



**Review** 

# Innovative Solid-Phase Extraction Strategies for Improving the Advanced Chromatographic Determination of Drugs in Challenging Biological Samples

Mohammadreza Mahdavijalal, Carmine Petio, Giovanni Staffilano, Roberto Mandrioli and Michele Protti

Special Issue [Mass Spectrometry in Life Sciences: A Theme Issue Dedicated to Professor Gérard Hopfgartner](https://www.mdpi.com/journal/molecules/special_issues/Gerard_Hopfgartner)

Edited by Dr. Laura Mercolini







*Review*



## **Innovative Solid-Phase Extraction Strategies for Improving the Advanced Chromatographic Determination of Drugs in Challenging Biological Samples**

**Mohammadreza Mahdavijalal <sup>1</sup> [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9974-192X) Carmine Petio <sup>2</sup> , Giovanni Staffilano <sup>3</sup> , Roberto Mandrioli 4,[\\*](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9631-591X) and Michele Protti [1](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9310-4957)**

- <sup>1</sup> Research Group of Pharmaco-Toxicological Analysis (PTA Lab), Department of Pharmacy and Biotechnology (FaBiT), Alma Mater Studiorum—University of Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; mohamma.mahdavijalal@unibo.it (M.M.); michele.protti2@unibo.it (M.P.)
- <sup>2</sup> Psychiatric Diagnosis and Care Services, Local Health Unit Company (AUSL) of Bologna—IRCCS St. Orsola-Malpighi, 40138 Bologna, Italy; carmine.petio@ausl.bologna.it
- <sup>3</sup> Cardiology and Intensive Care Unit, Local Health Company (ASL) of Teramo, 64100 Teramo, Italy; staffilano@gmail.com
- <sup>4</sup> Department for Life Quality Studies (QuVi), Alma Mater Studiorum—University of Bologna, 47921 Rimini, Italy
- **\*** Correspondence: roberto.mandrioli@unibo.it

**Abstract:** In the past few decades, considerable scientific strides have been made in the subject of drug analysis in human biological samples. However, the risk caused by incorrect drug plasma levels in patients still remains an important concern. This review paper attempts to investigate the advances made over the last ten years in common sample preparation techniques (SPT) for biological samples based on solid sorbents, including solid-phase extraction (SPE) and solid-phase micro-extraction (SPME), and in particular in the field of molecularly imprinted polymers (MIPs), including non-stimuli-responsive and stimuli-responsive adsorbents. This class of materials is known as 'smart adsorbents', exhibiting tailored responses to various stimuli such as magnetic fields, pH, temperature, and light. Details are provided on how these advanced SPT are changing the landscape of modern drug analysis in their coupling with liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) analytical techniques, a general term that includes high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and ultra-high performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC), as well as any variation of MS, such as tandem (MS/MS), multiple-stage (MS<sup>n</sup>), and high-resolution (HRMS) mass spectrometry. Some notes are also provided on coupling with less-performing techniques, such as high-performance liquid chromatography with ultraviolet (HPLC-UV) and diode array detection (HPLC-DAD) detection. Finally, we provide a general review of the difficulties and benefits of the proposed approaches and the future prospects of this research area.

**Keywords:** therapeutic drug monitoring; human biological fluids; mass spectrometry; smart adsorbents; solid-phase extraction; multiple-stage mass spectrometry

#### **1. Introduction**

The monitoring of pharmaceutical compounds in the biological fluids of patients has been increasingly recognized in recent years as an effective and advantageous measure to enhance the efficacy of drug therapy. Biological matrices include various fluids such as whole blood, serum, plasma, urine, saliva, breast milk, cerebrospinal fluid, and sweat, and tissue samples such as hair, nail, skin, bone, and muscle. Given the close association between circulating drug levels in the bloodstream and their pharmacological effects, the convenience of sampling, and the dynamic fluctuations in drug concentrations detected in plasma and urine, these two matrices are usually considered the most suitable options for the drug analysis process. Due to the presence of a huge number of components



**Citation:** Mahdavijalal, M.; Petio, C.; Staffilano, G.; Mandrioli, R.; Protti, M. Innovative Solid-Phase Extraction Strategies for Improving the Advanced Chromatographic Determination of Drugs in Challenging Biological Samples. *Molecules* **2024**, *29*, 2278. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules29102278) [doi.org/10.3390/molecules29102278](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules29102278)

Academic Editor: Carsten Müller

Received: 30 March 2024 Revised: 2 May 2024 Accepted: 5 May 2024 Published: 12 May 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)  $4.0/$ ).

in biological matrices and the limited stability of many drugs, obtaining reliable and accurate results from this kind of analysis is a complicated analytical challenge, requiring selective and very efficient sample preparation procedures. This daunting task must anyway be undertaken, since knowing the precise concentration of a medication and its main metabolites in biological tissues makes it possible for physicians to quickly and objectively determine the most effective drug dosage for patients, exploiting known or tentative chemical–clinical correlations (CCC), i.e., relationships between drug/metabolite dose and tissue levels and between tissue levels and therapeutic, unwanted, and toxic effects. This practice, called therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM), is capable of offering clinicians insight into metabolic, bioavailability, toxicity, and pharmacokinetic data [\[1\]](#page-24-0).

In the last few decades, there has been extensive growth in the field of analytical apparatus for drug evaluation, mainly due to improvements in the wider availability of mass spectrometry (MS) in all of its several types, including high-resolution (HRMS), multiple-stage (MS<sup>n</sup>), and tandem (MS/MS) mass spectrometry. This technological progress has increasingly made it easier to carry out reliable determinations of drugs in complex matrix samples, even at trace and ultra-trace concentrations. However, the effective tracking of drugs remains challenging owing to the existence of matrix interferences in biofluids such as proteins, carbohydrates, lipids, nucleic acids, and metabolites [\[2\]](#page-24-1). Technical innovations have not yet made sample preparation techniques (SPT) useless or irrelevant. Thus, an intelligent choice of the correct approach for biological fluid SPT, in conjunction with a proper measuring tool for drug analysis, is of the utmost significance in this field of study. In this regard, solid-adsorbent-based techniques, mainly solid-phase extraction (SPE), and its recent developments, such as dispersive solid-phase extraction (d-SPE), solid-phase microextraction (SPME), and SPE on molecularly imprinted polymers (SPE-MIP), are highly favored and extensively employed [\[3](#page-24-2)[–6\]](#page-24-3). Nevertheless, the development, optimization, and application of effective SPE protocols to be applied to the desired drugs are generally viewed as a taxing and complicated task. Such problems have motivated the development of novel strategies for removing unwanted chemicals from biological samples [\[4\]](#page-24-4).

The SPE approach often entails the use of relatively large amounts of solvents, raising environmental concerns about solvent toxicity, waste management, and energy usage. In this context, the advancement of miniaturized SPE technology has led to significant shifts in pharmaceutical analysis and offered greener and more ecologically friendly alternatives to traditional SPE procedures, according to the current tenets of Green Analytical Chemistry (GAC) [\[7\]](#page-24-5) in general and of Green Sample Preparation (GSP) in particular [\[8](#page-24-6)[,9\]](#page-24-7). SPME, MIPs, micro-extraction by packed sorbent (MEPS), and volumetric absorptive microsampling (VAMS) are examples of widely used miniaturized SPE approaches that have received a lot of attention from researchers in the past few years. These techniques have largely addressed environmental concerns in addition to producing significant savings in organic solvent usage. Minimal sample requirements, high analytical sensitivity, shortened extraction duration, compatibility with eco-friendly solvents, and minimal waste generation are the distinctive features of miniaturized SPE methods aligned with green chemistry in drug analysis extraction from complex biosamples [\[10\]](#page-25-0).

Anyway, these techniques employ the same kinds of SPE sorbents as classic nonminiaturized ones; thus, any evolution and progress in the sorbent space can be readily transferred into the miniaturization space, providing better performance to environmentallyfriendly approaches. Indeed, an expanding body of literature exists, devoted to investigating the performance increase brought about by the use of new SPE adsorbents, and in particular by stimuli-responsive polymers (SRPs). This class of engineered adsorbents possesses an exceptional ability to respond to a specific type of stimulus, such as temperature, pH, and light. In other words, the smart polymer employed in the adsorbent structure exhibits a controlled and reversible alteration in its chemical and physical properties upon exposure to a proper and predetermined stimulus [\[4\]](#page-24-4). The unique performance of SRPs enables them to effectively adsorb the desired drug during the extraction process and (in most cases) release it without requiring an extraction solvent in a controlled and intelligent

form. The possibility of reusing modified polymeric adsorbents in sample pretreatment operations is another notable feature of these appealing sorbents. Moreover, the smart adsorbents benefit from a high surface-to-volume ratio and minimize the risk of drug loss or degradation during extraction [\[11\]](#page-25-1). In this context, using, e.g., magnetic materials as solid sorbents has simplified the SPE process, making it faster and more environmentally friendly than traditional approaches. This technique uses a magnet to facilitate phase separation during the sorbent extraction procedure, eliminating the need for vacuum manifolds or centrifugation processes. Consequently, this approach minimizes the time and energy needed for the extraction operation.

To date, numerous review papers have been published on the synthesis and characterization of adsorbents for use in SPE, d-SPE, SPME, and SPE-MIP approaches for drug extraction from complex biological samples. Hence, this paper does not intend to assess the various methods for synthesizing (smart or non-smart) adsorbents in the presented approaches in scientific papers. Indeed, it aims to foster innovation in the scientific community by illustrating the most significant applications of such advanced adsorbents and how their coupling with increasingly effective and extremely powerful LC-MS analytical techniques is revolutionizing the field of TDM.

#### **2. Materials and Methods**

#### *2.1. Eligibility Criteria*

To achieve the objectives of this review, we investigated research works that focused on quantifying drug extraction from biological fluids using HPLC and GC techniques, with special attention paid to those methods that use MS, MS/MS, MS<sup>n</sup>, and HRMS as the means of detection. Research articles involving the use of other sample preparation and drug analysis systems were excluded, along with in vitro and animal investigations. No gender or age constraints were placed on the subjects (if any) of the considered studies.

#### *2.2. Search Strategy*

An organized search was carried out through the following databases: Web of Science, PubMed, Google Scholar, and Scopus. Keywords used in the search included drug extraction, smart solid phase extraction, MIPs, MSPE, SPME, mass spectrometry, LC-MS/MS, and related terms. All the abstracts resulting from the search were screened, and relevant papers were selected and downloaded. Furthermore, a thorough evaluation of references within the relevant articles was carried out in order to discover additional supplemental sources to be incorporated into the study.

#### **3. Solid-Phase-Based Extraction Procedures**

*3.1. Application of Non-Stimuli-Responsive Adsorbents*

#### 3.1.1. Solid-Phase Extraction (SPE)

Over the past decade, various sorbent materials have been identified and employed for the pre-concentration and extraction of the desired analyte(s) using the SPE technique prior to chromatographic analysis. These adsorbents presented a wide range of choices, from traditional ones such as  $C_8$ ,  $C_{18}$ , and typical phases like silica and alumina to various other sorbents such as hydrophilic-lipophilic balance (HLB), mixed-mode polymeric sorbents (coupling Cx sorbents with cation or anion exchange ones), and more [\[3\]](#page-24-2). Likewise, in recent years, a variety of other solid sorbents have been proposed for the preparation of samples, involving magnetic, metal-organic, carbon particles, and polymer-based particles such as MIPs, poly(glycidyl methacrylate-divinylbenzene) (PGM-DVB), and polystyrenedivinylbenzene (PS-DVB) [\[3\]](#page-24-2).

