

### Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Investigation of the impact of additive manufacturing techniques on the acoustic performance of a coiled-up resonator

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Investigation of the impact of additive manufacturing techniques on the acoustic performance of a coiledup resonator / Fusaro G.; Barbaresi L.; Cingolani M.; Garai M.; Ida E.; Prato A.; Schiavi A.. - In: THE JOURNAL OF THE ACOUSTICAL SOCIETY OF AMERICA. - ISSN 0001-4966. - STAMPA. - 153:5(2023), pp. 2921-2931. [10.1121/10.0019474]

Availability:

This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/931673 since: 2023-06-17

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1121/10.0019474

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of: Gioia Fusaro, Luca Barbaresi, Matteo Cingolani, Massimo Garai, Edoardo Ida, Andrea Prato, Alessandro Schiavi; Investigation of the impact of additive manufacturing techniques on the acoustic performance of a coiled-up resonator. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 1 May 2023; 153 (5): 2921

The final published version is available online at:

https://doi.org/10.1121/10.0019474

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/)

When citing, please refer to the published version.

# Investigation of the impact of additive manufacturing techniques on the acoustic performance of a coiled-up resonator

Gioia Fusaro<sup>1a</sup>), Luca Barbaresi<sup>1</sup>, Matteo Cingolani<sup>1</sup>, Massimo Garai<sup>1</sup>, Edoardo Ida<sup>1</sup>, Andrea Prato<sup>2</sup>, Alessandro Schiavi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Industrial Engineering, University of Bologna, Viale Risorgimento 2, Bologna, 40136, Italy

<sup>2</sup> INRiM – National Institute of Metrological Research, Division of Applied Metrology and Engineering, Torino, Italy

Acoustic metamaterials (AMM) offer innovative solutions for physics and engineering problems, allowing lighter, multiphysics and sustainable systems. They are usually studied analytically or numerically and then tested on prototypes. For this reason, additive manufacturing (AM) techniques are a popular way of quickly realising AMMs' innovative geometrical designs. However, AM parameters are often standardised without considering the specific issues of each AMM geometrical shape, leading to a possible mismatch between the analytical (or numerical) and experimental results. In this study, a simple AMM a coiled-up resonator – has been produced with different AM technologies (fused deposition modelling, FDM, stereolithography, SLA, and Selective Laser Melting, SLM) and materials (PLA, PETG, resin, flexible resin and stainless steel,). The sound absorption performance of these samples has been measured in two research labs in Italy and compared with the analytical and numerical calculations. This permitted the identification of the best combinations of AM technologies, their setup, and materials matching the expected results. The SLA/Resin combination performed better overall; however, cheaper and more easily manageable samples made with FDM and PETG can achieve the same acoustic performance through the optimal AM printing set-up. It is expected that this methodology could also be replicated for other AMMs. ©*2023 Acoustical Society of America.* [https://doi.org(DOI number)]

Pages: 1-12

## I. INTRODUCTION 17

[XYZ]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

18

19

20

21

22

23

39

Acoustic metamaterials have become a resourceful method for designing systems that allow an effective alternative to conventional sound insulating (Lu et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2016; Melnikov et al., 2020), absorbing (Chen et al., 2020; Cingolani et al., 2022b; Jiménez et al., 2016; Shao et al. 2021) and diffusing materials (Jimenez et al., 2017; (Jimenez et al., 2017; Varanasi et al., 2017; Pilch, 2021; Zhu et al., 2017).

24 The control of sound absorption and sound reflections, 25 particularly at low frequencies or at specific narrow frequency 26 bands (generally requiring the use of bulky materials or systems), 27 can be achieved by exploiting peculiar small-size shaped 28 resonant structures and cavities, such as a coiled-up resonator. 29 Specifically, a coiled-up resonator is a classical Helmholtz 30 resonator (as described in 1863 (Helmholtz, 1863)) with an 31 shaped-designed cavity. Such geometrical elongated configuration allows the coiled-up resonator to force an incident 32 field of elastic waves (such as sound pressure or vibration) to 33 behaviour not otherwise found in nature or beyond what is 34 possible with conventional materials by extending the concept 35 of a material (Sutton, 2021) and by enhancing its properties 36 (Alomarah et al., 2022). In that meaning, a coiled-up resonator 37 can be considered an 'acoustic metamaterial' (or an 'acoustic 38

metasurface' unit-cell (Assouar et al., 2018)), being an artificially structured material ("Encyclopedia Britannica," n.d.), engineered with the proper shape, geometry, size, orientation and periodic arrangement.

Such structures are particularly suited to additive manufacturing (AM) processes, i.e. fused deposition modelling (FDM), ceramic powder, Stainless Steel powder, and stereolithography (SLA)(Franco-Martínez et al., 2022; Kennedy et al., 2019; Morris et al., 2018; Zieliński et al., 2020). In previous research, the authors have proved that the acoustic performance of FDM PolyEthylene Terephthalate Glycol-modified (PETG)-based coiled-up resonators depends on the geometrical parameters' variation, which is influenced by thermal conditions: temperature variations cause thermal deformations of the spiral length and hole diameter (Cingolani et al., 2022a), inducing a frequency shift of main peaks that follows an exponential trend (Cingolani et al., 2022a). However, the influence of the 3D printing set-up and quality on the physical performance of coiled-up resonators is yet to be defined. For this reason, three prototyping methods and five constitutive materials have been analysed in this study to define which one better approximates the expected acoustic response (as per analytical and numerical provisional studies).

