



ALMA MATER STUDIORUM  
UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA

ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE  
DELLA RICERCA

## Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Effect of replacing olive oil with oil blends on physicochemical and sensory properties of taralli

This is the submitted version (pre peer-review, preprint) of the following publication:

*Published Version:*

Pasini F., Marzocchi S., Ravagli C., Cuomo F., Messia M.C., Marconi E., et al. (2024). Effect of replacing olive oil with oil blends on physicochemical and sensory properties of taralli. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF FOOD SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY, 59(4), 2697-2706 [10.1111/ijfs.17019].

*Availability:*

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/967333> since: 2024-04-09

*Published:*

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.17019>

*Terms of use:*

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).  
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

**CHEMICAL-PHYSICAL AND SENSORY EVALUATION OF  
ITALIAN CEREAL-BASED SALTED SNACKS MADE WITH  
DIFFERENT OILS**

Journal:	<i>International Journal of Food Science and Technology</i>
Manuscript ID	IJFST-2023-38175.R1
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Marzocchi, Silvia; Universita degli Studi di Bologna - Campus di Cesena Pasini, Federica ; Universita degli Studi di Bologna - Campus di Cesena Ravagli, Cesare; Universita degli Studi di Bologna - Campus di Cesena Cuomo, Francesca ; Universita degli Studi del Molise Dipartimento Agricoltura Ambiente e Alimenti Messia, Maria Cristina; Universita degli Studi del Molise Dipartimento Agricoltura Ambiente e Alimenti Marconi, Emanuele; Universita Campus Bio-Medico di Roma Caboni, Maria; Universita degli Studi di Bologna - Campus di Cesena
Confirm Reviewer Status:	Yes
Keywords:	Lipids, Rheology, Sensory Analysis
Excess Page Charges:	Yes

1  
2  
3 1 **CHEMICAL-PHYSICAL AND SENSORY EVALUATION OF ITALIAN CEREAL-BASED**  
4  
5 2 **SALTED SNACKS MADE WITH DIFFERENT OILS**

6  
7  
8 3 Federica Pasini<sup>1,2</sup>, Silvia Marzocchi<sup>1\*</sup>, Cesare Ravagli<sup>1</sup>, Francesca Cuomo<sup>3</sup>, Maria Cristina Messia<sup>3</sup>,  
9  
10 4 Emanuele Marconi<sup>4</sup>, Maria Fiorenza Caboni<sup>1,2</sup>

11  
12  
13 5 <sup>1</sup>Department of Agricultural and Food Sciences and Technologies, University of Bologna, Piazza  
14  
15 6 Goidanich 60, 47521 Cesena (FC), Italy

16  
17  
18 7 <sup>2</sup> Interdepartmental Centre of Industrial Agri-Food Research (CIRI Agroalimentare), University of  
19  
20 8 Bologna, Via Quinto Bucci, 336, 47521 Cesena (FC), Italy

21  
22  
23 9 <sup>3</sup>Dipartimento Agricoltura, Ambiente e Alimenti (DiAAA), Università degli Studi del Molise - Via  
24  
25 10 F. De Sanctis, 86100 Campobasso, Italy

26  
27  
28 11 <sup>4</sup>Department of Science and Technology for Sustainable Development and One Health, Università  
29  
30 12 Campus Bio-Medico di Roma, Via Alvaro del Portillo 21, 00128, Rome, Italy

31  
32  
33 13  
34  
35 14 E-mail addresses:

36  
37  
38 15 Federica Pasini (F.P.): federica.pasini5@unibo.it

39  
40 16 Silvia Marzocchi (S.M.): silvia.marzocchi4@unibo.it

41  
42 17 Cesare Ravagli (C.R.): cesare.ravagli2@unibo.it

43  
44 18 Francesca Cuomo (F.C.): francesca.cuomo@unimol.it

45  
46 19 Maria Cristina Messia (M.C.M.): messia@unimol.it

47  
48 20 Emanuele Marconi (E.M.): e.marconi@unicampus.it

49  
50 21 Maria Fiorenza Caboni (M.C.): maria.caboni@unibo.it

51  
52 22  
53  
54  
55  
56 23 \*Corresponding author: Silvia Marzocchi, silvia.marzocchi4@unibo.it. Fax number: 0547 382348  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 24 **Abstract**  
4

5 25 Edible fats and oils is usually taken into consideration to produce bakery products for technological  
6  
7 26 and economic reasons, but the nutritional and sensory quality of the final product must be considered.  
8  
9  
10 27 Four different lipid mixtures (high oleic sunflower oil, 87.5% extra virgin olive oil + 12.5 sunflower  
11  
12 28 oil, 75% extravirgin olive oil + 25 sunflower oil and 87.5% extra virgin olive oil + 12.5 rice oil) were  
13  
14 29 used in the taralli production as an extravirgin olive oil alternative. The lipid fraction, rheological and  
15  
16 30 sensory characteristics and oxidative evaluation of final products were studied. The use of the blend  
17  
18 31 made of 75% extravirgin olive oil and 25% rice oil resulted in the best compromise for the content of  
19  
20 32 sterols, tocopherols, and antioxidants, resulting in a combination able to give an induction period to the  
21  
22 33 accelerated oxidation test that was comparable to that of the reference control containing only  
23  
24 34 extravirgin olive oil.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29 35

30  
31 36 *Keywords:* salted snack, lipid mixtures, lipid characterization, rheological characteristics, oxidative  
32  
33 37 evaluation.  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## 1. Introduction

Baked foods serve as one of the main staple food sources for consumers, and lipids are one of the principal classes of molecules present in this kind of food. Lipids play an important role in most baked products, influencing the nutritional and physical characteristics of the final product. In fact, lipids in baking contribute to air incorporation, heat transfer, tenderness, moisture, mouthfeel, lubricity, flavor, structure and shelf life (Manley, 2011). For these reasons, a wide choice of edible fats and oils is usually taken into consideration to produce the various kinds of bakery products. The lipid choice is often driven by technological and economic reasons, but it is important to also consider the nutritional and sensory quality of the final product.

One of the simplest ways to improve the nutritional, organoleptic and technological properties is blending oils with different characteristics. Through the blends obtained by mixing oils already used for foods, it is possible to achieve structured oils with an improved composition (e.g., higher content of oleic acid, reduced content of saturated fatty acids, excellent  $\omega$ -3/ $\omega$ -6 ratio, greater content of minor lipid bioactive compounds), meeting the interest of modern consumers who are increasingly looking for natural and little manipulated foods.