Wojnicz et al. [\[12\]](#page-25-2) proposed an efficient method for measuring aripiprazole and its metabolite, dehydroaripiprazole, in plasma samples via LC-MS/MS. The suggested approach extracts analytes from  $200 \mu L$  of plasma sample using a three-step micro-elution SPE with Oasis PRiME HLB 96-well elution plates and isotopically labeled aripiprazole as an internal standard (IS). The PRiME HLB sorbent is an innovative polymeric material that is water-wettable and does not require conditioning or equilibration, thus greatly simplifying extraction procedures. It is reported that the offered approach can eliminate over 99% of primary plasma phospholipids, surpassing alternative successful approaches such as the protein precipitation technique (PPT) or liquid-liquid extraction (LLE). Furthermore, this strategy has demonstrated greater extraction recovery, varying from 96% to 106%, in comparison with previously employed methods in this area. The authors utilized a triple quadrupole MS (QqQ) analyzer with electrospray ionization (ESI) and improved multiple reaction monitoring (SRM) parameters for accurate mass measurement. This optimization involved adjusting the nebulizer pressure to 60 psi, retaining the desolvation gas (nitrogen) at 350  $\degree$ C, and a flow rate of 12 mL/min [\[12\]](#page-25-2). The method offers some green benefits, like sample volume reduction and less chemical waste generation due to the effective removal of phospholipid. Additionally, the elimination of phospholipids from plasma samples using a three-step micro-elution SPE method decreases matrix effects and improves the reliability of the analytical method. Nevertheless, the three-step approach may require more time and effort than simpler procedures such as PPT.

In another paper, Tang et al. [\[13\]](#page-25-3) introduced an efficient approach using LC-MS/MS to quantify morphine and clonidine in human plasma samples for neonatal pharmacokinetic analysis. In this method, the process of SPE has been performed using a polymeric reversedphase sorbent, Strata-X, yielding purer sample extracts than other methods like PPT. The method requires a minimum volume of 100  $\mu$ L of patient plasma, which is valuable for newborns with limited blood samples. The approach revealed a level of accuracy and precision of about 15% for each analyte. The morphine and clonidine measuring limits were 1 to 1000 ng/mL and 0.25 to 100 ng/mL, respectively. Notably, the extraction yields indicated acceptable effectiveness, with values ranging from around 79% to nearly 94%. The authors' proposed method displays an elevated degree of accuracy and sensitivity through SPE sample preparation. The strategy employed purer sample extracts and eliminated interference more effectively than alternative extraction methods. Further, the suggested approach conserves valuable sample resources, decreases the need for additional sample collection, and lowers anxiety among patients. The application of Strata-X sorbent in this research is consistent with GSP principles as it minimizes the consumption of organic solvents and waste creation, therefore supporting an eco-friendly analytical method. Nevertheless, considering the volume of samples and specific requirements, the use of Strata-X sorbents may hinder the simultaneous handling of samples, thereby reducing experimental capacity and technique effectiveness. Table [1](#page-4-0) lists some applications of SPE in drug extraction from biosamples over the last ten years [\[13\]](#page-25-3).



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Table 1.** Some examples of SPE application in drug extraction from human biological samples over the past ten years.

Analyte(s)	<b>Matrices</b>	Extraction Conditions	Analysis Conditions	Analysis Method	<b>LOD</b> (ng/mL)	Ref.
Catecholamines, Metanephrines	Urine	Sorbent: SPE Strata-X-CW; <b>Extraction solvent:</b> FA, MeOH $(2:98 v/v);$ Sample Volume: $\geq$ 1000 µL	Column: Unison C <sub>18</sub> $(100 \times 2.0$ mm, $3 \mu m)$ ; MP: water/FA $(99.9:0.1, v/v)$ and ACN-FA (99.9:0.1, $v/v$ ); Flow rate: $0.3 \text{ mL/min}$ ; Injection volume: $1.0 \mu L$ (40 °C); Detector: MS/MS with iFunnel Technology source and ESI-POS; Operating mode: <b>MRM</b>	SPE-HPLC- MS/MS	$3.5 - 7.4$	$[15]$
Colistin, Methanesulphonate Colistin	Plasma Urine	Sorbent: SPE Oasis WCX 96-well plate; Sample Volume: 420 μL; Extraction solvent: ACN/water $(30/70 v/v)$ , and $(6%)$ FA	Column: Phonomenex Kinetex $XB-C_{18}$ $(100 \times 2.1 \text{ mm}, 2.6 \text{ }\mu\text{m})$ ; MP: ACN/MeOH $(1:1, v/v)$ and 0.1% FA in Water; Flow rate: 0.4 mL/min; Injection volume: 5.0 µL (30 $\degree$ C); Detector: MS/MS with ESI-POS; Operating mode: SRM	SPE- UHPLC- MS/MS	$13 - 25.1$	$\left[16\right]$
Paliperidone	Plasma	Sorbent: SPE Oasis PRIME HLB, SPE cartridge (30 mg); Sample Volume: 250 µL	Column: Thermo Betabasic $(100 \times 4.6$ mm, 8.5 µm), MP: MeOH/NH <sub>4</sub> OAc (70:30 $v/v$ ); Flow rate: $1.0$ mL/min; Detector: MS/MS with ESI-POS; Operating mode: SRM	SPE-HPLC- MS/MS		$[17]$
Aripiprazole, Dehydro-aripiprazole	Plasma	Sorbent: SPE Oasis PRIME HLB 96-well elution plate (3 mg); Sample volume: 200 μL; Extraction solvent: ACN/MeOH/NH <sub>4</sub> FA $(5 \text{ mM}, \text{pH } 4.0)$ $(8:1:1,$ v/v/v	Column: ACE C <sub>18</sub> -PFP $(4.6 \times 100 \text{ mm}, 3 \text{ }\mu\text{m})$ ; MP: $NH_4FA(5 mM,pH 4.0)/ACN$ $(65:35, v/v)$ ; Flow rate: $0.6$ mL/min; Injection Volume:5.0 $\mu$ L (25 °C); Detector: MS/MS with ESI-POS; Operating mode: <b>MRM</b>	SPE-HPLC- MS/MS		$[12]$
Clonidine, Morphine	Plasma	Sorbent: SPE Strata-X polymeric $(30 \,\mathrm{mg/mL})$ ; Extraction solvent: ACN/MeOH (1:1 $v/v$ ); Sample Volume: $150 \mu L$ (plasma), $10 \mu L$ (urine)	Column: Inertsil ODS <sub>3</sub> C <sub>18</sub> , $(100 \times 3$ mm, 4 µm); MP: FA (0.1%) in Water + FA (0.1%) in MeOH; Flow rate: $0.2$ mL/min; Injection volume:10.0 $\mu$ L (25 °C); Detector: MS/MS with Turbo Ion Spray; Operating mode: MRM	SPE-HPLC- MS/MS	$0.2 - 0.15$	$[13]$
Aripiprazole, Dehydro- aripiprazole, Olanzapine, Risperidone, Paliperidone, Quetiapine, Clozapine, Caffeine	Plasma	Sorbent: HLB-Oasis PRIME HLB 96-well µElution Plate; Extraction Solvent: ACN with 0.1% FA; Sample Volume: 200 µL	Column: ACE C <sub>18</sub> -PFP (pentafluorophenyl) $(100 \times 4.6$ mm, 3 µm); MP: FA $(0.2\%)$ -ACN (pH 3.0) (65:35, $v/v$ ); Flow rate: 0.6 mL/min $(25 °C)$ ; Injection Volume: 5 µL; Detector: MS/MS with ESI-POS; Operating mode: <b>MRM</b>	$\mu$ -SPE- HPLC- MS/MS		$[18]$
Imatinib, Dasatinib, Nilotinib, Bosutinib, Ponatinib, Ruxolitinib, Ibrutinib, Filgotinib, Tofacitinib, Baricitinib, Peficitinib, Caffeine	Plasma	Sorbent: PRIME µ-SPE MCX (mixed-mode cation exchange); Extractin Solvent: 5% NH4OH in MeOH solution $(1:1, v/v)$ and water	Column: Poroshell EC-C <sub>18</sub> $(75 \times 2.1 \text{ mm}, 2.7 \text{ }\mu\text{m})$ ; MP: Water/ACN with 0.1% FA $(82:12, v/v)$ ; Flow rate: $0.5$ mL/min (60 °C); Injection volume: $5 \mu L$ ; Detector: MS/MS with ESI-POS; Operating mode: dMRM	$\mu$ -SPE- HPLC- MS/MS		$[19]$
Palbociclib, Abemaciclib	Plasma	Sorbent: SPE Oasis PRIME HLB; <b>Extraction Solvent:</b> MeOH; Sample Volume: $>200 \mu L$	Column: Waters CORTECS $C_{18}$ (50 $\times$ 4.6 mm, 2.7 µm); MP: NH <sub>4</sub> OAc/Acetic acid $(10 \text{ mM})$ and ACN; Flow rate: 0.8 mL/min; Injection volume: 40.0 µL (40 $^{\circ}$ C); Detector: MS/MS with ESI-POS; Operating mode: SRM	SPE-HPLC- MS/MS		$[20]$

**Table 1.** *Cont.*



**Table 1.** *Cont.*

SPE—Solid-phase Extraction; µ-SPE—Micro Solid-phase Extraction; HLB—Hydrophilic-Lipophilic Balance; ACN—Acetonitrile; MeOH—Methanol; NH4FA—Ammonium formate; MP—Mobile phase; GHB—gammahydroxybutyrate; FA—Formic acid; EA—ethyl acetate; DE—diethyl ether; H—hexane; LLE—Liquid-liquid Extraction; ESI—electrospray ionization; MRM—Multiple reactions monitoring; dMRM—Dynamic multiple reactions monitoring; SRM—Selective Reaction Monitoring; NH4OAc—ammonium acetate; TFA—Trifluoroacetic acid.

#### 3.1.2. Dispersive Solid-Phase Extraction (d-SPE)

In 2003, Anastassiades et al. introduced, a fast, simple, and highly efficient cleanup d-SPE method, to the scientific community [\[22\]](#page-25-12). In the d-SPE, the absorbent particles are dispersed throughout the sample matrix rather than being tightly packed into any kind of device, such as an SPE cartridge, column, or disk [\[23\]](#page-25-13). This form of dispersion enhances interactions between the sorbent and target analytes, reducing both extraction time and solvent consumption and supporting the development of environmentally friendly and economical methods. After the drug loading process onto the dispersed sorbents, the next important phase is separation, which involves isolating and purifying the solution containing the target analytes through techniques such as centrifugation or filtration. The extracted analytes are ultimately determined following their elution process from the adsorbent. The approach, also known as dispersive micro-solid phase extraction (D-µ-SPE), utilizes small quantities (milligrams) of sorbent. Qian et al. [\[24\]](#page-25-14) proposed a fast and extremely precise approach for reliable colchicine measurement in plasma and urine. The method involves a combination of in-syringe d-SPE and LC-QqQ with ESI (d-SPE-ESI-LC-MS/MS) in MRM mode, demonstrating very good linearity and accuracy at therapeutic drug levels. The in-syringe dispersive d-SPE approach effectively optimized the quantities of primary secondary amine (PSA) and magnesium sulfate (MgSO4). The proposed method demonstrated a linearity range of 0.04–20 ng/mL and limits of detection (LOD) and quantitation (LOQ) of 0.06 ng/mL and 0.2 ng/mL for colchicine in plasma and urine. Mean extraction yields at three different spiking levels in plasma and urine are quite reproducible (93.9–102.68%), with acceptable relative standard deviation (RSD) values [\[24\]](#page-25-14). Moreover, the investigation thoroughly validates the analytical approach by assessing matrix effect, stability, dilution integrity, and carryover. The methodology improves analytical precision by removing impurities through the use of in-syringe d-SPE. Additionally, it uses less solvent than traditional extraction techniques, making it more environmentally friendly. The method, despite the success in sample preparation facilitated by DSPE, involves a complex process necessitating broad optimization, thereby elevating the time and complexity requisite for method development.

