nature medicine

Article

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-023-02449-7

Clinical effects of Lewy body pathology in cognitively impaired individuals

In the format provided by the authors and unedited



ONLINE SUPPLEMENT



Supplementary Figure 1A-L. Comparisons between AD/LB groups and the independent effects of LB, A β and tau pathologies on clinical outcomes with corrections for multiple comparisons. The analyses were performed using linear regression models with AD/LB groups (A-C, G-I) or all three binarized pathologies (D-F, J-L) as independent variables in the same model, adjusted for age, sex, education (for cognitive outcomes), and cognitive stage (MCI/dementia). In G, H, J, and K, logistic regression models with the same covariates were used since the outcomes were binary. Outcomes were z-scored (according to the distribution in A β -negative controls) cognitive tests (A-F)

and motor questionnaires (I, L) or binary assessment of correct visuospatial task (G, J) or presence of hallucinations (H, K). Boxes (A-C, G-I) show interquartile range, the horizontal lines are medians and the whiskers were plotted using the Tukey method. In D-F, and L, the dot/center shows the estimate of the pathology and the error bars the 95% confidence interval, where negative values equal worse performance. In J and K, the dot/center represents odds ratios where values below 1 equals a decrease, and the error bars the 95% CI of the odds ratios. Worse performance is marked in red. AD positivity was defined as presence of both A β and tau. LB positivity was defined as presence of abnormal α -syn SAA result. 302 participants were AD-/LB-, 106 AD-/LB+, 377 AD+/LB-, and 98 AD+/LB+. 204 were LB+, 607 A β +, and 489 tau+. Multiple comparison corrections were applied per outcome using the FDR method (at α =0.05). Missing data are shown in Supplementary Table 1. * p<0.05; ** p<0.01; *** p<0.001

Abbreviations: A_β, beta-amyloid; AD, Alzheimer's disease. LB, Lewy body



Supplementary Figure 2A-H. Comparisons between AD/LB groups and the independent effects of LB, A β and tau pathologies on longitudinal cognitive function with corrections for multiple comparisons. In A-D, group comparisons were performed using mixed-effects models examining the AD/LB group * time interaction, adjusted for age, sex, education, and cognitive stage (MCI/dementia). In E-H, the interaction time * all three pathologies (binarized) were examined in the same model adjusted for age, sex, and education, to examine the independent effect of pathology and cognitive progression. Outcomes were z-scored cognitive tests according to the distribution in A β -negative controls. A-D show estimated marginal means and 95% confidence interval of the means obtained from linear mixed-effects models by AD/LB group. In E-H, the dot/center shows the interaction estimates of time * pathology and error bars represent the 95% confidence interval. In C and G, binomial mixed-effects models were used since the outcome was binary. 302 participants were AD-/LB-, 106 AD-/LB+, 377 AD+/LB-, and 98 AD+/LB+. 204 were LB+, 607 A β +, and 489 tau+. Multiple comparison corrections were applied per outcome using the FDR method (at α =0.05). Missing data are shown in Supplementary Table 2. * p<0.01; *** p<0.01; *** p<0.01

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES

Variables	Missingness
Global cognitive function ¹	37.6%
Memory function ²	6.5%
Attention/executive function ³	1.3%
Visuospatial function ⁴	1.4%
Symbol Digit Modalities Test	28.2%
MMSE Serial 7s	1.9%
Presence of hallucinations (CIMP-QUEST)	30.2%
Motor function (CIMP-QUEST)	24.2%

Supplementary Table 1. Missing data in cross-sectional analyses (n=883)

 ¹ Measured using the mPACC5
² Measured using the 10-word delayed recall test from ADAS-cog
³ Measured using the Symbol Digit Modalities Test if present, otherwise using the serial 7s subscore from the MMSE.

⁴Measured using the VOSP incomplete letters task in BioFINDER-2 and pentagon copying from the MMSE in BioFINDER-1.

Variables	Overall	Baseline	1-year	2-year	3-year	4-year	5-year	6-year
	(n=3,173	(n=883)	(n=730)	(n=677)	(n=349)	(n=263)	(n=104)	(n=126)
	data							
	points)							
Global cognitive	56.2%	37.6%	70.4%	55.4%	69.6%	54.8%	82.7%	59.2%
function ¹								
Memory function ²	19.4%	6.5%	23.0%	26.1%	22.9%	23.2%	30.8%	24.6%
Attention/executive	5.0%	1.3%	4.0%	5.9%	7.2%	9.5%	15.4%	10.3%
function ³								
Visuospatial	5.3%	1.4%	3.8%	5.9%	6.9%	10.7%	17.3%	14.3%
function ⁴								
Symbol Digit	43.6%	28.2%	50.6%	51.1%	46.1%	45.6%	62.5%	48.4%
Modalities Test								
MMSE Serial 7s	5.8%	1.9%	4.3%	6.9%	8.0%	10.3%	17.3%	12.7%

Supplementary Table 2. Missing data in longitudinal analyses

¹ Measured using the mPACC5 ² Measured using the 10-word delayed recall test from ADAS-cog ³ Measured using the Symbol Digit Modalities Test if present, otherwise using the serial 7s subscore from the MMSE.

⁴Measured using the VOSP incomplete letters task in BioFINDER-2 and pentagon copying from the MMSE in BioFINDER-1.

11				
PD case	Level of certainty of	Level of certainty	Level of certainty	Level of certainty of
	baseline diagnosis	of baseline	of longitudinal	longitudinal
	(Gelb et al. ⁴⁷)	diagnosis (MDS	diagnosis (Gelb et	diagnosis (MDS
		criteria ¹⁵)	al. ⁴⁷)	criteria ¹⁵)
1	Possible	Probable	Possible	Established
2	Probable	Established	Probable	Established
3	Probable	Established	Probable	Established
4	Possible	Probable	Possible	Established
5	Probable	Established	Probable	Established
6	Probable	Established	Probable	Established
7	Possible	Established	Possible	Established
8	Not fulfilling criteria	Not fulfilling	Possible	Probable
		criteria		
9	Probable	Established	Probable	Established
10	Possible	Probable	Possible	Probable
11	Possible	Probable	Probable	Probable
12	Probable	Established	Probable	Established
13	Possible	Probable	Possible	Probable
14	Probable	Established	Probable	Established
15	Not fulfilling criteria	Not fulfilling	Possible	Established
		criteria		
16	Possible	Probable	Possible	Probable
17	Possible	Probable	Probable	Established

Sup	plementary	Table 3.	Diagnostic	classification	of Parkinson ²	's disease
	•					

Longitudinal diagnosis refers to fulfilling the diagnostic criteria either at baseline or during subsequent follow-up visits. Cases with either possible or probable PD according to Gelb et al. were classified as PD in the current study.