Taralli are common Italian salted snack foods that are usually formulated with wheat flour, oil, water, salt and white wine. The lipid fraction is traditionally represented by olive oil or extra virgin olive oil (EVOO), making up approximately 20% of the product formulation to ensure adequate crispiness and consistency. EVOO is well recognized as a health-promoting ingredient due to the abundant presence of polyunsaturated fatty acids, phytosterols, tocopherols and phenolic compounds (Farinetti et al. 2017; Salas-Salvadó et al. 2018). However, other vegetable oils or new blends thereof could be used to improve the shelf life and nutritional quality of the products in which they are included. Caponio and collaborators (Caponio et al. 2009) investigated taralli prepared with palm oil and found that the kneading phase caused an increase in the primary and secondary oxidation compounds, accompanied by a decrease in the unsaturated fatty acids. To maintain a high content of unsaturated fatty acids, other oils can be used, such as sunflower oil or high-oleic sunflower oil (HOSO), the latter presenting

1  
2  
3 64 a percentage of oleic acid of approximately 80%, which makes HOSO similar to olive oil due to its  
4  
5 65 acidic composition and represents a good characteristic for the cardiovascular system, reducing LDL  
6  
7 66 cholesterol in blood (Allmann-Farinelli et al. 2005). Rice oil is characterized by important antioxidant  
8  
9  
10 67 properties thanks to the high level of tocopherols and oryzanols, with  $\gamma$ -oryzanol in particular  
11  
12 68 (Rodriguez-Estrada et al. 2017) and a pattern of fatty acids made of palmitic acid (12-18%), oleic  
13  
14 69 acid (40-50%) and linoleic acid (29-42%). Coconut oil (CO) shows a significant content of medium-  
15  
16  
17 70 chain fatty acids such as lauric (45-53%), myristic (16-21%), palmitic (7-10%), stearic (2-4%), oleic  
18  
19 71 (5-10%) and linoleic (1-2%) acids that are easily digestible from the human body (DebMandal &  
20  
21 72 Mandal 2010; Rodriguez-Estrada et al. 2017). These medium-chain fatty acids also have antiviral,  
22  
23  
24 73 anti-inflammatory, antibacterial and anti-obesity effects (Gopala Krishna et al. 2010).

25  
26 74 All these factors considered, the aim of this study was to formulate innovative blends of oils as  
27  
28 75 alternatives to those already used for taralli production. In addition to the lipid fraction  
29  
30 76 characterization, rheological, sensory and oxidative evaluations of the taralli samples were carried  
31  
32  
33 77 out.

## 34 35 78 36 37 79 **2. Materials and Methods**

### 38 80 **2.1. Materials**

39  
40 81 Wheat flour type 0, extravirgin olive oil (EVOO), high oleic sunflower oil (HOSO), sunflower oil  
41  
42 82 (SO), rice oil (RO), coconut oil (CO), white wine and sodium chloride for the preparation of taralli  
43  
44 83 were purchased at a local supermarket. All solvents and chemicals used were of analytical grade and  
45  
46  
47 84 were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (St. Louis, MO; USA).

### 48 49 85 50 51 86 **2.2. Samples**

52  
53 87 The taralli samples were formulated with the following ingredients: 59% wheat flour 0 type, 24%  
54  
55 88 white wine, 16% fat and 1% salt. In particular, five different types of lipid fractions were used, as  
56  
57  
58 89 shown in Table 1.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

The ingredients were weighed and mixed with a professional kneader equipped with a hook mixing tool until the dough reached a suitable consistency (approximately 10 minutes). Successively, the dough was left relaxing for 20 minutes and then shaped manually in small rings (typical taralli shape). The cooking phase was performed in two stages: 1) boiling in water until the product rose to the surface and 2) approximately 35 minutes in a rotational oven at 180 °C. After cooling, taralli were stored in closed bags, leaving the same head space for each sample, and stored at room temperature.

### 2.3. Lipid extraction

According to the AOAC Official Method, the lipid fraction of ground taralli (10 g) was extracted with *n*-hexane by using a Soxhlet apparatus (Behr Labor-Technik, Fischer Scientific Italia, Milano). Each extraction was performed twice ( $n=2$ ).

### 2.4. Fatty acid analysis

The fatty acid composition of taralli and relative lipid matrices was determined as fatty acid methyl esters (FAMES) by capillary gas chromatography analysis after alkaline treatment according to Marzocchi et al. (2018). FAME composition was measured in 2 replicates for each lipid extract ( $n=4$ ).

### 2.5. Tocols analysis

For the tocol determination, approximately 0.05 g of fat was dissolved in 0.5 mL of *n*-hexane. The solutions were filtered through a 0.45 µm nylon filter. The tocols were determined by HPLC (Agilent 1200 series, Palo Alto, CA, USA) equipped with a fluorimeter detector (Agilent, Palo Alto, CA, USA) according to Ben Lajnef et al. (2017). Analysis was achieved in 2 replicates for each extract ( $n=4$ ).

### 2.6. Sterols analysis

To determine the phytosterol content, 0.5 mL of dihydrocholesterol ( $c = 2$  mg/mL) was added to 250 mg of oil, and saponification was conducted at room temperature according to Sander et al. 1989.

1  
2  
3 116 Before injection, samples were silylated (Sweeley et al. 1963), and sterol separation was performed  
4  
5 117 by GC/MS (GCMS-QP2010 Plus, Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan) under the same chromatographic  
6  
7  
8 118 conditions reported by Cardenia et al. (2012). Phytosterol identification was achieved by comparing  
9  
10 119 peak mass spectra with peaks of a standard mixture and by comparing them to the GC/MS data  
11  
12 120 reported in the literature (Pelillo et al. 2003). Analysis was conducted in 2 replicates for each lipid  
13  
14  
15 121 extract ( $n=4$ ).  
16

### 21 124 **2.7. Oxidative stability with OXITEST®**

23  
24 125 Ten grams of ground taralli were placed in the appropriate oxidation reactors in the OXITEST®  
25  
26 126 instrument (Velp Scientific, Usmate Velate, MB, Italy) at 90 °C and 6 bar of oxygen pressure, as  
27  
28 127 reported by Riciputi & Caboni (2017). The analysis was repeated twice for each replicate ( $n=2$ ).  
29  
30  
31 128

