot plant production not inoculated with pathogens was also characterized, taking into consideration sensorial and functional aspects. In fact, the compounds responsible for the aromatic characteristics of the product were detected by using a GC-MS-SPME analytical protocol. Then, the composition of phenolic fraction was investigated by UPLC with the aim to highlight the possible effect of fermentation on the content of molecules with important functional value.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Microbial Strains

The LAB strains used as starters for nut fermentation were *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* (LmV1) and *Pediococcus pentosaceus* (PpV1) previously isolated from home-made fermented cashew products [\[21\]](#page-16-6); *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* 82, belonging to the Microbial Culture collection of the Department of Agricultural and Food Science (University of Bologna); and the bioprotective commercial strain *Leuconostoc carnosum* 4010, isolated from vacuumpacked sliced ham [\[22\]](#page-16-7) (Chr. Hansen A/S, Parma, Italy). These strains were stored in 20% (*w*/*v*) glycerol at −80 ◦C and pre-cultivated for 24 h at 30 ◦C in de Man, Rogosa, and Sharpe (MRS) broth (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK).

The target strains used during challenge tests were *Listeria monocytogenes* Scott A, *Escherichia coli* 555 and *Salmonella* Enteritidis 155 (belonging to the Microbial Culture collection of the Department of Agricultural and Food Sciences, University of Bologna). The strains were maintained in a BHI medium (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) with 30% (*w*/*v*) glycerol at −80 °C and, before the experiments, pre-cultivated twice (37 °C for 24 h) in a BHI medium. For the trials, pathogen overnight cultures were centrifuged, washed, and resuspended in the same volume of sterile saline solution (0.9% *w/v* NaCl) to be inoculated at a level of about 5.5 log CFU/g in the raw material.

2.2. In Vitro Antagonistic Activity of LAB Starter Strains against L. monocytogenes ScottA, Escherichia coli 555 and Salmonella Enteritidis 155

The antibacterial activity of LAB strains was determined using the agar spot test and the well-diffusion assay described by Schillinger and Lücke [\[23\]](#page-16-8). The production of antimicrobial substances was confirmed by well-diffusion agar assay using filter-sterilized and neutralized cell-free supernatants and filter-sterilized, neutralized, and heat-treated (98 $°C$ for 20 min) cell-free supernatants. To evaluate the sensitivity of the inhibitory substances to proteases, the well-diffusion agar assay was repeated after treatment of the cell-free supernatants with proteinase K (2 mg/mL) , Sigma-Aldrich, Milano, Italy) and pepsin (1 mg/mL, Sigma-Aldrich) at 37 ◦C for 4 h. The results were expressed as diameter

of the inhibition zone (mm) after the incubation period, and the data are the mean of three different experiments.

2.3. Challenge Test in Nut-Based Fermented Product at Laboratory Scale

The cheese analogue was obtained by fermenting nuts. In particular, 6 kg of cashews (70%) and almonds (30%) were used (all provided by Euro Company Srl, Ravenna, Italy). The flow sheet of the production process is reported in Figure [1.](#page-3-0) Briefly, the nuts were soaked at 20 \degree C for 10 h in water added with LAB starter cultures. After, the nuts were drained and added with 40% (*w*/*w*) of fresh water and salt (1.2% *w*/*w*). Then, this mixture was ground with a mixer to obtain a homogeneous cream. The cream obtained was left to ferment at 25 °C until achieving a pH value lower than 4.4 (ranging from 18 to 48 h). The fermented spreadable product was portioned (80 g) and packaged under MAP (30% CO₂ and 70% N_2) in a high-oxygen-barrier plastic film and stored at 4 °C for 21 days.

Figure 1. Flow sheet of fermented cashew and almond nut production during challenge test. The **Figure 1.** Flow sheet of fermented cashew and almond nut production during challenge test. The sampling points are also reported. sampling points are also reported.

Following the flow sheet in Figure [1,](#page-3-0) three different products were obtained, differentiated by the starter cultures used. In trial 1, the strains *Leuc. mesenteroides* (LmV1) and *P. pentosaceus* (PpV1) were inoculated at a concentration of 7 log CFU/mL and 6 log CFU/mL, respectively. In trial 2, an inoculum of 7 log CFU/mL of *Leuc. mesenteroides* LmV1 and 6 log CFU/mL of *Lpb. plantarum* 82 were used. In trial 3, the bioprotective commercial strain *Leuc. carnosum* 4010 was tested together with *Lpb. plantarum* 82 at a concentration of 7 log CFU/mL and 6 log CFU/mL, respectively. For each trial, four aliquots (approx. 1.5 kg) were obtained. The first was considered as a control with no pathogen inoculum. The remaining three aliquots were separately inoculated with *L. monocytogenes* Scott A, *E. coli* 555 or *S.* Enteritidis 155. The inoculum of pathogens was carried out directly in nuts approx. 120 min before the soaking phase to reach an initial concentration of approx. 5.5 log CFU/g. During production and storage, samples were collected at different sampling points, as *2.5. pH, aw and Microbiological Analyses* samples were withdrawn in triplicate.reported in red in Figure [1.](#page-3-0) The challenge test trials were performed in duplicate, and

2.4. Pilot-Scale Production

A challenge test of fermented plant-based product was also carried out in a pilot plant, using the most promising starter cultures tested in the previous trials. Three batches of 10 kg each were inoculated with *L. monocytogenes* Scott A, *E. coli* 555 or *S*. Enteritidis at a concentration of approx. 5.5 log CFU/g. A fourth 10 kg batch not inoculated with pathogens was produced as a control. *Lpb. plantarum* 82 and *Leuc. carnosum* 4010 were added as starter cultures to the soaking water at a concentration of 6 log CFU/g and 7 log CFU/g, respectively. The process followed the same flow sheet reported in Figure [1](#page-3-0) but included a treatment in hot water (85 $°C$ for 10 min) of the nuts inoculated with pathogens before the soaking phase. The spreadable product was packaged under MAP $(30\%$ CO₂ and 70% N_2) in a high-oxygen-barrier plastic film and stored at 4 °C for 30 days to detect pathogen survival and quality parameters of the control batch.

2.5. pH, a^w and Microbiological Analyses

The aw and pH were detected by using an Aqualab CX3-TE (Labo-Scientifica, Parma, Italy) and a pH-meter Basic 20 (Crison Instruments, Barcelona, Spain), respectively. These determinations were performed in triplicate.