Marzi Khosrowshahi et al. [\[25\]](#page-25-15) developed an improved d-SPE technique employing microcrystalline cellulose (MCC) for the precise detection of metoprolol in plasma and wastewater using HPLC-MS/MS. Employing MCC as a sorbent in the d-SPE method provides a green, economically viable, and environmentally friendly alternative. In addition, zinc sulfate  $(ZnSO<sub>4</sub>)$  is recommended for precipitating proteins in this study. The paper deals with some experimental parameters such as the optimization of MCC value, salt addition, adsorption time, and elution solvent volume. The proposed strategy indicated reasonable relative recovery rates in the range of 84–93% for plasma and 92–96% for wastewater, with minor effects caused by sample matrices on analyte measurements [\[25\]](#page-25-15). The green achievement of this study is the utilization of MCC, a renewable and biodegradable

sorbent, as an eco-friendly substitute for conventional extraction sorbents in the d-SPE process of metoprolol from complicated samples. Furthermore, the suggested technique uses a minimal volume of plasma and wastewater with no extra treatment, which reduces sample treatments and resource usage. The avoidance of sample dilution, the avoidance of cartridge clogging, and the usage of minimal plasma volume are some of the advantages of the offered method in the study. In return, the necessity to optimize extraction parameters for other biosample matrices seems a possible barrier to the suggested method, complicating the process of both development and operation. Table [2](#page-7-0) presents a brief overview of the application of d-SPE for extracting drugs from human biological samples.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Table 2.** Some examples of d-SPE application in drug extraction from human biological samples over the past ten years.



SPE—Solid-phase Extraction; d-SPE—dispersive Solid-phase Extraction; ACN—Acetonitrile; MeOH—Methanol; NH4FA—Ammonium formate; MP—Mobile phase; EA—ethyl acetate; ESI—electrospray ionization; MRM— Multiple reactions monitoring; SRM—Selective Reaction Monitoring; RP—Reversed phase; FA—Formic acid; TOF—Time-of-Flight; HLB—Hydrophilic-Lipophilic Balance.

#### 3.1.3. Solid-Phase Microextraction (SPME)

SPME is an efficient extraction technique allowing for the direct extraction of the target analyte(s) from an aqueous matrix onto a coated micro-diameter fiber with no need for any solvent. The mechanism of SPME is dependent on the establishment of a partition equilibrium between the analytes present in the sample or headspace and a coated fused silica fiber. The biosample is typically placed in a vial with a septum-type top, and the fiber is either exposed to the sample headspace (HD-SPME) or directly immersed (DI-SPME) in liquid samples. Non-volatile analytes are typically extracted by directly immersing the fiber into the sample, whereas volatile analytes are extracted by placing the fiber directly above the liquid sample in a sealed container for the process of headspace extraction. This approach facilitates and improves the extraction process by enabling the target analyte to transfer directly from the sample matrix to the polymeric stationary phase present on the fiber's surface. The amount of analyte extracted onto the fiber surface could be affected by the polarity and thickness of the stationary phase coating, the duration of the extraction process, and the analyte concentration in the sample. Moreover, some effective parameters, such as the addition of salt to the sample, agitation, and pH or temperature change, could all be used to improve the efficacy of analyte extraction. Arthur and Pawliszyn [\[30\]](#page-25-20) pioneered this extraction method in the early 1990s, and it quickly became popular due to its ease of use, rapidity, and effectiveness in addressing drawbacks associated with traditional sample preparation methods, such as multiple preparation steps, high solvent consumption, time-consuming processes, and analyte loss.

Looby et al. [\[31\]](#page-25-21) developed a high-throughput SPME procedure combined with LC-MS/MS for the determination of tranexamic acid (TXA) in plasma and urine samples. The authors employed a thin-film microextraction (TFME) brush along with an HLB coating for its affinity with TXA and other polar compounds. The suggested strategy demonstrated a 12-fold advancement in the entire procedure of preparing samples, needing just 25 min to treat 96 samples. Overall, the method produced LOQ values of 10  $\mu$ g/mL and 25 µg/mL for plasma and urine, respectively, with accuracy ranging from 103% to 105% and precision RSD values lower than 8% [\[31\]](#page-25-21). This study offers various benefits, including achieving an LOQ of 10  $\mu$ g/mL for plasma and 25  $\mu$ g/mL for urine, showing sensitivity for detecting TXA concentrations. The method simplifies sample handling by removing pretreatment processes like derivatization and sample clean-up, optimizing the procedure, and minimizing potential sources of errors. Furthermore, the technique contributes to green methods for analytical chemistry by reducing the requirement for solvent-assisted extraction, intensive sample pretreatment, or clean-up procedures. Despite the ease and improvement that SPME technology brings to drug extraction methods, it may confront difficulties in providing reliable results with the complex chemical compositions in diverse biosamples. Hence, it is important to recognize its potential limitations and investigate complementary procedures that guarantee the reliability and precision of analytical results.

Moreover, Hasegawa et al. [\[32\]](#page-26-0) assessed the use of MonoTip  $C_{18}$  tips for micropipette tip-based SPE combined with GC-MS in order to quantify dextromethorphan in human plasma samples. The authors utilized MonoTip  $C_{18}$  tips with bonded monolithic silica gel for sequential extraction and elution with methanol, followed by GC-MS analysis employing a fused silica capillary column and selected ion monitoring (SIM) in positive electron ionization (EI+) mode with a single quadrupole setup. The approach demonstrated an overall 87.4% recovery, excellent linearity in the 2.5–320 ng/mL concentration range, and an LOD of 1.25 ng/mL in plasma [\[32\]](#page-26-0). The paper's green achievement is attributed to its reduced organic solvent usage, minimized waste generation, and faster extraction time compared to traditional extraction methods like SPE and LLE. The use of MonoTip  $C_{18}$  tips for micropipette SPE simplifies the sample preparation process. However, the suggested methodology's use of MonoTip  $C_{18}$  tips increases the possibility of extraction efficiency variations, depending on operator performance and tip quality. Further, achieving ideal extraction conditions requires careful optimization for sufficient recovery and sensitivity, which may require significant time and resources. Some instances of SPME employed for drug extraction from biological materials in recent years are listed in Table [3.](#page-10-0)

#### 3.1.4. Molecularly Imprinted Polymers (MIPs)

Nowadays, there is a growing trend in academic research to increase the use of MIPs as stationary phases in the drug extraction process, since these smart adsorbents display the capacity to successfully selectively isolate and pre-concentrate the compounds of interest from complex sample matrices [\[33\]](#page-26-1). The MIP material is produced through the polymerization of functional and cross-linking monomers surrounding a template molecule, yielding a polymer with a dense three-dimensional cross-linked network. The monomers are selected based on their potential to form non-covalent interactions with the functional groups present in the template molecule. Following the completion of the polymeric stage, the template molecule is separated from the adsorbent, triggering the creation of MIPs, which are characterized by the presence of specific empty space binding sites capable of strongly interacting with the analyte(s) [\[33](#page-26-1)[–35\]](#page-26-2).

Combes et al. [\[36\]](#page-26-3) developed and synthesized two MIPs capable of extracting carbamazepine (CBZ), oxcarbazepine (OXC), and its metabolites from urine. Moreover, the authors compared the efficacy of MIPs versus a non-imprinted polymer (NIP) and a different solid sorbent (Oasis HLB) in extracting the target drugs from urine, emphasizing good selectivity, efficiency, and matrix effect performance. MIP data included extraction recoveries higher than 82% at a 20 ng/mL spike level. Additionally, the LOD value for MIPs combined with LC-MS ranged from 1 to 7 ng/mL [\[36\]](#page-26-3). This work represents an efficient starting point for employing MIPs in clinical and TDM analysis in the near future.

The recommended strategy has various advantages, including MIPs' selective extraction capabilities, which exhibit excellent selectivity in extracting CBZ, OXC, and metabolites from urine samples, even at low levels of concentration. In addition, in contrast to common Oasis HLB sorbents, synthesized MIPs provide higher performance in clean-up efficiency in human urine samples, showing better sample preparation capabilities within analytical workflows. While MIPs provide advantages such as selectivity and accuracy, evaluating their cost-effectiveness against performance standards and comparing them with other extraction methods is essential for establishing their practical value.

Zhou et al. [\[37\]](#page-26-4) presented an analytical method for measuring vancomycin in plasma samples, utilizing the combination of surface molecularly imprinted solid-phase extraction (SMISPE) and LC-MS/MS. The authors developed SMISPE with teicoplanin as the virtual template and silica gel as the carrier, yielding greater selectivity and quick mass transfer. The resultant SMI was then packed into an SPE cartridge to perform the sample pretreatment process. The approach produced accurate calibration in plasma in the 1–100 ng/mL range. The recovery values varied from 94.3% to 104.0%, with precision RSD consistently less than 10.5%, proving the method's accuracy and reliability [\[37\]](#page-26-4). The method suggested has a high sensitivity, allowing for the detection of vancomycin at concentrations as low as 0.5 ng/mL, guaranteeing precise drug level monitoring. Additionally, the method comes with a fast sample preparation time (15 min), which improves analysis efficiency and convenience. However, integrating SMISPE with LC-MS/MS may require specific equipment, thereby increasing the complexity and cost of analysis. Table [3](#page-10-0) reports some important applications of MIPs in the extraction of drugs from human biological samples published over the last ten years.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>



#### **Table 3.** *Cont.*

SPE—Solid-phase Extraction; SPME—Solid-phase Microextraction; MIP—Molecularly Imprinted Polymer; MEPS—Micro-Extraction by Packed Sorbent; ACN—Acetonitrile; MeOH—Methanol; MP—Mobile phase; ESI electrospray ionization; MRM—Multiple reactions monitoring; NH4OAc—ammonium acetate; RP—Reversed phase; HLB—Hydrophilic-Lipophilic Balance; FA—Formic acid; MMIP—Magnetic Molecularly Imprinted Polymer; µ-SPE—Micro-Solid-phase Extraction; NH4FA—Ammonium formate.

#### 3.1.5. Microsampling Methods

In the early 1960s, microsampling was introduced by Dr. Robert Guthrie using the method of dried blood spotting (DBS) for global newborn screening [\[45\]](#page-26-12). Within this approach, a small blood volume from a finger- or heel-prick is sampled on a paper card for storage. After drying, discs of suitable diameter are punched out of the DBS card and immersed in a solvent for direct extraction, analysis, or further sample preparation. One of the most important drawbacks of DBS is the hematocrit (Hct) bias. Hct is the fraction of blood volume filled by blood cells, which influences blood viscosity and dispersion on the DBS filter paper. The uneven distribution of blood on the card could affect extraction recovery and the matrix effect. The blood viscosity variability means that drops with different volumes are produced and that different blood volumes are extracted when punching out a constant spot diameter.

Within the context of bio-sample treatment advances, volumetric absorptive microsampling (VAMS) has acquired prominence in this area by efficiently collecting constant volumes of blood  $(10, 20, \text{or } 30 \mu L)$  via a porous, calibrated hydrophilic tip. To date, several studies have confirmed the potential of VAMS in bio-fluid microsampling, achieving an actual Hct independence of sampled volume and thus better reproducibility and quantitative applications [\[46–](#page-26-13)[48\]](#page-26-14). Like all dried microsampling techniques, VAMS usually provides higher levels of analyte stability than standard wet plasma samples, making it possible to perform room temperature transport and storage in most cases. Furthermore, the VAMS system is easily adapted to at-home blood collection with no need for healthcare experts, making it very convenient for chronic drug monitoring, for patients living far from healthcare facilities, and for patients with reduced mobility. Arguably, determining accurate drug quantities within such a low collected blood volume ( $\leq 30 \mu L$ ) requires the use of advanced, very sensitive, and selective analytical techniques, such as LC-MS/MS. A literature search has revealed that only a limited number of studies on the subject of drug analysis employing the VAMS-LC-MS/MS technique have been published (Table [4\)](#page-13-0).

