ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE
DELLA RICERCA

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna
Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Reconstruction of a convolution kernel in an integrodifferential problem with a fractional time derivative

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:
Guidetti, D. (2024). Reconstruction of a convolution kernel in an integrodifferential problem with a fractional time derivative. DISCRETE AND CONTINUOUS DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS. SERIES S, 17(5-6), 1686-1717 [10.3934/dcdss.2022140].

Availability:
This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/981149 since: 2024-09-05

Published:
DOI: http://doi.org/10.3934/dcdss.2022140

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/).
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

09 October 2026

Reconstuction of a convolution kernel in an integrodifferential problem with a fractional time derivative

Davide Guidetti*

Dipartimento di Matematica,
Università di Bologna
Piazza di Porta S. Donato 5,
40126 Bologna, Italy.
E-mail: davide.guidetti@unibo.it

1 Introduction

se1

Problem:

$$\begin{cases} D_t^\alpha u(t) = Au(t) + \int_0^t k(t-s)Bu(s)ds + F(t), \quad t \in [0,T], \\ u(0) = u_0, \\ \Phi(u(t)) = g(t), \quad t \in [0,T]. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

eq1.1

Unknowns: u, k.

Basic assumptions:

(A1) X is a complex Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$, $\alpha \in (0,2)$, $D_t^\alpha u$ is the Caputo derivative of u with respect to t.

(A2) $A : D(A) \to X$ is a linear operator; there exist, M, $R \in \mathbb{R}^+$, such that $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| \geq R, |Arg(\lambda)| \leq \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\} \subseteq \rho(A)$, and, for λ in this set,

$$\|(\lambda - A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq M|\lambda|^{-1},$$

$B \in \mathcal{L}(D(A), X)$.

(A3) $\Phi \in X'$.

Notation: if $\theta \in (0,2)$,

$$D_\phi(A) = \begin{cases} (X, D(A))_{\phi,\infty} & \text{if} \quad \phi \in (0,1), \\ D(A) & \text{if} \quad \phi = 1, \\ \{x \in D(A) : Ax \in (X, D(A))_{\phi-1,\infty} & \text{if} \quad \phi \in (1,2). \end{cases}$$

The following characterization of $D_\theta(A)$ $(0 < \theta < 1)$ holds (see ....)

*The author is member of GNAMPA of Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that S satisfies the condition (A2). Let $\theta \in (0,1)$. Then*

$$D_\theta(A) = \{x \in X : \sup_{\xi \geq R} \xi^\theta \|A(\xi - A)^{-1}x\| < \infty\}.$$

An equivalent norm in $D_\theta(A)$ is

$$\|x\|_\theta := \sup\{\|x\| + \xi^\theta \|A(\xi - A)^{-1}x\| : \xi \geq R\} = \sup\{\|x\| + \xi^\theta \|A(\xi - A)^{-1}x\| : \xi \geq R, \xi \in \mathbb{Q}\}.$$

Lemma 1.2. *Let (Ω, μ) a measure space and let $f : \Omega \to X$ be measurable. Then*
(I) the function $t \to \|f(t)\|_\theta$ is measurable ($\|f(t)\|_\theta = \infty$ if $f(t) \notin D_\theta(A)$);
(II) if $\int_\Omega \|f(t)\|_\theta d\mu < \infty$, $\int_\Omega f(t)d\mu \in D_\theta(A)$ and

$$\|\int_\Omega f(t)d\mu\|_\theta \leq \int_\Omega \|f(t)\|_\theta d\mu$$

Proof. (I) It follows from $\|f(t)\|_\theta = \sup_{\xi \geq R, \xi \in \mathbb{Q}} g_\xi(t)$, with

$$g_\xi(t) = \|f(t)\| + \xi^\theta \|A(\xi - A)^{-1}f(t)\|.$$

(II) If $\xi \geq R, \xi \in \mathbb{Q}$,

$$\|\int_\Omega f(t)d\mu\| + \xi^\theta \|A(\xi - A)^{-1}\int_\Omega f(t)d\mu\| \leq \int_\Omega g_\xi(t)d\mu \leq \int_\Omega \|f(t)\|_\theta d\mu.$$

Taking the supremum in ξ, we obtain the assertion.

□

We shall employ the following

th1.1 **Theorem 1.3.** *Let $\alpha \in (0,2)$. Consider system*

$$\begin{cases} D_t^\alpha v(t) = Av(t) + f(t), \quad t \in [0,T], \\ \\ v^{(k)}(0) = v_k, \quad k < \alpha, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

eq1.2

supposing that (A1)-(A2) hold; then:

*(I) (**??**) has, at most, one solution, for every $f \in C([0,T];X)$, $u_0 \in D(A)$, $u_1 \in X$ in case $\alpha > 1$ (solution means $D_t^\alpha v \in C([0,T];X)$, $v \in C([0,T];D(A))$).*

