

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna  
Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

The 2-tier grading system identifies canine cutaneous and/or subcutaneous mast cell tumors with aggressive biological behavior regardless of growth model

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

*Published Version:*

Sabattini, S., Brocanelli, A., Zaccone, R., Faroni, E., Renzi, A., Ciammaichella, L., et al. (2024). The 2-tier grading system identifies canine cutaneous and/or subcutaneous mast cell tumors with aggressive biological behavior regardless of growth model. *VETERINARY PATHOLOGY*, 61(6), 874-881 [10.1177/03009858241240443].

*Availability:*

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/995464> since: 2024-11-02

*Published:*

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1177/03009858241240443>

*Terms of use:*

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).  
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

1 **Title page**

2

3 **The two-tier grading system identifies canine cutaneous and/or subcutaneous mast**  
4 **cell tumors with aggressive biological behavior regardless of growth model**

5 **Silvia Sabattini, Alessia Brocanelli, Riccardo Zaccone, Eugenio Faroni, Andrea**  
6 **Renzi, Luca Ciammaichella, Giuliano Bettini, Laura Marconato**

7 Department of Veterinary Medical Sciences, University of Bologna, Ozzano dell'Emilia,  
8 Bologna, Italy (SS, AB, RZ, EF, AR, LC, GB, LM)

9

10 Corresponding author:

11 **Silvia Sabattini**

12 Pathology Service

13 Department of Veterinary Medical Sciences, University of Bologna

14 Via Tolara di Sopra, 50

15 40064 Ozzano dell'Emilia

16 Bologna, Italy

17 Telephone number: +39 051 2097956

18 E-mail address: [silvia.sabattini@unibo.it](mailto:silvia.sabattini@unibo.it)

19 **Abstract**

20 Histologic grading of canine cutaneous mast cell tumors (cMCTs) has prognostic and  
21 therapeutic implications, yet validation for subcutaneous (sc) MCTs is lacking. For scMCTs  
22 with or without dermal invasion, determining their biologic behavior remains poorly  
23 standardized and sometimes sparks controversy.

24 This prospective study aims to assess the prognostic utility of the two-tier histologic  
25 grading system in MCTs with distinct growth models (GM) and explore the prognostic  
26 impact of the GM itself.

27 Dogs undergoing excision of a cMCT or scMCT and regional/sentinel lymphadenectomy  
28 were included. The two-tier grade was applied, and 6 histologic GM categories were  
29 defined: solely cMCT (C-SC0), cMCT with superficial (C-SC1) or deep subcutaneous (C-  
30 SC2) involvement, solely scMCT (SC-C0) and scMCT with deep (SC-C1) or superficial  
31 (SC-C2) infiltration of the dermis. Mitotic count, two-tier grade, nodal involvement, surgical  
32 margins, and outcome were stratified according to GM.

33 Ninety-one MCTs from 76 dogs were examined. GM classification identified 11 (12.1%) C-  
34 SC0 tumors, 12 (13.2%) C-SC1, 15 (16.5%) C-SC2, 21 (16.5%) SC-C0, 15 (16.5%) SC-  
35 C1, and 17 (18.7%) SC-C2.

36 The two-tier histologic grading enabled the identification of all MCTs with aggressive  
37 biologic behavior, regardless of their cutaneous or subcutaneous location. scMCTs lacking  
38 dermal invasion, historically associated with a benign clinical course, had a poor prognosis  
39 in 10% of cases.

40 cMCTs exhibiting deep subcutaneous involvement had the highest occurrence of high-  
41 grade tumors (33.3%;  $P=0.01$ ), overt nodal metastases (33.3%) and the lowest one-year  
42 survival rate (85.7%). Histologic grade was confirmed as a relevant prognostic factor,  
43 surpassing nodal involvement and histologic margin status.