The present parametric and comparative investigation

agioia.fusaro@unibo.it

aims to determine if, for the coiled-up resonators, there could 1 be an optimal configuration of AM techniques and material that 2 could better approximate the analytical and numerical designed 3 result. First, an analytical investigation following Cai et al. 4 (Casarini et al., 2018) coupled with a Finite Elements 5 Method (FEM) analysis in measurement-like conditions 6 provided a provisional first absorption coefficient result. 7 Secondly, a series of AM techniques (FDM, SLA, and Selective 8 Laser Melting, SLM) and 3D printing materials (filament, resin, 9 or powder) was used to produce the coiled-up resonator with 10 various 3D printing set-ups. Finally, two impedance tubes (one 11 in the Italian National Metrology Institute, also known as 12 INRIM, and another one in the University of Bologna 13 laboratories) were used to test the absorption coefficient of each 14 AM set-up and material. Once the results highlight a best practice for this prototype, the same mixed methodology could 15 also be replicated for other AMMs. 16

### 18 II. METHODS

17

36

37

38

39

40

48

## A. Analytical method for coiled-up resonators characterisation

Among sound absorbers based on the Helmholtz resonance 21 principle, coiled-up resonators have been widely used due to 22 and suitability for their tenability metasurfaces 23 applications(Magnani et al., 2022; Yu et al., 2019). For this 24 reason, they were selected in this research to investigate the 25 accuracy of different 3D printing materials and methods in 26 reproducing the expected analytical and numerical results. 27 Specifically, the geometrical model of coiled-up resonators that will be used has already been discussed in a previously published 28 paper(Cingolani et al., 2022a). 29



Figure 1 (Colour online) View of the circular specimen with embedded coplanar spiral tube obtained with the slow-fine manufacturing setting. The overall thickness of the specimen is 11.1 mm, the diameter of the sample is  $2R_0 = 39$  mm, the side of the hole square is 2a = 5.59 mm, the porosity of the front panel is  $\phi = 2.6\%$ , the total spiral length is L<sub>t</sub> = 133 mm.

The spiral tube length  $(L_t)$ , the side of the square perforation (2a) and the radius of the circular specimen  $(R_0)$  are the geometric constitutive parameters of the investigated coiled-up resonators (see *Figure 1*). Concerning ducts with a rectangular section, the general solution of the two-dimension wave equation is found through the definition of the two-dimension wave equation is found through the definition of two parameters(Stinson and Champoux, 1992):

$$\alpha_{k} = \left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)\pi / a \qquad \beta_{n}k = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\pi / b \tag{1}$$

where *a*, *b* are the half-width and the half-height of a rectangular cross-section, and *k*, *n* are the indexes of all the terms that satisfy the boundary conditions. The effective density,  $\rho(\omega)$ , and the compressibility,  $C(\omega)$ , are constitutional wave variables of the tube, and can be defined according to visco-thermal acoustic theories(Stinson and Champoux, 1992):

$$\rho(\omega) = \rho_0 \frac{a^2 b^2}{4i\omega} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \left[ a_k^2 b_n^2 \left( a_k^2 + b_n^2 + \frac{i\omega}{v} \right) \right] \right)^{-1}$$
(2)

$$C(\omega) = \frac{1}{P_0} \left[ 1 - \frac{4i\omega(\gamma - 1)}{\nu' a^2 b^2} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \left[ a_k^2 b_n^2 \left( a_k^2 + b_n^2 + \frac{i\omega\gamma}{\nu'} \right) \right] \right) \right]^{-1}$$
(3)

with  $\rho_0$  being the air density, v the air kinematic viscosity,  $P_0$  the equilibrium pressure of the air, v' the air thermal diffusivity, and  $\gamma$  the heat capacity ratio in air. Therefore, the characteristic impedance is derived as a function of the effective density and the compressibility:

$$Z_{c}(\omega) = \sqrt{\frac{\rho(\omega)}{C(\omega)}}$$
<sup>(4)</sup>

The resulting acoustic impedance at the entrance of a tube with rigid termination is(Cai et al., 2014):

$$Z_t(\omega) = -iZ_c(\omega)\cot(kL_t)$$
<sup>(5)</sup>

where  $k^2 = -\omega^2 \rho C$  is the effective propagation constant. Following these definitions, the input-specific acoustic impedance of sound waves for the coiled-up resonators is:

$$Z_{in} = \frac{Z_t}{\phi} = \frac{Z_t}{\frac{(2a)^2}{\pi R_0^2}}$$
(6)

where  $\phi$  is the porosity of the specimen, which is defined as the ratio between the surface area of the square perforation  $(a^2)$  and the surface area of the circular specimen  $(\pi R_0^2)$ . The relationship between the input-specific acoustic impedance and the normal incidence sound absorption coefficient,  $\alpha_n$ , is the following:

$$a_n = 1 - \left| \frac{Z_{in} - \rho c}{Z_{in} + \rho c} \right|^2$$
 (7)

where  $\rho c$  is the characteristic impedance of air.

46

47

1

2

#### B. Numerical method

In order to assess the analytical results and implement the metamaterial geometry through parametric sweeps, numerical models are frequently used (Cingolani et al., 2022a; Fusaro et al., 2020). In this study, the commercial software Comsol Multiphysics was used for this aim in order to set up a reference  $\alpha$ -value in reference conditions (T = 20 °C). For this reason, the numerical model followed up a calibration based on experimental  $\alpha_n$  values in the whole frequency spectrum considered at T = 20 °C (pressure acoustics, frequency domain module).

The input mesh of each model included three different domains: the geometry corresponding to the 3D printed specimen (characterised by each material properties), the inner volumes within resonators (air material properties), and the air volume of the impedance tube (air material, plane wave radiation). A schematic of the geometry and the boundary conditions is presented in Figure 2. The exact geometry of the coplanar spiral tube was reproduced to consider the curved parts' effects (Cai et al., 2014). In order to reproduce the experimental impedance tube's transfer-function method, the boundary conditions of the numerical model are characterised by plain wave radiation at the opposite side of the impedance tube with respect to the coiled-up resonator (to simulate the loudspeaker) with a prescribed sound pressure amplitude of 1 Pa. Furthermore, all the geometry is characterised as sound hard boundary conditions imposing null displacements along the axial direction concerning the tube. Finally, the mesh size has been defined through the standard FEM criterion for acoustic models to obtain at least six elements for the smallest wavelength, considering the maximum frequency of 4000 Hz, according to convergence recommendations (Marburg and Nolte, 2008).



