Ultralow Power and Green TSCH-Based WSNs With Proactive Reduction of Idle Listening

Stefano Scanzio[®], *Senior Member, IEEE*, Federico Quarta[®], Giacomo Paolini[®], *Member, IEEE*, Gabriele Formis[®], *Student Member, IEEE*, and Gianluca Cena[®], *Senior Member, IEEE*

Abstract—Wireless sensor networks are characterized by low power consumption because motes are typically batterypowered. Time-slotted channel hopping (TSCH) relies on a fixed transmission schedule, which enables the receiver module of wireless motes to be switched off every time it is not needed. Unfortunately, in many practical contexts, most of the reserved slots remain unused, which leads to appreciable energy waste. For periodic traffic, proactive reduction of idle listening (PRIL) techniques have been proven able to mitigate this problem. In this article, PRIL multihop (PRIL-M) is introduced with the aim to improve existing PRIL techniques, by lowering energy waste further in large real-world mesh networks. PRIL-M is advantageous in all those contexts where ultralow power consumption is more important than end-to-end latency. Applications that can benefit from PRIL-M include, e.g., environmental monitoring, where sensors are deployed over the target area and must operate for years without maintenance. A thorough simulation campaign showed that, in these scenarios, energy consumption of PRIL-M is 75% less than standard TSCH, while the average latency is about 20 times larger.

Index Terms—Green networking, IEEE 802.15.4, Internet of Things (IoT), PRIL multihop (PRIL-M), PRIL over the first hop (PRIL-F), proactive reduction of idle listening (PRIL), time-slotted channel hopping (TSCH), ultralow power, wireless sensor networks (WSNs).

I. INTRODUCTION

W IRELESS communications, and especially wireless sensor (and actuator) networks (WSNs/WSANs), are a key enabling technology for the Internet of Things (IoT). The contexts where they can be applied range from unmanned surveillance [1], natural disaster management [2], industrial networks [3], and smart and precision agriculture [4], to arrive to the large and constantly growing home automation sector [5].

Manuscript received 6 March 2024; revised 7 May 2024; accepted 23 May 2024. Date of publication 29 May 2024; date of current version 23 August 2024. This work was supported in part by the European Union under the Italian National Recovery and Resilience Plan (NRRP) of NextGenerationEU, partnership on "Telecommunications of the Future" through Program "RESTART" under Grant PE00000001. (Corresponding author: Stefano Scanzio.)

Stefano Scanzio and Gianluca Cena are with CNR-IEIIT, National Research Council of Italy, 20133 Milan, Italy (e-mail: stefano.scanzio@cnr.it).

Federico Quarta is with the Department of Control and Computer Engineering, Politecnico di Torino, 10129 Turin, Italy.

Giacomo Paolini is with the Department DEI "Guglielmo Marconi," University of Bologna, 40136 Bologna, Italy.

Gabriele Formis is with the Department of Control and Computer Engineering, Politecnico di Torino, 10129 Turin, Italy, and also with CNR-IEIIT, National Research Council of Italy, 20133 Milan, Italy.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/JIOT.2024.3406646

Many wireless solutions are exploited nowadays in the IoT, the most notable being IEEE 802.11 (Wi-Fi), 5G, IEEE 802.15.4 (WSAN), long-range wide-area network (LoRaWAN), and Bluetooth. Every technology has its own characteristics and peculiarities. Those based on the IEEE 802.15.4 standard [6], which include the time-slotted channel hopping (TSCH) and deterministic and synchronous multichannel extension (DSME) MAC-layer profiles, were mainly conceived for minimizing energy consumption, so that the time between battery replacements may increase to several years. As a consequence, they are valuable in all those situations where maintenance costs must be as low as possible.

Several solutions exist that rely on TSCH concepts, the most popular being WirelessHART, ISA 100.11a, and 6TiSCH. DSME is instead a beacon-enabled protocol (that shares some similarities with WIA-PA) for which open-source implementations exist like openDSME. This work focuses on TSCH because of its wider availability in commercial devices. Compared to DSME [7], the main difference is the absence of a contention access period, which makes TSCH less suitable for handling sporadic communications. However, its characteristics make it very suitable for periodic traffic.

The solution we propose targets all those real application contexts where decreasing power consumption is by far more important than ensuring low end-to-end delays. Examples include environmental monitoring, which in industrial plants can be used to support product quality tracking in the brownfield (i.e., without dedicated communication/power-supply infrastructures), e.g., by logging temperature and humidity of several shop-floor and warehouse zones. In these cases there are typically no specific constraints about latency, but sensors must be able to operate for years with no maintenance (deploy-and-forget). In particular, the following three aspects must be borne in mind: 1) battery replacement may be problematic/uneconomical when devices are located in difficult-to-reach places; 2) sometimes, the whole device need to be replaced when batteries are exhausted, e.g., radiator heat meters; and 3) disposing large amounts of batteries is likely to increase pollution, with a negative environmental impact.

The basic mechanism TSCH exploits to enable low-power communication is time slotting, which is a simplified version of time-division multiple access (TDMA). Time is divided into *slots* of fixed duration T_{slot} and all network traffic (globally defined in terms of a number of *links*, every one connecting one or more senders to one or more receivers) is scheduled to fit within these intervals. In this way, every node can switch

© 2024 The Authors. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 License. For more information, see https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/ into a deep-sleep state in all those slots in which no frames exchanges are scheduled for it, hence saving energy. The slot schedule repeats periodically over time (the period is in the order of 1 or 2 s), and every link is assigned a specific slot (plus a specific channel offset, but this is inessential for our work). Every time a slot is scheduled to a given link but no transmission takes place, the recipient node experiences *idle listening*, i.e., it turns its receiving interface on unnecessarily, thus wasting energy since there is no frame to be read.

In some cases, the amount of energy spent for idle listening could be nonnegligible. For instance, the charge drawn to receive a maximal-size 127 B frame (and send back the related acknowledgment (ACK) frame) in OpenMoteSTM devices is $651.0 \,\mu$ J, while the charge wasted for idle listening is $303.3 \,\mu$ J [8], which is about one half of what is needed for data reception. Since WSNs are often used for cyclically sensing physical quantities characterized by slow dynamics (like temperatures), sampling periods can be quite long (depending on the application, they can range from less than 1 min to a few hours). Consequently, the amount of energy wasted by idle listening may be quite high.

Whenever the effective usage of scheduled cells can be predicted with good accuracy, proactive reduction of idle listening (PRIL) can be employed to switch off receivers in those slots where no frames are expected to be exchanged, hence enabling ultralow power communication. If traffic is periodic with a known period, the PRIL over the first hop (PRIL-F) technique has been defined [9] that only considers the first hop in the path between the source node of a flow and its destination. It can be used to save a sizable amount of energy in single-hop networks (star topology), as well as in those setups where part of the intermediate relays are mains powered. The major limitation of PRIL-F is that only a subset of the nodes benefits from a reduced energy consumption. As a matter of fact, real WSNs are typically multihop, and because of their tree topology (customarily enforced by routing protocols), nodes closer to the root consume more energy. Unfortunately, PRIL-F tends to have little effect on them.

In this article, a new technique is proposed, we named PRIL multihop (PRIL-M), which specifically targets multihop networks. It has been conceived to work together with PRIL-F, overcoming its limitations. The two techniques have been compared and their joint use (PRIL-F is applied to the first hop and PRIL-M to the following hops) analyzed by means of an extensive simulation campaign, to thoroughly investigate the benefits they can provide in realistic environmental monitoring applications. Results show that the combined use of PRIL techniques yields significant energy savings at the expense of latency, which suits the requirements of the considered scenario.

The next section briefly introduces TSCH and the PRIL-F technique, while the new PRIL-M technique is presented in Section III. The experimental setup is described in Section IV, followed by the presentation of results in Section V. Conclusions are finally drawn in Section VI.



Fig. 1. Example of TSCH operation and slotframe matrix.

II. PROACTIVE REDUCTION OF IDLE LISTENING

A survey is provided below about the operating principles of TSCH and PRIL-F, on which PRIL-M grounds.

A. TSCH Basics

A scheduled TSCH slot (i.e., assigned to a link) is named *cell. Shared* cells can be used by a plurality of transmitting nodes, whereas every *dedicated* cell is allocated to a single transmitter (there is no contention). The latter are by far the most common option in real setups, and are typically used for unicast frame transmission (in a given direction) between a specific pair of nodes, e.g., for propagating data sensed from the real world toward the intended sink (root node). The TSCH schedule is defined by a *slotframe* matrix with size $N_{ch} \times N_{slot}$, an example of which is depicted in Fig. 1. The schedule spans in two orthogonal directions: 1) time (*time slotting*) and 2) frequency (*channel hopping*).

