ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Attack of the clones: Population genetics reveals clonality of Colletotrichum lupini, the causal agent of lupin anthracnose

Pierre Hohmann^{1,4}

Joris A. Alkemade^{1,2} | Riccardo Baroncelli^{2,3} | Monika M. Messmer¹

¹Department of Crop Sciences, Research Institute of Organic Agriculture (FiBL), Frick, Switzerland

²Department of Agricultural and Food Sciences (DISTAL), University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy

³Centre for Studies on Bioinspired Agro-Enviromental Technology, Università di Napoli Federico II, Portici, 80055, Italy

⁴Bonaplanta, BioCrops Innovations SL, Manresa, Spain

Correspondence

Joris A. Alkemade, Department of Crop Sciences, Research Institute of Organic Agriculture (FiBL), Frick, Switzerland. Email: alkemadejoris@gmail.com

Funding information

British Society for Plant Pathology; Bundesamt für Landwirtschaft; Federation of European Microbiological Societies; Horizon 2020 Framework Programme, Grant/Award Number: 727230; Staatssekretariat für Bildung, Forschung und Innovation, Grant/Award Number: 17.00090

Abstract

Revised: 8 March 2023

Colletotrichum lupini, the causative agent of lupin anthracnose, affects lupin cultivation worldwide. Understanding its population structure and evolutionary potential is crucial to design successful disease management strategies. The objective of this study was to employ population genetics to investigate the diversity, evolutionary dynamics, and molecular basis of the interaction of this notorious lupin pathogen with its host. A collection of globally representative C. lupini isolates was genotyped through triple digest restriction site-associated DNA sequencing, resulting in a data set of unparalleled resolution. Phylogenetic and structural analysis could distinguish four independent lineages (I-IV). The strong population structure and high overall standardized index of association $(\overline{r_{d}})$ indicates that C. lupini reproduces clonally. Different morphologies and virulence patterns on white lupin (Lupinus albus) and Andean lupin (Lupinus mutabilis) were observed between and within clonal lineages. Isolates belonging to lineage II were shown to have a minichromosome that was also partly present in lineage III and IV, but not in lineage I isolates. Variation in the presence of this minichromosome could imply a role in host-pathogen interaction. All four lineages were present in the South American Andes region, which is suggested to be the centre of origin of this species. Only members of lineage II have been found outside South America since the 1990s, indicating it as the current pandemic population. As a seedborne pathogen, C. lupini has mainly spread through infected but symptomless seeds, stressing the importance of phytosanitary measures to prevent future outbreaks of strains that are yet confined to South America.

KEYWORDS

anthracnose, Colletotrichum, Iupin, population genetics, RAD sequencing

1 | INTRODUCTION

Fungal pathogens cause severe crop losses worldwide. Current agricultural practices, the development of fungicide-resistant pathogens,

the increase of global trade and movement, loss of biodiversity, and a warming climate likely tend to shift the pathogen distribution and increase disease pressure and outbreak frequency (Corredor-Moreno & Saunders, 2020; Fones et al., 2020). Policy shifts towards the

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2023 The Authors. Molecular Plant Pathology published by British Society for Plant Pathology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

² WILEY Molecular Plant Pathology

phasing out of fungicides and the aim for a more sustainable agriculture require a better understanding of pathogen population dynamics to design adequate breeding and disease management strategies. Population genetics offers great potential to get insight in the evolutionary processes that affect genetic diversity and population structure of fungal plant pathogens (McDonald & Linde, 2002). In many important fungal and oomycete plant pathogens, such as Peronospora destructor on onion (Van der Heyden et al., 2022), Fusarium oxysporum on cotton (Halpern et al., 2020), and Colletotrichum graminicola on maize (Rogério et al., 2023), population genetics provided essential information on pathogen evolution and diversity. Knowledge on pathogen population structure is crucial for developing longterm disease management strategies as was pointed out by Wallace et al. (2020) for Pseudoperonospora cubensis on Cucurbitaceae.

Colletotrichum species are listed in the top 10 of most important fungal plant pathogens and cause major crop losses worldwide (Dean et al., 2012). Over 200 Colletotrichum species, which are divided among 15 species complexes, are currently described (Talhinhas & Baroncelli, 2021). Species belonging to the Colletotrichum acutatum species complex are especially notorious, with members causing pre- and postharvest disease in fruit, cereal, and legume crops (Braganca et al., 2016; Damm et al., 2012). Devastating economic losses are often observed for strawberry (Baroncelli et al., 2015), citrus (Guarnaccia et al., 2017), and olive (Moral & Trapero, 2009). Acute ends of its conidia are the most characteristic of the species complex (Damm et al., 2012), but differentiation purely based on morphology has proven to be extremely hard (Cannon et al., 2000). Hemibiotrophy is the most common lifestyle within the complex, but purely biotrophic, necrotrophic, and endophytic lifestyles have been observed as well (De Silva et al., 2017; Peres et al., 2005). Besides being devastating plant pathogens, members of the C. acutatum species complex offer great potential to serve as model organisms to study host-pathogen evolution (Baroncelli et al., 2017).

Colletotrichum lupini, a Clade 1 member of the C. acutatum species complex, causes anthracnose, which is the most devastating lupin disease worldwide (Damm et al., 2012; Talhinhas et al., 2016). The disease is seed- and airborne with typical symptoms being stem twisting and necrotic lesions on stems and pods (Alkemade, Messmer, Voegele, et al., 2021). The most agriculturally important lupin species are blue lupin (Lupinus angustifolius), white lupin (Lupinus albus), and Andean lupin (Lupinus mutabilis) (Wolko et al., 2011). Andean lupin plays a major role in regional food security, while blue and white lupin mostly serve as feed for the livestock industry. Most lupin production takes currently place in Western Australia (47%; FAOSTAT, 2021) but initiatives of the European Union to reduce its dependency on imported soybean have renewed interest in lupin cultivation and the EU is currently producing 39% of the global production. Lupin anthracnose, however, is significantly hampering a further increase in lupin cultivation. As no effective sustainable treatment is available yet (Alkemade, Arncken, et al., 2022), host resistance would be the most desired solution. Recent studies identified three resistance genes in blue lupin (Fischer et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2004, 2008) and one candidate gene and two major quantitative trait loci in white

lupin (Alkemade, Nazzicari, et al., 2022; Książkiewicz et al., 2017). To aid resistance breeding in lupin crops, a better understanding of C. lupini population structure and evolution is required as different populations may vary in geographic distribution and differ in pathogenicity, virulence, or other biological traits.

Characterization of a global C. lupini collection by combining isolate morphology and multilocus sequencing of four loci could distinguish six groups (I-VI; Alkemade, Messmer, Voegele, et al., 2021). However, morphological characterization has often been shown inconsistent within Colletotrichum (Cannon et al., 2000) and phylogeny based on four loci only gives limited information. To get insight in population structure and evolution, population genetics has been performed on a global collection of C. lupini isolates and members of the C. acutatum species complex. Genotyping of the population has been done through triple digest restriction site-associated DNA sequencing (3D-RADseq), which was shown to increase the number of markers at lower startup costs (Bayona-Vásquez et al., 2019), providing an unparalleled resolution to study lupin's worst pathogen.

