

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

The 2-tier grading system identifies canine cutaneous and/or subcutaneous mast cell tumors with aggressive biological behavior regardless of growth model

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Sabattini, S., Brocanelli, A., Zaccone, R., Faroni, E., Renzi, A., Ciammaichella, L., et al. (2024). The 2-tier grading system identifies canine cutaneous and/or subcutaneous mast cell tumors with aggressive biological behavior regardless of growth model. VETERINARY PATHOLOGY, 61(6), 874-881 [10.1177/03009858241240443].

Availability:

This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/995464 since: 2024-11-02

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1177/03009858241240443

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

1 Title page

3	The two-tier grading system identifies canine cutaneous and/or subcutaneous mast
4	cell tumors with aggressive biological behavior regardless of growth model
5	Silvia Sabattini, Alessia Brocanelli, Riccardo Zaccone, Eugenio Faroni, Andrea
6	Renzi, Luca Ciammaichella, Giuliano Bettini, Laura Marconato
7	Department of Veterinary Medical Sciences, University of Bologna, Ozzano dell'Emilia,
8	Bologna, Italy (SS, AB, RZ, EF, AR, LC, GB, LM)
9	
10	Corresponding author:
11	Silvia Sabattini
12	Pathology Service
13	Department of Veterinary Medical Sciences, University of Bologna
14	Via Tolara di Sopra, 50
15	40064 Ozzano dell'Emilia
16	Bologna, Italy
17	Telephone number: +39 051 2097956
18	E-mail address: silvia.sabattini@unibo.it

19 Abstract

20 Histologic grading of canine cutaneous mast cell tumors (cMCTs) has prognostic and

therapeutic implications, yet validation for subcutaneous (sc) MCTs is lacking. For scMCTs

- 22 with or without dermal invasion, determining their biologic behavior remains poorly
- 23 standardized and sometimes sparks controversy.
- 24 This prospective study aims to assess the prognostic utility of the two-tier histologic
- grading system in MCTs with distinct growth models (GM) and explore the prognostic
- impact of the GM itself.

27 Dogs undergoing excision of a cMCT or scMCT and regional/sentinel lymphadenectomy

were included. The two-tier grade was applied, and 6 histologic GM categories were

29 defined: solely cMCT (C-SC0), cMCT with superficial (C-SC1) or deep subcutaneous (C-

30 SC2) involvement, solely scMCT (SC-C0) and scMCT with deep (SC-C1) or superficial

(SC-C2) infiltration of the dermis. Mitotic count, two-tier grade, nodal involvement, surgical
 margins, and outcome were stratified according to GM.

33 Ninety-one MCTs from 76 dogs were examined. GM classification identified 11 (12.1%) C-

34 SC0 tumors, 12 (13.2%) C-SC1, 15 (16.5%) C-SC2, 21 (16.5%) SC-C0, 15 (16.5%) SC-

35 C1, and 17 (18.7%) SC-C2.

36 The two-tier histologic grading enabled the identification of all MCTs with aggressive

37 biologic behavior, regardless of their cutaneous or subcutaneous location. scMCTs lacking

dermal invasion, historically associated with a benign clinical course, had a poor prognosis

in 10% of cases.

40 cMCTs exhibiting deep subcutaneous involvement had the highest occurrence of high-

41 grade tumors (33.3%; P=0.01), overt nodal metastases (33.3%) and the lowest one-year

42 survival rate (85.7%). Histologic grade was confirmed as a relevant prognostic factor,

43 surpassing nodal involvement and histologic margin status.

