



The Edinburgh
Companion to the

New European Humanities

Edited by
Rosi Braidotti,
Hiltraud Casper-Hehne,
Marjan Ivković and
Daan F. Oostveen

The
Edinburgh Companion
to the
New European Humanities

The
Edinburgh Companion
to the
New European Humanities

Edited by Rosi Braidotti, Hiltraud Casper-Hehne,
Marjan Ivković, Daan F. Oostveen

EDINBURGH
University Press

Edinburgh University Press is one of the leading university presses in the UK. We publish academic books and journals in our selected subject areas across the humanities and social sciences, combining cutting-edge scholarship with high editorial and production values to produce academic works of lasting importance. For more information visit our website: edinburghuniversitypress.com

We are committed to making research available to a wide audience and are pleased to be publishing an Open Access ebook edition of this title.

© editorial matter and organisation, Rosi Braidotti, Hiltraud Casper-Hehne, Marjan Ivković and Daan F. Oostveen 2024 under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial licence

© the chapters their several authors 2024 under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial licence

Cover image: Normform/Shutterstock.com
Cover design: Leon Strachan (@ElStrak)

Edinburgh University Press Ltd
The Tun – Holyrood Road, 12(2f) Jackson's Entry, Edinburgh EH8 8PJ

Typeset in 10/12 Goudy Old Style
by Cheshire Typesetting Ltd, Cuddington, Cheshire
and printed and bound in Great Britain.

A CIP record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN 978 1 3995 0519 2 (hardback)
ISBN 978 1 3995 0520 8 (webready PDF)
ISBN 978 1 3995 0521 5 (epub)

The right of Rosi Braidotti, Hiltraud Casper-Hehne, Marjan Ivković and Daan F. Oostveen to be identified as the editors of this work has been asserted in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, and the Copyright and Related Rights Regulations 2003 (SI No. 2498).



Volkswagen**Stiftung**



CIPSH
INTERNATIONAL COUNCIL FOR PHILOSOPHY AND HUMAN SCIENCES
CONSEIL INTERNATIONAL DE PHILOSOPHIE ET DE SCIENCES HUMAINES

Contents

<i>List of Illustrations</i>	viii
<i>Notes on Contributors</i>	ix
<i>Preface</i>	xvi
Rosi Braidotti and Hiltraud Casper-Hehne	
<i>Introduction: Humanities, Always Already in Transformation? Network for the European Humanities in the Twenty-First Century</i>	1
Rosi Braidotti, Hiltraud Casper-Hehne, Marjan Ivković and Daan F. Oostveen	
PART I: THE HUMANITIES IN ACTION: TOPICS AND METHODS	
1. <i>On the Emergence and Convergence of the New Transversal Humanities</i>	23
Rosi Braidotti and Daan F. Oostveen	
2. <i>Shaping the Integration of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences in Interdisciplinary and Transdisciplinary Research</i>	47
Bianca Vienni-Baptista, Isabel Fletcher, Jack Spaapen, Doireann Wallace and Jane Ohlmeyer	
3. <i>Synergies Between Humanities, Science and Technology: A Transformative Understanding of the Humanities in the Twenty-First Century</i>	66
David Bueno, Josep Casanovas, Marina Garcés and Josep M. Vilalta	
PART II: HUMANITIES, DEMOCRACY AND CIVIC RESPONSIBILITY	
4. <i>The University and the City</i>	79
Cristina Gamberi and Antonino Rotolo	
5. <i>Humanities in Post-COVID-19 Times: Challenges and Opportunities</i>	90
Hiltraud Casper-Hehne and Christina Henkel	
6. <i>Public Humanities Today: Between Community Engagement and Social Critique</i>	106
Marjan Ivković and Đurđa Trajković	

PART III: INTERCULTURAL PERSPECTIVES AND CHANGING PATTERNS IN THE NEW HUMANITIES

7. *Intercultural Humanities: What They Are and What They Can Do* 125
Hiltraud Casper-Hehne and Christina Henkel
8. *Changing Patterns of Self-Other Interaction in the Contemporary World* 145
Henrietta L. Moore and Juan M. Moreno
9. *Post- and Decolonial Perspectives on the Humanities Curriculum* 166
Tanja Reiffenrath
10. *Digital and Posthuman Narratives in Literature* 185
Cristina Gamberi

PART IV: THE NEW HUMANITIES

IV.1 PUBLIC HUMANITIES: CONCEPTS AND PERSPECTIVES

11. *Towards Critical Public Humanities* 213
Marjan Ivković and Đurđa Trajković
12. *Transmedia Science Fiction and New Social Imaginaries* 229
Raffaella Baccolini, Giuliana Benvenuti, Chiara Elefante and Rita Monticelli
13. *European Archaeological Research at the Dawn of the Third Millennium* 255
Luiz Oosterbeek

IV.2 DIGITAL HUMANITIES: EMERGING PARADIGMS

14. *Humanities in a Digital World* 271
Caroline Sporleder and Franziska Pannach
15. *Artificial Intelligence and New Paradigms of Human Decision Making: Towards a New Idea of Humanity?* 296
Antonino Rotolo

IV.3 ENVIRONMENTAL HUMANITIES

16. *The Environmental Humanities: European Perspectives on How the Field is Addressing Twenty-first-Century Global Challenges* 305
Steven Hartman, Serpil Oppermann and Marco Armiero
17. *Feminist Posthumanities: Redefining and Expanding Humanities' Foundations* 328
Cecilia Åsberg and Rosi Braidotti

IV.4 MEDICAL HUMANITIES

18. *Medical Humanities: Concepts, Practices and Perspectives* 351
Rosi Braidotti and Daan F. Oostveen
19. *Medical Humanities With and Beyond Bioethics – Disciplinary Diversification in
Medicine Facing the Complexity of the Bio-Cultural Corporeality* 361
Mariacarla Gadebusch Bondio
20. *From Single Human Disease to a Holistic One Health Approach* 371
Hélène Verheije and Arjan Stegeman

PART V: THE HUMANITIES AS A BUILDING BLOCK FOR FUTURE SCIENCES

21. *In the Shadows of a Pandemic: Humanities in European Research and Innovation* 383
Jan Palmowski
22. *Humanities for Science/Policy for Humanities* 395
**The European Alliance for Social Sciences and Humanities (EASSH),
Gabi Lombardo**
23. *Where Next for the Humanities? Perspectives From Across Europe* 407
Juan M. Moreno and Henrietta L. Moore
- Conclusion* 423
**Rosi Braidotti, Hiltraud Casper-Hehne, Marjan Ivković and
Daan F. Oostveen**
- Index* 427

List of Illustrations

8.1 Drawings of a humpback whale and an Aleutian islander's whale harpoon by Charles M. Scammon, from <i>The Marine Mammals of the North-Western Coast of North America: Together with an Account of the American Whale-Fishery</i> (1874)	152
8.2 <i>Solaris</i> production shots (Lyceum Theatre Edinburgh 2019)	155
8.3 <i>Solaris</i> production shots (Lyceum Theatre Edinburgh 2019)	155
8.4 Animation filmmaking (Stories in Transit 2018b)	157
8.5 Collective drawn map of Palermo, Italy (Stories in Transit 2018b)	158
14.1 Research efforts in the humanities	274
14.2 Number of projects per country (participation including coordination)	278
14.3 Number of projects per country (coordination)	279
14.4 Participation in HERA I funding calls: Cultural Dynamics and Humanities as a Source of Creativity and Innovation	280
14.5 Participation in HERA II funding call: Cultural Encounters	281
14.6 Participation in HERA III funding call: Uses of the Past	282
14.7 Participation in HERA IV funding call: Public Spaces	283
14.8 Distribution of Digital Humanities methods in HERA-funded projects	283

Notes on Contributors

Marco Armiero is ICREA Research Professor at the Institute for the History of Science, Autonomous University of Barcelona, Spain, and at ICREA, Catalan Institution for Research and Advanced Studies, Barcelona, Spain. For ten years he was the director of the KTH Environmental Humanities Laboratory. He has worked on the nationalisation of nature, migrations and environment, and environmental justice. With his research, he has contributed to bridging environmental humanities and political ecology. From 2019 to 2023 he was the elected president of the European Society for Environmental History. His most recent publications include *Wasteocene. Stories from the Global Dump* (2021) and *Mussolini's Nature* (with Roberta Biasillo and Wilko Graf von Hardenberg, 2022).

Cecilia Åsberg is Professor of Gender, Nature, Culture at Linköping University, and founding Director of the Posthumanities Hub and of the Seed Box: An Environmental Humanities Collaboratory. Recent publications include 'Environmental Violence and Postnatural Oceans: Low-Trophic Theory in the Registers of Feminist Posthumanities' (with Marietta Radomska) in *Violence, Gender and Affect* (2021); and 'A Sea Change in the Environmental Humanities' in *Ecocene: Cappadocia Journal of Environmental Humanities* (2020).

Raffaella Baccolini teaches British and American Literature and Gender Studies at the University of Bologna, Forlì Campus. Her research focuses on women's writing; feminist criticism; dystopia and science fiction; utopian studies; modernism; memory and trauma; and cinema. She is the PI for the Creative Europe projects G-BOOK and G-BOOK2 on gender-positive literature for children and teens. Among her publications are *Dark Horizons* (2003) and *Utopia Method Value* (2007), both with Tom Moylan.

Giuliana Benvenuti teaches Contemporary Italian Literature, Literature and Media, and Contemporary Literary Culture at the University of Bologna. Her main research areas are literature, visual and transmedia studies, and migration studies. She is the Director of the International Center for Humanities Studies "Umberto Eco" and Delegate for Cultural Heritage.

Rosi Braidotti, Distinguished University Professor at Utrecht, the Netherlands, co-coordinates the Regional Research Team Europe and is the senior scientific advisor for the WHR. She holds honorary degrees from the universities of Helsinki and Linköping, and is a member of the European Academy and of the Australian Academy for the Humanities. She is the recipient of the 2002 Humboldt Research Award. Among her

publications are *Nomadic Subjects* (1994 and 2011), *Nomadic Theory* (2011), *Transpositions* (2006), *The Posthuman* (2013), *Posthuman Knowledge* (2019) and *Posthuman Feminism* (2022).

David Bueno is a professor in the Biomedical Genetics, Evolution and Development Section at the University of Barcelona, Spain and is the Chair of UB-EDU1ST Neuroeducation. He has participated in the compilation of several encyclopaedic works and has been the director of the *Ecosistemes dels Països Catalans* atlas. In 2010 he won the European Award for Scientific Dissemination. In 2018 he received the Magisterium Prize for his efforts to bring neuroscience closer to education, and in 2019 the *Claustre de Doctors* at the University of Barcelona awarded him a distinction for his dissemination work.

Josep Casanovas is Professor in the Statistics and Operations Research Department at the UPC, specialising in High Performance Computing (HPC) and Simulation. He is Director of inLab FIB at Barcelona School of Informatics, UPC-BarcelonaTech, an innovation lab mainly focused on data science, smart mobility and cybersecurity (<http://inLab.fib.upc.edu>) and composed of more than eighty researchers and developers. He has collaborated with many research and development projects for the EU and different companies and institutions. At present, he leads the HPC Modelling and Simulation for Societal Challenges Research Group in the Computer Science Department at the BSC.

Hiltraud Casper-Hehne is Professor of Intercultural German Studies/Language Studies at the Faculty of Philosophy in Göttingen. She initiated the project 'Interculture' within the framework of the EU university programme Asia-Link between Europe and China. She was Vice-President for International Affairs at the University of Göttingen, a member of the Board of the HERA network and a member of the Executive Board of the Coimbra Group. She also chaired the Council of Experts for the Development of a China Strategy of the Federal Ministry of Education and Research. Since 2018, she has been a member of the EU Ad Hoc Expert Group on European Universities.

Chiara Elefante teaches Translation Studies (French-Italian) and Contemporary French Literature at the University of Bologna, Forlì Campus. She chairs the PhD programme in Translation, Interpretation, and Intercultural Studies. Her main research areas in translation for the publishing industry concern self-translation, poetry, theatre, and gender; while in literature she has worked on history and stories, memory and trauma, and twentieth-century poetry. She is also a translator and coordinates the MeTRa Center on translation for children.

Isabel Fletcher is Researcher in Social Science and Food Systems at the Global Academy of Agriculture and Food Systems, University of Edinburgh. Isabel is a qualitative social scientist whose research focuses on policy approaches to food, diet and health, and the ways in which interdisciplinary research is used to address complex social problems. She has worked in a variety of interdisciplinary contexts on topics including public health models of obesity, food security policy and sustainable diets.

Mariacarla Gadebusch Bondio heads the Institute for the Medical Humanities at the University Hospital of Bonn. She has been a member of the Nordrhein-Westfälische

Akademie der Wissenschaften und der Künste since 2019. She was the recipient of a research award of the Humboldt-Stiftung and Stiftelsen Riksbankens Jubileumsfond (2020–2) and Guest Professor in the Department of History of Science and Ideas at Uppsala University. She researches the intersections between medicine and philosophy, norm and deviance, concepts of health and diseases in medicine, medical fallibility, philosophical and ethical dimensions of predictive knowledge in medicine, patient narratives, ethics, cancer and hope.

Cristina Gamberi is Research Fellow at the University of Bologna. She was awarded her PhD from the University of Naples and previously she studied philosophy at the University of Bologna, where she also obtained the double title within the European Master Degree GEMMA in Modern, Comparative and Post-Colonial Literature and in Women's and Gender Studies, Hull (UK). Her research interests include the intersections between feminist contemporary philosophy, feminist literary criticism and the artistic production of women, the question of women's autobiographical writing, and children's literature.

Marina Garcés is a philosopher and currently an Associate Professor at the Open University of Catalonia, where she manages the Masters in Philosophy for contemporary challenges. Her latest books are *Un mundo común* (2012), *Filosofía inacabada* (2015), *Fuera de clase* (2016), *Nueva ilustración radical* (2017, Ciutat de Barcelona Essay Award 2017) and *Ciudad princesa* (2018). Since 2002 she has also been working on the collective thinking programme titled 'Espai en Blanc'. She believes in the statement of commitment to life as a shared problem and her philosophy involves widespread experimentation with ideas, learning and ways of intervening in our modern world.

Steven Hartman is Founding Executive Director of the BRIDGES Sustainability Science Coalition in UNESCO's Management of Social Transformations programme based at Arizona State University's Julie Ann Wrigley Global Futures Laboratory and the University of Wales Trinity Saint David. He is also Visiting Professor in the Faculty of History and Philosophy, University of Iceland. His work addresses integration of the humanities in global change research, and collaboration among social and human scientists, artists, education specialists and civil society in efforts to meet sustainability challenges. He is co-editor-in-chief of *Ecocene: Cappadocia Journal of Environmental Humanities* and the series *Global Challenges in Environmental Humanities* (Bloomsbury Academic).

Christina Henkel studied cultural anthropology, political sciences, economic and social history and intercultural German studies in Germany, Spain and China. She did her doctorate in the Department of Intercultural German Studies at the Georg-August University of Goettingen. Prior to this, she worked as a lecturer for the German Academic Exchange Service at the University of Nanjing in China. Her research interests include interculturality, subject-centred interaction and construction of knowledge, life-world design and educational processes in the field of tension between university, everyday life and society.

Marjan Ivković, University of Begrade, Serbia, is a researcher at the Institute for Philosophy and Social Theory. He completed his undergraduate and MPhil studies in sociology at Belgrade University and obtained his PhD in sociology from Cambridge University. In his research, Marjan deals with problems of contemporary social theory and

social philosophy, and his work is particularly centred around the analysis of the potential of different varieties of contemporary critical theory for conceptualising and diagnosing complex forms of societal domination in the era of neoliberal revolution.

Gabi Lombardo (LSE, PhD) is the Director of the European Alliance for Social Sciences and Humanities, the largest advocacy and science policy organisation for social sciences and humanities in Europe. She also worked with the London School of Economics (LSE), the European Research Council (ERC) and Science Europe (SE). She advocates a strong evidence-based approach to policy-making, and the inclusion of researchers in science policy development for strategic and broad-based research funding. She is an expert evaluator for SSH disciplines and research ethics for the EU Commission, the World Bank, WISE and COST. In 2018 she received the Young Academy of Europe Annual Prize.

Rita Monticelli teaches British and postcolonial studies, gender studies and the history of culture at the University of Bologna. Her research areas include literature and visual studies, feminist and gender criticism, science fiction and trauma studies. She coordinates the Erasmus Mundus joint Master's Degree in Gender and Women's Studies (GEMMA, at the University of Bologna) and the Centre for Utopian Research and Studies.

Henrietta L. Moore is the Founder and Director of the Institute for Global Prosperity and the Chair in Culture, Philosophy and Design at University College London, UK. Her work integrates disciplines from social science to the sciences, arts and business innovation, and she applies these different perspectives to inform research and policy at all levels. She leads the ESRC-funded RELIEF Centre in Lebanon and the Procol Kenya collaborative research programme. She is Chair of the London Prosperity Board, and of FastForward 2030, a collaborative platform for businesses developing new business models to deliver on the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals.

Juan M. Moreno has worked as a research fellow at the Institute for Global Prosperity (IGP) at University College London, and at the Values in Sustainability Research Group, University of Brighton, UK. He currently works at the Fondation John BOST, France, where his research is focused on mental health issues and psychosocial rehabilitation strategies. Juan Manuel has an interdisciplinary and cross-sectoral background in history, shared cultural values, political science, international migration, community development, global nutrition issues and humanitarian work. His research interests bring together oral history, socio-political analysis, social justice and community resilience.

Professor Jane Ohlmeyer, MRIA, FBA, FTCD, FRHS, is Erasmus Smith's Professor of Modern History (1762) at Trinity College Dublin. She was a driving force behind the 1641 Depositions Project and the development of the Trinity Long Room Hub Arts and Humanities Research Institute which she directed (2015–20). She chaired the Irish Research Council (2015–21). She was the PI for 'Shape-ID', 'Shaping Interdisciplinary Practices in Europe', funded by European Commission's Horizon 2020 programme and in 2023 received an Advanced ERC for VOICES, a project on the lived experiences of women in early modern Ireland. She is the author or editor of numerous articles and 11 books. Her next book, 'Making Ireland: Ireland, Imperialism and the Early Modern World', which she gave as the Ford Lectures in Oxford (2021), will appear in 2023. In 2023 she was awarded the Royal Irish Academy Gold Medal in the Humanities.

Luiz Oosterbeek is Professor of Archaeology at the Polytechnic Institute of Tomar and UNESCO Chair in Humanities and Cultural Integrated Landscape Management. His research focuses on the transition to food producing economies and on heritage and landscape management in Portugal and SW Europe, Africa and Southern America. Member of Academia Europaea, the Portuguese Academy of History and the International Union of Prehistoric and Protohistoric Sciences, he is the President of the International Council for Philosophy and Human Sciences. Author of 'Cultural Integrated Landscape Management: A Humanities Perspective' (2017), among over 90 volumes and 300 papers.

Daan F. Oostveen is a postdoctoral researcher at the Institute for Culture Inquiry and lecturer at the Department of Philosophy and Religious Studies at Utrecht University. He studied philosophy and comparative literature at Ghent University. His PhD from the Faculty of Religion and Theology of VU Amsterdam is on multiple religious belonging. In 2018, he stayed for a research visit at the Renmin University of China in Beijing, at the Faculty of Philosophy, where he studied Chinese religious diversity. His research interests include comparative religion, posthuman philosophy, and the new humanities.