Figure 2 (Colour online) Schematic of the geometry and the boundary conditions

## C. Acoustic absorption coefficient experimental measurements – Laboratory A and B

The impedance tubes used in the study are placed in the Italian National Metrology Institute (INRIM) and the Industrial Engineering Department of the University of Bologna, respectively, addressed in this study as Lab A and Lab B. Lab

A circular impedance tube is made of Plexiglas to guarantee rigid, smooth, non-porous walls, with 50 mm internal diameter and 650 mm length, with two 1/4" B&K 4136 microphones adopted for sound pressure measurement. The microphone spacing is fixed at 26 mm; thereby, the absorption coefficient  $\alpha_n$  is limited at the precautionary frequency range of 100 Hz – 4000 Hz. Microphones can rotate 180° as required in ISO 10534-2 for instrument calibration (ISO 10534-2: 1998. Acoustics – Determination of sound absorption coefficient and impedance in impedance tubes - Part 2: Transfer function method, 1998). A white noise, generated with a B&K 1405 noise and amplified by a B&K 2706 power amplifier, is emitted by a sound source connected to the tube. Microphones are pre-amplified by a B&K 2807 microphone power supply, and their signal is acquired by a NI 4431 board connected to a PC, processed in real-time through Labview NI "Sound and Vibration Toolbox" and post-processed with MATLAB. Measurements are carried out in a temperature-controlled laboratory between 20 °C and 23 °C. In Lab B's impedance tube, the roughness of the interior walls does not influence the experimental measurements, as calibration with an empty tube is performed every month as required in ISO 10534-2 for instrument calibration(ISO 10534-2: 1998. Acoustics – Determination of sound absorption coefficient and impedance in impedance tubes – Part 2: Transfer function method, 1998). A rigid ring adapter is used to fit the 40 mm diameter coiledup resonator into the 50 mm diameter impedance tube. Modelling clay around the contact edge between the two is placed so no gaps are left.



Figure 3 INRiM (Lab A) impedance tube measurement setup conditions (T = 20 °C). For this reason, the numerical model followed up a calibration based on experimental  $\alpha_n$ values in the whole frequency spectrum considered at T = 20 °C (pressure acoustics, frequency domain module).

The input mesh of each model included three different domains: the geometry corresponding to the 3D printed specimen (characterised by each material properties), the inner volumes within resonators (air material properties), and the air volume of the impedance tube (air material, plane wave radiation). A schematic of the geometry and the boundary conditions is presented in Figure 2. The exact geometry of the coplanar spiral tube was reproduced to consider the curved

1 parts' effects (Cai et al., 2014). In order to reproduce the experimental impedance tube's transfer-function method, the 2 boundary conditions of the numerical model are characterised 3 by plain wave radiation at the opposite side of the impedance Δ tube with respect to the coiled-up resonator (to simulate the 5 loudspeaker) with a prescribed sound pressure amplitude of 1 6 Pa. Furthermore, all the geometry is characterised as sound 7 hard boundary conditions imposing null displacements along 8 the axial direction concerning the tube. Finally, the mesh size 9 has been defined through the standard FEM criterion for 10 acoustic models to obtain at least six elements for the smallest 11 wavelength, considering the maximum frequency of 4000 Hz, 12 according to convergence recommendations (Marburg and Nolte, 2008). 13

Lab B circular impedance tube is made of Plexiglas too, built 14 according to ISO 10534-2 (ISO 10534-2: 1998. Acoustics -15 Determination of sound absorption coefficient and impedance in impedance 16 tubes - Part 2: Transfer function method, 1998) and following the 17 so-called one microphone method in order to prevent the 18 mismatch between the two microphones. The impedance 19 tube's diameter is 40 mm, and its operating frequency range is 20 300 - 5000 Hz. The measurements chain consists of a 21 loudspeaker for signal generation, a signal amplifier (Samson 22 120A with SNR = 96 dB), an audio device, a single microphone 23 1/4" PCB and a signal conditioner PCB Model 482C15. The 24 output signal from the loudspeaker is an exponential sine sweep(Corredor-Bedoya et al., 2021) converted to an analogue 25 signal by the DAC of the soundcard (RME 802). The pressure 26 signals recorded by the microphone are converted to digital 27 audio objects through the Analog-to-Digital Converter and 28 sampled with a sample rate of 44.1 kHz at 24-bit depth, 29 avoiding dynamic gain issues. The digital signal processing was 30 developed with MATLAB software(Matlab, 2019) exploiting 31 the ITA- Toolbox Impedance Tube calculation scripts(ITA-32 Toolbox, 2017), according to the Transfer-Function 33 Method(Chung and Blaser, 1998). In Lab B's impedance tube, 34 the roughness of the interior walls does not influence the



Figure 4 (Colour online) University of Bologna (Lab B) impedance tube measurement set-up

experimental measurements, as calibration with an empty tube is performed before every measurement.

#### D. Roughness and Porosity experimental measurement

One potential influencing parameter that could imply a variation between analytical and numerical results with the experimental ones could be the roughness (R) derived by the 3D printing techniques and materials. The roughness of the different materials is measured with a Taylor Hobson Form Talysurf 120L roughness tester (see Figure 5). It uses direct contact of a diamond-tip stylus to the surface for measurement. The diameter of the tip is 4  $\mu$ m, and the cone angle is 60°. With this system, it is possible to measure the main roughness amplitude parameters to characterise surface topography: the arithmetic average height of the profile ( $R_a$ ), the maximum height ( $R_t$ ) and the ten-point height ( $R_z$ ) according to ISO 21920-2:2021 (Standardization, 2021) and DIN 4768:1990 (Gadelmawla et al., 2002).

Moreover, a qualitative study on the coiled-up resonator internal wall was run through Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) technology to define the characterisation derived from different Printing Velocity and Quality parameters. The SEM microscope used is a SEM Zeiss EVO 50 VP (EDS Oxford Instruments xact), placed in the University of Bologna laboratory.



Figure 5 Taylor Hobson Form Talysurf 120L roughness tester ((a) general view and (b) application to a coiled-up sample), and (c) SEM Zeiss EVO 50 VP used for measuring the porosity.

#### E. Additive Manufacturing techniques and set-ups

Additive manufacturing is a reliable method widely used in the acoustic engineering research community (Johnston and Sharma, 2021; Kim and Yoon, 2021) through various techniques which are characterised by several 3D printing set-ups affecting the product (Askari et al., 2020; Kennedy et al., 2019). Five prototypes have been produced through Fused Deposition Modelling (FDM), Stereolithography (SLA), and Selective Laser Melting (SLM). In the first method, a specific material (PLA or PETG) filament is fused through a nozzle and deposited on the printing platform following specific geometry and layering inputs. In the second method (SLA), the liquid material (resin), constrained in a container, is polymerised through UV light following a specific geometry. Finally, the third method (SLM) generates a designed geometry by melting a stainless steel powder.

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

35

Figure 6 (Colour online) Photos of the sectioned 3D printed prototypes in FDM-PLA, FDM-PETG, SLA-Resin, and SLM-Stainless Steel, cut in half to display the upper (left) and lower (right) sides. The filling density of the resulting geometrical volumes (marked with the red lines) and the resonant volume walls' (blue line) characterisation can be observed.