Regarding time, the slotframe matrix repeats identically with period $T_{\rm sfr} = N_{\rm slot} \cdot T_{\rm slot}$, and defines which communications are allowed in any specific slot (and between which nodes). The notion of time in TSCH is uniquely identified by a counter shared among all the nodes of the network, known as the absolute slot number (ASN), which is initialized to zero and is incremented by one on every slot. Nodes are time synchronized by a specific clock synchronization protocol [10], precise enough to ensure that boundaries between slots are adequately obeyed by every node. Typical configuration parameters of the slotframe matrix in real implementations are $N_{\rm slot} = 101$ and $T_{\rm slot} = 20$ ms, which implies $T_{\rm sfr} = 2.02$ s.

Regarding frequency, up to N_{ch} transmissions can be carried out concurrently (between different pairs of nodes) in every slot (identified by the ASN). In particular, for operations in the 2.4-GHz band with offset quadrature phase-shift keying (O-QPSK) PHY modulation, the IEEE 802.15.4 standard defines 16 channels ($N_{ch} = 16$). Let c be the logical channel (or channel offset) of a specific scheduled cell in the slotframe matrix, which coincides with its row number. The physical channel ch on which transmission is performed is derived from c through the formula ch = H[(ASN +c) mod N_{ch} , where H[] is the hopping sequence (typically implemented as a vector of N_{ch} elements) that performs such mapping. If $N_{\rm slot}$ and $N_{\rm ch}$ are selected as coprime numbers, in case of errors subsequent retransmissions of the same packet will be performed on different channels, which makes link reliability less susceptible to the behavior of single channels [11].

As thoroughly analyzed in [12], proper selection of network parameters (e.g., N_{slot} , T_{slot} , and retry limit) permits to set a tradeoff among latency, reliability, and power consumption. PRIL techniques constitute an additional method, orthogonal to configuration, to decrease energy consumption.

B. Conserving Energy in WSNs

Consuming as little energy as possible is one of the main goals of WSNs, and TSCH is no exception. Whenever no scheduled receptions or transmissions are foreseen, a TSCH node can be safely switched into a deep power-saving state, in which energy consumption is negligible. This aspect makes this solution very attractive.

A number of research activities are available in the literature that specifically focus on power consumption. Some of them act on specific aspects of the protocol. The active-scan procedure proposed in [13] permits to decrease the network formation time, while in [14], the same goal is obtained using Q-learning to reduce the congestion of messages used by the network formation algorithm. Balancing nodes' load is another way to reduce battery discharge [15]. In [12], it is shown that reducing energy consumption is possible by tuning communication parameters. Other contributions focus on improving synchronization accuracy among TSCH nodes: in [16], a guard beacon strategy is proposed to decrease the guard time, in [17], the guard time is selected by each node based on its distance from the root, and in [18], a better synchronization accuracy is obtained by modifying the sending period of the enhanced beacon (which, besides other purposes, delivers information about time).

Other techniques optimize instead the routing protocol for low-power and lossy networks (RPL), which is typically used to generate the network topology (routes) in TSCH-based networks. In particular, in [19], RMA-RP is introduced to make RPL more energy efficient in case of node mobility, in [20], mobility is managed by improving the way RPL selects the new parent (e.g., by using more effective metrics), and in [21], nodes adjust the sending frequency of control messages based on the mobility level of neighbor nodes.

Generally speaking, all the approaches aimed at increasing communication quality (latency and reliability) by decreasing the average number of retransmissions have a positive impact on power consumption as well. This is the case of black and white listing techniques, which are meant to dynamically select the best channel on which transmissions are performed: in [22], bad channels are blacklisted based on the packet delivery ratio, [23] introduces the concept of probabilistic blacklisting, where the probability that a channel is not skipped depends on its perceived communication quality, in [24], cells are scheduled based on network metrics including the packet delivery ratio and end-to-end latency, and finally in [25], blacklisting is managed as a dynamic multiarmed Bernoulli bandit process to adapt selected channels to time-varying interference.

Historically, a large part of the techniques aimed at reducing power consumption in this kind of WSNs relies on scheduling algorithms [26], by optimally assigning transmission

frequencies and slots of the slotframe matrix according to some specific objective function that minimizes, for instance, power consumption. In particular, in [27], the VAM-HSA algorithm was proposed to solve an energy-efficient maximization problem by reducing its complexity, in [28], the PRCOS algorithm was proposed to obtain a scheduling aimed at maximizing system lifetime by taking into account power consumption, in [29], a MAC layer scheduling algorithm named TREE was proposed to dynamically optimize allocated cells, instead in [30], the scheduling algorithm optimizes the use of shared cells. A suitable scheduling not only permits to decrease power consumption of the network but also to improve its real-time capabilities: in [31], this was achieved with the combination of spectral clustering unsupervised learning and earliest deadline first (EDF) scheduling algorithm, while in [32], similar goals were obtained with an orchestrator (SDN-TSCH) that acts in a centralized fashion.

Concerning techniques based on PRIL, PRIL-F was preliminary introduced in [33] and completely defined in [9], whereas PRIL-M is first presented in this work. Both PRIL-F and PRIL-M are completely independent from above solutions, hence their joint adoption is possible to reduce energy waste further.

C. PRIL Over the First Hop

The basic idea behind PRIL to prevent idle listening (or reduce it as much as possible) is to switch off receivers also in their scheduled cells when no frames are expected. Several options exist to determine the occurrence of such conditions. A quite sophisticated approach is that the nodes analyze traffic at runtime and automatically determine the actual usage pattern of their scheduled cells, e.g., by using machine learning algorithms. This information is then exploited to disable those cells that, with high likelihood, would remain unused, hence incurring in idle listening. Simpler techniques exist as well that foresee that the transmitter on a link directly controls the receiver based on locally available information, by turning it off for a given number of subsequent scheduled cells so that energy can be saved on the receiving side.

In this work, we analyze only techniques of the second type, where specific *sleep* commands are added to the frames exchanged on a link to explicitly instruct recipients to go to sleep. We mostly focused on those IoT applications in which sensors belonging to the perception layer (either leaf or intermediate relay nodes in the tree network topology) generate cyclic data that are sent in the upward direction to a sink (root node). This is the usual case in almost all real WSNs, therefore it does not constitute a real limitation. However, the techniques we introduce also work in the reverse (downward) direction. An efficient way to deliver sleep commands to the receiver is by means of information elements (IEs), which are specific user-defined elements that can be included in the header of IEEE 802.15.4 frames. Let T_{app}^{i} be the generation period of node N_i . Intuitively, the longer the generation period, the more energy can be saved by PRIL techniques, because the receiving side of the link can be turned off for longer periods of time.



Fig. 2. Example of PRIL-F operation compared with default TSCH.

The first proposal for reducing idle listening is PRIL-F, which was conceived to lower the energy waste of receiving nodes located one hop away from the traffic source. For example, by looking at the topology of Fig. 1, when the source node is N₁ it can disable N₃ temporarily so as to make it conserve energy. In the case of traffic flowing in the upward direction from N₁ to N₀ passing through relay N₃ (N₁ \rightarrow N₃ \rightarrow N₀), PRIL-F is only effective on N₃ and can do nothing for N₀. In fact, N₃ has no clue in advance of when packets will arrive from N₁ that it must forward to N₀, and hence it is seemingly unable to generate effective sleep commands for the latter.

Let notation $N_i \xrightarrow{x} N_j$ represent the scheduled cell *x* of the link $N_i \rightarrow N_j$ when N_j leaves its receiving interface on (this corresponds to the default TSCH behavior). When a single cell of the matrix is allocated to the link, the *x*th cell is found in slotframe *x*. If a frame is transmitted in the cell, it is received by N_j (possibly corrupted by errors); otherwise, if no transmissions took place, N_j experiences idle listening. The case when reception in cell *x* has been (temporarily) disabled on N_j by PRIL is instead represented by the notation $N_i \xrightarrow{\overline{x}} N_j$.

An example that compares TSCH and PRIL-F operations is shown in the timing diagram of Fig. 2, where a packet is enqueued in the sender node N₁ every three slotframes. Let us assume that the initial transmission attempt is performed for a certain frame \mathcal{F} in cell N₁ \xrightarrow{x} N₂ (this event is denoted \mathcal{F}_x). Following the successful exchange, the link state of standard TSCH in the immediate future (before the next packet is generated) can be described as N₁ $\xrightarrow{x+1,x+2}$ N₂, and hence cells x + 1 and x + 2 suffer from idle listening.

If, as depicted in the leftmost part of the figure, the frame reaches the destination node N₂ immediately (without any retry), as notified by the reception of the related ACK frame, the following two scheduled cells for the link (i.e., x + 1 and x + 2) could be safely disabled to save energy on it. As said before, PRIL-F does so by making the sender include an IE in the frame that tells the receiver the number of scheduled cells for which it must sleep. This can be specified either in absolute terms (ASN of the scheduled cell when the link must be re-enabled) or in relative terms (number of scheduled cells for which the receiver node must stay disabled). These two solutions are equivalent from the functional point of view; however, we opted for the latter because it simplifies descriptions and the information to be stored in the IE is



Fig. 3. Simple network topology to explain PRIL operations.

smaller. Referring again to Fig. 2, if N₁ knows that the packet generation of the application executing on it is periodic with period $T_{app} = 3$ slotframes, it can add a specific *sleep* command with value 2. Frame transmission in this case is symbolically represented by notation $\mathcal{F}_x^{s=2}$, where ".*s* = 2" denotes the sleep IE. If correctly received by N₂, the following two scheduled cells of the link will be disabled, which can be described as N₁ $\xrightarrow{x+1,x+2}$ N₂.