Serpil Oppermann is Professor of Environmental Humanities and the Director of Environmental Humanities Center at Cappadocia University. She is co-editor-in-chief of *Ecocene: Cappadocia Journal of Environmental Humanities*. Her most recent co-edited volumes are *Environmental Humanities: Voices from the Anthropocene* (2017) and *Turkish Ecocriticism: From Neolithic to Contemporary Timescapes* (2020). Her work explores the relationships of human and more-than-human environments from the intersecting perspectives of natural sciences and environmental humanities. In 2023, she has published *Ecologies of a Storied Planet in the Anthropocene* (West Virginia University Press) and *Blue Humanities: Storied Waterscapes in the Anthropocene* (Cambridge University Press).

Jan Palmowski is Professor of Modern History the University of Warwick, and Adjunct Professor of Higher Education Studies at the University of Oslo. He served as Head of Arts and Humanities at King's College London (2008–12), and Pro-Vice-Chancellor and Academic Vice-President at the University of Warwick (2013–18). He is currently on secondment from Warwick as Secretary-General of the Guild of European Research-Intensive Universities.

Franziska Pannach is a PhD student in computational linguistics with a specialisation in digital humanities at the Institute of Computer Science and the Göttingen Centre for Digital Humanities. She has previously worked in the fields of library and information sciences, and in academic publishing. Her research interests include computational folkloristics, natural language processing for under-resourced languages, and narrative pattern detection. She has a special passion for the folk tales of the amaZulu.

Tanja Reiffenrath is a member in the Student and Academic Services Team at the University of Göttingen, Germany, where she coordinates the project 'Internationalisation of the Curricula'. She holds a PhD in American Studies and has taught and published on diversity issues, transnationalism and transcultural encounters. She is particularly interested in issues revolving around learning and teaching in international and virtual classroom settings and the decolonisation of the curriculum. Currently she is the Chair of the EAIE Expert Community Internationalisation at Home.

Antonino Rotolo is professor of philosophy of law at the University of Bologna. He is an international expert in legal theory, legal logic, deontic logic, formal methods for practical reasoning, and artificial intelligence and law. In these areas Antonino Rotolo has widely published and coordinated research projects at the international, European, and national levels. His institutional leadership extends to multiple initiatives, including his present role as Deputy Head of the Alma Mater Research Institute for Human-Centered Artificial Intelligence (University of Bologna), and the past role of Vice Rector for Research at the University of Bologna (2015–2021).

Jack Spaapen received his training in sociology and cultural anthropology at the University of Amsterdam, and at UCSD in California. Jack's PhD is in Science and Technology Studies, focusing on methods for the evaluation of research in the context of societal and policy demands. Jack retired in 2022 as Senior Policy Advisor at the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences and is now senior partner at ScienceWorks, a consultancy firm in the Hague focussing on improving the societal impact of research.

Caroline Sporleder is a Professor of Digital Humanities at the Institute of Computer Science and a co-opted professor at the Institute of Digital Humanities at the University of Göttingen. She is also the Executive Director of the Göttingen Centre for Digital Humanities. Her research interests include statistical modelling of discourse and semantics, digital approaches to cultural heritage data, and cross-lingual, multi-lingual and cross-domain natural language processing.

Arjan Stegeman is Professor of Farm Animal Health at Utrecht University, The Netherlands. He is a veterinarian by training and his research is focused on the epidemiology of infectious diseases, aiming to unravel the mechanisms that determine the transmission and spread of infections in animal populations and establish the effectiveness of intervention measures. In addition to research and teaching, Arjan is very active at the science-policy interface.

Đurđica Trajković is a postdoctoral scholar at the Institute for Philosophy and Social Theory at the University of Belgrade, Serbia. She was an external fellow and visiting assistant professor at the University of Tennessee, University of Michigan and Indiana University (USA). She has been awarded several grants, such as the Humanities Exposed (HEX) award for public engagement and Chancellor's Fellowship for the Humanities. Her research interests include literary, feminist and postcolonial theory within Hispanic studies. She has published numerous articles and book chapters on different topics such as queer theory, translation, mourning, rhetoric of modernism, and engagement studies.

Hélène Verheije is a biomedical scientist and virologist. She holds a position as Assistant Professor at the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine at Utrecht University, the Netherlands. Since the start of her PhD, she has performed research on virus-host interactions, both at the fundamental level as well as applying this knowledge to develop vaccines. She has contributed to the field of One Health in various research projects, and she believes that novel perspectives are required to solve current and future health problems.

Bianca Vienni-Baptista, PD PhD, is Group Leader of 'Cultural Studies of Science and Technology' and Lecturer at the Transdisciplinarity Lab of the Department of

Environmental Systems Science (USYS TdLab), ETH Zürich (Switzerland). Bianca works in the field of anthropology of science, focusing on the study of collaborative knowledge production processes. As a result, she has centred her research on the specific conditions for interdisciplinary and transdisciplinary research and on the production and social use of knowledge in different countries, including the role of universities and other institutions.

Josep M. Vilalta is Director of the Global University Network for Innovation (GUNi) and Executive Secretary of the Catalan Association of Public Universities (ACUP). He has promoted and participated in various projects and groups of experts of the Government of Catalonia and the Government of Spain, the European Commission, the OECD, UNESCO, and several countries in education and universities, political science and public policy management. He is a member of the group of experts of the Spanish university policy think tank Studia XXI, and a member of the Advisory Boards of Fundació iSocial and 'El Diari de l'Educació'.

Doireann Wallace is a Senior Interdisciplinary Research Funding Specialist at Trinity College Dublin and was previously Research Project Manager of the SHAPE-ID Horizon 2020 project at the Trinity Long Room Hub Arts and Humanities Research Institute. Her PhD research explored how digital networks and platforms were transforming photographic culture and communication. She has previously worked as a research funding officer, an instructional designer, an art critic and a lecturer in photography and visual culture.

Transmedia Science Fiction and New Social Imaginaries

Raffaella Baccolini, Giuliana Benvenuti, Chiara Elefante and Rita Monticelli

Introduction

One of the crucial aspects of the so-called ‘digital revolution’ is undoubtedly an impressive mutation of communication forms and modes of cultural circulation and consumption. For some decades now, we have been witnessing a change that involves production strategies as well as the fruition, increasingly linked to networking, of literary, artistic, cinematographic, television, theatrical works and productions, and so on. The multiple mutations of the ‘cultural industries’, the social and cultural transformations confuse, merge and hybridise the digital and the ‘real’: technology, society and culture are linked by relationships of mutual co-determination and co-evolution. Culture is thus seen today as a network of re-shapings, re-creations, quotations, repetitions and transcoding of artefacts where the usual and disused separation between different media opens up reflections that imply interdependency rather than comparison (see Bolter and Grusin 1999; Jenkins 2006, 2014). We are, one might say, faced with a turning point that has implications comparable to those that other technological turning points have had in the history of culture, let us think, to give just one recent example, of the consequences – which Walter Benjamin (2008) highlighted in *The Work of Art in the Age of Mechanical Reproduction* – determined by the loss of the ‘aura’ of the work of art and the crisis of the very concept of the original. Changes of such extent affect, with all evidence, not only all the categories with which we used to describe and interpret artistic or entertainment products (author, text, reader/user), but also the morphology of the narration, which also, in many cases, changes radically. By virtue of the growing interconnection of the imaginaries, these changes also affect the relationship between artistic production and the public sphere (for example, the creation of diasporic public spheres; Appadurai 1996), leading to the construction of an increasingly transnational imaginary, giving rise to new ways of comparison, even of values, as well as tastes and preferences. This allows us to experiment with original practices of aggregation and collaboration inside and outside the Web. The process of media radical transformation has meant that social media are progressively taking precedence over the mass media in the domain of discursive practices. Similarly, we can see the orientation of many productions towards a multi-channel exploitation of a successful artefact and ‘concept’. Moreover, the strength of the emotional bond that is created between a text and its users, then grows exponentially when the text becomes a plurality of texts, gives rise to a process of world building (for instance typical of science fiction), in other words, turns into a transmedia ‘narrativity’. We can therefore consider the creation of transmedial narratives as part of this general mutation of expressive and

representative forms, which implies, more deeply, a change in individual relations with reality and in the forms of socialisation and construction of him-/herself and the world, but also a significant alteration of cultural hierarchies (cf. Hills 2002; Jenkins et al. 2013). Moreover, we have long known that texts contain potential meanings that audiences activate in contexts saturated with other discourses and within complex intertextual networks. In this light, the challenge of the Digital Humanities therefore concerns also the capacity to elaborate and transmit knowledge and interact in critical and innovative ways with cultural productions, also through the exploration of the imaginary in times of crisis. The development and circulation of literary works and visual products in diverse areas that involve readerships and audiences beyond their geographical origins and belonging have completely innovated not only the content of the cultural productions but also their reception, and have changed the classical view of literary and visual genres, overturning in drastic ways the relationship between the so called high and low culture through a shared imaginary (although enacted and received in many diverse ways according to the contexts and audiences). If we think of the status of the novel today, the development and circulation of novels in diverse areas that involve readerships beyond their geographical origins and belonging have completely innovated not only the content of the novels but also their forms and changed the classical view of literary genres. Their circulation from the nation to a global market, and their global changes also through migration and translation, have renewed themes and forms also through their reception. This case study will borrow, re-contextualising them, some crucial questions addressed by Nancy Armstrong concerning the global novel and global culture:

how do various contemporary novels transform generic prototypes that once enabled readers to imagine belonging to a coherent national community, the historical origins of that community, the social bonds needed to hold it together, what had to be kept out, how it perpetuated itself, and thus its possible futures. To what forces do various genres attribute this transformation? What sensory, cognitive, and/or affective equipment is needed to negotiate the altered world of these novels? What political possibilities do these novels open up or close down? (Armstrong and Dainotto 2018)

The critical debate on literature concerns the relationship that literature has with other media in the era of the 'visual turning point' and interrogates its fate in a historical phase in which it contaminates itself with other forms of expression, in ways that transcend the mere adaptation. Both transmedia narratives and global novels involve a new ecosystem of reading, circulation and reception, and are open to forms of reuse, decontextualisation, reappropriation. The digital revolution has enlarged global culture in many directions, transforming the status of the novel, also through translations, narrative adaptations, remediation, rewritings, intertexts, graphic novels, television series and cinema interactive adaptations. With the development of digital technologies, the world of translation has changed, and has benefited greatly from technologies, in particular for the necessary work of documentation and for the creation of translation memories (to be understood both in the technical sense, as databases functional to translation in specific sectors, and in the broad sense, as traces that the translation process leaves in the translated text, providing space to the translator's voice and subject position). In recent decades, thanks to a successful interaction between gender studies and translation studies, translation has been interpreted as a committed activity, in which (female) translators can weave feminist intertexts through the use of translation itself, while leaving traces of a linguistic, cultural and anthropolog-

ical mediation capable of respecting gender differences (cf. Sardin 2009; Von Flotow and Farahzad 2016; Castro and Ergün 2017). We should not limit ourselves to the analysis of translated texts considered as finished texts but examine also the paratexts that accompany the translations (introductions, translation notes or notes within the translation). These are often claimed by female translators as acts of speaking that bring out the dialogical and unfinished nature of the translation process: ‘en investissant au féminin ces lieux-frontières, la traductrice rend compte des multiples voix qui tissent un texte traduit: celles de l’auteure et de ses sources, celles de la traduction et de ses sources. De plus, parce qu’elles établissent une filiation entre écrivantes et ré-écrivantes, les notes de la traductrice entrent dans la composition de l’intertexte féministe’ (De Lotbinière-Harwood 1991: 46).¹ Translation, therefore, is a political tool, a very subjective endeavour where the translator marks with her/his convictions and interpretations the recreative writing process. The recent attention paid by translation studies to how translators have appropriated paratextual spaces and made them a place of freedom and assertion of the word has also raised an interesting debate: on the one hand, on the concept of appropriation and, on the other, whether or not there is a limit that separates the rewriting and the legitimate creative character of the translation from the assertion of the subjectivity of the writer of the source text.²

Two fundamental aspects that characterise feminist translation theory and that are reflected in translation practice are on the one hand the appropriation and manipulation of the text, and on the other the textual and paratextual visibility claimed by the feminist translator. In both cases some fundamental concepts such as identity, authorship and authority are called into question. Sherry Simon (1996) elaborates a concept of fidelity not towards the author nor the reader but towards the project of writing and therefore of translation. In this new view of fidelity, text manipulation becomes creation and is positively reassessed:³ ‘il n’y a pas de corps plus actif, plus agissant, dans la pratique langagière, qu’un corps traduisant. A la fois corps lisant, corps écoutant et corps ré-écrivain, il circule sans arrêt dans les mots du texte à traduire, il parcourt les dictionnaires et l’intertexte, fouille son propre imaginaire, interroge l’auteure, se penche vers les lectrices . . . En mouvement perpétuel, le corps traduisant performe le passage entre le sens de départ à décoder et le sens d’arrivée à encoder, toujours en tenant compte du rapport d’adresse, de la relation à l’autre – comme sur une scène’ (De Lotbinière-Harwood 1991).⁴

Translation studies and feminist thinking have in common the perceived need and the desire to affirm and transform language as a place where difference is expressed and represented. Language and translation are thus commonly conceived as tools of social construction that can subvert or reinforce certain views and stereotypes. Therefore, as such, translation has also acquired a central role in the dissemination of feminism.

Many cases today can be discussed in their global circulation in connection with their translations, trans-media-formations, transformations and adaptations, offering ways to rethink the ways in which these transformations and circulations have affected and still affect critical thinking and the political arenas in which these narratives are produced and disseminated. These ‘multi-layered products’ re-propose one crucial question: What is culture today and what is its significance in the global, digitalised world? And above all, what is its impact on society, the political arena and in the life of (all) people?

Science Fiction as Transmediality

The case study we have decided to analyse, *The Handmaid’s Tale* (from the novel by Margaret Atwood published in 1985), investigates how the media revolution in its diverse

forms and applications will affect gender equality and equity but also how the very notion of gender is re-discussed and transmitted. Within this perspective, the very notions of identity and subjectivity, politics of the body, memory and solidarity as political tools, are open to further epistemological investigations, starting from the condition of precarity and vulnerability shared in the common state of trauma or living condition as exemplified in critical dystopia. This study also involves the ways in which Digital Humanities can promote knowledge within a gender and women's perspective, with special attention to languages and discourses on women and gender themselves. A further step concerns the analysis of how the digital revolution and the visual turn (in all its diverse aspects) is affecting not only the notions of gender and favour (or disadvantage) diversity and inclusion, but also the notions of freedom, justice and solidarity. Issues related to women, gender, ethnicity and class will interrogate the new humanities and transmedia studies as possible spaces of empowerment or/and exclusion, empowerment or appropriation and homologation.

The case study chosen implies a premise concerning both the status of science fiction (with specific reference to women authors) and a discussion on utopian and dystopian science fiction as genres especially capable of challenging and rearticulating the socio-cultural-political imaginary, both in the popular culture and in the academia, while, hopefully, asking for a drastic critique of the symbolic order. Science fiction, in itself a structural challenge to 'realism', has shaped itself as the representation of multimedia devices already within literary texts. We can talk of science fiction as a narrative technique already including complex forms of 'multimedia adaptations'. Science fiction has configured the interaction between different media as a significant production that, from its very beginning, interacts with policies and counter policies, with social and cultural contexts, producing different stories that, in turn, induce different emotive and cultural responses. By offering multiple interpretative (im)possibilities of the real transcending the necessity of mimetic transparency, science fiction is an exploration of the possible laterals of experience. Consumers and producers of science fiction are aware of the discrepancy between the virtual scene and the real world, and such awareness is an important part of the experience, because it unveils the real as a construct, and reveals its ideological, political, social and cultural assumptions, searching for a cultural ecology of the present, aiming for concrete, future actions. In their transformation of the original text, adaptation and remediation also transform the 'original' into its future receptions. Remediation in science fiction could also be the attempt of repairing what is irreparable in reality in order to inspire concrete action in the present. In science fiction, the accent placed on the body as a space for remediation and experimentation shows, yet again, to what extent cultural processes are always joined to the media informing them: that is how technological media, to quote Bolter and Grusin (1999), acquire their cultural meaning in their very interaction, in their paying homage to or challenging previous media such as painting, photography, film, television. Literature, in this process involving new media, is still today a crucial space for re-signification, elaboration and (re)mediation. In this direction, adaptation, in this case from novel to film, TV series, performances and social appropriations, becomes, to quote Linda Hutcheon (2006, 2013), remediation, translation and inter-semiotic transposition between different systems. Although Bolter and Grusin's work refers to remediation as the main feature of the new digital media, their analysis is applicable to *The Handmaid's Tale* (the novel by Atwood and its many visual productions) because here it is exactly a case of repurposing: 'to take a "property" from one medium and reuse it in another' (Bolter and Grusin 1999: 45–50), showing that science fiction is in itself a reusing, repurposing, bor-

rowing and refashioning of media. As far as our case study is concerned, we can also infer that the ways in which literary science fiction has been translated, adapted and remediated globally, shows transmediality as its main feature *today*. Science fiction thematises the inseparability of mediality and reality, thus re-signifying reality through those very media. Science fiction is textual and political re-form: in its global re-adaptation, remediation, reuses and appropriations it challenges and unveils the real: 'all mediation remediates the real' (Bolter and Grusin 1999: 59; see Monticelli 2019).

Always characterised by a description of an imaginary world and a critique of the present, utopian and dystopian science fiction (sf) is a particularly timely genre that speaks to the concerns of our times. In the first half of the twentieth century, dystopian narratives of worse places than the ones in which we live have flourished. Works such as Yevgeny Zamyatin's *We* (1924), Aldous Huxley's *Brave New World* (1932) and George Orwell's *Nineteen Eighty-Four* (1949) came to represent the classical form of dystopia. To a greater or lesser extent, the dystopian imagination has served as a warning that certain socio-political tendencies could, if continued, turn our world into the terrible and dramatic societies portrayed by these writers.

Against this dystopian trend, Tom Moylan saw in the emerging oppositional culture of the late 1960s and early 1970s the basis for a revival of utopian writing. 'Utopian writing in the 1970s was saved by its own destruction and transformation into the "critical utopia"', where by 'critical' he means – in the Enlightenment sense of *critique* – the expressions of oppositional thought with regard to the genre and the historical situation and – in the nuclear sense – the 'critical mass required to make the necessary explosive reaction' (Moylan 2014: 10). This revival was actually a transformation which had to pass through the destruction of utopian writing as it was known until then in order to preserve it. By the late 1970s and early 1980s, this utopian trend came to an end. With the growing strengthening of neoliberal economics and politics, the intensification of fundamentalism, right-wing politics, and of commodification, sf writers recovered and reformulated the dystopian genre.