### 32 33 129 **2.8. Texture analysis**

34  
35 130 The fracturability hardness and consistency of taralli were determined with a texture analyzer TA-  
36  
37 131 XT2i (Stable MicroSystem) using a cell load of 25 kg and a P/2 probe for the penetration test. The  
38  
39  
40 132 test settings were as follows: prespeed 2,0 mm/s; test speed 2,0 mm/s; postspeed 2,0 mm/s; distance  
41  
42 133 50,0%; trigger value 0.010 kg. The test allowed us to determine the sample height (mm), the  
43  
44 134 fracturability, as force for the first rupture (g), registered on the sample after penetration, the hardness  
45  
46  
47 135 as the maximum force (g) and the consistency measured as the area of the penetration graph (g x s).  
48  
49 136 Each result was expressed as the mean of at least 10 repetitions  $\pm$  standard deviation.  
50  
51 137

### 52 53 138 **2.9. Sensory analysis**

54  
55 139 The descriptive sensory aspects of taralli were evaluated by a panel of ten trained assessors recruited  
56  
57  
58 140 from the staff of the Department of Agricultural, Environmental and Food Sciences of the University  
59  
60 141 of Molise for their experience and familiarity with the product. The different samples were randomly



1  
2  
3 142 coded during the sensory test. A total of 9 descriptors were considered: four for the description of  
4  
5 143 aroma and flavor (overall aroma, wine aroma, overall flavor, cereal flavor) and 5 for the  
6  
7  
8 144 tactile/textural sensations (crispiness as the crushing at first bite, consistency as resistance to chewing,  
9  
10 145 friability, fat perception on the palate and palatability, i.e., the ease of swallowing the product after  
11  
12 146 chewing). The panelists rated the intensity of each attribute using a grading scale from 1 to 9, where  
13  
14  
15 147 1 indicated the absence of sensations and 9 the maximum intensity of sensations. Scores for two  
16  
17 148 replicates and averages were calculated. Furthermore, the assessors were asked to give an additional  
18  
19 149 to express levels of satisfaction/appreciation for the following attributes: appearance, shape, overall  
20  
21 150 aroma, overall flavor, crispiness, and palatability.  
22  
23

## 24 151 **2.10. Statistical analysis**

25  
26 152  
27  
28 153 The relative standard deviation was obtained for all data collected. One-way analysis of variance  
29  
30  
31 154 (ANOVA) was evaluated using Statistica 8 software (2006, StatSoft, Tulsa, OK, USA). *p* Values  
32  
33 155 lower than 0.05 were considered statistically significant using Tukey's honest significant difference  
34  
35 156 (HSD) test. All chemical analyses were carried out in 2 replicates for each extract ( $n = 4$  for each  
36  
37  
38 157 sample).  
39

40 158 Three-way ANOVA was used to evaluate the output of the sensory analysis, and the results were  
41  
42 159 expressed according to Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) test.  
43  
44  
45 160

## 46 161 **3. Results and Discussion**

### 47 162 **3.1. Determination of fatty acids**

48  
49 163 As shown in Table 2, a total of 14 fatty acids were identified and quantified in oil, oil blends and in  
50  
51  
52 164 the respective taralli. Monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) represented the principal class of FA in  
53  
54  
55 165 all samples, with a content in a range of 72-83% for the raw lipidic matrices and 68-81% for taralli.  
56  
57  
58 166 Saturated fatty acids (SFA) and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) were detected in the range of 8-  
59  
60  
61 167 16% and 7-15%, respectively. In general, PUFA showed an increase in all the taralli samples

1  
2  
3 168 compared to their respective lipidic matrices, mainly reported by Tctrl, TC and TD. The TA, TB and  
4  
5 169 TC samples also reported an increased SFA concentration with respect to the corresponding lipid  
6  
7  
8 170 fraction. The same samples presented significant decreases (approximately 3-6%) in MUFA content,  
9  
10 171 while Tctrl and TD did not show significant differences in their lipidic matrices. These changes could  
11  
12 172 be linked both to the presence of fatty acids in the taralli of wheat flour and to the influence of the  
13  
14  
15 173 process, particularly the cooking step.

16  
17 174 The individual fatty acid profile of taralli totally reflected the profile of the lipidic matrices used in  
18  
19 175 their formulation. In fact, lauric acid (C12:0) was registered only in the HOSO:CO (4.4%) lipid blend  
20  
21  
22 176 and in its corresponding final taralli, TD (4.3%). Oleic acid (C18:1*cis*9) was the most abundant fatty  
23  
24 177 acid in all the raw and final samples; in particular, HOSO and TA taralli made with 100% HOSO as  
25  
26 178 the lipid ingredient showed the highest contents of C18:1*cis*9 (82.5% and 80.5%, respectively).  
27  
28 179 Palmitic acid (C16:0) and linoleic acid (C18:2*n*6) were the most abundant SFA and PUFA,  
29  
30  
31 180 respectively, found in the samples. In particular, palmitic acid registered the highest values in EVOO  
32  
33 181 (13.4%) and EVOO:RO (12.4%) blend and in the taralli where these lipidic matrices were used, thus  
34  
35 182 Tctrl (12.1%) and TC (13.6%), respectively, whereas EVOO:SO blend showed the highest percentage  
36  
37  
38 183 of linoleic acid (13.5%) as it was for its corresponding taralli, TB (14.3%). The fatty acid profiles of  
39  
40 184 these vegetable oils were consistent with the results already found in the literature (Boskou et al.  
41  
42 185 2006; Chowdhury et al. 2007; Ichihara et al. 2021).  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

### 3.2. Determination of tocopherols

Tocopherols are important for their health role as potent anticancer, antioxidant, immune stimulatory, anti-inflammatory, and nephroprotective agents (Belo et al. 2017). As reported in Table 3, six tocopherols were identified and quantified in all raw lipidic and taralli samples in this order of elution:  $\alpha$ -tocopherol,  $\alpha$ -tocotrienol,  $\beta$ -tocopherol,  $\gamma$ -tocopherol,  $\beta$ -tocotrienol and  $\delta$ -tocotrienol.  $\alpha$ -Tocopherol was the principal tocopherol in all samples, with a content ranging from 18 to 35 mg/100 g of fat. Among raw lipidic matrices, the EVOO:SO blend reported the highest value of  $\alpha$ -tocopherol (35 mg/100 g of fat), followed by HOSO:CO and EVOO:RO samples with similar contents (~30 mg/100 g of fat) and HOSO and EVOO with concentrations of 27.7 and 27.4 mg/100 g of fat, respectively.