*(II) Let $\theta \in (0,1)$, $\alpha\theta \neq 1$. Then necessary and sufficient conditions implyng that (**??**) has a strict solution v such that $D_t^\alpha v$ and Av are bounded with values in $D_\theta(A))$ are :*

$$u_k \in D_{1+\theta-\frac{k}{\alpha}}(A)(k < \alpha), \quad f \in C([0,T];X) \cap B([0,T];D_\theta(A)).$$

(III) If $T_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$, there exists $C(T_0) \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that, if $0 < T \leq T_0$,

$$\|D_t^\alpha v\|_{B([0,T];D_\theta(A))} + \|v\|_{B([0,T];D_{1+\theta}(A))} \leq C(T_0)(\sum_{k<\alpha} \|v_k\|_{D_{1+\theta-\frac{k}{\alpha}}(A)} + \|f\|_{B([0,T];D_\theta(A))}).$$

Proof. Concerning (I)-(II), see ... . We show (III). We set $F : [0,T_0] \to D_\theta(A))$, $F(t) = f(t)$ if $0 \leq t \leq T$, $F(t) = f(t_0)$ if $T \leq t \leq T_0$. Let V be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} D_t^\alpha V(t) = AV(t) + F(t), \quad t \in [0,T_0], \\ \\ V^{(k)}(0) = v_k, \quad k < \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Then $v = V_{|[0,T]}$, so that

$$\|D_t^\alpha v\|_{B([0,T];D_\theta(A))} + \|v\|_{B([0,T];D_\theta(A))}$$

$$\leq \|D_t^\alpha V\|_{B([0,T];D_\theta(A))} + \|V\|_{B([0,T];D_\theta(A))}$$

$$\leq C(T_0)(\textstyle\sum_{k<\alpha} \|v_k\|_{D_{1+\theta-\frac{k}{\alpha}}(A)} + \|F\|_{B([0,T_0];D_\theta(A))})$$

$$= C(T_0)(\textstyle\sum_{k<\alpha} \|v_k\|_{D_{1+\theta-\frac{k}{\alpha}}(A)} + \|f\|_{B([0,T];D_\theta(A))}).$$

Moreover, by ...

$$\|v\|_{C^\alpha([0,T];D_\theta(A))} \leq C(\alpha)\|D^\alpha v\|_{B([0,T];D_\theta(A))},$$

and $D(A) \in J_{1-\theta}(D_\theta(A), D_{1+\theta}(A))$, so that, if $0 \leq s < t \leq T$,

$$\|v(t) - v(s)\|_{D(A)} \leq C\|v(t) - v(s)\|^\theta_{D_\theta(A)}\|v(t) - v(s)\|^{1-\theta}_{D_{1+\theta}(A)}$$

$$\leq C_1(T_0)(t-s)^{\alpha\theta}(\textstyle\sum_{k<\alpha} \|v_k\|_{D_{1+\theta-\frac{k}{\alpha}}(A)} + \|f\|_{B([0,T];D_\theta(A))}).$$

□

v can be represented in the form

$$v(t) = \sum_{k<\alpha} S_k(t)v_k + \int_0^t T(t-s)f(s)ds, \tag{1.3}$$ eq1.3

with

$$S_k(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma e^{\lambda t}\lambda^{\alpha-1-k}(\lambda^\alpha - A)^{-1}d\lambda,$$

$$T(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma e^{\lambda t}(\lambda^\alpha - A)^{-1}d\lambda,$$

and Γ describing the boundary of

$$\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| \geq R^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, |Arg(\lambda)| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} + \epsilon\},$$

with ϵ positive suitably small, oriented from $\infty e^{-i(\frac{\pi}{2}+\epsilon)}$ to $\infty e^{i(\frac{\pi}{2}+\epsilon)}$

le1.2 **Lemma 1.4.** *Suppose that (A1)-(A2) hold. Let $f_0 \in D_{\theta'}(A)$, with $\theta < \theta'$ and let*

$$z(t) = \int_0^t T(t-s)f(s)ds.$$

Then $Av \in C^1((0,T];X)$ and $\|(Av)'(t)\|_{D_\theta(A)} \leq Ct^{\alpha(\theta'-\theta)-1}$.

Proof. From (**??**), we have $z'(t) = T(t)f_0$ and, if $\in (0,T]$,

$$Az'(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma e^{\lambda t}A(\lambda^\alpha - A)^{-1}f_0 d\lambda.$$

We can assume $\theta' \in (\theta, 1)$. So, $D_{\theta'}(A) = (D_\theta(A), D_{1+\theta}(A))_{\theta'-\theta,\infty}$. This implies, for, $|\mu| \geq R$, $|Arg(\mu)| \leq \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$,

$$\|A(\mu - A)^{-1}f_0\|_{D_\theta(A)} \leq C|\mu|^{\theta-\theta'}.$$

So

$$Az'(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi it}\int_{\Gamma'} e^{\lambda}A(\lambda^\alpha t^{-\alpha} - A)^{-1}d\lambda.$$

$$\|Az'(t)\|_{D_\theta(A)} \leq C_0 t^{-1}\int_{\Gamma'} e^{Re(\lambda)}|\lambda^\alpha t^{-\alpha}|^{\theta-\theta'}|d\lambda| \leq C_1 t^{\alpha(\theta'-\theta)-1}.$$