Paniagua-González et al. [\[49\]](#page-26-15) created and validated a quick UPLC-MS/MS analytical technique for measuring mycophenolic acid (MPA), tacrolimus (TAC), sirolimus (SIR), everolimus (EVE), and cyclosporin A (CsA) in whole blood (WB). The approach achieved

a 2.2 min chromatographic run time through the use of a unique atmospheric pressure interface, UniSprayTM, and VAMS equipment. In this study, the authors highlight the benefits of using UniSprayTM as an ionization source rather than ESI in LC-MS/MS analysis for immunosuppressant medicines, potentially increasing both accuracy and sensitivity in the measurement procedure. The essential difference between these two ionization sources is the application of high voltage: ESI provides it to the spray capillary tip, whereas UniSprayTM directs it to a stainless-steel cylindrical target rod (impactor pin). This interface acts like ESI, but due to the Coandă effect (i.e., the tendency of fluids to flow following the profile of close-by surfaces), the downstream gas flow from the nebulizer follows the curvature of the target rod surface, resulting in smaller droplets and improved analyte desolvation. The authors also exploited statistical research (using Passing–Bablok regression, the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC), and Bland–Altman plots) as part of the VAMS analytical technique validation. They compared the concentrations of TAC  $(n = 53)$  and MPA  $(n = 20)$  in liquid venous blood to the levels observed after peripheral blood sampling by fingerprick and VAMS. In this case, the results obtained seem to indicate that lower TAC levels are found in peripheral VAMS compared to venous blood, requiring further study and examination. The recommended method obtained an LLOQ of 0.5 ng/mL for TAC, EVE, and SIR, 20 ng/mL for CsA, and 75 ng/mL for MPA. In addition, the extraction protocol achieved satisfactory recovery rates (≥73.8%) across various Hct levels (0.2–0.6) as well as good robustness and accuracy, ensuring method reliability in a wide variety of clinical situations [\[49\]](#page-26-15). The advantage reported in this research is the validation of VAMS as an accurate representation of blood drug concentrations, which are quite similar to those obtained from standard liquid venous blood samples. This validation ensures the method's integrity, enabling confident exploration of correlations between venous and capillary blood using VAMS. A schematic representation of the sample preparation approaches used prior to the chromatographic techniques covered in this review paper is shown in Figure [1.](#page-12-0)

<span id="page-12-0"></span>

**Figure 1.** Schematic illustration of biological sample preparation methods for drug analysis before the chromatographic techniques discussed in this review paper.



<span id="page-13-0"></span>**Table 4.** Some examples of VAMS applications in drug extraction from human biological samples over the past ten years.

VAMS—volumetric absorptive microsampling; StAGE—stop-and-go extraction; ACN—Acetonitrile; MeOH— Methanol; MP—Mobile phase; TEA—Triethylamine; ESI—electrospray ionization; MRM—Multiple reactions monitoring; SRM—Selective Reaction Monitoring; NH4OAc—ammonium acetate; FA—Formic acid.

#### *3.2. Application of Stimuli-Responsive Polymeric Adsorbents*

#### 3.2.1. Magnetic Responsive Adsorbents

Magnetic Solid Phase Extraction (MSPE) represents an efficient technique that combines magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) with classic SPE techniques to separate and extract

the target molecule from complex mixtures using a magnetic field. In this frame, the core of MSPE consists of non-magnetic sorbents with magnetic inorganic elements serving as adsorption agents [\[53\]](#page-27-0). These MNPs are modified with ligands or coatings tailored specifically for the analyte, guaranteeing selectivity and affinity throughout the extraction process. The MSPE procedure begins by mixing MNPs with the material, similar to d-SPE. This initial stage increases the interaction between the analytes and the MNPs, making the adsorption process a greater success. Upon exposure to an external magnetic field, the magnetically responsive MNPs, along with the adsorbed analytes, are swiftly concentrated and separated.

Perhaps the most significant benefit of MSPE is that this technique avoids the steps frequently used in SPE procedures, like material packing, vacuum application, centrifugation, or filtration. Moreover, MSPE is extremely adaptable and usually exhibits remarkable selectivity, even when it is applied to complicated matrixes from environmental or biological sources. Since many substances in sample matrixes exhibit diamagnetic behavior, they are incapable of restricting the mobility of magnetic particles during the separation process. The magnetically active center of the adsorbent particles generally includes cobalt, nickel, iron, or their respective oxides. Among these, magnetite ( $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ ) is the most frequently employed component [\[54\]](#page-27-1). The MSPE technique minimizes analysis time by simplifying the extraction steps and facilitating the simultaneous separation and concentration of analytes. Moreover, the easy separation of the drug-loaded adsorbent with an external magnetic field minimizes the usage of organic solvents, which is consistent with green chemistry principles and avoids harmful waste generation [\[10\]](#page-25-0). It is worth mentioning that MSPE approach poses some serious concerns, including the possibility of magnetic NP agglomeration, differences in extraction yields between drugs, and time-consuming sample preparation processes. Overcoming these issues requires cautious attention during method execution [\[53\]](#page-27-0).

Cai et al. reported the successful extraction of several antidepressants (venlafaxine, paroxetine, fluoxetine, norfluoxetine, and sertraline) from plasma and urine samples using magnetic  $C_{18}$ -Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub> NPs and measuring them using UHPLC-MS/MS [\[55\]](#page-27-2). The research employed ESI in positive mode at 5500 V in addition to MRM to track specific precursor-to-product ion transitions. Influential factors such as collision energy (from 31 to 65 V), source temperature (500 °C), and declustering potential (from 28 to 65 V) were optimized. The adsorbent produced displayed a rapid state of adsorption/desorption equilibrium during the drug extraction process, with a low amount of the adsorbent (20 mg). The authors achieved satisfactory drug recoveries from plasma and urine samples (77.0% to 119.4%). Additionally, the coefficient of variation (CV%) for all spiked samples fell within an acceptable range of 10.5% [\[55\]](#page-27-2). The authors claimed that the proposed  $C_{18}$ -functionalized MNPs in this study are environmentally friendly, simple to use, and cost-effective and have a higher throughput than traditional liquid-phase extraction and SPE methods for clinical sample pretreatment. The suggested sorbent quickly obtains adsorption/desorption equilibrium in analyte extraction with just 20 mg utilized, and it is reusable for a maximum of ten cycles. However, the MSPE method offered demands more sample preparation time compared to conventional techniques.

In another study, Heidari and colleagues [\[56\]](#page-27-3) developed and optimized an MSPE method for the measurement of antihypertensive drugs such as losartan, carvedilol, and amlodipine besylate in plasma samples via HPLC-UV. The authors enhanced the stability of the magnetic  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  NPs through a carbon-coating process using a hydrothermal reaction with glucose. They found out that the relative recoveries for carvedilol (93.86–91.67%) and amlodipine besylate (96.81–95.47%) were appropriate, whereas for losartan (63.94–62.11%) it was rather low. They attributed this result to the high binding rate of losartan to plasma proteins. However, the synthesized  $C/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  MSPE demonstrated remarkable potential by effectively adsorbing target drugs in complex plasma samples without any requirement for a protein precipitation operation [\[56\]](#page-27-3). The synthesis of carbon-coated  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  nanoparticles, which adheres to green chemistry principles, stands out as a crucial finding of the study. The authors believe that the proposed methodology based on  $C/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  MNPs represents a pioneering effort in the extraction of losartan, carvedilol, and amlodipine besylate from plasma samples without the necessity of the PPT. Table [5](#page-15-0) summarizes the approaches outlined above, along with several other recent developments in the field of drug extraction from biosamples through MSPE for simple evaluation and comparison.

<span id="page-15-0"></span>**Table 5.** Some examples of magnetic nano-adsorbents in drug extraction from human biological samples over the past ten years.



### **Table 5.** *Cont.*



benzene and vinyl pyrrolidone; SMPS—SiO<sup>2</sup> and methacrylic acid-3-(trimethoxysilyl) propyl ester; M-d-SPE— Magnetic-dispersive Solid-phase Extraction; ACN—Acetonitrile; MeOH—Methanol; MP—Mobile phase; ESI electrospray ionization; MRM—Multiple reactions monitoring; RP—Reversed phase; FA—Formic acid.

#### 3.2.2. Common Stimuli-Responsive Adsorbents

Thermo-responsive adsorbents are primarily designed and manufactured by adding thermo-sensitive polymers as functional monomers to the NPs structure. Ideally, temperature changes induce corresponding changes in the adsorbent's structure, such as expansion, contraction, phase alterations, or surface property alterations, which may be either reversible or irreversible [\[72\]](#page-27-19). The synthesis of a thermosensitive polymeric network on the surface of SPE adsorbents not only improves drug loading capacity on the sorbent but also provides the possibility of controlled release of the loaded analyte from biological solutions in a determined temperature range.

Thermo-responsive polymers feature a distinctive critical solution temperature (CST) that is classified based on the type of monomer utilized as either a lower CST (LCST) or an upper CST (UCST) [\[72\]](#page-27-19). The designed drug adsorbents containing polymers with LCST polymers exhibit hydrophilic properties at temperatures lower than their LCST values. The ingress of water into these types of polymers results in their swelling state. On the contrary, when the temperature exceeds the LCST value, these polymers assume a globular conformation and exhibit hydrophobic behavior. Meanwhile, adsorbents made from UCST polymers demonstrate a swollen state when exposed to temperatures exceeding their respective UCST.

In this regard, poly (N-isopropylacrylamide), or PNIPAM, demonstrates a reversible phase change at its LCST, which takes place at about 32 °C in aqueous solutions [\[73\]](#page-27-20). This characteristic enables the possibility of drug release control, allowing the adsorbent to retain the analyte(s) at room temperature and subsequently release it at modestly higher temperatures. Several other polymers, such as poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG), poly(vinyl methyl ether) (PVME), poly(N-vinylcaprolactam) (PNVCL), and poly(vinylpyrrolidone) (PVP), exhibit thermo-sensitive behavior with a low LCST, making them suitable for applications like drug delivery, sensors, and smart adsorbents [\[63\]](#page-27-10). It is important to note that some drawbacks, such as the high potential toxicity of thermoresponsive polymers and the high molecular weights of the smart polymer carrier, may hinder their wide adoption in standardized routine drug analysis [\[74\]](#page-27-21).

Kazemi and colleagues have successfully engineered a thermo-sensitive MIP adsorbent for the accurate quantification of imatinib mesylate in plasma samples [\[75\]](#page-28-0). The polymerization procedure was performed by using two separate components: N,N'methylenebisacrylamide as a cross-linking agent and PNVCL as a temperature-sensitive polymer. The authors evaluated the temperature impact on the synthesized MIPs network (30 to 50 °C) and observed the highest adsorption of imatinib mesylate at 35 °C, which was attributed to the expanded chains of the temperature-sensitive polymer. In contrast, the temperature-dependent release profile indicated a considerable release of imatinib mesylate from the adsorbent at 50  $°C$ . It was believed that elevating the temperature could reduce the size of the cavities of synthesized MIPs and release the loaded drug. They achieved considerable extraction recoveries (90–95%) from plasma and urine with reasonable relative standard deviations ( $\leq$ 0.98%). The technique showed a relatively LOD (1.4 ng/mL), indicating its high efficiency and sensitivity [\[66\]](#page-27-13).