The key text of this dystopian turn was Margaret Atwood's *The Handmaid's Tale* (1985), which, together with novels by sf writers such as Octavia E. Butler, Kim Stanley Robinson, Marge Piercy and Ursula K. Le Guin, renovated dystopia as a critical narrative form that worked against the grain of the grim economic, political and cultural climate (cf. Baccolini and Moylan 2003). The kind of dystopian writing, critical and ambiguous, mostly produced by feminist sf writers, has become an expression of a new site of struggle and resistance. The new genre that emerged negated the notions of utopia and dystopia as mutually exclusive terms to describe a future alternate society. Thus, sf novels by some women writers contain instead both elements at once. While describing a mostly dystopian, future society they also portray surviving and imperfect utopian enclaves within the larger dystopian world. But most of all, in these feminist sf works, the utopian impulse is maintained at the level of form. Traditionally a bleak, depressing genre with no space for hope within the story, utopian hope is maintained in dystopia only *outside* the story: it is only when we consider dystopia as a warning that we as readers can hope to escape such a pessimistic future. This option is not granted to the protagonists of Orwell's *Nineteen Eighty-Four* or Huxley's *Brave New World*. Winston Smith, Julia, John the Savage and Lenina are all crushed by the totalitarian society; there is no learning, no escape for them. Conversely, novels such as Atwood's *The Handmaid's Tale* allow readers *and* protagonists to hope by resisting closure: the ambiguous, open endings of these novels maintain the utopian impulse *within* the work. In fact, by rejecting the traditional subjugation of the

individual at the end of the novel, the critical dystopia opens a space of contestation and opposition for those groups (women and other 'eccentric' subjects whose subject position is not contemplated by hegemonic discourse) for whom subjectivity has yet to be attained.

Another factor that makes these novels sites of resistance and oppositional texts is their blending of different genre conventions. The attack, in recent years, against universalist assumptions, fixity and singularity, and pure, neutral and objective knowledge in favour of the recognition of differences, multiplicity and complexity, partial and situated knowledges, as well as hybridity and fluidity has contributed, among other things, to the deconstruction of genre purity. Besides open-ended dystopias, Atwood and other writers create sf novels that borrow specific conventions from other genres. In particular, Atwood employs the conventions of the diary, the epistolary novel and the testimony to narrate the life of her protagonist. It is the very notion of an *impure* sf genre, with permeable borders which allow contamination from other genres, that represents resistance to hegemonic ideology and renovates the resisting nature of sf and makes the new sf genre also *multi-oppositional* (see Baccolini 2000a).

***The Handmaid's Tale* as a Transmedial Artefact**

Taking into consideration the above-mentioned critical direction, *The Handmaid's Tale* (the novel, its translations, films, TV series, graphic novel, performances, social movements, popular and political appropriations) can be studied as interdependent – yet controversial – imaginative counter-discourses: a unitary system, albeit a heterogeneous one, and developed through different forms and media. However, what is also interesting and at the same time questionable and discussed (see the debate started by Jenkins's perspective, 2006 and 2011) is the belief that the creation of networked communities is a viaticum for the creation of new forms of cultural and political aggregation and participation, for new forms of citizenship education in the global age. At the same time, the narrative is the object of a fidelity sought by the producers, while the appropriation by the users makes it the object of discussion, growth of awareness and knowledge through the sharing of opinions and points of view within a community that discusses, analyses, interprets, recreates. This product, according to some, can be accused of acting on our customs (ways of dressing, speaking, establishing interpersonal relationships), without intervening on our opinions and without being able to change our point of view on things. Conversely, the critical, educational, relational and civic potential would be, according to others, stimulated and enhanced by the discussion of communities.

The novel takes place in a not-so-distant future, at the end of the twentieth century (the novel was published in 1985), in what was once the United States and is now called the Republic of Gilead. After a paramilitary coup by right-wing Christian fundamentalists as a reaction to social unrest, toxic waste, nuclear accidents, the AIDS epidemic, abortion and birth-rate decline, the new regime has created a repressive theocracy where reproduction is compulsory. The result is a tragic and grotesque society which takes the Book of Genesis at its word, with terrible consequences for everybody – and women, in particular. The story is told from Offred's perspective, one of the unfortunate Handmaids who, under the new regime, is exploited as a surrogate mother. The new patriarchal and misogynist society is rigidly structured: women, with the exception of the most dangerous and subversive, have to 'choose' among the limited options they are given – certain death in the Colonies or the role the regime has assigned them according to their age, class and fertility. Women find themselves relegated to one of seven categories: they are

either 'Wives' of the Commanders, those in charge; 'Marthas', the housekeepers who are too old for reproduction; 'Handmaids', women who are divorced and are still fertile; 'Econowives', the lower-class version of the three roles; 'Aunts', who indoctrinate women at the Re-education Centres; all the women who are not willing to cooperate with the system are considered 'Unwomen' and are sent to clean toxic dumps and radiation spills in the Colonies; finally, there are unlabelled women, hidden from public knowledge, who live in a brothel for Commanders and visiting businessmen. Similarly, men are divided into 'Commanders'; 'Eyes', who are spies; 'Angels', or soldiers; and 'Guardians', or menials. To each household, made up of an officially barren Wife and a Commander (who is likely to be equally sterile, but officially only women can be fruitful or barren), is given a Handmaid. The days and lives of Gilead's citizens are governed by a series of grotesque rituals: 'Birth Days', when all Wives and Handmaids participate in one of the Handmaids' delivery; 'Ceremonies', when a Commander has sex, for reproduction, with a Handmaid in the presence of his Wife; Women's and Men's 'Prayvaganzas', for group weddings and military victories, respectively; 'Salvagings', that is the public execution of traitors; and 'Particitation', when Handmaids take part in the execution of a traitor. Some of these rituals have been recently employed, subverted and reversed in women's public manifestations against current repressive policies.

Offred's narrative is fragmentary and tells of her life and of those who have been important in her life: her feminist mother; Moira, her lesbian friend; her companion Luke; her lost daughter; but also her Commander, his Wife, Serena Joy, and his driver, Nick; other Handmaids, such as the rebellious Ofglen or the emotional Janine. By narrating her present and past life and others' life-stories she offers a description of the life and culture of Gilead. The story's ending is ambiguously open: it remains unclear whether the heroine survives and escapes to Canada or whether she is captured and sentenced to death. The novel ends with the 'Historical Notes', a partial reproduction of the proceedings of a conference on Gilead.

At once a dystopia and a work of speculative fiction, *The Handmaid's Tale* is a powerful feminist critique of state definitions of womanhood. In the novel Atwood demonstrates that passivity and silence, women's 'natural' state in most classic dystopias, are not innate qualities, but they are forced upon the women of Gilead. Through Offred's perspective, which continuously juxtaposes flashbacks with her present state in Gilead, Atwood joins the political and social elements to the personal and private anguish of the protagonist. Like most dystopias, also Atwood's theocratic regime depends on ignorance and repression: women are indoctrinated at Re-education Centres and the new society is rigidly hierarchical. Women and men are assigned new roles according to a strict classification based on gender, age, class, race, sexual as well as religious and political orientation. The theocracy depicted in the novel is an oppressive regime in which women are considered the state's and men's property; they cannot work nor have possessions; reproduction is compulsory; language – reading, writing or speaking – is forbidden, with the exception of empty and trite religious phrases. Women are completely disempowered: reduced to their biological function, they possess no proper name, no identity besides that defined by the state, no language, no freedom. Women's new names illustrate their new condition: they are forced to take a patronymic composed of the possessive preposition 'of' and the first names of the Commanders who own them. Ironic distance allows the protagonist to describe herself as a 'container' and 'a two-legged womb'. But, elsewhere and more poignantly, her self-descriptions emphasise the loss of identity: she is a 'missing person' and a 'refugee from the past' (Baccolini 2000a).

The state's notion of femininity is enforced in Re-education Centres by the Aunts, who teach the Handmaids to be perfect breeders. These women embody a 'reversal' of feminism whose idea of freedom is actually freedom from choice, power and knowledge. Retreat and disengagement from any political involvement is the state's response (through Aunt Lydia) to the previous unrest. Women's freedom is fundamentally their invisibility and silence. Conversely, Offred's voice tries to enact resistance and self-definition while performing her role. This self-construction is undertaken at night, when she is alone, through memory, imagination and the reappropriation of language: at night she can express her voice, her story and her thoughts; her night-time helps her survive the daytime when she can hardly speak. The novel articulates, in fact, different strategies and degrees of resistance. In Gilead, where communication among women is not allowed, Handmaids exchange information through gossip, which becomes a first type of opposition – a secretive and forbidden alternative discourse to that enforced upon them in their public life. Gossip is, firstly, an underground network of information acquisition and dissemination about political resistance and, secondly, a social contact that provides an emotional support to women.

Characters offer different models of opposition: in the totalitarian regime, mimicry has become a way of life and all those who are unable or unwilling to play their roles are considered dangerous traitors and are sentenced to death. Women like Offred who accept their role as a role and remain ironically distanced while performing it, can instead survive in the hostile and misogynist society. Offred's feminist mother, Moira, and Ofglen represent different models of active resistance both in the pre-Gilead and Gilead society. The mother and Moira are rebellious, impertinent women who refuse to collaborate with the system; neither, however, is very successful. Offred's mother presumably ends up in the Colonies, while Moira becomes one of the prostitutes in the secret brothel. Ofglen joins the secret underground rebellion while playing her role as Handmaid, but she also disappears when discovered. Unlike them, Offred is not heroic: she lacks courage, she tends to compromise, she contradicts herself and is selfishly afraid. However, Offred's space at night, her reflections on her past and on her lack of future prospects constitute a site of resistance and struggle against the obliteration of individuality the regime enforces. Offred's journey, through memory, into the past is a means of survival and of self-creation. It is a subversive act as she reappropriates the word denied to women; her narrative allows her to be the speaking subject of stories where she 'has control over the ending'; it becomes a means of survival which allows her to deconstruct the patriarchal regime and reconstruct her fragmented self, thus creating alternatives for herself and for the protagonists of her stories. Memory, imagination and language provide Offred with a utopian impulse and a source of empowerment and freedom. By narrating the past to herself, but also by creating and imagining different versions of it, Offred breaks the hold of the static, unitary past enforced upon her by the totalitarian regime. Her storytelling provides escape, resistance and awareness. Perhaps this is one of the reasons why her storytelling has been appropriated to signify and to represent women's contemporary narrations of their oppression and resistance. Although she is painfully aware that any reconstruction of the past is a fiction, she is also aware of the importance of language and of her storytelling. Offred becomes a twentieth-century Scheherazade who tells a tale where memory and utopian imagination mix in order to survive, but also to critique and destabilise the regime. The narrative structure of Atwood's novel plays an important part in her critical project. The juxtaposition of Offred's narrative with the 'Historical Notes' problematises the Gileadean notion that there is a truth, a single version of reality. If, on the one hand, the epilogue provides

a sense of relief through its account of the end of Gilead and the Handmaid's survival, it also warns the reader against rejoicing in an easily consolatory notion of utopia as the new state's name, Nunavit/None of it, would suggest.⁵ Atwood's complex and compelling dystopia encourages readers to read critically and value Offred's personal history, and to see in her personal and private anguish the product of social, political and economic forces (Baccolini 2000b).

The Handmaid's Tale and its Translations

The analysis of the French (1987) and Italian (1988) translations of Margaret Atwood's novel adopts a non-prescriptive but descriptive approach based in particular on some socio-cultural and gender aspects that influence the translation process and especially its reception. At the same time, it allows us to advance some hypotheses on the publishing policies and the contexts of reception that influence the translations. Both translations were published almost at the same time by publishing houses which, in the publishing field (cf. Bourdieu 1991), are among the generalist presses aimed at the general public without a particularly marked cultural manifesto. Mondadori chose for the Italian edition the translator and poet Camillo Pennati (1931–2016) while Les Éditions' Robert Laffont commissioned Sylviane Rué to transpose the novel into French, indicating on the title page of the text that hers is a translation from the Canadian English variant. This last element denotes a greater sensitivity, grounded also on historical reasons and on the colonial past, compared to the diatopic linguistic variants. It also gives the translator the ability to recognise and render in his/her own language differences that are sometimes minimal but that can in some way contribute to make the translation unique.

The two publishing houses released Atwood's novel two and three years after its publication in the original language, boldly investing in a work and an author who was not yet fully recognised at the time.⁶ The publishing context and the literary polysystem of the time both in France and in Italy make it possible to explain many of the translation choices made that seem today, in a completely justified way, a little outdated and fully traceable to the socio-cultural era in which they were made, confirming the assumption that the translation is above all an historical and historicisable operation that is strongly conditioned by the context of the reception.⁷

As already mentioned, Atwood's language is strongly characterised by creativity and inventiveness as well as by a metalinguistic reflection on words accompanied by irony. Linguistic subversion stands for a metonymy of the will to subvert the world and an expression of the desire to break down linguistic phallogocentrism without forgetting the alternation between playful, sarcastic, ironic and dramatic tone. Naturally, these traits make the operation of translation particularly complex, as the following example shows:

I sit in the chair and think about the word *chair*. It can also mean the leader of a meeting. It can also mean a mode of execution. It is the first syllable in *charity*. It is the French word for flesh. None of these facts has any connection with the others. These are

Je m'assieds sur la chaise et pense au mot *Chaise. Chaire*. On dit "le professeur est en chaire". La chaise, c'est aussi un moyen d'exécution capitale. En français, on peut confondre chaire et chair. Et les premières lettres sont celles de charité. Aucun de

Mi siedo sulla sedia. Sedia, *chair*. *Chair* serve anche a indicare chi presiede una riunione. *Chair* è anche un modo per eseguire la pena capitale. È la prima sillaba della parola *charity*. *Chair* in francese vuol dire carne. Nessuna di queste parole ha un nesso con

the kinds of litanies I use, to
compose myself. (110)

ces faits n'a de rapport avec
les autres.
Voilà le genre de litanies dont
je me sers, pour me rasseoir
l'esprit. (pos. 1791)

le altre, sono le litanie che recito
da sola. (146)

The creative trait present in the two translations is not accompanied, in the Italian edition, by the resistance trait that the appropriation of one language instead of another is acquired by the narrator also in relation to the coercive and censorious context of the totalitarian regime. In other words, in the Italian edition, the aspect of (self-)creation that the word in its infinite meanings and associations allows is undervalued. In the French version the reflective form 'me rasseoir l'esprit', which translates as the English 'to compose myself', reinforcing it with the lexical choice 'esprit' – a polysemantic term imbued with connotations given to the term by French philosophical and cultural history – emphasises the theme of resistance and of self-determination associated with language. The Italian translation, with the choice of the verb 'recito' accompanied by 'da sola' insists instead on rituality, on repetition, thus removing from the passage the oppositional force present in the source text.

Atwood's writing is particularly rich and capable of creating by itself a sort of complicity pact between the multiple narrative voices and the presence of several receptive instances that reflect the desire for a broad readership. The dialogical tone at times reproduces what seems to be an oral performance that makes the familiar and slang register the dominant feature:

The other woman obligingly moves over, and Moira and I sit down. The first thing we do is take off our shoes. "What the hell are you doing here?" Moira says then. "Not that it isn't great to see you. But it's not so great for you. What'd you do wrong? Laugh at his dick?" I look up at the ceiling. "Is it bugged?" I say. I wipe around my eyes, gingerly, with my fingertips. Black come off. "Probably," says Moira. "You want a cig?" "I'd love one," I say. "Here," she says to the woman next to her. "Lend me one, will you?" (243)

L'autre femme se pousse complaisamment et Moira et moi nous asseyons. La première chose que nous faisons est ôter nos chaussures.
"Que diable fais-tu ici?" demande alors Moira. Pas que ce ne soit pas formidable de te voir. Mais ce n'est pas tellement formidable pour toi. Qu'est-ce que tu as fait de mal? Tu as ri de son zizi?" Je lève les yeux au plafond. "Est-ce qu'il y a des micros?" Je m'essuie le tour des yeux avec précaution, du bout des doigts. Du noir déteint.
"Probablement, dit Moira. Tu veux une clope?"
"J'adorerais."
"Hé, dit-elle à sa voisine. Prête-m'en une, tu veux?" (pos. 4021–2)

L'altra donna si sposta, rispettosamente, e io e Moira ci mettiamo a sedere. Prima di tutto ci togliamo le scarpe. "Che diavolo fai qui?" dice Moira. "Non che non sia felice di vederti, ma per te non è una bella cosa. Che hai fatto mai di male? Hai riso del suo pisello?" Alzo gli occhi al soffitto. "Ci sono delle microspie?" chiedo. Mi passo le dita attorno agli occhi, con cautela, e mi si sporcano tutte di nero. "È probabile" risponde Moira. "Vuoi una sigaretta?" "Oh sì, la gradirei moltissimo." "Ehi" dice Moira alla donna che le sta seduta accanto, "me ne impresti una?" (317)

Although both translations move away from the orality of the source text, the departure from the standard language is more pronounced in French than in Italian. The French translator chooses words and expressions from argot and in the very construction of the sentences she prefers a non-standard order of the words, while the Italian translator follows a high lexical register which flattens this passage and makes it more aged. The fact that a translation ages and poses the question of a possible retranslation is, however, inherent in the historical nature of the translated text, a fact that critical translation studies have often overlooked.