The rightmost part of the figure describes instead what happens when transmission errors occur that corrupt the frame. In particular, frame $\mathcal{F}_{y}^{.s=2}$ is sent in slot y but fails to reach the destination. Thus, it is retransmitted in slot y + 1, after decreasing the value in the sleep command by one, since this is a retry (this action is synthetically described as $\mathcal{F}_{y+1}^{.s=1}$). In this case, only cell N₁ $\xrightarrow{y+2}$ N₂ will be disabled, and the amount of energy saved on N₂ is smaller.

If a frame with a sleep IE correctly arrives to destination but the ACK is lost, the receiver turns the relevant cells off but the sender is unaware of this. Hence, all further retries of that frame will no longer reach the destination, until the sleep command ends and the link is re-enabled on N_2 .

III. PRIL OVER MULTIPLE HOPS

The PRIL-M technique proposed in this work is meant to overcome the main limitation of PRIL-F, i.e., that it can save energy only on nodes located one hop away from the traffic source. The technique we present is characterized by modest complexity, which enables direct and effective implementations on real WSN devices (typically characterized by low computational power).

In Fig. 3, a sample network setup is shown where three periodic traffic flows from leaf nodes to the root are defined, $\tau_1 = \langle N_1 \rightarrow N_4 \rightarrow N_0, T_1 \rangle$, $\tau_2 = \langle N_2 \rightarrow N_4 \rightarrow N_0, T_2 \rangle$, and $\tau_3 = \langle N_3 \rightarrow N_4 \rightarrow N_0, T_3 \rangle$, where T_1, T_2 , and T_3 represent the respective generation periods. When applied to this example, PRIL-F only affects N₄, whereas PRIL-M impacts on all the following nodes in the path to the destination, i.e., those with a distance from the source greater than one hop. Consequently, the joint use of PRIL-F and PRIL-M mitigates the idle listening problem for all the nodes in the WSN that have receiving cells scheduled for unicast transmissions.

Referring to the above example, PRIL-M counteracts idle listening in N_0 by disabling a subset S of the cells scheduled to the link $N_4 \rightarrow N_0$ (this action is denoted $N_4 \xrightarrow{S} N_0$). The way subset S is determined is more complex than PRIL-F: in fact, a link controlled by PRIL-M is typically traversed by many packet flows, including those not directly generated by the node that handles transmissions on the link (for them, it just acts as a relay) but by nodes located at lower levels in the tree topology (or upper, for downward flows). In other words, not all frames sent on $N_4 \rightarrow N_0$ are generated by N_4 . In these conditions, correctly predicting whether or not a specific scheduled cell will be left unused is hardly possible for relay nodes like N₄. The main problem is due to retransmissions of frames (when they are not acknowledged), which generate unpredictability in the arrival time (and order) of packets belonging to distinct flows. In large mesh networks, where paths from sources to sinks may consist of many hops, traffic flows get mixed in increasingly random way the closer links are to the root node.

The main idea behind PRIL-M is to have the transmitting side of a link turning off the receiving side (by using sleep commands) for a time equal to the minimum among the periods of the flows traversing the link itself. In fact, the flow with the smallest period is typically the one with the tightest constraints in terms of delivery latency (soft deadlines, in our case). To propagate the knowledge about the period of any flow τ_{ℓ} to all the following nodes in the related path, the originating node (source) attaches a timing IE to its frames that specifies their generation period. Unlike sleep IEs, which act on a single link, timing IEs attached to the frame are forwarded unchanged by relay nodes between subsequent hops. For instance, in the example of Fig. 3, the frames sent by N_1 (hence belonging to flow τ_1) are encoded as $\mathcal{F}^{T=T_1}$, where the timing IE denoted ". $T = T_1$ " is added by N₁ and propagates period T_1 along the entire path $N_1 \rightarrow N_4 \rightarrow N_0$.

To operate correctly, every relay that executes PRIL-M (e.g., N_4) must know the minimum period among all the flows crossing its outgoing link. This information is typically acquired in the *learning* phase, and enables PRIL-M to save energy when the node enters the subsequent *runtime* phase. A separate instance of PRIL-M is executed on every relay node for every outgoing link.

A. Learning Phase

At network startup (or upon network topology changes), when a relay receives a frame $\mathcal{F}^{.T=T_{\ell}}$ that contains a timing IE with the period T_{ℓ} of the flow τ_{ℓ} it belongs to, it starts a learning phase whose duration equals T_{ℓ} (or a small multiple of it). In the learning phase the node collects the periods of all the frames it forwards (grouped by outgoing link) and computes the minimum among such values, denoted T_{\min} . The node that generates the related "fastest" flow is identified as N_{ref} . If several flows exist for a given outgoing link whose periods coincide with T_{\min} , which are generated by distinct upstream nodes, one of them is selected as N_{ref} .

After the learning phase is finished, every time a frame $\mathcal{F}^{T=T_{\ell}}$ is received for which $T_{\ell} < T_{\min}$, both T_{\min} and N_{ref} are

updated consequently. Instead, if the relay does not receive any frames belonging to the flow that originates from the current N_{ref} for a given time period T_{max} (the timeout can be set, e.g., to $T_{\text{max}} = 10 \cdot T_{\text{min}}$), it switches back to the learning phase, looking for another flow with minimum period. In case of topology changes, in TSCH-based networks the new routing is typically decided by the RPL protocol. This operation can take several minutes [34], during which the node is set to behave according to legacy TSCH. When routing is re-established, the node enters the learning phase again, with the aim of reactivating PRIL-M as soon as possible. Optimizations can be brought to the above procedure, to lower both the duration of the learning phase and its energy consumption, but they have not been reported here since the benefits we obtained were mostly inessential. During the learning phase, the node obeys standard TSCH rules.

B. Runtime Phase

In this phase both T_{\min} and N_{ref} are correctly initialized. Let $N_i \rightarrow N_j$ be a link operated according to PRIL-M that is traversed by frames of flow τ_{ℓ} to reach their destination. With reference to Fig. 3, N_i corresponds to N_4 and N_j corresponds to N_0 . Node N_i understands that PRIL-M must be used instead of PRIL-F because it is not the originator of the flow, i.e., it is not the first node of the related path. As in PRIL-F, a sleep command $\mathcal{F}^{.s=T_{end}}$ permits to deactivate the link for a given amount of time, where T_{end} represents the number of cells related to the link $N_i \rightarrow N_j$ where reception will be disabled. Again, we assume that a relative value is included in the sleep command, but the same reasoning applies to absolute values.

The basic idea is to deactivate the link for a time period equal to T_{\min} , so as to prioritize periodic traffic with the fastest generation rate. When this approach is implemented in practice, various aspects must be taken into account, related to the fact that frames can be lost and retransmitted up to a maximum number of times. In particular, the link state seen by N_i and N_i may differ due to losses. For this reason, a reference implementation for PRIL-M is provided by means of two state machines, we denote RX and TX, reported in Fig. 4, along with detailed explanations. Every arc is labeled with a conventional event-action pair. The upper part (event) reports the conditions that must be verified to enable the transition to the new state. The leftmost condition (in *bold*) consists in one of four possible events: 1) sleep (reception of a sleep command); 2) ack (correct reception of an ACK frame); 3) no_ack (missing or corrupted ACK frame); and 4) slot_end (end of a slot related to a cell scheduled to link $N_i \rightarrow N_i$). Instead, the lower part (action) lists the sequence of operations that are executed on state change, which are mostly aimed at updating the value of the variables pertaining to the given state machine instance.

1) Receiving Side: The RX state machine of receiver N_j is depicted in Fig. 4(a). Node N_j defines a separate instance of this state machine for every link, i.e., for every sender that communicates with it. In the ON state, the link is active and obeys standard TSCH rules. When a frame $\mathcal{F}^{.s=T_{end}}$ with a sleep command is received (*sleep* event), the local counter



Fig. 4. State machine of the receiver side (N_j) , on the left) and sender side (N_i) , on the right) of an intermediate link in a path. (a) RX state machine (N_j) . (b) TX state machine (N_i) .

sleep_end is initialized to T_{end} and the state machine switches to the OFF state, where the link is deactivated so that N_j starts saving energy. In this state, counter sleep_end is decreased by one on every new slot scheduled to the link $N_i \rightarrow N_j$. At the end of every such slots (*slot_end* event) the counter sleep_end is checked. When it reaches zero the RX state machine switches back to the ON state, and the link returns to standard TSCH operation.