□

le1.4 **Lemma 1.5.** *Suppose that (A1)-(A2), $\alpha \in (1,2)$, $\theta < \frac{1}{\alpha}$. Let $f_0 \in D_{\theta'}(A)$, with $\theta' > \theta + 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}$ and let*

$$z(t) = S_1(t) f_0.$$

Then $Av \in C^1((0,T];X)$ and $\|(Av)'(t)\|_{D_\theta(A)} \leq Ct^{\alpha(\theta'-\theta-1)}$. Consequently, if $\theta' > \theta + 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}$,

$$\int_0^T \|Az'(t)\|_\theta dt < \infty.$$

Proof. If $t > 0$, we have

$$Az'(t) = \tfrac{1}{2\pi i} \textstyle\int_\Gamma e^{\lambda t} \lambda^{\alpha-1} A(\lambda^\alpha - A)^{-1} f_0 d\lambda.$$

$$= \tfrac{1}{2\pi i t^\alpha} \textstyle\int_\Gamma e^{\lambda} \lambda^{\alpha-1} ((\frac{\lambda}{t})^\alpha - A)^{-1} f_0 d\lambda$$

so that

$$\|Az'(t)\|_\theta \leq C_0 t^{-\alpha} \int_\Gamma e^{Re(\lambda)} |\lambda|^{\alpha-1-\alpha(\theta'-\theta)} t^{\alpha(\theta'-\theta)} \|x\|_{\theta'} |d\lambda| \leq C_1 t^{\alpha(\theta'-\theta-1)}.$$

□

pr1.3 **Proposition 1.6.** *We consider the problem*

$$\begin{cases} D_t^\alpha u(t) = Au(t) + F(t), \quad t \in [0,T], \\ u^{(k)}(0) = u_k, \end{cases}$$

with the following conditions:
(a) $F(t) = G(t) + \frac{t^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} v_{[\alpha]}$, with $G \in C^1([0,T];X)$, $G' \in B([0,T];D_\theta(A))$, $v_{[\alpha]} \in D_{1+\theta-\frac{[\alpha]}{\alpha}}(A)$;
(b) $u_0 \in D_{1+\theta}(A)$, $Au_0 + F(0) \in D_{\theta'}(A)$, for some $\theta' > \theta$.
Then $u(t) = U(t) + z(t)$, with:
(I) $U \in C^1([0,T];X)$, $v = U'$ solution of

$$\begin{cases} D^\alpha v(t) = Av(t) + G'(t), \quad t \in [0,T], \\ v(0) = v_0; \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

eq1.4

(II) z solution of

$$\begin{cases} D^\alpha z(t) = Az(t) + Au_0 + F(0), \quad t \in [0,T], \\ z(0) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

eq1.5A

Proof. By Theorem **??**, (**??**) has a unique solution v, with $D^\alpha v, Av \in C([0,T];X) \cap B([0,T];D_\theta(A))$. We deduce

$$(1 * D^\alpha v)(t) = A(1 * v)(t) + G(t) - G(0), \quad t \in [0,T].$$

We set

$$J_\alpha g(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g(s) ds.$$

Then $D^\alpha v = (J_\alpha)^{-1}(v - v_0)$. We deduce that

$$J_\alpha(1 * D^\alpha v) = 1 * J_\alpha(D^\alpha v) = 1 * (v - v_0) = 1 * v - tv_0$$

and

$$D^\alpha(1 * v) = D^\alpha(tv_0) + 1 * D^\alpha v = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} t^{1-\alpha} v_0 + 1 * D^\alpha v,$$

$$D^\alpha(1 * v) = A(1 * v)(t) + G(t) - G(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} t^{1-\alpha} v_0, \quad t \in [0,T].$$

Setting

$$U(t) = (1 * v)(t) + u_0,$$

we deduce

$$D^\alpha U(t) = AU(t) + G(t) - F(0) - Au_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} t^{1-\alpha} v_0 = F(t) - F(0) - Au_0, \quad t \in [0,T].$$

The conclusion follows.

□

co1.4 **Corollary 1.7.** *Suppose that (A1)-(A2) hold. Suppose, moreover, that*
(a) $k \in C([0,T])$,
(b) $u \in C^1((0,T]; D(A))$, $\|Au'(t)\|_{D_\theta(A)} \le Ct^{\epsilon-1}$, *for some* $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$;
(c) u is a strict solution to

$$\begin{cases} D^\alpha u(t) = Au(t) + \int_0^t k(t-s)Au(s)ds + F(t), \quad t \in [0,T], \\ \\ u(0) = u_0, \quad t \in [0,T], \end{cases}$$

with $F(t) = G(t) + \frac{t^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} v_0$, $G \in C^1([0,T]; X)$, $G' \in B([0,T]; D_\theta(A))$, $v_0 \in D_{1+\theta}(A)$, $u_0 \in D_{1+\theta}(A)$, $Au_0 + F(0) \in D_{\theta'}(A)$, $\theta' > \theta$.