RESULTS 2

Four distinct lineages identified within 2.1 C. lupini

A total of 76 Colletotrichum samples (Table S1), originating from North America, South America, Europe, South Africa, and Australia, were sequenced. Genotyping through 3D-RADseg resulted in 1,882,704 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) spread over 11 chromosomes after variant calling. The 16 included technical replicates showed an overall pairwise similarity of 99.4%, indicating a sequencing error rate of approximately 0.06% (Table S2). The data set was split into two data sets: one complete data set containing all sampled Colletotrichum species (76) and a second data set containing only C. lupini (67) isolates. After filtering, a total of 9923 and 1863 biallelic and phylogenetically informative SNPs spread over 10 chromosomes were retained for each data set, respectively. The mean sequencing depth was 16 before filtering and 18 after filtering the complete data set (Table S1, Figure S1). Phylogenetic analysis based on maximum likelihood and Bayesian interference was performed on the complete data set and revealed four (I-IV) well-defined lineages within C. lupini (Figure 1). Within the C. lupini data set consisting of 1863 SNPs, 334 SNPs corresponded specifically to lineage I, 552 to lineage II, 134 to lineage III, and 147 to lineage IV (File S1). South African isolate JA10, which was previously grouped together with Peruvian isolate JA20 in group III based on multilocus sequencing and morphology (Alkemade, Messmer, Voegele, et al., 2021), firmly grouped within lineage II. Selected lineage II isolates, except for isolate JA10, showed high virulence (standardized area under the disease progress curve [sAUDPC]>3) on white lupin, whereas selected isolates from lineage I, III, and IV showed low virulence (sAUDPC < 3) on white lupin (Figure 1; Table S3). On Andean lupin, observed virulence varies within lineages, with low and high virulence observed



FIGURE 1 Phylogeny of *Colletotrichum lupini*. Bayesian analysis tree inferred from 9923 single-nucleotide polymorphisms of 76 *Colletotrichum* isolates used in this study. Maximum-likelihood bootstrap support values (>90) and Bayesian posterior probabilities (>0.95) are given at each node. The tree is rooted to *Colletotrichum fioriniae* (RB025 and PF). Isolate codes are followed by species and country of origin. For virulence (green = 1-2, blue = 2-3, orange = 3-4, and red >4) on white lupin (*Lupinus albus*) cultivar Feodora and Andean lupin (*L. mutabilis*) landraces LUP17 and LUP100, see Alkemade, Messmer, Voegele, et al. (2021) and Table S3. Isolates followed by "_2" were sequenced twice. Asterisk indicates reference genome isolate.

for selected isolates of lineage I, II, and IV. Lineage III isolate JA20 showed low virulence on both tested Andean lupin accessions. Besides differences in virulence, members of lineage I and IV also displayed a diverse intralineage morphology (Figure S2; Table S4).

2.2 | Highest C. *lupini* diversity found in South America

All isolates collected outside of South America since the 1990s were grouped in lineage II, whereas isolates collected in South America were grouped across the four identified lineages (Figures 1 and 2; Table S1). The global presence of lineage II isolates and their strong virulence indicates this population to be causing the current lupin anthracnose pandemic. In South America, the four distinct lineages appeared to be geographically separated, with isolates belonging to lineage I and III being found in Peru and Bolivia, lineage II isolates being found in Chile, and lineage IV isolates being found in Ecuador (Figure 2). Separating the *C. lupini* data set based on origin (South America, North America, Europe, South Africa, and Australia) allowed for pairwise comparison between regions. Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) showed that most variance (70%) is explained within regions (Table 1). The generated minimum spanning networks (clonecorrected and nonclone-corrected) based on multilocus genotypes visualized in Figure 3 highlight that the highest diversity is found in South America. The Simpson diversity index for the nonclonecorrected data showed Europe (0.87) and South America (0.85) to be the most diverse, compared to the other regions (0.56–0.61; Table S5). However, the Simpson diversity index for the clone-corrected data indicated that the highest diversity was found in South America (0.72) compared to the other regions (0–0.24; Table S6). Altogether, these results contribute to the hypothesis that South America, and specifically the Andes region, is the centre of origin of *C. lupini*.

2.3 | Low genetic exchange between and within observed *C. lupini* lineages

We examined the genetic structure of *C. lupini* by performing Bayesian model-based clustering analysis (Figure 4c) and found that



FIGURE 2 Global lupin production and distribution of *Collectotrichum lupini*. Lupin production in 2018 in tonnes. Sources are FAOSTAT (2021), Gulisano et al. (2019), and Akale et al. (2019). Blue indicates *C. lupini* lineage I, red indicates lineage II, yellow indicates lineage III, and green indicates IV. Asterisks indicate isolates collected before 1990.

	df	Sum of squares	Mean squares	σ	Variance component (%)	р
Between lineages	3	14,465	4822	644	99.86	0.001
Within lineages	63	57.18	0.91	0.91	0.14	
Total	66	14,522	220	645	100.00	
Between regions	4	4016	1004	70	29.31	0.002
Within regions	62	10,506	169	169	70.69	
Total	66	14,522	220	240	100.00	

TABLE 1Results of the analysis of
molecular variance conducted with
Colletotrichum lupini isolates.

three or four populations best matched the phylogenetic tree of Figure 1 and obtained Bayesian information criterion (BIC) and Akaike information criterion (AIC) values (Figure S3). The analysis indicated a strong population structure with low admixture between the four C. lupini lineages. Although interlineage exchange seems to be rare, lineage I and IV, IV and III, and III and II appear to have shared genetic information (Figure 4c) and are consequently more closely related (Figures 1 and 3). Principal component analysis (PCA), discriminant analysis of principal components (DAPC), and kinship analysis further highlighted the clear separation and low overlap between the distinct lineages (Figure 4 and Figure S4). This strong separation was also observed through AMOVA (Table 1), revealing a significant differentiation between the four different lineages (p=0.001), explaining 99.88% of the observed genetic variance, whereas the observed genetic variance within lineages only accounted for 0.14%. No big difference was observed between clone-corrected (mlg.filter: 0.05) and nonclone-corrected (mlg.filter: 0.0008) data sets (Table 1 and Table S7). Estimates of the population differentiation statistic (F_{sT}) further support an almost complete genetic differentiation between the lineages (Table 2), with pairwise estimates ranging from 0.81 and

-WILEY-Molecular Plant Pathology

0.93 between lineage II and the other lineages. Pairwise estimates between lineage I, III, and IV range from 0.20 to 0.27, indicating a weaker differentiation. Clone-corrected data supported the presence of only four multilocus genotypes (Figure 3b), corresponding to the four observed lineages in Figure 1. An overall standardized index of association ($\overline{r_d}$) of 0.662 (p=0.001) was found for both the clonecorrected and nonclone-corrected data sets (Table S8), rejecting the null hypothesis of random mating and indicating clonal reproduction. High $\overline{r_d}$ values were also observed for the South American, North American, and European populations, but not for the Australian and African populations. The observed results consistently show very low genetic diversity within and rare genetic exchange between the observed lineages of *C. lupini*, suggesting clonal reproduction.