Microbiological analyses were carried out in triplicate for each challenge test. Specifically, soaking water (1 mL) was aseptically transferred to 9 mL of 0.9% (*w*/*v*) NaCl sterile solution. For nuts and nut products, 10 g of samples were aseptically added in 90 mL of 0.9% (*w*/*v*) NaCl sterile solution and homogenized in a Lab Blender Stomacher (Seward Medical, London, UK) for 2 min. The resulting suspensions were serially diluted and plated onto selective media. Counts of lactobacilli were obtained by plating appropriate dilutions on MRS agar (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) incubated at 30 ◦C for 48 h in anaerobic conditions. *Enterobacteriaceae* were enumerated by pour plating in Violet Red Bile Glucose Agar (VRBGA, Oxoid) at 37 °C for 24 h. Enterococci were counted by surface-plating on Slanetz and Bartley medium (Oxoid) incubated at $44\degree$ C for 24 h, while for the yeast counts, Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (Oxoid) added with 200 mg/L of chloramphenicol was used and incubated at 28 ◦C for 72 h.

The detection of the inoculated pathogens was performed by plate counting in the following selective media (all provided by Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK): Listeria Selective Agar Base (LSO) added with Selective Listeria Supplement for *L. monocytogenes*, incubating the plates at 30 ◦C for 48 h; Violet Red Bile Agar added with 4-Methylumbelliferyl-*β*-Dglucuronide for *E. coli*, incubating the plates at 37 ◦C for 24 h; and XLD medium for *S.* Enteritidis, incubating the plates at 37° C for 24 h.

When *L. monocytogenes* and *S.* Enteritidis were under the detection limit, enrichment of the inoculated samples was performed. Regarding *L. monocytogenes*, 25 g of the product were homogenized for 120 s with 225 mL of Listeria Primary Selective Enrichment Medium (UVM I, Oxoid) and incubated in the Stomacher bag at 30 °C for 24 h. From this bag, 0.1 mL was transferred into 10 mL of Listeria Secondary Enrichment Medium (UVM II, Oxoid), while 1 mL was transferred into a 4.5 mL KOH sterile solution (KOH 2.5 g/L , NaCl 20 g/L), homogenized by vortex, and within one minute, sub-cultured on Listeria Selective Agar plates (Oxoid). After 24 h of incubation at 30 $°C$, 0.1 mL of inoculated Listeria Secondary Selective Enrichment Medium (UVM II) was spread onto Listeria Selective Agar plates, while 1 mL was transferred to a 4.5 mL KOH sterile solution, homogenized by vortex, and within one min, sub-cultured on Listeria Selective Agar plates (Oxoid). All plates were incubated at 30 ◦C for 48 h.

To assess the presence of *Salmonella*, 25 g of the sample were homogenized for 120 s with 225 mL of Buffered Peptone Water (Oxoid) and incubated in the Stomacher bag at 30 ◦C for 24 h. Then, 0.1 mL was transferred into 10 mL of Rappaport-Vassiliadis Enrichment Broth (RVS, Oxoid) and incubated at 42° C. After 24 h, an aliquot of this suspension was streaked onto XLD and Bismuth Sulphite Agar (BSA, Oxoid) plates that were incubated at 37 ◦C for 24 h.

2.6. Volatile Profiles

Volatile compounds (VOCs) of the control batch obtained during pilot-scale process optimization were monitored by using a GC-MS coupled with a solid phase micro-extraction (GC-MS-SPME) technique, according to the method reported by Tabanelli et al. [\[21\]](#page-16-6). The compounds are reported as the ratio between each peak area and the area of an internal standard (4-methyl-2-pentanol). The unidentified compounds were not included, accounting for less than 3% of total peak area.

2.7. Extraction and Determination of Phenolic Compounds

Phenolic compounds of the control batch obtained during pilot-scale process optimization were studied. Samples from the pre-fermented product (nut cream obtained after soaking and grinding), the fermented product, and the fermented product after 15 days stored at 4 ◦C were extracted following the previous protocol described by Gómez-Caravaca et al. [\[24\]](#page-16-9). Afterwards, phenolic compounds contained in the extracts were analysed by an ACQUITY Ultra Performance LC system (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA) coupled to an electrospray ionization (ESI) source operating in negative mode and a time-of-flight (TOF) mass detector (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA). The column was an AC-QUITY UPLC BEH Shield RP18 column (1.7 μ m, 2.1 mm \times 100 mm; Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA), and the separation was done at 40° C by using the gradient conditions described by Verni et al. [\[25\]](#page-16-10). Data were processed by using MassLynx 4.1 software (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Antagonistic Activity of LAB Strains against L. monocytogenes Scott A, E. coli 555 and S. Enteritidis 155

The antibacterial activity of LAB starter strains against target pathogens was determined by measuring the inhibition halos in an agar spot test (Table [1\)](#page-6-0). All the starter strains showed antibacterial activity when spotted on cultural media, with inhibition zones ranging from 11 to 15 mm, with only slight differences between the strains.

Table 1. Inhibition halo (expressed as diameter in mm) of LAB strains or their supernatants against *L. monocytogenes* Scott A, *E. coli* 555 and *S.* Enteritidis 155.

Table 1. *Cont*.

¹: No inhibition activity.

When neutralized cell-free supernatants were tested, only *Leuc. carnosum* 4010 maintained the inhibition activity, but only against *L. monocytogenes* Scott A. This strong antilisterial capacity was also evident when neutralized cell-free supernatants were heat treated at 98 ◦C for 20 min or treated with pepsin, confirming the proteinaceous nature of the anti-listerial substance produced by this strain. On the other hand, this bacteriocin was sensitive to proteinase K, a proteolytic enzyme, in accordance with results previously reported [\[26\]](#page-16-11). The anti-listerial activity of *Leuc. carnosum* 4010 is well documented, and the production of two different class IIa bacteriocins (i.e., leucocin A and leucocin C) has been demonstrated [\[27–](#page-16-12)[29\]](#page-16-13). The same authors reported that this strain can produce, in addition to anti-listerial leucocins, a class IId bacteriocin leucocin B, which can be active against *Leuconostoc* and *Weissella* [\[30\]](#page-16-14). Recently, the whole genome of this strain has been analysed, demonstrating leucocin-related gene clusters on the plasmid pLC4010-2 [\[31\]](#page-16-15).

3.2. Challenge Test in Nut-Based Fermented Product at Laboratory Scale

The survival of *L. monocytogenes* Scott A, *E. coli* 555 and *S.* Enteritidis inoculated in the nuts before laboratory-scale productions was assessed during the process and storage of the fermented nut product and obtained using three LAB consortia. In addition, the evolution of the main microbial populations (LAB, enterobacteria), pH and a_w in the control not inoculated with pathogens was monitored. The results showed that the fermentation process and the refrigerated storage could contribute to reduce the survival and proliferation of the target pathogens, depending on the LAB starter used (Table [2\)](#page-7-0).

In all the trials, the chosen starter strains were able to proliferate during the soaking phase (considered as a pre-fermentation), reaching concentrations of about 9 log CFU/g after 10 h. This LAB growth lowered the water pH at values between 4.91 (trial 1) and 4.61 (trial 3) due to the accumulation of organic acids. During soaking, no proliferation of the target pathogens was shown. After nut grinding and homogenization, LAB were at levels of about 7.8–8.0 log CFU/g. During the fermentation process, LAB grew more than 1 log unit and maintained high concentrations during the whole shelf life at refrigerated temperature.

