

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna
Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Urban mining of municipal solid waste incineration (MSWI) residues with emphasis on bioleaching technologies: a critical review

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Funari V., Toller S., Vitale L., Santos R.M., Gomes H.I. (2023). Urban mining of municipal solid waste incineration (MSWI) residues with emphasis on bioleaching technologies: a critical review. ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE AND POLLUTION RESEARCH INTERNATIONAL, 30(21), 59128-59150 [10.1007/s11356-023-26790-z].

Availability:

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/925495> since: 2023-05-15

Published:

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-023-26790-z>

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

Urban mining of Municipal Solid Waste Incineration (MSWI) residues with emphasis on bioleaching technologies: A critical review

Valerio Funari^{1, 2*}, Simone Toller^{1,3}, Laura Vitale², Rafael M. Santos⁴, Helena I. Gomes⁵

¹ Institute of Marine Sciences (ISMAR-CNR), Department of Earth System Sciences and Environmental Technologies, National Research Council of Italy (CNR), Bologna Research Area, 40129 Bologna, Italy

² Department of Marine Biotechnology, Stazione Zoologica Anton Dohrn (SZN), Via Ammiraglio F. Acton 55, 80133 Napoli, Italy

³ University of Parma, Department of Chemical, Life and Environmental Sustainability Sciences (SCVSA), Parco Area delle Scienze, 17/A Parma, Italy

⁴ School of Engineering, University of Guelph, Thornbrough Building, 50 Stone Rd E, Guelph, Ontario, N1G 2W1, Canada

⁵ Food, Water, Waste Research Group, Faculty of Engineering, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UK

*corresponding author: valerio.funari@bo.ismar.cnr.it

Abstract

Metals are essential in our daily lives and have a finite supply, being simultaneously contaminants of concern. The current carbon emissions and environmental impact of mining are untenable. We need to reclaim metals sustainably from secondary resources, like waste. Biotechnology can be applied in metal recovery from waste streams like fly ashes and bottom ashes of municipal solid waste incineration (MSWI). They represent substantial substance flows, with roughly 46 million tons of MSWI ashes produced annually globally, equivalent in elemental richness to low-grade ores for metal recovery. Next-generation methods for resource recovery, as in particular bioleaching, gives the opportunity to recover critical materials and metals, appropriately purified for noble applications, in waste treatment chains inspired by circular economy thinking. In this critical review, we can identify three main lines of discussion: 1) MSWI material characterization and related environmental issues; 2) currently available processes for recycling and metal recovery; and 3) microbially-assisted processes for potential recycling and metal recovery. Research trends are chiefly oriented to the potential exploitation of bioprocesses in the industry. Biotechnology for resource recovery shows increasing effectiveness especially downstream the production chains, i.e. in the waste management sector. Therefore, this critical discussion will help assessing the industrial potential of biotechnology for urban mining of municipal, post-combustion waste.

31 **Key Words:** Circular economy; Waste-to-Energy (WtE) plants; Incineration wastes; Critical raw materials;
32 Secondary raw materials; Resource recovery
33

35 1. Introduction

36 Municipal Solid Waste Incineration (MSWI) is a predominant management practice in many
37 countries, and it has been increasingly adopted in countries like China (Fan et al., 2021). According to the
38 World Bank, 11% of the global MSW is incinerated, corresponding to an estimated 220 million tonnes (Kaza
39 et al., 2018). In the European Union EU-27, in 2019, 60 million tonnes of municipal solid waste were
40 incinerated (Eurostat, 2019). Despite reducing the waste volume and recovering energy, MSWI also produces
41 two main kinds of residues, called bottom (BA) and fly ashes (FA), that must be sustainably managed. MSWI
42 residues' features (chemical and mineralogical composition, grain size heterogeneity, etc.) and their disposal
43 strategy influence their after-use in applications, for example, reuse its mineral fraction in the construction
44 industry as secondary raw material. MSWI residues can be returned to secondary raw materials markets after
45 appropriate treatment to enhance production cycles in urban mining actions, aiming to remove, recover and
46 recycle the mineral resource that may be contained in anthropogenic materials with high economic potential
47 (e.g. critical raw materials) or environmentally positive balance (e.g., producing acceptable secondary raw
48 material with low environmental impacts). Copious research proposed innovative technologies with
49 simultaneous improvements of environmental and financial drawbacks associated with MSWI residues, both
50 BA and FA. BA and, to a lesser extent, FA can be recycled to produce concrete, soil improvers and fillers, glass
51 and ceramics, or used to produce absorbents, stabilizing agents, and zeolites (Quina et al. 2018; Lam et al.
52 2010). So far, urban mining attempts from MSWI residues are promising for application in integrated waste
53 management to boost incomes and minimize environmental impacts, as demonstrated by Life cycle
54 assessment (LCA) (Fellner et al., 2015). Combined separation, extraction, and recovery processes based on
55 physical-mechanical methods, acid and alkaline leaching, biorecovery and electroplating, or
56 bioelectrochemical systems seem particularly efficient for recovering metals from bottom ash and fly ash
57 (Gomes et al., 2020).