44

45 **Keywords:** dog; grading; histology; lymphadenectomy; mast cell tumor; prognosis;  
46 subcutaneous

47

48 Mast cell tumors (MCTs) are the most common malignant skin neoplasms in dogs. The  
49 prognostication of canine MCTs is strictly dependent on their growth model (GM). For  
50 MCTs arising primarily in the dermis (cutaneous MCTs [cMCTs]) two histologic grading  
51 systems have been introduced: the Patnaik (three-tier) system, which considers both  
52 architectural and morphologic criteria, and the Kiupel (two-tier) system, which exclusively  
53 relies on cell morphology.<sup>9,18</sup> According to the three-tier system, cMCTs infiltrating the  
54 subcutaneous tissues exhibit a more aggressive behavior and a worse outcome compared  
55 with those confined to the dermis.<sup>18</sup>

56 A subset of canine MCTs is confined entirely within the subcutis, with limited or no  
57 involvement of the upper dermal layer (subcutaneous MCTs [scMCTs]). Until recently,  
58 these tumors had received limited attention and were either not graded or arbitrarily  
59 classified as Patnaik grade II due to their subcutaneous location. Several studies  
60 addressing this MCT variant reported extended survival times and low rates of local  
61 recurrence and metastasis.<sup>5,17,23</sup> However, recent reports have highlighted a small number  
62 of cases displaying aggressive biologic behavior.<sup>3,13,24</sup>

63 Histologically, several negative prognostic factors have been identified for canine scMCT,  
64 including mitotic count, infiltrative growth, and multinucleation.<sup>23</sup> However, no specific  
65 grading system has been proposed, and it remains uncertain which combination of  
66 negative histologic prognostic factors could be considered equivalent to high grade. In a  
67 recent study that applied the two-tier grading system to scMCTs, only one dog was  
68 diagnosed with a high-grade tumor, and in no case did the mitotic count reach the cutoff  
69 established for high-grade cMCTs.<sup>5</sup> These findings may support the hypothesis that

70 scMCTs as an entity are generally lower grade. Alternatively, this may suggest that the  
71 histologic prognostic factors identified for cMCTs may not be applicable to the  
72 subcutaneous variant.

73 Additionally, in a significant proportion of canine MCTs, the bulk of the tumor resides within  
74 the subcutis, but neoplastic cells extend upward to infiltrate the deep or intermediate  
75 dermis, or even reach the superficial dermis. From a clinical perspective, most of these  
76 tumors cannot be distinguished from primary cMCTs. This particular GM represents a gap  
77 that has not been previously addressed in the literature. As a result, the histologic  
78 approach to these tumors is quite confusing: many pathologists grade them as if they were  
79 cMCTs with deep subcutaneous invasion, while others recognize their subcutaneous origin  
80 and apply the prognostic criteria recommended for scMCTs.

81 If a single grading system could be applied to all canine MCTs, regardless of their primary  
82 location and extent of infiltration, this would ensure a reduced level of subjectivity in the  
83 histologic interpretation of their biologic behavior, ultimately resulting in more informed  
84 clinical management.

85 In this prospective study, the prognostic impact of MCT GM was assessed in a cohort of  
86 dogs undergoing primary tumor removal and regional or sentinel lymphadenectomy. For  
87 this purpose, tumors were separated into several histologic GM-defined categories,  
88 including solely dermal MCTs, solely scMCTs, primarily dermal MCTs extending into the  
89 subcutis, and primarily scMCTs extending into the dermis. The primary aim was to  
90 compare the biologic behavior of cMCTs with deep subcutaneous invasion with that of  
91 scMCT displaying dermal invasion, to assess whether these GMs merit distinction in  
92 histopathologic reports. Additional aims were to investigate if there were differences in the  
93 two-tier grade distribution based on tumor GM and if grading correlated with tumor biologic  
94 behavior across all categories.

95

96 **Materials and Methods**

97 *Study design and inclusion criteria*

98 Client-owned dogs with cutaneous or subcutaneous MCTs undergoing surgical excision of  
99 the primary tumor and regional or sentinel lymphadenectomy at the University Hospital of  
100 the Department of Veterinary Medical Sciences (University of Bologna, Italy) between  
101 January 2018 and December 2022 were eligible for inclusion.

102 Dogs with more than two concurrent MCTs, recurrent MCTs, or with mucosal or muscular  
103 MCTs were excluded; comorbidities limiting life expectancy to <6 months represented a  
104 further exclusion criterion.

105 Dogs with two concurrent or asynchronous primary MCTs were included if they underwent  
106 surgical excision of both tumors and removal of all regional/sentinel lymph nodes.

107 All dogs had to be staged negative for distant metastases prior to surgery through the  
108 completion of a series of diagnostic procedures, including 3-view thoracic radiographs,  
109 abdominal ultrasound, and fine-needle aspiration of the liver and spleen.

