

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Effect of replacing olive oil with oil blends on physicochemical and sensory properties of taralli

This is the submitted version (pre peer-review, preprint) of the following publication:

Published Version: Effect of replacing olive oil with oil blends on physicochemical and sensory properties of taralli / Pasini F.; Marzocchi S.; Ravagli C.; Cuomo F.; Messia M.C.; Marconi E.; Caboni M.F.. - In: INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF FOOD SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY. - ISSN 0950-5423. - ELETTRONICO. - 59:4(2024), pp. 2697-2706. [10.1111/ijfs.17019]

Availability: This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/967333 since: 2024-04-09

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.17019

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

CHEMICAL-PHYSICAL AND SENSORY EVALUATION OF ITALIAN CEREAL-BASED SALTED SNACKS MADE WITH DIFFERENT OILS

Journal:	International Journal of Food Science and Technology
Manuscript ID	IJFST-2023-38175.R1
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Marzocchi, Silvia; Universita degli Studi di Bologna - Campus di Cesena Pasini, Federica ; Universita degli Studi di Bologna - Campus di Cesena Ravagli, Cesare; Universita degli Studi di Bologna - Campus di Cesena Cuomo, Francesca ; Universita degli Studi del Molise Dipartimento Agricoltura Ambiente e Alimenti Messia, Maria Cristina; Universita degli Studi del Molise Dipartimento Agricoltura Ambiente e Alimenti Marconi, Emanuele; Universita Campus Bio-Medico di Roma Caboni, Maria; Universita degli Studi di Bologna - Campus di Cesena
Confirm Reviewer Status:	Yes
Keywords:	Lipids, Rheology, Sensory Analysis
Excess Page Charges:	Yes

2 3 4	1	CHEMICAL-PHYSICAL AND SENSORY EVALUATION OF ITALIAN CEREAL-BASED
5 6	2	SALTED SNACKS MADE WITH DIFFERENT OILS
7 8 0	3	Federica Pasini ^{1,2} , Silvia Marzocchi ^{1*} , Cesare Ravagli ¹ , Francesca Cuomo ³ , Maria Cristina Messia ³ ,
9 10 11	4	Emanuele Marconi ⁴ , Maria Fiorenza Caboni ^{1,2}
12 13 14	5	¹ Department of Agricultural and Food Sciences and Technologies, University of Bologna, Piazza
15 16 17	6	Goidanich 60, 47521 Cesena (FC), Italy
17 18 19	7	² Interdepartmental Centre of Industrial Agri-Food Research (CIRI Agroalimentare), University of
20 21	8	Bologna, Via Quinto Bucci, 336, 47521 Cesena (FC), Italy
22 23 24	9	³ Dipartimento Agricoltura, Ambiente e Alimenti (DiAAA), Università degli Studi del Molise - Via
25 26 27	10	F. De Sanctis, 86100 Campobasso, Italy
27 28 29	11	⁴ Department of Science and Technology for Sustainable Development and One Health, Università
30 31	12	Campus Bio-Medico di Roma, Via Alvaro del Portillo 21, 00128, Rome, Italy
32 33 34	13	
35 36 37	14	E-mail addresses:
38 39	15	Federica Pasini (F.P.): federica.pasini5@unibo.it
40 41	16	Silvia Marzocchi (S.M.): silvia.marzocchi4@unibo.it
42 43 44	17	Cesare Ravagli (C.R.): cesare.ravagli2@unibo.it
45 46	18	Francesca Cuomo (F.C.): francesca.cuomo@unimol.it
47 48	19	Maria Cristina Messia (M.C.M.): messia@unimol.it
49 50 51	20	Emanuele Marconi (E.M.): e.marconi@unicampus.it
52 53	21	Maria Fiorenza Caboni (M.C.): maria.caboni@unibo.it
54 55 56 57	22 23	<u>*Corresponding author:</u> Silvia Marzocchi, silvia.marzocchi4@unibo.it. Fax number: 0547 382348
58 59 60		

24 Abstract

Edible fats and oils is usually taken into consideration to produce bakery products for technological and economic reasons, but the nutritional and sensory quality of the final product must be considered. Four different lipid mixtures (high oleic sunflower oil, 87.5% extra virgin olive oil + 12.5 sunflower oil, 75% extravirgin olive oil + 25 sunflower oil and 87.5% extra virgin olive oil + 12.5 rice oil) were used in the taralli production as an extravirgin olive oil alternative. The lipid fraction, rheological and sensory characteristics and oxidative evaluation of final products were studied. The use of the blend made of 75% extravirgin olive oil and 25% rice oil resulted in the best compromise for the content of sterols, tocols, and antioxidants, resulting in a combination able to give an induction period to the accelerated oxidation test that was comparable to that of the reference control containing only extravirgin olive oil.

Keywords: salted snack, lipid mixtures, lipid characterization, rheological characteristics, oxidative
 evaluation.

1. Introduction

Baked foods serve as one of the main staple food sources for consumers, and lipids are one of the principal classes of molecules present in this kind of food. Lipids play an important role in most baked products, influencing the nutritional and physical characteristics of the final product. In fact, lipids in baking contribute to air incorporation, heat transfer, tenderness, moisture, mouthfeel, lubricity, flavor, structure and shelf life (Manley, 2011). For these reasons, a wide choice of edible fats and oils is usually taken into consideration to produce the various kinds of bakery products. The lipid choice is often driven by technological and economic reasons, but it is important to also consider the nutritional and sensory quality of the final product.

One of the simplest ways to improve the nutritional, organoleptic and technological properties is blending oils with different characteristics. Through the blends obtained by mixing oils already used for foods, it is possible to achieve structured oils with an improved composition (e.g., higher content of oleic acid, reduced content of saturated fatty acids, excellent ω -3/ ω -6 ratio, greater content of minor lipid bioactive compounds), meeting the interest of modern consumers who are increasingly looking for natural and little manipulated foods.