58 New options to improve MSWI residues management are needed, especially those capable of the
59 twofold benefit of metal recovery and quality enhancement of the post-treatment residue. Insights from
60 chemical and mineralogical data on MSWI residues can inform recovery of secondary raw materials and
61 marketable metals. For example, among metals of strategic interest and potentially mineable from MSWI
62 residues, silver (Ag), antimony (Sb), cerium (Ce), lanthanum (La), niobium (Nb), nickel (Ni), vanadium (V) are
63 enriched in the fine fractions, while gadolinium (Gd), chromium (Cr), scandium (Sc), tungsten (W), and yttrium
64 (Y) partition in the coarse fractions (Mantovani et al., 2021).

65 MSWI residues are a potential low-grade urban mine of ore metals thanks to the significant flows of
66 substance bearing metals downstream of the municipal waste incineration process (Funari et al., 2015). For
67 MSWI-BA, Funari and co-workers estimated a total flow of more than 350 t/a magnesium (Mg), 8.5 t/a Cr,

4.3 t/a cobalt (Co), and nearly 3 t/a Sb. The overall annual flow of the light rare earth elements (LREE: La, Pr, Ce, Nd, Gd, Sm, Eu) and Sc and Y reach 2 t/a; while only the flow of heavy REE (HREE: Lu, Tb, Ho, Dy, Tm, Er, Yb) is about 0.1 t/a. The Substance Flow Analysis (SFA) also shows considerable amounts of gallium (Ga) and Nb (0.3 t/a) and the precious metals gold (Au) and silver (Ag) (0.01 t/a and 0.12 t/a, respectively). SFA analysis on MSWI-FA showed relatively high flows of Mg (79 t/a), Sb (2.4 t/a), Cr (1 t/a), Ce (0.05 t/a), Co (0.04 t/a), and also volatile elements such as Ag, Zn, and Sn have a considerable output retained in the solid FA. With further estimates coming from these figures, a total of 4500 tons Cu, 130 tons REEs, and 0.5 tons gold, are potentially recoverable from all MSWI-BA flowing on a national level. At the same time, the MSWI-FA output is a promising source of Zn, Sn, Sb, and Pb. Besides the relevance of metal recovery, successful urban mining strategies favour i) the reduction of the environmental impact, providing less dangerous leachates, ii) more control over nanoparticle pollution, and iii) high quality of post-treatment residues. In parallel, investigation of MSWI residues and related environmental media (e.g., topsoil nearby incinerators) would favour the development of finely tuned methods for urban mining with a close eye on sustainability. Looking ahead, the quality of MSWI feedstock materials and final solid residues, especially considering the 10-to-20-year life cycle of MSWI technology, needs continuous improvements from synergistic actions of both private and public stakeholders and the local communities.

This paper aims to critically reflect on the application of biotechnologies for urban mining of waste streams in the context of the circular economy. Our objectives are to focus on: 1) MSWI material characterization and related environmental issues; 2) currently available processes for recycling and metal recovery; and 3) microbially-assisted processes for potential recycling and metal recovery.

2. Mineral resources and secondary raw materials from MSWI residues

2.1. Chemistry and Mineralogy of MSWI residues

MSWI residues can be thought of as a mineral matrix mixed with a small fraction of partly combusted organic matter and secondary organic by-products (approx. 4% by weight) resulting from temperature changes through the processing line of MSWI technology leading to the establishment of different thermodynamic equilibria (Guimaraes, et al. 2006). Eusden et al. (1999) described a detailed petrogenesis of the MSWI solid materials sent to incinerators. The major elements in MSWI residues are Ca, Si, Al, Fe, Mg, Na, K and Cl in the form of silicates, aluminosilicates, carbonates (e.g., calcite, trona), most of their oxides (e.g., calcium oxide, hematite, sodium oxide, titanium dioxide and potassium oxide) and alkaline salts (e.g., halite, sylvite; preferably present in MSWI-FA). Usually, the most abundant components are Ca and Si oxides. Cu, Cr, Pb, Cd, Zn, Hg, Sb, and Ni metals are also found in these ashes as minor and trace elements potentially risky for the environment. Studies of element fractionation found that elements with high melting temperature tend to remain in the MSWI-BA, while the volatile ones tend to break down in the MSWI-FA

(Funari et al., 2015). The heterogeneity of the urban waste input feed directly influences the mineralogical and chemical composition and the physical-mechanical properties of the incinerator ashes. Different spectrometers are used to determine major, minor, and trace elements in MSWI residues together with other analytical techniques depending on the analyte sought and, in general, from the purpose of the characterization. Figure 1 shows the compositional range reported in the literature for measured analytes. In MSWI-FA, the heavy metals content is generally higher than in BA due to the metal vaporization during the combustion and adsorption on a higher specific surface area. Harmful compounds such as chlorides and metal oxide nanoparticles from MSWI-FA are controlled by wet scrubbers in the Air Pollution Control (APC) system, which primarily removes acid gases such as HCl and HF (Sabbas et al., 2003).