$\beta$ -Tocotrienol was found only in all final formulated taralli and not in the raw materials because it is a typical tocopherol of grain flour, as reported in the literature (Panfili et al. 2003; Engelsen & Hansen, 2009). Sample TC, formulated with EVOO:RO, showed the highest ( $p < 0.05$ ) concentration of total tocopherols (43 mg/100 g of fat), reflecting the raw material trend, where the EVOO:RO blend registered the highest concentration of tocopherols (50.3 mg/100 g of fat) among lipids. In fact, these taralli and this lipidic fraction reported the highest content of  $\alpha$ -tocotrienol and  $\gamma$ -tocopherol compared to the other samples.

TB and TD, formulated with EVOO:SO and HOSO:CO, followed by TC in the total tocopherols content, showing a concentration of 29.5 and 28.6 mg/100 g of fat. Last, samples Tctrl and TA showed the significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) lowest concentration of tocopherols, with values of 26.1 and 24 mg/100 g of fat, respectively. In general, the results showed a decrease in the total tocopherolic content from the lipid raw materials to the final products, and in particular,  $\alpha$ -tocopherol showed a decrease of approximately 40% due to its thermolability at heating temperatures (Kiczorowska et al., 2019).

### 3.3. Determination of sterols

Sterols represent a group of important health compounds and potent antioxidants of vegetable oils, and it is well known that their consumption can significantly lower the levels of serum LDL cholesterol (Moreau, 2015). In accordance with the reported literature on plant phytosterols, a total of 8 sterols were identified and quantified in the raw lipid matrices and in the final taralli samples (Table 4). Similar to the tocopherols for the sterol component, the lipid mixture EVOO:RO and its corresponding taralli TC represent the richest samples (472.3 mg/100 g of fat), reporting the highest ( $p < 0.05$ ) content of the principal compounds  $\beta$ -sitosterol (241.3 mg/100 g of fat), stigmasterol (30 mg/100 g of fat) and avenasterol (19.6 mg/100 g of fat), as already reported in the literature (Moreau, 2015; Liu et al., 2020). The following sample with a high sterol content was TA with a concentration of 308 mg/100 g of fat, showing the highest ( $p < 0.05$ ) content of avenastanol (52.5 mg/100 g of fat) compared to other taralli samples. TB (EVOO:SO) and TD (HOSO:CO) showed phytosterol concentrations of 259.5 and 279.2 mg/100 g of fat, respectively, whereas sample Tctrl, formulated with 100% EVOO, reported the lowest ( $p < 0.05$ ) phytosterol content (225.3 mg/100 g of fat) among all the taralli samples. In addition, this sample was also the only one without stigmasterol and  $\Delta^7$ -avenasterol in its sterol profile.

Unlike tocopherols, taralli samples reported a slightly higher concentration of phytosterols than their corresponding lipidic fractions used in formulation. This increase could be due to the contribution of the other ingredients, first of all wheat flour and to the hydrolysis of sterols from steryl esters or  $\gamma$ -orizanol during baking (Mandak & Nyström, 2013). As widely reported in the literature, wheat is a good source of sterols and is mainly characterized by sitosterol, campesterol and the corresponding saturated forms of stanol and stigmasterol (Rajhi et al. 2020; Loskutov & Khlestkina, 2021)

### 3.4. Oxidative stability of taralli

The oxidative stability of taralli was tested to evaluate the impact of the different lipidic fractions used in the formulation on the oxidative quality of the final product. The results obtained with OXITEST<sup>®</sup> (Table 5) are expressed as the *induction period* (IP) in hours (h), which is the time required to obtain a complete oxidation cycle of the samples. Tctrl and TC, formulated with EVOO and EVOO:RO, respectively, presented the highest ( $p<0.05$ ) IP values equal to 38.7 and 40.4 h, respectively. In addition to the presence of tocopherols and sterols, the highest oxidative stability of these two samples could also be due to the elevated content of phenolic compounds in EVOO and  $\gamma$ -oryzanol in rice oil, which is a compound with well-known antioxidant properties (Massarolo et al. 2017; Jung et al., 2017). The other samples, TA, TB and TD, reported significantly lower ( $p<0.05$ ) IP values than Tctrl and TC and without significant differences among them (23.0, 20.7 and 23.5 h, respectively). For these taralli samples, the high percentage of MUFAs and PUFAs (Table 1) could influence their oxidative stability more than their total antioxidant content. Considering the literature (Marzocchi & Caboni, 2018), these results can be considered satisfactory; in fact, in this study, taralli formulated with sunflower oil and the consequent addition of a synthesized antioxidant compound reported IP values of 6-23 hours.

### 3.5. Texture and sensory analysis

Taralli samples were tested for their texture characteristics through the penetration test. The heights of the products were very similar, with values varying between 11.68 ( $\pm 0.89$ ) and 12.02 ( $\pm 0.26$ ) mm. Figure 1 shows the response to the test in terms of fracturability and hardness. No differences were detected among samples for the above parameters. The high variability of samples was confirmed by the high values of SD, which was mainly attributable to the hand-made process of taralli production.

Sensory data were analyzed through 3-way ANOVA that evidenced the significant differences for taralli relative to the different attributes. The results of the statistical analysis, expressed as the output of Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) test, are shown in Table 6.

1  
2  
3 258 As reported in Table 6, the overall aroma was perceived differently for Tctrl and TA compared to  
4  
5 259 TB, TC and TD, as was the aroma of wine. The overall flavor was perceived to be more intense in  
6  
7  
8 260 Tctrl and TD compared to the other samples, the cereal flavor was perceived to be stronger in TD  
9  
10 261 taralli, while the crispiness was lower compared to the other samples. Consistency was perceived to  
11  
12 262 be lower in the TC sample, while it was higher in Tctrl. Higher scores for friability and palatability  
13  
14 263 were assigned to TA taralli, and the perception of fat on the palate was stronger for taralli made with  
15  
16  
17 264 fat blends (TB, TC and TD).