As highlighted from Figure 6 in this research, various 19 combinations of printing settings are explored to assess the 20 influence of the manufacturing process on the acoustic 21 properties of the AMM geometry under study. The samples 22 have been cut in half to appreciate the printing 23 characterisation results, and the upper (left) and lower (right) 24 sides are displayed in Figure 6. Specific attention should be 25 addressed to the red highlighted area. These are the resulting 26 volumes, defined as a volume within the prototype geometry 27 that does not have a specific characterisation (e.g., the volume 28 enclosed in a cylinder) and for which a filling density can be 29 set up (with different degrees of customizability). According to the printing machines' limits, these volumes are 30 characterised by different filling densities. Another important 31 feature shown in Figure 6 is the inner division wall 32 characterisation, which depends on the printing Speed and 33 Quality of the printing machines' set-ups. 34

#### 1. FDM Optimal set-up determination

36 Two of the specimens involved in the present work have 37 been manufactured through FDM technology. FDM is one of the most widespread additive manufacturing technologies 38 for various reasons (Allen and Trask, 2015)(Morris et al., 39 2018): the range of thermoplastic polymers that can be used 40 as raw material for the process is extensive; recent 41 advancements in raw-material (filament) production allows to 42 exploit of recycled thermoplastic materials making this 43 technology ecologically sustainable; FDM machines are 44 generally affordable and of low maintenance costs. The 45 printers used in this case to produce coiled-up resonators 46

were Flashforge Creator 3 (for PETG) and MakerBot Replicator + (for PLA). Specifically, for the combination Flashforge Creator 3 and PETG, the speed parameters (Base speed, Outline speed, Solid layer speed and Infill speed) and quality parameters (number of top and bottom solid layers and layer height), have been involved in an optimisation process while maintaining other settings constant (see Table 1). Given several circular features in the specimens, a higher speed usually produces less accurate curvilinear features; thus, the faster the process, the worst the specimen is expected to be. Flashforge Creator 3 can produce components by deposing 50  $\mu$ m thick layers of thermoplastic material, with an 11  $\mu$ m horizontal positioning resolution within a layer. Simple stepper motors drive the Cartesian mechanical architecture that displaces the extruder nozzle without feedback control on the position of the nozzle. Thus, the manufacturing processes' absolute accuracy is influenced by the printing material (some thermoplastic polymers exhibit severe shrinkage and deformation after extrusion) and by the print-process setup(Kuznetsov et al., 2018).

The material selected for the FDM optimal set-up determination was Formfutura HD-glass, an amorphous PETG. This filament was chosen for its appropriate mechanical properties (high tensile modulus and strength) and nearly deformation-free printing capabilities(Ligon et al., 2017). Two-speed settings were considered: a slow setting, characterised by a Base speed of 50 mm/s, an Outline speed of 15 mm/s, a Solid layer speed of 30 mm/s, and an Infill speed of 45 mm/s, and a fast setting, characterised by a Base speed of 80 mm/s, Outline speed of 25 mm/s, Solid layer speed of 50 mm/s, and Infill speed of 75 mm/s. The layer height is usually proportional to surface roughness; thus, the thinner the layers (compatible with the material used), the smoother the specimen surfaces. Therefore, two quality settings were investigated as well: the Fine and Coarse settings were respectively characterised by the Number of top and bottom solid layers of 4 and 2 and a Layer height of 0.12 and 0.30 mm. Hence, referring to Table 1, four different specimens with the same nominal geometry and dimensions were printed using the following configurations: Fast-Coarse, Fast-Fine, Slow-Coarse, and Slow-Fine. Figure 1 shows one of the fabricated samples with the highest 3D printing quality (slow-fine), along with an internal view of the two pieces (upper and lower) showing the inner duct surface along the longitudinal sections. The overall thickness of the specimen is 11.1 mm, the diameter of the sample is  $2R_0 = 39$  mm, the side of the hole square is 2a = 5.59 mm, the porosity of the front panel is  $\phi =$ 2.6%, the total spiral length is  $L_t = 133$  mm. PETG has a medium toxicity level, meaning that the environment where the printing machine is placed must be ventilated, or HEPA filters

1 installed in the automatically ventilated 3D printing machine. For the other 3D printing machines, MakerBot Replicator + 2 was used to fabricate the PLA samples. The nozzle diameter 3 used is 0.4 mm, and it travels at a printing speed of 60 mm/s 4 on the base, 150 mm/s on the intermediate level, and 40 mm/s 5 on the top and bottom solid layers. Each layer is printed with 6 a Layer height of 0.2 mm and a Printing Temperature of 215°C 7 (lower than the Flashforge Creator 3, which is 247°C). In this 8 case, the Filling density is 10%. From the toxicity point of view, 9 PLA is entirely non-toxic, and no specific training is required 10 to manage this material for AM purposes.

Table 1 Constant and variable printing parameters. The
combinations of two printing speed configurations
(slow/fast) and two quality setting configurations
(fine/coarse) are investigated through four distinct
manufactured specimens.

11

33

34

16	Ĩ		
17	Constant parameters	Value	
18	Extruder temperature (°C)	225	
10	Platform temperature (°C)	80	
19	Infill	Gyroid (3D infill)	
20	Infill density (%)	30	
21	Retraction (mm/s)	30	
22	Retraction Distance (mm)	2	
22	Number of outlines	4	
23	Support	No	
24	Raft	No	
25	Variable parameters	Values	
26	Printing Speed	Slow	Fast
27	Base speed (mm/s)	50	80
28	Outline speed (mm/s)	15	25
20	Solid layer speed (mm/s)	30	50
29	Infill speed (mm/s)	45	75
30	Quality setting	Fine	Coarse
31	N. of top and bottom solid layers	4	2
32	Layer height (mm)	0.12	0.30