110 MCTs were excised according to recent recommendations, with lateral surgical margins  
111 proportional to the widest tumor diameter, and deep margins including at least one fascial  
112 plane.<sup>7,19</sup>

113 The obtained surgical samples were subjected to histologic evaluation. In the presence of  
114 high-grade tumors<sup>9</sup> and/or overt nodal metastasis,<sup>25</sup> adjuvant vinblastine treatment was  
115 recommended, in accordance with prior literature.<sup>12</sup> The remaining dogs were monitored.

116 Dogs were withdrawn from the study if they were lost to follow-up within 120 days of  
117 surgery.

118

119 *Histopathologic examination*

120 Samples were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin, processed, and embedded in  
121 paraffin using a standardized protocol. Four- $\mu$ m-thick histologic sections of the primary  
122 tumor, stained with hematoxylin and eosin, were microscopically examined for the  
123 assessment of tumor GM, mitotic count (MC), histologic grade according to the two-tier  
124 system<sup>9</sup> and surgical margins.

125 The histologic GM was assessed as detailed in Table 1. Six categories were defined:  
126 solely dermal MCT (C-SC<sub>0</sub>), dermal MCT with superficial or deep subcutaneous  
127 involvement (C-SC<sub>1</sub> and C-SC<sub>2</sub>, respectively), solely subcutaneous MCT (SC-C<sub>0</sub>) and  
128 subcutaneous MCT with deep or superficial infiltration of the overlying dermis (SC-C<sub>1</sub> and  
129 SC-C<sub>2</sub>, respectively). MC was expressed as the total number of mitotic figures in a 2.37  
130 mm<sup>2</sup> area and assessed in the areas of highest mitotic activity.<sup>14</sup> The two-tier histologic  
131 grading system was applied on all tumors, regardless of the GM. Surgical margins were  
132 inked by the pathologist, assessed histologically with combined radial and tangential  
133 sections and defined as complete, clean but close (tumor cells at 1-3 mm from the surgical  
134 margins) or incomplete.

135 Regional lymph nodes were processed as previously described and stained with toluidine  
136 blue for the histologic node status evaluation according to Weishaar *et al.*<sup>21,24</sup>

137 All histologic evaluations were performed by a board-certified veterinary pathologist (SS).

138

### 139 *Statistical analysis*

140 Descriptive statistics were used in the analysis of dogs and tumor characteristics. When  
141 appropriate, data sets were tested for normality by use of the D'Agostino and Pearson  
142 omnibus test. None of the numeric variables had a normal distribution and, therefore, the  
143 median and range are used as summary statistics.

144 Information recorded for all dogs included signalment, tumor anatomic location, largest  
145 diameter, clinical site (cutaneous or subcutaneous), ulceration, substage, GM, MC, two-tier  
146 grade, surgical margins, extent of nodal involvement, date of tumor progression (if any),  
147 date of death and cause of death (if any).

148 Time to progression (TTP) was calculated from the date of surgery to the first occurrence  
149 of one or more of the following: local recurrence, nodal metastasis and distant spread.

150 Dogs with no recurrence or disease progression at the date of the last visit or death were  
151 censored.

152 Tumor-specific survival (TSS) was calculated from the date of surgery to the date of death  
153 or to the date of the last visit if death did not occur. Only dogs deceased due to MCT-  
154 related causes were considered as events.

155 Survival curves for each GM-defined group were obtained with the Kaplan-Meier method  
156 and compared with the log-rank test. One-year survival rates were also calculated for each  
157 group.

158 Data were analyzed by use of commercial software programs (SPSS Statistics v. 26, IBM,  
159 Somers, NY). P values  $\leq 0.05$  were considered statistically significant.

160

## 161 **Results**

### 162 *Dogs' and tumors' characteristics*

163 Ninety-one MCTs obtained from 76 dogs were included. There were 19 (25.0%) mixed-  
164 breed dogs and 57 (75.0%) purebred dogs; among these, the most represented breeds  
165 were Labrador retriever (n = 10; 17.5%), French bulldog (n = 8; 14%), boxer (n = 7; 12.3%)  
166 and golden retriever (n = 6; 10.5%). There were 39 females (51.3%), of which 28 spayed,  
167 and 37 (48.7%) males, of which 8 neutered. The median age at presentation was 8 years  
168 (range, 3.5-15.0), and median body weight was 26.5 kg (range, 5.4-58.7).