Figure 1. Chemical composition ranges of MSWI-BA and MSWI-FA for selected major, minor and trace elements (Izquierdo et al., 2002; Sabbas et al., 2003; Bayuseno et al., 2010; Funari et al., 2015; Astrup et al., 2016; Xiaomin et al., 2017; Huber et al., 2019; Wong et al., 2021; Maldonado-Alameda et al., 2021; Mantovani et al., 2021; Clavier 2021) in wt. % and mg/kg, respectively.

Numerous works elaborated the mineralogical composition of MSWI residues, e.g., by scanning electron microscope defining morphology, single-point chemical composition and the interaction between the different phases present inside the grains (Bayuseno and Schmahl, 2010; Bogush et al., 2015; Funari et

al., 2018; De Boom and Degrez, 2012). The thin sections show structural variability and complexity under the microscope. Moreover, it is possible to verify the presence of glassy and crystalline material together with metallic and empty parts (e.g., Mantovani et al., 2021). The presence of wollastonite (CaSiO_3), with a dendritic crystallization in the glass matrix indicates a fast crystallization is frequent. There are also evident zoning and evidence of core recrystallization; sometimes, recrystallizations of fresh structures are observed within a metal matrix (Bogush et al., 2015). Iron, ubiquitous and present as a major element ($> 0.1\%$ by weight), undergoes a complex petrogenesis and can form a series of oxides and hydroxides, but also remain as nuggets of metallic Fe, or Fe-phosphides (e.g., schreibersite, associated with reducing conditions) and -sulfides (pyrite, pyrrhotite, greigite among many) (Funari et al., 2018; 2020), making hard to determine minor iron-bearing phases. Mineralogical analysis on magnetic separates showed the presence of small ($<5 \mu\text{m}$) spherules containing Fe in the form of agglomerates of particles or loose particles that could be attributable to technogenic spheres (*sensu* Magiera et al., 2011) readily dispersible during handling, being generally MSWI-FA dustier than MSWI-BA. Despite the great uncertainty on the stoichiometry and quantification of the crystalline phases, the X-ray diffractograms readily detect various carbonates such as calcite, soluble salts such as halite, silicates such as quartz, solid solutions gehlenite-akermanite, pyroxenes and feldspars, sulfates and phosphates and oxides of iron. However, the origin of certain mineralogical phases, i.e., if the minerals observed in MSWI-BA or MSWI-FA are derived from the incoming waste or freshly formed remains puzzling. This is due to different processing technologies, chemical composition of the incoming waste and combustion temperatures reached, which, in turn, can depend on local policies and have seasonality effects. The commonly identified minerals from MSWI-BA and MSWI-FA by XRD are in Table 1.

The data reported in the literature also suggested the residues' particle size as a proxy of element enrichment or, in other words, a tool for mineral beneficiation. Carbonates and sulfatic phases typically occur in the finer fractions ($<0.065 \text{ mm}$). Analytical determinations show a higher concentration of S, Cl and metals such as Zn, Pb, Cr, and Sr in fine particle sizes ($<1 \text{ mm}$) (Speiser et al., 2000; Chimenos et al., 2003). In the finer fraction, there is a higher content of metals within mineralogical phases less resistant to weathering (carbonates and sulfates), that is, more available to environmental leaching. Analyzing the particle size's cumulative distribution, about 60% of total weight of MSWI-BA is composed of particles with a size between 1 and 8 mm (belonging to the category coarse sand or gravel), while 20% has a particle size bigger than 10 mm and the remaining 20% is made up of grains smaller than 1 mm. MSWI-FA is more homogeneous than MSWI-BA in its particle size, which results averagely silty. Both ashes show a minor but significant ultrafine fraction ($<1 \mu\text{m}$). Overall, although not always agreeing on the identification of phases, the mineralogical data in the scientific literature confirms that the MSWI residues contain minerals of potential economic interest. However, the chemical composition can vary significantly according to the particle size, the quality of the incoming waste, the combustion process, and the type of residue.

151 Table 1 -Most common mineralogical phases detected by XRD from MSWI residues (Wan et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2009; Bayuseno and
152 Schmahl, 2010; De Boom and Degrez, 2012; Bogush et al., 2015; Funari et al., 2018; Mantovani et al., 2021). O: rare; X: common;
153 XX: very frequent; n.d.: not detected.