44

Keywords: dog; grading; histology; lymphadenectomy; mast cell tumor; prognosis;
subcutaneous

47

Mast cell tumors (MCTs) are the most common malignant skin neoplasms in dogs. The 48 prognostication of canine MCTs is strictly dependent on their growth model (GM). For 49 MCTs arising primarily in the dermis (cutaneous MCTs [cMCTs]) two histologic grading 50 systems have been introduced: the Patnaik (three-tier) system, which considers both 51 architectural and morphologic criteria, and the Kiupel (two-tier) system, which exclusively 52 relies on cell morphology.^{9,18} According to the three-tier system, cMCTs infiltrating the 53 54 subcutaneous tissues exhibit a more aggressive behavior and a worse outcome compared with those confined to the dermis.¹⁸ 55

A subset of canine MCTs is confined entirely within the subcutis, with limited or no involvement of the upper dermal layer (subcutaneous MCTs [scMCTs]). Until recently, these tumors had received limited attention and were either not graded or arbitrarily classified as Patnaik grade II due to their subcutaneous location. Several studies addressing this MCT variant reported extended survival times and low rates of local recurrence and metastasis.^{5,17,23} However, recent reports have highlighted a small number of cases displaying aggressive biologic behavior.^{3,13,24}

Histologically, several negative prognostic factors have been identified for canine scMCT,
including mitotic count, infiltrative growth, and multinucleation.²³ However, no specific
grading system has been proposed, and it remains uncertain which combination of
negative histologic prognostic factors could be considered equivalent to high grade. In a
recent study that applied the two-tier grading system to scMCTs, only one dog was
diagnosed with a high-grade tumor, and in no case did the mitotic count reach the cutoff
established for high-grade cMCTs.⁵ These findings may support the hypothesis that

scMCTs as an entity are generally lower grade. Alternatively, this may suggest that the
histologic prognostic factors identified for cMCTs may not be applicable to the
subcutaneous variant.

Additionally, in a significant proportion of canine MCTs, the bulk of the tumor resides within 73 the subcutis, but neoplastic cells extend upward to infiltrate the deep or intermediate 74 dermis, or even reach the superficial dermis. From a clinical perspective, most of these 75 tumors cannot be distinguished from primary cMCTs. This particular GM represents a gap 76 that has not been previously addressed in the literature. As a result, the histologic 77 approach to these tumors is quite confusing: many pathologists grade them as if they were 78 79 cMCTs with deep subcutaneous invasion, while others recognize their subcutaneous origin 80 and apply the prognostic criteria recommended for scMCTs.

If a single grading system could be applied to all canine MCTs, regardless of their primary
location and extent of infiltration, this would ensure a reduced level of subjectivity in the
histologic interpretation of their biologic behavior, ultimately resulting in more informed
clinical management.

In this prospective study, the prognostic impact of MCT GM was assessed in a cohort of 85 dogs undergoing primary tumor removal and regional or sentinel lymphadenectomy. For 86 87 this purpose, tumors were separated into several histologic GM-defined categories, including solely dermal MCTs, solely scMCTs, primarily dermal MCTs extending into the 88 subcutis, and primarily scMCTs extending into the dermis. The primary aim was to 89 90 compare the biologic behavior of cMCTs with deep subcutaneous invasion with that of scMCT displaying dermal invasion, to assess whether these GMs merit distinction in 91 histopathologic reports. Additional aims were to investigate if there were differences in the 92 two-tier grade distribution based on tumor GM and if grading correlated with tumor biologic 93 behavior across all categories. 94

95

96 Materials and Methods

97 Study design and inclusion criteria

98 Client-owned dogs with cutaneous or subcutaneous MCTs undergoing surgical excision of

the primary tumor and regional or sentinel lymphadenectomy at the University Hospital of

the Department of Veterinary Medical Sciences (University of Bologna, Italy) between

101 January 2018 and December 2022 were eligible for inclusion.

102 Dogs with more than two concurrent MCTs, recurrent MCTs, or with mucosal or muscular

103 MCTs were excluded; comorbidities limiting life expectancy to <6 months represented a

104 further exclusion criterion.

Dogs with two concurrent or asynchronous primary MCTs were included if they underwent

surgical excision of both tumors and removal of all regional/sentinel lymph nodes.