18  
19 265 The results of the appreciation test for the different taralli samples are reported in Figure 2 in the form  
20  
21 266 of a spider plot representation. As seen from the figure, Tctrl, TB and TD were rated similarly for  
22  
23  
24 267 overall appearance and shape, while TA and TC scored lower on the same attributes (TC had a lower  
25  
26 268 score than TA). The aromas of Tctrl and TB received the highest scores, followed by those of TA,  
27  
28 269 TD and TC. The latter still has the lowest score for both aroma and flavor. The flavor score of Tctrl  
29  
30  
31 270 and TA was higher than that of TC but lower than that of TD and TB. The product with the highest  
32  
33 271 crispiness score was TB, and the others had similar scores. Despite the higher intensity of palatability,  
34  
35 272 the TA sample was the least valued for this attribute, while TD was the most valued. Finally, Tctrl,  
36  
37  
38 273 TA, TB and TD were assigned a similar overall rating for acceptability, and TC received a slightly  
39  
40 274 lower rating. As a whole, for several parameters, such as appearance, shape and palatability, the TB  
41  
42 275 (87.5% EVOO and 12.5% SO) and TD (87.5% HOSO and 12.5% CO) samples were accepted as  
43  
44  
45 276 Tctrl, and considering the overall acceptability, TB and TD scored higher than Tctrl. Consequently,  
46  
47 277 for most of the parameters, TC (75% EVOO and 25% RO) and TA (100% HOSO) were more distant  
48  
49 278 from Tctrl.

50  
51 279 Considering the texture attributes measured instrumentally (hardness) and through sensory analysis,  
52  
53  
54 280 it emerges that, in the first case, samples did not present significant differences, while some  
55  
56 281 differences among samples were perceived for sensory consistency. This discrepancy was in  
57  
58 282 agreement with what was observed by Barbieri and colleagues (Barbieri et al. 2018), who attributed  
59  
60 283 the low correlation between the two methods, both to the sample heterogeneity and to the different

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

284 way to carry out the evaluation, since during the sensory test, samples are subjected to different  
285 moisture and temperature conditions compared to those of the penetration test.

#### 4. Conclusion

288 The present study has demonstrated that the use of fat blends in taralli formulation can improve the  
289 nutritional and qualitative profile of the final products without significantly affecting the textural  
290 characteristics measured through objective instrumental tools, whereas many sensorial attributes were  
291 perceived as different on the different products. The use of the fat blend made of 75% extravirgin  
292 olive oil and 25% rice oil resulted in the best compromise for the content of sterols, tocols, and  
293 antioxidants, resulting in a combination able to give an induction period to the accelerated oxidation  
294 test that was comparable to that of the reference control containing only extravirgin olive oil. On the  
295 other hand, taralli made with the unusual combination of 87.5% high oleic sunflower oil and 12.5%  
296 coconut oil, despite the lower induction time, presented fatty acid composition (in terms of SFA,  
297 MUFA and PUFA), tocol and sterol content very close to that of the control and were also better  
298 accepted than the latter.

#### Acknowledgments

301 This work was financially supported by Italian Ministry of Economic Development within the project  
302 “Biotechnologie integrate per l’identità e la competitività delle produzioni e del Sistema oleario  
303 Italiano” (Grandi Progetti R&S – PON IC 2014/2020).

#### Author contributions:

306 Conceptualization: F.P., S.M., F.C., M.C.M., M.F.C.; Data curation: F.P., S.M., C.R., F.C., M.C.M.;  
307 Formal analysis: F.P., S.M., C.R., F.C., M.C.M.; Funding acquisition: M.C.M., E.M., M.F.C.;  
308 Investigation: F.P., S.M., C.R., F.C., M.C.M.; Methodology: F.P., S.M., C.R., F.C., M.C.M.;

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

309 Supervision: M.C.M., E.M., M.F.C.; Writing original draft: F.P., S.M., C.R., F.C., M.C.M; Writing -  
310 review & editing: F.P., S.M., F.C., M.C.M., E.M., M.F.C.

### 312 **Conflict of interests**

313 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### 315 **Ethic statements**

316 Ethic approval was not required for this research.

### 318 **Data availability statements**

319 The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon  
320 reasonable request.




## References

- Allman-Farinelli, M. A., Gomes, K., Favaloro, E. J., & Petocz, P. (2005). A diet rich in high-oleic-acid sunflower oil favorably alters lowdensity lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides, and factor VII coagulant activity. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, **105**, 1071–1079.
- AOAC International Website. Available online: <https://www.aoac.org>. Accessed 23/04/2023).
- Barbieri, S., Bendini, A., Balestra, F., Palagano, R., Rocculi, P. & Gallina Toschi, T. (2018) Sensory and instrumental study of Taralli, a typical Italian bakery product. *European Food Research and Technology*, **244**, 79-82.
- Belo, R. G., Nolasco, S., Mateo, C., & Izquierdo, N. (2017). Dynamics of oil and tocopherol accumulation in sun flower grains and its impact on final oil quality. *European Journal of Agronomy*, **89**, 124–130.
- Ben Lajnef, H., Pasini, F., Politowicz, J., Tlili, N., Khaldi, A., Caboni, M. F., & Nasri, N. (2017). Lipid characterization of *Eryngium maritimum* seeds grown in Tunisia. *Industrial Crops and Product*, **105**, 47-52.
- Boskou D, Blekas G, Tsimidou M. 2006. Olive oil composition. Olive oil. 2nd ed. Urbana (IL): AOCS Press. pp. 41–72.
- Caponio, F., Summo, C., Pasqualone, A., Paradiso, V. M., & Gomes, T. (2009). Influence of processing and storage time on the lipidic fraction of Taralli. *Journal of Food Science*, **74**, 701-706.
- Cardenia, V.; Rodriguez-Estrada, M.T.; Baldacci, E.; Savioli, S.; & Lercker, G. (2012) Analysis of cholesterol oxidation products by fast gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. *Journal of Separation Science*, **35**, 424–430.