Mineral phase	Chemical formula	MSWI-FA	MSWI-BA
<i>Silicates, aluminates, and aluminosilicates</i>			
Quartz	SiO ₂	X	XX
Cristobalite	SiO ₂	n.d.	X
Corundum	Al ₂ O ₃	X	XX
Alkali Feldspars	(K,Na)(Al,Si) ₃ O ₈	n.d.	XX
Plagioclase feldspars	NaAlSi ₃ O ₈ -CaAl ₂ Si ₂ O ₈	X	X
	(K, Ca, Na)(Al,Si) ₄ O ₈	O	X
Gehlenite	Ca ₂ Al ₂ SiO ₇	X	XX
Anorthite	CaAl ₂ Si ₂ O ₈		
Akermanite	Ca ₂ MgSi ₂ O ₇	X	XX
	Ca ₂ (Mg,Fe)Si ₂ O ₇	O	X
Calcium Pyroxene	Ca(Mg,Fe)Si ₂ O ₆	n.d.	X
	Ca(Mg, Al)(Si,Al) ₂ O ₆	O	X
	(Na,Ca)(Fe,Mn)(Si,Al) ₂ O ₆	n.d.	X
Wollastonite	CaSiO ₃	X	X
	Ca ₂ SiO ₄	X	X
Portlandite	Ca(OH) ₂	X	X
Gibbsite	Al(OH) ₃	O	XX
Ettringite	Ca ₆ Al ₂ (SO ₄) ₃ (OH) ₁₂ 26H ₂ O	X	X
<i>Carbonates</i>			
Calcite	CaCO ₃	XX	X
Other	(Pb,Cd,Zn)CO ₃	X	O
<i>Fe-bearing phases</i>			
Magnetite	Fe ₃ O ₄	O	XX
Hematite	Fe ₂ O ₃	X	O
Wüstite	FeO	X	X
Goethite	FeO(OH)	n.d.	X
	Fe(OH) ₃	X	X
	FeCO ₃	O	O
	Fe(Cr,Ti) ₂ O ₄	O	X
	FeSO ₄ 7H ₂ O	n.d.	X
<i>S-based phases</i>			
Anhydrite	CaSO ₄	XX	X
Gypsum	CaSO ₄ 2H ₂ O	X	XX
	Ca ₆ Al ₂ O ₆ (SO ₃) ₃ 32H ₂ O	n.d.	X
	PbSO ₄	X	n.d.
<i>Other oxides</i>			
Lime	CaO	XX	X
	TiO ₂	X	X
	PbO	X	O
	ZnO	X	X
	Na ₂ O	XX	X
	CuO	X	XX

	CaMoO ₄	O	X
	NaAsO ₂	O	X
<i>Cl-based phases</i>			
Friedel's salt	Ca ₂ Al(OH) ₆ Cl 2H ₂ O	<i>n.d.</i>	X
Hydrocalumite	Ca ₂ Al(OH) ₆ Cl _{1-x} (OH) _x 3H ₂ O	<i>n.d.</i>	X
	Ca ₅ (PO ₄) ₃ Cl	O	X
	Ca ₂ SiO ₃ Cl ₂	O	X
	CaCl ₂	X	<i>n.d.</i>
	KCaCl ₃	X	<i>n.d.</i>
	PbCl ₂	X	<i>n.d.</i>
	ZnCl ₂	X	<i>n.d.</i>
	NaCl	XX	X
	KCl	X	<i>n.d.</i>
<i>Other halides</i>			
fluorides	CaF ₂	X	<i>n.d.</i>
bromides	not specified	O	O
iodides	not specified	<i>n.d.</i>	<i>n.d.</i>
<i>Other compounds</i>			
organometallic compounds	organoarsenic compound	<i>n.d.</i>	O
<i>Native elements</i>			
zinc	Zn(0)	X	X
aluminium	Al(0)	X	X
copper	Cu(0)	O	X
gold	Au (0)	<i>n.d.</i>	X
other elements	Ti(0), Pb(0), Ag (0), Hg(0)	X	O

2.2. Hydrometallurgy for MSWI residues Urban Mining

Hydrometallurgical solutions in waste management typically involves the dissolution of the metals present in the mineralogical matrix in acids or bases. During the leaching procedures, minerals dissolve under varying thermodynamic conditions. Metals can be separated in the dissolution step when not soluble in the solvent used, producing a solid precipitate as a part of the process chain. Hydrometallurgical separation can rely on solvent extraction and solid ion exchangers and membranes, ionic liquids, and on adsorption capacity of other materials (e.g., carbon). Following the separation of the metals, the single metal can be purified, for instance, by sequential precipitation or electrowinning.

Water, mineral acids (i.e., sulfuric acid, aqua regia), bases (i.e., sodium hydroxide, ammonia), organic acids (such as maleic acid), salt solutions, and combinations of these are common leaching reagents. The process optimization can be achieved by playing with pressure, temperature, reaction time, but also by adding oxidizing (e.g., H₂O₂, Cl₂, HClO, NaClO) or reducing (e.g., Fe²⁺, SO₂) agents. The most used leaching methods include reactor leaching, heap leaching, vat leaching, dump leaching (heap without crushing), in-situ leaching (extractant pumped directly in the ore deposit) and autoclave leaching (high pressure and

168 temperature). Galvanic, microwave, and ultrasound-assisted leaching are other methods investigated to
169 enhance the efficiency of traditional leaching.