Then $u(t) = U(t) + z(t)$, *with*
(I) $U \in C^1([0,T]; X)$, $v = U'$ *solution of*

$$\begin{cases} D^\alpha v(t) = Av(t) + G'(t) + k(t)Au_0 + \int_0^t k(t-s)Au'(s)ds, \quad t \in [0,T], \\ \\ v(0) = v_0; \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

eq1.5

(II) z solution of

$$\begin{cases} D^\alpha z(t) = Az(t) + Au_0 + F(0), \quad t \in [0,T], \\ \\ z(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. From the assumptions,

$$(k * Au)(t) = k(t)Au_0 + \int_0^t k(t-s)Au'(s)ds$$

belonging to $C([0,T]; X) \cap B([0,T]; D_\theta(A))$. So the conclusion follows from Proposition **??**.

□

re1.5 **Remark 1.8.** On account of Lemma **??**, (**??**) can be written also in the form

$$\begin{cases} D^\alpha v(t) = Av(t) + G'(t) + k(t)Au_0 + \int_0^t k(t-s)Av(s)ds + \int_0^t k(t-s)Az'(s)ds, \quad t \in [0,T], \\ \\ v(0) = v_0. \end{cases} \tag{1.7}$$

eq1.6

We set

$$S(v,k)(t) := (k * A(v + z'))(t). \tag{1.8}$$

eq1.7

le1.6 **Lemma 1.9.** *Suppose that the assumptions of Corollary* **??** *are satisfied. Let* $\Phi \in X'$. *We set*

$$h(t) = g(t) - \Phi(z(t)).$$

We suppose $\Phi(Au_0) \neq 0$ *and set*

$$\chi := \Phi(Au_0)^{-1}.$$

Then $h \in C^1([0,1])$, $D^\alpha h'$ *is defined and*

$$k(t) = K_0(t) - \chi\Phi(Av(t)) - R(v,k)(t), \quad t \in [0,T], \tag{1.9}$$

eq1.8

with

$$K_0(t) = \chi[D^\alpha h'(t) - \Phi(G'(t))], \tag{1.10}$$

$$R(v,k) = -\chi\{k * \Phi[A(v+z')]\}(t) = -\chi\Phi[S(v,k)(t)]. \tag{1.11}$$ eq1.10

On the other hand,suppose that

$$\Phi(u_0) = h(0), \quad \Phi(v_0) = h'(0). \tag{1.12}$$ eq1.11

Let (v,k) be a strict solution to (??)-(??), with $v \in C([0,T];D(A))\cap B([0,T];D_{1+\theta}(A))$ and $k \in C([0,T])$. We set

$$u := u_0 + 1 * v + z.$$

Then, $u \in C^1([0,T];D(A))$, $\|Au'(t)\|_{D_\theta(A)} \le Ct^{\alpha(\theta'-\theta)-1}$ $\forall t \in (0,T]$ and (u,k) is a solution to (??).

Proof. Applying Φ to the first equation in (**??**), we easily deduce (**??**).

On the other hand, let (v,k) be a strict solution to (**??**)-(**??**), with $v \in C([0,T];D(A))\cap B([0,T];D_{1+\theta}(A))$ and $k \in C([0,T])$. Then

$$k(\cdot)Au_0 + k * A(v+z') = k(\cdot)Au_0 + k * Au'.$$

So, by Corollary **??**, the two first conditions in (**??**) are satisfied.

It remains to show that $\Phi(u) = g$. Applying Φ to the first equation in (**??**) and comparing with (**??**), we deduce

$$D^\alpha(\Phi v)(t) = \Phi(D^\alpha v(t)) = D^\alpha h'(t), \quad t \in [0,T].$$

From (**??**), we deduce $\Phi v = h'$ and $\Phi U = h$. We deduce that

$$\Phi(u) = \Phi(U) + \Phi(z) = g.$$

□

In conclusion, we are reduced to study the system (**??**)-(**??**), which we write in the equivalent form

$$\begin{cases} D^\alpha v(t) = Av(t) + G_1(t) + \Psi(Av(t))Au_0 + S_1(v,k)(t), \quad t \in [0,T], \\ v(0) = v_0, \\ k(t) = K_0(t) + \Psi(Av(t)) - R(v,k)(t), \quad t \in [0,T], \end{cases} \tag{1.13}$$ eq1.12

with

$$G_1(t) = G'(t) + K_0(t)Au_0,$$

$$\Psi = -\chi\Phi,$$

$$S_1(v,k)(t) = R(v,k)(t)Au_0 + S(v,k)(t), \tag{1.14}$$ eq1.13A

le1.7 **Lemma 1.10.** *Suppose that (A1)-(A2) hold. We consider the problem*

$$\begin{cases} D^\alpha v(t) = Av(t) + \Psi(Av(t))f_0 + f(t), \quad t \in [0,T], \\ v(0) = v_0, \end{cases} \tag{1.15}$$ eq1.13