107 All dogs had to be staged negative for distant metastases prior to surgery through the

108 completion of a series of diagnostic procedures, including 3-view thoracic radiographs,

abdominal ultrasound, and fine-needle aspiration of the liver and spleen.

110 MCTs were excised according to recent recommendations, with lateral surgical margins

proportional to the widest tumor diameter, and deep margins including at least one fascial
 plane.^{7,19}

113 The obtained surgical samples were subjected to histologic evaluation. In the presence of

high-grade tumors⁹ and/or overt nodal metastasis,²⁵ adjuvant vinblastine treatment was

recommended, in accordance with prior literature.¹² The remaining dogs were monitored.

Dogs were withdrawn from the study if they were lost to follow-up within 120 days of

117 surgery.

118

119 Histopathologic examination

Samples were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin, processed, and embedded in paraffin using a standardized protocol. Four-µm-thick histologic sections of the primary tumor, stained with hematoxylin and eosin, were microscopically examined for the assessment of tumor GM, mitotic count (MC), histologic grade according to the two-tier system⁹ and surgical margins.

The histologic GM was assessed as detailed in Table 1. Six categories were defined: 125 solely dermal MCT (C-SC₀), dermal MCT with superficial or deep subcutaneous 126 involvement (C-SC₁ and C-SC₂, respectively), solely subcutaneous MCT (SC-C₀) and 127 subcutaneous MCT with deep or superficial infiltration of the overlying dermis (SC-C1 and 128 129 SC-C₂, respectively). MC was expressed as the total number of mitotic figures in a 2.37 mm² area and assessed in the areas of highest mitotic activity.¹⁴ The two-tier histologic 130 grading system was applied on all tumors, regardless of the GM. Surgical margins were 131 inked by the pathologist, assessed histologically with combined radial and tangential 132 sections and defined as complete, clean but close (tumor cells at 1-3 mm from the surgical 133 margins) or incomplete. 134

Regional lymph nodes were processed as previously described and stained with toluidine
blue for the histologic node status evaluation according to Weishaar *et al.*^{21,24}

137 All histologic evaluations were performed by a board-certified veterinary pathologist (SS).

138

139 Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were used in the analysis of dogs and tumor characteristics. When appropriate, data sets were tested for normality by use of the D'Agostino and Pearson omnibus test. None of the numeric variables had a normal distribution and, therefore, the median and range are used as summary statistics.

144 Information recorded for all dogs included signalment, tumor anatomic location, largest

diameter, clinical site (cutaneous or subcutaneous), ulceration, substage, GM, MC, two-tier

grade, surgical margins, extent of nodal involvement, date of tumor progression (if any),

147 date of death and cause of death (if any).

148 Time to progression (TTP) was calculated from the date of surgery to the first occurrence

of one or more of the following: local recurrence, nodal metastasis and distant spread.

Dogs with no recurrence or disease progression at the date of the last visit or death were censored.

152 Tumor-specific survival (TSS) was calculated from the date of surgery to the date of death

or to the date of the last visit if death did not occur. Only dogs deceased due to MCT-

related causes were considered as events.

155 Survival curves for each GM-defined group were obtained with the Kaplan-Meier method

and compared with the log-rank test. One-year survival rates were also calculated for each

157 group.

Data were analyzed by use of commercial software programs (SPSS Statistics v. 26, IBM,
 Somers, NY). P values ≤0.05 were considered statistically significant.

160

161 **Results**

162 Dogs' and tumors' characteristics

163 Ninety-one MCTs obtained from 76 dogs were included. There were 19 (25.0%) mixed-

breed dogs and 57 (75.0%) purebred dogs; among these, the most represented breeds

were Labrador retriever (n = 10; 17.5%), French bulldog (n = 8; 14%), boxer (n = 7; 12.3%)

and golden retriever (n = 6; 10.5%). There were 39 females (51.3%), of which 28 spayed,

and 37 (48.7%) males, of which 8 neutered. The median age at presentation was 8 years

168 (range, 3.5-15.0), and median body weight was 26.5 kg (range, 5.4-58.7).