#### 2. SLA Set-up

Stereolithography (SLA) is a method that was created initially 35 for technical prototyping tools related to the medical research 36 environment (Dzionk, 2013; Lindén and Jakubiak, 2001) due 37 to the reproduction precision at the µm scale (Dzionk, 2013; 38 Rodriguez et al., 2021). For the same reasons, in recent years, 39 bio-engineering and engineering researchers have been 40 exploiting this method too, studying and characterising the 41 SLA products from the physical, mechanical and 42 environmental points of view(Bernasconi et al., n.d.; Rodriguez 43 et al., 2021). SLA applications are also known in the 44 metamaterial field (Casarini et al., 2018; Xiao et al., 2021; Yin et al., 2012) even though this is not the most preferred 45 prototyping method, as it is more expensive than FDM, it 46

involved in this technology can result in toxic if not appropriately managed. For this specific AMM design, for example, after the solidification of the resin, SLA samples must undergo a post-processing cleaning of the inner duct to ensure that none of the liquid resin is left inside the coil, increasing the production time of each sample. So, in this research, SLA was considered to compare its products' quality with FDM in terms of accuracy in reproducing the expected acoustic performance according to analytical and numerical analysis. Due to the significantly higher accuracy in the geometry reproduction, the optimal printing parameters were already known for SLA (with both the elastic and non-elastic resins). They included a Printing speed of 30 s/layer, considering a 0.1 mm layer height, and a filling density of the geometrical voids of 100%. In this AM technology, the Printing speed is referred to the polymerisation of the liquid resin through UV light. The printer model was a DWS X-FAB; the polymers used were INVICTA 977 (non-flexible sample) and TDS IT\_FLEXA693 (flexible sample). The resins used in SLA technology are commonly fairly toxics, and the post-processing materials (i.e. isopropyl alcohol) pose fire hazards. Therefore, an adequate working station is required and the staff managing the machine must be appropriately trained and use protection tools.

needs more post-processing work and space, and the materials

#### 3. SLM Set-up

The Stainless Steel prototype was realised through Selective Laser Melting (SLM) additive 3D metal printing technology using an AISI 316L grade stainless steel powder with an EOS M280 3D printing machine. This AM technology involves a fast-moving laser which selectively melts the powder bed along its path. The laser has a rated power of 360W and a rated diameter of 50 µm with an Energy Density of 130J/m<sup>3</sup>. The AISI 316L grade stainless steel powder is made of 30-40 µm diameter grains, and it can build with a layer height of 60µm with a specific weight of 7.98 g/cm<sup>3</sup>. This technology is one of the most expensive, as it involves highly specialized equipment for metallic powder precise management and recycling, and is highly dangerous for human operators due to low diameter of metallic powders, which poses cancerogenic hazars. Dedicated and sealed environment are needed for storing the equipment, and operators need dedicated training and protective gear. with a specific weight of 7.98 g/cm<sup>3</sup>. This technology is one of the most expensive, as it involves highly specialized equipment for metallic powder precise management and recycling, and is highly dangerous for human operators due to low diameter of metallic powders, which poses cancerogenic hazars. Dedicated and sealed environment are needed for storing the equipment, and operators need dedicated training and protective gear.



Figure 7 (Colour online) Normal incidence sound absorption coefficient of the PLA, and Stainless Steel samples, calculated and measured in the impedance tube of laboratories A and B.

#### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## A. Sound absorption coefficient from different AM technologies and materials

Figure and Figure show the normal incidence sound absorption coefficient measured by the two labs in the frequency range of 300-4000 Hz. Overall, there is a significant correspondence between the values measured on the SLA and FDM PET samples (Figure 8) compared to the expected analytical result, while the PLA and Stainless Steel prototype results are not agreeing. Therefore, since the input geometry is the same for all the samples, it is probable that there are some non-optimised printing set-ups which increase the error between the expected analytical results and the experimental ones. The most disagreeing results are related to the Stainless Steel sample, which presents a single sound absorption coefficient peak around 1900 Hz ( $\alpha_n = 0.95$ ). Looking at the Stainless Steel sample section shown in Figure , bottom right, a significant occlusion of the internal duct due to Stainless Steel powder residuals is highlighted; therefore, the  $a_n$  curve of such a sample has one peak only in the given frequency range. The PLA analytical result in frequency and amplitude. However, from Figure 6, top left, no specific difference is highlighted in the geometric imperfections of the coiled duct, so further analysis of the roughness and the inner division wall characterisation could highlight details on the difference between the acoustic performance of PLA, PETG, and Resin samples.



Figure 8 (Colour online) Normal incidence sound absorption coefficient of the PETG and Resin (including the arithmetical average of standard and flex resin results) samples, calculated and measured in the impedance tube of laboratories A and B.

#### B. Inner division wall characterisation through **Roughness analysis**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

26

27

28

29

30

31

Table 2 shows the duct's internal surfaces' average roughness (Ra). In the FDM-made samples (PLA and PET), the upper layer, influenced by gravity, results significantly rougher than the bottom in all the measured samples (see Figure 6). On the other hand, the SLA/Resin samples have a more negligible difference between the upper and lower surface roughness, and overall, the  $R_a$  is smaller than that of the FDM samples. This is due to the absence of gravity-dependence of the UV 10 polymerisation process used by SLA technology, making it the 11 most precise in reproducing the AMM shape, even if more 12 expensive, toxic, and has longer post-production times. As 13 shown in Table 2, the different internal roughness of PETG and Resin (either standard or flexible) samples determines 14 mainly a slight alteration in the amplitude of the peaks (Figure 15 8), with a small shift of the peak frequency. Even if the duct's 16 internal roughness can explain the difference between the 17 acoustic performances of PLA, PETG, and Resin samples, it 18 does not explain the differences between the two FDM 19 samples' results. FDM samples differ mainly by the filling 20 density (10% for PLA and 30% for PETG), which considers 21 the percentage of filling material within the resulting 22 geometrical volume of the 3D-printed samples. For this 23 reason, a more in-depth analysis focusing on the 3D printing 24 Filling Density is investigated in the next section. 25

#### C. Influence of Filling density over acoustic properties

Figure shows the sections of four samples where the resulting geometrical volumes are highlighted in red. The filling density in these volumes can be customised in the FDM set-up, while for the SLA, it is set as 100% by default: the SLA involves the solidification of liquid resin, which fills the geometrical domain Table 2 Arithmetic average height Roughness (R<sub>a</sub>) of the internal duct upper and bottom surfaces for each AM technique and material used to realise the prototype.