The proposed MIP adsorbent in this paper features temperature-controlled selectivity and benefits from a proper sample preparation time. Moreover, the suggested strategy is environmentally friendly and uses fewer organic solvents and reagents than traditional extraction methods. Nevertheless, the MIP described in this paper requires precise temperature regulation to function reliably. Variable environmental temperatures may present adaptation challenges, compromising the dependability and reproducibility of extraction results. Based on the existing literature, only a handful of studies have reported on the use of stimuli-responsive nano-adsorbents for drug extraction from biological fluids, which are listed in Table [6.](#page-18-0)



<span id="page-18-0"></span>**Table 6.** Some examples of stimuli-responsive nano-adsorbents for drug extraction from human biological samples over the past ten years.

MIPs—Molecularly Imprinted Polymers; ACN—Acetonitrile; MeOH—Methanol; MP—Mobile phase; PNVCL poly(*N*-vinylcaprolactam); MIMs—Molecularly imprinted membranes; PHMIP—photoresponsive hollow molecularly imprinted polymer.

#### 3.2.3. Dual- and Multi-Stimuli-Responsive Adsorbents

In recent years, the field of biomedical research has experienced promising growth due to the introduction of dual- and multi-stimuli-responsive polymeric NPs. These adsorbents respond to a variety of combined signals, such as pH-temperature, pH-magnetic field, temperature-pH-magnetic field, light-temperature, light-magnetic field, temperature-magnetic field, etc., enabling them to adapt to challenging extraction situations (Figures [2–](#page-21-0)[4\)](#page-22-0) [\[81\]](#page-28-6). The latest important advances in dual- and multi-stimuli-responsive polymeric sorbents for drug analysis applications, with particular focus on their structure and extraction yield performance, are listed in Table [7.](#page-22-1)

Naghibi et al. developed a modified  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  adsorbent employing a thermo-sensitive polymer for the purpose of extracting and quantifying cefexime in biological samples [\[82\]](#page-28-7). In the present inquiry, the process of the co-polymer grafting of PNVCL and 3-allyloxy-1,2 propanediol as a thermo-sensitive agent was executed on the surface of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  NPs. They observed that approximately 70% of the incubated cefexime was effectively adsorbed onto the synthesized adsorbent. The adsorbent demonstrated a reasonable rate of extraction recovery for cefexime from both plasma and urine samples, ranging from 71 to 89%. Moreover, the authors observed that the proportion of cumulative cefexime release at 37 °C was considerably greater compared to what occurred at 25  $°C$ . They linked their findings to the deformation of the PNVCL chain on the surface of the adsorbent particles, which changes from a sharp coil to a globule shape when temperature exceeds the LCST limit [\[82\]](#page-28-7).

The dual nanoadsorbent presented by the authors provides advantages including high sensitivity for low-concentration drug detection, fast extraction, and reusability. The magnetic adsorbent minimizes the need for organic solvents, promoting eco-friendly extraction based on green principles. Moreover, the thermosensitive polymer facilitates the process of controlled drug release, offers on-demand release profiles tailored to intended therapeutic needs, and ensures adsorbent reusuability for frequent drug loading and release cycles. However, limitations in drug loading capacity, complexity, longer synthesizing time, and the high cost of nanoparticle production pose challenges to the broader application of this smart drug extraction technique from biological materials.

Taghvimi et al. developed and characterized a novel pH-responsive magnetic NP as an efficient smart adsorbent for the extraction of amphetamine from human urine samples [\[83\]](#page-28-8). In this case, block copolymer poly (ethylene glycol)-b-poly(N,N-dimethyl aminoethylmethacrylate-co-maleic acid) was used as a pH-sensitive block copolymer for stabilizing and coating a magnetic adsorbent. The results indicated excellent adsorbent dispersion and drug extraction in urine samples up to 4 mL in volume. The authors obtained a considerable improvement in extraction efficiency with an elevation of pH from 4 to 10. The researchers attributed this observation to the increase in ionization of carboxylic acid groups on the pH-responsive magnetic NPs, followed by an increase in the negative charge density of the NPs, which facilitates the interaction of positively charged amphetamines with the negatively charged sites on the NPs. The HPLC-UV analysis in the study exhibited a 99.84% recovery rate of amphetamine from urine samples [\[83\]](#page-28-8).

The pH sensitivity of the offered adsorbent in this study optimizes the drug adsorption efficiency and leads to higher extraction yields. Moreover, the magnetic response ability of the adsorbent enables the fast separation of the adsorbent–drug combination from the biological samples, minimizing extraction time. Moreover, the proposed strategy contributes to waste reduction by allowing for efficient extraction with smaller sample amounts. The ability to regenerate and reuse nano-adsorbents for numerous extraction cycles decreases resource consumption, which aligns with the principles of sustainable chemistry. In return, the pH sensitivity of the drug adsorbent could restrict the range of pH situations appropriate for the extraction procedure, reducing its applicability for particular medicines or biological fluids. Furthermore, reusing a pH-sensitive adsorbent could be difficult, demanding harsh conditions or affecting its efficiency over several uses.

Light-sensitive adsorbents enable the precise and remote execution of the drug extraction process from biofluids without resorting to chemicals and with exactly determined

timing and location. This type of adsorbent changes its molecular structure when exposed to electromagnetic radiation at specific wavelengths and intensities. These changes can affect the adsorbent's electrical properties and allow for the manipulation of parameters like size, wettability, and form using light. Typically, this change is reversible and typically occurs in the UV–Vis spectrum range [\[84\]](#page-28-9). Recently, numerous light-sensitive molecules were researched for the development of light-responsive materials. These molecules include inorganic compounds such as metal oxides and sulfides (such as  $ZnS$ ,  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ ,  $Mo<sub>2</sub>$ , and  $WS_2$ ) [\[85\]](#page-28-10), as well as organic compounds such as azobenzene, stilbene, spiropyran, coumarin, diarylethene, and cinnamate [\[86](#page-28-11)[–88\]](#page-28-12). Azobenzene undergoes a transformation from trans- to cis-configuration when exposed to UV light at 365 nm. Visible light (at 445 nm) or heat can reverse the process [\[86\]](#page-28-11).

Spiropyran undergoes a photo-initiated isomerization process in response to UV radiation, which changes its hydrophobic ring-closed structure from colorless to a colored hydrophilic ring-opened merocyanine configuration [\[87](#page-28-13)[,88\]](#page-28-12). In other words, the structure and dipole moment of the molecule change during the photoisomerization procedure. The twisted structure of spiropyran, consisting of two heterocyclic units, undergoes conversion to a planar extended pi-conjugated merocyanine form that exhibits both zwitterionic and quinoid resonance structures [\[87\]](#page-28-13). The spiropyran molecule's dipole moment shifts greatly from 4.3 D to 17.7 D when the zwitterionic merocyanine structure is formed (Figure [1\)](#page-12-0). This structure can go back to its spiropyran form when exposed to visible light.

As shown in Table [6,](#page-18-0) only a few investigations have been published in the literature on the use of photo-responsive adsorbents and SPE techniques to extract medicines from biological materials. In addition, the majority of reported experiments have used azobenzene derivatives to extract drugs using photosensitive adsorbents. Alaei and colleagues have developed photo-responsive MIPs for the selective separation of the immunosuppressant azathioprine [\[89\]](#page-28-14). The authors synthesized a smart dendrimer-magnetic-based adsorbent utilizing the template of azathioprine, water-soluble 5-[(4-(methacryloyloxy) phenyl)diazenyl] isophthalic acid, and ethylene glycol dimethacrylate. The findings of the research indicate that the rates of recovery of spiking azathioprine from human blood serum (95.85%) and urine (102.71%) have been quite acceptable. Furthermore, a limited recovery of azathioprine (24–35%) from urine and blood samples without UV-Vis (365 nm) irradiation substantially supported the efficacy of the recommended strategy for azathioprine recovery (Figure [2\)](#page-21-0) [\[89\]](#page-28-14).

Generally, photo-responsive adsorbents offer several advantages over traditional ones, including precise and remote control over drug extraction without the need for chemical agents. Additionally, they provide high sensitivity for detecting low drug concentrations in complicated matrices. Through their selective targeting of the analyte of interest and controlled reaction to light, photo-responsive adsorbents can minimize matrix impact. However, challenges exist in optimizing NPs design, ensuring biocompatibility and safety and choosing suitable light sources and parameters. Further research is needed to overcome these challenges and unlock the full potential of these types of smart adsorbents for drug extraction processes from human biological fluids. With continued advancements, these adsorbents hold significant promise for advancing drug analysis and personalized medicine applications.

<span id="page-21-0"></span>

**Figure 2.** Photo- responsive MIP process of a dendrimer based on MNPs. From H.S. Alaei et al. [\[89\]](#page-28-14), with permission.



<span id="page-22-0"></span>

**Figure 4.** (**a**) A Photo-responsive polymer with MNPs and a polyester dendrimer, from N. Baimani et al. [\[91\]](#page-28-16), with permission; (**b**) Dendrimer-based and thermo-responsive MNPs, from N. Parham et al. [\[92\]](#page-28-17), with permission.

<span id="page-22-1"></span>**Table 7.** Some examples of dual and multi-stimuli responsive nano-adsorbents in drug extraction from human biological samples over the past ten years.





#### **Table 7.** *Cont.*

NPs—Nanoparticles; MSPE—Magnetic Solid-phase Extraction; MIPs—Molecularly Imprinted Polymers; PNIPAAm—Poly(N-isopropylacrylamide), ACN—Acetonitrile; MeOH—Methanol; MP—Mobile phase; TEA— Triethylamine; ESI—electrospray ionization; MRM—Multiple reactions monitoring; FA—Formic acid; PNVCL poly(N-vinylcaprolactam); AP—3-allyloxy-1,2-propanediol; TEOS—Tetraethyl orthosilicate; SMNA—Smart Modified Nano-adsorbent; TFA—Trifluoroacetic acid; NVC—*N*-vinylcaprolactam; AI—Allylimidazole; MNP— Magnetic nano-particles; MMIPs—Magnetic Molecularly Imprinted Polymers; d-SPE—dispersive Solid-phase Extraction; NIR—Near-Infrared Radiation.

#### **4. Conclusions**

This review explores recent developments in the field of biological sample preparation through SPE, d-SPE, SPME, and MIP techniques prior to chromatographic techniques coupled with various detectors, paying particular attention to LC and GC methods coupled to any of the several flavors of MS detection. Moreover, new adsorbents with the ability to react to different stimuli are included. In this regard, some action mechanisms, such as magnetic, thermo-responsive, pH-responsive, and photo-responsive polymers, were described in detail as efficient tools in the smart adsorbent structure. The approaches evaluated broadly demonstrated well-suited selectivity, controlled release and extraction processes, a suitable level of sensitivity, and easy sample preparation procedures. Advancements in drug analysis largely facilitate medical treatments, but it is important to recognize and tackle ongoing obstacles. Designing efficient NPs (size, shape, and surface) and optimizing

the extraction parameters (including pH, temperature, and flow rates in particular for "smart" sorbents) are crucial aspects for the efficient execution of these techniques. This review has also highlighted the key place LC-MS has occupied in the last few years in the TDM of pharmacological drugs, thanks to its superior selectivity and sensitivity. At the same time, it is also evident that the coupling of "smart" adsorbents of any kind to LC-MS is still relatively uncommon, probably due to the fact that the former are still in their infancy and that further important strides need to be made before they are ready for commercial distribution and routine application. In conclusion, these advancements represent a promising path to improving drug analysis methods, with the final goal of improving patient care through enhanced medication monitoring. It is our opinion that, in the near future, ongoing studies and developments in this field will provide enough knowledge and production improvements to make "smart" adsorbents the ideal pretreatment option for LC-MS applications of TDM, thus providing advanced reliability to these therapeutic practices.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, M.M., C.P., G.S., R.M. and M.P.; methodology, M.M. and R.M.; formal analysis, M.P.; investigation, M.M., C.P., R.M. and M.P.; data curation, M.M., G.S. and M.P.; writing—original draft preparation, M.M.; writing—review and editing, M.M., C.P., G.S., R.M. and M.P.; visualization, M.M., C.P. and G.S.; supervision, R.M. and M.P.; project administration, M.M., R.M. and M.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** R.M., M.P. and M.M. acknowledge the support of the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union, Key Action 2: Strategic Partnerships, within the INES (Innovative teaching and learning paths for the prevention of new drugs abuse) project (No. 2021-1-IT02-KA220-SCH-000032570). M.M. was supported by a research grant from the Department of Pharmacy and Biotechnology, Alma Mater Studiorum—Università di Bologna (BIR 2022 funds).