2) Transmitting Side: The TX state machine for the sender N_i is shown in Fig. 4(b). As can be seen, it is more complex than RX. When a frame $\mathcal{F}^{T=T_{\min}}$ sent by N_{ref} is received by N_i , counter sleep_end is initialized with the number of cells scheduled to the link $N_i \rightarrow N_j$ that fit in an interval of duration T_{\min} . For example, if $T_{\min} = 2 \min$, $T_{sfr} = 2.02 s$, and one cell is reserved per slotframe, sleep_end is set to 59. The value of sleep_end is then decremented by one on every slot scheduled to the link. It is worth pointing out that, at the arrival of $\mathcal{F}^{T=T_{\min}}$, the transmission buffer of N_i may already contain queued packets. Moreover, additional packets may arrive after $\mathcal{F}^{.T=T_{\min}}$ is relayed, which will be enqueued. According to TSCH rules, all packets in the outgoing queue of link $N_i \rightarrow N_i$ (on N_i) will be orderly sent (one attempt per scheduled cell). When transmitting the last of them (i.e., when queue_size() == 1), N_i adds a sleep command to the frame, that is, it sends $\mathcal{F}^{.s=T_{end}}$ with T_{end} equal to the residual value of sleep_end at that time.

If transmission of $\mathcal{F}^{.s=T_{end}}$ succeeds at the first attempt, the TX state machine switches directly to the OFF state; otherwise, it moves to the RETR state, whose purpose is managing retransmissions of frames that embed a sleep command. In the RETR state, N_i can not determine if N_j is in the ON or OFF state, because it does not know whether the data frame or the ACK frame went lost. Consequently, it keeps on retrying. If transmission eventually succeeds, i.e., an ACK frame is received by N_i (ack event), N_i switches to the OFF state and is aware that also N_j is in the OFF state. Instead, if the retry limit (as specified by N_{tries}) is exceeded (no_ack event and is_last_try(pkt)) returns true), N_i still moves to the OFF state, but it does not actually know whether N_j is in the ON or OFF state. Doing so prevents the loss of subsequent frames from N_i when N_j managed to switch to the OFF state.

When N_i is in the OFF state, it waits until sleep_end reaches 0 to return to the ON state. Less likely, but possible, is the situation where N_i returns to the ON state from the RETR state. This happens when, during the transmission of the last packet in the queue, the value of sleep_end is close to 0 and, due to retransmissions, it reaches 0 before N_i exceeds the retry limit. The actions performed for the two arcs from OFF to ON and from RETR to ON make use of the variable new_sleep_end to deal with the arrival of a new packet $\mathcal{F}^{T=T_{\min}}$ at N_i when it is still in the OFF or RETR states. This packet may arrive earlier than expected because, for instance, the previous packet $\mathcal{F}^{T=T_{\min}}$ was late due to retransmissions or excessive queuing delays in the preceding hops of the path (upstream nodes). Since in the OFF and RETR states the counter sleep end is already in use by the above algorithm (and hence, it cannot be overwritten), a secondary counter new sleep end is needed to keep track of the future reactivation time of the link itself. Both counters are contextually decreased by one on every scheduled cell of the link $N_i \rightarrow N_j$. When the node returns to the ON state, if new_sleep_end is greater than zero (i.e., it is active), it is copied in sleep end.

3) Implementation Complexity: PRIL-M requires the addition of two IEs to the frame, namely the sleep command \mathcal{F}^{s} and the flow generation period \mathcal{F}^{T} . In addition, the RX and TX state machines of Fig. 4 have to be implemented: they are quite simple, and every instance includes just nine variables, counting both those used to store the link state and those for managing the learning phase. A separate instance of PRIL-M must be created for every outgoing link. Summing up, the variables to store the current state of the RX and TX state machines require 1 bit and 2 bits, respectively. If 2 bytes are allocated for each one of the remaining seven variables (encoded as unsigned short integers), every instance takes less than 15 bytes, which is a modest amount of memory also for motes. It is worth pointing out that only one copy of the executable code implementing PRIL-M must be stored in memory, regardless of the number of instances.

Concerning memory footprint it must be noted that, because of queuing, larger transmission buffers are seemingly needed. However, since transmissions are regulated by the flow with the shortest period, no more than one packet belonging to the other flows could be in theory enqueued at any given time, which is the same as TSCH (in other words, buffer size is the same but its effective usage in PRIL-M is noticeably higher).



Fig. 5. Example of PRIL-M operation in three different cases.

Regarding the size of the code segment, it is indicatively less than 2 kB, but the exact amount depends on many aspects, including the CPU type (x86 or ARM) and the operating system in use. It is worth pointing out that popular devices implementing TSCH, such as OpenMote B, are characterized by 256 kB of flash memory and 32 kB of RAM, which is more than enough for implementing PRIL-M. Finally, computational complexity for relay operations on a link is O(1), and only marginally affects CPU resource usage.

C. Example

In Fig. 5, three examples are provided to make the details of PRIL-M operations clearer. In all cases, two frames, denoted ${}^{1}\mathcal{F}$ and ${}^{2}\mathcal{F}$, are found in the queue of the sender node (TX) immediately before scheduled cell *x*. We set $N_{\text{tries}} = 2$ (only one retry is allowed). Moreover, ${}^{1}\mathcal{F}$ belongs to the flow with the shortest generation period ($T_{\text{min}} = 5$ slotframes). In all the cases reported in the figure, the state of the TX node is described in the upper diagram, while the lower diagram refers to the RX node. Moreover, let us assume that the first frame (${}^{1}\mathcal{F}$) is successfully delivered at time *x*.

In CASE *a*, frame ${}^{2}\mathcal{F}_{x+1}^{s=3}$ (which instructs the receiver to sleep for 3 scheduled cells) fails to reach the destination in scheduled cell x + 1 and is retransmitted in slot x + 2 with a sleep command decreased to 2, i.e., ${}^{2}\mathcal{F}_{x+2}^{.s=2}$, which correctly deactivates the RX node preventing idle listening in two slots. Conversely, in CASE b also the second transmission of frame ${}^{2}\mathcal{F}_{x+2}^{.s=2}$ in slot x+2 fails, and since the maximum number of allowed transmissions attempts is reached $(N_{\text{tries}} = 2)$ the frame is discarded and removed from the queue. This means that the RX node does not reach the OFF state, consequently behavior remains the same as standard TSCH and idle listening is experienced. Finally, in CASE c, frame ${}^{2}\mathcal{F}_{x+1}^{s=2}$ sent in slot x + 1 correctly arrives to destination but the corresponding ACK is lost. The RX node enters the OFF state and starts saving energy, but the TX node, which is not aware that the frame has arrived, continues retransmissions until the retry limit is reached, hence wasting some energy.

Having the state on two sides of the link always coherent is impossible, since both data and ACK frames may be lost. Nonetheless, it must be remarked that the state machines were conceived to never allow the transmitter node to be in the ON state when the receiver node is OFF. This intended behavior ensures that frames cannot be lost due to PRIL-M.

D. Overall Behavior

Above descriptions refer to the link between a pair of nodes, managed on its two sides by the TX and RX state machines. To understand the overall network behavior it must be remembered that the path followed by the packets of every flow is made up of the ordered sequence of traversed links, where the state machine in every intermediate relay independently selects the upstream node N_{ref} with the fastest generation rate (whose period is denoted T_{min}). On the whole, this procedure can be seen as a network-wide single-elimination tournament, where the fastest flows autonomously selected by sibling relays (local minima) compete in their common ancestor, in a process that stretches from every leaf up to the root (this holds for both the upward and downward directions).

This has two consequences: first, the values T_{end} selected by the different relays (to be included in their respective sleep commands) are usually not the same. Second, only one flow (the one for which T_{app} is the global minimum) is granted that its packets never suffer from additional delays due to the outgoing links found disabled. For all the other flows, packets receive a privileged treatment only in the lower part of their path (close to the leaves), while they may suffer from additional delays in the upper part (near to the root). In particular, when arriving to a relay where a different N_{ref} has been selected, forwarding pace is dictated by the packets of that flow, causing random delays uniformly distributed in the range $[0, T_{\min}]$. In this way, packet forwarding occurs in bursts, which inherit the treatment of the winner flow. This means that no further delays will be experienced by them until a relay is encountered with a different N_{ref}. This situation has been explicitly shown for the deep topology on the right side of Fig. 6, by highlighting in green the portion of the paths where the winner remains the same (and no relevant queuing delay is experienced due to PRIL-M), whereas discontinuities (that unavoidably lead to queuing delays) are colored in red.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

Both PRIL-F and PRIL-M were compared with standard TSCH using a discrete event simulator we purposely developed, named TSCH-predictor. It differs from other publicly available tools like 6TiSCH simulator [35] and TSCH-Sim [36] in terms of the drastically lower implementation complexity. This simulation framework permits new TSCHbased techniques, aimed at improving network behavior, to be easily and quickly implemented, assessed, and fully understood. In fact, only those features strictly needed to model basic operations of the specific techniques and to obtain raw indications about their performance have to be actually implemented. Results produced by our simulator were checked against measurements obtained from a real network setup based on OpenMote B devices running OpenWSN, and the absolute error concerning the average latency was found to be



Fig. 6. Simple, star (three layers), and deep (six layers) network configurations used for simulations: the generation period (in slot times) is reported between parentheses. (a) Simple topology. (b) Star topology. (c) Deep topology.

negligible (less than 12 ms), this denoting accurate and faithful modeling. This simulator has already been satisfactorily employed in former scientific works [9].