Assume that $\Psi \in X'$, $f_0 \in D_\theta(A)$, $f \in C([0,T];X)\cap B([0,T];D_\theta(A))$, $v_0 \in D_{1+\theta}(A)$. Then (??) has a unique solution v in $C([0,T];D(A))\cap B([0,T];D_{1+\theta}(A))$. Moreover, If $T_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$, there exists $C(T_0) \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that, if $0 < T \le T_0$,

$$\|v\|_{C^\alpha([0,T];D_\theta(A))} + \|v\|_{C^{\alpha\theta}([0,T];D(A))} + \|v\|_{B([0,T];D_{1+\theta}(A))} \le C(T_0)(\|v_0\|_{D_{1+\theta}(A)} + \|f\|_{B([0,T];D_\theta(A))}).$$

Proof. We set, for $0 < \tau \le T$,

$$X_\tau := \{V \in C([0,\tau]; D(A)) : V(0) = v_0\},$$

which is a complete metric space with the distance

$$d(V_1, V_2) := \|V_1 - V_2\|_{C([0,T];D(A))}. \tag{1.16}$$ eq1.14A

If $V \in X(\tau)$, we consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} D^\alpha v(t) = Av(t) + \Psi(AV(t))f_0 + f(t), \quad t \in [0,\tau], \\ \\ v(0) = v_0, \end{cases} \tag{1.17}$$ eq1.14

which, by Theorem **??**, has a unique solution $v = v(V)$, belonging to $B([0,T]; D_{1+\theta}(A))$, with $D^\alpha v \in B([0,T]; D_\theta(A))$. Clearly, the solutions in $[0,\tau]$ are the fixed points of the mapping $V \to v(V)$. If $V_1, V_2 \in X_\tau$, we have, setting $v_j := v(V_j)$,

$$d(v_1, v_2) \le C(T_0)\tau^{\alpha\theta}\|\Psi(A(V_1 - V_2))\|_{C([0,\tau])} \le C_1(T_0)\tau^{\alpha\theta} d(V_1, V_2).$$

So, if τ is sufficiently small, (**??**) has a unique solution in $[0,\tau]$.

In order to extend it, we show that a solution with the desired regularity $\tilde v$ is given in $[0,\sigma]$, with $\sigma \in (0,T)$, it can be extended in a unique way to a solution, again with the prescribed regularity, in $[0,(\sigma+\delta)\wedge T]$. So we set now, for $\delta \in (0, T-\sigma]$,

$$Y_\delta :=:= \{V \in C([0,\sigma+\delta]; D(A)) : V_{|[0,\sigma]} = \tilde v\},$$

again equipped with the distance (**??**) (replacing T with $\sigma+\delta$). If $V \in Y_\delta$, we consider again the problem (**??**) in the interval $[0,\sigma+\delta]$. Again, by Theorem **??** we have a unique solution $v = v(V)$; by the uniqueness guaranteed by this theorem in $[0,\sigma]$, we deduce $v_{|[0,\sigma]} = \tilde v$, so that $v \in Y_\delta$. If $v_j = v(V_j)$, with $V_j \in Y_\delta$, $j = 1,2$, we deduce from Theorem **??** (III)

$$d(v_1, v_2) = \|v_1 - v_2\|_{C([0,\sigma+\delta];D(A))} \le \delta^{\alpha\theta}\|v_1 - v_2\|_{C^{\alpha\theta}([0,\sigma+\delta];D(A))} \le C(T_0)\delta^{\alpha\theta} d(V_1, V_2).$$

Choosing δ so small that $C(T_0)\delta^{\alpha\theta} < 1$ (independently of σ), we can extend in a unique way the solution to $[0,\sigma+\delta]$.

The remaining part of the proof is analogous to that of Theorem **??**.

□

Now we study problem (**??**). We indicate with V_0 the solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} D^\alpha V_0(t) = AV_0(t) + G_1(t) + \Psi(AV_0(t)), \quad t \in [0,T], \\ \\ v(0) = v_0 \end{cases} \tag{1.18}$$ eq1.16

and set

$$K_1(t) = K_0(t) + \Psi(AV_0(t)), \quad t \in [0,T],$$

Of course, the existence and uniqueness of a solution V_0 in $B([0,T]; D_\theta(A))$ is guaranteed by Lemma **??**. We begin with the existence and, to some extent, uniqueness of a solution in a small interval:

Lemma 1.11. *Let $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then there exists $\tau(\delta) \in (0,T]$, such that, if $0 < \tau \le \tau(\delta)$ (**??**) has a unique solution (v,k) with $D^\alpha v$, Av in $B([0,\delta]; D_\theta(A))$, $k \in C([0,\delta])$ and*

$$\max\{\|v - V_0\|_{B([0,\tau];D_\theta(A))}, \|k - K_0\|_{C([0,\tau])} \le \delta.$$