**Acknowledgments:** The authors would like to acknowledge the Director and the Scientific Committee of the 2nd-level Professional Master in *Chemical and Chemical-Toxicological Forensic Analysis (MAST-FORCHEM),* Alma Mater Studiorum—Università di Bologna for the kind and active collaboration and the valuable scientific consulting.

**Conflicts of Interest:** Author Carmine Petio was employed by the company Local Health Unit Company (AUSL) of Bologna. Author Giovanni Staffilano was employed by the company Local Health Company (ASL) of Teramo. The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-24-0"></span>1. Eliasson, E.; Lindh, J.D.; Malmström, R.E.; Beck, O.; Dahl, M.-L. Therapeutic drug monitoring for tomorrow. *Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol.* **2013**, *69*, 25–32. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00228-013-1504-x) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23640185)
- <span id="page-24-1"></span>2. Niu, Z.; Zhang, W.; Yu, C.; Zhang, J.; Wen, Y. Recent advances in biological sample preparation methods coupled with chromatography, spectrometry and electrochemistry analysis techniques. *TrAC-Trends Anal. Chem.* **2018**, *102*, 123–146. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2018.02.005)
- <span id="page-24-2"></span>3. Wen, Y.; Chen, L.; Li, J.; Liu, D.; Chen, L. Recent advances in solid-phase sorbents for sample preparation prior to chromatographic analysis. *TrAC-Trends Anal. Chem.* **2014**, *59*, 26–41. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2014.03.011)
- <span id="page-24-4"></span>4. Maranata, G.J.; Surya, N.O.; Hasanah, A.N. Optimising factors affecting solid phase extraction performances of molecular imprinted polymer as recent sample preparation technique. *Heliyon* **2021**, *7*, e05934. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e05934) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33553728)
- 5. Zhang, Y.; Zhao, G.; Han, K.; Sun, D.; Zhou, N.; Song, Z.; Liu, H.; Li, J.; Li, G. Applications of molecular imprinting technology in the study of traditional Chinese medicine. *Molecules* **2022**, *28*, 301. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28010301) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36615491)
- <span id="page-24-3"></span>6. Drabińska, N.; Marcinkowska, M.A.; Wieczorek, M.N.; Jeleń, H.H. Application of Sorbent-Based Extraction Techniques in Food Analysis. *Molecules* **2023**, *28*, 7985. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28247985) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/38138475)
- <span id="page-24-5"></span>7. Gałuszka, A.; Migaszewski, Z.; Namieśnik, J. The 12 principles of green analytical chemistry and the SIGNIFICANCE mnemonic of green analytical practices. *TrAC-Trends Anal. Chem.* **2013**, *50*, 78–84. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2013.04.010)
- <span id="page-24-6"></span>8. López-Lorente, Á.I.; Pena-Pereira, F.; Pedersen-Bjergaard, S.; Zuin, V.G.; Ozkan, S.A.; Psillakis, E. The ten principles of green sample preparation. *TrAC-Trends Anal. Chem.* **2022**, *148*, 116530. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2022.116530)
- <span id="page-24-7"></span>9. Mandrioli, R.; Cirrincione, M.; Mladěnka, P.; Protti, M.; Mercolini, L. Green analytical chemistry (GAC) applications in sample preparation for the analysis of anthocyanins in products and by-products from plant sources. *Adv. Sample Prep.* **2022**, *3*, 100037. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sampre.2022.100037)
- <span id="page-25-0"></span>10. Spietelun, A.; Marcinkowski, Ł.; de la Guardia, M.; Namieśnik, J. Recent developments and future trends in solid phase microextraction techniques towards green analytical chemistry. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2013**, *1321*, 1–13. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2013.10.030)
- <span id="page-25-1"></span>11. Das, S.S.; Bharadwaj, P.; Bilal, M.; Barani, M.; Rahdar, A.; Taboada, P.; Bungau, S.; Kyzas, G.Z. Stimuli-responsive polymeric nanocarriers for drug delivery, imaging, and theragnosis. *Polymers* **2020**, *12*, 1397. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym12061397) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32580366)
- <span id="page-25-2"></span>12. Wojnicz, A.; Belmonte, C.; Koller, D.; Ruiz-Nuño, A.; Román, M.; Ochoa, D.; Abad-Santos, F. Effective phospholipids removing microelution-solid phase extraction LC-MS/MS method for simultaneous plasma quantification of aripiprazole and dehydroaripiprazole: Application to human pharmacokinetic studies. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* **2018**, *151*, 116–125. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2017.12.049)
- <span id="page-25-3"></span>13. Tang, F.; Bada, H.; Ng, C.M.; Leggas, M. Validation of a HPLC/MS method for simultaneous quantification of clonidine, morphine and its metabolites in human plasma. *Biomed. Chromatogr.* **2019**, *33*, e4527. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/bmc.4527) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30830964)
- <span id="page-25-4"></span>14. Anilanmert, B.; Çavuş, F.; Narin, I.; Cengiz, S.; Sertler, Ş.; Özdemir, A.A.; Açikkol, M. Simultaneous analysis method for GHB, ketamine, norketamine, phenobarbital, thiopental, zolpidem, zopiclone and phenytoin in urine, using C18 poroshell column. *J. Chromatogr. B Biomed. Appl.* **2016**, *1022*, 230–241. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2016.03.040)
- <span id="page-25-5"></span>15. Woo, H.I.; Yang, J.S.; Oh, H.J.; Cho, Y.Y.; Kim, J.H.; Park, H.-D.; Lee, S.-Y. A simple and rapid analytical method based on solid-phase extraction and liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry for the simultaneous determination of free catecholamines and metanephrines in urine and its application to routine clinical analysis. *Clin. Biochem.* **2016**, *49*, 573–579. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2016.01.010)
- <span id="page-25-6"></span>16. Zhao, M.; Wu, X.-J.; Fan, Y.-X.; Guo, B.-N.; Zhang, J. Development and validation of a UHPLC–MS/MS assay for colistin methanesulphonate (CMS) and colistin in human plasma and urine using weak-cation exchange solid-phase extraction. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* **2016**, *124*, 303–308. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2016.02.045)
- <span id="page-25-7"></span>17. Ahmed Baig, M.L.; Ali, S.A. LC-MS/MS method for the estimation of paliperidone in human plasma. *Anal. Chem. Lett.* **2017**, *7*, 228–240. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1080/22297928.2017.1333039)
- <span id="page-25-8"></span>18. Koller, D.; Zubiaur, P.; Saiz-Rodríguez, M.; Abad-Santos, F.; Wojnicz, A. Simultaneous determination of six antipsychotics, two of their metabolites and caffeine in human plasma by LC-MS/MS using a phospholipid-removal microelution-solid phase extraction method for sample preparation. *Talanta* **2019**, *198*, 159–168. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2019.01.112) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30876545)
- <span id="page-25-9"></span>19. Koller, D.; Vaitsekhovich, V.; Mba, C.; Steegmann, J.L.; Zubiaur, P.; Abad-Santos, F.; Wojnicz, A. Effective quantification of 11 tyrosine kinase inhibitors and caffeine in human plasma by validated LC-MS/MS method with potent phospholipids clean-up procedure. Application to therapeutic drug monitoring. *Talanta* **2020**, *208*, 120450. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2019.120450)
- <span id="page-25-10"></span>20. Calucică, D.M.; Manda, C.-V.; Găman, A.M.; Răileanu, Ș.; Stanca, L.; Popescu, M.D.E.; Mateescu, O.G.; Biță, A.; Croitoru, O.; Neamtu, S.-D. Development of a SPE-LC-MS Method for the Quantitation of Palbociclib and Abemaciclib in Human Plasma. *Molecules* **2022**, *27*, 8604. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27238604)
- <span id="page-25-11"></span>21. Alam, M.T.; Mujtaba, M.A.; Hussain, A.; Ali, A.; Imran, M.; Barkat, M.A.; Abdel-Gawad, S.A. Solid-Phase Extraction (SPE) Technique to Quantify Cefdinir in Human Plasma Using Liquid Chromatography–Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC–MS/MS). *J. Chromatogr. Sci.* **2023**, *61*, 366–374. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/chromsci/bmac054) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35817401)
- <span id="page-25-12"></span>22. Anastassiades, M.; Lehotay, S.J.; Štajnbaher, D.; Schenck, F.J. Fast and easy multiresidue method employing acetonitrile extraction/partitioning and "dispersive solid-phase extraction" for the determination of pesticide residues in produce. *J. AOAC Int.* **2003**, *86*, 412–431. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/jaoac/86.2.412) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12723926)
- <span id="page-25-13"></span>23. Scigalski, P.; Kosobucki, P. Recent materials developed for dispersive solid phase extraction. ´ *Molecules* **2020**, *25*, 4869. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25214869) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33105561)
- <span id="page-25-14"></span>24. Qian, M.-r.; Chen, Z.-m.; Tao, X.-x.; Yao, F.; Xu, X.-m. In-syringe dispersive solid phase filter extraction cleanup followed by liquid chromatography-triple quadrupole mass spectrometry for fast determination of colchicine in plasma/urine. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* **2023**, *228*, 115317. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2023.115317) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36868026)
- <span id="page-25-15"></span>25. Marzi Khosrowshahi, E.; Limuie Khosrowshahi, B.; Farajzadeh, M.A.; Jouyban, A.; Tuzen, M.; Afshar Mogaddam, M.R.; Nemati, M. Application of microcrystalline cellulose as an efficient and cheap sorbent for the extraction of metoprolol from plasma and wastewater before HPLC–MS/MS determination. *Biomed. Chromatogr.* **2022**, *36*, e5371. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/bmc.5371) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35305038)
- <span id="page-25-16"></span>26. Saito, K.; Kikuchi, Y.; Saito, R. Solid-phase dispersive extraction method for analysis of benzodiazepine drugs in serum and urine samples. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* **2014**, *100*, 28–32. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2014.07.020) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25126966)
- <span id="page-25-17"></span>27. Fabrizi, G.; Fioretti, M.; Mainero Rocca, L. Dispersive solid-phase extraction procedure coupled to UPLC-ESI-MS/MS analysis for the simultaneous determination of thirteen cytotoxic drugs in human urine. *Biomed. Chromatogr.* **2016**, *30*, 1297–1308. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/bmc.3684) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26762960)
- <span id="page-25-18"></span>28. Júnior, E.F.; Caldas, E.D. Simultaneous determination of drugs and pesticides in postmortem blood using dispersive solid-phase extraction and large volume injection-programmed temperature vaporization-gas chromatography–mass spectrometry. *Forensic Sci. Int.* **2018**, *290*, 318–326. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2018.07.031) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30121553)
- <span id="page-25-19"></span>29. Huang, W.; Qiu, Q.; Chen, M.; Shi, J.; Huang, X.; Kong, Q.; Long, D.; Chen, Z.; Yan, S. Determination of 18 antibiotics in urine using LC-QqQ-MS/MS. *J. Chromatogr. B Biomed. Appl.* **2019**, *1105*, 176–183. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2018.12.019)
- <span id="page-25-20"></span>30. Arthur, C.L.; Pawliszyn, J. Solid phase microextraction with thermal desorption using fused silica optical fibers. *Anal. Chem.* **1990**, *62*, 2145–2148. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/ac00218a019)
- <span id="page-25-21"></span>31. Looby, N.; Vasiljevic, T.; Reyes-Garcés, N.; Roszkowska, A.; Bojko, B.; Wąsowicz, M.; Jerath, A.; Pawliszyn, J. Therapeutic drug monitoring of tranexamic acid in plasma and urine of renally impaired patients using solid phase microextraction. *Talanta* **2021**, *225*, 121945. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2020.121945)
- <span id="page-26-0"></span>32. Hasegawa, C.; Kumazawa, T.; Uchigasaki, S.; Lee, X.-P.; Sato, K.; Terada, M.; Kurosaki, K. Determination of dextromethorphan in human plasma using pipette tip solid-phase extraction and gas chromatography–mass spectrometry. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2011**, *401*, 2215–2223. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-011-5324-5) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21874269)