170 A technique mainly used to treat MSWI-BA before the process of metal recovery takes place or the
171 residue is landfilled, is ageing (or natural weathering). It reduces the water content of the material up to an
172 optimal humidity (10–15 wt. %) for metal recovery, improves environmental leaching properties and/or
173 stabilizes the reactive matrix. Ageing occurs naturally during storage, which normally lasts from 4 to 12 weeks
174 and sporadically up to one year. During ageing, the precipitation of carbonates, degradation of organic
175 matter, and pH changes can occur (Nørgaard et al., 2019) as well as total or partial immobilization of Cu, Pb,
176 Zn and chloride can be achieved. Conversely, oxyanion-forming elements (e.g., Cr, Mo, Sb and sulfate) may
177 become more prone to mobilization (e.g., Arickx et al., 2006; Costa et al., 2007), likely impacting metal
178 recovery.

179 Hydrometallurgical applications' primary purpose for managing MSWI residues is decontamination
180 from harmful metals. In the earliest studies on pH-dependent leaching using HNO_3 (Eighmy et al., 1995), Ca,
181 Cl, K, Na, and Zn dissolved easily, while other elements (Cr, Pb, Zn, Cu, and Al) exhibited amphoteric behavior
182 with enhanced solubilization at low pH over a leaching period of 3h. Nagib and Inoue (2000) reported the
183 recovery of different metals from MSWI-FA using acetic acid, sulfuric acid, sodium hydroxide, and
184 hydrochloric acid, with a fixed L/S ratio of 7 ml/g. They found that most of Zn is dissolved quickly using sulfuric
185 acid (10 wt. % H_2SO_4) leaching, while temperature was mainly affecting Fe and Mg solubility. Therefore, 30°C
186 temperature and 5 min time were determined to be suitable for Zn acid leaching to suppress the solubility
187 of Fe and Mg, which is significantly enhanced at 60°C (Nagib and Inoue, 2000). Hydrochloric acid (10 wt. %
188 HCl) leaching dissolves 63% Zn and 40% Pb in 5 minutes, together with impurities such as Fe, Mg and Ca.
189 Acetic acid (10 wt. % CH_3COOH) leaching was effective, and most Pb and Zn were dissolved in 60 minutes
190 (Nagib and Inoue, 2000). Acid leaching is efficient because it can dissolve nearly all Pb and Zn, but further
191 separation and purification steps may be required since other potential impurities (e.g., Fe Mg, Al, Ca) are
192 acid soluble. Further implementation was applied to the recovery method of Al and Fe, combining physical
193 and mechanical processes (e.g., Nayak and Panda, 2010). The use of thermal treatment combined with acidic
194 leaching allows overcoming some limitations (with recovery efficiencies up to 86 % Al and 94 % Fe using
195 sulfuric acid) and produced sintered pellets suitable as an inert and lightweight aggregate (Matjie et al., 2005;
196 Li et al., 2007, 2009). However, the high costs for energy to reach the calcination temperatures (800-1200°C)
197 and the time demand (up to 24h) make these processes uneconomic. The leaching behavior of antimony (Sb)
198 is particularly chased because of its elevated concentrations in MSWI residues and environmental relevance
199 tied to its speciation also during natural ageing. Cornelis et al. (2012) investigated the leaching of antimonate
200 (Sb^{5+}) and antimonite (Sb^{3+}) in MSWI-BA as a function of degree of carbonation and pH. Results showed that
201 acidification and carbonation increased Sb^{5+} leaching and decreased Sb^{3+} leaching, and pointed out that Sb

solubility depends on pH and calcium cations availability (romeite minerals are found to play an important role in the antimonate leaching) (Cornelis et al., 2012). Alkaline leaching, on the other hand, is hampered by the limited solubility of valuable metals (e.g., Zn), but can have the advantage of leaving a lesser amount of impurities in the solid residue. Bipp et al. (1998) were among the first to suggest alkaline leaching for heavy metals extraction. They tested gluconic acid and molasses hydrolysate leaching with sugar acid addition (1.8%) in the typical pH range of MSWI residues, achieving good recovery performances for Zn, Pb, Cu, Cr, and Cd with the molasses hydrolysate under weak alkaline condition. In general, alkaline leaching carried out in pH conditions near the MSWI residue's starting pH showed limited performances (Lee and Pandey, 2012).

Significant impact on leaching of MSWI residues comes from selective extractions used in typical geochemical investigation to understand metal behaviours under different environmental conditions. Although it is not possible to reach complete selectivity in each step, a sequential extraction procedure is applied to MSWI residues (Figure 2) and corroborated by experimental and theoretical models (Kirby and Rimstidt, 1993; Van Herck and Vandecasteele 2001; Chou et al., 2009; Funatsuki et al., 2012). The sequential extraction procedures highlight that metals like Zn, Cu, Pb, and Cd are soluble at low pH (>3.5), but oxidizing conditions are necessary to leach additional Zn. While Ca, K, Na, chlorides, and sulfates exhibit high solubility in water (step 1), Al and, to a lesser degree, Fe remain in the residual fraction. Most trace elements including REE tend to endure in the residue. The desired pH of the extractions and the sample matrix influence the chemical species found in the fractions.