Taralli are common Italian salted snack foods that are usually formulated with wheat flour, oil, water, salt and white wine. The lipid fraction is traditionally represented by olive oil or extra virgin olive oil (EVOO), making up approximately 20% of the product formulation to ensure adequate crispiness and consistency. EVOO is well recognized as a health-promoting ingredient due to the abundant presence of polyunsaturated fatty acids, phytosterols, tocopherols and phenolic compounds (Farinetti et al. 2017; Salas-Salvadó et al. 2018). However, other vegetable oils or new blends thereof could be used to improve the shelf life and nutritional quality of the products in which they are included. Caponio and collaborators (Caponio et al. 2009) investigated taralli prepared with palm oil and found that the kneading phase caused an increase in the primary and secondary oxidation compounds, accompanied by a decrease in the unsaturated fatty acids. To maintain a high content of unsaturated fatty acids, other oils can be used, such as sunflower oil or high-oleic sunflower oil (HOSO), the latter presenting

a percentage of oleic acid of approximately 80%, which makes HOSO similar to olive oil due to its acidic composition and represents a good characteristic for the cardiovascular system, reducing LDL cholesterol in blood (Allmann-Farinelli et al. 2005). Rice oil is characterized by important antioxidant properties thanks to the high level of tocopherols and oryzanols, with γ -oryzanol in particular (Rodriguez-Estrada et al. 2017) and a pattern of fatty acids made of palmitic acid (12-18%), oleic acid (40-50%) and linoleic acid (29-42%). Coconut oil (CO) shows a significant content of mediumchain fatty acids such as lauric (45-53%), myristic (16-21%), palmitic (7-10%), stearic (2-4%), oleic (5-10%) and linoleic (1-2%) acids that are easily digestible from the human body (DebMandal & Mandal 2010; Rodriguez-Estrada et al. 2017). These medium-chain fatty acids also have antiviral, anti-inflammatory, antibacterial and anti-obesity effects (Gopala Krishna et al. 2010).

All these factors considered, the aim of this study was to formulate innovative blends of oils as alternatives to those already used for taralli production. In addition to the lipid fraction characterization, rheological, sensory and oxidative evaluations of the taralli samples were carried out.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Wheat flour type 0, extravirgin olive oil (EVOO), high oleic sunflower oil (HOSO), sunflower oil (SO), rice oil (RO), coconut oil (CO), white wine and sodium chloride for the preparation of taralli were purchased at a local supermarket. All solvents and chemicals used were of analytical grade and were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (St. Louis, MO; USA).

2.2. Samples

The taralli samples were formulated with the following ingredients: 59% wheat flour 0 type, 24% white wine, 16% fat and 1% salt. In particular, five different types of lipid fractions were used, as shown in Table 1. The ingredients were weighed and mixed with a professional kneader equipped with a hook mixing tool until the dough reached a suitable consistency (approximately 10 minutes). Successively, the dough was left relaxing for 20 minutes and then shaped manually in small rings (typical taralli shape). The cooking phase was performed in two stages: 1) boiling in water until the product rose to the surface and 2) approximately 35 minutes in a rotational oven at 180 °C. After cooling, taralli were stored in closed bags, leaving the same head space for each sample, and stored at room temperature.

2.3. Lipid extraction

According to the AOAC Official Method, the lipid fraction of ground taralli (10 g) was extracted with n-hexane by using a Soxhlet apparatus (Behr Labor-Technik, Fischer Scientific Italia, Milano). Each extraction was performed twice (n=2).

2.4. Fatty acid analysis

The fatty acid composition of taralli and relative lipid matrices was determined as fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) by capillary gas chromatography analysis after alkaline treatment according to Marzocchi et al. (2018). FAME composition was measured in 2 replicates for each lipid extract (n=4).

2.5. Tocols analysis

For the tocol determination, approximately 0.05 g of fat was dissolved in 0.5 mL of *n*-hexane. The solutions were filtered through a 0.45 μ m nylon filter. The tocols were determined by HPLC (Agilent 1200 series, Palo Alto, CA, USA) equipped with a fluorimeter detector (Agilent, Palo Alto, CA, USA) according to Ben Lajnef et al. (2017). Analysis was achieved in 2 replicates for each extract (*n*=4).

2.6. Sterols analysis

To determine the phytosterol content, 0.5 mL of dihydrocholesterol (c =2 mg/mL) was added to 250
mg of oil, and saponification was conducted at room temperature according to Sander et al. 1989.

2 3 Before injection, samples were silvlated (Sweeley et al. 1963), and sterol separation was performed 116 4 by GC/MS (GCMS-OP2010 Plus, Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan) under the same chromatographic 117 conditions reported by Cardenia et al. (2012). Phytosterol identification was achieved by comparing 118 8 10 119 peak mass spectra with peaks of a standard mixture and by comparing them to the GC/MS data 11 12 reported in the literature (Pelillo et al. 2003). Analysis was conducted in 2 replicates for each lipid 120 13 14 extract (n=4). 15 121 16 17 122 18 19 123 20 21 22 124 Oxidative stability with OXITEST® 2.7. 23 Ten grams of ground taralli were placed in the appropriate oxidation reactors in the OXITEST® 24 125 25 ²⁶ 126 instrument (Velp Scientific, Usmate Velate, MB, Italy) at 90 °C and 6 bar of oxygen pressure, as 27 28 reported by Riciputi & Caboni (2017). The analysis was repeated twice for each replicate (n=2). 127 31 128 33 129 2.8. **Texture analysis** The fracturability hardness and consistency of taralli were determined with a texture analyzer TA-130 ₃₈ 131 XT2i (Stable MicroSystem) using a cell load of 25 kg and a P/2 probe for the penetration test. The test settings were as follows: prespeed 2,0 mm/s; test speed 2,0 mm/s; postspeed 2,0 mm/s; distance 40 132 133 50,0%; trigger value 0.010 kg. The test allowed us to determine the sample height (mm), the 45 134 fracturability, as force for the first rupture (g), registered on the sample after penetration, the hardness as the maximum force (g) and the consistency measured as the area of the penetration graph ($g \ge g$). 47 135 Each result was expressed as the mean of at least 10 repetitions \pm standard deviation. 136