Network parameters used for simulation were taken from the default configuration of real devices, for example, slot duration ($T_{\text{slot}} = 20 \text{ ms}$), number of slots in a slotframe ($N_{\text{slot}} = 101$), maximum allowed number of transmission attempts ($N_{\text{tries}} = 16$). Instead, the failure probability for attempts related to data frames ($\epsilon_{\text{data}} = 12.6\%$) and ACK frames ($\epsilon_{\text{ACK}} = 8.0\%$) was derived from experimental data obtained from a real setup.¹

Regarding the power consumption model, the energy required to transmit one data frame including 127 B (the data size used in this work) and to receive the related ACK was set to 485.7 μ J, the energy spent to receive every one of such frames and to return the related ACK was set to 651.0 μ J, while the energy wasted for idle listening in a single slot was set to 303.3 μ J. These values were obtained from [8], and refer to an STM32F103RB 32-bit microcontroller and an Atmel AT86RF231 radiochip mounted on an Open-MoteSTM device. Other energy models are available in the scientific literature, which were obtained for different devices, but their adoption does not substantially change the obtained results in terms of the reduction of power consumption. The duration of simulated experiments was set to one year for every campaign.

V. RESULTS

Experimental campaigns were performed for the three network configurations depicted in Fig. 6.

A. Simple Network Configuration

The *simple* topology [Fig. 6(a)], already analyzed in Section III, is used to compare PRIL-F alone and PRIL-M (always used in conjunction with PRIL-F), highlighting some peculiarities. This configuration is characterized by three flows

TABLE I COMPARISON AMONG TSCH AND THE PROPOSED PRIL STRATEGIES (SIMPLE TOPOLOGY): POWER CONSUMPTION

Simple topology (2 layers)											
		TS	СН	PRI	L-F	PRI	L-M				
Node	Hops	P_{listen}	P	P_{listen}	P	P_{listen}	P				
		$[\mu W]$		$[\mu W]$		$[\mu W]$					
N ₀	2	138.64	163.34	138.62	163.36	0.19	23.83				
N_4	1	438.92	482.09	.0017	41.20	.0017	50.11				
N_3	0	0	3.36	0	6.34	0	6.25				
N_2	0	0	5.04	0	9.46	0	9.42				
N_1	0	0	10.07	0	18.85	0	18.87				
All		577.56	663.90	138.63	239.22	0.20	108.46				

directed from leaves to the root N_0 , whose generation periods were set equal to 3001, 6003, and 9005 slots, corresponding to about 60, 120, and 180 s, respectively. We selected coprime numbers to models quartz tolerance in real devices. By doing so, offsets between the generation times of frames belonging to different traffic flows keep drifting slowly over the entire duration of experiments (one year), making simulation results more reliable.

Table I reports the power consumption of every single node, as well as of all nodes in the network (row labeled "All"). In particular, *P* stands for the total power consumption (transmissions and receptions) while P_{listen} only considers contributions of receive modules (RX). As expected, PRIL-F practically zeroes the P_{listen} component of the intermediate node N₄, but it has no effect on N₀ since is located two hops away from the source. The reason why P_{listen} is not exactly zero depends on the learning phase, during which PRIL does not provide any benefits. When PRIL-M is additionally activated, energy is saved also on N₀. The total power consumption *P* (all nodes included) is 663.90 μ W for standard TSCH, 239.22 μ W with PRIL-F (36.0% of TSCH), and 108.46 μ W with PRIL-M (16.3% of TSCH). Hence, the latter approach doubled the energy saving capabilities of PRIL-F.

The same configuration is then analyzed in terms of the end-to-end latency, by taking into account the following performance indices: mean value (μ_d) , standard deviation

¹Experimental data used to compute the loss probability values are included in the file default-101-16-15days.dat, whose details can be found in https://dx.doi.org/10.21227/fg62-bp39, while the method for computing the two probabilities is described in [9].

TABLE II Comparison Among TSCH and the Proposed PRIL Strategies (Simple Topology): End-to-End Latency

Simple topology (2 layers)									
Flow	μ_d	σ_d	d_{p99}	$d_{p99.9}$	$d_{p99.99}$	d_{\max}			
(Source)			[:	s]					
All	1.720	1.389	6.220	9.400	12.000	17.260			
All	1.722	1.383	6.240	9.400	12.120	17.580			
$\tau_3(N_3)$	30.229	16.879	60.340	63.820	67.200	69.940			
$ au_2(N_2)$	30.446	16.930	60.200	62.640	66.080	68.600			
$\tau_1(N_1)$	4.282	2.337	11.160	14.620	17.860	21.660			
All	16.134	17.365	59.000	62.280	65.280	69.940			
TSCH P	P Nisten	RIL-M	$ \begin{array}{c} 18 \\ 16 \\ 14 \\ 12 \\ 10 \\ 8 \\ 6 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{array} $	TSCH	PRIL-F (b)	PRIL-M			
	Flow (Source) All $\tau_3(N_3)$ $\tau_2(N_2)$ $\tau_1(N_1)$ All P TSCH P	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Simple topole Flow (Source) μ_d σ_d All 1.720 1.389 All 1.722 1.383 $\tau_3(N_3)$ 30.229 16.879 $\tau_2(N_2)$ 30.446 16.930 $\tau_1(N_1)$ 4.282 2.337 All 16.134 17.365 Plisten Plisten TSCH PRIL-F (a)	Simple topology (2 Is Flow (Source) μ_d σ_d d_{p99} All 1.720 1.389 6.220 All 1.722 1.383 6.240 $\tau_3(N_3)$ 30.229 16.879 60.340 $\tau_2(N_2)$ 30.446 16.930 60.200 $\tau_1(N_1)$ 4.282 2.337 11.160 All 16.134 17.365 59.000 Plisten Plisten $\frac{18}{16}$ 14 12 $\frac{10}{2}$ 710 8 6 44 2 0 TSCH PRIL-F PRIL-M (a)	Simple topology (2 layers)Flow (Source) μ_d σ_d d_{p99} $d_{p99.9}$ [s]1.7201.3896.2209.400All1.7221.3836.2409.400 $\tau_3(N_3)$ $\tau_2(N_2)$ 30.22916.87960.34063.820 $\tau_1(N_1)$ 4.2822.33711.16014.620All16.13417.36559.00062.280P istenP 16 P 16 P 16 18 16 P 16 P 17 P 16 P 16 P 16 P 16 P 16 P 16 P 16 <td colspa<="" td=""><td>Simple topology (2 layers)Flow (Source)$\mu_d$$\sigma_d$$d_{p99}$$d_{p99.9}$$d_{p99.9}$$d_{p99.99}$All1.7201.3896.2209.40012.000All1.7221.3836.2409.40012.120$\tau_3(N_3)$30.22916.87960.34063.82067.200$\tau_2(N_2)$30.44616.93060.20062.64066.080$\tau_1(N_1)$4.2822.33711.16014.62017.860All16.13417.36559.00062.28065.280PlistenPlistenIs a bColspan="4">Is bAllISCH PRIL-F PRIL-MTSCH PRIL-F PRIL-MTSCH PRIL-F PRIL-MTSCH PRIL-F PRIL-MTSCH PRIL-F PRIL-M</td></td>	<td>Simple topology (2 layers)Flow (Source)$\mu_d$$\sigma_d$$d_{p99}$$d_{p99.9}$$d_{p99.9}$$d_{p99.99}$All1.7201.3896.2209.40012.000All1.7221.3836.2409.40012.120$\tau_3(N_3)$30.22916.87960.34063.82067.200$\tau_2(N_2)$30.44616.93060.20062.64066.080$\tau_1(N_1)$4.2822.33711.16014.62017.860All16.13417.36559.00062.28065.280PlistenPlistenIs a bColspan="4">Is bAllISCH PRIL-F PRIL-MTSCH PRIL-F PRIL-MTSCH PRIL-F PRIL-MTSCH PRIL-F PRIL-MTSCH PRIL-F PRIL-M</td>	Simple topology (2 layers)Flow (Source) μ_d σ_d d_{p99} $d_{p99.9}$ $d_{p99.9}$ $d_{p99.99}$ All1.7201.3896.2209.40012.000All1.7221.3836.2409.40012.120 $\tau_3(N_3)$ 30.22916.87960.34063.82067.200 $\tau_2(N_2)$ 30.44616.93060.20062.64066.080 $\tau_1(N_1)$ 4.2822.33711.16014.62017.860All16.13417.36559.00062.28065.280PlistenPlistenIs a bColspan="4">Is bAllISCH PRIL-F PRIL-MTSCH PRIL-F PRIL-MTSCH PRIL-F PRIL-MTSCH PRIL-F PRIL-MTSCH PRIL-F PRIL-M		

Fig. 7. Comparison among the proposed PRIL strategies (simple topology). (a) Power consumption. (b) Latency μ_d .