This massive growth permitted levels to reach pH values of 4.4 in the fermented spreadable product. This pH value is considered the safe threshold for the growth of *L. monocytogenes*. Since nut-based fermented products usually have a_w values higher than 0.94, it is of primary importance to guarantee that the pH is always maintained below 4.4 during shelf life, as indicated also by the EU regulation 2073/2005 [\[32\]](#page-16-16). This pH value can be considered critical also for *Salmonella* and *E. coli*, even if the susceptibility of these species to pH can vary according to other environmental conditions [\[33,](#page-16-17)[34\]](#page-16-18).

This proper acidification was reached at different times depending on the starter used. In particular, a pH lower than 4.4 was assessed after 48 h of fermentation in trials 1 and 2, while only 18 h were necessary for the proper acidification of trial 3 (Table [2\)](#page-7-0).

Table 2. Microbial counts (log CFU/g) and pH values of samples obtained in challenge test in laboratory-scale productions. Analyses were performed on nuts before and after soaking, on prefermented cream and during fermentation (25 °C) and product storage (4 °C). Standard deviations are reported in brackets. Starter strains: trial 1, *Leuc. mesenteroides* LmV1 and *P. pentosaceus* PpV1; trial 2, *Leuc. mesenteroides* LmV1 and *Lpb. plantarum* 82; trial 3, *Leuc. carnosum* 4010 and *Lpb. plantarum* 82.

	Sampling Time		pH ¹	a_w ¹	LAB ¹	Enterobacteriaceae ¹	L. monocyto- genes Scott A	E. coli 555	S. Enteritidis 155
Trial 1	Soaking	$0\ \mathrm{h}$	6.51 (± 0.04)	n.d. $^3\,$	7.50 (± 0.08)	$0.31 (\pm 0.31)$	5.61 (± 0.06)	5.05 (± 0.08)	5.55 (± 0.06)
		$10\,\rm h$	4.91 (± 0.02)	n.d.	8.97 (± 0.05)	-2	5.57 (\pm 0.10)	5.11 (± 0.05)	5.38 (± 0.11)
	fermentation/storage Product during	$0\ \mathrm{h}$	6.04 (± 0.03)	0.985 (± 0.003)	7.87 (± 0.11)	2.48 (± 0.19)	4.51 (\pm 0.12)	4.43 (± 0.13)	4.20 (± 0.12)
		24 h	4.67 (± 0.02)	0.982 (± 0.003)	9.26 (± 0.09)	1.18 (± 0.25)	4.68 (± 0.05)	4.35 (± 0.18)	4.05 (\pm 0.09)
		48 h	4.41 (± 0.01)	0.981 (± 0.004)	9.20 (± 0.08)	2.90 (\pm 0.11)	4.71 (± 0.11)	4.41 (± 0.06)	4.10 (± 0.15)
		7 d	4.44 (± 0.02) 4.61	0.978 (± 0.002) 0.977	9.55 (± 0.07) 9.42	$2.15 \ (\pm 0.04)$	4.62 (\pm 0.14)	4.16 (± 0.07)	3.70 (± 0.13)
		15d	(± 0.02) 4.58	(± 0.003) 0.979	(± 0.10) 9.22		3.98 (± 0.05)	3.36 (± 0.09) 2.91	3.01 (± 0.08)
		$21\,\mathrm{d}$	(± 0.03)	(± 0.002)	(± 0.03)		3.66 (± 0.08)	(± 0.14)	2.52 (\pm 0.11)
Trial 2	Soaking	$0\ \mathrm{h}$	6.53 (± 0.03)	n.d.	7.45 (± 0.16)	$0.71 (\pm 0.33)$	5.82 (± 0.08)	5.21 (± 0.10)	5.34 (± 0.18)
		$10\,\rm h$	4.85 (± 0.01)	n.d.	9.15 (± 0.13)		5.79 (\pm 0.11)	5.16 (± 0.06)	5.21 (± 0.16)
	fermentation/storage Product during	$0\ \mathrm{h}$	5.93 (± 0.02)	0.988 (± 0.003)	8.05 (± 0.05)	2.97 (\pm 0.18)	4.29 (\pm 0.13)	4.43 (± 0.07)	4.22 (± 0.08)
		24 h	4.41 (± 0.03)	0.987 (± 0.001)	9.11 (± 0.09)	2.29 (\pm 0.11)	1.90 (± 0.06)	4.06 (± 0.04)	3.80 (± 013)
		$48\,\ensuremath{\,{\rm h}}$	4.35 (± 0.04)	0.984 (± 0.003)	9.21 (± 0.08)		1.78 (± 0.07)	4.02 (± 0.07)	3.63 (± 0.06)
		7 d	4.25 (± 0.02)	0.983 (± 0.002)	8.84 (± 0.11)		1.62 (\pm 0.18)	3.92 (± 0.05)	3.54 (± 0.12)
		$15\;\rm{d}$	4.40 (± 0.01)	0.980 (± 0.003)	8.97 (± 0.12)		Absent in 25 g	2.63 (± 0.11)	2.12 (± 0.05)
		$21\;\rm{d}$	4.34 (± 0.03)	0.978 (± 0.004)	8.78 (± 0.09)		Absent in 25 g		Absent in $25\,\mathrm g$
Trial 3	Soaking	$0\ \mathrm{h}$	6.39 (± 0.02)	n.d.	7.63 (± 0.09)	$0.80 \ (\pm 0.25)$	5.90 (\pm 0.10)	5.10 (± 0.12)	5.29 (\pm 0.18)
		10 _h	4.61 (± 0.02)	n.d.	9.05 (± 0.07)		5.68 (± 0.08)	5.25 (± 0.15)	5.35 (\pm 0.14)
	fermentation/storage Product during	0 _h	5.53 (± 0.02)	0.984 (± 0.003)	7.75 (± 0.15)	1.96 (\pm 0.25)	5.61 (\pm 0.15)	5.34 (± 0.07)	5.22 (\pm 0.13)
		18h	4.33 (± 0.04)	0.981 (± 0.001)	9.20 (± 0.12)	$0.33 \ (\pm 0.33)$	2.74 (± 0.06)	5.67 (± 0.09)	5.46 (± 0.09)
		7 d	4.08 (± 0.02)	0.983 (± 0.002)	9.01 (± 0.16)		Absent in 25g	5.35 (± 0.22)	5.31 (± 0.13)
		$15\;\rm{d}$	4.13 (± 0.03)	0.980 (± 0.003)	8.73 (± 0.05)		Absent in 25 g	2.45 (± 0.05)	$2.16 \ (\pm 0.07)$
		$21\;\rm{d}$	4.22 (± 0.03)	0.977 (± 0.003)	$8.80\,$ (± 0.13)		Absent in 25g	0.33 (± 0.33)	Absent in 25 g

¹: data referred to the control not inoculated with pathogens; ²: under detection limit (0.33 log CFU/g); ³: not determined.