- 1  
2  
3 344 • Chowdhury, K., Banu, L. A., Khan, S., & Latif, A. (2007). Studies on the Fatty Acid  
4  
5 345 Composition of Edible Oil. *Bangladesh Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research*, **42**,  
6  
7 346 311-316.  
8  
9  
10 347 • DebMandal, M., & Mandal, S. (2011). Coconut (*Cocos nucifera* L.: Arecaceae): In health  
11  
12 348 promotion and disease prevention. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Medicine*, **4**, 241–247.  
13  
14  
15 349 • Engelsen, M. M., & Hansen A. (2009). Tocopherol and Tocotrienol Content in Commercial  
16  
17 350 Wheat Mill Streams. *Cereal Chemistry*, **86**, 499-502.  
18  
19  
20 351 • Farinetti, A., Zurlo, V., Manenti, A., Coppi, F., & Mattioli, A. V. (2017). Mediterranean diet  
21  
22 352 and colorectal cancer: A systematic review. *Nutrition*, **43**, 83–88.  
23  
24 353 • Gopala Krishna, A. G., Raj, G., Bhatnagar, A. S., Prasanth, K. P., & Chandrashekar,  
25  
26 354 P. (2010). Coconut oil: Chemistry, production and its applications – A review. *Indian*  
27  
28 355 *Coconut Journal*, **53**, 15–27  
29  
30  
31 356 • Ichihara, K., Kohsaka, C., Yamamoto, Y., & Masumura, T. (2021). Simultaneous  
32  
33 357 determination of free fatty acids and esterified fatty acids in rice oil by gas chromatography.  
34  
35 358 *Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society*, **98**, 149-155.  
36  
37  
38 359 • Jung, T. D., Shin, G. H., Kim, J. M., Choi, S. I., Lee, J. H., Lee, S. J., Park, S. J., Woo, K. S.,  
39  
40 360 Oh, S. K., & Lee, O. H. (2017). Comparative analysis of  $\gamma$ -oryzanol,  $\beta$ -glucan, total phenolic  
41  
42 361 content and antioxidant activity in fermented rice bran of different varieties. *Nutrients*, **9**,  
43  
44 362 571.  
45  
46  
47 363 • Kiczorowska, B., Samolińska, W., Andrejko, D., Kiczorowski, P., Antoszkiewicz, Z., Zając,  
48  
49 364 M., Winiarska-Mieczan, A., & Bąkowski, M. (2019). Comparative analysis of selected  
50  
51 365 bioactive components (fatty acids, tocopherols, xanthophyll, lycopene, phenols) and basic  
52  
53 366 nutrients in raw and thermally processed camelina, sunflower, and flax seeds (*Camelina*  
54  
55 367 *sativa* L. *Crantz*, *Helianthus* L., and *Linum* L.). *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, **56**,  
56  
57 368 4296-4310.  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 369 • Liu, R., Lu, M., Zhang, T., Zhang, Z., Jin, Q., Chang, M., & Wang, X. (2020). Evaluation of  
4 the antioxidant properties of micronutrients in different vegetable oils. *European Journal of*  
5 370 *Lipid Science and Technology*, **122**, Article 1900079.  
6  
7  
8 371  
9  
10 372 • Loskutov, I. G., & Khlestkina, E. K. (2021). Wheat, barley, and oat breeding for health  
11 benefit components in grain. *Plants*, **10**, 86.  
12 373  
13  
14  
15 374 • Mandak, E., & Nyström, L. (2013). Influence of baking and *in vitro* digestion on steryl  
16 ferulates from wheat. *Journal of Cereal Science*, **57**, 356-361.  
17 375  
18  
19 376 • Manley, D. (2011). *Manley's Technology of biscuits, crackers and cookies* (4th ed.)  
20 Woodhead Publishing.  
21 377  
22  
23  
24 378 • Marzocchi, S., & Caboni, M. F. (2018). Study of the effect of tyrosyl oleate on lipid oxidation  
25 in a typical Italian bakery product. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, **66**, 12555-  
26 379 12560.  
27  
28  
29 380  
30  
31 381 • Marzocchi, S., Pasini, F., Baldinelli, C., & Caboni, M. F. (2018) Value-addition of beef meat  
32 byproducts: lipid characterization by chromatographic techniques. *Journal of Oleo Science*,  
33 382 **67**, 143-150.  
34  
35  
36 383  
37  
38 384 • Massarolo, K. C., de Souza, T. D., Collazzo, C. C., Furlong, E. B., & de Souza Soares, L. A.  
39 (2017). The impact of *Rhizopus oryzae* cultivation on rice bran: Gamma-oryzanol recovery  
40 385 and its antioxidant properties. *Food chemistry*, **228**, 43-49.  
41  
42  
43 386  
44  
45 387 • Moreau, R. A. (2015). Composition of plant sterols and stanols in supplemented food  
46 products. *Journal of AOAC International*, **98**, 685-690.  
47 388  
48  
49  
50 389 • Panfili, G., Fratianni, A., & Irano, M. (2003). Normal Phase High-Performance Liquid  
51 Chromatography Method for the Determination of Tocopherols and Tocotrienols in Cereals.  
52 390 *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, **51**, 3940-3944.  
53  
54 391  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 392 • Pelillo, M., Iafelice, G., Marconi, E., & Caboni, M. F. (2003). Identification of plant sterols  
4  
5 393 in hexaploid and tetraploid wheats using gas chromatography with mass spectrometry. *Rapid*  
6  
7 *Communication in Mass Spectrometry*, **17**, 2245–2252.  
8 394  
9  
10 395 • Rajhi, I., Baccouri, B., & Mhadhbi, H. (2020). Phytosterols in Wheat: Composition, Contents  
11  
12 396 and Role in Human Health. *Open Access Journal of Biogeneric Science and Reseach*, **5**.  
13  
14  
15 397 • Riciputi, Y., & Caboni, M. F. (2017). Assessing oil oxidative stability in tarallini by Oxitest®.  
16  
17 398 *Italian Journal of Food Science*, **29**, 63-73.  
18  
19  
20 399 • Rodriguez-Estrada, M.T.; Paciulli, M.; Cerretani, L.; & Chiavaro, E. (2017). Production of  
21  
22 400 vegetable oils from fruits, oilseeds, and beans: Conventional processing and industry  
23  
24 401 techniques. In *Edible Oils* (pp. 1-34). CRC Press.  
25  
26  
27 402 • Salas-Salvadó, J., Becerra-Tomás, N., García-Gavilán, J. F., Bullo, M., & Barrubés, L.  
28  
29 403 (2018). Mediterranean Diet and Cardiovascular Disease Prevention: What Do We Know?  
30  
31 404 *Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases*, **61**, 62–67.  
32  
33  
34 405 • Sander, B. D., Addis, P. B., Park, S. W., & Smith, D. E. (1989) Quantification of cholesterol  
35  
36 406 oxidation products in a variety of foods. *Journal of Food Protection*, **52**, 109-114.  
37  
38 407 • Sweeley, C. C., Bentley, R., Makita, M., & Wells, W. W. (1963) Gas–liquid chromatography  
39  
40 408 of trimethylsilyl derivatives of sugars and related substances. *Journal of the American*  
41  
42 409 *Chemical Society*, **85**, 2497–2507.  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



**Figure 1.** Fracturability (A) and hardness (B) of taralli samples measured through penetration test. Different superscript letters indicate significant difference (Tuckey HSD  $p < 0.05$ ).