- <span id="page-26-1"></span>33. Wan, Q.; Liu, H.; Deng, Z.; Bu, J.; Li, T.; Yang, Y.; Zhong, S. A critical review of molecularly imprinted solid phase extraction technology. *J. Polym. Res.* **2021**, *28*, 401. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10965-021-02744-2)
- 34. Małysiak, M.; Kiljanek, T. Method of Glyphosate, AMPA, and Glufosinate Ammonium Determination in Beebread by Liquid Chromatography—Tandem Mass Spectrometry after Molecularly Imprinted Solid-Phase Extraction. *Molecules* **2022**, *27*, 5741. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27175741)
- <span id="page-26-2"></span>35. Sun, D.; Song, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, Y.; Lv, M.; Liu, H.; Wang, L.; Lu, W.; Li, J.; Chen, L. Recent advances in molecular-imprintingbased solid-phase extraction of antibiotics residues coupled with chromatographic analysis. *Front. Environ. Chem.* **2021**, *2*, 703961. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvc.2021.703961)
- <span id="page-26-3"></span>36. Combes, A.; Kadhirvel, P.; Bordron, L.; Pichon, V. Synthesis and characterization of molecularly imprinted polymers for the selective extraction of carbamazepine and analogs from human urine samples. *Chromatographia* **2019**, *82*, 287–295. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10337-018-3680-4)
- <span id="page-26-4"></span>37. Zhou, H.; Chen, Q.; Song, X.; He, L.; Liu, R. Surface molecularly imprinted solid-phase extraction for the determination of vancomycin in plasma samples using HPLC–MS/MS. *J. Anal. Chem.* **2022**, *38*, 1171–1179. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s44211-022-00143-1)
- <span id="page-26-5"></span>38. Santos, M.G.; Tavares, I.M.C.; Boralli, V.B.; Figueiredo, E.C. Direct doping analysis of beta-blocker drugs from urinary samples by on-line molecularly imprinted solid-phase extraction coupled to liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry. *Analyst* **2015**, *140*, 2696–2703. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1039/c4an02066a) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25679017)
- <span id="page-26-6"></span>39. Sánchez-González, J.; García-Carballal, S.; Cabarcos, P.; Tabernero, M.J.; Bermejo-Barrera, P.; Moreda-Piñeiro, A. Determination of cocaine and its metabolites in plasma by porous membrane-protected molecularly imprinted polymer micro-solid-phase extraction and liquid chromatography—Tandem mass spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2016**, *1451*, 15–22. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2016.05.003)
- <span id="page-26-7"></span>40. Ding, J.; Zhang, F.; Zhang, X.; Wang, L.; Wang, C.; Zhao, Q.; Xu, Y.; Ding, L.; Ren, N. Determination of roxithromycin from human plasma samples based on magnetic surface molecularly imprinted polymers followed by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectromer. *J. Chromatogr. B Biomed. Appl.* **2016**, *1021*, 221–228. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2015.08.001)
- <span id="page-26-8"></span>41. El-Beqqali, A.; Abdel-Rehim, M. Molecularly imprinted polymer-sol-gel tablet toward micro-solid phase extraction: I. Determination of methadone in human plasma utilizing liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2016**, *936*, 116–122. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2016.07.001)
- <span id="page-26-9"></span>42. El-Beqqali, A.; Andersson, L.I.; Jeppsson, A.D.; Abdel-Rehim, M. Molecularly imprinted polymer-sol-gel tablet toward microsolid phase extraction: II. Determination of amphetamine in human urine samples by liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. B Biomed. Appl.* **2017**, *1063*, 130–135. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2017.08.027)
- <span id="page-26-10"></span>43. Sánchez-González, J.; Odoardi, S.; Bermejo, A.M.; Bermejo-Barrera, P.; Romolo, F.S.; Moreda-Piñeiro, A.; Strano-Rossi, S. Development of a micro-solid-phase extraction molecularly imprinted polymer technique for synthetic cannabinoids assessment in urine followed by liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2018**, *1550*, 8–20. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2018.03.049)
- <span id="page-26-11"></span>44. Sartore, D.M.; Medina, D.A.V.; Costa, J.L.; Lanças, F.M.; Santos-Neto, Á.J. Automated microextraction by packed sorbent of cannabinoids from human urine using a lab-made device packed with molecularly imprinted polymer. *Talanta* **2020**, *219*, 121185. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2020.121185) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32887103)
- <span id="page-26-12"></span>45. Guthrie, R.; Susi, A. A simple phenylalanine method for detecting phenylketonuria in large populations of newborn infants. *Pediatrics* **1963**, *32*, 338–343. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.32.3.338)
- <span id="page-26-13"></span>46. Marasca, C.; Protti, M.; Mandrioli, R.; Atti, A.R.; Armirotti, A.; Cavalli, A.; De Ronchi, D.; Mercolini, L. Whole blood and oral fluid microsampling for the monitoring of patients under treatment with antidepressant drugs. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* **2020**, *188*, 113384. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2020.113384) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32505892)
- <span id="page-26-19"></span>47. Protti, M.; Sberna, P.M.; Sardella, R.; Vovk, T.; Mercolini, L.; Mandrioli, R. VAMS and StAGE as innovative tools for the enantioselective determination of clenbuterol in urine by LC-MS/MS. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* **2021**, *195*, 113873. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2020.113873)
- <span id="page-26-14"></span>48. Zimmermann, S.; Aghai, F.; Schilling, B.; Kraus, S.; Grigoleit, G.U.; Kalogirou, C.; Goebeler, M.-E.; Jung, P.; Pelzer, T.; Klinker, H.; et al. Volumetric absorptive microsampling (VAMS) for the quantification of ten kinase inhibitors and determination of their in vitro VAMS-to-plasma ratio. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* **2022**, *211*, 114623. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2022.114623) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35121279)
- <span id="page-26-15"></span>49. Paniagua-González, L.; Díaz-Louzao, C.; Lendoiro, E.; Otero-Antón, E.; Cadarso-Suárez, C.; López-Rivadulla, M.; Cruz, A.; de-Castro-Ríos, A. Volumetric Absorptive Microsampling (VAMS) for assaying immunosuppressants from venous whole blood by LC–MS/MS using a novel atmospheric pressure ionization probe (UniSpray™). *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* **2020**, *189*, 113422. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2020.113422)
- <span id="page-26-16"></span>50. Barco, S.; Bandettini, R.; Maffia, A.; Tripodi, G.; Castagnola, E.; Cangemi, G. Quantification of piperacillin, tazobactam, meropenem, ceftazidime, and linezolid in human plasma by liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry. *J. Chemother.* **2015**, *27*, 343–347. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1179/1973947814Y.0000000209)
- <span id="page-26-17"></span>51. Moorthy, G.S.; Vedar, C.; Zane, N.R.; Downes, K.J.; Prodell, J.L.; DiLiberto, M.A.; Zuppa, A.F. Development and validation of a volumetric absorptive microsampling-liquid chromatography mass spectrometry method for the analysis of cefepime in human whole blood: Application to pediatric pharmacokinetic study. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* **2020**, *179*, 113002. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2019.113002) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31785929)
- <span id="page-26-18"></span>52. Mandrioli, R.; Mercolini, L.; Protti, M. Blood and plasma volumetric absorptive microsampling (VAMS) coupled to LC-MS/MS for the forensic assessment of cocaine consumption. *Molecules* **2020**, *25*, 1046. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25051046) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32110941)
- <span id="page-27-0"></span>53. Capriotti, A.L.; Cavaliere, C.; La Barbera, G.; Montone, C.M.; Piovesana, S.; Laganà, A. Recent applications of magnetic solid-phase extraction for sample preparation. *Chromatographia* **2019**, *82*, 1251–1274. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10337-019-03721-0)
- <span id="page-27-1"></span>54. Materón, E.M.; Miyazaki, C.M.; Carr, O.; Joshi, N.; Picciani, P.H.; Dalmaschio, C.J.; Davis, F.; Shimizu, F.M. Magnetic nanoparticles in biomedical applications: A review. *Appl. Surf. Sci. Adv.* **2021**, *6*, 100163. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsadv.2021.100163)
- <span id="page-27-2"></span>55. Cai, P.; Xiong, X.; Li, D.; Zhou, Y.; Xiong, C. Magnetic solid-phase extraction coupled with UHPLC–MS/MS for four antidepressants and one metabolite in clinical plasma and urine samples. *Bioanalysis* **2020**, *12*, 35–52. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.4155/bio-2019-0171) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31849264)
- <span id="page-27-3"></span>56. Heidari, H.; Limouei-Khosrowshahi, B. Magnetic solid phase extraction with carbon-coated Fe3O<sup>4</sup> nanoparticles coupled to HPLC-UV for the simultaneous determination of losartan, carvedilol, and amlodipine besylate in plasma samples. *J. Chromatogr. B Biomed. Appl.* **2019**, *1114*, 24–30. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2019.03.025) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30927739)
- <span id="page-27-4"></span>57. Taghvimi, A.; Hamishehkar, H. Carbon coated magnetic nanoparticles as a novel magnetic solid phase extraction adsorbent for simultaneous extraction of methamphetamine and ephedrine from urine samples. *J. Chromatogr. B Biomed. Appl.* **2017**, *1041*, 113–119. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2016.11.039) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28033585)
- <span id="page-27-5"></span>58. Zhang, J.; Liu, D.; Meng, X.; Shi, Y.; Wang, R.; Xiao, D.; He, H. Solid phase extraction based on porous magnetic graphene oxide/β-cyclodextrine composite coupled with high performance liquid chromatography for determination of antiepileptic drugs in plasma samples. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2017**, *1524*, 49–56. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2017.09.074) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29030037)
- <span id="page-27-6"></span>59. Yang, F.; Zou, Y.; Ni, C.; Wang, R.; Wu, M.; Liang, C.; Zhang, J.; Yuan, X.; Liu, W. Magnetic dispersive solid-phase extraction based on modified magnetic nanoparticles for the detection of cocaine and cocaine metabolites in human urine by high-performance liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry. *J. Sep. Sci.* **2017**, *40*, 4234–4245. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201700457)
- <span id="page-27-7"></span>60. Kamaruzaman, S.; Sanagi, M.M.; Yahaya, N.; Wan Ibrahim, W.A.; Endud, S.; Wan Ibrahim, W.N. Magnetic micro-solid-phase extraction based on magnetite-MCM-41 with gas chromatography–mass spectrometry for the determination of antidepressant drugs in biological fluids. *J. Sep. Sci.* **2017**, *40*, 4222–4233. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201700549)
- <span id="page-27-8"></span>61. Ebrahimi Rahmani, M.; Ansari, M.; Kazemipour, M.; Nateghi, M. Selective extraction of morphine from biological fluids by magnetic molecularly imprinted polymers and determination using UHPLC with diode array detection. *J. Sep. Sci.* **2018**, *41*, 958–965. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201701018) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29178268)
- <span id="page-27-9"></span>62. Attallah, O.A.; Al-Ghobashy, M.A.; Ayoub, A.T.; Nebsen, M. Magnetic molecularly imprinted polymer nanoparticles for simultaneous extraction and determination of 6-mercaptopurine and its active metabolite thioguanine in human plasma. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2018**, *1561*, 28–38. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2018.05.038) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29798806)
- <span id="page-27-10"></span>63. Safari, M.; Shahlaei, M.; Yamini, Y.; Shakorian, M.; Arkan, E. Magnetic framework composite as sorbent for magnetic solid phase extraction coupled with high performance liquid chromatography for simultaneous extraction and determination of tricyclic antidepressants. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2018**, *1034*, 204–213. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2018.06.023) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30193635)