Concerning pathogens, their cell-count decreases during process and storage were more evident in trials 2 and 3. As far as trial 2, *L. monocytogenes* Scott A was absent in 25 g of sample after 15 and 21 days of storage, while *S.* Enteritidis was not qualitatively detected only at the end of storage (21 days). At the same sampling time, *E. coli* was below the detection limit. The reduction of the target pathogens was more evident in trial 3, where the commercial starter *Leuc. carnosum* 4010 was able to kill listeria cells from the earliest production phases, suggesting an effective antimicrobial activity of this strain towards this Gram-positive pathogen also in this kind of product. It is well known that this strain produces leucocin A and leucocin C with anti-listerial action [\[27–](#page-16-12)[29\]](#page-16-13). On the other hand, the Gram-negative pathogens (*E. coli* 555 and *S.* Enteritidis 155) were more persistent, especially during fermentation and early storage. Different studies regarding the survival of these pathogens during processing and storage of fermented vegetables demonstrated that, even if environments are not favourable to support their growth, pathogens can persist in the brines for most of the fermentation and during storage, according to salt concentration, pH, redox potential and additives [\[35](#page-16-19)[–37\]](#page-16-20). This long-term survival of the pathogen species is also well documented in low-moisture foods, which are generally considered less susceptible to the growth of foodborne pathogens. In recent years, outbreaks linked to different nuts or nut products have increased, caused mainly by *Salmonella* spp., *Bacillus cereus*, *Cronobacter sakazakii* (formerly *Enterobacter sakazakii*), *Clostridium* spp., *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, and *Staphylococcus aureus* [\[9\]](#page-15-8). An outbreak caused by fermented cashew nut products contaminated with enterobacteria has been reported in the United States, with 30 people involved (of whom about 20% were hospitalized) [\[38,](#page-16-21)[39\]](#page-17-0). Concerning other microbial groups, enterococci and yeasts were always under the detection limits in all the trials.

3.3. Pilot-Scale Production: Process Optimization and Challenge Test

The microbial consortium formed by *Leuc. carnosum* 4010 and *Lpb. plantarum* 82 was chosen for pilot-scale process optimization due to its acidification performances and ability to counteract the growth and survival of deliberately inoculated pathogens, enhancing the product's hygienic quality and limiting the possible risks associated with its consumption. To design a proper process for this spreadable cheese analogue, nuts (deliberately inoculated with pathogens or not) were treated in a hot water bath (85 $°C$ for 10 min) before soaking to study the possible use of this process phase to reduce the cell load of undesirable microbiota associated with the raw materials and the inoculated pathogens. The starter cultures confirmed the same behaviour and performances highlighted in trial 3 of the laboratory-scale challenge test, resulting in the same pH drop within 18 h of fermentation. The data regarding pathogen growth or survival during production and storage are shown in Figure [2.](#page-9-0) As observed, the applied thermal treatment was not able to completely inactivate the pathogens, probably because of a non-homogeneous distribution of heat in the nut mass and the internalization of microbial cells into nut pores. However, a cell load reduction of about 3 log units for *E. coli* 555 and *S.* Enteritidis and 2 log units for *L. monocytogens* Scott A was achieved. The latter was not able to grow during soaking and decreased during fermentation, probably due to the anti-listerial activity of *Leuc. carnosum* 4010, as already assessed during the previous challenge tests. Moreover, the population surviving $(2 \log CFU/g)$ in the fermented spreadable cheese analogue further decreased during the first days of storage, and this species was absent in 25 g of sample after seven days at 4 ◦C and in the following sampling times. On the other hand, *E. coli* 555 was able to grow during soaking, reaching a concentration of about 4.3 log CFU/g , then remaining quite constant during fermentation. Its cell load progressively decreased only during storage, confirming the high persistence rate of this species in acidified vegan fermented products. Similarly, *S.* Enteritidis 155 grew, even if slowly, during soaking and reached cell counts comparable to *E. coli* at the end of fermentation. During refrigerated storage, salmonella counts decreased more rapidly than *E. coli*. After 21 days, *S.* Enteritidis was

qualitatively detected, even if the cell counts were below the detection limit, while after 30 days, it was absent in 25 g of the sample. microorganisms such as *E. coli* or *Salmonella*. μ alitatively detected, even if the cell counts were below the detection limit, while after

note worth that even slightly higher amounts of $N_{\rm eff}$ and $N_{\rm eff}$ amounts of $N_{\rm eff}$

Figure 2. Microbial counts of inoculated pathogens during pilot-scale production optimization trial. **Figure 2.** Microbial counts of inoculated pathogens during pilot-scale production optimization trial. The graph is divided into three phases: phase 1 includes nuts after treatment in a hot water bath are $\frac{1}{2}$ comprises solved into the solved phase 2 comparison and phase 3 comprises $\frac{1}{2}$ comprises $\frac{1}{2}$ and after the soaking phase, phase 2 comprises spreadable samples during fermentation and phase 3
and after the soaking phase, phase 2 comprises spreadable samples during fermentation and phase 3 includes spreadable products during storage. The presence of an asterisk indicates that *S.* Enteritidis traditions that with ϵ was present in 25 g of sample.

3.4. Analysis of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) during Fermentation and Storage Probably, this is also due to the low NaCl content of the product (1.2% *w*/*w*), chosen to meet the nutritional need to reduce salt content in the human diet. However, it is noteworthy that even slightly higher amounts of NaCl could not be an effective hurdle to control enterobacteria. From this perspective, it is essential to optimize the possible treatment of raw materials to reduce the presence of possible Gram-negative microorganisms such as *E*. coli or *Salmonella*.

3.4. Analysis of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) during Fermentation and Storage

The VOCs profiles of control samples (obtained in pilot-scale production with notinoculated nuts) during fermentation and storage are reported in Table [3.](#page-10-0) The main aroma compounds that characterized this spreadable cheese analogue belonged to ketones, aldehydes, alcohols and acids, and their abundance increased during fermentation and storage. Among these chemical groups, alcohols were the most abundant compounds in the fermented samples, ethanol being the principal one. This molecule, which can derive from several pathways, such as pyruvate metabolism [\[40\]](#page-17-1), increased during fermentation and storage. Other important alcohols were 1-hexanol, which derives from fat metabolism, 3-methyl-2-butanol, benzyl alcohol, and phenylethyl alcohol, which comes from the metabolism of amino acids and can bring sweet, fruity, or floral notes [\[41\]](#page-17-2). These molecules were present in the cream before fermentation as raw material components and as compounds produced by LAB activity during soaking, as shown by counts and the pH drop.