1

5

6 7

9

2.9. Sensory analysis

56 139 The descriptive sensory aspects of taralli were evaluated by a panel of ten trained assessors recruited 140 from the staff of the Department of Agricultural, Environmental and Food Sciences of the University 59 60 141 of Molise for their experience and familiarity with the product. The different samples were randomly

coded during the sensory test. A total of 9 descriptors were considered: four for the description of aroma and flavor (overall aroma, wine aroma, overall flavor, cereal flavor) and 5 for the tactile/textural sensations (crispiness as the crushing at first bite, consistency as resistance to chewing, friability, fat perception on the palate and palatability, i.e., the ease of swallowing the product after chewing). The panelists rated the intensity of each attribute using a grading scale from 1 to 9, where 1 indicated the absence of sensations and 9 the maximum intensity of sensations. Scores for two replicates and averages were calculated. Furthermore, the assessors were asked to give an additional to express levels of satisfaction/appreciation for the following attributes: appearance, shape, overall aroma, overall flavor, crispiness, and palatability.

2.10. Statistical analysis

The relative standard deviation was obtained for all data collected. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was evaluated using Statistica 8 software (2006, StatSoft, Tulsa, OK, USA). p Values lower than 0.05 were considered statistically significant using Tukey's honest significant difference (HSD) test. All chemical analyses were carried out in 2 replicates for each extract (n = 4 for each sample).

Three-way ANOVA was used to evaluate the output of the sensory analysis, and the results wereexpressed according to Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) test.

- 3. Results and Discussion
- **3.1**.

Determination of fatty acids

As shown in Table 2, a total of 14 fatty acids were identified and quantified in oil, oil blends and in the respective taralli. Monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) represented the principal class of FA in all samples, with a content in a range of 72-83% for the raw lipidic matrices and 68-81% for taralli. Saturated fatty acids (SFA) and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) were detected in the range of 8-16% and 7-15%, respectively. In general, PUFA showed an increase in all the taralli samples

compared to their respective lipidic matrices, mainly reported by Tctrl, TC and TD. The TA, TB and TC samples also reported an increased SFA concentration with respect to the corresponding lipid fraction. The same samples presented significant decreases (approximately 3-6%) in MUFA content, 10 171 while Tctrl and TD did not show significant differences in their lipidic matrices. These changes could be linked both to the presence of fatty acids in the taralli of wheat flour and to the influence of the ₁₅ 173 process, particularly the cooking step.

17 174 The individual fatty acid profile of taralli totally reflected the profile of the lipidic matrices used in their formulation. In fact, lauric acid (C12:0) was registered only in the HOSO:CO (4.4%) lipid blend and in its corresponding final taralli, TD (4.3%). Oleic acid (C18:1cis9) was the most abundant fatty 24 177 acid in all the raw and final samples; in particular, HOSO and TA taralli made with 100% HOSO as ²⁶ 178 the lipid ingredient showed the highest contents of C18:1cis9 (82.5% and 80.5%, respectively). Palmitic acid (C16:0) and linoleic acid (C18:2n6) were the most abundant SFA and PUFA, respectively, found in the samples. In particular, palmitic acid registered the highest values in EVOO 31 180 33 181 (13.4%) and EVOO:RO (12.4%) blend and in the taralli where these lipidic matrices were used, thus Tctrl (12.1%) and TC (13.6%), respectively, whereas EVOO:SO blend showed the highest percentage ₃₈ 183 of linoleic acid (13.5%) as it was for its corresponding taralli, TB (14.3%). The fatty acid profiles of these vegetable oils were consistent with the results already found in the literature (Boskou et al. 40 184 2006; Chowdhury et al. 2007; Ichihara et al. 2021).

60

3.2. Determination of tocols

Tocols are important for their health role as potent anticancer, antioxidant, immune stimulatory, antiinflammatory, and nephroprotective agents (Belo et al. 2017). As reported in Table 3, six tocols were identified and quantified in all raw lipidic and taralli samples in this order of elution: α-tocopherol, α-tocotrienol, β-tocopherol, γ-tocopherol, β-tocotrienol and δ-tocotrienol. α-Tocopherol was the principal tocol in all samples, with a content ranging from 18 to 35 mg/100 g of fat. Among raw lipidic matrices, the EVOO:SO blend reported the highest value of α-tocopherol (35 mg/100 g of fat), followed by HOSO:CO and EVOO:RO samples with similar contents (~30 mg/100 g of fat) and HOSO and EVOO with concentrations of 27.7 and 27.4 mg/100 g of fat, respectively.

 β -Tocotrienol was found only in all final formulated taralli and not in the raw materials because it is a typical tocol of grain flour, as reported in the literature (Panfili et al. 2003; Engelsen & Hansen, 2009). Sample TC, formulated with EVOO:RO, showed the highest (p<0.05) concentration of total tocols (43 mg/100 g of fat), reflecting the raw material trend, where the EVOO:RO blend registered the highest concentration of tocols (50.3 mg/100 g of fat) among lipids. In fact, these taralli and this lipidic fraction reported the highest content of α-tocotrienol and γ-tocopherol compared to the other samples.

TB and TD, formulated with EVOO:SO and HOSO:CO, followed by TC in the total tocols content, showing a concentration of 29.5 and 28.6 mg/100 g of fat. Last, samples Tctrl and TA showed the significantly (p<0.05) lowest concentration of tocols, with values of 26.1 and 24 mg/100 g of fat, respectively. In general, the results showed a decrease in the total tocolic content from the lipid raw materials to the final products, and in particular, α -tocopherol showed a decrease of approximately 40% due to its thermolability at heating temperatures (Kiczorowska et al., 2019).

