ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE
DELLA RICERCA

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna
Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Erratum to “Lefschetz Theory for Exterior Algebras and Fermionic Diagonal Coinvariants”

This is the final peer-reviewed author’s accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:
Kim, J., Pagaria, R., Rhoades, B. (2024). Erratum to “Lefschetz Theory for Exterior Algebras and Fermionic Diagonal Coinvariants”. INTERNATIONAL MATHEMATICS RESEARCH NOTICES, 2024(9), 7201-7204 [10.1093/imrn/rnad023].

Availability:
This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/966226 since: 2024-03-12

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnad023

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/).
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

08 September 2026

ERRATUM TO "LEFSCHETZ THEORY FOR EXTERIOR ALGEBRAS AND FERMIONIC DIAGONAL COINVARIANTS"

JONGWON KIM, ROBERTO PAGARIA, AND BRENDON RHOADES

This erratum corrects the proof of the main result [1, Thm. 5.2] of [1, Sec. 5]. While this result is correct as stated, its proof is flawed. We adopt the notation of [1, Sec. 5].

The total order $\prec$ is not a term order, so that [1, Lem. 5.3] loses meaning. In particular, [1, Lem. 5.1] is false because the depth $d(\sigma)$ is not multiplicative.

Example 1. For $n = 6$, the elements $u, v \in \wedge\{\Theta_6, \Xi_6\}$ given by $u = \xi_1\theta_3\xi_3\theta_4\theta_5\xi_5$ and $v = \theta_1\theta_2\xi_2\theta_4\theta_6\xi_6$ have the following lattice path representations as in [1, Sec. 5]:



Both u and v have degree 6 and depth -1. So $u \succ v$ because $\xi_1 \succ \theta_1$. However $\xi_4 u \prec \xi_4 v$ because $d(\xi_1\theta_3\xi_3\theta_4\xi_4\theta_5\xi_5) = -2$ and $d(\theta_1\theta_2\xi_2\theta_4\xi_4\theta_6\xi_6) = -1$. Therefore the depth is not multiplicative and $\prec$ is not a term order.

We correct the proof of [1, Thm. 5.2] as follows. We shall calculate a Gröbner basis for the ideal $I_n = \langle \delta_n \rangle \subset \wedge\{\Theta_n, \Xi_n\}$ where $\delta_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_i\xi_i$ with respect to the lexicographical term order $<_{\text{lex}}$.

For each Motzkin path σ as in [1, Sec. 5], we define $j(\sigma)$ to be the x-coordinate where the depth $d(\sigma)$ is achieved the first time. We have $d(\sigma) = 0$ if and only if $j(\sigma) = 0$. If u, v are the Motzkin paths (or monomials) in Example 1 then $j(u) = 5$, and $j(v) = 2$.

Given a Motzkin path $\sigma = (s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n)$ and $i \leq n$ we define k_i to be the difference between the y-coordinate of the starting point of s_i and $d(\sigma)$. For example $k_1 = -d(\sigma)$ and $k_{j(\sigma)+1} = 0$. For $i \leq j(\sigma)$ we

introduce the exterior algebra elements

$$p_i(\sigma) := \begin{cases} (\sum_{l>i} \theta_l \xi_l) - k_i \theta_i \xi_i & s_i = (1,1) \text{ is an up-step} \\ \theta_i & s_i = (1,0) \text{ is decorated by } \theta \\ \xi_i & s_i = (1,0) \text{ is decorated by } \xi \\ 1 & s_i = (1,-1) \text{ is a down-step} \end{cases}$$

and let $p(\sigma) := p_1(\sigma)p_2(\sigma)\cdots p_{j(\sigma)}(\sigma)$ be their product. In Example 1 we have $p(u) = \xi_1(\sum_{l=3}^{6} \theta_l \xi_l - \theta_2\xi_2)\theta_4$ and $p(v) = \theta_1$. The definition of $p(\sigma)$ is motivated by the following identities

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_n^k &= k\theta_1\xi_1\delta_{n-1}^{k-1} + \delta_{n-1}^k \\ \theta_1\delta_n^k &= \theta_1\delta_{n-1}^k \\ \xi_1\delta_n^k &= \xi_1\delta_{n-1}^k \\ (\delta_{n-1} - k\theta_1\xi_1)\delta_n^k &= \delta_{n-1}^{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_{n-1} = \sum_{l=2}^{n} \theta_l \xi_l$. Those identities are fundamental in the proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *The initial ideal* $\mathrm{in}_{lex}(\delta_n^k)$ *with respect the lexicographical term order contains all monomials* σ *with depth* $d(\sigma) \leq -k$.

Proof. We claim that the leading monomial of $p(\sigma)\delta_n^{-d(\sigma)}$ divides the monomial $\mathrm{wt}(\sigma)$ and we prove this statement for all n by induction on $j(\sigma)$. The base case $j(\sigma) = 0$ is trivial because all monomials belong to the ideal generated by $\delta_n^0 = 1$.