 (σ_d) , 99 to 99.99 percentiles $(d_{p99}, d_{p99.9})$, and $d_{p99.99})$, and maximum (d_{max}) . Results, reported in Table II, highlight one of the most severe drawbacks of PRIL-M: unlike PRIL-F, which always brings beneficial effects (power consumption improves while latency remains unchanged), PRIL-M significantly increases delays, and consequently it should not be used in time-sensitive applications. However, it is important to remark that, in many application contexts, energy consumption is the first and foremost performance index for WSNs.

Latency increase is more evident for flows other than the one with the minimum generation period T_{\min} , as their packets may remain stuck for a while in intermediate nodes when the related outgoing links are temporarily disabled. As can be seen, the mean latency μ_d experienced by τ_2 and τ_3 is about 30 s. In fact, since the link returns to the ON state every ~ 60 s (i.e., T_{\min}) due to packets from N_1 , and the generation times on N_2 and N_3 are not synchronized with N_1 , the time they have to wait in the transmission queue before the link switches to ON is, on average, $T_{\min}/2$.

Overall power consumption (for the whole network) and mean latency (experienced by packets of source nodes N_1 , N_2 , and N_3) are graphically sketched in the two diagrams of Fig. 7, which permit to quickly compare the performance of TSCH, PRIL-F, and PRIL-M.

To set a tradeoff between power consumption and latency in PRIL-M, an upper limit for the time a cell can remain disabled could be possibly enforced. Because this aspect is outside the scope of this article, it is left as future work.

B. Realistic Network Configurations

Two larger and more realistic network configurations were additionally analyzed, we term *star* [Fig. 6(b)] and *deep*

TABLE III Comparison Among TSCH and the Proposed PRIL Strategies (Star and Deep Topologies): Power Consumption

Star topology (3 layers)										
		TS	СН	PRI	L-F	PRI	L-M			
Node	Hops	P_{listen}	P	P_{listen}	P	P_{listen}	P			
		$[\mu]$	W]	$[\mu]$	W]	[μ]	W]			
N ₀	3	375.15	536.77	375.15	536.76	.13	159.07			
N_{23}	2	275.18	369.32	275.18	369.28	.13	101.01			
N_{21}	1	575.48	669.60	.0012	89.83	.0012	98.60			
N_{17}	1	287.73	334.82	.00058	44.93	.00058	53.66			
N_1	0	0	10.07	0	18.90	0	18.84			
Relays	2	825.57	1107.8	825.57	1107.8	.19	299.71			
Relays	1	2327.1	2609.3	.0069	269.36	.0069	308.79			
Leaves	0	0	120.63	0	226.2	0	226.14			
All		3527.8	4374.6	1200.7	2140.2	0.33	993.71			

Deep topology (6 layers)										
		TS	СН	PRI	L-F	PRI	L-M			
Node	Hops	P_{listen}	P	P_{listen}	P	P_{listen}	P			
		$[\mu W]$		$[\mu W]$		$[\mu W]$				
N_0	6	250.17	357.77	250.16	357.78	.53	105.97			
N_{27}	5	125.04	219.16	125.05	219.15	.064	101.87			
N_{25}	4	275.19	369.30	275.18	369.29	0.78	101.52			
N_{21}	3	137.59	184.66	137.59	184.66	.12	55.04			
N_{17}	2	287.73	334.82	287.73	334.82	.98	54.73			
N_9	1	143.87	167.42	.00029	22.48	.00029	31.18			
N_1	0	0	10.06	0	18.87	0	18.79			
All		3903.3	5030.7	2752.3	3941.5	7.11	1350.2			

[Fig. 6(c)] topologies, whose complexity resembles real WSNs. Again, flows are directed from leaves to the root node N₀.

The star topology consists of three layers (root excluded) and interconnects 16 leaves (sensors), six intermediate nodes (relay only) at a distance of one hop from leaves, and three nodes at two hops, plus the root N₀ (sink), for a total of 26 nodes. Two different periods were defined for flows, namely, $\sim 10 \text{ min}$ and $\sim 30 \text{ min}$. Again, actual periods were defined in slot times as coprime numbers. Mapping between leaves and periods is shown in the figure. This topology represents a typical example of a WSN in which nodes are deployed in such a way to provide complete coverage of a certain area and the sink (gateway) is positioned near the center of this area.

The deep topology of Fig. 6(c) is also quite common in the real world, especially when the area to be covered has an elongated or irregular shape. In this case, the maximum number of hops from leaves to the sink may be sensibly higher than the star topology, if networks with the same number of nodes are considered. In the example we considered, which consists of six layers, the maximum number of children for every node is two and the total number of nodes is 29. The generation period was set equal to about 1 min for all the eight leaf nodes (actual periods of flows are similar but coprime).

Only for the experiment described in Section V-C, an additional node N_S was also inserted in the deep network topology to analyze the behavior of PRIL-M in the presence of sporadic traffic flows.

Table III about power consumption shows both aggregate results (distinguishing among leaves at the lowest network



Fig. 8. Comparison among the proposed PRIL strategies (star and deep topologies): responsiveness is traded for power saving in PRIL-M. (a) Power consumption. (b) Latency μ_d . (c) Power consumption. (d) Latency μ_d .

TABLE IV COMPARISON AMONG TSCH AND THE PROPOSED PRIL STRATEGIES (STAR AND DEEP TOPOLOGIES): END-TO-END LATENCY

	Star topology (3 layers)								
	Flow	$\mid \mu_d$	σ_{d}	d_{p99}	$d_{p99.9}$	$d_{p99.99}$	d_{\max}		
				[:	s]				
TSCH	All	2.503	1.872	8.760	12.660	16.960	32.320		
PRIL-F	All	2.503	1.872	8.780	12.680	17.120	32.900		
ž	Min	12.493	3.278	21.340	25.380	29.020	41.080		
Ξ.	Max	114.79	50.05	215.88	232.10	240.12	246.50		
PF	All	45.392	34.271	158.22	205.80	227.72	246.50		
Deep topology (6 layers)									
	Flow	μ_d	σ_d	d_{p99}	$d_{p99.9}$	$d_{p99.99}$	d_{\max}		
				[:	s]				
TSCH	All	3.543	2.352	10.800	14.500	18.240	28.140		
PRIL-F	All	3.544	2.354	10.840	14.540	18.320	27.400		
ž	Min	24.102	4.559	35.740	40.500	45.040	55.020		
<u>ن</u>	Mov	70 374	24 551	121.66	130.12	136 10	147.08		

TSCH Ns 3.925 2.663 12.340 16.160 20.500 21.820 PRIL-M Ns 98.992 29.575 164.40 176.86 184.16 184.76				Sporadi	c traffic			
PRIL-M Ns 98.992 29.575 164.40 176.86 184.16 184.78	TSCH	$N_{\rm S}$	3.925	2.663	12.340	16.160	20.500	21.820
	PRIL-M	$N_{\rm S}$	98.992	29.575	164.40	176.86	184.16	184.78

112.96

125.18

23.847

132.40

147.08

PR

All

47.658

layer and the two layers of relays located one and two hops away from leaves, respectively) and results related to some specific individual nodes belonging to the different layers (N₀, N₂₃, N₂₁, N₁₇, and N₁). Regarding the entire network (row in the table labeled "All"), the effect of PRIL-M is clear: compared to PRIL-F the total power consumption decreases to 46.4% (from 2140.2 to 993.71 μ W) for the star topology and to 34.3% (from 3941.5 to 1350.2 μ W) for the deep topology. When using PRIL-M, the contribution P_{listen} to power consumption (which is related to the idle listening phenomenon) is practically canceled. This is not true for PRIL-F: in this case, when the distance of the considered node from the source of the packet flow (number of hops) is greater than one, P_{listen} is the same as standard TSCH.

Regarding latency, Table IV shows that PRIL-M adoption makes performance worse than conventional TSCH. As expected, there is a consistent increase of the average latency μ_d and, more in general, of all the statistical indicators related to latency. Besides statistics evaluated over the entire set of flows, the table also reports results related to the two flows characterized by the minimum and maximum average latency, labeled "Min" and "Max," respectively. As can be seen, performance indicators about latency experienced large variations. In Fig. 8, the very same results are depicted graphically as diagrams.

One of the problems of PRIL-M is that the packet with the minimum period originated by N_{ref} deactivates the receiving node (OFF state) even if the children nodes of the transmitting node still have packets to be sent. This phenomenon causes an increase in the latency on the other traffic flows, but also on the subsequent transmissions of packets related to the flow associated with N_{ref} . This issue poses new research challenges for improving PRIL-M.