2.4 | Variability in the presence/absence of a minichromosome

A third data set was created exclusively containing markers on the 11th (mini)chromosome present in the reference genome RB211 S. America

(Ecuador)

0.001

0.12

(a)



DISTANCE DISTANCE FIGURE 3 Minimum spanning networks (MSNs) showing multilocus genotypes. (a) MSN of the nonclone-corrected *Colletotrichum lupini*

0.477

0.38

0.408

0.358

data set. (b) MSN of the clone-corrected Collectoricnum lupin data set. (b) MSN of the clone-corrected C. lupini data set. Asterisk indicates regions of isolation before 1990. Analysis is based on 1863 biallelic single-nucleotide polymorphisms.

(Baroncelli et al., 2021), by only filtering for 50% missing data, resulting in 5199 SNPs. A presence/absence matrix was constructed that showed that all members of lineage II have the minichromosome, whereas it was only partly present among members of lineage III and IV (Figure 5). Members of lineage I showed a complete absence of SNPs on minichromosome 11, comparable to *Colletotrichum* species outside of Clade 1 of the *C. acutatum* species complex. This absence was confirmed with the available genome of isolate CBS 109225 (lineage I; Baroncelli et al., 2022). A total of 57 proteins have been annotated on minichromosome 11 of the reference genome. Six of those proteins are predicted to be excreted, with three being potential effector candidates (CLUP02_18383, CLUP02_18406, and CLUP02_18404).

0.239

3 | DISCUSSION

In this study a 3D-RADseq approach was employed on a global collection of *Colletotrichum* isolates, resulting in a broader data set with a higher resolution compared to previous studies (Alkemade, Messmer, Voegele, et al., 2021; Dubrulle et al., 2020), with the aim to investigate the population structure and genetic diversity of the lupin pathogen *C. lupini*. Phylogenetics based on 9923 SNPs and population structure analysis based on 1863 SNPs clearly separated *C. lupini* into four independent lineages. In our previous study (Alkemade, Messmer, Voegele, et al., 2021), we suggested the presence of six distinct groups based on four loci and isolate morphology.

However, classification of *Colletotrichum* and other fungal species solely based on morphological traits and a few loci can be unreliable (Cannon et al., 2000; Lardner et al., 1999). Most diversity was found in South America, in agreement with previous suggestions that South America, and specifically the Andes region, is the centre of origin of *C. lupini* (Alkemade, Messmer, Voegele, et al., 2021; Nirenberg et al., 2002; Riegel et al., 2010). This is in line with the assumption that South America is the centre of origin for members of Clade 1 of the *C. acutatum* species complex (Baroncelli et al., 2017; Bragança et al., 2016). As only a limited amount of South American isolates was analysed and many regions in South America remain unsampled, extensive sampling will possibly identify more *C. lupini* lineages.

0.437

To the best of our knowledge, only lineage I and II have escaped South America, with members of lineage I being the cause of a lupin anthracnose outbreak in the 1950s and members of lineage II causing the current pandemic (Talhinhas et al., 2016). Members of lineage I have not been found outside South America since at least 1991 and do not appear to have shared genetic information with members of lineage II in the recent past. It should be noted that different morphologies and virulence patterns were observed between the collected lineage I isolates, whereas morphologies and virulence patterns of lineage II isolates collected from all over the world were near-identical. As a seedborne pathogen, *C. lupini* has mainly been dispersed through the trade and exchange of infected but often symptomless seeds. To prevent future outbreaks, seeds should be screened for the presence of *C. lupini* prior to exportation (Kamber et al., 2021).

0.494

0.465



FIGURE 4 Collectotrichum lupini population structure. (a) Heatmap of identity-by-state kinship values among *C. lupini* isolates. (b) Principal component analysis. (c) Bayesian model-based clustering analysis with different numbers of ancestral kinships (K = 2, 3, and 4). The y-axis quantifies cluster membership and the x-axis lists the different accessions. Analysis is based on 1863 biallelic single-nucleotide polymorphisms.

TABLE 2 F_{ST} values obtained through pairwise analysis betweenthe four Collectotrichum lupini lineages.

Lineage	1	П	Ш
II	0.81		
III	0.27	0.93	
IV	0.20	0.86	0.27

The Andes region is home to a vast diversity of wild lupin species (Nevado et al., 2016) and is the area of domestication of *L. mutabilis* (Atchison et al., 2016). For Andean *Lupinus*, the estimated species diversification rate is among the fastest recorded for plants (Drummond et al., 2012). In general, the speed of plant evolution in the environmentally diverse Andes has been extraordinarily high (Hughes & Atchison, 2015; Madriñán et al., 2013). The two Andean lupin accessions screened in Alkemade, Messmer, Voegele, et al. (2021) and this study showed a diverse response to different *C. lupini* isolates representing different lineages. A collection of 10 different *L. mutabilis* accessions also showed a diverse response against a lineage II isolate (Guilengue et al., 2020). This indicates that the *L. mutabilis* gene pool harbours promising sources for crop improvement and could be used to further explore the genetic basis of host resistance. The variety of confined ecological niches and

agroecosystems found across the Andes provides suitable conditions for pathogen adaptation and evolution. Together with a relatively high diversity of *C. lupini* in South America, it could be that the pathogen got engaged in a co-evolutionary arms race with the host in the host's centre of origin. This phenomenon has been observed before for many other crop pathogens such as *F. oxysporum* on banana (Maryani et al., 2018) and *Magnaporthe oryzae* on rice (Wang et al., 2017), but not for members of the *C. acutatum* species complex (Baroncelli et al., 2017).

No sexual state has been recorded for *C. lupini* and other members of Clade 1 of the *C. acutatum* species complex (Damm et al., 2012). Vegetative compatibility groups have been described for *C. lupini* lineage I and II (Elmer et al., 2001; Shivas et al., 1998). Together with our results, showing low genetic exchange and a high overall $\overline{r_d}$ (0.662), this strongly indicates that at least *C. lupini* lineage II is a clonal population. However, it is likely that *C. lupini* as a species reproduces purely clonally, but due to limited samples from the presumed centre of origin South America and lineages I, III, and IV, further research is needed to confirm this. In clonal species, recombination can occur but is scarce enough to maintain a pattern of a clonal population structure (Tibayrenc & Ayala, 2012). Genetic exchange among *C. lupini* lineages has happened as admixture was observed between lineage I and IV, IV and III, and III and II.



FIGURE 5 Presence/absence matrix of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) on minichromosome 11. A total of 5199 SNPs were called on chromosome 11 of reference genome RB221. Red indicates absence and blue indicates presence. Species are followed by lineage and strain code (see also Table S1).