The tumors were located on trunk and tail (n = 30; 33.0%), limbs (n = 28; 30.8%), head and neck (n = 14; 15.4%), inguinal/perineal area (n = 10; 10.9%), mammary region (n = 7; 7.7%), and digital region (n = 2; 2.2%). From a clinical perspective, 53 (58.2%) tumors were cutaneous and 38 (41.8%) were subcutaneous. The median tumor diameter was 1.5 cm (range, 0.2-16.0 cm). Four (5.3%) dogs were symptomatic (substage b).

174

175 Treatment

Seven (9.2%) dogs received neoadjuvant chemotherapy, consisting of vinblastine

administered intravenously (IV) every two weeks at the dose of 3 mg/m² for dogs weighing

 $\geq 20 \text{ kg and } 2.5 \text{ mg/m}^2 \text{ for those weighing } < 20 \text{ kg. Dogs also received daily oral}$

prednisolone (1 mg/kg), oral cetirizine (1 mg/kg) twice daily and oral famotidine (2 mg/kg)
twice daily.

All dogs underwent surgical excision of their MCTs and lymphadenectomy. A total of 169 regional and 19 sentinel lymph nodes were removed, with a median of 2 lymph nodes for each dog (range, 1-6).

Based on the presence of a high-grade tumor and/or HN3 lymph node, 19 (20.9%) dogs received adjuvant chemotherapy, consisting of vinblastine administered as previously described up to a total of 8 doses.

187

188 Histologic analysis

Eighty-one (89.0%) tumors were low grade and 10 (11.0%) were high grade. The median mitotic count was 0 (range, 0-14). Surgical margins were histologically complete in 76 (83.5%) MCTs, clean but close in 12 (13.2%) cases and incomplete in 3 (3.3%) cases.

Forty-three (47.3%) dogs had at least one HN2 lymph node and 16 (17.6%) had at least
one HN3 lymph node.

According to the histologic GM, 11 tumors (12.1%) were classified as C-SC₀, 12 (13.2%)

as C-SC₁, 15 (16.5%) as C-SC₂, 21 (23.0%) as SC-C₀, 15 (16.5%) as SC-C₁, and 17

196 (18.7%) as SC-C₂ (Figure 1).

197 The main characteristics for each GM-defined group are listed in Table 2. Tumors treated

with neoadjuvant chemotherapy were distributed as follows: SC-C₂: n = 3; SC-C₀: n = 2; C-

199 SC₂: n = 2. High grade MCTs included 5 C-SC₂, 2 SC-C₀, 2 SC-C₂ and 1 SC-C₁. Tumors

with at least one HN3 lymph node included 6 SC-C₀, 5 C-SC₂, 3 SC-C₂, 2 C-SC₁ and 1

201 SC-C₁.

202 When specifically considering tumors with a massive involvement of both cutis and

subcutis, C-SC₂ MCTs had a median diameter of 1.4 cm (range, 0.5-7.0) and had been

described clinically as a cutaneous nodule in 14 (93.3%) cases and as a subcutaneous

nodule in 1 (6.7%) case. Two (13.3%) tumors were ulcerated. SC-C₂ MCTs had a median

diameter of 2.0 cm (range, 0.5-6.0) and had been described clinically as a cutaneous

nodule in 13 (76.5%) cases and as a subcutaneous nodule in 4 (23.5%) cases. Two

208 (11.8%) were ulcerated (Table 2).

The highest percentage of high-grade tumors and HN3 lymph nodes (n=5; 33.3) was

found in the C-SC2 category.

211

212 Outcome and prognostic factors

The median follow-up time was 681 days (range, 217-2054).