Figure 2. Generalization and summary of the fractions and analytes interested by Sequential Extraction Procedure (SEP) after Van Herck et al., 2000; Wan et al., 2006; Huang et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2008; Chou et al., 2009; Chang et al., 2009.

Several authors investigated metal extraction using various aminopolycarboxylic acids such as DTPA, EDTA, NTA (Hong et al. 2000). Hong et al., 2000 underlined that the efficiency is not pH-dependent and solvent demanding (reagents concentration ranging between 0.5 wt. % and 1.0 wt. %); the extraction performed well for Pb (80 % recovery) in moderate alkaline condition with EDTA and DTPA, but the

227 application of such chelating agents is uneconomic at the full scale for their high selling costs. Finally,
228 experiments using batch extraction under similar conditions have shown that applying electric current can
229 improve the solubility of some metals. Pedersen et al. (2005) evaluated different assisting agents for
230 electrodialytic removals: the best aid in the removal of Cd was an NH₃ solution, perhaps because it helped
231 build stable tetraamine complexes, while the best aid in the removal of Pb was Na-citrate. The optimum for
232 removing a group of metals (up to 86% Cd, 81% Cu, 62% Zn, 44% Cr and 20% Pb) used 0.25 M ammonium
233 citrate/1.25 % NH₃ solution (Pedersen et al., 2005).

234 2.3. Current Options for Resource/Material Recovery from MSWI 235 residues

236 Since the early 1990s through the research programs known as NITEP (National Incinerator Testing
237 and Evaluation Program) and WASTE (Waste Analysis, Sampling, Testing, and Evaluation) which were
238 pioneered by Canada and the USA, MSWI-BA and MSWI-FA have been the focus of years of research efforts
239 (Chandler et al. 1997). Several processing techniques for MSWI residues have been proposed to recover
240 metals of economic interest and secondary raw materials, minimize harmful metals releases, and improve
241 the final residue environmental status. Commonly, MSWI residues are treated initially with separation
242 techniques, sometimes tailored by thermal treatments or stabilization or solidification processes (Kuboňová et
243 al. 2013; Sabbas et al. 2003). Separation technologies consisting of physical-mechanical separation have been
244 the most popular options because of their relative technical and economic feasibility compared to advanced
245 treatment processes. Physical and mechanical treatments of MSWI residues aim primarily at:

- 246 i. Recovering concentrated stream fractions (e.g., ferrous- and non-ferrous metals)
- 247 ii. Improving the final residue quality for its reuse or inert landfilling
- 248 iii. Achieving mineral beneficiation before hydrometallurgical processes (as a pre-treatment)

249 A plethora of metals, notably aluminum, iron, copper and other base metals, can be obtained at
250 different purity levels by simple physical/mechanical separation. Before the MSWI-BA are piled up, a drum
251 magnetic separator often recovers the biggest magnetic bars/alloys that can be sold to metal refiners.
252 Various systems can further divide non-ferrous and ferrous fractions of MSWI-BA with rather high efficiency
253 during the processing of these stockpiles. The non-ferrous part is rich in Au, Ag, Cu, Al, Pb, Zn and Sn and is
254 commonly preferred for their recovery (Muchova et al., 2009; Biganzoli and Grosso, 2013). To optimize the
255 recovery of Cu, Ag, Pb, Sn, and Zn from a heavy fraction and an Al-rich product from the light fraction,
256 separation techniques such as magnetic density separation, kinetic gravity separation, and Eddy current
257 separation are frequently employed. A final thermal treatment step to stabilize inorganic compounds and
258 destroy organic contaminants could be suitable. However, due to high costs, such as in the case of vitrification
259 by re-melting (1200-1400°C), they are hardly applied, although they can suite in post-processing of mineral
260 concentrates or stabilization in dedicated plants.

261 The recovery efficiency likely increases after size reduction steps and washing with water is suitable
262 for removing unwanted compounds such as easily soluble salts and sulfates. In fact, natural or accelerated
263 ageing and water washing are the most adopted treatment for MSWI residues.

264 2.3.1. Bottom ashes

265 MSWI-BA depending on the type of discharge system can usually be treated by wet or dry processing.
266 While the wet discharge is most adopted, leading to the production of typical quench products of MSWI-BA,
267 dry discharge systems are rare and technically demanding despite demonstrating some advantages like a
268 minor number of mineralogical phases formed and the low levels of corrosions and inter-mineral reaction
269 edges, thus higher recovery potential (Chandler et al., 1997; Eusden et al., 1999; Šyc et al., 2020). In the late
270 90's dry discharge technology raised limited interest likely because the recovery of secondary resources from
271 waste was believed to be a less critical issue.