3.3. **Determination of sterols**

Sterols represent a group of important health compounds and potent antioxidants of vegetable oils, and it is well known that their consumption can significantly lower the levels of serum LDL cholesterol (Moreau, 2015). In accordance with the reported literature on plant phytosterols, a total of 8 sterols were identified and quantified in the raw lipid matrices and in the final taralli samples (Table 4). Similar to the tocopherols for the sterol component, the lipid mixture EVOO:RO and its corresponding taralli TC represent the richest samples (472.3 mg/100 g of fat), reporting the highest (p<0.05) content of the principal compounds β -sitosterol (241.3 mg/100 g of fat), stigmasterol (30 mg/100 g of fat) and avenasterol (19.6 mg/100 g of fat), as already reported in the literature (Moreau, 2015; Liu et al., 2020). The following sample with a high sterol content was TA with a concentration of 308 mg/100 g of fat, showing the highest (p<0.05) content of avenastanol (52.5 mg/100 g of fat) compared to other taralli samples. TB (EVOO:SO) and TD (HOSO:CO) showed phytosterol concentrations of 259.5 and 279.2 mg/100 g of fat, respectively, whereas sample Tctrl, formulated with 100% EVOO, reported the lowest (p<0.05) phytosterol content (225.3 mg/100 g of fat) among all the taralli samples. In addition, this sample was also the only one without stigmasterol and Δ^7 avenasterol in its sterol profile.

Unlike tocols, taralli samples reported a slightly higher concentration of phytosterols than their corresponding lipidic fractions used in formulation. This increase could be due to the contribution of the other ingredients, first of all wheat flour and to the hydrolysis of sterols from steryl esters or γ orizanol during baking (Mandak & Nyström, 2013). As widely reported in the literature, wheat is a good source of sterols and is mainly characterized by sitosterol, campesterol and the corresponding saturated forms of stanol and stigmasterol (Rajhi et al. 2020; Loskutov & Khlestkina, 2021)

3.5.

3.4. Oxidative stability of taralli

The oxidative stability of taralli was tested to evaluate the impact of the different lipidic fractions 233 used in the formulation on the oxidative quality of the final product. The results obtained with 234 OXITEST[®] (Table 5) are expressed as the *induction period* (IP) in hours (h), which is the time required to obtain a complete oxidation cycle of the samples. Tctrl and TC, formulated with EVOO 236 and EVOO:RO, respectively, presented the highest (p < 0.05) IP values equal to 38.7 and 40.4 h. respectively. In addition to the presence of tocols and sterols, the highest oxidative stability of these two samples could also be due to the elevated content of phenolic compounds in EVOO and γ -239 240 oryzanol in rice oil, which is a compound with well-known antioxidant properties (Massarolo et al. 2017; Jung et al., 2017). The other samples, TA, TB and TD, reported significantly lower (p<0.05) IP values than Tctrl and TC and without significant differences among them (23.0, 20.7 and 23.5 h, 243 respectively). For these taralli samples, the high percentage of MUFAs and PUFAs (Table 1) could influence their oxidative stability more than their total antioxidant content. Considering the literature (Marzocchi & Caboni, 2018), these results can be considered satisfactory; in fact, in this study, taralli 246 formulated with sunflower oil and the consequent addition of a synthesized antioxidant compound reported IP values of 6-23 hours.

Texture and sensory analysis

Taralli samples were tested for their texture characteristics through the penetration test. The heights of the products were very similar, with values varying between 11.68 (± 0.89) and 12.02 (± 0.26) mm. Figure 1 shows the response to the test in terms of fracturability and hardness. No differences were detected among samples for the above parameters. The high variability of samples was confirmed by the high values of SD, which was mainly attributable to the hand-made process of taralli production. Sensory data were analyzed through 3-way ANOVA that evidenced the significant differences for taralli relative to the different attributes. The results of the statistical analysis, expressed as the output of Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) test, are shown in Table 6.

As reported in Table 6, the overall aroma was perceived differently for Tctrl and TA compared to TB, TC and TD, as was the aroma of wine. The overall flavor was perceived to be more intense in Tctrl and TD compared to the other samples, the cereal flavor was perceived to be stronger in TD taralli, while the crispiness was lower compared to the other samples. Consistency was perceived to be lower in the TC sample, while it was higher in Tctrl. Higher scores for friability and palatability were assigned to TA taralli, and the perception of fat on the palate was stronger for taralli made with fat blends (TB, TC and TD).

The results of the appreciation test for the different taralli samples are reported in Figure 2 in the form of a spider plot representation. As seen from the figure, Tctrl, TB and TD were rated similarly for overall appearance and shape, while TA and TC scored lower on the same attributes (TC had a lower score than TA). The aromas of Tctrl and TB received the highest scores, followed by those of TA, TD and TC. The latter still has the lowest score for both aroma and flavor. The flavor score of Tctrl and TA was higher than that of TC but lower than that of TD and TB. The product with the highest crispiness score was TB, and the others had similar scores. Despite the higher intensity of palatability, the TA sample was the least valued for this attribute, while TD was the most valued. Finally, Tctrl, TA, TB and TD were assigned a similar overall rating for acceptability, and TC received a slightly lower rating. As a whole, for several parameters, such as appearance, shape and palatability, the TB (87.5% EVOO and 12.5% SO) and TD (87.5% HOSO and 12.5% CO) samples were accepted as Tctrl, and considering the overall acceptability, TB and TD scored higher than Tctrl. Consequently, for most of the parameters, TC (75% EVOO and 25% RO) and TA (100% HOSO) were more distant from Tctrl.

Considering the texture attributes measured instrumentally (hardness) and through sensory analysis, it emerges that, in the first case, samples did not present significant differences, while some differences among samples were perceived for sensory consistency. This discrepancy was in agreement with what was observed by Barbieri and colleagues (Barbieri et al. 2018), who attributed the low correlation between the two methods, both to the sample heterogeneity and to the different

4 5

6 7

11 12

13 14

16

18 19

20 21

22 23

25

27 28

29 30

32

34 35

41

43 44

46

52 53

way to carry out the evaluation, since during the sensory test, samples are subjected to different 284 moisture and temperature conditions compared to those of the penetration test. 285