Acetic acid followed the same trend as ethanol and was the most important organic acid detected. This acid can be produced by heterofermentative bacteria, i.e., *Leuc. carnosum* starter, and/or can derive from LAB secondary metabolisms, which are more active when nutritional conditions become more astringent, as in the case of a lack of fermentable sugars.

Table 3. Volatile compounds detected in the control pilot-scale production before and after the fermentation and after 15 and 30 days of storage at 4 $°C$, as determined by SPME-GC–MS. Data are expressed as ratio between peak area of each molecule and peak area of the internal standard (4-methyl-2-pentanol), and standard deviations are reported in brackets.

¹: ID, Reliability of identification; MS, tentative identification by mass spectrum; RF, mass spectrum and retention time identical with a reference compound. ²: Not detected.

Aldehydes were abundant before and after fermentation and underwent a decrease during storage, mainly due to a diminution of benzaldehyde. This compound is one of the fundamental components of almonds, and it is reduced to benzyl alcohol by microbial action, which increased consequently during storage. Among ketones, acetoin and diacetyl, deriving from pyruvate metabolism [\[42\]](#page-17-3), were the most important. The presence of these compounds confers butter and dairy notes and can be important for the sensory characteristics of the product.

The progressive increase of ketones, alcohols, and acids during storage showed that secondary metabolic activities were present after the primary fermentation process and that aromatic equilibrium was needed for a product to rest at a low temperature.

3.5. Identification and Quantification of Phenolic Compounds by UPLC-MS during Fermentation and Storage

The phenolic compounds of the products obtained in pilot-scale production with nuts not inoculated with pathogens were analysed before and after the fermentation process and after 15 days of storage at $4 °C$. A total of 31 phenolic and other polar compounds were identified in the samples (Table [4\)](#page-13-0) according to their mass data and by comparing them with literature, the co-elution with commercial standards (when possible) and with several databases. Mass data, experimental and calculated *m*/*z* and error, mainly in source fragments and molecular formulae, were considered. Their quantification during fermentation and storage has been summarized in Table [5.](#page-14-0) Most of these compounds belong to the family of phenolic acids, flavonols and flavan-3-ols.

The total content of phenolic compounds increased by around 16% after the fermentation process, and it did not significantly vary after 15 days in storage. A few compounds were responsible for this increase: phenyllactic acid, hydroxyphenyllactic acid, dihydro-pcoumaric acid, dihydrophaseic acid-ribofuranosyl-glucopyranoside and p-coumaric acid hexoside pentoside isomer II.

Phenyllactic acid appeared after fermentation and increased after storage. This compound has also been described after the fermentation with *Lpb. plantarum* in citrus juice. The appearance of this compound seems to be related to the presence of phenylalanine and the action of *Lpb. plantarum* [\[43\]](#page-17-4). Besides, it has demonstrated antimicrobial activity against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, such as *L. monocytogenes* and *Staphylococcus aureus* [\[44\]](#page-17-5). Hydroxyphenyllactic acid has also been described as a specific metabolite produced by *Lpb. plantarum* [\[45\]](#page-17-6). In fact, it increased during fermentation and storage. This compound has also shown antimicrobial activity against different pathogens, including *E. coli*.

Compound 11, dihydro-p-coumaric acid, has been described to increase after the fermentation of different nuts, such as almonds [\[46\]](#page-17-7). In fact, its concentration augmented more than four times after fermentation, and it remained stable after storage. Dihydrophaseic acid-ribofuranosyl-glucopyranoside has previously been described in cacao germs as a metabolite of abscisic acid produced during seed maturation and germination [\[47\]](#page-17-8). Besides, it has been hypothesized that fermentation contributes to the increase of glycosylconjugated dihydrophaseic acid forms because dihydrophaseic acid could be initially bound to cell-wall polysaccharides via esters or a glycosidic bond (un-extractable) and then released via fermentation [\[48\]](#page-17-9).

p-Coumaric acid hexoside pentoside isomers I and II were identified at *m*/*z* 457, molecular formula $C_{20}H_{26}O_{12}$ and fragments 119/163, as previously described by other authors [\[49\]](#page-17-10). Isomer I decreased after fermentation, whereas isomer II increased; this fact can be due to the influence of fermentation, which can affect the breaking of sugar bonds as well as the release of compounds bound to cell walls.

The other compounds were not affected or showed a decrease after fermentation, in accordance with previous studies which reported a decrease of glycosylated phenolic compounds after fermentation due to hydrolysis reactions [\[50\]](#page-17-11).

Table 4. Identification of phenolic and other polar compounds.

Table 5. Phenolic and other polar compounds quantified in samples produced in the control pilot scale during fermentation and after 15 days of storage at 4 $°C$ determined by UPLC-MS. Data are expressed as μ g/g of dry weight (d.w.).

1 : LOQ (µg/mL) were the following: vanillic acid 1.57, ferulic acid 0.89, quercetin 0.21, rutin 0.14, catechin 0.09. nd: not determined.

4. Conclusions

The findings of the present study can contribute to studying the risk assessment of plant-based fermented products obtained as cheese alternatives from a non-conventional raw material, such as nuts. Although other fermented vegetable products (i.e., olives, sauerkraut, etc.) have been deeply characterized, there are few data about the fermentative processes of this kind of matrices. This fact is even more important considering that these vegan foods are usually consumed without cooking, making contamination by pathogens a potential public health issue. The results of the laboratory-scale challenge tests demonstrated that, even though the growth of *L. monocytogenes*, *E. coli* and *S.* Enteritidis was not supported during process and storage, they can survive for a long period in this stressful environment with low pH. The data of the optimization production demonstrated that the application of a heat treatment of nuts in water at 85 $^{\circ}$ C for 10 min contributes to reduce the pathogen cell load, together with the use of the best performing starter strains and strict good manufacturing practices.

Leuconostoc carnosum 4010 and *Lpb. plantarum* 82, being bioprotective LAB strains, demonstrated to be the best starter combination for assuring the safety of the spreadable nut-fermented product. In addition, VOCs profiles showed the presence of important aroma

compounds, and the total content of phenolic compounds increased after fermentation, without significant variations after 15 days in storage. Most compounds responsible for the increase have demonstrated antimicrobial activity against different pathogens and, thus, could be related to the improvement of the shelf life of the final product.

The use of this optimized starter microbial consortium, endowed with bioprotective features, allowed the set-up of a guided fermentation process, able to confer to the final cheese analogue aromatic, functional, and chemico-physical features. The development of a new vegan fermented product prototype suitable also for further industrial advancement can promote an increased availability of meat alternatives for consumers, avoiding the risk of outbreaks due to foodborne pathogens.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, G.T. and V.V.; methodology, F.B., A.M.G.-C. and C.M.; formal analysis, F.B., E.D.-d.-C. and F.S.Z.; investigation, G.T., C.M., F.B. and V.V.; writing—original draft preparation, G.T., E.D.-d.-C. and V.V.; writing—review and editing, C.M., F.G., A.M.G.-C. and L.V.; supervision, F.G.; funding acquisition, F.G. and L.V. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The authors are thankful for project funding under the National Recovery and Resilience Plan (NRRP), Mission 4 Component 2 Investment 1.3—Call for proposals No.341 of 15 March 2022 of Italian Ministry of University and Research funded by the European Union—NextGenerationEU; Project code PE00000003, Concession Decree No.1550 of 11 October 2022 adopted by the Italian Ministry of University and Research, CUP D93C22000890001, Project title "ON Foods—Research and innovation network on food and nutrition Sustainability, Safety and Security—Working ON Foods".