- <span id="page-27-11"></span>64. Wang, R.; Cui, Y.; Hu, F.; Liu, W.; Du, Q.; Zhang, Y.; Zha, J.; Huang, T.; Fizir, M.; He, H. Selective recognition and enrichment of carbamazepine in biological samples by magnetic imprinted polymer based on reversible addition-fragmentation chain transfer polymerization. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2019**, *1591*, 62–70. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2019.01.057) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30712819)
- <span id="page-27-12"></span>65. Yilmaz, E.; Salem, S.; Sarp, G.; Aydin, S.; Sahin, K.; Korkmaz, I.; Yuvali, D. TiO<sup>2</sup> nanoparticles and C-Nanofibers modified magnetic Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanospheres (TiO<sub>2</sub>@Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@C–NF): A multifunctional hybrid material for magnetic solid-phase extraction of ibuprofen and photocatalytic degradation of drug molecules and azo dye. *Talanta* **2020**, *213*, 120813. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2020.120813) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32200918)
- <span id="page-27-13"></span>66. Lu, Q.; Guo, H.; Zhang, Y.; Tang, X.; Lei, W.; Qi, R.; Chu, J.; Li, D.; Zhao, Q. Graphene oxide-Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanocomposite magnetic solid phase extraction followed by UHPLC-MS/MS for highly sensitive determination of eight psychoactive drugs in urine samples. *Talanta* **2020**, *206*, 120212. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2019.120212) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31514841)
- <span id="page-27-14"></span>67. Jia, M.; Zhu, Y.; Guo, D.; Bi, X.; Hou, X. Surface molecularly imprinted polymer based on core-shell Fe3O4@MIL-101(Cr) for selective extraction of phenytoin sodium in plasma. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2020**, *1128*, 211–220. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2020.06.075) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32825905)
- <span id="page-27-15"></span>68. Bashir, K.; Chen, G.; Han, J.; Shu, H.; Cui, X.; Wang, L.; Li, W.; Fu, Q. Preparation of magnetic metal organic framework and development of solid phase extraction method for simultaneous determination of fluconazole and voriconazole in rat plasma samples by HPLC. *J. Chromatogr. B Biomed. Appl.* **2020**, *1152*, 122201. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2020.122201) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32590216)
- <span id="page-27-16"></span>69. Shaban, M.; Ghaffary, S.; Hanaee, J.; Karbakhshzadeh, A.; Soltani, S. Synthesis and characterization of new surface modified magnetic nanoparticles and application for the extraction of letrozole from human plasma and analysis with HPLC-fluorescence. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* **2021**, *193*, 113659. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2020.113659)
- <span id="page-27-17"></span>70. Banan, K.; Ghorbani-Bidkorbeh, F.; Afsharara, H.; Hatamabadi, D.; Landi, B.; Keçili, R.; Sellergren, B. Nano-sized magnetic core-shell and bulk molecularly imprinted polymers for selective extraction of amiodarone from human plasma. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2022**, *1198*, 339548. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2022.339548)
- <span id="page-27-18"></span>71. Akyol, E.; Ulusoy, H.˙I.; Yilmaz, E.; Polat, Ü.; Soylak, M. Application of magnetic solid-phase extraction for sensitive determination of anticancer drugs in urine by means of diamino benzidine tetrachlorohydrate modified magnetic nanoparticles. *Pharmacol. Rep.* **2023**, *75*, 456–464. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s43440-023-00465-5) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36840823)
- <span id="page-27-19"></span>72. Musarurwa, H.; Tavengwa, N.T. Thermo-responsive polymers and advances in their applications in separation science. *Microchem. J.* **2022**, *179*, 107554. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2022.107554)
- <span id="page-27-20"></span>73. Lanzalaco, S.; Armelin, E. Poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) and copolymers: A review on recent progresses in biomedical applications. *Gels* **2017**, *3*, 36. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/gels3040036) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30920531)
- <span id="page-27-21"></span>74. Hoffman, A.S. Stimuli-responsive polymers: Biomedical applications and challenges for clinical translation. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* **2013**, *65*, 10–16. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2012.11.004) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23246762)
- <span id="page-28-0"></span>75. Kazemi, A.; Ahmad Panahi, H.; Safaeijavan, R. Thermosensitive molecularly imprinted poly(1-vinyl-2-pyrrolidone/methyl methacrylate/N-vinylcaprolactam) for selective extraction of imatinib mesylate in human biological fluid. *J. Sep. Sci.* **2020**, *43*, 614–621. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201900535) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31691505)
- <span id="page-28-1"></span>76. Gong, C.-B.; Wei, Y.-B.; Liu, L.-T.; Zheng, A.-X.; Yang, Y.-H.; Chow, C.-f.; Tang, Q. Photoresponsive hollow molecularly imprinted polymer for trace triamterene in biological samples. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* **2017**, *76*, 568–578. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2017.03.135)
- <span id="page-28-2"></span>77. Gomar, M.; Panahi, H.A.; Pournamdari, E. Synthesis and Characterization of Thermosensitive Molecularly Imprinted Poly[allylacetoacetate/N-vinyl caprolactam] for Selective Extraction of Gemcitabine in Biological Samples. *ChemistrySelect* **2018**, *3*, 2571–2577. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/slct.201701974)
- <span id="page-28-3"></span>78. Yazdanian, N.; Akbari-Adergani, B.; Kazemipour, M.; Panahi, H.A.; Javanbakht, M. Improving the determination of celecoxib in body fluids and pharmaceuticals using a new selective and thermosensitive molecularly imprinted poly(vinylidene fluoride) membrane. *Anal. Methods* **2020**, *12*, 2185–2195. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0AY00237B)
- <span id="page-28-4"></span>79. Zeng, H.; Yu, X.; Wan, J.; Cao, X. Synthesis of molecularly imprinted polymers based on boronate affinity for diol-containing macrolide antibiotics with hydrophobicity-balanced and pH-responsive cavities. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2021**, *1642*, 461969. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2021.461969)
- <span id="page-28-5"></span>80. Zafarghandi, S.S.; Panahi, H.A.; Nezhati, M.N. Preparation of pH-Sensitive Molecularly Imprinted Polymer via Dual-Monomer for Selective Solid-Phase Extraction of Ribavirin from Human Urine and Pharmaceutical Samples. *ChemistrySelect* **2022**, *7*, e202104038. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/slct.202104038)
- <span id="page-28-6"></span>81. Feng, L.; Wang, Y.; Luo, Z.; Huang, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Guo, K.; Ye, D. Dual stimuli-responsive nanoparticles for controlled release of anticancer and anti-inflammatory drugs combination. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 9397–9406. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201701524) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28489292)
- <span id="page-28-7"></span>82. Naghibi, S.; Sahebi, H. Employment of modified  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  nanoparticles using thermo-sensitive polymer for extraction and pre-concentration of cefexime in biological samples. *Biomed. Chromatogr.* **2018**, *32*, e4082. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/bmc.4082) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28833295)
- <span id="page-28-8"></span>83. Taghvimi, A.; Ghorbani, M.; Hamishehkar, H. Synthesis of a novel polymeric magnetic solid phase extraction adsorbent for selective extraction of amphetamine from urine samples coupled with high performance liquid chromatography. *Drug Test. Anal.* **2018**, *10*, 832–838. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/dta.2339) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29151005)
- <span id="page-28-9"></span>84. Hao, Y.; Meng, J.; Wang, S. Photo-responsive polymer materials for biological applications. *Chin. Chem. Lett.* **2017**, *28*, 2085–2091. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cclet.2017.10.019)
- <span id="page-28-10"></span>85. Mu, C.; Xiang, J.; Liu, Z. Photodetectors based on sensitized two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides—A review. *J. Mater. Sci.* **2017**, *32*, 4115–4131. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1557/jmr.2017.402)
- <span id="page-28-11"></span>86. Bandara, H.D.; Burdette, S.C. Photoisomerization in different classes of azobenzene. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 1809–1825. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1039/C1CS15179G)
- <span id="page-28-13"></span>87. Di Martino, M.; Sessa, L.; Diana, R.; Piotto, S.; Concilio, S. Recent Progress in Photoresponsive Biomaterials. *Molecules* **2023**, *28*, 3712. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28093712) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37175122)
- <span id="page-28-12"></span>88. Yang, P.C.; Wang, Y.H.; Wu, H. Synthesis, characterization, and photochemical properties of chromophores containing photoresponsive azobenzene and stilbene. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **2012**, *124*, 4193–4205. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/app.35396)
- <span id="page-28-14"></span>89. Alaei, H.S.; Tehrani, M.S.; Husain, S.W.; Panahi, H.A.; Mehramizi, A. Photoresponsive molecularly imprinted dendrimer-based magnetic nanoparticles for photo-regulated selective separation of azathioprine. *React. Funct. Polym.* **2019**, *136*, 58–65. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reactfunctpolym.2018.12.022)
- <span id="page-28-15"></span>90. Mahdavijalal, M.; Ahmad Panahi, H.; Niazi, A.; Tamaddon, A. Near-infrared light responsive dendrimers facilitate the extraction of bicalutamide from human plasma and urine. *Biotechnol. J.* **2021**, *16*, 2100299. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/biot.202100299)
- <span id="page-28-16"></span>91. Baimani, N.; Aberoomand Azar, P.; Waqif Husain, S.; Ahmad Panahi, H.; Mehramizi, A. Ultrasensitive separation of methylprednisolone acetate using a photoresponsive molecularly imprinted polymer incorporated polyester dendrimer based on magnetic nanoparticles. *J. Sep. Sci.* **2019**, *42*, 1468–1476. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201801093) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30689289)
- <span id="page-28-17"></span>92. Parham, N.; Panahi, H.A.; Feizbakhsh, A.; Moniri, E. Synthesis of high generation thermo-sensitive dendrimers for extraction of rivaroxaban from human fluid and pharmaceutic samples. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2018**, *1545*, 12–21. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2018.02.050) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29526498)
- <span id="page-28-18"></span>93. Morovati, A.; Panahi, H.A.; Yazdani, F. Grafting of allylimidazole and n-vinylcaprolactam as a thermosensitive polymer onto magnetic nano-particles for the extraction and determination of celecoxib in biological samples. *Int. J. Pharm.* **2016**, *513*, 62–67. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2016.09.006) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27596117)
- <span id="page-28-19"></span>94. Sahebi, H.; Pourmortazavi, S.M.; Zandavar, H.; Mirsadeghi, S. Chitosan grafted onto Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@poly(N-vinylcaprolactam) as a new sorbent for detecting Imatinib mesylate in biosamples using UPLC-MS/MS. *Analyst* **2019**, *144*, 7336–7350. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1039/C9AN01654F) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31663526)
- <span id="page-28-20"></span>95. Mahdavijalal, M.; Panahi, H.A.; Niazi, A.; Tamaddon, A. Preparation of responsive nano-adsorbent to near-infrared laser based on tungsten disulfide for bicalutamide extraction in human biological fluids. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* **2022**, *215*, 114759. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2022.114759)

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.