4. Conclusion

The present study has demonstrated that the use of fat blends in taralli formulation can improve the 288 ₁₅ 289 nutritional and qualitative profile of the final products without significantly affecting the textural 17 290 characteristics measured through objective instrumental tools, whereas many sensorial attributes were perceived as different on the different products. The use of the fat blend made of 75% extravirgin 291 olive oil and 25% rice oil resulted in the best compromise for the content of sterols, tocols, and 292 24 293 antioxidants, resulting in a combination able to give an induction period to the accelerated oxidation ²⁶ 294 test that was comparable to that of the reference control containing only extravirgin olive oil. On the 295 other hand, taralli made with the unusual combination of 87.5% high oleic sunflower oil and 12.5% coconut oil, despite the lower induction time, presented fatty acid composition (in terms of SFA, 31 296 33 297 MUFA and PUFA), tocol and sterol content very close to that of the control and were also better accepted than the latter. 298

Acknowledgments 40 300

⁴² 301 This work was financially supported by Italian Ministry of Economic Development within the project 45 302 "Biotecnologie integrate per l'identità e la competitività delle produzioni e del Sistema oleario Italiano" (Grandi Progetti R&S - PON IC 2014/2020). 47 303

Author contributions: 305

Conceptualization: F.P., S.M., F.C., M.C.M., M.F.C.; Data curation: F.P., S.M., C.R., F.C., M.C.M.; 54 306 55 56 307 Formal analysis: F.P., S.M., C.R., F.C., M.C.M.; Funding acquisition: M.C.M., E.M., M.F.C.; 57 58 Investigation: F.P., S.M., C.R., F.C., M.C.M.; Methodology: F.P., S.M., C.R., F.C., M.C.M; 308 59 60

1		
2 3 3 4	309	Supervision: M.C.M., E.M., M.F.C.; Writing original draft: F.P., S.M., C.R., F.C., M.C.M; Writing -
5 6	310	review & editing: F.P., S.M., F.C., M.C.M., E.M., M.F.C.
7 8 3 9	311	
10 g 11	312	Conflict of interests
12 13 ³ 14	313	The authors declare no conflict of interest.
15 g 16	314	
17 18	315	Ethic statements
20 ³ 21	316	Ethic approval was not required for this research.
22 3 23	317	
24 25	318	Data availability statements
26 27 ³ 28	319	The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon
29 3 30	320	reasonable request.
31 32		
33		
34 35		
36		
37		
38 39		
40		
41		
42 43		
44		
45		
46 47		
47		
49		
50		
51 52		
53		
54		
55		
56 57		
57 58		
59		
60		

1	
2 3 321 4	References
5 6 322 •	Allman-Farinelli, M. A., Gomes, K., Favaloro, E. J., & Petocz, P. (2005). A diet rich in high-
7 8 323 9	oleic-acid sunflower oil favorably alters lowdensity lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides, and
10 324 11	factor VII coagulant activity. Journal of the American Dietetic Association, 105, 1071–1079.
¹² 13 325	AOAC International Website. Available online: https://www.aoac.org. Accessed
14 15 326 16	23/04/2023).
¹⁷ 327 •	Barbieri, S., Bendini, A., Balestra, F., Palagano, R., Rocculi, P. & Gallina Toschi, T. (2018)
¹⁹ 20 ³²⁸	Sensory and instrumental study of Taralli, a typical Italian bakery product. European Food
21 22 329 23	Research and Technology, 244, 79-82.
²⁴ 330 •	Belo, R. G., Nolasco, S., Mateo, C., & Izquierdo, N. (2017). Dynamics of oil and tocopherol
26 27 331	accumulation in sun flower grains and its impact on final oil quality. European Journal of
28 29 332 20	Agronomy, 89 , 124–130.
31 333 32	Ben Lajnef, H., Pasini, F., Politowicz, J., Tlili, N., Khaldi, A., Caboni, M. F., & Nasri, N.
³³ 34 ³³⁴	(2017). Lipid characterization of Eryngium maritimum seeds grown in Tunisia. Industrial
35 36 335 27	Crops and Product, 105, 47-52.
38 336 39	Boskou D, Blekas G, Tsimidou M. 2006. Olive oil composition. Olive oil. 2nd ed. Urbana
40 41 337	(IL): AOCS Press. pp. 41–72.
42 43 338	Caponio, F., Summo, C., Pasqualone, A., Paradiso, V. M., & Gomes, T. (2009). Influence of
44 45 339 46	processing and storage time on the lipidic fraction of Taralli. Journal of Food Science, 74,
47 48 340	701-706.
49 50 341	Cardenia, V.; Rodriguez-Estrada, M.T.; Baldacci, E.; Savioli, S.; & Lercker, G. (2012)
51 52 342 53	Analysis of cholesterol oxidation products by fast gas chromatography/mass spectrometry.
⁵⁴ 343 55	Journal of Separation Science, 35 , 424–430.
56 57	
58	
59 60	

1 2			
2 3 4	344	•	Chowdhury, K., Banu, L. A., Khan, S., & Latif, A. (2007). Studies on the Fatty Acid
5	345		Composition of Edible Oil. Bangladesh Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research, 42,
/ 8 3 9	346		311-316.
10 ₃ 11	347	•	DebMandal, M., & Mandal, S. (2011). Coconut (Cocos nucifera L.: Arecaceae): In health
12 13	348		promotion and disease prevention. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Medicine, 4, 241–247.
14 15 3 16	349	•	Engelsen, M. M., & Hansen A. (2009). Tocopherol and Tocotrienol Content in Commercial
17 17 18	350		Wheat Mill Streams. Cereal Chemistry, 86, 499-502.
19 20	351	•	Farinetti, A., Zurlo, V., Manenti, A., Coppi, F., & Mattioli, A. V. (2017). Mediterranean diet
21 22 3	352		and colorectal cancer: A systematic review. Nutrition, 43, 83-88.
24 24 25	353	•	Gopala Krishna, A. G., Raj, G., Bhatnagar, A. S., Prasanth, K. P., & Chandrashekar,
26 27	354		P. (2010). Coconut oil: Chemistry, production and its applications - A review. Indian
28 29 3	355		Coconut Journal, 53 , 15–27
31 g 32	356	•	Ichihara, K., Kohsaka, C., Yamamoto, Y., & Masumura, T. (2021). Simultaneous
33 34	357		determination of free fatty acids and esterified fatty acids in rice oil by gas chromatography.
35 36 3	358		Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society, 98, 149-155.
37 38 g 39	359	•	Jung, T. D., Shin, G. H., Kim, J. M., Choi, S. I., Lee, J. H., Lee, S. J., Park, S. J., Woo, K. S.,
40 41	360		Oh, S. K., & Lee, O. H. (2017). Comparative analysis of γ -oryzanol, β -glucan, total phenolic
42 43	361		content and antioxidant activity in fermented rice bran of different varieties. Nutrients, 9,
44 45 g 46	362		571.
47 48	363	•	Kiczorowska, B., Samolińska, W., Andrejko, D., Kiczorowski, P., Antoszkiewicz, Z., Zając,
49 50 ³	364		M., Winiarska-Mieczan, A., & Bąkowski, M. (2019). Comparative analysis of selected
51 52 g	365		bioactive components (fatty acids, tocopherols, xanthophyll, lycopene, phenols) and basic
54 55	366		nutrients in raw and thermally processed camelina, sunflower, and flax seeds (Camelina
56 57	367		sativa L. Crantz, Helianthus L., and Linum L.). Journal of Food Science and Technology, 56,
58 59 3 60	368		4296-4310.