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. de Las Heras-Delgado, S.; Shyam, S.; Cunillera, È.; Dragusan, N.; Salas-Salvadó, J.; Babio, N. Are plant-based alternatives healthier? A two-dimensional evaluation from nutritional and processing standpoints. *Food Res. Int.* **2023**, *169*, 112857. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2023.112857) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37254431)
- 2. Boukid, F. Plant-based meat analogues: From niche to mainstream. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.* **2021**, *247*, 297–308. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-020-03630-9)
- 3. Boukid, F.; Hassoun, A.; Zouari, A.; Tülbek, M.Ç.; Mefleh, M.; Aït-Kaddour, A.; Castellari, M. Fermentation for Designing Innovative Plant-Based Meat and Dairy Alternatives. *Foods* **2023**, *12*, 1005. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12051005) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36900522)
- 4. Swinehart, M.; Harris, L.J.; Anderson, N.M.; Feng, Y.U.S. Consumer Practices of Homemade Nut-based Dairy Analogs and Soaked Nuts. *J. Food Prot.* **2023**, *86*, 100132. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfp.2023.100132)
- 5. Demarigny, Y. Fermented food products made with vegetable materials from tropical and warm countries: Microbial and technological considerations. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *47*, 2469–2476. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2012.03087.x)
- 6. Ravyts, F.; De Vuyst, L.; Leroy, F. Bacterial diversity and functionalities in food fermentations. *Eng. Life Sci.* **2012**, *12*, 356–367. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/elsc.201100119)
- 7. Thierry, A.; Baty, C.; Marché, L.; Chuat, V.; Picard, O.; Lortal, S.; Valence, F. Lactofermentation of vegetables: An ancient method of preservation matching new trends. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* **2023**, *139*, 104112. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2023.07.009)
- 8. Beuchat, L.R.; Komitopoulou, E.; Beckers, H.; Betts, R.P.; Bourdichon, F.; Fanning, S.; Joosten, H.M.; Ter Kuile, B.H. Low-water activity foods: Increased concern as vehicles of foodborne pathogens. *J. Food Prot.* **2013**, *76*, 150–172. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-12-211)
- 9. Sànchez-Maldonado, A.F.; Lee, A.; Farber, J.M. Methods for the Control of Foodborne Pathogens in Low-Moisture Foods. *Annu. Rev. Food Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *9*, 177–208. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-food-030117-012304)
- 10. Settanni, L.; Corsetti, A. Application of bacteriocins in vegetable food biopreservation. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2008**, *121*, 123–138. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2007.09.001)
- 11. Horlacher, N.; Oey, I.; Agyei, D. Learning from Tradition: Health-Promoting Potential of Traditional Lactic Acid Fermentation to Drive Innovation in Fermented Plant-Based Dairy Alternatives. *Fermentation* **2023**, *9*, 452. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation9050452)
- 12. Chikindas, M.L.; Weeks, R.; Drider, D.; Chistyakov, V.A.; Dicks, L.M.T. Functions and emerging applications of bacteriocins. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* **2018**, *49*, 23–28. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2017.07.011) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28787641)
- 13. Elsser-Gravesen, D.; Elsser-Gravesen, A. Biopreservatives. *Adv. Biochem. Eng. Biotechnol.* **2014**, *143*, 29–49. [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24185748)
- 14. Linares-Morales, J.R.; Gutiérrez-Méndez, N.; Rivera-Chavira, B.E.; Pérez-Vega, S.B.; Nevárez-Moorillón, G.V. Biocontrol Processes in Fruits and Fresh Produce, the Use of Lactic Acid Bacteria as a Sustainable Option. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.* **2018**, *2*, 50. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2018.00050)
- 15. Doriya, K.; Kumar, D.S.; Thorat, B.N. A systematic review on fruit-based fermented foods as an approach to improve dietary diversity. *J. Food Process. Preserv.* **2022**, *46*, e16994. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.16994)
- 16. Huynh, N.T.; Van Camp, J.; Smagghe, G.; Raes, K. Improved Release and Metabolism of Flavonoids by Steered Fermentation Processes: A Review. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2014**, *15*, 19369–19388. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms151119369)
- 17. Li, Z.; Teng, J.; Lyu, Y.; Hu, X.; Zhao, Y.; Wang, M. Enhanced Antioxidant Activity for Apple Juice Fermented with *Lactobacillus plantarum* ATCC14917. *Molecules* **2019**, *24*, 51. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24010051)
- 18. Peyer, L.C.; Zannini, E.; Arendt, E.K. Lactic Acid Bacteria as Sensory Biomodulators for Fermented Cereal-Based Beverages. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *54*, 17–25. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2016.05.009)
- 19. Ricci, A.; Cirlini, M.; Levante, A.; Dall'Asta, C.; Galaverna, G.; Lazzi, C. Volatile Profile of Elderberry Juice: Effect of Lactic Acid Fermentation Using *L. plantarum*, *L. rhamnosus* and *L. casei* Strains. *Food Res. Int.* **2018**, *105*, 412–422. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2017.11.042)
- 20. Chen, C.; Lu, Y.; Yu, H.; Chen, Z.; Tian, H. Influence of 4 Lactic Acid Bacteria on the Flavor Profile of Fermented Apple Juice. *Food Biosci.* **2019**, *27*, 30–36. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbio.2018.11.006)
- 21. Tabanelli, G.; Pasini, F.; Riciputi, Y.; Vannini, L.; Gozzi, G.; Balestra, F.; Caboni, M.F.; Gardini, F.; Montanari, C. Fermented nut-based vegan food: Characterization of a home-made product and scale-up to an industrial pilot-scale production. *J. Food Sci.* **2018**, *83*, 711–722. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.14036) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29437232)
- 22. Budde, B.B.; Hornbæk, T.; Jacobsen, T.; Barkholt, V.; Koch, A.G. *Leuconstoc carnosum* 4010 has the potential as a new protective culture for vacuum-packed meats: Culture isolation, bacteriocin identification, and meat application. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2003**, *83*, 171–184. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605(02)00364-1) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12706038)
- 23. Schillinger, U.; Lücke, F.K. Antibacterial activity of *Lactobacillus sake* isolated from meat. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1989**, *55*, 1901–1906. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.55.8.1901-1906.1989) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2782870)