272 Ageing, washing, and limited crushing are the key process for re-using MSWI-BA in the construction
273 industry. To further promote residue stabilization and reduce leaching, the addition of Al(+3) and Fe(+3) salts,
274 cement or other bonding agents during ageing is also used. The MSWI-BA treatment trains rely on physical-
275 mechanical treatments including density separation, sieving, sensor-based sorting, Eddy current separation,
276 and even hand-picking. The recovery of ferrous (FeF) and non-ferrous (n-FeF) metal fractions by Eddy current
277 separators is widespread (Smith et al., 2019), favoring the marketability of added-value streams as well as
278 BA acceptance at smelters or refiners. Dry technologies are more efficient than wet processes regarding
279 water consumption and, to some extent, reduced transport costs (due to reduced weight and volume).
280 However, the main drawback of dry processing is abundant dust formation (Šyc et al., 2020).

281 The first installation of MSWI-BA treatment plant came in 1995. Only two sieved fractions, fine
282 (<4mm) and coarse (4–45 mm), were designed to undergo stepwise magnetic and Eddy current separators
283 achieving average outputs of 36 wt. % FeF and 1.9 wt. % n-FeF (Chandler et al., 1997; Sabbas et al., 2003).
284 However, the total Fe content in FeF was only 20–30 wt. % due to agglomeration with other minerals. Similar
285 treatment trains built after the 2000s suited medium- to low-capacity MSWI plants and showed recovery
286 efficiency of around 80 wt. % FeF and 9-48 wt. % n-FeF with enhanced Fe concentrations and aluminium
287 products recovery (Grosso et al., 2011; Šyc et al., 2020). In countries like Switzerland and The Netherlands,
288 implementing the best available practices is mandatory by legislation (e.g., The Netherlands' Green Deal).

289 Regarding commercially available treatment methods, MSWI-BA are usually sieved using bar seizers,
290 trommel, vibrating screens, and flip flow screens (the latter only for wet treatments). In advanced plants,
291 tens of fractions can be sorted for enhanced metal recovery. However, sieving can be expensive with a water
292 content < 10 % because appropriate dust control during the handling of the material must be assured, and
293 the crushing changes the size distribution likely precluding residual fraction utilization where well-sorted
294 materials are required (Hyks and Hjelm, 2018). Density separation is another effective method for the

recovery of different components, such as copper, gold, and brass showing a significant density contrast compared to MSWI-BA matrix (2700 kg/m^3). Density separation does not apply for Al recovery because its density resembles that of bulk MSWI-BA, so it is preferably recovered using magnetic methods. Belt and drum are the two main devices commercially available. Multi-step magnetic separation is typically used for sieved fractions in advanced treatment plants before the Eddy current separation stage. Ballistic separation (patent WO 2009/123452 A1) is a cutting-edge technology used in advanced dry recovery processes that mechanically separates the fine particles ($< 2 \text{ mm}$) associated with the moisture content. This device can couple with conventional dry separation processes, improving performance. Sensors-based separation technologies in MSWI residues processing are quite innovative and mainly used for separating glass and metal particles (Bunge, 2018). Among these, magnetic induction separation based on electromagnetic sensors is capable of identifying types of metals and alloys in the fraction coarser than 4 mm . Other types of sensors include X-ray fluorescence to detect different metals, optical sensors for distinguishing shapes, colored or transparent materials. Still, they are rarely applied to MSWI residues processing.

The most used processing options are dry technologies tailored for wet discharged MSWI-BA (e.g., Holm and Simon, 2017), even though dry discharge is experiencing a renaissance tied to its ability to avoid or minimise the negative effects of the formation of reaction by-products after quenching, mainly credited by Ca(OH)_2 , CaCO_3 , Friedel's salt and hydrocalumite (Inkaew et al., 2016). The KEZO MSWI plant in Switzerland is one example of dry treatment of dry discharged MSWI-BA that yields around 10 % FeF, 4.5 % n-FeF, and 1.1 % glass, generating a total revenue of 95 CHF/t of dry MSWI-BA with a total consumption of about 16 kWh/t of treated waste (Böni and Morf, 2018). However, efficient recovery of the heavy n-FeF can increase the revenues due to its precious metals content. Notably, the fraction with particles $< 0.3 \text{ mm}$ is sold without treatment at a likely depreciated value despite a potentially significant content of marketable metals.