The power relations between women and men in the Republic of Gilead are played out on and through language: the forbidden Scrabble matches as well as the conversations between Offred and the Commander are metonymic of the opposition between the two genders for the appropriation and mastery of language itself. Linguistic creation and the metalinguistic reflection on it thus become forms of resistance, of struggle against violence for the conquest of freedom. Hence the importance of finding the right word (how not to think in this case about the analogy with the act of translating and the complexity that this entails) and the power attributed to the act of naming. In responding to the tension towards the right word typical of the masculine/feminine linguistic antagonism, the translation would be called upon to multiply itself, rising to the square in a continuous forward flight that at the same time leads the narrative to deepen following a spiral movement:

We play two games. *Larynx*, I spell. *Valance*. *Quince*. *Zygote*. I hold the glossy counters with their smooth edges, finger the letters. The feeling is voluptuous. This is freedom, an eyeblink of it. *Limp*, I spell. *Gorge*. What a luxury. (139) [. . .]
I print the phrase carefully, copying it down from inside my head, from inside my closet. *Nolite te bastardes carborundorum*. Here, in this context, it's neither prayer nor command, but a sad graffiti, scrawled once, abandoned. The pen between my fingers is sensuous, alive almost, I can feel its power, the power of the words it contains. Pen Is Envy, Aunt Lydia would say, quoting another Center motto, warning us away from such objects. And they were right, it is envy. Just holding it is envy. I envy the Commander his pen. It's one more thing I would like to steal. (186)

Nous faisons deux parties. J'épelle, *larynx*, *valence*, *coing*, *zygote*. Je manipule les jetons luisants, aux bords lisses, je tripote les lettres. Cette sensation est voluptueuse. C'est la liberté, un aperçu de liberté. J'épelle *Flasque*, *Gorge*. Quel luxe! (pos. 2327-8) [. . .]
J'inscris la phrase soigneusement, en la recopiant à partir de l'intérieur de ma tête, du fond de mon placard. *Nolite te salopardes exterminorum*. Ici, dans ce contexte, ce n'est plus une prière, ni un ordre, mais un triste graffiti, jadis griffonné, puis abandonné. Le stylo entre mes doigts est sensuel, presque vivant. Je sens son pouvoir, le pouvoir des mots qu'il contient. *Stylo = Pénis = Envie* (du), disait Tante Lydia, citant un autre slogan du Centre, qui nous mettrait en garde contre de tels objets. Et c'était vrai, c'est bien de l'envie. Le seul fait de

Giochiamo due partite. Compongo le prime parole. *Laringe*. *Drappeggio*. *Cotogna*. *Zigote*. Tengo in mano le lucide tessere dai bordi lisci, palpo le lettere. La sensazione è voluttuosa. Questa è la libertà, uno sprazzo di libertà. *Floscio*, sillabo. *Gola*. Che lusso. (185) [. . .]
Scrivo con attenzione la frase in stampatello, così come mi è rimasta impressa nella testa, da quando l'ho letta, nell'armadio. *Nolite te bastardes carborundorum*. Qui, in questo contesto, non è né preghiera, né comando, ma un triste graffiti, scarabocchiato tempo addietro, abbandonato. La penna tra le mie dita si anima, diventa quasi viva, ne sento la forza, sento la forza delle parole che contiene. La penna è invidia, diceva Zia Lydia, citando il motto di un altro Centro, per ammonirci a stare lontane da simili oggetti. E aveva ragione, è invidia. Il solo tenerla in mano è invidia. Invidio al Comandante la sua

[. . .]
 “There,” he says, pointing, and in the margin I see it, written in the same ink as the hair on the Venus. *Nolite te bastardes carborundorum*. “It’s sort of hard to explain why it’s funny unless you know Latin,” he says. “We used to write all kinds of things like that. I don’t know where we got them, from older boys perhaps.” Forgetful of me and of himself, he’s turning the pages. “Look at this,” he says. The picture is called *The Sabine Women*, and in the margin is scrawled: pit, *pimp pis pimus pistis pants*. “There was another one,” he says. “*Cim, cis, cit . . .*” He stops, returning to the present, embarrassed. (187)

le tenir est de l’envie. J’envie son stylo au Commandant. C’est encore une des choses que je voudrais voler. (pos. 3090–1)
 [. . .]
 “Voilà”, dit-il en me désignant un endroit, et dans la marge je vois, tracé de la même encre que celle qui a dessiné les poils de la Vénus: *Nolite te salopardes exterminorum*. “C’est un peu difficile d’expliquer ce que cela a de drôle si vous ne savez pas le latin, dit-il. Nous avons l’habitude d’écrire toutes sortes de phrases comme celle-là. Je ne sais pas d’où nous les tenions, des élèves plus âgés, probablement.” Oublieux de moi et de lui-même, il s’est mis à tourner les pages. “Regardez ceci”, dit-il. L’illustration a pour titre “Les Sabines”, et dans la marge on a griffonné: *pim pis pit, pimus pistis pants*. “Il y en avait un autre: *cim cis cit . . .*” Il s’interrompt, revient au présent, honteux. (pos. 3105–6)

penna. È un’altra cosa in più che mi piacerebbe rubare. (245)
 [. . .]
 “Ecco” ripete il Comandante. In margine vedo la scritta, con la stessa penna che è servita a truccare la Venere, *Nolite te bastardes carborundorum*. “È un po’ difficile spiegare che cosa c’è di divertente, a meno che non si sappia il latino” dice il Comandante. “Noi scrivevamo sempre questo genere di cose. Non so dove le sentivamo, da ragazzi più grandi di noi, forse”. Ha dimenticato me e se stesso, sfoglia le pagine. “Guarda questa” dice. L’illustrazione si intitola *Le donne sabine*, e sul bordo c’è scarabocchiato: *pim pis pit, pimus pistis pants*. * “Ce n’era un’altra” dice. *Cim, cis cit . . .* *
 S’interrompe, imbarazzato.
 * Mutande
 * La coniugazione presumibilmente finirebbe, come l’altra, con un “cunts”; “cunt” sta per organo sessuale femminile. (246–7)

In order to maintain the fidelity to language as a source of creation of reality, translation must adopt different translation strategies in different languages so that the audience can grasp the deep meaning of the text. Both translators try to render the sense of inventiveness and the strong symbolic value that is associated with linguistic research. Rué goes so far as to ‘manipulate’ the Latin inscription, cleverly modifying ‘bastardes’ into ‘salopardes’, an argotic term particularly marked in French. In the passages where Latin reveals a play on words with English and sexual *double entendre*, Rué and Pennati adopt very different strategies. The French translator remains in the textual space leaving to the reader the task of autonomously discovering and interpreting the double meaning, following also the rhythm of the passage that, as already said, follows a spiral deepening movement. The Italian translator instead places in the peritextual space of the footnote the explanation of the puns, of which the first is obvious, while the second is not revealed in an open way in the original text. This is what Antoine Berman has defined as the deforming tendency of ‘clarification’, which deprives the reader of the pleasure of inferring the meaning of a sentence played on several linguistic levels (Berman 1999: 54–5).⁸

In its metanarrativity, *The Handmaid's Tale* addresses directly an ideal audience to whom the narrator expresses the meaning of its narration and the importance of memory and storytelling as forms of resistance. The very different translations in French and Italian testify to the important task of translation to engage the reader's commitment:

I would like to believe this is a story I'm telling. I need to believe it. I must believe it. Those who can believe that such stories are only stories have a better chance. If it's a story I'm telling, then I have control over the ending. Then there will be an ending, to the story, and real life will come after it. I can pick up where I left off. *It isn't a story I'm telling.*
It's also a story I'm telling, in my head, as I go along. Tell, rather than write, because I have nothing to write with and writing is in any case forbidden. But if it's a story, even in my head, I must be telling it to someone. You don't tell a story only to yourself. There's always someone else. Even where there is no one. A story is like a letter. *Dear you*, I'll say. Just *you*, without a name. Attaching a name attaches *you* to the world of fact. Which is riskier, more hazardous: who knows what the chances are out there, of survival, yours? I will say *you, you*, like an old love song. *You* can mean more than one. *You* can mean thousands. I'm not in any immediate danger, I'll say to you. I'll pretend you can hear me. But it's no good, because I know you can't. (39–40) [. . .]
But I keep on going with this sad and hungry and sordid, this limping and mutilated

J'aimerais croire que ceci est une histoire que je raconte. J'ai besoin de le croire. Il faut que je le croie. Celles qui peuvent croire que pareilles histoires ne sont que des histoires ont de meilleures chances. Si c'est une histoire que je raconte, je peux choisir son dénouement. Donc il y aura un dénouement, à cette histoire, et la vraie vie viendra après. Je pourrai reprendre là où je me suis arrêtée. Ce n'est pas une histoire que je raconte. C'est aussi une histoire que je raconte, dans ma tête, au fur et à mesure. Raconter, plutôt qu'écrire, parce que je n'ai pas de quoi écrire et que de toute façon il est interdit d'écrire, mais si c'est une histoire, même dans ma tête, il faut que je la raconte à quelqu'un. On ne se raconte pas une histoire seulement à soi-même. Il y a toujours un autre. Même quand il n'y a personne. Une histoire est comme une lettre. Je dirai: *Cher Toi*. Juste *Toi*, sans nom. Ajouter un nom rattache ce "toi" au monde réel, qui est plus hasardeux, plus périlleux: qui sait quelles sont les chances de survie, là-bas, pour toi? Je dirai "Toi, toi", comme dans une vieille chanson d'amour. *Toi* peut représenter plus d'une personne. *Toi* peut signifier des milliers de gens.

Mi piacerebbe credere che sto raccontando una storia. Ho bisogno di crederci devo crederci. Coloro che possono crederlo hanno migliori possibilità. Se è una storia che sto raccontando, posso scegliere il finale. Ci sarà un finale, alla storia, e poi seguirà la vita vera. Posso continuare da dove ho smesso. Non è una storia che sto raccontando. È anche una storia che ripeto nella mia testa. Non la scrivo perché non ho nulla con cui scrivere e lo scrivere è comunque proibito. Ma se è una storia, anche solo nella mia testa, dovrò pur raccontarla a qualcuno. Non racconterò una storia solo a te stesso. C'è sempre qualcun altro. Anche quando non c'è nessuno. Una storia è come una lettera. *A voi*, dirò. Comincerò così, semplicemente, senza nomi. Un nome crea un collegamento col mondo fattuale, che è più rischioso, più azzardato: chi sa quali sono, fuori, le possibilità di sopravvivenza? Le vostre? Dirò *a voi, a voi*, come una vecchia canzone, *voi* significa più d'uno. *Voi* può significare migliaia. Non mi trovo in nessun pericolo immediato, dirò. Farò finta che voi mi possiate udire. Ma non serve, perché so che non potete. (58–9) [. . .]
Ma continuerò questa triste, arida, squallida storia, zoppicante e mutilata, perché voglio che

story, because after all I want you to hear it, as I will hear yours too if I ever get the chance, if I meet you or if you escape, in the future or in heaven or in prison or underground, some other place [. . .] By telling you anything at all I'm at least believing in you, I believe you're there, I believe you into being. Because I'm telling you this story I will your existence. I tell, therefore you are. (267–8)

Je te dirai: je ne cours aucun danger immédiat.
Je ferai semblant que tu peux m'entendre.
Mais cela ne sert à rien, car je sais que c'est impossible. (pos. 793–808)
[. . .]
Mais je continue à dévider cette histoire triste, affamée et sordide, cette histoire boiteuse et mutilée, parce que après tout je veux que vous l'entendiez, comme j'écouterai la vôtre si jamais j'en ai l'occasion, si je vous rencontre ou si vous vous sauvez, dans l'avenir ou au Paradis ou en prison ou dans la clandestinité, ailleurs [. . .]
Du seul fait de vous raconter quelque chose, au moins je crois en vous, je crois que vous êtes là, ma croyance vous fait exister. Parce que je vous raconte cette histoire, je vous donne vie. Je raconte, donc vous êtes. (pos. 4348)

tu la senta, come sentirei la tua se mai ne avessi l'occasione, se ti incontrassi mentre fuggi nel futuro o in cielo o in prigione o sottoterra, ovunque. Raccontarti qualcosa significa credere in te, credere che esisti. Se ti sto raccontando questa storia è perché voglio che esista. Racconto, dunque tu esisti. (346)

The 'you' that Offred is addressing is translated in reversed ways in French and Italian. This, we believe, does not only testify to a diverse translation policy, but it can also refer to a different context of creation and reception: it is a call to action and to active participation. The English pronoun 'you' can be translated, in Italian and French, both with the second person singular and plural. In the first excerpt the second person singular is chosen in French and the second person plural in Italian, while in the second the choice is reversed by both translators. The reading of the two passages in Italian and French (especially if out loud) is proof of how different translation strategies can have significant repercussions also on the interpretation of the text. The English 'you', so effective in rendering in the source language a multiplicity of interlocutors, narrating voices, possible readers and witnesses of the subversive message of the text, requires a choice from the two translators: by varying their solutions in the second excerpt, both probably aimed at compensating for what they felt they had lost in the first passage, hoping to save both dimensions, that of a 'you' that is closer and more intimate to the narrating voice, and that of an expanded 'you', to be extended to all future readers of the novel. Translation always involves, and foremost of all, a mediation, a complex and accurate work of adjustment and proximity – by approximation – to the multiple dimensions of the text that is translated.

Both translations reveal their historical character, they are strongly influenced by the editorial and cultural contexts in which they were created and by the literary polysys-

tems within which they were placed. Margaret Atwood's text would today require a re-translation in both Italian and French. This in no way denies the value of these first translations, which have contributed to making Atwood and her writing popular in Italy and France. *The Testaments* has indeed been translated by two other translators, who have adapted their voices to Atwood's, sometimes making bold choices and breaking with the first translations. The fact that much has been written on Atwood, thus enriching the reception of Atwood's work in the two countries, would make the re-translation work even more aware of the multiple dimensions of the novel and its innovative force.

The contribution that gender studies have made to translation studies would most likely make those who were about to re-translate Atwood's novel more aware of the importance of the novel also in relation to women's history and its feminist message. Translation, in fact, is always related to the audience that receives it, thus demonstrating that translation is linked and recalls different and sometimes targeted reception contexts, as is the case with translations of feminist texts or those that express gender issues. The Italian and French literary polysystems are today undoubtedly better prepared to (re)accept Atwood's novel as a classic – therefore suited to be read and reread, always discovering new dimensions. The publishing context and the market remain to be evaluated: if Atwood's recognition and success make the novel's re-translation appealing for big publishing houses, it is possible that such cultural operation may also appeal to independent publishing houses, characterised by a literary manifesto more oriented to a type of writing that makes the subversion of clichés and stereotypes one of its strong points.

***The Handmaid's Tale* and its Adaptations and Appropriations**

We are witnessing today an appropriation and a commodification of dystopia. Since the success of Suzanne Collins's *Hunger Games* trilogy (2008–10) and its film adaptations, dystopian fiction has become trendy and mainstream. These co-optations and the proliferation of dystopian and post-apocalyptic TV series (cf., for example, the success of *Black Mirror* and many others) seem to have both a cathartic function and a possible reduction of the power of dystopia to challenge the present. Dystopia, as we will see, is being commercialised, trivialised and appropriated by some at the same time that others, women in particular, discover its radical subversive power. In the current dystopian climate, *The Handmaid's Tale* has undergone an exceptional rediscovery, and has enjoyed a second life especially thanks to the huge success of its TV adaptations. *The Handmaid's Tale* has in fact been adapted in different genres, including the film directed by Volker Schlöndorff which was distributed in 1990, with the screenplay by Harold Pinter; an opera adaptation in 2000, with a libretto by Paul Bentley and music by Poul Rouders; the ballet choreographed by Lila York in 2013; a theatrical adaptation directed by Brian Isaac Phillips in 2011; the graphic novel illustrated by Renee Nault in 2019, and especially the award-winning TV series already mentioned and aired on the network Hulu, which to date has released five seasons, from 2017 to 2022. The series was created by Bruce Miller, with different directors, and Atwood was a consulting producer and had a short cameo. What is certain is that the protagonist Offred – Elizabeth Moss in the TV series – has struck the collective imaginary and the Handmaids have become a significant part of it. Offred as a Handmaid has become the symbol of female oppression, but also of rebellion. It is possible to argue that the function of dystopia, that of inspiring concrete action by extending catharsis into practice, has worked at least in the case of the first season of the TV series, which is the focus of the present section, while the following ones have generated controversies.

The first season relaunched the success of the book, making the figures of the Handmaids iconic, an interesting phenomenon that has received much attention.

The Hulu production, a US joint venture since 2008 that involves Fox, Disney and NBC Universal Television Group, was born as Advertising-supported Video On Demand (AVOD), i.e., a reality with free access including advertising interruptions, and in time has become a hybrid between AVOD and Subscription Video On Demand (SVOD), a production that, for a monthly fee, reduced advertising and included more targeted commercials. In 2015 it inaugurated a form of subscription completely without advertisements. The online streaming services, with a broad offer and earlier programming with respect to non-pay televisions, transformed at first the means of fruition and then, with the production of original content, the creative and operational approaches. Hulu is mainly addressed to the American audience. To date, its products are not exported independently, as is the case for example of Netflix and Amazon Video. The international dimension of Hulu and of its products is mainly linked to certain figures connected to it, such as the novelist Stephen King, since Hulu prefers to go straight to the series, without going through the test of the pilot episode, especially when it comes to series created by high-level showrunners and authors, for which there is a lot of competition.

Some of the themes mentioned in the book have become central in the TV series and in other adaptations for their socio-political function. For example, racial and ethnic issues have been emphasised in the TV series, while they are barely mentioned in the novel: both Moira and Offred's companion, Luke, are black, and the transposition of the LGBTQ+ themes are linked to more characters, while, for instance, in the novel Moira's lesbianism is not central. In Gilead, challenges to heteronormativity make one a 'Gender Traitor', punishable with the death sentence, while lesbianism is tolerated in Jezabel's secret brothel. In the series, even more than Moira, Ofglen, Offred's Handmaid companion, embodies the LGBTQ+ demands (see, for example, <https://wordpress.org/openverse/image/fcdb9ec8-f9cf-471d-adde-631c54c3b6cd>).

These transpositions and re-adaptations already signal that the appropriation had a political and cultural approach. On the other hand, the figure of the mother, symbol of second-wave feminism, is almost completely erased, thus diminishing the importance of the genealogical transmission of feminisms. In the seventh episode, the character of the intermediary (a new figure), who helps the protagonist's and her family's attempted escape, says that he is grateful to Offred's mother for having performed a clandestine vasectomy on him in the past. Therefore, the activist role of the feminist mother within the novel is not maintained in the TV series. The current adaptations of the novel, even if yielding some rebellious attitudes to Offred and to some Handmaids, nevertheless do not give importance to the transgenerational feminist conquests as a force of resistance. However, also by virtue of this greater emphasis on resistance and rebellion, the TV series has had a huge impact on younger generations.

Receptions and Appropriations

The Handmaid's Tale as a global product has become the source of innumerable critical comments, appropriations, diverse scholarly and popular reactions. The figure of the Handmaid – especially her iconic red robe and white bonnet – has become a recurrent symbol for many social movements and political aggregations, or conversely it has been trivialised showing how such appropriations become dangerous when we let go of dystopia and commercialise hope. The timing is telling. The series was announced in April 2016.

Many of the events that would accompany it were yet to take place: by this date the two major candidates – Democratic and Republican – for the US presidential election had not yet been officially nominated. The nomination of Hillary Rodham Clinton and Donald J. Trump were at the centre of an election campaign that was anomalous in terms of tone and centrality of some issues, among them, the issue of women, especially in light of the sexist and misogynistic claims of the Republican candidate. Another significant element that contributes to the audience success of the series is the wider historical context that permeates the Hulu project, that is the post-9/11 era. If the disorientation following the attacks of 2001 was partly overcome, some of their effects are firmly incorporated in everyday life and in the collective imaginary: freedom seemed to have abdicated in favour of greater – supposedly – security and safety. In this context, dystopias become narrative and visual spaces where it is possible to work through the trauma and elaborate it.

As Atwood herself pointed out, the filming of the series began two months before Donald Trump's election and at that point both the writer and the production realised that the series would be perceived in a completely different way. And so it was, as the demonstrations against Trump in Washington and many other US cities, Europe and Asia just twenty-four hours after his inaugural speech demonstrate. The Washington march, for example,

was an idea of Teresa Shook, a grandmother from Hawaii who was frustrated at Trump's sexism. She launched the idea of going down to Washington wearing pink berets. America started knitting and yesterday, in Washington, it wasn't just women wearing the symbolic cap. Men, children, Muslims with pink caps under the hijab, African Americans, Latinx, Asians. A gathering in the name of diversity with a common message: we won't be silenced for the next four years and we won't let Trump ignore us. An ocean of pink 'pussy hats' meant to reproach Trump for the use of that offensive word he used to talk about the liberties he takes with women. It was not conceived as a protest march, but as a way to affirm one's role in the US society. 'Women's rights are civil rights', read hundreds of signs waved by the crowd. (*La Repubblica* 2017, our translation; see, for example, <https://wordpress.org/openverse/image/8d7dad11-695f-4e95-bdc4-8fc942a84863>)

Millions of people showed up on 21 January 2017 during the 'Women's March' to show their dissent, making the demonstration the largest protest in the entire history of the US. It also spread to Australia, New Zealand and Italy, particularly in Milan. The event was transgenerational and saw the participation of very young women next to notable feminists such as Gloria Steinem, pop feminists such as Madonna (who was censored by US networks for her alleged verbal excesses), actresses Charlize Theron and Scarlett Johansson and the director Michael Moore. Among the signs, some explicitly mentioned the TV show and also the novel: 'Make Margaret Atwood fiction again' (the photograph was later tweeted by Margaret Atwood); 'The Handmaid's Tale wasn't meant to be a how-to manual'. And 'Thanks for standing, speaking & marching for our values @womensmarch', tweeted Hillary Clinton.