**Figure 2.** Spider plot illustrating the appreciation of the products through mean score assigned by the assessors to different descriptors.

**Table 1.** Fat fraction composition and sample coding of Taralli samples.

<b>Samples</b>	<b>Composition of fat fraction</b>
Tctrl	100% EVOO (Extra-Virgin Olive Oil)
TA	100% HOSO (High Oleic Sunflower Oil)
TB	87.5% EVOO + 12.5% SO (Sunflower Oil)
TC	75% EVOO + 25% RO (Rice Oil)
TD	87.5% HOSO + 12.5% CO (Coconut Oil)

**Table 2.** Fatty acid composition and content (mg FA/100 mg of FAME) of raw lipidic matrices and taralli.

FA	EVOO (100%)	HOSO (100%)	EVOO:SO (87.5%:12.5%)	EVOO:RO (75%:25%)	HOSO:CO (87.5%:12.5%)	Tctrl (100% EVOO)	TA (100% HOSO)	TB	TC	TD
								(87.5% EVOO + 12.5 SO)	(75% EVOO + 25% RO)	(87.5% EVOO + 12.5 CO)
C12:0	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	4.4±0.0a	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	4.3±0.0a
C14:0	n.d.	n.d.	0.1±0.0c	0.1±0.0c	1.8±0.0b	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.1±0.0c	2.1±0.0a
C16:0	13.4±0.0a	4.4±0.0i	10.2±0.0e	12.4±0.0b	5.3±0.0g	12.1±0.0c	4.9±0.0h	11.6±0.2d	13.6±0.0a	5.6±0.0f
C16:1 <i>cis</i>	0.8±0.0a	0.1±0.0d	0.8±0.0a	0.7±0.0b	0.1±0.0d	0.8±0.0a	0.2±0.0c	0.7±0.0b	0.7±0.0b	0.1±0.0d
C17:0	0.1±0.0a	n.d.	0.1±0.0a	0.1±0.0a	n.d.	0.1±0.0a	n.d.	0.1±0.0a	0.1±0.0a	n.d.
C17:1	0.1±0.0a	n.d.	0.1±0.0a	0.1±0.0a	n.d.	0.1±0.0a	n.d.	0.1±0.0a	0.1±0.0a	n.d.
C18:0	2.7±0.0b	2.6±0.0c	2.8±0.0a	2.6±0.0c	2.7±0.0b	2.7±0.0b	2.7±0.0b	2.8±0.0a	2.5±0.0d	2.7±0.0b
C18:1 <i>cis</i> <sup>9</sup>	75.1±0.1c	82.5±0.1a	71.2±0.0e	71.4±0.2e	75.3±0.0c	74.6±0.0c	80.5±0.0b	68.8±0.3f	67.3±0.4g	72.9±0.1d
C18:2n6	6.1±0.0l	9.1±0.1g	13.5±0.0c	11.3±0.1d	8.6±0.0h	7.8±0.0i	10.5±0.0e	14.3±0.1a	13.9±0.2b	10.3±0.0f
C18:3n3	0.6±0.0b	0.1±0.0d	0.6±0.0b	0.6±0.0b	0.1±0.0d	0.7±0.0a	0.2±0.0c	0.7±0.0a	0.7±0.0a	0.2±0.0c
C20:0	0.3±0.0b	0.2±0.0c	0.3±0.0b	0.4±0.0a	0.2±0.0c	0.3±0.0b	0.2±0.0c	0.3±0.0b	0.4±0.0a	0.2±0.0c
C20:1	0.2±0.0b	0.2±0.0b	0.2±0.0b	0.3±0.0a	0.2±0.0b	0.2±0.0b	0.2±0.0b	0.2±0.0b	0.3±0.0a	0.2±0.0b
C22:0	0.1±0.0c	0.7±0.0a	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.1±0.0c	0.6±0.0b	0.1±0.0c	0.1±0.0c	n.d.
C22:2	0.6±0.0a	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.4±0.0b	n.d.	0.3±0.0c	0.3±0.0c	n.d.
SFA	16.6±0.0a	7.9±0.0h	13.7±0.0f	15.5±0.0c	15.6±0.0c	15.3±0.0d	8.5±0.0g	14.9±0.2e	16.8±0.1a	15.8±0.1b
MUFA	76.2±0.0c	82.9±0.1a	72.3±0.0e	72.5±0.2e	75.7±0.0cd	75.8±0.0c	80.9±0.0b	69.8±0.3f	68.3±0.3g	73.5±0.1d
PUFA	7.2±0.0h	9.2±0.1f	14.0±0.0b	12.0±0.1c	8.7±0.0g	8.9±0.0f	10.6±0.0e	15.3±0.1a	14.9±0.3a	10.7±0.0d



1  
2 Abbreviation: EVOO: extra virgin olive oil; HOSO: high oleic sunflower oil; SO: sunflower oil; RO: rice oil; CO: coconut oil; n.d.: not determined. Different  
3 letters in the same row show significant different mean values (Tukey HSD  $p < 0.05$ ).  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