3D printing method	R <sub>a</sub> (µm)		
	upper	bottom	
1 (PLA - FDM)	41.12	6.22	
2 (PETG - FDM)	35.54	3.17	
3 (Resin - SLA)	0.48	1.36	
4 Stainless Steel (FDM)	23.28	35.76	

entirely. Since this variable parameter may determine a significant variation in the acoustic performance, it is crucial to assess its influence on the difference between the experimental results from the expected ones (from the analytical and numerical design). For this reason, a final experimental analysis was run to determine the optimal filling density for FDM PETG-based samples, keeping a slow-fine set-up (as assessed in the previous section). The filling percentages considered were 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, and 50%, while all the other printing set-ups were kept as for the previous experimental analysis (including Printing Quality=Fine and Velocity=Slow). From the results shown in Figure , the samples which experimentally present higher correspondence with the analytical curve are those having a filling density of 30%, 40%, and 50%, while those with 10% and 20% filling density exhibit  $a_n$ -peak too damped (especially in the lower frequency range).

#### D. Comparison tests for outlining optimal FDM PET printing velocity and quality

The influence of the FDM manufacturing process has been evaluated by measuring the variation of the experimental sound





absorption peak frequencies and amplitude from the analytical one. Figure 10 shows the first absorption peak's behaviour relative to four specimens fabricated with the 3D printing speed and coarse/fine quality setting. It should be noted that each specimen has been mounted and measured inside the impedance tube five times, so, in Figure , the average curve of five successive measurements is displayed, aiming to reduce the non-idealities effect and obtain a more reliable fitting with the model (Prato et al., 2021).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28



Figure 10 (Colour online) Comparison among the specimens fabricated with the four manufacturing settings and the predictive analytical model: the slow-fine setting best matches the prediction model. The RMS deviations of the four curves from the analytical model are: 27% for fast-coarse, 21% for fast-fine, 30% for slow-coarse, 14% for slow-fine.

29 Root-mean-square (RMS) deviations have been calculated to 30 quantify the discrepancies between each configuration and the analytical model: 27% for fast-coarse, 21% for fast-fine, 30% 31 for slow-coarse, 14% for slow-fine. Observing these 32 experimental results, it is evident that these printing parameters 33 significantly affect the acoustic performance of the specimens 34 and the agreement with the theoretical values. Therefore, the 35 quality setting (fine/coarse) influences more than the printing 36 speed (slow/fast), and to understand why a qualitative analysis 37 on the inner division wall characterisation (see Figure 6) was 38 run through SEM technology. As shown in Figure 11.a,b, 39 Printing Velocity determines an undulatory distribution of the 40 filament layers overlapping in the internal wall when set as Fast, 41 differently from the Slow one, which determines a linear 42 distribution of overlapped layers. On the other side, Figure 11.c,d shows how the Printing Quality significantly varies the 43 porosity between two layers of the wall when Coarse Printing 44 Quality is involved. Therefore, as anticipated in Section II.E.1, 45 the quality setting depends on the height of the layers: 46 therefore, the finer the layers, the more accurate the inner

division wall (between the solid and coiled duct) will be, and less porosity will appear on the limit surface between layers. Specifically, the average of pore diameter for Coarse setup is 7 µm. The internal total height of the resonant cavity section is 2a = 5.59 mm, while the length is 133.1mm. The number of layers for Fine setting is 50, while for Coarse is 20. Considering a superficial Coarse sample of a total area At=31.25 mm<sup>2</sup> and an average pore area of 0.49 µm<sup>2</sup>, 429 pores can be count in one connecting surface between two layers 5.59mm long (see Figure 11.c). Therefore, for 18 overall connecting layers, a total of 7722 pores is contained averagely in 31.25mm<sup>2</sup>, with a total Area of the pores (Ap) of 0.0038mm<sup>2</sup>. Therefore, a 0.01% porosity can be considered in the internal division wall of the Coarse samples (being Pt=Ap/At=0.012%) which eventually combined with the empty volumes (determined by the filling density of 30%) could lead to the broadening of the  $\alpha_n$ frequency range and decrease the peak's amplitude (see Figure 10, Slow-Coarse).



Figure 11 SEM scan which highlights within the inner division layer (a) undulatory layers in the internal (Fast printing Velocity), (b) linear layers (Slow printing Velocity), (c) porosity between layers (Coarse printing Quality), and (d) smooth layers (Fine printing Quality).

#### IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the influence of a specific 3D printing set-up on the acoustic performance of an exemplary sample - a coiled-up resonator – is studied, with the aim of finding the printing method that allows the best match of the experimental results with the expected analytical and numerical ones. In the first step, two Italian laboratories' experimental results were compared to determine which combination of printing techniques (FDM, SLA, and SLM) and material (PLA, PETG, Resin, Flexible Resin, and Stainless Steel) is the most effective for accurate reproduction of the expected acoustic performance. Considering the internal measured roughness, SLA performed overall better than the other samples (results in Table 2 show an overall  $R_a$  of 0.91 µm), followed by FDM 1 PETG (overall Ra of 19.36 µm). FDM PLA and Stainless Steel samples approximate the expected result with low resolution 2 due to a relatively high internal duct roughness (respectively 3 23.67 µm and 29.52 µm). However, the roughness could not 4 justify the differences found among the sound absorption 5 coefficient peaks, as both FDM techniques had a R<sub>a</sub> with a 6 slight difference ( $\Delta R_a = 4.31 \ \mu m$ ) compared to the difference 7 with SLA ( $\Delta R_a = 22.75 \ \mu m$ ). 8

On the other hand, filling density of 30% and above proved to 9 reach the best approximation with the attended results in FDM 10 technologies; this highlights the importance of characterising 11 the resulting geometrical volumes within the 3D printed 12 samples for their acoustic performance (16% of RMS 13 deviation). For this reason, a further analysis was performed on 14 other set-up parameters, such as printing Speed and Quality 15 settings. As a result, an optimal printing configuration was found at a Slow-Fine printing set-up with a 14% RMS deviation 16 from the attended result. Therefore, even if FDM PETG 17 represents a cheaper and less refined sample, it can achieve the 18 same acoustic performance as the SLA Resin (which is more 19 expensive and needs much more post-processing), selecting 20 the optimal printing set-up. 21

Our parametric and comparative study determined an optimal and affordable 3D printing set-up and material choice for producing coiled-up resonators that better approximate the analytical and numerical designed result. It is hoped that the methodology applied to this prototype could be extended to other AMMs in further studies.

#### V. Acknowledgement

This research was funded by the Ministero dell'Istruzione dell'Università della Ricerca (Italy), within the project PRIN 2017, grant number 2017T8SBH9: "Theoretical modelling and experimental characterisation of sustainable porous materials and acoustic metamaterials for noise control".