- 24. Gómez-Caravaca, A.M.; Verardo, V.; Segura-Carretero, A.; Caboni, M.F.; Fernández-Gutiérrez, A. Development of a rapid method to determine phenolic and other polar compounds in walnut by capillary electrophoresis-electrospray ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr.* **2008**, *1209*, 238–245. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2008.08.117) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18823899)
- 25. Verni, M.; Pontonio, E.; Krona, A.; Jacob, S.; Pinto, D.; Rinaldi, F.; Verardo, V.; Díaz-de-Cerio, E.; Coda, R.; Rizzello, C.G. Bioprocessing of Brewers' Spent Grain Enhances Its Antioxidant Activity: Characterization of Phenolic Compounds and Bioactive Peptides. *Front. Microbiol.* **2020**, *11*, 1831. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.01831)
- 26. Hwang, I.C.; Oh, J.K.; Kim, S.H.; Oh, S.; Kang, D.K. Isolation and Characterization of an Anti-listerial Bacteriocin from *Leuconostoc lactis* SD501. *Korean J. Food Sci. Anim. Resour.* **2018**, *38*, 1008–1018. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2018.e33)
- 27. Fang, W.; Budde, B.B.; Siegumfeldt, H. Leucocins 4010 from *Leuconostoc carnosum* cause a matrix related decrease in intracellular pH of *Listeria monocytogenes*. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **2006**, *258*, 208–213. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2006.00219.x)
- 28. Wan, X.; Li, R.; Saris, P.E.; Takala, T.M. Genetic characterisation and heterologous expression of leucocin C, a class IIa bacteriocin from *Leuconostoc carnosum* 4010. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2013**, *97*, 3509–3518. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-012-4406-4)
- 29. Raimondi, S.; Popovic, M.; Amaretti, A.; Di Gioia, D.; Rossi, M. Anti-Listeria Starters: In Vitro Selection and Production Plant Evaluation. *J. Food Prot.* **2014**, *77*, 837–842. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-13-297)
- 30. Wan, X.; Li, R.; Saris, P.E.; Takala, T.M. Genetic characterization and expression of leucocin B, a class IId bacteriocin from *Leuconostoc carnosum* 4010. *Res. Microbiol.* **2015**, *166*, 494–503. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2015.04.003)
- 31. Li, R.; Lood, C.; Takala, T.M.; Andreou, G.; Saris, P.E.J.; Lavigne, R.; Wan, X. Complete genome sequences of two *Leuconostoc carnosum* strains: 4010 and AMS1. *Microbiol. Resour. Announc.* **2024**, *13*, e0096123. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/mra.00961-23) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/38315017)
- 32. European Commission. *Commission Regulation (EC) No. 2073/2005 of 15 November 2005 on Microbiological Criteria for Foodstuffs*; Official Journal of the European Union: Brussels, Belgum, 2005; Volume L338.
- 33. Bell, C.; Kyriakides, A. Salmonella. In *Foodborne Pathogens: Hazards, Risk Analysis and Control*, 2nd ed.; de W. Blackburn, C., McClure, P.J., Eds.; Woodhead Publishing: Cambridge, UK, 2009; pp. 627–674.
- 34. Xu, Y.; Zhao, Z.; Tong, W.; Ding, Y.; Liu, B.; Shi, Y.; Wang, J.; Sun, S.; Liu, M.; Wang, Y.; et al. An acid-tolerance response system protecting exponentially growing *Escherichia coli*. *Nat. Commun.* **2020**, *11*, 1496. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15350-5) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32198415)
- 35. Paramithiotis, S.; Doulgeraki, A.I.; Tsilikidis, I.; Nychas, G.J.E.; Drosinos, E.H. Fate of *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Salmonella* Typhimurium during spontaneous cauliflower fermentation. *Food Control* **2012**, *27*, 178–183. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.03.022)
- 36. Cho, G.Y.; Lee, M.H.; Choi, C. Survival of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Listeria monocytogenes* during kimchi fermentation supplemented with raw pork meat. *Food Control* **2011**, *22*, 1253–1260. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2011.01.026)
- 37. Medina, E.; de Castro, A.; Romero, C.; Ramírez, E.M.; Brenes, M. Chapter 18—Safety of Fermented Fruits and Vegetables. In *Regulating Safety of Traditional and Ethnic Foods*, 1st ed.; Prakash, V., Martín-Belloso, O., Keener, L., Astley, S., Braun, S., Mcmahon, H., Lelieveld, H., Eds.; Elsevier Inc.: Philadelphia, PA, USA, 2016; pp. 355–367.
- 38. CDC—Center for Disease Control and Prevention. Multistate Outbreak of Salmonella Stanley Infections Linked to Raw Cashew Cheese (Final Update). Available online: [https://archive.cdc.gov/#/details?url=https://www.cdc.gov/salmonella/stanley-01-1](https://archive.cdc.gov/#/details?url=https://www.cdc.gov/salmonella/stanley-01-14/index.html) [4/index.html](https://archive.cdc.gov/#/details?url=https://www.cdc.gov/salmonella/stanley-01-14/index.html) (accessed on 6 May 2024).
- 39. CDC—Center for Disease Control and Prevention. Food issues: Notes from the Field. Review Food Safety Process and Guidance for Manufacture of Fermented Cashew Nut Cheese. Available online: [http://www.bccdc.ca/resource-gallery/Documents/](http://www.bccdc.ca/resource-gallery/Documents/Educational%20Materials/EH/FPS/Food/Fermented_Nut_Cheese.pdf) [Educational%20Materials/EH/FPS/Food/Fermented_Nut_Cheese.pdf](http://www.bccdc.ca/resource-gallery/Documents/Educational%20Materials/EH/FPS/Food/Fermented_Nut_Cheese.pdf) (accessed on 6 May 2024).
- 40. Von Wright, A.; Axelsson, L. Lactic acid bacteria: An introduction. In *Lactic Acid Bacteria: Microbiological and Functional Aspects*, 4th ed.; Lahtinen, S., Ouwehand, A.C., Salminen, S., von Wright, A., Eds.; CRC Press, Tayor & Francis Group: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2012; pp. 1–16.
- 41. Corral, S.; Salvador, A.; Flores, M. Elucidation of key aroma compounds in traditional dry fermented sausages using different extraction techniques. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2015**, *95*, 1350–1361. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.6830)
- 42. Carballo, J. The role of fermentation reactions in the generation of flavor and aroma of foods. In *Fermentation, Effects on Food Properties*, 1st ed.; Mentha, B.M., Kama-Eldin, A., Iwanski, R.Z., Eds.; CRC Press, Tayor & Francis Group: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2012; pp. 51–83.
- 43. Sisconeto Bisinotto, M.; da Silva, D.C.; de Carvalho Fino, L.; Costa Antunes, A.E.; Bertoldo Pacheco, M.T. Bioaccessibility of cashew nut kernel flour compounds released after simulated in vitro human gastrointestinal digestion. *Food Res. Int.* **2021**, *139*, 109906. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2020.109906)