Isolates belonging to lineage I (JA21) and III (JA20) have been found in close proximity in fields near Carhuaz (Peru), which would make genetic exchange theoretically possible. Clonal populations have the ability to quickly spread as they do not need a mating partner to produce large numbers of offspring (Bazin et al., 2014; Gladieux et al., 2016). However, the complete absence of sexual recombination strongly limits adaptation potential as new genotypes can only arise through mutations or horizontal gene transfer, significantly hampering their survivability in the long term (Drenth et al., 2019). Examples of single genotypes causing significant crop losses are the broad host range *Verticillium dahliae* (Milgroom et al., 2016), *F. oxysporum* on banana (Ordonez et al., 2015), and *Phytophthora infestans* on potato (Maurice et al., 2019). Clonal populations have also been reported within *Colletotrichum*, such as *Colletotrichum kahawae* on coffee (Vieira et al., 2018) and the broad host range *Colletotrichum fioriniae* (Eaton et al., 2021). Other *Colletotrichum* species show high recombination rates, as observed for *C. graminicola* on maize (Rogério et al., 2023), *Colletotrichum truncatum* on soybean (Rogério et al., 2022), and *Colletotrichum tanaceti* on Australian pyrethrum (Lelwala et al., 2019). However, the most successful invasive fungal pathogens have both a clonal and a sexual reproductive phase (Drenth et al., 2019).

Variation was observed in the presence or absence of a minichromosome (0.5 Mb) described in the reference genome RB221, representing lineage II (Baroncelli et al., 2021). This minichromosome was present in all lineage II isolates, partly present in lineage III and IV, but completely absent in lineage I. Mini (dispensable/lineage-specific) chromosomes tend to be highly variable, accumulating mutations ⁸ WILEY Molecular Plant Pathology

and structural rearrangements more rapidly compared to the core genome, and often contain genes involved in host-pathogen interactions (Bertazzoni et al., 2018; Croll & McDonald, 2012). Transfer of minichromosomes could explain host speciation and adaptation of seemingly clonal lineages within Fusarium and other fungal species (Corredor-Moreno & Saunders, 2020; Peng et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2020). For instance, transferring the minichromosome of the tomato pathogen F. oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici led to virulence of a previously nonpathogenic Fusarium strain (Ma et al., 2010). Colletotrichum minichromosomes have been reported to contribute to virulence and host speciation (Plaumann & Koch, 2020), with a minichromosome (11) found in Colletotrichum lentis (Bhadauria et al., 2019) and Colletotrichum higginsianum (Plaumann et al., 2018). In C. lupini, the minichromosome could play a role in virulence and host adaptation but does not seem to be required for pathogenicity on lupins for its lack in lineage I. As only three potential effector candidate genes were predicted on the minichromosome, it could also have a function unrelated to host-pathogen interaction. Further research is required to draw conclusions on its function in C. lupini.

Altogether, this study demonstrated the existence of four independent lineages of C. lupini, further validates South America to be the centre of origin, and revealed variability in the presence of a minichromosome. As the global lupin anthracnose pandemic is caused by the clonal lineage II population, breeding strategies outside of South America should focus on resistance against members of this lineage. Additionally, Andean lupin shows promise as a yet unexplored source of resistance. Disease management should focus on preventing escapes of lineages from South America. Whole-genome sequencing could further improve our understanding of the genetic architecture of C. lupini related to host speciation and pathogenicity factors.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

4.1 | Culture collection, DNA isolation, and 3D-RAD sequencing

Colletotrichum isolates were collected from public culture collections and from lupin plants with symptoms by collaborators worldwide (Table S1). A total of 51 unique C. lupini isolates were collected from 17 countries across five continents. Nine Colletotrichum species representing the genetic diversity of the C. acutatum species complex were also included. All isolates were single-spored and maintained on potato dextrose agar (Carl Roth) at 22°C in the dark as working cultures. Isolates were stored in 25% glycerol at -80°C for long-term storage. DNA of 2-week-old single-spore cultures was obtained as described in Alkemade, Messmer, Voegele, et al. (2021) using a cetyltrimethylammonium bromide extraction protocol (Minas et al., 2011). The DNA concentration was adjusted to 10 ng/ μL and DNA was sent to the Institute of Integrative Biology and Systems (IBIS) at Laval University (Quebec, Canada) for 3D-RADseq library preparation. Two independent libraries with sample-specific

barcode sequences were constructed from DNA digested with restriction enzyme combinations Pstl/Mspl and Nsil/Mspl. Libraries were combined in a single tube that was sent to Genome Quebec (Montreal, Quebec, Canada) for paired-end (150bp) sequencing in one lane using a TrueSeq DNA HT prep kit (Illumina, Inc.) on an Illumina Miseq instrument. A total of 16 technical replicates were included to detect technical errors.

SNP calling and filtering 4.2

Raw reads were inspected with FASTQC v. 0.11.9 (Babraham Bioinformatics) for guality control and demultiplexed using process radtags from Stacks v. 2.41 (Catchen et al., 2011, 2013) using default parameters. Barcodes and sequence adapters were trimmed using TRIMMOMATIC v. 0.3.8 (Bolger et al. 2014). Reads were mapped to the C. lupini reference genome (strain RB221, also known as IMI 504893; Baroncelli et al., 2021) using the Burrows-Wheeler aligner (BWA) v. 0.7.17 tool (Li & Durbin, 2009). The identification of SNPs was performed with FreeBayes v. 1.3.1 (Garrison & Marth, 2012) and a variant calling format (VCF) file was generated using Vcflib tools v. 1.0.1.1 (Garrison et al., 2021). SNPs were further filtered for quality (Q20), minimum sequencing depth (2), mean sequencing depth (5), minor allele count (2), minor allele frequency (0.01), and missing data (0.95) using VCFtools v. 0.1.15 (Auton & Marcketta, 2009), and only biallelic SNPs were kept.

4.3 Phylogenetic analysis

The SNP data were organized in two data sets. The first data set included all isolates retained after filtering. The second data set included only C. lupini isolates. The informloci function of R package poppr (Kamvar et al., 2014) was used in R v. 4.0.3 (R Core Team, 2020) to remove phylogenetically uninformative loci. For the phylogenetic analysis the complete data set was used. The VCF file was converted to a fasta file using the R package vcfR (Knaus & Grünwald, 2017) and multiple sequence alignment was performed with MAFFT v. 7.453 (Katoh & Standley, 2013). Phylogenetic analyses were based on maximum likelihood and Bayesian inference and were performed through the CIPRES science gateway portal (Miller et al., 2011). The maximum-likelihood analysis was performed using RAxML v. 869 (Stamatakis, 2014) using default parameters, a GTRCAT model, and 1000 bootstrap iterations. The Bayesian inference analysis was performed using MrBayes v. 3.2.7 (Ronquist et al., 2012) using a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm using four chains and starting from a random tree topology. The analysis ran for 500,000 generations with trees sampled every 1000 generations to reach average standard deviations of split frequencies below 0.01. The first 25% of saved trees were discarded at the burn-in phase and the 50% consensus trees and posterior probabilities were determined from the remaining trees. Bootstrap support values from the maximum-likelihood analysis

using iTOL v. 6 (Letunic & Bork, 2007).

population structure

4.4 | Genetic differentiation and

3643703, 0, Downloaded from https://bsppjournal

onlinelibrary.wiley.

com/doi/10.11111/mpp.13332 by RICCARDO BARONCELLI - Area Sistemi Dipart & Document

, Wiley Online Library on [21/04/2023].