In June 2017, in Washington, but also in other American cities, women dressed as Handmaids participated in a demonstration against public health and abortion laws. On 6 July 2017, Razem, a Polish left-wing political party, organised a protest during Trump's visit to Poland. Protesters dressed as Handmaids as a symbol of women's rights being threatened in both countries. In June 2017, *Funny Or Die* released a parodical Trump/Moss mashup

(cf. Funny Or Die 2017a), *Trump's The Handmaid's Tale*, that confirmed the Handmaids' symbolism in a satirical mode. A second production, *They Finally Made a Handmaid's Tale for Men* confirmed its status as a cult series (cf. Funny Or Die 2017b). *The Handmaid's Tale* is part of those narratives that remain entwined in the news. Since then, thousands of 'Handmaids' came out of the screen and took to the streets in support of feminist initiatives such as the #MeToo movement (which made the news in October 2017 because of the Harvey Weinstein case), the Spanish #Cuentalo, the Irish #HomeToVote, the Italian events on the occasion of the fortieth anniversary of the promulgation of the abortion law.

Inspired by these movements, Ryan Murphy, while dedicating the seventh season of his *American Horror Story* (2011-in-progress; with particular reference to AHS: Cult, 2016) to the extremely controversial presidential campaign (there was talk of Election Stress Disorder among the population), has highlighted the strength of female rebellion, making his character, Bebe Babbitt, say: 'We are sitting on the biggest bomb the universe has ever seen [. . .] female rage. The patriarchy has dammed it up for millennia. And Donald J. Trump is the first world leader to start hammering away at that dam. With every tweet, every eye roll, every pussy grab, he is slowly releasing the fury' (TV Fanatic 2017; Gilbert 2017).

While in Argentina, in 2018, the referendum (supported by Atwood) for the liberalisation of abortion failed (Serhan 2018; see, for example, <https://wordpress.org/openverse/image/46564266-1885-4011-b12f-6f78037112cb>) and Poland is still trying to further tighten the law on abortion (which is already one of the strictest in Europe; Walker 2020), in 2018 in Brazil Jair Bolsonaro won the presidential elections, despite having shocked the world in 2014 for saying about a member of the Parliament that she was 'Too ugly to be raped [. . .] I wouldn't rape her because she doesn't deserve it' (*Il Messaggero* 2014, our translation). In May 2018, a number of Rohingya women, who had been raped the year before by the Burmese military, began to give birth to their babies (Savage 2018). In February 2018, Ahmet Altan, a journalist and writer in Recep T. Erdoğan's Turkey, was sentenced to life imprisonment (Seacombe 2019). In this scenario, everywhere Handmaids strengthen their symbolic image. The most aware audiences wear the Handmaids' red uniforms in order to support women's causes around the world.

Online responses join those of the performative audiences: this is how many *Handmaid's Tale*-themed memes are born, ironically commenting on the American scene. These are jokes that do not only convey critical political opinions, but also emphasise and amplify their messages, especially on social networks. Many and diverse are the situations portrayed: from the commentary on the presidential election results to the Christmas decorations in the White House (see, for example, Nick Jack Pappas's Tweet on 26 November 2018 'Bold choice going with decorations inspired by the Handmaid's Tale' @Pappiness), to the approval of the American Health Care Act; from Trump's supporters represented with the face of the Commander's wife, Serena, to the First Lady Melania, who as a 'trophy wife' is named Ofdonald, up to President Trump himself, at once portrayed as the Commander and a Handmaid himself with the new name OfPutin (see, for example, Mz Emma's Tweet on 14 July 2018 'Love the Brits. Tell it like it is. #OfPutin #TrumpProtest #TrumpVisitUK' @Hey_MzEmma). Such public and critical success – also proved by the many awards won by the Hulu TV series at the Primetime Emmy Awards in 2017 (even though the entire edition showed the difficult climate between the Trump presidency and the artistic world) – could not fail to attract other industries besides the entertainment business.

While the first season of the TV series has been generally praised, the second has been criticised for revelling in excessive violence, so much so that the show has been dubbed

as being ‘torture porn’ (cf. Sturges 2018, and Mahdawi 2019), giving rise to some controversies, on blogs and magazines, which can be summarised as follows: the Emmy winning Hulu series killed the ambiguity that makes the original novel a compelling and nuanced reading; in the second season misogyny and violence against women increased so much that many women stopped watching after a few episodes – feeling that it had abandoned the moral universe of the book and had lapsed into pornography and meaningless torture. *The New York Times* called the second season ‘dutifully brutal, complete with ample torture, rapes, executions and murders’, and complained that it ‘gave in to every one of the show’s most tedious instincts’ (Lyons 2018). Atwood’s dystopia seems to have multiplied itself and given voice to the fears regarding safety, climate change, technologies, migration and loss of civil rights, but also to the spectre of poverty, and the fears about the unequal distribution of wealth that sees the privileged few defending themselves against multitudes of poor people.

However, there are also more problematic appropriations and trivialisations, representative of today’s climate of dystopia commodification. ‘Rather than a wake-up call’, sums up Margaret Lyons, *The Handmaid’s Tale* as a product is

part hair shirt, part commodification. We’re gutted by the show’s savagery, and then sold wine based on the show’s characters – it took a fierce backlash for MGM, the producing studio, to realize Offred Pinot Noir was a bad idea, and abandon it – and T-shirts at Hot Topic that bear the ‘nolite te bastardes carborundorum’ motto. I saw dogs dressed up as handmaids for Halloween. This isn’t a feminist rallying cry or a cathartic airing of grievances, it’s just a fandom. It’s also not the #resistance. It’s the same repackaging and commercialization of women’s ideas and women’s suffering as everything else, just another story we’ve heard before. (Lyons 2018)

At the beginning of June 2019, Kylie Jenner, an American media personality, influencer and socialite of the Jenner-Kardashian business family, organised and hosted a *Handmaid’s Tale*-themed party for one of her friends.⁹ Guests were greeted with conventional Gilead sayings (‘Praise be, Ladies. Welcome to Gilead’) and were invited to wear the Handmaids’ traditional red gowns and white bonnets, while sipping ‘Praise be vodka’ and ‘Under his eyes tequila’ cocktails (Mahdawi 2019).¹⁰ The event was obviously shared on social media (see Kylie Jenner’s Instagram profiles, @kyliesnapchat). Although it prompted a backlash, it went viral and should be considered successful in terms of the publicity it afforded Kylie Jenner (Wright 2019). Another instance of dystopia commodification has recently taken place at the presentation of Margaret Atwood’s much expected sequel to *The Handmaid’s Tale*, *The Testaments*. During an interview with Atwood at the Mantua Literature Festival on 5 September 2019, members of the attending public were given the white bonnets to wear (De Santis 2019; Baccolini 2020).

In a different and yet equally problematic way, the world of the fashion industry has appropriated, once again, Atwood’s imaginary. Gilead’s clothing and uniforms are the centre of attention. And it is clearly the fashion business that has exploited them like no others. In 2017, a young, independent New York label called Vaquera showed a collection sponsored by Hulu and inspired by its hit TV series. Although the designers claimed that the collection – which was not available for sale – was conceived to ‘reflect themes of oppression and empowerment’, they also stated that the collection played with the notions of being ‘sexy’, showing and concealing one’s body, as if that were a choice in the world of Gilead (Safronova 2017). The collection, which is completely symbolic, has

however relaunched so-called modest fashion, which has appeared in numerous fashion magazines (cf. <https://www.vaquera.nyc/pages/the-handmaids-tale>; Baccolini 2020). In 2018, the lingerie company Lunya ‘announced that the red shade of one of its “washable silk” sets would be dubbed “Offred” after the protagonist’ of the novel and TV series.¹¹ And on Facebook and Instagram they advertised their product as follows: ‘Praise be! Meet our limited-edition silk set. Join the resistance just in time for #handmaidstale season 2’ (Wanshel 2018).

As with the now withdrawn Halloween ‘Sexy Handmaid’ costume,¹² these examples represent a form of appropriation, where something transgressive and radical is taken, tamed, co-opted, neutralised and commodified. Kylie Jenner’s trivialisation of *The Handmaid’s Tale* is pretty obvious, as she and her guests transformed the anguished cry about the violent subjugation of women of the novel and series into an out-of-context co-optation of dystopia’s critical message. As Jennifer Wright wrote, ‘once you start portraying dystopia as cute or sexy, you’re opening the door to a world where repressing women’s reproductive rights is *fine*; appealing, even [. . .] The notion of Kylie treating a dystopia as someplace she might casually visit, party in, and then emerge from unscathed is jarring’ (2019). It is precisely Kylie Jenner’s privilege that allowed her to transform the dystopia’s warning about the potential outcomes of reproductive restrictions into a fun and glamorous experience. Although the Vaquera Collective’s appropriation is slightly different, it is still problematic. Though it was welcomed by the show’s costume designer as ‘a way for people to bring attention to a symbol of oppression and to reclaim it as well, making it less potent’ (Safronova 2017), their reinterpretation gave rise to a new trend called ‘modest fashion’ that was picked up by other designers (Gowans-Eglinton 2017). Unlike Jenner, Vaquera appropriated the rebelliousness of *The Handmaid’s Tale* and still capitalised on it by making a name for themselves and commercialising with others the modest fashion (Baccolini 2020).

However, the use of the Handmaid’s symbols continues with the same strength and power of resistance. The Handmaid’s costume continues to be used in a number of protest demonstrations: in Poland, on 26 July 2020, for example, women took to the streets in protest against the government’s decision to withdraw from the Istanbul Convention of the Council of Europe (against violence towards women and for the protection of victims of gender violence); in Italy, in Perugia, on 22 June 2020, for instance, women dressed as Handmaids protested against the local government’s decision to impede women’s requests for pharmacological abortion (cf. Pasolini 2020).

Handmaids continued to regularly ‘welcome’ the US President in the various destinations of his official visits and spur the protests of transversal feminist groups, such as Ni una menos. Far from being TV series heroines around which an affective community that identifies with an aesthetic-social ideal gathers, Offred and the Handmaids have formed a resilient and fighting affective community. Here, identification has been able to sustain political movements and struggles in support of the common vulnerabilities caused by oppression and reactionary forces, including those of totalitarian regimes or those disguised as false democracies. The success of *The Handmaid’s Tale* phenomenon, including the commercial and ‘radical-chic’ fashion success and the influencers’ appropriation, has not belittled its ethical value as a cultural creation that spans generations and national borders globally.

Conclusion

The taming of dystopia destroys the recognition of precarity and vulnerability, which are constituents of the genre, by reassuring its audience and by moving these features into security zones of middle- and high-class conformism and consumerism. We have commercial, mainstream dystopias with a tendency to close the stories with 'happy' endings, where hope is not maintained ambiguously but is substituted by a conformist happiness. But dystopias can and do more than this. As we have seen, the appropriations of feminist movements and social organisations have given voice and vital strength to the core issues of the novel and its transmedial adaptations. The feminist communities gathered around *The Handmaid's Tale* not only represent a fidelisation to the multimедial product, but they also testify to the force of critical dystopia to inspire concrete actions and forms of resistance. There are still dystopias that invite readers to mobilise against the present and the risks of its possible outcomes. In this perspective, precarity and vulnerability become a common human condition that foster solidarity to overcome oppression and trauma. *The Handmaid's Tale's* reception shows that literature can be a tool to decolonise the imaginary and to develop critical thinking and solidarity. Dystopian science fiction helps to critically read and deconstruct the present and recognise oppression while refusing cultural and political conditioning. At the same time, critical dystopian science fiction envisages solidarity and interculturality as its ultimate goals and promotes radical changes of/in the socio-symbolic order. The impact of *The Handmaid's Tale* revalues the role of the new humanities in (post-)traumatic contexts. Global traumas in fact must be assessed within an intercultural frame, recognising that minority groups, women and marginalised people experience trauma differently, but also acknowledging the global consequences of contemporary traumas. The plethora of protests, movements and artistic products that stemmed from *The Handmaid's Tale* demonstrate how transmedia cultural practices are able to build artistic and social competencies for active citizenship. In the digital and global era, art affects culture and society in new modalities, intertwining different media in the construction of common narratives. Thinking in narrative ways is a powerful tool that is able to reach an enormous number of consumers, to create sites of activity for shared interaction, and to build new forms of sociality by sharing common values, with an ethical and political impact. Transmedia narrations are a means of negotiation in a trans-cultural and intertemporal perspective. Since culture is a dynamic, open and transformative phenomenon, in the global era the construction of a transnational imaginary produces narratives that generate debates by audiences on the future of humanity to overcome and cope with (post-)conflicts and inspire those same audiences into complicity towards concrete collective actions. The many translations of *The Handmaid's Tale* highlight the role of translation as a linguistic and socio-intercultural tool, in diverse media and semi-otic systems. Translation requires linguistic and socio-intercultural skills, revealing not only the subject position of the translator but also the socio-cultural exchange between the countries involved (from novel to graphic novel, from novel to audiovisual product). Translation promotes global exchanges and inter-comprehension, giving accessibility to a variety of texts and voices otherwise neglected. In this light, translation can be seen as an ethical and political act. Deeply influenced by the historical, social, cultural and editorial contexts in which it develops, translation is never a neutral act, but it is always characterised from an ethical and political point of view, particularly with regard to certain elements. The translation strategies always negotiate with the historical period, the cultural context, as well as the editorial policies. Finally, the role of transmedia and digital

narratives are central to rethink the role of humanities, in order to awaken ethical awareness also among different generations, as a way to change social paradigms and to address global challenges.

This collective chapter has engaged in a challenge to Jeffrey Schnapp's thought who suggests to rethink the Digital Humanities as *Knowledge Design*, describing the situation in the contemporary humanities as a setting in which neither the methods that produce humanistic knowledge nor the *forms* and *genres* into which such knowledge is shaped are [already] givens (Schnapp 2014: 5–8). This would mean to broaden and remap 'fields of inquiry and knowledge; to increase their rigor, depth, and social impact; to re-position them with respect to contemporary society; to expand the audience for advanced cultural and knowledge forms and, therefore, further long-term processes of cultural democratization that remain one of the great legacies of the 19th and 20th centuries' (Schnapp 2014: 6). The challenge therefore concerns the ability to manage and interact critically and innovatively with the vast amounts of cultural productions and to rethink their transformation into representations and narratives capable of producing meaning. The digital therefore opens up spaces to rethink knowledge and cognition, and to give space not only to awareness, but also to dreams and desires.

Notes

1. By investing these borderlands with femininity, the translator gives an account of the multiple voices that weave a translated text: those of the author and her sources, those of the translation and its sources. Moreover, because they establish a filiation between writers and re-writers, the translator's notes are part of the composition of the feminist intertext (our translation).
2. If it is true that recent reflections on translation have highlighted translation as an oriented discursive practice in which the translator necessarily and legitimately leaves a trace of his or her own word without having to justify or explain in an almost didactic way to the reader the reason for certain choices, it is also true that a debate has developed on the practice of translation and on text manipulation strategies that are always linked to the recognised legitimacy of the writer, and the relationship with the publisher.
3. The translator therefore breaks with her supposed neutrality and indivisibility. But the translator's visibility can also be questioned in light of the centre/periphery binomial: How much does the translator, putting herself at the centre of her translation project and appropriating the text to be translated, risk neglecting important aspects such as the intended marginality of the person who wrote it?
4. There is no more active, more acting body in language practice than a translating body. At the same time a reading body, a listening body and a rewriting body, it circulates non-stop in the words of the text to be translated, it browses dictionaries and intertext, searches its own imagination, questions the author, leans towards the readers . . . In perpetual movement, the translating body performs the passage between the initial meaning to be decoded and the final meaning to be encoded, always taking into account the relationship of address, the relationship to the other – as on a stage (our translation).
5. The juxtaposition of different authors in the text – Offred, who narrates her tale, and Professor Pieixoto, the scholar who, in the 2190s, assembles Offred's oral narrative by transforming her taped story into a written text – encourages readers to go beyond simplified and consolatory interpretations. The main portion of the novel, Offred's text, is a reconstruction of the scholar, whose distanced and detached reading neutralises once again Offred's situation and perpetuates, to some extent, Gilead's misogyny. His preference for official history and dismissal of Offred's personal stories reflect a conventional view of history, an acceptance of hierarchy, and the search for a neutral, complete understanding – values that need to be questioned and that

- merely make Gilead an exaggerated, horrifying version of pre-Gilead and Nunavit societies. Atwood deconstructs the epilogue and its values by juxtaposing them with the power of words of a gifted storyteller such as Offred.
6. The Governor General's Award for the novel in 1986 was probably an incentive for translation and a stimulus for the Italian publishing house to assign it to a translator already endowed with a habitus based on experience, while for the French one, it was probably an incentive to focus on a young translator, Sylviane Rué, who would later dedicate herself to giving voice in particular to the novels of Toni Morrison. Mondadori chose Camillo Pennati, who lived in London between 1958 and 1970, and was appointed in 1973 by Italo Calvino as literary editor for Anglo-American fiction and poetry at the Giulio Einaudi publishing house in Turin; the choice probably depends on the possible affinities perceived between Atwood's linguistic inventiveness and that of the poet Pennati and on the almost playful style that accompanies so many of his linguistic games. The sequel to the novel, *The Testaments* (2019), was again assigned in Italian to a male translator, Guido Calza, while in French to the translator Michèle Albaret-Maatsch. Both chose to modify the translation of some proper names: Calza returning to the English diction (Gilead), Albaret-Maatsch with an opposite choice, transforming the English name into Galaad.
 7. The French title, *La Servante écarlate*, evokes Nathaniel Hawthorne's *Scarlett Letter*.
 8. It is however difficult to be certain that it is Pennati's entirely autonomous choice. If it is true that in the 1980s many translators still used footnotes in the name of some puns' 'untranslatability', it is also true that often this practice was required by the publishers or editors of the publishing houses. The insertion of footnotes also historicises the Italian translation by linking it to a precise editorial context and to reading practices considered outdated today.
 9. According to the Internet she is one of the most followed people, with over 129 million followers on Instagram, and the world's youngest billionaire.
 10. Short videos of the party can be viewed on Kylie Jenner's *Instagram* profile (cf. @kyliesnapchat 2019b).
 11. For the image, see: <https://www.bodyandsoul.com.au/style/the-handmaids-tale-lingerie-exists-and-oh-no-thats-a-very-bad-idea/news-story/90da9903842263a51a8ed13a2b800d1e>
 12. This is the statement released by the online retailer Yandy: 'Over the last few hours, it has become obvious that [the costume] is being seen as a symbol of women's oppression, rather than empowerment. This is unfortunate as it was not our intention on any level. Our initial inspiration to create the piece was through witnessing its use in recent months as a powerful protest image. Given the sincere, heartfelt response, supported by numerous personal stories we've received, we are removing the costume from our site' (Perrigo 2018).