1 ว		
² 3 369 4	•	Liu, R., Lu, M., Zhang, T., Zhang, Z., Jin, Q., Chang, M., & Wang, X. (2020). Evaluation of
5 6 370		the antioxidant properties of micronutrients in different vegetable oils. European Journal of
/ 8 371 9		Lipid Science and Technology, 122, Article 1900079.
10 11 11	•	Loskutov, I. G., & Khlestkina, E. K. (2021). Wheat, barley, and oat breeding for health
12 13 373		benefit components in grain. Plants, 10, 86.
15 374 16	•	Mandak, E., & Nyström, L. (2013). Influence of baking and in vitro digestion on steryl
¹⁷ 375 18		ferulates from wheat. Journal of Cereal Science, 57, 356-361.
19 20 376	•	Manley, D. (2011). Manley's Technology of biscuits, crackers and cookies (4th ed.)
22 377 23		Woodhead Publishing.
²⁴ 378 25	•	Marzocchi, S., & Caboni, M. F. (2018). Study of the effect of tyrosyl oleate on lipid oxidation
26 27 379		in a typical Italian bakery product. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 66, 12555-
29 380 30		12560.
31 381 32	•	Marzocchi, S., Pasini, F., Baldinelli, C., & Caboni, M. F. (2018) Value-addition of beef meat
33 34 35		byproducts: lipid characterization by chromatographic techniques. Journal of Oleo Science,
36 383 37		67, 143-150.
38 384 39	•	Massarolo, K. C., de Souza, T. D., Collazzo, C. C., Furlong, E. B., & de Souza Soares, L. A.
40 41 385		(2017). The impact of <i>Rhizopus oryzae</i> cultivation on rice bran: Gamma-oryzanol recovery
42 43 386 44		and its antioxidant properties. Food chemistry, 228, 43-49.
45 387 46	•	Moreau, R. A. (2015). Composition of plant sterols and stanols in supplemented food
47 48 388		products. Journal of AOAC International, 98, 685-690.
49 50 389 51	•	Panfili, G., Fratianni, A., & Irano, M. (2003). Normal Phase High-Performance Liquid
52 390 53		Chromatography Method for the Determination of Tocopherols and Tocotrienols in Cereals.
54 55 391		Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 51 , 3940-3944.
56 57		
58 50		
60		

1 2			
2 3 4	392	•	Pelillo, M., Iafelice, G., Marconi, E., & Caboni, M. F. (2003). Identification of plant sterols
5 6	393		in hexaploid and tetraploid wheats using gas chromatography with mass spectrometry. Rapid
7 8 9	394		Communication in Mass Spectrometry, 17, 2245–2252.
) 10 11	395	•	Rajhi, I., Baccouri, B., & Mhadhbi, H. (2020). Phytosterols in Wheat: Composition, Contents
12 13	396		and Role in Human Health. Open Access Journal of Biogeneric Science and Research, 5.
14 15 16	397	•	Riciputi, Y., & Caboni, M. F. (2017). Assessing oil oxidative stability in tarallini by Oxitest [®] .
17 18	398		Italian Journal of Food Science, 29, 63-73.
19 20	399	•	Rodriguez-Estrada, M.T.; Paciulli, M.; Cerretani, L.; & Chiavaro, E. (2017). Production of
21 22	400		vegetable oils from fruits, oilseeds, and beans: Conventional processing and industry
23 24 25	401		techniques. In Edible Oils (pp. 1-34). CRC Press.
26 27	402	•	Salas-Salvadó, J., Becerra-Tomás, N., García-Gavilán, J. F., Bullo, M., & Barrubes, L.
28 29	403		(2018). Mediterranean Diet and Cardiovascular Disease Prevention: What Do We Know?
30 31 32	404		Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases, 61, 62–67.
33 34	405	•	Sander, B. D., Addis, P. B., Park, S. W., & Smith, D. E. (1989) Quantification of cholesterol
35 36	406		oxidation products in a variety of foods. Journal of Food Protection, 52, 109-114.
37 38 39	407	•	Sweeley, C. C., Bentley, R., Makita, M., & Wells, W. W. (1963) Gas-liquid chromatography
40 41	408		of trimethylsilyl derivatives of sugars and related substances. Journal of the American
42 43	409		<i>Chemical Society</i> , 85 , 2497–2507.
44 45 46			
47			
48 49			
50 51			
52			
53			
54 55			
56			
57 50			
50 59			
60			



Figure 1. Fracturability (A) and hardness (B) of taralli samples measured through penetration test. Different superscript letters indicate significant difference (Tuckey HSD p < 0.05).





Figure 2. Spider plot illustrating the appreciation of the products through mean score assigned by the assessors to different descriptors.

Samples	Composition of fat fraction
Tctrl	100% EVOO (Extra-Virgin Olive Oil)
TA	100% HOSO (High Oleic Sunflower Oil)
TB	87.5% EVOO + 12.5% SO (Sunflower Oil)
TC	75% EVOO + 25% RO (Rice Oil)
TD	87.5% HOSO + 12.5% CO (Coconut Oil)

Table 1. Fat fraction composition and sample coding of Taralli samples.