- 44. Dieuleveux, V.; Lemarinier, S.; Guéguen, M. Antimicrobial spectrum and target site of d-3-phenyllactic acid. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **1998**, *40*, 177–183. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605(98)00031-2)
- 45. Vougiouklaki, D.; Tsironi, T.; Papaparaskevas, J.; Halvatsiotis, P.; Houhoula, D. Characterization of *Lacticaseibacillus rhamnosus*, *Levilactobacillus brevis* and *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* metabolites and evaluation of their antimicrobial activity against food pathogens. *Appl. Sci.* **2022**, *12*, 660. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/app12020660)
- 46. Rocchetti, G.; Chiodelli, G.; Giuberti, G.; Lucini, L. Bioaccessibility of phenolic compounds following in vitro large intestine fermentation of nuts for human consumption. *Food Chem.* **2018**, *245*, 633–640. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.10.146)
- 47. Sannohe, Y.; Gomi, S.; Murata, T.; Ohyama, M.; Yonekura, K.; Kanegae, M.; Koga, J.; Onekura, K.Y.; Anegae, M.K.; Oga, J.K. A New Glycosylated Dihydrophaseic Acid from Cacao Germs (*Theobroma cacao* L.). *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* **2011**, *75*, 1606–1607. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.110140)
- 48. Lin, S.; He, J.; Jiang, Y.; Wu, F.; Wang, H.; Wu, D.; Sun, J.; Zhang, D.; Qu, H.; Yang, B. Production of nigragillin and dihydrophaseic acid by biotransformation of litchi pericarp with *Aspergillus awamori* and their antioxidant activities. *J. Funct. Foods* **2014**, *7*, 278–286. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2014.02.001)
- 49. Li, S.; Lin, Z.; Jiang, H.; Tong, L.; Wang, H.; Chen, S. Rapid Identification and Assignation of the Active Ingredients in Fufang Banbianlian Injection Using HPLC-DAD-ESI-IT-TOF-MS. *J. Chromatogr. Sci.* **2016**, *54*, 1225–1237. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/chromsci/bmw055) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27107094)
- 50. Cerrato, A.; Piovesana, S.; Aita, S.E.; Cavaliere, C.; Felletti, S.; Laganà, A.; Montone, C.M.; Vargas-de-la-Cruz, C.; Capriotti, A.L. Detailed investigation of the composition and transformations of phenolic compounds in fresh and fermented *Vaccinium floribundum* berry extracts by high-resolution mass spectrometry and bioinformatics. *Phytochem. Anal.* **2022**, *33*, 507–516. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/pca.3105) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35064611)
- 51. Sampaio, K.L.; Garruti, D.S.; Franco, M.R.B.; Janzantti, N.S.; Da Silva, M.A.A.P. Aroma volatiles recovered in the water phase of cashew apple (*Anacardium occidentale* L.) juice during concentration. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2011**, *91*, 1801–1809. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.4385)
- 52. Cunha, A.G.; Brito, E.S.; Moura, C.F.H.; Ribeiro, P.R.V.; Miranda, M.R.A. UPLC–qTOF-MS/MS-based phenolic profile and their biosynthetic enzyme activity used to discriminate between cashew apple (*Anacardium occidentale* L.) maturation stages. *J. Chromatogr. Analyt. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci.* **2017**, *1051*, 24–32. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2017.02.022)
- 53. Milbury, P.E.; Chen, C.Y.; Dolnikowski, G.G.; Blumberg, J.B. Determination of Flavonoids and Phenolics and Their Distribution in Almonds. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2006**, *54*, 5027–5033. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0603937)
- 54. Bartolomé, B.; Monagas, M.; Garrido, I.; Gómez-Cordovés, C.; Martín-Álvarez, P.J.; Lebrón-Aguilar, R.; Urpí-Sardà, M.; Llorach, R.; Andrés-Lacueva, C. Almond (*Prunus dulcis* (Mill.) D.A. Webb) polyphenols: From chemical characterization to targeted analysis of phenolic metabolites in humans. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **2010**, *501*, 124–133. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abb.2010.03.020)
- 55. Picmanova, M.; Neilson, E.H.; Motawia, M.S.; Olsen, C.E.; Agerbirk, N.; Gray, C.J.; Flitsch, S.; Meier, S.; Silvestro, D.; Jørgensen, K.; et al. A recycling pathway for cyanogenic glycosides evidenced by the comparative metabolic profiling in three cyanogenic plant species. *Biochem. J.* **2015**, *469*, 375–389. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1042/BJ20150390)
- 56. Martín-García, B.; Gòmez-Caravaca, A.M.; Marconi, E.; Verardo, V. Distribution of free and bound phenolic compounds, and alkylresorcinols in wheat aleurone enriched fractions. *Food Res. Int.* **2021**, *140*, 109816. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2020.109816)
- 57. Ramirz-Ambrosi, M.; Abad-García, B.; Viloria-Bernal, M.; Garmon-Lobato, S.; Berrueta, L.A.; Gallo, B. A new ultrahigh performance liquid chromatography with diode array detection coupled to electrospray ionization and quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry analytical strategy for fast analysis and improved characterization of phenolic compounds in apple products. *J. Chromatogr.* **2013**, *1316*, 78–91.
- 58. Schendel, R.R.; Becker, A.; Tyl, C.E.; Bunzel, M. Isolation and characterization of feruloylated arabinoxylan oligosaccharides from the perennial cereal grain intermediate wheat grass (*Thinopyrum intermedium*). *Carbohydr. Res.* **2015**, *407*, 16–25. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2015.01.006) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25699975)
- 59. Gómez-Caravaca, A.M.; López-Cobo, A.; Verardo, V.; Segura-Carretero, A.; Fernández-Gutiérrez, A. HPLC-DAD-q-TOF-MS as a powerful platform for the determination of phenolic and other polar compounds in the edible part of mango and its by-products (peel, seed, and seed husk). *Electrophoresis* **2016**, *37*, 1072–1084. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/elps.201500439)
- 60. Karaman, S.; Karasu, S.; Tornuk, F.; Toker, O.S.; Sagdic, O.; Ozcan, N. Recovery Potential of Cold Press Byproducts Obtained from the Edible Oil Industry: Physicochemical, Bioactive, and Antimicrobial Properties. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2015**, *63*, 2305–2313. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf504390t) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25647068)
- 61. Monagas, M.; Garrido, I.; Lebrón-Aguilar, R.; Bartolome, B.; Gómez-Cordovés, C. Almond (*Prunus dulcis* (Mill.) D. A. Webb) Skins as a Potential Source of Bioactive Polyphenols. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2007**, *55*, 8498–8507. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf071780z) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17867638)

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.