Proof. We set, for $\tau \in (0,T]$,

$$X_{\delta,\tau} := \{(V,H) \in (C([0,\tau];D(A)) \cap B([0,\tau];D_{1+\theta}(A))) \times C([0,\tau]) : \max\{\|v-V_0\|_{B([0,\tau];D_\theta(A))}, \|H-K_1\|_{C([0,\tau])} \le \delta\},$$

which is a complete metric space with the distance

$$d((V_1,H_1),(V_2,H_2)) = \max\{\|V_1-V_2\|_{B([0,\tau];D_{1+\theta}(A))}, \|K_1-K_2\|_{C([0,\tau])}\}.$$

Given (V,H) in $X_{\delta,\tau}$, we consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} D^\alpha v(t) = Av(t) + G_1(t) + \Psi(Av(t))Au_0 + S_1(V,H)(t), \quad t \in [0,T], \\ v(0) = v_0, \\ k(t) = K_0(t) + \Psi(Av(t)) - R(V,H)(t), \quad t \in [0,T], \end{cases} \tag{1.19}$$

eq1.17

By Lemma **??**, (**??**) has a unique solution (v,k) with the prescribed regularity. Clearly, as usual, solving (**??**) is equivalent to find a fixed point of $(V,H) \to (v,k)$.

From (**??**), we get

$$\begin{cases} D^\alpha(v-V_0)(t) = A(v-V_0)(t) + \Psi(A(v-V_0)(t))Au_0 + S_1(V,H)(t), \quad t \in [0,T], \\ (v-V_0)(0) = 0, \\ k(t) - K_1(t) = \Psi(A(v-V_0)(t)) - R(V,H)(t), \quad t \in [0,T], \end{cases}$$

so that

$$\|v-V_0\|_{B([0,\tau];D_{1+\theta}(A))} \le C(T)\|S_1(V,H)\|_{B([0,\tau];D_\theta(A))}$$

We estimate $\|\|S_1(V,H)\|_{B([0,\tau];D_\theta(A))}$. By (**??**), (**??**), (**??**) and Lemma **??**we have

$$\|S_1(V,H)\|_{B([0,\tau];D_\theta(A))} \le C_0\|S(V,H)\|_{B([0,\tau];D_\theta(A))} \le C_1\|H\|_{C([0,\tau]}(\tau\|V\|_{B([0,\tau];D_{1-\theta}(A)} + \tau^{\alpha(\theta'-\theta)})$$

$$\le C_1(\|K_1\|_{C([0,T)} + \delta)[\tau(\|V_0\|_{B([0,\tau];D_{1-\theta}(A)} + \delta) + \tau^{\alpha(\theta'-\theta)}] := \omega_0(\delta,\tau).$$

So

$$\|v-V_0\|_{B([0,\tau];D_{1+\theta}(A))} \le C(T)\omega_0(\delta,\tau).$$

We have also

$$\|k-K_1\|_{C([0,\tau])} \le C_1\|v-V_0\|_{B([0,\tau];D_{1+\theta}(A))} + \|R(V,H)\|_{C([0,\tau])} \le C_2\omega_0(\delta,\tau).$$

As $\lim_{\tau\to 0}\omega_0(\delta,\tau) = 0$, if $\tau \le \tau_0(\delta)$ and $(V,H) \in X_{\delta,\tau}$, $(v,k) \in X_{\delta,\tau}$.

Let now $(V_1,H_1),(V_2,H_2)$ belong to $X_{\delta,\tau}$. We indicate with (v_j,k_j) $(j=1,2)$ the corresponding solutions of (**??**). It follows

$$\begin{cases} D^\alpha(v_1-v_2)(t) = A(v_1-v_2)(t) + \Psi(A(v_1-v_2)(t))Au_0 + S_1(V_1,H_1)(t) - S_1(V_2,H_2)(t), \quad t \in [0,\tau], \\ (v_1-v_2)(0) = 0, \\ k_1(t) - k_2(t) = \Psi(A(v_1-v_2)(t)) - (R(V_1,H_1)(t) - R(V_2,H_2)(t)), \quad t \in [0,\tau]. \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\|v_1 - v_2\|_{B([0,\tau];D_{1+\theta}(A))} \le C_0(T)\|S_1(V_1,H_1)(t) - S_1(V_2,H_2)\|_{B([0,\tau];D_\theta(A))}$$

$$\le C_1(T)\|S(V_1,H_1)(t) - S(V_2,H_2)\|_{B([0,\tau];D_\theta(A))}$$

$$\le C_1(T)(\|(H_1-H_2)*A(V_1+z')\|_{B([0,\tau];D_\theta(A))} + \|H_2*A(V_1-V_2)\|_{B([0,\tau];D_\theta(A))})$$

$$\le C_2(T)[\|H_1-H_2\|_{C([0,\tau])}(\tau(\|V_0\|_{B([0,T];D_{1+\theta}(A))}+\delta) + \tau^{\alpha(\theta'-\theta)})$$