								ТВ	ТС	TD
FA	EVOO (100%)	HOSO (100%)	EVOO:SO	EVOO:RO	HOSO:CO	Tctrl (100% EVOO)	TA (100% HOSO)	(87.5% EVOO +	(75% EVOO +	(87.5% EVOO +
	(10070)	(10070)	(0/10/01/210/0)	(10,0020,00)	(0/12/0/12/0/0)	(100701100)	(1007011050)	12.5 SO)	25% RO)	12.5 CO)
C12:0	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	4.4±0.0a	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	4.3±0.0a
C14:0	n.d.	n.d.	0.1±0.0c	0.1±0.0c	1.8±0.0b	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.1±0.0c	2.1±0.0a
C16:0	13.4±0.0a	4.4±0.0i	10.2±0.0e	12.4±0.0b	5.3±0.0g	12.1±0.0c	4.9±0.0h	11.6±0.2d	13.6±0.0a	5.6±0.0f
C16:1 cis	0.8±0.0a	0.1±0.0d	0.8±0.0a	0.7±0.0b	0.1±0.0d	0.8±0.0a	0.2±0.0c	0.7±0.0b	0.7±0.0b	0.1±0.0d
C17:0	0.1±0.0a	n.d.	0.1±0.0a	0.1±0.0a	n.d.	0.1±0.0a	n.d.	0.1±0.0a	0.1±0.0a	n.d.
C17:1	0.1±0.0a	n.d.	0.1±0.0a	0.1±0.0a	n.d.	0.1±0.0a	n.d.	0.1±0.0a	0.1±0.0a	n.d.
C18:0	2.7±0.0b	2.6±0.0c	2.8±0.0a	2.6±0.0c	2.7±0.0b	2.7±0.0b	2.7±0.0b	2.8±0.0a	2.5±0.0d	2.7±0.0b
C18:1 cis9	75.1±0.1c	82.5±0.1a	71.2±0.0e	71.4±0.2e	75.3±0.0c	74.6±0.0c	80.5±0.0b	68.8±0.3f	67.3±0.4g	72.9±0.1d
C18:2n6	6.1±0.01	9.1±0.1g	13.5±0.0c	11.3±0.1d	8.6±0.0h	7.8±0.0i	10.5±0.0e	14.3±0.1a	13.9±0.2b	10.3±0.0f
C18:3n3	0.6±0.0b	0.1±0.0d	0.6±0.0b	0.6±0.0b	0.1±0.0d	0.7±0.0a	0.2±0.0c	0.7±0.0a	0.7±0.0a	0.2±0.0c
C20:0	0.3±0.0b	0.2±0.0c	0.3±0.0b	0.4±0.0a	0.2±0.0c	0.3±0.0b	0.2±0.0c	0.3±0.0b	0.4±0.0a	0.2±0.0c
C20:1	0.2±0.0b	0.2±0.0b	0.2±0.0b	0.3±0.0a	0.2±0.0b	0.2±0.0b	0.2±0.0b	0.2±0.0b	0.3±0.0a	0.2±0.0b
C22:0	0.1±0.0c	0.7±0.0a	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.1±0.0c	0.6±0.0b	0.1±0.0c	0.1±0.0c	n.d.
C22:2	0.6±0.0a	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.4±0.0b	n.d.	0.3±0.0c	0.3±0.0c	n.d.
SFA	16.6±0.0a	7.9±0.0h	13.7±0.0f	15.5±0.0c	15.6±0.0c	15.3±0.0d	8.5±0.0g	14.9±0.2e	16.8±0.1a	15.8±0.1b
MUFA	76.2±0.0c	82.9±0.1a	72.3±0.0e	72.5±0.2e	75.7±0.0cd	75.8±0.0c	80.9±0.0b	69.8±0.3f	68.3±0.3g	73.5±0.1d
PUFA	7.2±0.0h	9.2±0.1f	14.0±0.0b	12.0±0.1c	8.7±0.0g	8.9±0.0f	10.6±0.0e	15.3±0.1a	14.9±0.3a	10.7±0.0d

Institute of Food Science and Technology

1															
2	Abbrevia	tion: EV	VOO: extr	a virgin ol	ive oil; H	OSO: high	oleic sunflower	oil; SO: sunfle	ower oil; R0	D: rice oil; C	O: coconut o	oil; n.d.: no	t determ	nined. E	Different
3	letters	in	the	same	row	show	significant	different	mean	values	(Tukey	HSD	р	<	0.05).
4															
5															
0															
7 8															
9															
10															
11															
12															
13															
14															
15															
16															
17															
18															
19															
20															
21															
22															
23															
25															
26															
27															
28															
29															
30															
31															
32															
33															
34															
35															
30 27															
20															
30															
40															
41															
42															
43							Institute of Fee	d Colonco and T	Tochnology						
44							institute of FOC	ou science and	rechnology						
45															