References

- Appadurai, Arjun (1996), *Modernity at Large: Cultural Dimensions of Globalization*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Armstrong, Nancy and Roberto Dainotto (2018), 'The Global Novel: Contemporary Genre Fiction'. Seminar held at Duke University (January–April).
- Atwood, Margaret (1985), *The Handmaid's Tale*. Boston, MA: Houghton.
- Atwood, Margaret (1987), *La Servante écarlate*. Translated by Sylviane Rué. Paris: Les Éditions Robert Laffont.
- Atwood, Margaret (1988), *Il racconto dell'ancella*. Translated by Camillo Pennati. Firenze: Ponte alle Grazie (2020).
- Atwood, Margaret (2019), *The Handmaid's Tale*. Art and adaptation by Renée Nault. London: Jonathan Cape.
- Atwood, Margaret (2019), *The Testaments*. London: Chatto & Windus.
- Atwood, Margaret (2019), *Les testaments*. Translated by Michèle Albaret-Maatsch. Paris: Les Éditions Robert Laffont.

- Atwood, Margaret (2019), *I testamenti*. Translated by Guido Calza. Firenze: Ponte alle Grazie.
- Baccolini, Raffaella (2000a), 'Gender and Genre in the Critical Dystopias of Katharine Burdekin, Margaret Atwood, and Octavia Butler'. In Marleen Barr (ed.), *Future Females, the Next Generation: New Voices and Velocities in Feminist Science Fiction Criticism*. Lanham, MD: Rowman, pp. 13–34.
- Baccolini, Raffaella (2000b), '*The Handmaid's Tale*, M. Atwood'. In V. Fortunati and R. Trousson (eds), *Dictionary of Literary Utopias*. Paris: Honoré Champion, Geneva: Slatkine, pp. 262–4.
- Baccolini, Raffaella (2020), "'Hope isn't stupid": The Appropriation of Dystopia'. *mediAzioni* 27: D39–D49. https://mediazioni.sitlec.unibo.it/images/stories/PDF_folder/document-pdf/27-2020/utopia/5%20baccolini.pdf
- Baccolini, Raffaella and Tom Moylan (eds) (2003), *Dark Horizons: Science Fiction and the Dystopian Imagination*. New York: Routledge.
- Berjamin, Walter (2008), *The Work of Art in the Age of Mechanical Reproduction*. London: Penguin.
- Berman, Antoine (1999), *La traduction et la lettre ou l'auberge du lointain*. Paris: Seuil.
- Bolter, Jay David and Richard Grusin (1999), *Remediation. Understanding New Media*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (1991), 'Le champ littéraire: avant-propos'. *Actes de la recherche en sciences sociales* 89: 3–46.
- Castro Olga e Emek Ergüin (ed.) (2017), *Feminist Translation Studies: Local and Transnational Perspectives*. London and New York: Routledge.
- Collins, Suzanne (2008), *The Hunger Games*. New York: Scholastic.
- De Santis, Raffaella (2019), 'Margaret Atwood "Non scriverò un altro capitolo della mia saga"'. *La Repubblica* (6 September): 38.
- Funny Or Die (2017a), *Trump's The Handmaid's Tale* (3 June). <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zTBfPpVblwl>
- Funny Or Die (2017b), *They Finally Made a Handmaid's Tale for Men* (14 June). <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ciPszqk703k>
- Gilbert, Sophie (2017), 'American Horror Story: Cult's Angry Women'. *The Atlantic* (15 November). <https://www.theatlantic.com/entertainment/archive/2017/11/american-horror-story-cult-season-finale-review/545963/>
- Gowans-Eglinton, Charlie (2017), 'Box-set Dressing: How Netflix Took Over the Catwalk'. *The Telegraph* (7 October). <https://www.telegraph.co.uk/fashion/style/box-set-dressing-netflix-took-catwalk>
- The Handmaid's Tale* TV series (2017–in progress).
- Hills, Matt (2002), *Fan Cultures*. New York and London: Routledge.
- Hutcheon, Linda (2006), *A Theory of Adaptation*. London and New York: Routledge (2nd edn 2013).
- Huxley, Aldous (1932), *Brave New World*. Garden City, NY: Doubleday.
- Jenkins, Henry (2006), *Convergence Culture: Where Old Media and New Media Collide*. New York: New York University Press.
- Jenkins, Henry (2011), 'Transmedia 202: Further Reflections'. *Confessions of an Aca-Fan: The Official Weblog of Henry Jenkins*. http://henryjenkins.org/blog/2011/08/defining_transmedia_furtur_re.html
- Jenkins, Henry (2014), 'Rethinking Convergence/Culture'. *Cultural Studies* 28.2: 267–97.
- Jenkins, Henry, Sam Ford and Joshua Green (2013), *Spreadable Media: Creating Value and Meaning in a Networked Culture*. New York: New York University Press.
- Lotbinière-Harwood, Susanne de (1991), *Re-Belle et Infidèle. La traduction comme pratique de réécriture au féminin/The Body Bilingual. Translation as a Rewriting in the Feminine*. Montréal/Toronto: Les Éditions du Remue-Ménage/The Women's Press.
- Lyons, Margaret (2018), "'The Handmaid's Tale" Season 2 Is Brutal and Not Much Else'. *The New York Times* (11 July). <https://www.nytimes.com/2018/07/11/arts/television/handmaids-tale-season-2.html>

- Mahdawi, Arwa (2019), 'Kylie Jenner's Handmaid's Tale Party was Tasteless, but is the TV Show Any Better?' *The Guardian* (11 June). <https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2019/jun/11/kylie-jenner-handmaids-tale-party-tasteless-arwa-mahdawi>
- Il Messaggero* (2014), "'Troppo brutta per essere stuprata". Frase choc di un deputato brasiliano contro l'ex ministra' (22 December). https://www.ilmessaggero.it/primopiano/esteri/brutta_stuprata_choc_deputato_brasiliano_ministra-766995.html
- Monticelli, Rita (2019), 'Under the Skin: Science Fiction as Posthuman Cultural Ecology'. *Between* 9.17: 2–22.
- Moylan, Tom (2014), *Demand the Impossible: Science Fiction and the Utopian Imagination*. Ed. Raffaella Baccolini. Oxford: Peter Lang.
- Orwell, George (1949), *Nineteen Eighty-Four*. New York: Harcourt.
- Pasolini, Caterina (2020), 'Ru486, Perugia scende in piazza. "Ridateci la libertà di scegliere"'. *La Repubblica* (22 June): 23.
- Perrigo, Billy (2018), 'People Got So Mad About This "Sexy" *Handmaid's Tale* Costume That the Company Is No Longer Selling It'. *Time* (21 September). <https://time.com/5402823/sexy-handmaids-tale-costume-apology/>
- La Repubblica* (2017), 'Marcia anti Trump, donne in piazza in tutto il mondo. A Washington in 500 mila'. (21 January). https://www.repubblica.it/esteri/2017/01/21/news/centinaia_di_migliaia_di_donne_in_tutto_il_mondo_marciano_contro_trump-156551580/
- Safronova, Valeriya (2017), "'The Handmaid's Tale" Goes High Fashion'. *The New York Times* (9 June). <https://www.nytimes.com/2017/06/09/fashion/hulu-handmaids-tale-vaquera.html>
- Sardin, Pascale (ed.) (2009), *Traduire le genre: femmes en traduction*. Special issue *Palimpsestes* 22.
- Savage, Susannah (2018), "'A lot of shame": Rohingya Camps Brace for Wave of Babies Conceived in Rape'. *The Washington Post* (22 May). https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/asia_pacific/a-lot-of-shame-rohingya-camps-brace-for-wave-of-babies-conceived-in-rape/2018/05/21/8bf9be3c-45b4-11e8-b2dc-b0a403e4720a_story.html
- Schlöndorff, Volker (dir.) (1990), *The Handmaid's Tale*.
- Schnapp, Jeffrey (2014), *Knowledge Design: Incubating New Knowledge Forms, Genres, Spaces in the Laboratory of the Digital Humanities*. In: Volkswagen-Stiftung. http://jeffreyschnapp.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/06/HH_lectures_Schnapp_01.pdf
- Seacombe, Mark (2019), 'Jailed Turkish Writer Ahmet Altan: My Words Cannot be Imprisoned'. *The Guardian* (15 September). <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2019/sep/15/ahmet-altan-no-minated-literary-prize-new-novel-written-in-jail>
- Serhan, Yasmeen (2018), 'Pro-Abortion-Rights Activists Won in Ireland, But Not Argentina'. *The Atlantic* (10 August). <https://www.theatlantic.com/international/archive/2018/08/abortion-vote-argentina-ireland/567200/>
- Simon, Sherry (1996), *Gender in Translation. Cultural Identity and the Politics of Transmission*. London: Routledge.
- Sturges, Fiona (2018), 'Cattleprods! Severed tongues! Torture porn! Why I've stopped watching *The Handmaid's Tale*'. *The Guardian* (16 June). <https://www.theguardian.com/tv-and-radio/2018/jun/16/handmaids-tale-season-2-elisabeth-moss-margaret-atwood>
- Sugiuchi, Deirdre (2018), 'On Dismantling the Power of White Antifeminist America'. *Electric.Lit* (3 June). <https://electricliterature.com/on-dismantling-the-power-of-white-antifeminist-america/>
- TV Fanatic (2017), 'We're sitting on the biggest bomb the universe has ever seen. Do you know what it is, Mr . . .'. (7 November). <https://www.tvfanatic.com/quotes/were-sitting-on-the-biggest-bomb-the-universe-has-ever-seen-do-y/>
- Von Flotow, Luise and Farzaneh Farahzad (eds) (2016), *Translating Women: Different Voices and New Horizons*. London and New York: Routledge.
- Walker, Shaun (2020), 'Concerns over Polish Government Tightening Abortion Laws During Covid-19 Crisis'. *The Guardian* (14 April). <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2020/apr/14/concerns-over-polish-government-tightening-abortion-laws-during-covid-19-crisis>
- Wanshel, Elyse (2018), 'Now A Company Is Using "*The Handmaid's Tale*" To Sell Lingerie'.

HuffPost (17 April). https://www.huffpost.com/entry/lingerie-lunya-the-handmaids-tale_n_5ad4f239e4b016a07e9f67f1?guce_referrer=aHR0cHM6Ly93d3cuZ29vZ2xlLmNvbS8&guce_referrer_sig=AQAAAC65i66uhOc2dumclMgrST7XTPKBbhoMVSytJuShivoa6xIMWtL54jvBQokHZAi56U32K4B-ERREfc_i-zsoLz4KHM7NzQQprbEISQCGsjIkao3W1NF_j8MBVzgnqc1HFT8q3gldcamcib3r1HVFhHzfoKDYsSj6jStpoHSjTT1&guccounter=2

Wright, Jennifer (2019), 'Kylie Jenner's *Handmaid's Tale* Party Sends a Terrifying Message'. *Harper's Bazaar* (12 June). <https://www.harpersbazaar.com/culture/politics/a27921894/kylie-jenner-handmaids-tale-party-explained/>

Zamyatin, Yevgeny (1993) [1924], *We*. Translated by Clarence Brown. New York: Penguin.

Index

- Abram, D., 317
abstract universalism, 35, 38
academic career structures, 55, 59
academic communication, 72; *see also*
 scientific communication
academic community, 83; *see also* scholarly
 networks
academic humanities, 4, 5, 6, 112
academic literature, 51–2
academic thought, 167–8
Academy for Medical Ethics (AEM),
 Germany, 363
Adamson, J., 312
advanced capitalism, 40, 41
aesthetic performances *see* *Solaris* (Greig)
aesthetics, 408–11
affirmative ethics, 27, 38, 39, 41
African researchers, 390
African Union, 390
Agamben, G., 98
agency, 316
 narrative, 317–18
Ahmed, A.K., 178
Alaimo, S., 313, 341
Albanian-Serbian relations, 219–22
Alcoff, L., 175
algorithms, 273
Alma Mater Research Institute for
 Human-Centered Artificial
 Intelligence, Bologna, 32
Altan, A., 246
alternative frames of reference, 147, 149,
 150, 151–3, 160, 161
ambiguities, 331
American Society for Bioethics and
 Humanities (ASBH), 362
ancient hostility, 220–2
Anders, G., 196
Andreotti, V., 174
Anglo-American literary studies, 168
animal health, 375, 377
animals *see* human-animal-planet
 interactions
Anne Frank Museum, 191, 193, 200, 202
#Anne Frank: *Parallel Stories*, 201
Anne Frank Video Diary, 203
anti-Semitism, 96
antiquarianism, 255
Anzaldúa, G., 175
Appadurai, A., 194
appropriation
 The Diary of Anne Frank, 195, 200, 203
 The Handmaid's Tale (Attwood), 243–8
 in translation, 231
archaeology, 255–7, 272
 assessment of human past, 257–61
 epistemology, method and meaning,
 262–4
 prospects, 264
archives, 284
Arendt, H., 107, 158, 185, 201
Argentina, 246
ARIADNE Plus project, 279
Aries, P., 216
Armiero, M., 114, 311
Armstrong, N., 230
Arndt, S., 173
art, 341, 351
 of medicine, 354
 and organoids, 356
 rock art, 259, 262
 see also performing arts

- artificial intelligence (AI), 296–301
 Alma Mater Research Institute
 for Human-Centered Artificial
 Intelligence, Bologna, 32
- artistic practices, 408–9; *see also I Roam*
 (Levy)
- Arts and Humanities Research Council,
 385
- arts, humanities and social science (AHSS)
 integration into interdisciplinary and
 transdisciplinary research, 47–61:
 challenges, 48–51; influencing
 factors, 51–6; in practice, 56–60
- Åsberg, C., 413, 415
- Asian Women's Rights Council
 (AWHRC), 218
- Asimov, I., 300
- asylum seekers, 156, 169
- Athanasίου, A., 217
- Aull, F., 352
- autonomous weapons, 300–1
- autonomy, 297
- avian influenza, 373
- awareness, 296, 297–8; *see also norm-*
awareness; self-awareness
- Axelsson, B., 415
- Bal, M., 93
- Bandung Conference 1955, 175
- Barad, K., 316, 318, 332, 333, 334
- Barca, S., 311
- Basalla, G., 132
- Bauhaus, 84
- 'Bauhaus Everywhere', 287
- Bayly, C., 131
- beauty *see aesthetics*
- Belgrade, 217
- Benjamin, W., 229
- Bennett, J., 316
- Benneworth, P., 110, 111, 115
- Bergthaller, H., 309–10
- Berman, A., 240
- 'beyond-reform space', 174, 175
- bias, 290–1
- biodiversity, 314–15
- bioethics, 98, 353, 357, 358, 362–3
- Biomedical Humanities, xviii–xix, 40, 354,
 355–6
- research areas, 28, 30–1
- research centres and institutes, 24, 31
 and technological mediation, 356–7
- biomedical technology, 356–7, 358
- biomedicine, 362, 365
- biopolitics, 98
- bioscience, 334
- biotechnologies, 355, 356
- Black Lives Matter, 312
- Black South African Perspectives, 177–9
- Blue Humanities, 28, 314
- Boers, S., 356
- Bologna, 79, 82
 University of, 29, 30, 31, 32, 82, 83,
 85–7, 354
- Boltanski, L., 223
- border thinking, 175
- born-digital material, 275, 285, 289
- Bosnia, 114–15
- Bostrom, N., 35–6
- Braidotti, R., 166, 333, 335, 336
- Brazil, 246
- Bredenoord, A., 355–6
- BRIDGES, 319–20
- Brom, F., 214
- Brown University, 112, 115
- Brown, W., 217
- Buell, L., 310
- Buikema, R., 177, 178
- Busa, R., 271
- Butler, J., 364
- Cacciari, M., 84
- Cambridge Interdisciplinary Research on
 the Environment, 28
- campuses, 85
- capacity building, 60–1
- capitalism, 68, 71, 80, 95, 175, 176,
 336; *see also advanced capitalism;*
cognitive capitalism; global
capitalism; post-Second World War
capitalism; present-day capitalism;
techno-capitalism
- Cartailhac, É., 259
- Cartwright, N., 412, 416
- Castagna, Dr. V., 157
- Castree, N., 306
- Castro Varela, M., 172

- Catholic University of Angola, 113
- Center for Cultural Decontamination, Belgrade, 222–3
- Center for Humanities Research, George Mason University, 112
- Center for the Study of Social Difference, Columbia University, 112
- Centre for Digital Humanities, UCL, 30
- Centre for Postcolonial and Gender Studies (CePoG), Trier University, 171
- Centre for the Study of Developing Societies, New Delhi, 113
- Centre for the Study of External Risk, Cambridge, 32
- Centre of Biomedicine, Self and Society, University of Edinburgh, 366–7
- Chakrabarty, D., 131
- Chambers, I., 170
- child welfare, 97
- childhood, 185–8, 192, 197
- children's literature, 186, 188–90
- Diary of Anne Frank*, 190–205:
 - transmedia adaptations, 200–4;
 - transmission of the Shoah, 192–6;
 - writing process, 196–9
- cities
 - global, 80–1
 - relation to universities, 79–80, 81–7
 - urbanisation, 80–2
- citizenship, 149
- citizenship studies, 148
- civic disobedience *see* Women in Black (WIB) movement
- clarification, 240
- CLARIN (Common Language Resources and Technology Infrastructure), 277, 285, 401, 406n9
- Clevers, H., 356
- climate, 261
- climate change, 328–9
 - Institute for Global Challenges and Climate Change, Bologna, 29
- climate issues, 68
- Clinton, H., 245
- co-production, 110, 111, 112, 113
 - of social critique, 114–16
- cognition, 298
- cognitive archaeology, 262
- cognitive capitalism, 25, 39, 40–41, 43, 341
- Cogut Institute for the Humanities, Brown University, 112
- Colella, S., 415, 417
- collaborative creative practices *see* Stories in Transit (SiT)
- collaborative research, 276, 416–19
 - postnatural feminisms, 338–9
 - research funding, 390
 - see also* European Alliance for Social Sciences and Humanities (EASSH)
- colonial education, 177
- colonial history, Germany, 171–4
- colonial science, 132
- colonialism research, 131; *see also* decolonial perspectives; postcolonial studies
- coloniality of power (COP), 174, 175
- Colpani, G., 169
- Colombia Global Humanities Project, 26, 27
- Colombia University, 112
- communication, 148
 - academic, 72
 - and COVID-19 pandemic, 91, 93–4, 101
 - online, 292
 - see also* language
- community engagement, 109–14, 115, 119
- community-led participatory approaches, 148
- companion species, 334, 335
- comparative philosophy, 137
- complex domination, 223
- complex temporalities, 414–16
- computational bias, 290–1
- Computational Humanities, xix; *see also* Digital Humanities
- computer science methods, 273, 275
- Congrès Paléontologique International, 258
- CONNECTINGEUROPE, 170
- Consortium of Humanities Centres and Institutes (CHCI), 24
- conspiracy theories, 96, 99
- constitutive others, 220