Seven (9.2%) dogs experienced disease progression after a median of 230 days (range,

49-666), consisting of visceral metastasis (n = 3), nodal metastasis (n = 3) and local

recurrence with nodal metastasis (n =1). All progressions were confirmed by means of

cytologic evaluation. The median TTP could not be assessed since the estimated survival 217 curve did not fall below 0.5. The GM of tumors associated with disease progression was 218 as follows: $C-SC_2$ (n = 3), $SC-C_0$ (n = 2), $SC-C_1$ (n = 1), and $SC-C_2$ (n = 1). 219 At the end of the study, 63 (82.0%) dogs were alive and 7 (9.2%) died because of MCT-220 unrelated causes, including one each of splenic hemangiosarcoma, heart failure, 221 brachycephalic airway obstructive syndrome, pancreatitis, pancreatic insulinoma, brain 222 neoplasia and degenerative myelopathy. Six (7.9%) dogs died because of MCT-related 223 causes after 139, 191, 219, 321, 349 and 422 days, respectively, due to visceral 224 metastasis. The median TSS could not be estimated. The GM of MCTs in the dogs dead 225 226 of tumor-related causes was as follows: $C-SC_2$ (n = 2), $SC-C_0$ (n = 2), $SC-C_1$ (n = 1), and 227 $SC-C_2$ (n = 2). The one-year survival rate was 100% for C-SC₀ and C-SC₁ MCTs, 95.2% for SC-C₀ 228

MCTs, 93.8% for SC-C₂ MCTs, 93.3% for SC-C₁ MCTs and 85.7% for C-SC₂ MCTs (Table
230 2).

231

232 Discussion

Histologic grading is currently validated exclusively for canine cMCTs, and divergent
opinions exist regarding the appropriateness of grading MCTs arising in other sites. In the
solely subcutaneous forms, grading might underestimate tumor's biologic behavior, while
for scMCTs with secondary dermal invasion, there is a lack of established guidelines, and
the decision to apply grading is left to the discretion of the pathologist.
In the present study we have tested the prognostic utility of the two-tier grading system in
canine MCTs with different histologic GMs. The prognostic impact of the GM itself was

also investigated.

The category of primarily cutaneous MCTs with deep subcutaneous infiltration (C-SC₂) displayed the highest proportion of high-grade tumors (33%) and overt metastasis to lymph nodes (33%); moreover, dogs within this group had a higher frequency of tumor progression (20%) and the lowest one-year survival rate (86%). The C-SC₂ GM has been previously acknowledged as a negative prognostic factor, as the replacement of subcutaneous and deep tissues is considered a feature of grade III tumors in the three-tier grading system.¹⁸

In contrast, the category of scMCTs with superficial dermal involvement (SC-C₂) had lower

249 proportions of high-grade tumors, HN3 lymph nodes, tumor progression and MCT-related

deaths. Based on these results, it may be worthwhile to differentiate between the C-SC₂

and SC-C₂ GMs, rather than generically describing concurrent cutaneous and

subcutaneous infiltration. Clinically, most of the tumors with these two GMs were identified
as cutaneous, appearing macroscopically indistinguishable. Therefore, histology frequently
plays a crucial role in discerning between them.

scMCTs may infiltrate the adjacent tissues, including a variable degree of dermal invasion. As a result, all MCTs in which the main bulk of the tumor is in the subcutis are likely to originate subcutaneously and SC-C₀₋₂ tumors clearly represent a continuum. By excluding cases with secondary dermal invasion from studies investigating the biological behavior of scMCTs, similar to what other authors have done in the past, we could potentially be omitting cases of advanced disease and/or locally aggressive forms, leading to an underestimation of the true biological behavior of these tumors.