See the Term

role in host interaction. We used SignalP v. 6.0 (Teufel et al., 2022) were plotted on the Bayesian phylogeny. Trees were visualized 3.0 (Sperschneider & Dodds, 2022) was used to identify potential effectors. Population analysis was performed on the C. lupini data set. The 4.7 Virulence and morphology number of multilocus genotypes was determined using the mlg. filter function by using a threshold determined by the cutoff_predictor based on Euclidean distance using poppr in R. Clone correc-Virulence tests were performed on white lupin cultivar Feodora and Andean lupin landraces LUP17 and LUP100 with C. lupini isotion was performed using the mlg.filter option using a threshold of lates JA01 (II), CBS19225 (I), RB121 (I), and JA23 (IV) through stemwound inoculation as described by (Alkemade, Messmer, Arncken, et al., 2021). The method was shown to highly correlate with threeyear field trials in Switzerland (Alkemade, Nazzicari, et al., 2022). Disease scores ranging from 1 (nonpathogenic) and 2 (low virulence) to 9 (highly virulent; Alkemade, Messmer, Arncken, et al., 2021) were taken 3, 7, and 10 days postinoculation (dpi) and the sAUDPC was calculated (Jeger & Viljanen-Rollinson, 2001). All inoculations were performed in a growth chamber ($25 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C, 16 h light, and 70% relative humidity) in a complete randomized block design with nine replicates. RB121 and JA23 morphologies were characterized as described in Alkemade, Messmer, Voegele, et al. (2021). Data were merged with available morphological and virulence data of Alkemade, Messmer, Voegele, et al. (2021). Statistical analyses were performed with R v. 4.0.3 using the packages Ime4 (Bates et al., 2015), ImerTest (Kuznetsova et al., 2017), and emmeans (Lenth et al., 2020), following a mixed model with factors of interest (i.e., isolate, lupin accession) as fixed and replicated block as random factor. Data sets that did not follow assumptions of normality of residuals and homogeneity of variance were log₁₀-transformed. Data are presented as esti-

and Phobius (Käll et al., 2004) to identify secreted proteins. Candidates were excluded if they contained any transmembrane helices detected with TMHMM v. 2.0 (Krogh et al., 2001). EffectorP

0.05. Diversity statistics and minimum spanning networks of the clone-corrected and nonclone-corrected data sets were generated using the poppr and poppr.msn functions, respectively. The genetic variation among and within populations was estimated by AMOVA (Excoffier et al., 1992) using the amova function within poppr. Analysis was performed with 1000 permutations on the nonclone-corrected and clone-corrected data sets. Genetic differentiation among populations was assessed by calculating SNP F_{ST} values (Weir & Cockerham, 1984) using the genet.dist function of hierfstat (Goudet, 2005). To examine population structure, a PCA was performed using the glPca function of adegenet v. 2.1.3 (Jombart, 2008). An identity-by-state kinship matrix (Astle & Balding, 2009) was generated using statgenGWAS (van Rossum et al., 2020). Heatmaps were visualized using ComplexHeatmap in R. Genetic structure was further assessed by performing a nonmodelbased DAPC using the dapc function of adegenet (Jombart, 2008). The number of retained principal components was determined using xvalDAPC and the number of clusters was identified based on BIC and AIC values. A model-based Bayesian clustering approach was used additionally to determine admixture and clustering within C. lupini using STRUCTURE v. 2.3.4 (Hubisz et al., 2009). Ten independmated least-squares means using the aforementioned mixed model. ent runs for each K from 1 to 8 were performed with an admixture Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) test ($p \le 0.05$) was apmodel at 2000 MCMC iterations and a 1000 burn-in period. plied for pairwise mean comparisons of the different strains within

4.5 Mode of reproduction

The index of association (I_{Λ}) is a measure of multilocus linkage disequilibrium based on the variance of pairwise distances between genotypes to test the null hypothesis of random mating. The I_{Δ} and standardized index of association $(\overline{r_d})$, taking into account the number of loci, were calculated for both the clone-corrected and the nonclone-corrected data set using the ia function of poppr with 999 permutations. An $\overline{r_{d}}$ close to 0 indicates random mating, whereas an $\overline{r_d}$ close to 1 indicates clonal reproduction.

4.6 **Functional analysis**

Annotated protein sequences on the minichromosome (11) of the C. lupini reference genome (RB221) were used to predict a potential

on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons

each lupin accession.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge Christine Arncken for her researchsupporting activities at FiBL (Switzerland). Acknowledgements also go to Dr César E. Falconí (ESPE, Universidad de las Fuerzas Armadas, Quito, Ecuador), Dr Amelia W. Huaringa Joaquin (Universidad Nacional Agraria La Molina, Lima, Peru), Jocelyn Betancur and Erik von Baer (Semillas Baer, Temuco, Chile), Dr Roger G. Shivas and Dr Yu Pei Tan (Queensland Plant Pathology Herbarium [BRIP], Brisbane, Queensland, Australia), Dr Erin Rosskopf (USDA-ARS, Fort Pierce, Florida, USA), Dr Seonju Marincowitz (FABI, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa), Dr Gaétan Le Floch (Université de Bretagne Occidentale, Brest, France), and Dr Peter Wehling (JKI, Gross Lüsewitz, Germany) for kindly providing C. lupini isolates. Data produced and analysed in this paper were generated in collaboration with the Genetic Diversity Centre (GDC), ETH Zurich, Switzerland. The

_Molecular Plant Pathology

first author has received funding from the Federation of European Microbiological Societies (FEMS) and the British Society for Plant Pathology (BSPP) in the form of a Research & Training and Junior Fellowship Fund, respectively. This research was supported by funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme LIVESEED under grant agreement no. 727230, the Swiss State Secretariat for Education, Research, and Innovation (SERI) under contract number 17.00090, and the Federal Office for Agriculture FOAG. The information contained in this communication only reflects the authors' view. Neither the Research Executive Agency nor SERI is responsible for any use that may be made of the information provided.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are openly available at Zenodo at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7546742.

ORCID

Joris A. Alkemade ^b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1477-2936 Riccardo Baroncelli ^b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5878-1159 Monika M. Messmer ^b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6120-0079 Pierre Hohmann ^b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7029-0566