$$+\tau(\|K_1\|_{C([0,T])}+\delta)\|V_1-V_2\|_{B([0,\tau];D_{1+\theta}(A)}]$$

$$\le \omega_1(\delta,\tau)d((V_1,H_1),(V_2,H_2)),$$

with $\lim_{\tau\to 0}\omega_1(\delta,\tau) = 0$. It follows

$$\|k_1-k_2\|_{C([0,\tau])}$$

$$\le C_2(\|v_1-v_2\|_{B([0,\tau];D_{1+\theta}(A))} + \|R(V_1,H_1)-R(V_2,H_2)\|_{C([0,\tau])})$$

$$\le C_3(\|v_1-v_2\|_{B([0,\tau];D_{1+\theta}(A))} + \|S(V_1,H_1)(t)-S(V_2,H_2)\|_{B([0,\tau];D_\theta(A))})$$

$$\le C_3\omega_1(\delta,\tau)d((V_1,H_1),(V_2,H_2)).$$

So the conclusion follows from the contraction mapping theorem. □

We want to show that, in fact, (**??**) has a unique global solution. The key step is the following

Lemma 1.12. *Suppose that (A1)-(A3) hold. Consider problem (**??**), with $G_1 \in C(]0,T];X)\cap B([0,T];D_\theta(A))$, $u_0, v_0 \in D_{1+\theta}(A)$. Let $0 < \tau_0 \le \tau_1 < \min\{2\tau_0, T\}$ and let (V,K) be a solution in $[0,\tau_1]$, with $V \in B([0,\tau_1];D_{1+\theta}(A))$, $K \in C([0,\tau_1])$. Then there exists δ positive, independent of τ_1, such that (**??**) has a unique solution (v,k) in $[0,(\tau_1+\delta)\wedge 2\tau_0\wedge T]$ with $v \in B([0,(\tau_1+\delta)\wedge 2\tau_0\wedge T];D_{1+\theta}(A))$, $k \in C([0,(\tau_1+\delta)\wedge 2\tau_0\wedge T])$ and coinciding with (V,K) in $[0,\tau_1]$.*

Proof. Let $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$. We set

$$\tau(\delta) := (\tau_1+\delta)\wedge(2\tau_0)\wedge T$$

and

$$X_\delta := \{(W,H) \in (C([0,\tau(\delta)];X)\cap B([0,\tau(\delta)];D_{1+\theta}(A))) \times C([0,\tau(\delta)])$$

$$: W_{|[0,\tau_1]} = V, H_{|[0,\tau_1]} = K\}.$$

For $(W,H)\in X_\delta$, we consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} D^\alpha v(t) = Av(t) + G_1(t) + \Psi(Av(t))Au_0 + S_1(W,H)(t), \quad t\in[0,(\tau_1+\delta)\wedge 2\tau_0]], \\ v(0) = v_0, \\ k(t) = K_0(t) + \Psi(Av(t)) - R(V,H)(t), \quad t\in[0,(\tau_1+\delta)\wedge 2\tau_0], \end{cases} \tag{1.20}$$

eq1.19

For any $(W,H)\in X_\delta$, (**??**) has a unique solution (v,k) with $v\in B([0,\tau(\delta)];D_{1+\theta}(A))$, $k\in C([0,\tau(\delta)])$. We observe that, by the uniqueness of the solution of (**??**), $v_{|[0,\tau_1]} = V$ and $k_{|[0,\tau_1]} = K$. We deduce that $(v,k)\in X_\delta$, which we equip with the usual distance

$$d((V_1,H_1),(V_2,H_2)) = \max\{\|V_1-V_2\|_{B([0,\tau(\delta)];D_{1+\theta}(A)))}, \|H_1-H_2\|_{C([0,\tau(\delta)])}\}.$$

Now we look for conditions ensuring that the mapping $(W,H)\to(v,k)$ is a contraction in X_δ. As usual, we get

$$d((v_1,k_1),(v_2,k_2)) \le C(T)\|S(V_1,H_1)-S(V_2,H_2)\|_{B([0,\tau(\delta)];D_\theta(A)))}.$$

Let $\tau_1 \le t \le \tau(\delta)$. Then

$$\|S(V_1,H_1)(t) - S(V_2,H_2)(t)\|_{D_\theta(A)}$$

$$\le \| \int_0^t (H_1(t-s) - H_2(t-s))A(V_1(s) + z'(s))ds\|_{D_\theta(A)} + \| \int_0^t H_2(t-s)(A(V_1(s) - V_2(s))ds\|_{D_\theta(A)}.$$

We set $\tilde v := V_{|[0,\tau_0]}$, $\tilde h := H_{|[0,\tau_0]}$. Then we have, on account of $t - \tau_1 \le \tau_0$,

$$\int_0^t (H_1(t-s) - H_2(t-s))A(V_1(s) + z'(s))ds = \int_0^{t-\tau_1} (H_1(t-s) - H_2(t-s))A(\tilde v(s) + z'(s))ds,$$

so that

$$\| \int_0^t (H_1(t-s)-H_2(t-s))A(V_1(s)+z'(s))ds\|_{D_\theta(A)} \le \|H_1-H_2\|_{C([0,\tau(\delta)])}(\|\tilde v\|_{B([0,\tau_0];D_{1+\theta}(A))}\delta + C_0\delta^{\alpha(\theta'-\theta)}).$$