Notably, even considering the category of solely scMCTs (SC-C₀), 6 (29%) cases had overt metastasis to lymph nodes and 2 (10%) tumor-related deaths were recorded. These tumors have been historically associated with a good prognosis, with low metastatic and recurrence rates despite incomplete surgical removal.¹⁷ More recently, several authors hypothesized that they may constitute a more aggressive disease than previously

reported.^{3,13,24} It has been suggested that application of the two-tier grading system might 267 lead to an underestimation of the true biologic behavior of scMCTs, due to lower mitotic 268 rate and different morphologic characteristics.⁵ However, no specific grading system is 269 currently available for canine scMCTs, which is a clear limitation in terms of their 270 prognostication and post-surgical treatment decisions. In the present study, both dogs that 271 died due to SC-C₀ MCT had high-grade tumors, suggesting that the two-tier system has 272 prognostic value for scMCT prognostication and a validation study should be conducted. 273 Indeed, in the current study, all dogs with disease progression had a high-grade tumor, 274 regardless of their GM. The application of a sole grading system could simplify the work of 275 276 pathologists, as they would no longer be required to rely on the tumor's location to predict 277 its behavior. This would eliminate a major source of subjectivity in histopathologic reporting, thereby offering clinicians more reproducible information to manage their 278 patients. 279

Furthermore, this may indirectly validate application of the Camus cytologic grading 280 system across all MCTs, as it mostly relies on the same parameters included in the two-281 tier grading system.² This would be of great practical utility, since determining the clinical 282 location of the tumor (cutaneous vs. subcutaneous) might not always be straightforward, 283 284 as corroborated by the findings of the current study. Together with grading, the presence of one or more lymph nodes with overt metastasis (HN3) lymph nodes has been confirmed 285 as a negative prognostic factor, although not necessarily associated with tumor-related 286 death in low-grade tumors.⁶ This finding further supports the previously demonstrated 287 different significance of nodal metastasis in terms of its impact on low-grade and high-288 grade tumors.⁶ 289

Similarly, we confirmed the limited prognostic relevance of early (HN2) nodal metastases,
 which did not result in disease progression in any of the cases, even without the
 administration of adjuvant treatments.¹²

Finally, significant differences were observed between cutaneous and subcutaneous 293 294 MCTs regarding the histologic completeness of surgical excision. The removal of tumors belonging to the subcutaneous categories more frequently resulted in clean but close or 295 incomplete margins (SC-C₀, 24%; SC-C₁, 27%; SC-C₂, 18%). This observation is in line 296 with previous studies, which have highlighted greater difficulties and less reproducibility in 297 surgical margin planning for scMCTs.^{15,20} Furthermore, in anatomic sites where 298 maintaining sufficient margins becomes challenging due to limited soft tissue available for 299 deep excision, the subcutaneous localization further reduces the chances of obtaining 300 adequate deep margins. These results could also be explained by the larger size of 301 302 scMTCs compared to cMCTs in this study. However, regardless of surgical margins, tumor 303 progression was only detected in high-grade MCTs. This is consistent with previous studies and provides increasing evidence of the importance of histologic grade in canine 304 305 MCTs.^{1,8}

This study has several limitations. First, the small number of events (i.e., tumor progression and tumor-related death) resulted in limited statistic power, despite a fairly large number of cases. Among the possible explanations, the radicality of the surgical approach likely contributed to this low progression rate, reaffirming its efficacy as a valid strategy in the treatment of these tumors.

A further limitation may be the inclusion of dogs receiving neoadjuvant chemotherapy. 311 Although no alterations of the histologic parameters included in the formulation of tumor 312 grade have been reported after neoadjuvant treatments,^{10,11} these therapies could alter the 313 actual GM of the tumor, potentially affecting the results of this study. However, the 314 exclusion of these cases might have resulted in the preferential selection of mostly low-315 malignancy tumors, limiting representation of the entire spectrum of MCTs in the study. 316 Third, tumors with primary muscular location were not included in the analysis, nor were 317 mucosal MCTs or MCTs located in mucocutaneous junctions. Consequently, it remains to 318

be determined whether the application of the two-tier histologic grading can be extended totumors in these particular locations.