**Table 3.** Tocol composition and content (mg/100g of fat) of raw lipidic matrices and taralli.

	<b>EVOO</b>	<b>HOSO</b>	<b>EVOO:SO</b>	<b>EVOO:RO</b>	<b>HOSO:CO</b>	<b>Tctrl</b>	<b>TA</b>	<b>TB</b>	<b>TC</b>	<b>TD</b>
	<b>(100%)</b>	<b>(100%)</b>	<b>(87.5%:12.5%)</b>	<b>(75%:25%)</b>	<b>(87.5%:12.5%)</b>	<b>(100% EVOO)</b>	<b>(100% HOSO)</b>	<b>(87.5% EVOO +12.5 SO)</b>	<b>(75% EVOO +25% RO)</b>	<b>(87.5% EVOO +12.5 CO)</b>
$\alpha$ -tocopherol	27.4±0.4c	27.7±0.4c	34.9±0.2a	29.5±0.2b	29.8±0.2b	17.0±0.4fg	16.6±0.2g	21.0±0.4d	17.8±0.2ef	18.8±0.5e
$\alpha$ -tocotrienol	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	2.2±0.1a	0.5±0.0b	n.d.	n.d.	n.d. c	1.9±0.3a	0.4±0.1b
$\beta$ -tocopherol	0.1±0.0e	0.7±0.0d	2.3±0.0a	0.9±0.1bc	0.6±0.0d	0.8±0.0c	0.6±0.0d	0.9±0.0bc	1.2±0.3b	0.6±0.0d
$\gamma$ -tocopherol	2.5±0.1c	0.5±0.1e	n.d.	6.2±0.2a	1.1±0.0d	2.3±0.1c	n.d.	2.0±0.1cd	5.5±0.3b	1.5±0.2d
$\beta$ -tocotrienol	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	6.0±0.2b	6.8±0.2a	5.3±0.1c	6.1±0.0b	6.3±0.1ab
$\gamma$ -tocotrienol	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	11.5±1.6a	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	10.5±0.2a	n.d.
Total	30.0±0.5e	28.9±0.1fg	37.2±0.2c	50.3±1.6a	32.0±0.1d	26.1±0.8h	24.0±1.4i	29.2±0.6ef	43.0±1.3b	27.6±1.0g

Abbreviation: EVOO: extra virgin olive oil; HOSO: high oleic sunflower oil; SO: sunflower oil; RO: rice oil; CO: coconut oil; n.d.: not determined. Different letters in the same row show significant different mean values (Tukey HSD  $p < 0.05$ ).

**Table 4.** Sterol composition and content (mg /100 g of fat) of raw lipidic matrices and taralli.

	<b>EVOO</b>	<b>HOSO</b>	<b>EVOO:SO</b>	<b>EVOO:RO</b>	<b>HOSO:CO</b>	<b>Tctrl</b>	<b>TA</b>	<b>TB</b>	<b>TC</b>	<b>TD</b>
	<b>(100%)</b>	<b>(100%)</b>	<b>(87.5%:12.5%)</b>	<b>(75%:25%)</b>	<b>(87.5%:12.5%)</b>	<b>(100% EVOO)</b>	<b>(100% HOSO)</b>	<b>(87.5% EVOO+ 12.5% SO)</b>	<b>(75% EVOO+25% RO)</b>	<b>(87.5% EVOO+12.5%CO)</b>
Campestanol	15.1±0.2e	20.4±0.2d	9.7±0.2f	62.1±0.2b	15.6±0.4e	21.2±2.0d	33.0±0.8c	19.8±0.3d	74.6±2.1a	30.8±1.1c
Campesterol	8.4±0.3a	5.5±0.5bcd	2.5±0.1e	4.6±0.4cde	4.4±0.3de	8.2±1.0ab	7.2±0.1abc	7.9±0.1ab	8.2±1.7ab	6.5±0.4abcd
Stigmasterol	n.d.	13.5±0.6d	1.7±0.2g	16.1±0.2c	7.6±0.2e	n.d.	17.9±0.2b	5.2±0.1f	29.8±0.1a	15.7±0.1c
β-sitosterol	111.0±0.8f	139.8±1.1e	111.1±0.7f	216.3±1.8b	101.4±1.2g	147.9±0.0d	161.0±0.5c	150.7±0.1d	241.3±0.0a	145.8±3.6d
Sitostanol	10.8±0.3abcd	9.3±0.3cd	7.7±0.1d	7.3±0.3d	9.7±0.1bcd	14.8±2.2ab	13.5±1.5abc	13.9±1.0abc	12.9±1.8abc	15.1±2.4a
Avenasterol	9.7±0.2ef	8.0±0.1f	9.8±0.2def	11.4±1.7cdef	8.5±0.1f	13.8±0.4bcd	10.8±1.3cdef	15.8±0.7ab	19.6±0.1a	12.9±2.3bcdef
Avenastanol	11.0±0.8g	44.9±0.5b	15.2±0.9fg	36.1±0.5cd	29.9±0.6d	19.4±0.2ef	52.2±1.2a	22.3±1.4e	41.2±4.6bc	43.3±1.8b
Δ <sup>7</sup> -avenasterol	n.d.	8.1±0.1e	15.9±0.9d	36.9±1.0b	4.6±0.0e	n.d.	12.4±0.1de	23.7±2.8c	44.6±2.0a	9.1±0.9e
Total	165.9±2.3h	249.5±1.8e	173.5±0.8gh	390.8±4.1b	181.7±0.5g	225.3±5.8f	308.0±3.8c	259.5±5.3e	472.3±1.1a	279.2±6.9d

Abbreviation: EVOO: extra virgin olive oil; HOSO: high oleic sunflower oil; SO: sunflower oil; RO: rice oil; CO: coconut oil; n.d.: not determined. Different letters in the same row show significant different mean values (Tukey HSD  $p < 0.05$ ).

**Table 5.** IP (Induction Period) values recorder for the different samples

Taralli	IP (h)
Tctrl	38.7 ± 3.1a
TA	23.0 ± 0.33b
TB	20.7 ± 0.44b
TC	40.4 ± 1.15a
TD	23.5 ± 0.40b

Different letters in the same row show significant different mean values (Tukey HSD  $p < 0.05$ ).

**Table 6.** Sensory data on taralli samples.

Attributes	Samples				
	Tctrl	TA	TB	TC	TD
Overall aroma	4.8 a	4.9 a	5.1 b	5.1 b	5.1 b
Wine aroma	4.25 a	4.2 a	4.8 b	4.75 b	5.05 c
Overall flavour	5.30 d	4.45 b	4.85 c	3.75 a	5.45 d
Flavour of cereals	4.85 b	4.75 b	4.40 a	4.45 a	5.00 c
Crispiness	5.10 b	4.85 b	4.95 b	5.05 b	4.35 a
Consistency	5.35 c	5.15 b	4.85 b	4.60 a	5.10 b
Friability	5.70 a	6.15 b	5.65 a	5.60 a	5.55 a
Fat perception	5.15 b	4.80 a	5.65 c	5.20 b	5.55 c
Palatability	5.30 a	5.65 b	5.25 a	5.35 a	5.35 a

Different letters in the same row indicate significant difference among samples (Fisher LSD  $p < 0.05$ ).