- constructivist cultural studies, 130
 contested discourse, 48
 Convergence Humanities, 32–3
 cooperation, 125–6, 319
 cosmopolitanism, 148
 COVID-19 pandemic, 26, 40, 383
 and biomedical technology, 357
 and culture, 351
 and Digital Humanities, 284–6
 European Alliance for Social Sciences
 and Humanities (EASSH), 403–4
 holistic health perspective, 376–7, 378
 and minority communities, 312
 One Health approach, 371
 Post-COVID-19 times, 87, 90–2:
 challenges and opportunities, 100–2;
 coronavirus metadiscourse, 92–4;
 discourse network, 95, 98–100;
 narrative and worldmaking, 94–8
 public and policy agendas, 417
 and Public Humanities, 214–15
 and research funding, 387–9, 391
 creativity, 341–2
 criminal law, 299
 crisis of the humanities, 109–10, 119
 critical discourse, 99, 310
 critical Medical Humanities, 353, 358, 368
 critical posthumanities, 37–8, 42
 critique, 364–5; *see also* social critique
 critique discourse, 49
 CROME, 170
 cross-disciplinarity, 367
 Cultural Agents Initiative, Harvard
 University, 112
 cultural heritage, 289
 cultural issues, 69
 cultural productions, 229–30
 cultural products, 135
 cultural studies, 130, 332–3
 culture, 4, 73, 128, 129–32
 European research funding, 389
 global, 230
 and health, 351–2, 365–8
 in intercultural humanities, 135
 material, 259
 and urban space, 84
 see also interculturality; nature-culture
 entanglements
- Culture* (Banks), 301
 curricula reforms, 308
 curriculum, 166
 decolonial perspectives, 174–81
 postcolonial perspectives, 167–74,
 180–1
- DARIAH (Digital Research Infrastructure
 for the Arts and Humanities), 276–7,
 401, 406n9
- data collection, 275
 Dawson, M.C., 179
 de Sousa Santos, B., 175–6, 179, 181
 ‘Decolonial Atlas, The’, 115
 decolonial cartography, 115
 decolonial perspectives, 166–7, 174–81;
 see also postcolonial studies
- deconstructive resistance, 364
 democracy, 96, 99–100, 109, 146, 214,
 216, 423
 changing nature of, 19, 388, 391
 coexistence of nation states and, 225
 collapse of, 126
 and COVID-19 pandemic, 95
 digital, 86
 European, 169
 liberal, 219–220
 participatory, 8
 resilience of, 102
 struggle between dictatorship and, 71
 under threat, 67
- democracy deficits, 96
 democratic discourse, 100
 democratic politics, 2
 Dennett, D., 297
 Derrida, J., 363, 364–5
 desire, 42
Diary of Anne Frank, 190–205
 transmedia adaptations, 200–4
 transmission of the Shoah, 192–6
 writing process, 196–9
- Dietrich, A., 172
 Digital Compass for European Resilience
 project, 97
 digital democracy, 86
 Digital Humanities, xix, 5, 25, 40, 41, 232,
 271–2
 and COVID-19 pandemic, 284–6

- and cultural productions, 230
 European infrastructure projects, 276–81
 GLAM (Galleries, Libraries, Archives and Museums) institutions, 281–4
 innovation, 291–2
 as Knowledge Design, 250
 methods, 272–6
 policy recommendations, 293–4
 and public engagement, 286–7
 research areas, 28, 29–30
 research centres and institutes, 24, 30
 research efforts, 274
 responsibilities, 289–91
 self-other interaction, 147
 teaching, 287–8
- Digital Humanities Advanced Research Centre, Bologna, 30
- digital literacy, 276
- digital presentation, 274–5
- digital preservation, 289
- digital revolution, 5–6, 68, 229, 232, 272
- digital societies, 292
- Digital Sociometrics Lab (Diglab), Belgrade, 30
- digital technologies, 148, 201–3, 204, 205, 230, 276, 389; *see also* transmedia storytelling / narratives
- digital transformation, 296
- digitalisation, 189
- digitisation, 97, 272–3, 275
- Dilthey, W., 126
- discourse, 48–9
 contested, 48
 coronavirus metadiscourse, 92–4
 critical, 99, 310
 democratic, 100
 European, 90
 nationalist, 14
 role of humanities in, 101
 transdisciplinary, 416
see also narrative; public discourse
- discourse analysis, 93, 94, 221
- discourse network, 95, 98–100
- discourse research, 92, 94
- displaced people, 156
- domestic violence, 96
- Drosten, C., 91
- Duhaček, D., 219
- Dutch Institute for War, Holocaust and Genocide Studies (NIOD), 114–15
- dystopian fiction, 332; *see also* *Handmaid's Tale, The* (Attwood)
- EADH (European Association for Digital Humanities), 279
- Eastern Crisis of 1876–8, 222
- echo chambers, 292
- ECHOES, 171
- ecocriticism, 305, 310
 material, 313–18
- ecofeminism, 310
- economic growth, 395, 396
- economic systems, 71–3
- economics, 423–4
- ecosystem health, 377–8
- education, 74–5, 97, 107, 276; *see also* colonial education; higher education
- eHealth applications, 357
- Ellison, J., 214
- embodied-cognition paradigm, 298
- embodied sensibility, 318
- emotions, 154
- Engel, J., 115
- English cultural studies, 130
- Enlightenment, 4, 7, 129, 187
- entanglement, 353; *see also* fossil fuel entanglement; nature-culture entanglements
- Environmental Humanities, xviii, 5, 25, 40, 41, 68, 305–7
 academic journals, 322n2
 environmental justice, 307–13
 integrated, 318–22
 material ecocriticism, 313–18
 research centres and institutes, 24, 28–9
- Environmental Humanities Laboratory, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, 114, 312, 321
- environmental issues, 68, 409
- environmental politics, 321
- epic poetry, 221
- epistemological politics, 335
- equality, 74; *see also* inequalities
- Essed, P., 169

- ethical imaginations, 147, 149–51, 156–9, 160, 161
- ethics, 37
 affirmative, 27, 38, 39, 41
 Digital Humanities, 290
 of knowledge production, 413–14
 medical, 363
 and posthumanism, 411–12
see also bioethics
- ethnic hostility, 220–2; *see also* racism
- ethnology, 255–6
- Eurocentric knowledge systems, 131–2, 174
- Europe
 Digital Humanities infrastructure projects, 276–81
 postcolonial issues, 169–74
 Public Humanities, 214
- European academic thought, 167–8
- European Alliance for Social Sciences and Humanities (EASSH), 395, 399–401
- European archaeology, 255–7
 assessment of human past, 257–61
 epistemology, method and meaning, 262–4
 prospects, 264
- European Association for Digital Humanities (EADH), 279
- European democracy, 169
- European discourse, 90
- European Education Area, 389
- European identity, 166
- European Innovation Council (EIC), 386
- European Research Area (ERA), 387
- European Research Council (ERC), 384–5, 390, 399
- European Union (EU), 6, 166
 artificial intelligence (AI), 298
 labour migration, 97
 research funding, 277–9, 383–7, 405–6n6: and COVID-19 pandemic, 387–9, 391; and European Alliance for Social Sciences and Humanities (EASSH), 399–405; and international research collaboration, 390; and science policy, 396–7; *see also* Horizon 2020; Horizon Europe
 vaccination debate, 99
- European university, 85
- Europism, 169
- ‘everything is awesome’ space, 174
- excellence, 4, 5
- exclusion, 96
- existential feminists, 330
- experimental archaeology, 256
- explicit curriculum, 168
- FAIR principle, 293
- fake news, 96
- Fanon, F., 131, 178
- farming practices, 372, 373
- fashion industry, 247–8
- Fassin, E., 117
- Feldtkeller, A., 137
- female experience, 197
- feminism *see* ecofeminism; existential feminists; gender-critical feminism; postnatural feminisms
- feminist politics, 329
- feminist posthumanities, 329–30
 cultural studies, 332–3
 double imperative of humanities’ self-transformation, 330–2
 feminist science studies, 332–5
 posthuman humanities, 335–8
 postnatural feminisms, 338–9
 as strategy of resistance and change, 340–2
 trajectory, 342–3
- feminist science fiction *see* *Handmaid’s Tale*, *The* (Attwood)
- feminist social analyses, 147
- feminist theology, 138
- feminist translation, 230–1
- fidelity, 231
- Figuring Out the Enemy project, 219–22
- Fleck, L., 366
- Fleming, A., 374
- Florida, L., 299
- food production, 261; *see also* farming practices
- forecasting studies, 147–8
- forecasts, COVID-19, 95–6
- Former Yugoslavia
 Center for Cultural Decontamination, 222–3

- Figuring Out the Enemy project, 219–22
- Women in Black (WIB) movement, 216–18, 224, 226n3
- Women's Courts, 218–19
- fossil fuel entanglement, 311
- Foucault, M., 92, 98, 330, 335, 364
- Foundation for Urban Innovation, (FIU), University of Bologna, 86
- Fourth Industrial Revolution, 23, 27, 37–8, 69
- FP7, 277, 279
- frames of reference *see* alternative frames of reference
- France, 116–17, 118
- Frank, A. *see* *Diary of Anne Frank*
- Frank, O., 195
- Frankfurt Research Centre for Postcolonial Studies (FRCPS), 171
- Freud, S., 216
- FRINGE centre, UCL, 33
- funding *see* research funding
- funding cuts, 366–7
- Future of Humanity Institute, Oxford, 32
- future studies, 147–8
- Futures Beyond Refining project, 311
- Gaard, G., 310
- Gale, S., 110
- Galonnier, J., 117
- Gandhi, L., 167
- gender, 202–3, 232, 339, 389; *see also* women
- gender-critical feminism, 117–18, 138
- gender gap, 96–7
- Gender Recognition Act, UK, 117
- gender studies, 171, 230, 243
- geography, 50
- geology, 256
- geopolitical relations, 6
- George Mason University, 112
- German Research Foundation (DFG), 383–4, 385
- German studies, 130–1, 139
- Intercultural, 133, 135–6
- Germany
- Academy for Medical Ethics (AEM), 363
- child welfare, 97
- conspiracy theories, 96
- cultural studies, 130
- cultural theory, 129–30
- Digital Humanities, 279
- libraries and information systems (LIS), 284
- medical ethics, 363
- postcolonial studies and colonial history, 171–4
- reception of *The Diary of Anne Frank*, 193–4
- vaccination debate, 99
- Gilroy, P., 169
- GLAM (Galleries, Libraries, Archives and Museums) institutions, 281–4
- global capitalism, 312
- global cities, 80–1
- global culture, 230
- global learning, 139
- Global University Network of Innovation (GUNI), 24, 27, 40, 76
- globalisation, 74, 134, 148–9, 189
- Gorostiza, S., 311
- Green Humanities, 28; *see also* Environmental Humanities
- Greig, D., 153–6
- guerilla narrative, 114, 116
- Guild of European Research-Intensive Universities, 388–90
- Haalboom, F., 377
- Hackathons, 288
- haecceities, 39
- Halberstam, J., 187
- Hall, E.T., 133
- Handmaid's Tale, The* (Attwood), 231–4
- adaptations and appropriations, 243–4
- impact, 249
- receptions and appropriations, 244–8
- translations, 237–43
- as transmedial artefact, 234–7
- Haraway, D., 316, 334, 335, 337, 339
- Hardt, M., 90
- Harvard University, 112
- Hayles, K., 192, 333, 336
- health
- bio-cultural factors / biocultural complexities, 361, 364–8, 368n1

- health (*cont.*)
 as concept, 354
 holistic, 376–7
 public, 371
see also One Health approach
- health care systems, 375
- Health Humanities, 354–5, 358; *see also*
 Medical Humanities
- Health Humanities Centre, UCL, 31, 354,
 355
- hegemon order, 219, 220, 222, 224–5,
 226n4
- HERA (Humanities in the European
 Research Area), 279–80, 280, 281,
 282–3
- Herder, J.G., 129
- heritage, 263, 289
- Het Achterhuis (The Secret Annex)* (Frank),
 199, 202
- hidden curriculum, 168
- higher education, 42, 132, 423; *see also*
 universities
- Higher Education in the World (HEW7),
 GUNI, 24, 27, 40, 76
- higher education systems, 9, 76; *see also*
 universities
- Higher Education Transformation
 Agenda, 401, 402
- Hird, M., 334, 335
- historical sciences, 127, 131, 171
- Hodges, S., 153–4
- holistic health, 376–7
- Holocaust, 172, 201, 203–4; *see also* Shoah
- Holocaust Remembrance Day, 193
- Horizon 2020, 57, 108, 277, 279, 384, 385,
 399
- Horizon Europe, 386–7, 389, 390, 396,
 397, 400
- Horowitz, S., 194
- Houston, D., 311
- Huisman, F., 355
- Hulu, 243, 244
- human-animal-planet interactions, 328,
 372–3
- Human Condition, The* (Arendt), 158
- human-machine fusions, 298
- human nature, 328, 330
- human origins, 258, 260
- human rights, 137
- human rights abuses, 312
- humanism, 7, 69, 197–8; *see also*
 neo-humanism; posthumanism;
 transhumanism
- humanistic cosmopolitanism, 35
- Humanistic Informatics, xix, 29
- humanities
 crisis v. transformation, 26–8, 126–7,
 128
 and culture, 129–32
 discursive and internal crises of, 109–10,
 119
 double imperative of self-
 transformation, 6–8, 330–2, 419
 framework conditions for promotion of,
 423–4
 interaction with science and
 technology, 66–7: educational
 and institutional considerations,
 74–6; epistemological, cultural
 and philosophical considerations,
 70–1; political and economic
 considerations, 71–3; proposals,
 76; social and environmental
 considerations, 73–4; transcendental
 challenges, 67–70
 intercultural transformations, 132–4; *see*
also interculturality
 link between natural sciences and,
 127–8
 and medical research, 366–7
 positive impact of, xvi
 in Post-Covid-19 times *see* Post-
 COVID-19 times
 reinvention of, 146–7, 159–61
 research efforts, 274
 scientific research in, 4, 6
 as targets of radical right, 308
 threats and risks to, xvi
 two perspectives on present-day state of,
 1–3
 UNESCO recommendations for
 promotion of, 422
see also arts, humanities and social
 science (AHSS); new humanities;
 posthumanities; social science and
 humanities (SSH)

- humanities curriculum, 166
 curricula reforms, 308
 decolonial perspectives, 174–81
 postcolonial perspectives, 167–74,
 180–1
 Humanities in the European Research
 Area (HERA), 385, 390, 391
 humanity, 8
 humpback whale drawing, 152
 Hunt, P., 186
 Hutcheson, L., 232
Hybrid Cultures - Nervous States, 171
 hybrids, 298

I Roam (Levy), 151–3
 identity, 134, 166
 Ikoku, A., 355
 illegitimate subjects, 196–7
 imagination, 332, 408–11; *see also* ethical
 imaginations
 Imagining America: Artists + Scholars in
 Public Life, 112
 immortality, 36
 Index Thomisticus, 271
 industrialised farming, 372, 373
 inequalities, 73–4, 95, 389
 infectious disease, 371–8
 holistic perspective on, 376–8
 human-animal-planet interactions,
 372–3
 limitations of current approach to,
 375–6
 past and future of, 373–5
 information order, 131
 infosphere, 296
 Initiative for Science in Europe and
 Euroscience, 399
 Institute for Global Challenges and
 Climate Change, Bologna, 29
 Institute for Signifying Scriptures,
 Pasadena, 115–16
 institutional structures, 55–6, 59–60
 insurgent museology, 312, 322n6
 integrated Environmental Humanities,
 318–22
 integration, 49
 intelligibility, 318
 intentional stance, 297

 Intercultural German studies, 133, 135–6
 Intercultural Humanities, xix, 125–6, 129,
 134–41
 intercultural philosophy, 136–7
 intercultural polyphony, 136
 intercultural theology, 137–9
 interculturalism, 133
 interculturality, xix, 128–9, 132–4, 139,
 140
 interdisciplinarity (ID), 48–9, 52, 127–8,
 276, 353, 367, 402
 interdisciplinary communities, 50–1
 interdisciplinary research calls, 60
 interdisciplinary research centres, 112
 interdisciplinary research (IDR), 40, 75
 arts, humanities and social science
 (AHSS) integration, 47–61:
 challenges, 48–51; influencing
 factors, 51–6; in practice, 56–60
 Figuring Out the Enemy, 219–22
 Intergovernmental Council of
 the Management of Social
 Transformations (MOST), 320
 internally displaced individuals, 156
 International Center for Cultural Studies,
 National Yang-Ming Chiao-Tung
 University, Taiwan, 113
 international cooperation, 319
 international development, 148
 international research collaboration, 390
 Intoxicating Spaces, 287
 intra-action, 316
 Iovino, S., 313
 Italian ecocriticism, 313
 Italy, 279, 311

 Jay, G., 113–14, 116, 119, 213–14
 Jenkins, H., 189
 Jenner, E., 374
 Jenner, K., 247, 248
 John Nicholas Brown Center for Public
 Humanities and Cultural Heritage,
 Brown University, 115
 joint cognitive systems, 298
 Julius Centrum, Utrecht University, 355–6

 Kaleidoscopio research institute,
 Mozambique, 116

- Kant, I., 187
 Kindi, V., 410
 Kirshenblatt-Gimblett, B., 191
 Klein, J.T., 49
 Klein, N., 28
 Kliem, J., 173
 Knight, J., 168
 knowing subject, 38–9
 knowledge, 145, 153, 176
 socialisation of, 72
 knowledge design, 250
 knowledge economies, 41
 knowledge ecosystems, 70–1
 knowledge production, 130–2, 150–1, 159, 413–14
 knowledge systems, 131–2, 174
 Konrad Adenauer Foundation, 96
 Kristeva, J., 367
 Kuria, N., 174

 Laboratory for Social Sciences and Humanities, Catholic University of Angola, 113
 labour migration, 97
 ‘Lancet Commission on Culture and Health’, 352, 366
 landscape archaeology, 263
 language, 173, 198, 235, 236, 262, 290–1, 293–4, 389–90; *see also* communication; translation
 Latour, B., 316
 Lau, L., 50
 Le Grange, L., 168
 learning landscapes *see* storytelling
 Lefebvre, H., 84
 legal responsibilities, 299
 Lejuene, P., 199
 Lem, S., 154
 Leopold, R., 203
 Levi, P., 185, 196
 Levy, S., 151–3
 LGBTQ+ themes, 244
 liberal democracy, 219–20
 liberal universalism, 35
 libraries, 283–4
 life, non-human *see* *zoe* (non-human life)
 life sciences, 4, 330, 332, 334
 Lindner, U., 169, 171