The authors would also like to thank Maria Giulia Faga and
 Giovanni Gautier from CNR STEMS (Torino, Italy) for their

availability to measure the roughness of the tested materials with their roughness tester.

#### References

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

35

Allen, R. J. A., and Trask, R. S. (2015). "An experimental demonstration of effective Curved Layer Fused Filament Fabrication utilising a parallel deposition robot," Addit. Manuf., 8, 78–87. doi:10.1016/J.ADDMA.2015.09.001

Alomarah, A., Masood, S. H., and Ruan, D. (2022). "Metamaterials with enhanced mechanical properties and tuneable Poisson's ratio.," Smart Mater. Struct., 31, 025026.

Askari, M., Hutchins, D. A., Thomas, P. J., Astolfi, L.,
Watson, R. L., Abdi, M., Ricci, M., et al. (2020).
"Additive manufacturing of metamaterials: A review," Addit. Manuf., doi: 10.1016/J.ADDMA.2020.101562. doi:10.1016/J.ADDMA.2020.101562

- Assouar, B., Liang, B., Wu, Y., Li, Y., Cheng, J.-C., and Jing, Y. (2018). "Acoustic metasurfaces," Nat. Rev. Mater., 3, 460–472. doi:10.1038/s41578-018-0061-4
- Bernasconi, R., Credi, C., Tironi, M., Levi, M., and Magagnin, L. (n.d.). "Electroless Metallization of Stereolithographic Photocurable Resins for 3D Printing of Functional Microdevices," J. Electrochem. Soc., 164, B3059–B3066. doi:10.1149/2.0081705jes
- Cai, X., Guo, Q., Hu, G., and Yang, J. (2014). "Ultrathin lowfrequency sound absorbing panels based on coplanar spiral tubes or coplanar Helmholtz resonators," Appl. Phys. Lett., 105, 121901. doi:10.1063/1.4895617
- Casarini, C., Tiller, B., Mineo, C., MacLeod, C. N., Windmill, J. F. C., and Jackson, J. C. (2018). "Enhancing the Sound Absorption of Small-Scale 3-D Printed Acoustic Metamaterials Based on Helmholtz Resonators," IEEE Sens. J., 18, 7949–7955. doi:10.1109/JSEN.2018.2865129
- Chen, J. S., Chen, Y. Bin, Cheng, Y. H., and Chou, L. C. (2020). "A sound absorption panel containing coiled Helmholtz resonators," Phys. Lett. Sect. A Gen. At. Solid State Phys., , doi: 10.1016/J.PHYSLETA.2020.126887. doi:10.1016/J.PHYSLETA.2020.126887
- Chung, J. Y., and Blaser, A. (1998). "Transfer function method of measuring in-duct acoustic properties. II. Experiment," J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 68, 914. doi:10.1121/1.384779
- Cingolani, M., Fusaro, G., Fratoni, G., and Garai, M. (**2022a**). "Influence of thermal deformations on sound absorption of three-dimensional printed metamaterials," JASA, , doi: 10.1121/10.0011552. doi:10.1121/10.0011552
- Cingolani, M., Fusaro, G., and Garai, M. (**2022b**). "The influence of thermo-hygrometric conditions on metamaterials ' acoustic performance : an investigation on a 3-D printed coiled-up resonator," Internoise, Glasgow.
- Corredor-Bedoya, A. C., Acuña, B., Serpa, A. L., and Masiero, B. (2021). "Effect of the excitation signal type on the absorption coefficient measurement using the impedance tube," Appl. Acoust., doi: 10.1016/J.APACOUST.2020.107659. doi:10.1016/J.APACOUST.2020.107659
- Dzionk, S. (2013). "Surface structure of components created by stereolitography," Solid State Phenom., 199, 338– 343. doi:10.4028/www.scientific.net/SSP.199.338
- *Encyclopedia Britannica*, (n.d.). Available: https://www.britannica.com/. Retrieved from https://www.britannica.com/
- Franco-Martínez, F., Grasl, C., Kornfellner, E., Vostatek, M., Cendrero, A. M., Moscato, F., Andrés, &, et al. (2022). "Hybrid design and prototyping of metamaterials and metasurfaces,", doi: 10.1080/17452759.2022.2101009. doi:10.1080/17452759.2022.2101009
- Fusaro, G., Yu, X., Kang, J., and Cui, F. (2020). "Development of metacage for noise control and natural ventilation in a window system," Appl. Acoust., 170, 107510. doi:10.1016/j.apacoust.2020.107510
- Gadelmawla, E. S., Koura, M. M., Maksoud, T. M. A., Elewa, I. M., and Soliman, H. H. (2002). "Roughness parameters," J. Mater. Process. Technol., 123, 133–145. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-0136(02)00060-2]

Helmholtz, H. von (1863). Die Lehre von den Tonempfindungen als physiologische Grundlage f
ür die Theorie der Musik [The Study of the Sensations of Tone as a Physiological Foundation for Music Theory], 1 ed.

ISO 10534-2: 1998. Acoustics – Determination of sound absorption coefficient and impedance in impedance tubes – Part 2: Transfer function method (**1998**). International Organisation for Standardisation.

ITA-Toolbox (2017). "ITA-Toolbox, Open source MATLAB toolbox for acoustics developed by the Institute of Technical Acoustics of the RWTH Aachen University.,"

Jimenez, N., Cox, T. J., Romero-Garcia, V., and Groby, J. P. (2017). "Metadiffusers: Sound diffusers with deepsubwavelength dimensions," 2017 11th Int. Congr. Eng. Mater. Platforms Nov. Wave Phenomena, Metamaterials 2017, , doi: 10.1109/MetaMaterials.2017.8107876. doi:10.1109/MetaMaterials.2017.8107876

Jiménez, N., Huang, W., Romero-García, V., Pagneux, V., and Groby, J.-P. P. (2016). "Ultra-thin metamaterial for perfect and quasi-omnidirectional sound absorption," Appl. Phys. Lett., 109, 121902. doi:10.1063/1.4962328

Johnston, W., and Sharma, B. (**2021**). "Additive manufacturing of fibrous sound absorbers," Addit. Manuf., **41**, 101984. doi:10.1016/j.addma.2021.101984