169 The tumors were located on trunk and tail (n = 30; 33.0%), limbs (n = 28; 30.8%), head  
170 and neck (n = 14; 15.4%), inguinal/perineal area (n = 10; 10.9%), mammary region (n = 7;  
171 7.7%), and digital region (n = 2; 2.2%). From a clinical perspective, 53 (58.2%) tumors  
172 were cutaneous and 38 (41.8%) were subcutaneous. The median tumor diameter was 1.5  
173 cm (range, 0.2-16.0 cm). Four (5.3%) dogs were symptomatic (substage b).

174

#### 175 *Treatment*

176 Seven (9.2%) dogs received neoadjuvant chemotherapy, consisting of vinblastine  
177 administered intravenously (IV) every two weeks at the dose of 3 mg/m<sup>2</sup> for dogs weighing  
178 ≥ 20 kg and 2.5 mg/m<sup>2</sup> for those weighing < 20 kg. Dogs also received daily oral  
179 prednisolone (1 mg/kg), oral cetirizine (1 mg/kg) twice daily and oral famotidine (2 mg/kg)  
180 twice daily.

181 All dogs underwent surgical excision of their MCTs and lymphadenectomy. A total of 169  
182 regional and 19 sentinel lymph nodes were removed, with a median of 2 lymph nodes for  
183 each dog (range, 1-6).

184 Based on the presence of a high-grade tumor and/or HN3 lymph node, 19 (20.9%) dogs  
185 received adjuvant chemotherapy, consisting of vinblastine administered as previously  
186 described up to a total of 8 doses.

187

#### 188 *Histologic analysis*

189 Eighty-one (89.0%) tumors were low grade and 10 (11.0%) were high grade. The median  
190 mitotic count was 0 (range, 0-14). Surgical margins were histologically complete in 76  
191 (83.5%) MCTs, clean but close in 12 (13.2%) cases and incomplete in 3 (3.3%) cases.

192 Forty-three (47.3%) dogs had at least one HN2 lymph node and 16 (17.6%) had at least  
193 one HN3 lymph node.

194 According to the histologic GM, 11 tumors (12.1%) were classified as C-SC<sub>0</sub>, 12 (13.2%)  
195 as C-SC<sub>1</sub>, 15 (16.5%) as C-SC<sub>2</sub>, 21 (23.0%) as SC-C<sub>0</sub>, 15 (16.5%) as SC-C<sub>1</sub>, and 17  
196 (18.7%) as SC-C<sub>2</sub> (Figure 1).

197 The main characteristics for each GM-defined group are listed in Table 2. Tumors treated  
198 with neoadjuvant chemotherapy were distributed as follows: SC-C<sub>2</sub>: n = 3; SC-C<sub>0</sub>: n = 2; C-  
199 SC<sub>2</sub>: n = 2. High grade MCTs included 5 C-SC<sub>2</sub>, 2 SC-C<sub>0</sub>, 2 SC-C<sub>2</sub> and 1 SC-C<sub>1</sub>. Tumors  
200 with at least one HN3 lymph node included 6 SC-C<sub>0</sub>, 5 C-SC<sub>2</sub>, 3 SC-C<sub>2</sub>, 2 C-SC<sub>1</sub> and 1  
201 SC-C<sub>1</sub>.

202 When specifically considering tumors with a massive involvement of both cutis and  
203 subcutis, C-SC<sub>2</sub> MCTs had a median diameter of 1.4 cm (range, 0.5-7.0) and had been  
204 described clinically as a cutaneous nodule in 14 (93.3%) cases and as a subcutaneous  
205 nodule in 1 (6.7%) case. Two (13.3%) tumors were ulcerated. SC-C<sub>2</sub> MCTs had a median  
206 diameter of 2.0 cm (range, 0.5-6.0) and had been described clinically as a cutaneous  
207 nodule in 13 (76.5%) cases and as a subcutaneous nodule in 4 (23.5%) cases. Two  
208 (11.8%) were ulcerated (Table 2).

209 The highest percentage of high-grade tumors and HN3 lymph nodes (n=5; 33.3) was  
210 found in the C-SC<sub>2</sub> category.