Analogously,

$$\| \int_0^t H_2(t-s)(A(V_1(s) - V_2(s))ds\|_{D_\theta(A)} = \| \int_{\tau_1}^t \tilde h(t-s)(A(V_1(s) - V_2(s))ds\|_{D_\theta(A)}$$

$$\le \delta \max(|\tilde h|)\|V_1 - V_2\|_{B([0,\tau(\delta)];D_{1+\theta}(A))}$$

We deduce that

$$\|v_1 - v_2\|_{B([0,\tau(\delta)];D_{1+\theta}(A))} \le \omega_0(\delta) d((V_1,H_1),(V_2,H_2)),$$

with $\lim_{\delta\to 0} \omega_0(\delta) = 0$. We observe that $\omega(\delta)$ does not depend on τ_1. We have also

$$\|k_1-k_2\|_{C([0,\tau(\delta)]} \le \|\Psi(A(V_1-V_2))\|_{C([0,\tau(\delta)]} + \|R(V_1,H_1)-R(V_2,H_2)\|_{C([0,\tau(\delta)]} \le \omega_1(\delta) d((V_1,H_1),(V_2,H_2)),$$

with $\lim_{\delta\to 0} \omega_1(\delta) = 0$, and the conclusion follows.

□

Now we are able to prove the main result of the paper:

th1.10 **Theorem 1.13.** *Suppose that (A1)-(A3). Consider problem* **??**, *with u, k unknown. Assume that the following further conditions are fulfilled:*

(a) $\alpha \in (0,1]$;

(b) $F(t) = G(t) + \frac{t^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} v_0$, *with* $G \in C^1([0,T];X)$, $G' \in B([0,T];D_\theta(A))$, $\theta \in (0,1)$, $v_0 \in D_{1+\theta}(A)$;

(c) $u_0 \in D_{1+\theta}(A)$;

(d) $Au_0 + F(0) \in D_{\theta'}(A)$, *with* $\theta < \theta'$;

(e) $\Phi \in X'$;

(f) if z if the solution of (??) and $h(t) = g(t) - \Phi(z(t))$, $D^{1+\alpha}h \in C([0,T])$, $h(0) = \Phi(u_0)$, $h'(0) = \Phi(v_0)$;

(g) $\Phi(Au_0) \neq 0$.

Then (??) has a unique solution (u,k) *such that* $u - z \in C^1([0,T];D(A))$, $(u-z)' \in B([0,T];D_\theta(A))$, $k \in C([0,T])$.

Proof. If (u,k) is a solution with the required properties, $k * Au \in C^1([0,T];X)$ and $(k * Au)' \in B([0,T];D_\theta(A))$. So, by Corollary **??**, $u = U + z$, with $v = U'$ solution of (**??**), or, equivalently (**??**).

On the other hand, if v is a solution of (**??**), $u := U + z$, with $U := u_0 + 1 * v$, satisfies the two first equations in (**??**). From (**??**) we have also $\Phi(U) = h$ and $\Phi(D^\alpha v) = D^{1+\alpha}h$. Applying Φ to the first equation in (**??**), on account of (g), we deduce (**??**).

.....

□

[**?**] Problem of determination from final data (not convolution kernels).

Paper [**?**] Reconstruction of a kernel m such that $k = a + m$, applicable in case $\alpha \le 1$. Even in this case needed not so mich regularity, but also more compatibility conditions than here.

[**?**] Determination of order of derivation α and coefficient of the second order space derivative $\alpha \in (0,1)$. Hilbert space setting. The operator A with conditions on the spectrum which are satisfied by a positive self-adjoint compcat operator. Assumptions on the Fourier coefficients on the data.

Determination of source term: [**?**],

References

ChNaYaYa1 [1] J. Cheng, J. Nakagawa, M. Yamamoto, T. Yamazaki, "Uniqueness in an inverse problem for a one-dimensional fractional diffusion equations", Inverse Problems **25**(2009), 16 pp..

FeKa1 [2] P. Feng, E.T. Karimov, "Inverse source problems for time fractional mixed parabolic-hyperbolic-type equations", Inverse Ill-Posed Problems **23** (2015), 339-353.

Ja2 [3] J. Janno, "Determination of the order of fractional derivative and a kernel in an inverse problem for a generalized time fractional diffusion equation", Elecronic Journal of Differential Equations, **199**(2016), 1-28.

Ja1 [4] J. Janno, "Determination of time-dependent sources and parameters of nonlocal diffusion and wave equations from final data", Frac. Calc. Appl. Anal **23** (2020), 1678-1701.

JaKa1 [5] J. Janno, K. Kasemets, "Identification of a kernel in an evolutionary integral occurring in subdiffusion", J. Inverse Ill Posed Problems, bf 25 (2017), 777-798.

KiJa1 [6] N. Kinash, J. Janno, "Inverse problems for a generalized subdiffusion equation with final overdetermination", Math. Model. Anal. **24**(2019), 236-262.