Above set of experiments confirms that PRIL-M lowers energy consumption at the expense of latency and determinism. This implies that it can be profitably applied to real-world applications for which short latency is irrelevant, e.g., for monitoring purposes (periodic logging of physical quantities where timestamping is carried out by sensors). Conversely, PRIL-F is a valid option in all those contexts with real-time requirements, although energy saving is suboptimal.

C. Traffic Characteristics

Additional experiments were finally carried out to analyze how the characteristics of the traffic impact on performance. In all cases the deep topology in Fig. 6(c) was considered.

In the first set of experiments the generation period T_{app} of leaf nodes was varied from 20 s to 5 min with a step of 20 s (always using coprime periods). The topmost plot in Fig. 9 shows the power consumption versus T_{app} . As expected, PRIL-M exhibits tangibly lower energy consumption than both PRIL-F and TSCH. When the generation period is shortened, the behavior of the three approaches becomes similar. In fact, the fraction of cells allocated to the link that are actually exploited for frame transmissions progressively increases, consequently decreasing the effects of idle listening. At the limit, when no cells remain unused (which means that the link operates in saturation conditions), idle listening cannot take place, irrespective of PRIL usage. On the other hand,



Fig. 9. Effect of the variation of the generation periods (deep topology).

when the period grows larger the power consumption of every approach converges to a specific limit value, and differences among them become more or less constant. Reasoning again at the limit, when T_{app} is enlarged consistently the majority of the allocated cells remain unused, which implies that the most part of energy is wasted by idle listening. Hence, PRIL ability to lessen this phenomenon (especially the multihop version) plays a crucial role in determining power consumption.

Concerning the average latency (lower part of Fig. 9), in PRIL-M, it increases linearly with the generation period T_{app} . This is an expected behavior: in fact, the time for which the outgoing link in every intermediate relay node remains OFF is equal to the minimum generation period T_{\min} it observes among the incoming flows. In this experiment, all periods T_{app} were set (about) equal, therefore T_{\min} (and the sleep time T_{end}) are approximately the same for the different relays. As explained in Section III-D, for any given relay the frames of flows other than the one originating from N_{ref} may find the link OFF. In this case, they will wait for the arrival of a packet from N_{ref}, after which all the queued frames will be orderly sent as a batch. When frame arrivals are not aligned with forwarding opportunities [this can be determined by looking at the network topology, see red arrows in Fig. 6(c)], the forwarding delay introduced by every relay node is, on average, $T_{\rm min}/2$. As a consequence, the network-wide mean latency is proportional to T_{app} .

As far as PRIL-F and TSCH are concerned (see the zoomed in portion included in Fig. 9), they have the same latency, which decreases as the period increases and tends asymptotically to a limit value because packet queuing phenomena within relays become progressively less likely. Conversely, when the period gets shorter, the probability for a packet to arrive to a relay when other packets are also enqueued grows higher. This causes additional queuing delays, which explains the reason why, when T_{app} is small, mean latency increases up to several times T_{sfr} (remaining nevertheless below PRIL-M).

A second set of experiments was performed to assess the effect of PRIL-M on best effort sporadic traffic, whose results are reported in the lower part of Table III. A new node N_S (shown with a dashed red circle) was added to this purpose as a leaf to the network in Fig. 6(c), and it was programmed to generate sporadic traffic where packet intertimes are selected randomly according to an exponential distribution with mean

value 1 h. As expected, packets generated by N_S suffer in PRIL-M from the largest latency increase with respect to TSCH, because they are delayed more in every hop of their path (the PRIL-M state machines running in relay nodes privilege the flow with the minimum period, which never coincides with the sporadic flow).

VI. CONCLUSION

Time-slotted networks like TSCH may benefit from the adoption of the PRIL-F and PRIL-M techniques, which exploit traffic periodicity to reduce power consumption dramatically (periodic traffic patterns are commonplace in WSNs deployed at the perception layer in the IoT pyramid). In particular, in all the network configurations we analyzed in this work, the joint use of PRIL-M and PRIL-F decreased power consumption to less than 25% compared to standard TSCH, and to less than 50% compared to PRIL-F alone.

This remarkable achievement permits PRIL-M to be profitably adopted whenever IoT solutions based on WSNs have to be set up according to the deploy-and-forget paradigm. In these cases, reducing energy consumption to the bare minimum is a prerequisite to reduce maintenance activities involved in battery replacement, hence decreasing the total cost of ownership. Another nonnegligible advantage of PRIL-M is that, it reduces the overall amount of exhausted batteries that need to be disposed, which makes these solutions greener.

The only disadvantage of PRIL-M is a nonnegligible increase of end-to-end latency, which suggests that it is unsuitable for applications with real-time constraints. In these cases, PRIL-F should be employed alone. For this reason, a consistent part of our future activities on the subject will be devoted to reducing the impact of PRIL-M on communication latency as much as possible, for instance by limiting the maximum time for which scheduled slots can remain in the OFF state. Other potential research activities include the extension of PRIL techniques to similar communication technologies for WSNs, such as DSME.

REFERENCES

- I. C. Chimsom and M. K. Habib, "Design of a two-tier WSN-based IoT surveillance system with cloud integration," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Res. Educ. Mechatron. (REM)*, May 2019, pp. 1–7.
- [2] M. Erdelj, M. Król, and E. Natalizio, "Wireless sensor networks and multi-UAV systems for natural disaster management," *Comput. Netw.*, vol. 124, pp. 72–86, Sep. 2017.
- [3] S. Scanzio, L. Wisniewski, and P. Gaj, "Heterogeneous and dependable networks in industry—A survey," *Comput. Ind.*, vol. 125, Feb. 2021, Art. no. 103388.
- [4] X. Yu, P. Wu, W. Han, and Z. Zhang, "A survey on wireless sensor network infrastructure for agriculture," *Comput. Stand. Interfaces*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 59–64, 2013.
- [5] J. M. Batalla, G. Mastorakis, C. X. Mavromoustakis, and J. Zurek, "On cohabitating networking technologies with common wireless access for home automation system purposes," *IEEE Wireless Commun.*, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 76–83, Oct. 2016.
- [6] IEEE Standard for Low-Rate Wireless Networks, IEEE Standard 802.15.4-2015 (Revision of IEEE Standard 802.15.4-2011), Apr. 2016.
- [7] I. A. M. Gonzalez, F. Meyer, and V. Turau, "A comprehensive performance comparison of IEEE 802.15.4 DSME and TSCH in a realistic IoT scenario for industrial applications," *ACM Trans. Internet Things*, vol. 4, no. 3, p. 16, Jun. 2023. [Online]. Available: https://doi. org/10.1145/3595188