211

### 212 *Outcome and prognostic factors*

213 The median follow-up time was 681 days (range, 217-2054).

214 Seven (9.2%) dogs experienced disease progression after a median of 230 days (range,  
215 49-666), consisting of visceral metastasis (n = 3), nodal metastasis (n = 3) and local  
216 recurrence with nodal metastasis (n =1). All progressions were confirmed by means of

217 cytologic evaluation. The median TTP could not be assessed since the estimated survival  
218 curve did not fall below 0.5. The GM of tumors associated with disease progression was  
219 as follows: C-SC<sub>2</sub> (n = 3), SC-C<sub>0</sub> (n = 2), SC-C<sub>1</sub> (n = 1), and SC-C<sub>2</sub> (n = 1).

220 At the end of the study, 63 (82.0%) dogs were alive and 7 (9.2%) died because of MCT-  
221 unrelated causes, including one each of splenic hemangiosarcoma, heart failure,  
222 brachycephalic airway obstructive syndrome, pancreatitis, pancreatic insulinoma, brain  
223 neoplasia and degenerative myelopathy. Six (7.9%) dogs died because of MCT-related  
224 causes after 139, 191, 219, 321, 349 and 422 days, respectively, due to visceral  
225 metastasis. The median TSS could not be estimated. The GM of MCTs in the dogs dead  
226 of tumor-related causes was as follows: C-SC<sub>2</sub> (n = 2), SC-C<sub>0</sub> (n = 2), SC-C<sub>1</sub> (n = 1), and  
227 SC-C<sub>2</sub> (n = 2).

228 The one-year survival rate was 100% for C-SC<sub>0</sub> and C-SC<sub>1</sub> MCTs, 95.2% for SC-C<sub>0</sub>  
229 MCTs, 93.8% for SC-C<sub>2</sub> MCTs, 93.3% for SC-C<sub>1</sub> MCTs and 85.7% for C-SC<sub>2</sub> MCTs (Table  
230 2).

231

## 232 **Discussion**

233 Histologic grading is currently validated exclusively for canine cMCTs, and divergent  
234 opinions exist regarding the appropriateness of grading MCTs arising in other sites. In the  
235 solely subcutaneous forms, grading might underestimate tumor's biologic behavior, while  
236 for scMCTs with secondary dermal invasion, there is a lack of established guidelines, and  
237 the decision to apply grading is left to the discretion of the pathologist.

238 In the present study we have tested the prognostic utility of the two-tier grading system in  
239 canine MCTs with different histologic GMs. The prognostic impact of the GM itself was  
240 also investigated.

241 The category of primarily cutaneous MCTs with deep subcutaneous infiltration (C-SC<sub>2</sub>)  
242 displayed the highest proportion of high-grade tumors (33%) and overt metastasis to  
243 lymph nodes (33%); moreover, dogs within this group had a higher frequency of tumor  
244 progression (20%) and the lowest one-year survival rate (86%). The C-SC<sub>2</sub> GM has been  
245 previously acknowledged as a negative prognostic factor, as the replacement of  
246 subcutaneous and deep tissues is considered a feature of grade III tumors in the three-tier  
247 grading system.<sup>18</sup>

248 In contrast, the category of scMCTs with superficial dermal involvement (SC-C<sub>2</sub>) had lower  
249 proportions of high-grade tumors, HN3 lymph nodes, tumor progression and MCT-related  
250 deaths. Based on these results, it may be worthwhile to differentiate between the C-SC<sub>2</sub>  
251 and SC-C<sub>2</sub> GMs, rather than generically describing concurrent cutaneous and  
252 subcutaneous infiltration. Clinically, most of the tumors with these two GMs were identified  
253 as cutaneous, appearing macroscopically indistinguishable. Therefore, histology frequently  
254 plays a crucial role in discerning between them.

255 scMCTs may infiltrate the adjacent tissues, including a variable degree of dermal invasion.  
256 As a result, all MCTs in which the main bulk of the tumor is in the subcutis are likely to  
257 originate subcutaneously and SC-C<sub>0-2</sub> tumors clearly represent a continuum. By excluding  
258 cases with secondary dermal invasion from studies investigating the biological behavior of  
259 scMCTs, similar to what other authors have done in the past, we could potentially be  
260 omitting cases of advanced disease and/or locally aggressive forms, leading to an  
261 underestimation of the true biological behavior of these tumors.