REFERENCES

- Akale, A.H., Alemu, M.W. & Asmamaw, M.B. (2019) Analyze production, utilization and its future trends of lupin in Ethiopia. American Journal of Plant Sciences, 10, 1797–1812.
- Alkemade, J.A., Arncken, C., Hirschvogel, C., Messmer, M.M., Leska, A., Voegele, R.T. et al. (2022) The potential of alternative seed treatments to control anthracnose disease in white lupin. *Crop Protection*, 158, 106009.
- Alkemade, J.A., Messmer, M.M., Arncken, C., Leska, A., Annicchiarico, P., Nazzicari, N. et al. (2021) A high-throughput phenotyping tool to identify field-relevant anthracnose resistance in white lupin. *Plant Disease*, 105, 1719–1727.
- Alkemade, J.A., Messmer, M.M., Voegele, R.T., Finckh, M.R. & Hohmann, P. (2021) Genetic diversity of *Colletotrichum lupini* and its virulence on white and Andean lupin. *Scientific Reports*, 11, 13547.
- Alkemade, J.A., Nazzicari, N., Messmer, M.M., Annicchiarico, P., Ferrari, B., Voegele, R.T. et al. (2022) Genome-wide association study reveals white lupin candidate gene involved in anthracnose resistance. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 135, 1011–1024.
- Astle, W. & Balding, D.J. (2009) Population structure and cryptic relatedness in genetic association studies. *Statistical Science*, 24, 451–471.
- Atchison, G.W., Nevado, B., Eastwood, R.J., Contreras-Ortiz, N., Reynel, C., Madriñán, S. et al. (2016) Lost crops of the Incas: origins of domestication of the Andean pulse crop tarwi, *Lupinus mutabilis*. *American Journal of Botany*, 103, 1592–1606.
- Auton, A. & Marcketta, A. (2009) VCFtools. https://vcftools.github.io/. [Accessed 20th March 2023].
- Baroncelli, R., Cobo-Diaz, J.F., Benocci, T., Peng, M., Battaglia, E., Haridas, S. et al. (2022) Genome evolution and transcriptome plasticity associated with adaptation to monocot and eudicot plants in *Colletotrichum* fungi. *bioRxiv*. [Preprint]. Available from: https://doi. org/10.1101/2022.09.22.508453
- Baroncelli, R., Pensec, F., Da Lio, D., Boufleur, T.R., Vicente, I., Sarrocco, S. et al. (2021) Complete genome sequence of the plant pathogenic fungus Colletotrichum Iupini. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions, 34, 1461–1464.

- Baroncelli, R., Talhinhas, P., Pensec, F., Sukno, S.A., Le Floch, G. & Thon, M.R. (2017) The *Collectotrichum acutatum* species complex as a model system to study evolution and host specialization in plant pathogens. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 8, 2001.
- Baroncelli, R., Zapparata, A., Sarrocco, S., Sukno, S.A., Lane, C.R., Thon, M.R. et al. (2015) Molecular diversity of anthracnose pathogen populations associated with UK strawberry production suggests multiple introductions of three different *Colletotrichum* species. *PLoS One*, 10, e0129140.
- Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B. & Walker, S. (2015) Fitting linear mixedeffects models using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 67, 1–48.
- Bayona-Vásquez, N.J., Glenn, T.C., Kieran, T.J., Pierson, T.W., Hoffberg, S.L., Scott, P.A. et al. (2019) Adapterama III: Quadruple-indexed, double/triple-enzyme RADseq libraries (2RAD/3RAD). PeerJ, 7, e7724.
- Bazin, E., Mathe-Hubert, H., Facon, B., Carlier, J. & Ravigné, V. (2014) The effect of mating system on invasiveness: some genetic load may be advantageous when invading new environments. *Biological Invasions*, 16, 875–886.
- Bertazzoni, S., Williams, A.H., Jones, D.A., Syme, R.A., Tan, K.-C. & Hane, J.K. (2018) Accessories make the outfit: accessory chromosomes and other dispensable DNA regions in plant-pathogenic fungi. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*, 31, 779–788.
- Bhadauria, V., Mac Lachlan, R., Pozniak, C., Cohen-Skalie, A., Li, L., Halliday, J. et al. (2019) Genetic map-guided genome assembly reveals a virulence-governing minichromosome in the lentil anthracnose pathogen *Colletotrichum lentis*. New Phytologist, 221, 431-445.
- Bragança, C.A., Damm, U., Baroncelli, R., Júnior, N.S.M. & Crous, P.W. (2016) Species of the *Colletotrichum acutatum* complex associated with anthracnose diseases of fruit in Brazil. *Fungal Biology*, 120, 547–561.
- Cannon, P.F., Bridge, P. & Monte, E. (2000) Linking the past, present and future of *Colletotrichum* systematics. In: Prusky, D., Freeman, S. & Dickman, M. (Eds.) *Colletotrichum: host specificity, pathology and host-pathogen interaction*. St Paul, MN: American Phytopathological Society, pp. 1–20.
- Catchen, J.M., Amores, A., Hohenlohe, P., Cresko, W. & Postlethwait, J.H. (2011) Stacks: building and genotyping loci de novo from shortread sequences. G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics, 1, 171–182.
- Catchen, J.M., Hohenlohe, P.A., Bassham, S., Amores, A. & Cresko, W.A. (2013) Stacks: an analysis tool set for population genomics. *Molecular Ecology*, 22, 3124–3140.
- Corredor-Moreno, P. & Saunders, D.G. (2020) Expecting the unexpected: factors influencing the emergence of fungal and oomycete plant pathogens. *New Phytologist*, 225, 118–125.
- Croll, D. & McDonald, B.A. (2012) The accessory genome as a cradle for adaptive evolution in pathogens. *PLoS Pathogens*, 8, e1002608.
- Damm, U., Cannon, P.F., Woudenberg, J.H.C. & Crous, P.W. (2012) The Collectorichum acutatum species complex. Studies in Mycology, 73, 37–113.
- De Silva, D.D., Crous, P.W., Ades, P.K., Hyde, K.D. & Taylor, P.W. (2017) Life styles of *Colletotrichum* species and implications for plant biosecurity. *Fungal Biology Reviews*, 31, 155–168.
- Dean, R., Van Kan, J.A., Pretorius, Z.A., Hammond-Kosack, K.E., Di Pietro, A., Spanu, P.D. et al. (2012) The top 10 fungal pathogens in molecular plant pathology. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 13, 414–430.
- Drenth, A., McTaggart, A.R. & Wingfield, B.D. (2019) Fungal clones win the battle, but recombination wins the war. *IMA Fungus*, 10, 18.
- Drummond, C.S., Eastwood, R.J., Miotto, S.T. & Hughes, C.E. (2012) Multiple continental radiations and correlates of diversification in *Lupinus* (Leguminosae): testing for key innovation with incomplete taxon sampling. *Systematic Biology*, 61, 443–460.
- Dubrulle, G., Pensec, F., Picot, A., Rigalma, K., Pawtowski, A., Nicolleau, S. et al. (2020) Phylogenetic diversity and effect of temperature on pathogenicity of *Colletotrichum lupini*. *Plant Disease*, 104, 938–950.