	FVOO	HOSO	FV00.SO	FV00·R0	HOSOCO	Tetrl	ТА	TB	ТС	TD
		(1000())				(1000/ EUOO)		(87.5% EVOO	(75% EVOO	(87.5% EVOC
	(100%)	(100%)	(87.5%:12.5%)	(75%:25%)	(87.5%:12.5%)	(100% EVOO)	(100% HOSO)	+12.5 SO)	+25% RO)	+12.5 CO)
a-tocopherol	27.4±0.4c	27.7±0.4c	34.9±0.2a	29.5±0.2b	29.8±0.2b	17.0±0.4fg	16.6±0.2g	21.0±0.4d	17.8±0.2ef	18.8±0.5e
a-tocotrienol	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	2.2±0.1a	0.5±0.0b	n.d.	n.d.	n.d. c	1.9±0.3a	0.4±0.1b
3-tocopherol	0.1±0.0e	0.7±0.0d	2.3±0.0a	0.9±0.1bc	0.6±0.0d	0.8±0.0c	0.6±0.0d	0.9±0.0bc	1.2±0.3b	0.6±0.0d
y-tocopherol	2.5±0.1c	0.5±0.1e	n.d.	6.2±0.2a	1.1±0.0d	2.3±0.1c	n.d.	2.0±0.1cd	5.5±0.3b	1.5±0.2d
-tocotrienol	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	6.0±0.2b	6.8±0.2a	5.3±0.1c	6.1±0.0b	6.3±0.1ab
-tocotrienol	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	11.5±1.6a	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	10.5±0.2a	n.d.
Total	30.0±0.5e	28.9±0.1fg	37.2±0.2c	50.3±1.6a	32.0±0.1d	26.1±0.8h	24.0±1.4i	29.2±0.6ef	43.0±1.3b	27.6±1.0g
Abbr	eviation: E	VOO: extra v	virgin olive oil; H	OSO: high ol	eic sunflower oil; S	SO: sunflower oil	, RO: rice oil; C	O: coconut oil; n	.d.: not determine	d. Different
letter	s in	the s	same row	show	significant di	fferent mean	values	(Tukey H	ISD p <	0.05).
					Institute of Food Sci	ence and Technolo	ду			

Table 4. Sterol composition and content (mg /100 g of fat) of raw lipidic matrices and taralli.

	EVOO	HOSO	EVOO:SO	EVOO:RO	HOSO:CO	Tctrl	ТА	TB	TC (75%	TD (97.59/
	(100%)	(100%)	(87.5%:12.5%)	(75%:25%)	(87.5%:12.5%)	(100% EVOO)	(100% HOSO)	(87.5% EVOO+ 12.5% SO)	EVOO+25% RO)	(87.5% EVOO+12.5%CO)
Campestanol	15.1±0.2e	20.4±0.2d	9.7±0.2f	62.1±0.2b	15.6±0.4e	21.2±2.0d	33.0±0.8c	19.8±0.3d	74.6±2.1a	30.8±1.1c
Campesterol	8.4±0.3a	5.5±0.5bcd	2.5±0.1e	4.6±0.4cde	4.4±0.3de	8.2±1.0ab	7.2±0.1abc	7.9±0.1ab	8.2±1.7ab	6.5±0.4abcd
Stigmasterol	n.d.	13.5±0.6d	1.7±0.2g	16.1±0.2c	7.6±0.2e	n.d.	17.9±0.2b	5.2±0.1f	29.8±0.1a	15.7±0.1c
β-sitosterol	111.0±0.8f	139.8±1.1e	111.1±0.7f	216.3±1.8b	101.4±1.2g	147.9±0.0d	161.0±0.5c	150.7±0.1d	241.3±0.0a	145.8±3.6d
Sitostanol	10.8±0.3abcd	9.3±0.3cd	7.7±0.1d	7.3±0.3d	9.7±0.1bcd	14.8±2.2ab	13.5±1.5abc	13.9±1.0abc	12.9±1.8abc	15.1±2.4a
Avenasterol	9.7±0.2ef	8.0±0.1f	9.8±0.2def	11.4±1.7cdef	8.5±0.1f	13.8±0.4bcd	10.8±1.3cdef	15.8±0.7ab	19.6±0.1a	12.9±2.3bcdef
Avenastanol	11.0±0.8g	44.9±0.5b	15.2±0.9fg	36.1±0.5cd	29.9±0.6d	19.4±0.2ef	52.2±1.2a	22.3±1.4e	41.2±4.6bc	43.3±1.8b
Δ^7 -avenasterol	n.d.	8.1±0.1e	15.9±0.9d	36.9±1.0b	4.6±0.0e	n.d.	12.4±0.1de	23.7±2.8c	44.6±2.0a	9.1±0.9e
Total	165.9±2.3h	249.5±1.8e	173.5±0.8gh	390.8±4.1b	181.7±0.5g	225.3±5.8f	308.0±3.8c	259.5±5.3e	472.3±1.1a	279.2±6.9d
Abbr letter	eviation: EV rs in	VOO: extra the	virgin olive oil; H same row	OSO: high oleic s show sign	unflower oil; SO: si ificant differer	unflower oil; RO nt mean	: rice oil; CO: c values (T	oconut oil; n.d.: [°] ukey HSD	not determin p	ed. Different < 0.05).
				Instit	ute of Food Science a	and Technology				

Taralli	IP (h)
Tctrl	38.7 ± 3.1a
ТА	$23.0\pm0.33b$
TB	$20.7\pm0.44b$
TC	$40.4 \pm 1.15a$
TD	$23.5\pm0.40b$

Table 5. IP (Induction Period) values recorder for the different samples

Different letters in the same row show significant different mean values (Tukey HSD p < 0.05).

2	
3	
5	
6	
7	
8 9	
10	
11	
12	
14	
15	
16	
18	
19 20	
20 21	
22	
23 24	
24	
26	
27 28	
29	
30	
31	
33	
34 25	
35 36	
37	
38	
39 40	
41	
42 43	
44	
45	
46 47	
48	
49 50	
50 51	
52	
53 54	
54 55	
56	
57 59	

G. Sensory data on taralli samples.

Attributes	Samples					
Autoutes	Tctrl	TA	ТВ	ТС	TD	
Overall aroma	4.8 a	4.9 a	5.1 b	5.1 b	5.1 b	
Wine aroma	4.25 a	4.2 a	4.8 b	4.75 b	5.05 c	
Overall flavour	5.30 d	4.45 b	4.85 c	3.75 a	5.45 d	
Flavour of cereals	4.85 b	4.75 b	4.40 a	4.45 a	5.00 c	
Crispiness	5.10 b	4.85 b	4.95 b	5.05 b	4.35 a	
Consistency	5.35 c	5.15 b	4.85 b	4.60 a	5.10 b	
Friability	5.70 a	6.15 b	5.65 a	5.60 a	5.55 a	
Fat perception	5.15 b	4.80 a	5.65 c	5.20 b	5.55 c	
Palatability	5.30 a	5.65 b	5.25 a	5.35 a	5.35 a	

Different letters in the same row indicate significant difference among samples (Fisher LSD p <0.05).