Fourth, in advanced tumors with massive dermal and subcutaneous involvement, the

identification of the GM might not always be feasible. Therefore, the possibility of grading 322 tumors regardless of their GM becomes even more important in such circumstances. 323 Finally, it is worth considering that further variables related to the growth pattern (e.g. 324 circumscribed/expansile, infiltrative or combined) that have been shown to be prognostic in 325 previous studies could also influence tumor biologic behavior.²³ 326 In conclusion, regardless of the growth pattern, the two-tier histologic grading appears to 327 328 accurately identify canine MCTs with aggressive biologic behavior, including scMCTs with 329 or without dermal invasion, for which specific guidelines are currently lacking. Histologic grade was confirmed as the most significant prognostic factor, surpassing nodal 330 involvement and histologic margin status. cMCTs with deep subcutaneous infiltration may 331 exhibit a more aggressive biologic behavior when compared to MCTs with other GMs. 332 Recognizing this distinct pattern could hold prognostic significance. 333

334

321

335 Authors' contributions

SS and AB designed the study and performed the experiments; LM and EF enrolled cases
and contributed to the experimental design; SS, AB, RZ, and AR performed histologic
evaluations; SS performed the statistical analysis; the manuscript was written by SS and
AB with contribution from the other authors.

340

341 **References**

3	42 1	. Baker-Gabb M, Hunt GB, France MP. Soft tissue sarcomas and mast cell tumours in
3	43	dogs; clinical behaviour and response to surgery. Aust Vet J. 2003;81(12):732-8.
3	44 2	. Camus MS, Priest HL, Koehler JW, et al. Cytologic criteria for mast cell tumor grading
3	45	in dogs with evaluation of clinical outcome. Vet Pathol. 2016;53(6):1117-1123.
3	46 3	. Cherzan NL, Fryer K, Burke B, Farrelly J. Factors affecting prognosis in canine
3	47	subcutaneous mast cell tumors: 45 cases. Vet Surg. 2023;52(4):531-537.
3	48 4	. Ferrari R, Boracchi P, Chiti LE et al. Assessing the risk of nodal metastases in canine
3	49	integumentary mast cell tumors: Is sentinel lymph node biopsy always necessary?
3	50	Animals (Basel). 2021;11: 2373.
3	51 5	. Gill V, Leibman N, Monette S, Craft DM, Bergman PJ. Prognostic indicators and
3	52	clinical outcome in dogs with subcutaneous mast cell tumors treated with surgery
3	53	alone: 43 cases. J Am Anim Hosp Assoc. 2020;56(4):215-225.
3	54 6	. Guerra D, Faroni E, Sabattini S, et al. Histologic grade has a higher-weighted value
3	55	than nodal status as predictor of outcome in dogs with cutaneous mast cell tumours
3	56	and overtly metastatic sentinel lymph nodes. Vet Comp Oncol. 2022;20(3):551-558.
3	57 7	7. Itoh T, Kojimoto A, Uchida K, Chambers J, Shii H. Long-term postsurgical outcomes of
3	58	mast cell tumors resected with a margin proportional to the tumor diameter in 23 dogs.
3	59	J Vet Med Sci. 2021;83(2):230-233.
3	60 8	. Karbe GT, Davis E, Runge JJ, Brown DC, Holt DE. Evaluation of scar revision after
3	61	inadequate primary excision of cutaneous mast cell tumors in 85 dogs (2000-2013).
3	62	Vet Surg. 2021;50(4):807-815.
3	63 9	. Kiupel M, Webster JD, Bailey KL, et al. Proposal of a 2-tier histologic grading system
3	64	for canine cutaneous mast cell tumors to more accurately predict biological behavior.
3	65	Vet Pathol. 2011;48(1):147–155.