262 Notably, even considering the category of solely scMCTs (SC-C<sub>0</sub>), 6 (29%) cases had  
263 overt metastasis to lymph nodes and 2 (10%) tumor-related deaths were recorded. These  
264 tumors have been historically associated with a good prognosis, with low metastatic and  
265 recurrence rates despite incomplete surgical removal.<sup>17</sup> More recently, several authors  
266 hypothesized that they may constitute a more aggressive disease than previously

267 reported.<sup>3,13,24</sup> It has been suggested that application of the two-tier grading system might  
268 lead to an underestimation of the true biologic behavior of scMCTs, due to lower mitotic  
269 rate and different morphologic characteristics.<sup>5</sup> However, no specific grading system is  
270 currently available for canine scMCTs, which is a clear limitation in terms of their  
271 prognostication and post-surgical treatment decisions. In the present study, both dogs that  
272 died due to SC-C<sub>0</sub> MCT had high-grade tumors, suggesting that the two-tier system has  
273 prognostic value for scMCT prognostication and a validation study should be conducted.  
274 Indeed, in the current study, all dogs with disease progression had a high-grade tumor,  
275 regardless of their GM. The application of a sole grading system could simplify the work of  
276 pathologists, as they would no longer be required to rely on the tumor's location to predict  
277 its behavior. This would eliminate a major source of subjectivity in histopathologic  
278 reporting, thereby offering clinicians more reproducible information to manage their  
279 patients.

280 Furthermore, this may indirectly validate application of the Camus cytologic grading  
281 system across all MCTs, as it mostly relies on the same parameters included in the two-  
282 tier grading system.<sup>2</sup> This would be of great practical utility, since determining the clinical  
283 location of the tumor (cutaneous vs. subcutaneous) might not always be straightforward,  
284 as corroborated by the findings of the current study. Together with grading, the presence  
285 of one or more lymph nodes with overt metastasis (HN3) lymph nodes has been confirmed  
286 as a negative prognostic factor, although not necessarily associated with tumor-related  
287 death in low-grade tumors.<sup>6</sup> This finding further supports the previously demonstrated  
288 different significance of nodal metastasis in terms of its impact on low-grade and high-  
289 grade tumors.<sup>6</sup>

290 Similarly, we confirmed the limited prognostic relevance of early (HN2) nodal metastases,  
291 which did not result in disease progression in any of the cases, even without the  
292 administration of adjuvant treatments.<sup>12</sup>

293 Finally, significant differences were observed between cutaneous and subcutaneous  
294 MCTs regarding the histologic completeness of surgical excision. The removal of tumors  
295 belonging to the subcutaneous categories more frequently resulted in clean but close or  
296 incomplete margins (SC-C<sub>0</sub>, 24%; SC-C<sub>1</sub>, 27%; SC-C<sub>2</sub>, 18%). This observation is in line  
297 with previous studies, which have highlighted greater difficulties and less reproducibility in  
298 surgical margin planning for scMCTs.<sup>15,20</sup> Furthermore, in anatomic sites where  
299 maintaining sufficient margins becomes challenging due to limited soft tissue available for  
300 deep excision, the subcutaneous localization further reduces the chances of obtaining  
301 adequate deep margins. These results could also be explained by the larger size of  
302 scMCTs compared to cMCTs in this study. However, regardless of surgical margins, tumor  
303 progression was only detected in high-grade MCTs. This is consistent with previous  
304 studies and provides increasing evidence of the importance of histologic grade in canine  
305 MCTs.<sup>1,8</sup>

306 This study has several limitations. First, the small number of events (i.e., tumor  
307 progression and tumor-related death) resulted in limited statistic power, despite a fairly  
308 large number of cases. Among the possible explanations, the radicality of the surgical  
309 approach likely contributed to this low progression rate, reaffirming its efficacy as a valid  
310 strategy in the treatment of these tumors.

311 A further limitation may be the inclusion of dogs receiving neoadjuvant chemotherapy.  
312 Although no alterations of the histologic parameters included in the formulation of tumor  
313 grade have been reported after neoadjuvant treatments,<sup>10,11</sup> these therapies could alter the  
314 actual GM of the tumor, potentially affecting the results of this study. However, the  
315 exclusion of these cases might have resulted in the preferential selection of mostly low-  
316 malignancy tumors, limiting representation of the entire spectrum of MCTs in the study.  
317 Third, tumors with primary muscular location were not included in the analysis, nor were  
318 mucosal MCTs or MCTs located in mucocutaneous junctions. Consequently, it remains to

319 be determined whether the application of the two-tier histologic grading can be extended to  
320 tumors in these particular locations.