- [8] X. Vilajosana, Q. Wang, F. Chraim, T. Watteyne, T. Chang, and K. S. J. Pister, "A realistic energy consumption model for TSCH networks," *IEEE Sensors J.*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 482–489, Feb. 2014.
- [9] S. Scanzio, G. Cena, and A. Valenzano, "Enhanced energy-saving mechanisms in TSCH networks for the IIoT: The PRIL approach," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Informat.*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 7445–7455, Jun. 2023.
- [10] M. Mongelli and S. Scanzio, "A neural approach to synchronization in wireless networks with heterogeneous sources of noise," *Ad Hoc Netw.*, vol. 49, pp. 1–16, Oct. 2016.
- [11] G. Cena, S. Scanzio, M. G. Vakili, C. G. Demartini, and A. Valenzano, "Assessing the effectiveness of channel hopping in IEEE 802.15.4 TSCH networks," *IEEE Open J. Ind. Electron. Soc.*, vol. 4, pp. 214–229, 2023.
- [12] S. Scanzio et al., "Wireless sensor networks and TSCH: A compromise between reliability, power consumption, and latency," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 167042–167058, 2020.
- [13] M. Mohamadi, B. Djamaa, and M. R. Senouci, "RAST: Rapid and energy-efficient network formation in TSCH-based Industrial Internet of Things," *Comput. Commun.*, vol. 183, pp. 1–18, Feb. 2022.
- [14] D. Z. Fawwaz and S.-H. Chung, "Adaptive trickle timer for efficient 6TiSCH network formation using Q-learning," *IEEE Access*, vol. 11, pp. 37931–37943, 2023.
- [15] S. Afshari, M. Nassiri, and R. Mohammadi, "SA-RPL: A schedulingaware forwarding mechanism in RPL/TSCH-operated networks," *Int. J. Ad Hoc Ubiquitous Comput.*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 35–44, 2020.
- [16] M. A. Sordi, O. K. Rayel, G. L. Moritz, and J. L. Rebelatto, "Towards improving TSCH energy efficiency: An analytical approach to a practical implementation," *Sensors*, vol. 20, no. 21, p. 6047, 2020.
- [17] A. Mavromatis et al., "Adaptive guard time for energy-efficient IEEE 802.15.4 TSCH networks," in *Wired/Wireless Internet Communications*, M. Di Felice, E. Natalizio, R. Bruno, and A. Kassler, Eds. Cham: Springer Int. Publ., 2019, pp. 15–26.
- [18] J. Vera-Pérez, D. Todolí-Ferrandis, J. Silvestre-Blanes, and V. Sempere-Payá, "Bell-X, an opportunistic time Synchronization mechanism for scheduled wireless sensor networks," *Sensors*, vol. 19, no. 19, p. 4128, 2019.
- [19] H. Farag, P. Österberg, M. Gidlund, and S. Han, "RMA-RP: A reliable mobility-aware routing protocol for industrial IoT networks," in *Proc. IEEE Global Conf. Internet Things (GCIoT)*, 2019, pp. 1–6.
- [20] S. Murali and A. Jamalipour, "Mobility-aware energy-efficient parent selection algorithm for low power and lossy networks," *IEEE Internet Things J.*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 2593–2601, Apr. 2019.
- [21] Y. Shin and S. Seol, "Improvement of power consumption in RPL-based networks for mobility environment," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Electron., Inf., Commun. (ICEIC)*, 2020, pp. 1–3.
- [22] V. Kotsiou, G. Z. Papadopoulos, P. Chatzimisios, and F. Theoleyre, "LABeL: Link-based adaptive blacklisting technique for 6TiSCH wireless industrial networks," in *Proc. 20th ACM Int. Conf. Model., Anal. Simulat. Wireless Mobile Syst.*, 2017, pp. 25–33.
- [23] G. Cena, S. Scanzio, and A. Valenzano, "Ultra-low power wireless sensor networks based on time slotted channel hopping with probabilistic blacklisting," *Electronics*, vol. 11, no. 3, p. 304, Jan. 2022. [Online]. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/electronics11030304
- [24] W. Jerbi, O. Cheickhrouhou, A. Guermazi, and H. Trabelsi, "MSU-TSCH: A mobile scheduling updated algorithm for TSCH in the Internet of Things," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Informat.*, vol. 19, no. 7, pp. 7978–7985, Jul. 2023.
- [25] N. T. Javan, M. Sabaei, and V. Hakami, "Adaptive channel hopping for IEEE 802.15.4 TSCH-based networks: A dynamic bernoulli bandit approach," *IEEE Sensors J.*, vol. 21, no. 20, pp. 23667–23681, Oct. 2021.
- [26] N. Accettura, E. Vogli, M. R. Palattella, L. A. Grieco, G. Boggia, and M. Dohler, "Decentralized traffic aware scheduling in 6TiSCH networks: Design and experimental evaluation," *IEEE Internet Things J.*, vol. 2, no. 6, pp. 455–470, Dec. 2015.
- [27] M. Ojo, S. Giordano, G. Portaluri, D. Adami, and M. Pagano, "An energy efficient centralized scheduling scheme in TSCH networks," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Commun. Workshops (ICC)*, 2017, pp. 570–575.
- [28] T. Matsui and H. Nishi, "Time slotted channel hopping scheduling based on the energy consumption of wireless sensor networks," in *Proc. IEEE 15th Int. Workshop Adv. Motion Control (AMC 2018)*, 2018, pp. 605–610.
- [29] T. van der Lee, G. Exarchakos, and S. H. de Groot, "Swarm-based energy efficient scheduling for wireless sensor networks," in *Proc. IEEE Conf. Standards Commun. Netw. (CSCN)*, 2019, pp. 1–6.

- [30] B. Özceylan, B. Ünlü, and B. Baykal, "An energy efficient optimum shared cell scheduling for TSCH networks," in *Proc. IEEE 13th Int. Conf. Wireless Mobile Comput., Netw. Commun. (WiMob)*, 2017, pp. 1–8.
- [31] M. G. Gaitán, D. Dujovne, J. Zuñiga, A. Figueroa, and L. Almeida, "Multi-gateway designation for real-time TSCH networks using spectral clustering and centrality," *IEEE Embedded Syst. Lett.*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 97–100, Jun. 2023.
- [32] F. Veisi, J. Montavont, and F. Theoleyre, "Enabling centralized scheduling using software defined networking in industrial wireless sensor networks," *IEEE Internet Things J.*, vol. 10, no. 23, pp. 20675–20685, Dec. 2023.
- [33] S. Scanzio, G. Cena, A. Valenzano, and C. Zunino, "Energy saving in TSCH networks by means of proactive reduction of idle listening," in *Ad-Hoc, Mobile, Wireless Netw.*, L. A. Grieco, G. Boggia, G. Piro, Y. Jararweh, and C. Campolo, Eds. Cham, Switzerland: Springer Int. Publ., 2020, pp. 131–144.
- [34] C. Vallati, S. Brienza, G. Anastasi, and S. K. Das, "Improving network formation in 6TiSCH networks," *IEEE Trans. Mobile Comput.*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 98–110, Jan. 2019.
- [35] E. Municio et al., "Simulating 6TiSCH networks," Trans. Emerg. Telecommun. Technol., vol. 30, no. 3, 2019, Art. no. e3494.
- [36] A. Elsts, "TSCH-Sim: Scaling up simulations of TSCH and 6TiSCH networks," *Sensors*, vol. 20, no. 19, p. 5663, 2020.



Stefano Scanzio (Senior Member, IEEE) received the Laurea and Ph.D. degrees in computer science from the Politecnico di Torino, Turin, Italy, in 2004 and 2008, respectively.

From 2004 to 2009, he was with the Department of Computer Engineering, Politecnico di Torino, where he was involved in research on speech recognition and classification methods and algorithms. Since 2009, he has been with the National Research Council of Italy, Milan, Italy, where he is currently a Senior Researcher with CNR-IEIIT. He teaches

several courses on computer science with the Politecnico di Torino and the Università degli Studi di Pavia, Pavia, Italy. He has authored or coauthored more than 100 papers in international journals and conferences, in the areas of industrial communication systems, real-time networks, wireless networks, and artificial intelligence.

Dr. Scanzio received the 2017 Best Paper Award from IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON INDUSTRIAL INFORMATICS, and the Best Paper Awards for three papers presented at the IEEE Workshops on Factory Communication Systems in 2010, 2017, and 2019, and for a paper presented at the IEEE International Conference on Factory Communication Systems in 2020. He took part in the program and organizing committees of many international conferences of primary importance in his research areas. He is an Associate Editor of IEEE ACCESS, *Ad Hoc Networks* (Elsevier), and *Electronics* (MDPI).



Federico Quarta received the B.S. degree in computer engineering and the M.S. degree in software engineering from the Politecnico di Torino, Turin, Italy, in 2020 and 2021, respectively.

He is currently working as a software developer with a company that offers solutions to energy and utilities sales companies.



Giacomo Paolini (Member, IEEE) received the M.Sc. degree in biomedical engineering and the Ph.D. degree in electronics, telecommunications, and information technologies engineering from the University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy, in 2016 and 2021, respectively.

He joined the Interdepartmental Center for and Communication Industrial Information Technologies Research, University of Bologna as a Research Fellow within the EU-supported HABITAT (Home Assistance Based on the Internet of Things

for the Autonomy of Everybody) project in 2016. He is currently working as a Junior Assistant Professor with the Department of Electrical, Electronic and Information Engineering "G. Marconi," University of Bologna. His research interests include microwave radar systems for biomedical applications, indoor positioning exploiting RFID technologies, near-field and far-field wireless power transfer, and simultaneous wireless information and power transfer systems.



Gianluca Cena (Senior Member, IEEE) received the M.S. degree in electronic engineering and the Ph.D. degree in information and system engineering from the Politecnico di Torino, Turin, Italy, in 1991 and 1996, respectively.

Since 2005, he has been a Director of Research with the National Research Council of Italy (CNR-IEIIT), Turin, Italy. His research interests include wired and wireless industrial communication systems, real-time protocols, and automotive networks. In these areas, he has coauthored about 170 technical papers and one international patent.

Dr. Cena received the Best Paper Award of the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON INDUSTRIAL INFORMATICS in 2017 and the IEEE Workshop on Factory Communication Systems in 2004, 2010, 2017, 2019, and 2020. He served as a Program Co-Chairman of the IEEE Workshop on Factory Communication Systems in 2006 and 2008. Since 2009, he has been an Associate Editor of the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON INDUSTRIAL INFORMATICS.



Gabriele Formis (Student Member, IEEE) received the B.Sc. degree in mechanical engineering and the M.Sc. degree in automation and control engineering from the Politecnico di Milano, Milan, Italy, in 2018 and 2020, respectively. He is currently pursuing the National Ph.D. degree in artificial intelligence with the Politecnico di Torino, Turin, Italy.

He is also a Research Associate with the Institute of Electronics, Computer and Telecommunication Engineering of the National Research Council of Italy (CNR-IEIIT), Milan. His research interests

include artificial intelligence, wireless networks, and autonomous driving.

Open Access funding provided by "Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche-CARI-CARE-ITALY" within the CRUI CARE Agreement