366	10. Klahn S, Dervisis N, Lahmers K, Benitez M. Evaluation of tumor grade and
367	proliferation indices before and after short-course anti-inflammatory prednisone
368	therapy in canine cutaneous mast cell tumors: a pilot study. Vet Sci. 2022;9(6):2.
369	11. Linde KJ, Stockdale SL, Mison MB, Perry JA. The effect of prednisone on histologic
370	and gross characteristics in canine mast cell tumors. Can Vet J. 2021;62(1):45-50.
371	12. Marconato L, Stefanello D, Kiupel M, et al. Adjuvant medical therapy provides no
372	therapeutic benefit in the treatment of dogs with low-grade mast cell tumours and early
373	nodal metastasis undergoing surgery. Vet Comp Oncol. 2020;18(3):409-415.
374	13. Marconato L, Stefanello D, Solari Basano F, et al. Subcutaneous mast cell tumors: a
375	prospective SIONCOV multi-institutional clinico-pathologic and prognostic study on 43
376	dogs. Vet Rec. 2023;193(1):e2991.
377	14. Meuten DJ, Moore FM, George JW. Mitotic count and the field of view area: time to
378	standardize. Vet Pathol. 2016;53(1):7-9.
379	15. Mickelson MA. Updated concepts in oncologic surgery: apocrine gland anal sac
380	adenocarcinoma and mast cell tumors. Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract.
381	2022;52(2):549-580.
382	16. Moore AS, Frimberger AE, Taylor D, Sullivan N. Retrospective outcome evaluation for
383	dogs with surgically excised, solitary Kiupel high-grade, cutaneous mast cell tumours.
384	Vet Comp Oncol. 2020;18(3):402-408.
385	17. Newman SJ, Mrkonjich L, Walker KK, Rohrbach BW. Canine subcutaneous mast cell
386	tumour: diagnosis and prognosis. J Comp Pathol. 2007;136:231–239.
387	18. Patnaik AK, Ehler WJ, MacEwen EG. Canine cutaneous mast cell tumor: morphologic
388	grading and survival time in 83 dogs. Vet Pathol. 1984;21:469–474.
389	19. Pratschke KM, Atherton MJ, Sillito JA, Lamm CG. Evaluation of a modified
390	proportional margins approach for surgical resection of mast cell tumors in dogs: 40
391	cases (2008-2012). <i>J Am Vet Med Assoc</i> . 2013;243(10):1436-1441. 16

- 20. Ranganathan B, Milovancev M, Mehrkens L, Townsend KL. Intersurgeon agreement in
 determining 3 cm surgical margins of subcutaneous tumors in dogs. *Vet Surg.* 2021;50(8):1573-1578.
- 21. Sabattini S, Faroni E, Renzi A, et al. Longitudinal lymph node step-sectioning for the
 identification of metastatic disease in canine mast cell tumor. *Vet Pathol.* 2022
 59(5):768-772.
- 398 22. Stefanello D, Buracco P, Sabattini S et al. Comparison of 2- and 3-category histologic
 399 grading systems for predicting the presence of metastasis at the time of initial
- evaluation in dogs with cutaneous mast cell tumors: 386 cases (2009-2014). J Am Vet
 Med Assoc. 246:765-769, 2015.
- 402 23. Thompson JJ, Pearl DL, Yager JA, Best SJ, Coomber BL, Foster RA. Canine
- subcutaneous mast cell tumor: Characterization and prognostic indices. *Vet Pathol.*2011;48(1):156-168.
- 405 24. Treggiari E, Valenti P, Porcellato I, Maresca G, Romanelli G. Retrospective analysis of
 406 outcome and prognostic factors of subcutaneous mast cell tumours in dogs
- 407 undergoing surgery with or without adjuvant treatment. *Vet Comp Oncol.* 2023. doi:
- 408 10.1111/vco.12902.
- 409 25. Weishaar KM, Thamm DH, Worley DR, Kamstock DA. Correlation of nodal mast cells
- 410 with clinical outcome in dogs with mast cell tumour and a proposed classification
- system for the evaluation of node metastasis. *J Comp Pathol*;151(4):329-38.
- 412

413 Figure legends

- **Figure 1.** Representative examples of canine mast cell tumor growth models: C-SC₀ (A),
- 415 C-SC₁ (B), C-SC₂ (C), SC-C₀ (D), SC-C₁ (E), SC-C₂ (F).