10

- Eaton, M.J., Gauthier, N.A. & Vaillancourt, L.J. (2021) Use of telomere fingerprinting to identify clonal lineages of *Colletotrichum fioriniae* in Kentucky mixed-fruit orchards. *Plant Disease*, 105, 2050–2055.
- Elmer, W.H., Yang, H.A. & Sweetingham, M.W. (2001) Characterization of Colletotrichum gloeosporioides isolates from ornamental lupines in Connecticut. Plant Disease, 85, 216–219.
- Excoffier, L., Smouse, P.E. & Quattro, J. (1992) Analysis of molecular variance inferred from metric distances among DNA haplotypes: application to human mitochondrial DNA restriction data. *Genetics*, 131, 479-491.
- FAOSTAT. (2021) Statistics Division of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QC. [Accessed 1st June 2021]
- Fischer, K., Dieterich, R., Nelson, M.N., Kamphuis, L.G., Singh, K.B., Rotter, B. et al. (2015) Characterization and mapping of *LanrBo*: a locus conferring anthracnose resistance in narrow-leafed lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius L.*). Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 128, 2121–2130.
- Fones, H.N., Bebber, D.P., Chaloner, T.M., Kay, W.T., Steinberg, G. & Gurr, S.J. (2020) Threats to global food security from emerging fungal and oomycete crop pathogens. *Nature Food*, 1, 332–342.
- Garrison, E., Kronenberg, Z.N., Dawson, E.T., Pedersen, B.S. & Prins, P. (2021) Vcflib and tools for processing the VCF variant call format. *bioRxiv*. [Preprint]. Available from: https://doi. org/10.1101/2021.05.21.445151
- Garrison, E. & Marth, G. (2012) Haplotype-based variant detection from short-read sequencing. arXiv. [Preprint]. Available from: https://doi. org/10.48550/arXiv.1207.3907
- Gladieux, P., Feurtey, A., Hood, M.E., Snirc, A., Clavel, J., Dutech, C. et al. (2016) The population biology of fungal invasions. In: Barrett, S.C.H., Colautti, R.I., Dlugosch, K.M. & Rieseberg, L.H. (Eds.) *Invasion genetics: the Baker and Stebbins legacy.* New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons, pp. 81–100.
- Goudet, J. (2005) Hierfstat, a package for R to compute and test hierarchical F-statistics. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, 5, 184–186.
- Guarnaccia, V., Groenewald, J., Polizzi, G. & Crous, P.W. (2017) High species diversity in *Colletotrichum* associated with citrus diseases in Europe. *Persoonia*, 39, 32–50.
- Guilengue, N., Neves-Martins, J. & Talhinhas, P. (2020) Response to anthracnose in a tarwi (*Lupinus mutabilis*) collection is influenced by anthocyanin pigmentation. *Plants*, 9, 583.
- Gulisano, A., Alves, S., Neves Martins, J. & Trindade, L.M. (2019) Genetics and breeding of *Lupinus mutabilis*: an emerging protein crop. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 10, 1385.
- Halpern, H.C., Qi, P., Kemerait, R.C. & Brewer, M.T. (2020) Genetic diversity and population structure of races of *Fusarium oxysporum* causing cotton wilt. G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics, 10, 3261–3269.
- Hubisz, M.J., Falush, D., Stephens, M. & Pritchard, J.K. (2009) Inferring weak population structure with the assistance of sample group information. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 9, 1322–1332.
- Hughes, C.E. & Atchison, G.W. (2015) The ubiquity of alpine plant radiations: from the Andes to the Hengduan Mountains. *New Phytologist*, 207, 275–282.
- Jeger, M.J. & Viljanen-Rollinson, S.L.H. (2001) The use of the area under the disease-progress curve (AUDPC) to assess quantitative disease resistance in crop cultivars. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 102, 32–40.
- Jombart, T. (2008) Adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers. *Bioinformatics*, 24, 1403–1405.
- Käll, L., Krogh, A. & Sonnhammer, E.L. (2004) A combined transmembrane topology and signal peptide prediction method. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 338, 1027–1036.
- Kamber, T., Malpica-López, N., Messmer, M.M., Oberhänsli, T., Arncken, C., Alkemade, J.A. et al. (2021) A qPCR assay for the fast detection and quantification of *Collectorichum lupini*. *Plants*, 10, 1548.
- Kamvar, Z.N., Tabima, J.F. & Grünwald, N.J. (2014) Poppr: an R package for genetic analysis of populations with clonal, partially clonal, and/ or sexual reproduction. PeerJ, 2, e281.

- Katoh, K. & Standley, D.M. (2013) MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 30, 772–780.
- Knaus, B.J. & Grünwald, N.J. (2017) Vcfr: a package to manipulate and visualize variant call format data in R. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 17, 44–53.
- Krogh, A., Larsson, B., Von Heijne, G. & Sonnhammer, E.L. (2001) Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: application to complete genomes. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 305, 567–580.
- Książkiewicz, M., Nazzicari, N., Yang, H., Nelson, M.N., Renshaw, D., Rychel, S. et al. (2017) A high-density consensus linkage map of white lupin highlights synteny with narrow-leafed lupin and provides markers tagging key agronomic traits. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 15335.
- Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P.B. & Christensen, R.H.B. (2017) ImerTest package: tests in linear mixed effects models. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 82, 1–26.
- Lardner, R., Johnston, P., Plummer, K. & Pearson, M. (1999) Morphological and molecular analysis of *Colletotrichum acutatum sensu lato*. *Mycological Research*, 103, 275–285.
- Lelwala, R.V., Scott, J.B., Ades, P.K. & Taylor, P.W. (2019) Population structure of *Collectotrichum tanaceti* in Australian pyrethrum reveals high evolutionary potential. *Phytopathology*, 109, 1779–1792.
- Lenth, R., Singmann, H., Love, J., Buerkner, P. & Herve, M. (2020) *Emmeans*: estimated marginal means, aka least-squares means (Version 1.5.1). https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=emmeans. [Accessed 20th September 2020].
- Letunic, I. & Bork, P. (2007) Interactive tree of life (iTOL): an online tool for phylogenetic tree display and annotation. *Bioinformatics*, 23, 127–128.
- Li, H. & Durbin, R. (2009) Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics*, 25, 1754-1760.
- Ma, L.-J., Van Der Does, H.C., Borkovich, K.A., Coleman, J.J., Daboussi, M.-J., Di Pietro, A. et al. (2010) Comparative genomics reveals mobile pathogenicity chromosomes in *Fusarium. Nature*, 464, 367–373.
- Madriñán, S., Cortés, A.J. & Richardson, J.E. (2013) Páramo is the world's fastest evolving and coolest biodiversity hotspot. *Frontiers in Genetics*, 4, 192.
- Maryani, N., Lombard, L., Poerba, Y., Subandiyah, S., Crous, P. & Kema, G. (2018) Phylogeny and genetic diversity of the banana Fusarium wilt pathogen *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cubense* in the Indonesian centre of origin. *Studies in Mycology*, 91, 79–99.
- Maurice, S., Montes, M.S., Nielsen, B.J., Bødker, L., Martin, M.D., Jønck, C.G. et al. (2019) Population genomics of an outbreak of the potato late blight pathogen, *Phytophthora infestans*, reveals both clonality and high genotypic diversity. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 20, 1134–1146.
- McDonald, B.A. & Linde, C. (2002) The population genetics of plant pathogens and breeding strategies for durable resistance. *Euphytica*, 124, 163–180.
- Milgroom, M.G., del Mar Jiménez-Gasco, M., Olivares-Garcia, C. & Jimenez-Diaz, R.M. (2016) Clonal expansion and migration of a highly virulent, defoliating lineage of Verticillium dahliae. *Phytopathology*, 106, 1038–1046.
- Miller, M.A., Pfeiffer, W. & Schwartz, T. (2011) The CIPRES science gateway: a community resource for phylogenetic analyses. In: *Proceedings of the 2011 TeraGrid conference: extreme digital discovery*. Utah, USA: Salt Lake City, pp. 1–8.
- Minas, K., McEwan, N.R., Newbold, C.J. & Scott, K.P. (2011) Optimization of a high-throughput CTAB-based protocol for the extraction of qPCR-grade DNA from rumen fluid, plant and bacterial pure cultures. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 325, 162–169.
- Moral, J. & Trapero, A. (2009) Assessing the susceptibility of olive cultivars to anthracnose caused by *Colletotrichum acutatum*. *Plant Disease*, 93, 1028–1036.