321 Fourth, in advanced tumors with massive dermal and subcutaneous involvement, the  
322 identification of the GM might not always be feasible. Therefore, the possibility of grading  
323 tumors regardless of their GM becomes even more important in such circumstances.

324 Finally, it is worth considering that further variables related to the growth pattern (e.g.  
325 circumscribed/expansile, infiltrative or combined) that have been shown to be prognostic in  
326 previous studies could also influence tumor biologic behavior.<sup>23</sup>

327 In conclusion, regardless of the growth pattern, the two-tier histologic grading appears to  
328 accurately identify canine MCTs with aggressive biologic behavior, including scMCTs with  
329 or without dermal invasion, for which specific guidelines are currently lacking. Histologic  
330 grade was confirmed as the most significant prognostic factor, surpassing nodal  
331 involvement and histologic margin status. cMCTs with deep subcutaneous infiltration may  
332 exhibit a more aggressive biologic behavior when compared to MCTs with other GMs.  
333 Recognizing this distinct pattern could hold prognostic significance.

334

### 335 **Authors' contributions**

336 SS and AB designed the study and performed the experiments; LM and EF enrolled cases  
337 and contributed to the experimental design; SS, AB, RZ, and AR performed histologic  
338 evaluations; SS performed the statistical analysis; the manuscript was written by SS and  
339 AB with contribution from the other authors.

340

### 341 **References**

- 342 1. Baker-Gabb M, Hunt GB, France MP. Soft tissue sarcomas and mast cell tumours in  
343 dogs; clinical behaviour and response to surgery. *Aust Vet J.* 2003;81(12):732-8.
- 344 2. Camus MS, Priest HL, Koehler JW, et al. Cytologic criteria for mast cell tumor grading  
345 in dogs with evaluation of clinical outcome. *Vet Pathol.* 2016;53(6):1117-1123.
- 346 3. Cherzan NL, Fryer K, Burke B, Farrelly J. Factors affecting prognosis in canine  
347 subcutaneous mast cell tumors: 45 cases. *Vet Surg.* 2023;52(4):531-537.
- 348 4. Ferrari R, Boracchi P, Chiti LE et al. Assessing the risk of nodal metastases in canine  
349 integumentary mast cell tumors: Is sentinel lymph node biopsy always necessary?  
350 *Animals (Basel).* 2021;11: 2373.
- 351 5. Gill V, Leibman N, Monette S, Craft DM, Bergman PJ. Prognostic indicators and  
352 clinical outcome in dogs with subcutaneous mast cell tumors treated with surgery  
353 alone: 43 cases. *J Am Anim Hosp Assoc.* 2020;56(4):215-225.
- 354 6. Guerra D, Faroni E, Sabbatini S, et al. Histologic grade has a higher-weighted value  
355 than nodal status as predictor of outcome in dogs with cutaneous mast cell tumours  
356 and overtly metastatic sentinel lymph nodes. *Vet Comp Oncol.* 2022;20(3):551-558.
- 357 7. Itoh T, Kojimoto A, Uchida K, Chambers J, Shii H. Long-term postsurgical outcomes of  
358 mast cell tumors resected with a margin proportional to the tumor diameter in 23 dogs.  
359 *J Vet Med Sci.* 2021;83(2):230-233.
- 360 8. Karbe GT, Davis E, Runge JJ, Brown DC, Holt DE. Evaluation of scar revision after  
361 inadequate primary excision of cutaneous mast cell tumors in 85 dogs (2000-2013).  
362 *Vet Surg.* 2021;50(4):807-815.
- 363 9. Kiupel M, Webster JD, Bailey KL, et al. Proposal of a 2-tier histologic grading system  
364 for canine cutaneous mast cell tumors to more accurately predict biological behavior.  
365 *Vet Pathol.* 2011;48(1):147–155.