 Lindvig, K., 52
 literary studies, 131, 168
 literature, 230, 232, 233, 300–1; *see also* academic literature; children’s literature; ecocriticism; *Handmaid’s Tale*, *The* (Attwood); policy literature
 ‘living values’ of the university, 82–5
 lockdowns, 94, 96, 98, 100, 351, 377
 Locke, J., 186
Look to Windward (Banks), 301
 Löwe, B., 412, 416
 Lyall, C., 49
 Lykke, N., 415
 Lyons, M., 247

 machine learning, 273
 McCallum, R., 188
 Macron, E., 100, 116
 Magna Charta Universitatum, 83
 Major Sciences, 34, 35, 41
 Mäki, U., 49
 managerial domination, 223
 Mandela, N., 194
 material culture, 259
 material ecocriticism, 313–18
 Maxwele, C., 177
 May, T., 366
 Mbembe, A., 177, 178
 mediation, 200
 medical ethics, 363
 Medical Humanities, 31, 43n3, 351–8, 361–8; *see also* Biomedical Humanities
 Medical Humanities Institute, Bologna, 31
Medical Humanities (journal), 362–3
 medical research, 375–6
 medicine, 354–5, 358, 362, 365–8, 423–4
 MEMOIRS, 170
 memory, 311–12
 Merkel, A., 99, 100
 metalinguistic reflections, 237–40
 metanarrative, 241–2
 methodology, 8–9, 416
 Mignolo, W., 174, 175
 migrants, 169
 migration, 97, 389
 minor literature, 189
 Minor Sciences, 34, 41

- missiology, 137–8
 missionary model of engagement, 110–11
 mobility, 97
 Modern Humans, 260
 morality, 37
 mourning, 216–17, 224
 Moylan, T., 233
 Mozambique, 116
 Mullen, M.L., 215
 multitude, 90
 Murphy, R., 246
 Murri, A., 354
 museums, 285–6
- Naked Sun, The* (Asimov), 300
 narrative agency, 317–18
 narrative ecosystems *see* transmedia
 storytelling / narratives
 narrative justice, 311
 narrative reparation, 311
 narrative science, 93–4
 narratives, 92–3
 guerilla narrative, 114, 116
 metanarrative, 241–2
 political ecology of, 312
 solidarity debate, 99–100
 vaccination debate, 98–9
 and worldmaking, 94–8
 see also children's literature;
 ecocriticism; storytelling; transmedia
 storytelling / narratives
 National Science Centre, Poland, 385
 National Socialism, 129–30, 172
 National Yang-Ming Chiao-Tung
 University, Taiwan, 113
 nationalism, 166
 nationalist discourse, 14
 natural sciences, 127–8; *see also* science
 nature, 3–4
 nature-culture entanglements, 314, 328–9,
 332
 Neandertals, 259
 negative universalism, 187
 Neimanis, A., 329
 neo-humanism, 27, 35
 neo-humanist politics of nostalgia,
 catastrophism and protectionism, 25
 Neolithic, 260
- Netherlands, 57, 62n8, 193, 356, 371, 372,
 373, 375
 network analysis, 273
 'Network of European Humanities.21'
 (NEH21.net), xvii
 Network of European Museum
 Organisations, 285
 neuroscience, 298
 new humanities, xviii–xix, 3–6, 43n1
 challenges and opportunities of
 urbanisation, 81–2
 emergence and convergence, 23–4:
 crisis v. transformation of humanities,
 26–8; international context, 24;
 Major and Minor Sciences, 34–5;
 meta-patterns, 40–3; *modus operandi*,
 25–6; new humanities as convergence
 phenomenon, 33–4; research areas,
 28–33
 role in responding to contemporary
 challenges: complex temporalities,
 414–16; ethics of knowledge
 production, 413–14; imagination and
 aesthetics, 408–11; invitations for
 further reflections, 419–20; research
 collaborations, 416–19; STEM and
 social sciences, 411–13
 see also posthumanities
 Nghi Ha, K., 167
 Ngubia Kuria, E., 180
 Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o, 177, 178
 Nixon, R., 312
 non-human life *see* *zoe* (non-human life)
 norm-awareness, 296, 300
 Norwegian Research Council (NRC), 385
 novels, 230, 300; *see also* *Handmaid's Tale*,
 The (Attwood)
 null curriculum, 168, 179
 Nuremberg Laws, 197
 Nussbaum, M., 35, 133, 140
 Nyerere, J., 177
- Ofuatey-Alazard, N., 172
 One Health approach, 371–2
 holistic perspective, 376–8
 and human-animal-planet interactions,
 372–3
One Human Minute (Lem), 301

- online communication, 292
 Open Science model, 72, 401, 402
 openness, 293
 Opperman, S., 314
 opportunistic engagement, 111
 oppositional consciousness, 342
 oral histories *see* storytelling
 organoid biotechnology, 356
 Othering, 131, 167
 others *see* constitutive others; self-other interaction
 outreach, 111, 113
 Ozick, C., 195, 201
- Pakistan, 218
 paleoclimate, 261
 Palmyra, 289
 Papić, Z., 218
 PARTHENOS project, 277, 294n7
 participatory democracy, 8
 'Pathways Project', 28
 Pavlović, A., 221–2
 Pennari, C., 237
 performing arts, 50
 personhood, 297–8, 299
 Peters, M., 167
 pharmaceutical industry, 375
 philosophical discourse, 48
 philosophy, 50, 341
 - comparative, 137
 - intercultural, 136–7
- Plumwood, V., 340
 pluriversality, 177
 pluriversity, 176, 178, 179
 Poland, 245, 246, 248, 385
 policy agendas, 416–19
 policy literature, 51, 52–3, 54
 political ecology of narratives, 312
 political sociology, 148
 political systems, 71–3
 politics
 - of the body, 15, 232
 - democratic, 2
 - environmental, 321
 - epistemological, 335
 - feminist, 329
 - of the form, 14, 185, 192
 - of identity, 113
 - of immanence, 43
 - of mourning, 216
 - neo-humanist politics of nostalgia, catastrophism and protectionism, 25
 - of testimony, 190, 192, 204
- Politics of Affect, 116
 polylogue, 136, 138
 Ponzanesi, S., 169, 170
 Popular University of Social Movements (UPMS), 177
 Post-COVID-19 times, 87, 90–2
 - challenges and opportunities, 100–2
 - coronavirus metadiscourse, 92–4
 - discourse network, 95, 98–100
 - narrative and worldmaking, 94–8
 - policy-making, 388
- Postcolonial Europe*, 170
 postcolonial studies, 131, 166, 167–71
 - case study, 171–4
 - see also* decolonial perspectives
- Postcolonial Studies Initiative (PCI), Utrecht University, 170
 posthuman humanities, 335–8
 posthumanism, 36–7, 333–5
 posthumanist social science, 334
 posthumanities, 5, 6–8, 23, 24, 33, 42–3, 336, 337
 - critical, 37–40, 42
 - meta-patterns, 40–1
 - see also* feminist posthumanities; new humanities
- Posthumanities Hub, Sweden, 32–3, 338
 postnatural feminisms, 338–9
 post-Second World War capitalism, 109
 poststructuralism, 107
 power, 37, 39, 364
 - coloniality of power (COP), 174, 175
- present-day capitalism, 223
 preservation, digital, 289
 Price, H., 40
 primordial cultural studies, 130
 privatisation, 107, 117, 118, 119, 214
 problem-solving discourse, 49
 profitability, 73
 Prose, F., 196
 public discourse, 14, 98, 214, 311
 public engagement, 286–7
 public health, 371

- Public Humanities, xix, 40, 106–20, 213–25
 Center for Cultural Decontamination, 222–3
 as community engagement, 109–13
 Figuring Out the Enemy, 219–22
 historical emergence, 107–8
 meaning, 108–9
 research areas, 28, 31–2
 research centres and institutes, 24
 as social critique, 113–18
 Women in Black (WIB) movement, 216–18, 224, 226n3
 Women's Courts, 218–19
 public intellectuals, 215
 public sphere, 417, 418
- Q movement, 96
 Quaquarelli, L., 409, 413
 Quinn, M., 179
- race, 112, 167, 169, 173–4, 180, 235, 310
 race studies, 116–117
 race theory, 168
 racism, 96, 166, 173; *see also* ethnic hostility
 'radical-reform space', 174–5
 rape, 246; *see also* sexual violence
 'Reflections: Educating for Critical Thinking, Inclusive Societies and Dynamic Engagement', 173
 refugees, 156, 169
 research agendas, 416–19
 research centres and institutes, 24, 28–9, 30, 31, 32–3
 Public Humanities, 112, 113, 114–16
 research collaborations *see* collaborative research
 research ethics *see* ethics
 research funding, 72, 73, 75
 European Union (EU), 277–9, 383–7, 405–6n6; and COVID-19 pandemic, 387–9, 391; and European Alliance for Social Sciences and Humanities (EASSH), 399–405; and international research collaboration, 390; and science policy, 396–7
 funding cuts, 366–7
 inter- and transdisciplinary research, 54, 57–8
see also Horizon 2020; Horizon Europe
 research policy, 395–7
 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, 397–8
 European Alliance for Social Sciences and Humanities (EASSH), 399–405
 and science policy, 396–7
 research teams, 56–60
 resistance
 Center for Cultural Decontamination, 222–3
 deconstructive, 364
 in *The Handmaid's Tale* (Attwood), 236, 238
 Women in Black (WIB) movement, 216–18, 224, 226n3
 responsibility / responsibilities
 artificial intelligence (AI), 298–9
 Digital Humanities, 289–92, 293
 universities, 5
 Reynolds, K., 188
 #RhodesMustFall, 177–9
 Rieger, S., 419–20
 Robinson, B., 51
 robots, 300
Robots and Empires (Asimov), 300
 rock art, 259, 262
 ROCK Project, University of Bologna, 86–7
 Rosendahl, M., 409
 Rosenfeld, A., 195
 Rousseau, J.-J., 186
 Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm / Linköping, 32, 114
 Rué, S., 237
- Sais, E., 131
 Salazar, N.B., 415
 Sall, M., 100
 Sanchez, A., 178
 Sassen, S., 81
 Sautuola, M. Sanz de, 259
 Savransky, M., 150
 Scammon, C.M., 152, 153
 Schiewer, G.L., 411

- Schnapp, J., 250
 scholarly interaction, 286
 scholarly networks, 108; *see also* academic community
 Schürkmann, C., 411–12
 science, 90–1, 93, 132, 153, 341; *see also* bioscience; colonial science; historical sciences; life sciences; Major Sciences; Minor Sciences; narrative science; natural sciences; sustainability science
 science and technology
 interaction with humanities, 66–7:
 educational and institutional considerations, 74–6; epistemological, cultural and philosophical considerations, 70–1; political and economic considerations, 71–3; proposals, 76; social and environmental considerations, 73–4; transcendental challenges, 67–70
 science and technology studies (STS), 50, 334
 science fiction, 213–34, 300–1, 334
 science policy, 396–7
 and 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, 397–8
 and European Alliance for Social Sciences and Humanities (EASSH), 399–405
 science/policy interface, Environmental Humanities' integration in, 318–22
 science stars, 91
 scientific advances, 68–9, 72
 scientific communication, 91, 93–4, 101; *see also* academic communication
 scientific libraries, 283–4
 scientific method, 74–5
 scientific research, 4, 6, 375–6
 Scott, R., 308
 'Second Serbia', 222
Second Variety (Dick), 300
Secret Annex, The (Frank), 199, 202
Seed Box, 311
 self-awareness, 298, 300–1
 self-other interactions, 145, 160
 case studies, 151–9
 patterns of, 147–51
 self-reflection, 294
 semiotic materiality, 316
 Serbia, 222–3
 Serbian-Albanian relations, 219–22
 sexual violence, 96; *see also* rape
 Shamdasani, S., 354
 SHAPE (social sciences, humanities and the arts for people and the economy), 421
 'Shaping Interdisciplinary Practices in Europe' (SHAPE-ID), 47, 52, 57–8, 59, 61n1
 Shoah, 192–6, 201, 203–4, 204–5; *see also* Holocaust
 silence, 217
 Simon, P., 117
 Simon, S., 231
 Sixth Mass Extinction, 23, 38
 Snow, C.P., 51–2, 127, 329
 social change, 153, 159
 social critique, 113–18, 213, 224, 225, 225–6n1; *see also* Figuring Out the Enemy; Women in Black (WIB) movement; Women's Courts
 social domination, 223
 social fields, 96–8
 social issues, 69
 social media, 100, 229, 247, 284–5, 292
 social policy *see* United Nations: 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development
 social reality, 112
 social sciences, 4, 126, 271, 308, 366–7, 411–13
 posthumanist, 334
 see also arts, humanities and social science (AHSS); social sciences and humanities (SSH)
 social sciences and humanities (SSH)
 research funding, 384–7, 405–6n6:
 and COVID-19 pandemic, 387–9, 391; and international research collaboration, 390
 and science policy, 396–7: 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, 397–8; European Alliance for Social Sciences and Humanities (EASSH), 399–405

- socialisation of knowledge, 72
 Societal Challenge 6 (SC6), 385–6
 societies, 73
 ‘soft-reform’ space, 174
 software products, 275–6
Solaris (Greig), 153–6
 solidarity debate, 99–100
 Somhegyi, Z., 410
 South Africa, 177–9, 194
 spatial archaeology, 263
 species extinction, 314–15
 speculative potentialities, 147, 149, 150,
 153–6, 159, 160, 161
 Spivak, G.C., 131
 Srebrenica massacre, 114–15
 Stamm, J., 49
 state of exception, 98
 STEM, 411–13
 STEMM, 47, 49, 50, 51, 57, 61n2
 Stevanovich Institute on the Formation of
 Knowledge, University of Chicago,
 116
 Stock, K., 117
 Stokols, D., 49
 stories, 315–16, 316–17
 Stories in Transit (SiT), 156–9
 storytelling, 157, 158, 159–60, 189–90,
 236, 315–16, 317
 stratigraphy, 256
 subalterity, 131
 subjectivity, 39
 sustainability, 84–5, 128, 264, 293, 310,
 395
 2030 Agenda for Sustainable
 Development, 397–8
 sustainability science, 319
 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs),
 81, 84, 125, 320–1, 396
 systemic legitimation, 220, 226n6

 Tanzania, 177
taz (daily paper), 173
 techno-capitalism, 69
 technological advances, 5
 technological bias, 290–1
 technological developments, 68–9
 technology, 97, 127, 259, 260; *see*
 also biomedical technology;
 biotechnologies; digital technologies;
 science and technology
 telemedicine, 357
 territorial ecosystems, 85
Testaments, The (Attwood), 243, 247
 texts, 229–30
 theology, 271
 intercultural, 137–9
 thinking collectives, 366
 timescales, 54–5, 58
 Tlostanova, M., 415, 417
 tools, 275–6
 ‘Toxic Biographies’ project, 114
 transcendence discourse, 48
 transcorporeality, 313
 transculturality, 134; *see also*
 interculturality
 transdisciplinarity (TD), 48–9
 transdisciplinary communities, 50–1
 transdisciplinary discourse, 416
 transdisciplinary research calls, 60
 transdisciplinary research (TDR), 75, 171,
 416–19
 arts, humanities and social science
 (AHSS) integration, 47–61:
 challenges, 48–51; influencing
 factors, 51–6; in practice, 56–60
 transformative studies, 147–8
 transgression discourse, 49
 transhuman singularity, 36
 transhumanism, 34, 35–6, 333–4
 translation, 230–1, 250n2
 The Handmaid’s Tale (Attwood),
 237–43, 249
 use of footnotes, 240, 251n8
 translational medical humanities, 367
 transmedia intertextuality, 191
 transmedia storytelling / narratives,
 189–90, 191–2, 229–30
 The Diary of Anne Frank, 200–4
 The Handmaid’s Tale (Attwood), 231–4:
 adaptations and appropriations,
 243–4; impact, 249–50; receptions
 and appropriations, 244–8;
 translation, 237–43; as transmedial
 artefact, 234–7
 transnational studies, 148
 transphobia, 117

- transversality, 38, 39, 40–3, 75
 Trump, D., 245, 246
 Tsing, A., 341
- UK Research and Innovation, 383
 UNA Europe, 85
 uncertainty, 223
 UNESCO, 191, 200, 289, 318–20, 366
 UNICEF, 97
 United Kingdom
 Digital Humanities, 279
 Gender Recognition Act, 117
 research funding, 383, 384–5, 386
 United Nations, 80
 2030 Agenda for Sustainable
 Development, 81, 84, 135, 140, 319,
 320, 395, 396, 397–8
 United States
 American Society for Bioethics and
 Humanities (ASBH), 362
 community engagement, 111, 112, 115
 The Diary of Anne Frank, 194
 presidential election 2016, 245–6
 Public Humanities, 213–14
 social critique, 113–14
 universal values, 35
 universalism
 abstract, 35, 38
 liberal, 35
 negative, 187
 universities, 4
 anti-racism initiatives, 173–4
 attacks on, 116–17
 crisis of, 26–7
 decolonisation, 175–6, 178, 179–81
 deregulation, 107–8
 Digital Humanities teaching, 287–8
 European university, 85
 Guild of European Research-Intensive
 Universities, 388–90
 institutional structures, 55–6, 59–60
 internationalisation, 139
 neoliberal governance, 39–40
 regression of humanities departments, 75
 relation to the city, 79–80, 81–7
 social responsibility, 5
 strategic realignment, 308
 uncertainty v. unpredictableness, 223
 values, 82–5, 180
 see also higher education; higher
 education system; humanities
 curriculum; *specific universities*
 University and College Union (UCU),
 308
 University of Bologna, 29, 30, 31, 32, 82,
 83, 85–7, 354
 University of Chicago, 116
 University of Roehampton, 308
 University of Texas, 111
 University of Wolverhampton, 308
 unpredictableness, 223
 ‘Upside-Down Revolution, The’ (Lem),
 301
 urbanisation, 79, 80–2; *see also* cities
 US-Mexico border, 175
 utopian fiction, 233, 332
 Utrecht University, 170, 355
- vaccination debate, 98–9
 Vajont Dam Disaster, 311
 values
 in children’s literature, 188
 universal, 35
 university values, 82–5, 180
 van Delden, J., 355–6
 van der Tuin, I., 336
 van Dijck, J., 27
 Veglia, M., 354
 Verhöven, W., 93
 veterinary medicine, 375, 377
 Viney, W., 365
 violence against women, 218
 viral infections, 374–5; *see also* COVID-19
 pandemic
 visual arts, 50
 visualisations, 275
 von Humboldt, A. and W., 127–8
- Waaldijk, B., 196, 197, 198
 Wallerstein, I.M., 175
 Walz, H., 138
 Warner, Prof. M., 157
 Welsch, W., 134
 Werlen, B., 412
 Western-dominated knowledge systems *see*
 Eurocentric knowledge systems

- Whitehead, A., 353, 365
 Wierlacher, A., 133
 Wiesel, E., 203, 204
 Wilson, J., 354
 Wimmer, F.M., 136, 137
 Wolfe, C., 335–6, 337
 women, 96–7, 138, 197, 198, 203,
 218
 in *The Handmaid's Tale* (Attwood),
 234–6
 Women in Black (WIB) movement,
 216–18, 224, 226n3
 Women's Courts, 218–19
 Women's March, 2017, 245
 Woodward, K., 214
 World Humanities Report (WHR), xvi
 European branch, xvi–xviii, xix–xx
 worlding, 131, 153
 worldmaking, 94–8, 148
 Wright, J., 248
 writing process, *The Diary of Anne Frank*,
 196–9
 xenology, 135, 138
 xenophobia, 166
 Yugoslavia *see* Former Yugoslavia
 Zajović, S., 217, 218
 Zalasiewicz, J., 317
 Zanghellini, A., 117
 Zimmerer, J., 172
 Zipes, J., 188
 zoe (non-human life), 39, 41