11

WILEY-Molecular Plant Pathology

- Nevado, B., Atchison, G.W., Hughes, C.E. & Filatov, D.A. (2016) Widespread adaptive evolution during repeated evolutionary radiations in New World lupins. *Nature Communications*, 7, 12384.
- Nirenberg, H.I., Feiler, U. & Hagedorn, G. (2002) Description of *Colletotrichum lupini* comb. nov. in modern terms. *Mycologia*, 94, 307-320.
- Ordonez, N., Seidl, M.F., Waalwijk, C., Drenth, A., Kilian, A., Thomma, B.P. et al. (2015) Worse comes to worst: bananas and Panama disease – when plant and pathogen clones meet. *PLoS Pathogens*, 11, e1005197.
- Peng, Z., Oliveira-Garcia, E., Lin, G., Hu, Y., Dalby, M., Migeon, P. et al. (2019) Effector gene reshuffling involves dispensable mini-chromosomes in the wheat blast fungus. *PLoS Genetics*, 15, e1008272.
- Peres, N.A., Timmer, L.W., Adaskaveg, J.E. & Correll, J.C. (2005) Life styles of Colletotrichum acutatum. Plant Disease, 89, 784–796.
- Plaumann, P.-L. & Koch, C. (2020) The many questions about mini chromosomes in *Colletotrichum* spp. *Plants*, 9, 641.
- Plaumann, P.-L., Schmidpeter, J., Dahl, M., Taher, L. & Koch, C. (2018) A dispensable chromosome is required for virulence in the hemibiotrophic plant pathogen *Colletotrichum higginsianum*. Frontiers in Microbiology, 9, 1005.
- R Core Team. (2020) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. http:// www.R-project.org/ [Accessed 20th March 2023]
- Riegel, R., Veliz, D., von Baer, I., Quitral, Y. & Munoz, M. (2010) Genetic diversity and virulence of *Colletotrichum lupini* isolates collected in Chile. *Tropical Plant Pathology*, 35, 144–152.
- Rogério, F., Baroncelli, R., Cuevas-Fernández, F.B., Becerra, S., Crouch, J., Bettiol, W. et al. (2023) Population genomics provide insights into the global genetic structure of *Colletotrichum graminicola*, the causal agent of maize anthracnose. *mBio*, 14, e0287822.
- Rogério, F., Van Oosterhout, C., Ciampi-Guillardi, M., Correr, F.H., Hosaka, G.K., Cros-Arteil, S. et al. (2022) Means, motive and opportunity for biological invasions: genetic introgression in a fungal pathogen. *Molecular Ecology*. Available from: https://doi. org/10.1111/mec.16366
- Ronquist, F., Teslenko, M., Van Der Mark, P., Ayres, D.L., Darling, A., Höhna, S. et al. (2012) MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. *Systematic Biology*, 61, 539–542.
- Shivas, R., McClements, J. & Sweetingham, M. (1998) Vegetative compatibility amongst isolates of *Collectrichum* causing lupin anthracnose. Australasian Plant Pathology, 27, 269–273.
- Sperschneider, J. & Dodds, P.N. (2022) EffectorP 3.0: prediction of apoplastic and cytoplasmic effectors in fungi and oomycetes. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*, 35, 146–156.
- Stamatakis, A. (2014) RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics*, 30, 1312–1313.
- Talhinhas, P. & Baroncelli, R. (2021) Colletotrichum species and complexes: geographic distribution, host range and conservation status. Fungal Diversity, 110, 109–198.
- Talhinhas, P., Baroncelli, R. & Le Floch, G. (2016) Anthracnose of lupins caused by *Colletotrichum lupini*: a recent disease and a successful worldwide pathogen. *Journal of Plant Pathology*, 98, 5–14.
- Teufel, F., Almagro Armenteros, J.J., Johansen, A.R., Gíslason, M.H., Pihl, S.I., Tsirigos, K.D. et al. (2022) SignalP 6.0 predicts all five types of signal peptides using protein language models. *Nature Biotechnology*, 40, 1023–1025.

- Tibayrenc, M. & Ayala, F.J. (2012) Reproductive clonality of pathogens: a perspective on pathogenic viruses, bacteria, fungi, and parasitic protozoa. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 109, E3305–E3313.
- Van der Heyden, H., Dutilleul, P., Duceppe, M.O., Bilodeau, G.J., Charron, J.B. & Carisse, O. (2022) Genotyping by sequencing suggests overwintering of *Peronospora destructor* in southwestern Québec, Canada. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 23, 339–354.
- van Rossum, B.-J., Kruijer, W., van Eeuwijk, F., Boer, M., Malosetti, M., Bustos-Korts, D. et al. (2020) Package 'statgenGWAS'. https:// CRAN.R-project.org/package=statgenGWAS [Accessed 20th March 2023].
- Vieira, A., Silva, D.N., Várzea, V., Paulo, O.S. & Batista, D. (2018) Novel insights on colonization routes and evolutionary potential of *Colletotrichum kahawae*, a severe pathogen of *Coffea arabica*. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 19, 2488–2501.
- Wallace, E., D'Arcangelo, K. & Quesada-Ocampo, L. (2020) Population analyses reveal two host-adapted clades of *Pseudoperonospora cubensis*, the causal agent of cucurbit downy mildew, on commercial and wild cucurbits. *Phytopathology*, 110, 1578–1587.
- Wang, B.-H., Ebbole, D.J. & Wang, Z.-H. (2017) The arms race between Magnaporthe oryzae and rice: diversity and interaction of Avr and R genes. Journal of Integrative Agriculture, 16, 2746–2760.
- Weir, B.S. & Cockerham, C.C. (1984) Estimating F-statistics for the analysis of population structure. *Evolution*, 38, 1358–1370.
- Wolko, B., Clements, J.C., Naganowska, B., Nelson, M.N. & Yang, H. (2011) Lupinus. In: Kole, C. (Ed.) Wild crop relatives: genomic and breeding resources: legume crops and forages. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, pp. 153–206.
- Yang, H., Boersma, J.G., You, M., Buirchell, B.J. & Sweetingham, M.W. (2004) Development and implementation of a sequence-specific PCR marker linked to a gene conferring resistance to anthracnose disease in narrow-leafed lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius L.*). *Molecular Breeding*, 14, 145–151.
- Yang, H., Renshaw, D., Thomas, G., Buirchell, B. & Sweetingham, M. (2008) A strategy to develop molecular markers applicable to a wide range of crosses for marker assisted selection in plant breeding: a case study on anthracnose disease resistance in lupin (Lupinus angustifolius L.). Molecular Breeding, 21, 473-483.
- Yang, H., Yu, H. & Ma, L.-J. (2020) Accessory chromosomes in Fusarium oxysporum. Phytopathology, 110, 1488–1496.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Alkemade, J.A., Baroncelli, R., Messmer, M.M. & Hohmann, P. (2023) Attack of the clones: Population genetics reveals clonality of *Colletotrichum lupini*, the causal agent of lupin anthracnose. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 00, 1–12. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/</u> mpp.13332