- 366 10. Klahn S, Dervisis N, Lahmers K, Benitez M. Evaluation of tumor grade and  
367 proliferation indices before and after short-course anti-inflammatory prednisone  
368 therapy in canine cutaneous mast cell tumors: a pilot study. *Vet Sci.* 2022;9(6):2.
- 369 11. Linde KJ, Stockdale SL, Mison MB, Perry JA. The effect of prednisone on histologic  
370 and gross characteristics in canine mast cell tumors. *Can Vet J.* 2021;62(1):45-50.
- 371 12. Marconato L, Stefanello D, Kiupel M, et al. Adjuvant medical therapy provides no  
372 therapeutic benefit in the treatment of dogs with low-grade mast cell tumours and early  
373 nodal metastasis undergoing surgery. *Vet Comp Oncol.* 2020;18(3):409-415.
- 374 13. Marconato L, Stefanello D, Solari Basano F, et al. Subcutaneous mast cell tumors: a  
375 prospective SIONCOV multi-institutional clinico-pathologic and prognostic study on 43  
376 dogs. *Vet Rec.* 2023;193(1):e2991.
- 377 14. Meuten DJ, Moore FM, George JW. Mitotic count and the field of view area: time to  
378 standardize. *Vet Pathol.* 2016;53(1):7-9.
- 379 15. Mickelson MA. Updated concepts in oncologic surgery: apocrine gland anal sac  
380 adenocarcinoma and mast cell tumors. *Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract.*  
381 2022;52(2):549-580.
- 382 16. Moore AS, Frimberger AE, Taylor D, Sullivan N. Retrospective outcome evaluation for  
383 dogs with surgically excised, solitary Kiupel high-grade, cutaneous mast cell tumours.  
384 *Vet Comp Oncol.* 2020;18(3):402-408.
- 385 17. Newman SJ, Mrkonjich L, Walker KK, Rohrbach BW. Canine subcutaneous mast cell  
386 tumour: diagnosis and prognosis. *J Comp Pathol.* 2007;136:231–239.
- 387 18. Patnaik AK, Ehler WJ, MacEwen EG. Canine cutaneous mast cell tumor: morphologic  
388 grading and survival time in 83 dogs. *Vet Pathol.* 1984;21:469–474.
- 389 19. Pratschke KM, Atherton MJ, Sillito JA, Lamm CG. Evaluation of a modified  
390 proportional margins approach for surgical resection of mast cell tumors in dogs: 40  
391 cases (2008-2012). *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2013;243(10):1436-1441.

- 392 20. Ranganathan B, Milovancev M, Mehrkens L, Townsend KL. Intersurgeon agreement in  
393 determining 3 cm surgical margins of subcutaneous tumors in dogs. *Vet Surg.*  
394 2021;50(8):1573-1578.
- 395 21. Sabattini S, Faroni E, Renzi A, et al. Longitudinal lymph node step-sectioning for the  
396 identification of metastatic disease in canine mast cell tumor. *Vet Pathol.* 2022  
397 59(5):768-772.
- 398 22. Stefanello D, Buracco P, Sabattini S et al. Comparison of 2- and 3-category histologic  
399 grading systems for predicting the presence of metastasis at the time of initial  
400 evaluation in dogs with cutaneous mast cell tumors: 386 cases (2009-2014). *J Am Vet*  
401 *Med Assoc.* 246:765-769, 2015.
- 402 23. Thompson JJ, Pearl DL, Yager JA, Best SJ, Coomber BL, Foster RA. Canine  
403 subcutaneous mast cell tumor: Characterization and prognostic indices. *Vet Pathol.*  
404 2011;48(1):156-168.
- 405 24. Treggiari E, Valenti P, Porcellato I, Maresca G, Romanelli G. Retrospective analysis of  
406 outcome and prognostic factors of subcutaneous mast cell tumours in dogs  
407 undergoing surgery with or without adjuvant treatment. *Vet Comp Oncol.* 2023. doi:  
408 10.1111/vco.12902.
- 409 25. Weishaar KM, Thamm DH, Worley DR, Kamstock DA. Correlation of nodal mast cells  
410 with clinical outcome in dogs with mast cell tumour and a proposed classification  
411 system for the evaluation of node metastasis. *J Comp Pathol*;151(4):329-38.

412

### 413 **Figure legends**

414 **Figure 1.** Representative examples of canine mast cell tumor growth models: C-SC<sub>0</sub> (A),  
415 C-SC<sub>1</sub> (B), C-SC<sub>2</sub> (C), SC-C<sub>0</sub> (D), SC-C<sub>1</sub> (E), SC-C<sub>2</sub> (F).