Kennedy, J., Flanagan, L., Dowling, L., Bennett, G. J., Rice, H., Trimble, D., and Groby, J.-P. (2019). "The Influence of Additive Manufacturing Processes on the Performance of a Periodic Acoustic Metamaterial,", doi: 10.1155/2019/7029143. doi:10.1155/2019/7029143

Kim, D. H., and Yoon, G. H. (2021). "Active acoustic absorption device using additive manufacturing technique for normal incident wave," Appl. Acoust., , doi: 10.1016/J.APACOUST.2021.108006. doi:10.1016/J.APACOUST.2021.108006

Kuznetsov, V. E., Solonin, A. N., Urzhumtsev, O. D., Schilling, R., and Tavitov, A. G. (2018). "Strength of PLA Components Fabricated with Fused Deposition Technology Using a Desktop 3D Printer as a Function of Geometrical Parameters of the Process,", doi: 10.3390/polym10030313. doi:10.3390/polym10030313

Ligon, S. C., Liska, R., Stampfl, J., Gurr, M., and Mülhaupt, R. (2017). "Polymers for 3D Printing and Customized Additive Manufacturing," Chem. Rev., 117, 10212– 10290. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.7b00074

Lindén, L. A., and Jakubiak, J. (2001). "Three-dimensional (3D) photopolymerization in the stereolitography. Part III. Medical applications of laser-induced photopolymerization and 3D stereolithography," Polimery/Polymers, 46, 227–231. doi:10.14314/polimery.2001.227

Lu, Z., Yu, X., Lau, S. K., Khoo, B. C., and Cui, F. (2020). "Membrane-type acoustic metamaterial with eccentric masses for broadband sound isolation," Appl. Acoust., 157, 107003. doi:10.1016/j.apacoust.2019.107003

Magnani, A., Marescotti, C., and Pompoli, F. (2022). "Acoustic absorption modeling of single and multiple coiled-up resonators," Appl. Acoust., doi: 10.1016/J.APACOUST.2021.108504. doi:10.1016/J.APACOUST.2021.108504

Marburg, S., and Nolte, B. (2008). Computational Acoustics of Noise Propagation in Fluids: Finite and Boundary Element Methods, Springer, New York, 578 pages.

Matlab (2019). "MATLAB Version 9.6.0.1072779 (R2019a).,"

- Melnikov, A., Maeder, M., Friedrich, N., Pozhanka, Y., Wollmann, A., Scheffler, M., Oberst, S., et al. (2020).
  "Acoustic metamaterial capsule for reduction of stage machinery noise," J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 147, 1491– 1503. doi:10.1121/10.0000857
- Morris, C., Bekker, L., Haberman, M. R., and Seepersad, C. C. (2018). "Design exploration of reliably manufacturable materials and structures with applications to negative stiffness metamaterials and microstereolithography1," J. Mech. Des. Trans. ASME, , doi: 10.1115/1.4041251. doi:10.1115/1.4041251
- Pilch, A. (2021). "Optimized diffusers for shoe-box shaped performance halls," Appl. Acoust., 178, 108019. doi:10.1016/j.apacoust.2021.108019
- Prato, A., Schiavi, A., Pandolfi, T., Corona, D., and Fasana, A. (2021). "Uncertainty of impedance tube sound absorption measurements of a spiral-tube-shaped 3-D printed acoustic metamaterial," "Advances Acoust. Noise Vib. - 2021" Proc. 27th Int. Congr. Sound Vib. ICSV 2021,.

Rodriguez, N., Ruelas, S., Forien, J. B., Dudukovic, N., Deotte, J., Rodriguez, J., Moran, B., et al. (2021). "3D printing of high viscosity reinforced silicone elastomers," Polymers (Basel)., , doi: 10.3390/polym13142239. doi:10.3390/polym13142239

Shao, C., Xiong, W., Long, H., Tao, J., Cheng, Y., and Liu, X. (2021). "Ultra-sparse metamaterials absorber for broadband low-frequency sound with free ventilation," J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 150, 1044–1056. doi:10.1121/10.0005850

Standardization, I. O. for (2021). , Geometrical product specifications (GPS) — Surface texture: Profile — Part 2: Terms, definitions and surface texture parameters.

Stinson, M. R., and Champoux, Y. (1992). "Propagation of sound and the assignment of shape factors in model porous materials having simple pore geometries," J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 91, 685–695. doi:10.1121/1.402530

- Sutton, A. P. (**2021**). *Concepts of Materials Science*, Oxford University Press.
- Varanasi, S., Bolton, J. S., and Siegmund, T. (2017). "Experiments on the low frequency barrier characteristics of cellular metamaterial panels in a diffuse sound field," J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 141, 602– 610. doi:10.1121/1.4974257

Wang, X., Zhao, H., Luo, X., and Huang, Z. (2016). "Membrane-constrained acoustic metamaterials for low frequency sound insulation," Appl. Phys. Lett., 108, 1– 6. doi:10.1063/1.4940717

Xiao, R., Ding, M., Wang, Y., Gao, L., Fan, R., and Lu, Y. (2021). "Stereolithography (SLA) 3D printing of carbon fiber-graphene oxide (CF-GO) reinforced polymer lattices,", doi: 10.1088/1361-6528/abe825. doi:10.1088/1361-6528/abe825

- Yin, M., Tian, X. Y., and Han, H. X. (2012). "Free-space carpet-cloak based on gradient index photonic crystals in metamaterial regime," Cite as Appl. Phys. Lett, 100, 124101. doi:10.1063/1.3696040
- Yu, X., Lu, Z., Liu, T., Cheng, L., Zhu, J., and Cui, F. (2019). "Sound transmission through a periodic acoustic metamaterial grating," J. Sound Vib., 449, 140–156. doi:10.1016/j.jsv.2019.02.042

Zhu, Y., Fan, X., Liang, B., Cheng, J., and Jing, Y. (2017).

"Ultrathin acoustic metasurface-based schroeder diffuser," Phys. Rev. X, 7, 1–11. doi:10.1103/PhysRevX.7.021034
Zieliński, T. G., Opiela, K. C., Pawłowski, P., Dauchez, N., Boutin, T., Kennedy, J., Trimble, D., et al. (2020). "Reproducibility of sound-absorbing periodic porous materials using additive manufacturing technologies: Round robin study," Addit. Manuf., , doi: 10.1016/j.addma.2020.101564. doi:10.1016/j.addma.2020.101564