For the inductive step, we remove the first step s_1 from σ to get a new path $\tau = (s_2, \ldots, s_n)$ involving only the variables $\theta_2, \ldots, \theta_n, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n$. Notice that $p(\sigma) = p_1(\sigma)p(\tau)$. We divide proof in three cases according to the first step s_1.

Case 1: $s_1 = (1,1)$ *is an up step.*

We have $d(\tau) = d(\sigma) - 1$, $\mathrm{wt}(\sigma) = \mathrm{wt}(\tau)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} p(\sigma)\delta_n^{-d(\sigma)} &= p(\tau)\left((\sum_{l>1} \theta_l\xi_l) - (-d(\sigma))\theta_1\xi_1\right)\delta_n^{-d(\sigma)} \\ &= p(\tau)\delta_{n-1}^{-d(\sigma)+1} = p(\tau)\delta_{n-1}^{-d(\tau)}. \end{aligned}$$

By induction, the leading term of $p(\sigma)\delta_n^{-d(\sigma)} = p(\tau)\delta_{n-1}^{-d(\tau)}$ divides $\mathrm{wt}(\sigma) = \mathrm{wt}(\tau)$.

Case 2: $s_1 = (1,0)$ *is a horizontal step.*

We assume that the horizontal step s_1 is labelled with θ; the other case is identical. We have $d(\tau) = d(\sigma)$, $\mathrm{wt}(\sigma) = \theta_1\mathrm{wt}(\tau)$, and

$$p(\sigma)\delta_n^{-d(\sigma)} = \theta_1 p(\tau)\delta_n^{-d(\sigma)} = \theta_1 p(\tau)\delta_{n-1}^{-d(\tau)}.$$

Notice that $\theta_1 \cdot \mathrm{LM}(p(\tau)\delta_{n-1}^{-d(\tau)}) \neq 0$ and so the leading monomial

$$\mathrm{LM}(p(\sigma)\delta_n^{-d(\sigma)}) = \theta_1 \cdot \mathrm{LM}(p(\tau)\delta_{n-1}^{-d(\tau)})$$

divides $\theta_1 \cdot \mathrm{wt}(\tau) = \mathrm{wt}(\sigma)$ by the inductive hypothesis.

Case 3: $s_1 = (1, -1)$ *is a down step.*

We have $d(\tau) = d(\sigma) + 1$, $\mathrm{wt}(\sigma) = \theta_1\xi_1\mathrm{wt}(\tau)$, $p(\sigma) = p(\tau)$, and

$$p(\sigma)\delta_n^{-d(\sigma)} = -d(\sigma)p(\tau)\theta_1\xi_1\delta_{n-1}^{-d(\sigma)-1} + p(\tau)\delta_{n-1}^{-d(\sigma)}.$$

The leading monomial of the element $p(\tau)\theta_1\xi_1\delta_{n-1}^{-d(\tau)}$ is equal to $\theta_1\xi_1 \cdot \mathrm{LM}(p(\tau)\delta_{n-1}^{-d(\tau)}) \neq 0$. Moreover, the monomial $\theta_1\xi_1 \cdot \mathrm{LM}(p(\tau)\delta_{n-1}^{-d(\tau)})$ is bigger than every monomial appearing in $p(\tau)\delta_{n-1}^{-d(\sigma)}$ because we are using the lexicographical term order. Hence the leading monomial $\mathrm{LM}(p(\sigma)\delta_n^{-d(\sigma)}) = \theta_1\xi_1\mathrm{LM}(p(\tau)\delta_{n-1}^{-d(\tau)})$ divides the monomial $\theta_1\xi_1 wt(\tau) = wt(\sigma)$ by inductive hypothesis.

We conclude that $\mathrm{wt}(\sigma) \in \mathrm{in}_{\mathrm{lex}}(\delta_n^{-d(\sigma)}) \subseteq \mathrm{in}_{\mathrm{lex}}(\delta_n^k)$ for all $k \leq -d(\sigma)$ and the proof is complete. □

The above theorem substitutes [1, Lem. 5.3]. The second part of the proof of [1, Thm. 5.2] is correct and can be left unchanged.

Corollary 3. *The set* $\{p(\sigma)\delta_n \mid \sigma \text{ s.t. } d(\sigma) = -1\}$ *is a Gröbner basis for the ideal* $I_n = \langle \delta_n \rangle$ *with respect to the lexicographical term order.*

References

[1] Kim, Jongwon; Rhoades, Brendon *Lefschetz theory for exterior algebras and fermionic diagonal coinvariants*. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2022, no. 4, 2906–2933.

Jongwon Kim
Google, Inc., 1600 Ampitheatre Parkway, Mountain View, CA, USA
Email address: jk1093@sas.upenn.edu

Roberto Pagaria
Università di Bologna, Dipartimento di Matematica, Piazza di Porta San Donato 5 - 40126 Bologna, Italy.
Email address: roberto.pagaria@unibo.it

Brendon Rhoades
University of California, San Diego, Department of Mathematics, 9500 Gilman Dr., La Jolla, CA, USA.
Email address: bprhoades@ucsd.edu