On the other hand, fervor is on the development of wet technologies for the treatment of MSWI-BA that, however, implies a massive use of water as a primary limiting factor. Ageing is typically not included in the treatment to avoid a detrimental effect from the formation of mineral coatings. The first wet technology pilot plant for metal recovery came in 2005 in Amsterdam, The Netherlands. The treatment plant allows to recover inert granulates for building materials and marketable metal fractions of different levels of purity, equipped with several wet processing stages such as wet gravity separator, the wet eddy current separator, and the wet magnetic separator (Muchova et al., 2009). Although a recovery efficiency up to 83 % FeF and 73 % n-FeF, the plant never went to full scale, mainly due to the high water-demand and costs for water treatment. Another example is the Brantner&Co. plant in operation since 2013, located on an Austrian landfill site (Stockinger, 2018). With a treatment capacity of about 40,000 Mg/year of MSWI-BA, it counts on two-step magnetic separation, including overbelt magnets, separating iron scraps, and fine ($>50\text{mm}$) and large ($<50 \text{ mm}$) fractions. A wet jig further separates material streams by density: a fraction of carbon-based

329 materials and floating plastics, the heavy (density < 4,000 kg/m³) n-FeF containing copper, brass, stainless
330 steel, and precious metals, and the light n-FeF mainly composed of Al-bearing materials. One wet technology,
331 installed in 2016 in Alkmaar, The Netherlands, and first developed by the Boskalis Company in response to
332 the Netherlands' Green Deal, has a treatment capacity of about 240,000 Mg/year of MSWI-BA. This
333 technology separates different fractions using dry sieving instead of a wet drum sieve, and then each fraction
334 is washed to remove soluble salts and metals. A bar sizer separates fine (>40mm) and large (<40mm)
335 particles, followed by magnetic separation for large particles, which, in turn, removes iron scrap and stainless
336 steel as a first value-added material. The fine particles fraction undergoes a wet drum sieve and a vibrating
337 screen. However, the main drawback is the production of large amounts of sludge with a high concentration
338 of heavy metals according to the mass balance of the Alkmaar plant (Born, 2018).

339 Metal recovery can occur on-site, preferably at big MSWI plants where the flow of residues can justify
340 the investment leveraging on transportation costs. Another option is to establish centralized or mobile
341 treatment plants serving several MSWI plants, but they usually demonstrate lower efficiency than on-site
342 plants (Šyc et al., 2020). Nowadays, seven MSWI plants implemented with dry extraction system for MSWI-
343 BA are operational in Europe (5 plants in Switzerland and 2 plants in Italy). The main drawbacks of this
344 technology are tied to the need for further treatments to allow afteruse of MSWI-BA in the construction
345 industry and control or better recover the finest fractions that must be safely managed (Böni and Morf, 2018).
346 Especially the numerous stages of crushing lead to abundant dust formation and unfavorable grain-size
347 distribution curve for residue's reuse in the production of building materials. The high investment required
348 for upgraded treatment plants stems from the demanding crushing stages, the presence of multi-step
349 magnetic separation, and sensor-based sorting systems.

350 Each treatment plant is unique, although the processing methods can be the same. The recovery rate
351 increases with the number of recovery devices: more than ten eddy-current separators can be used in series,
352 still influencing the capital costs. According to the 2018 technical report of European Integrated Pollution
353 Prevention and Control Bureau, the electricity consumption of MSWI treatment plants averages 3 kWh/t of
354 treated waste, sometimes reaching up to 15 kWh/t (EIPPCB, 2018).

355 2.3.2. Fly ashes

356 Disposal of MSWI-FA through backfilling also after packaging in "big bags" made of a resistant
357 material is viable underground in natural cavities such as salt mines. The most used option is landfilling after
358 an appropriate treatment such as stabilization or solidification using other types of wastes (e.g., co-landfilling
359 with red mud) or binders (Quina et al., 2018; 2008). The stabilization processes suffer, however, some
360 limitations such as increased mass and volumes that may result in unsustainable space demand. Landfilling
361 after thermal stabilization (vitrification, sintering, thermal treatment with mixed wastes) is widely used as it
362 can reduce leaching of inorganic pollutants and destroy toxic organic components. However, LCA analysis

363 demonstrated that thermal treatments of MSWI-FA are uneconomic due to the high energy demand to
364 achieve suitable treatment temperatures (Fruergaard et al., 2010). The poor magnetic susceptibility of MSWI-
365 FA compared to MSWI-BA, due to lower concentration of Fe and magnetic minerals (Funari et al., 2020),
366 prevents the use and scalability of magnetic separation methods for material upgrading and FeF and n-FeF
367 recovery that is rarely attempted.

368 Decontamination/detoxification is the first pathway towards recovery and recycling of MSWI-FA as
369 secondary raw material for other applications avoiding landfilling (e.g., reuse for preparation of geopolymers;
370 Sun et al., 2013). Different methods can be performed, such as carbonation (Costa et al., 2007; Wang et al.,
371 2010), washing, leaching or bioleaching (Benassi et al., 2016; Funari et al., 2017), electrodialysis (Parés Viader
372 et al., 2017), and mechanical methods, e.g., ball milling (Chen et al., 2016). MSWI-FA for the production of
373 secondary raw materials is well suited for ceramic materials, epoxy composites, glass-ceramics, zeolite-like
374 materials, low-cost stabilizers and buffering agents, lightweight aggregates and secondary building materials
375 for geotechnical applications, adsorbents including high capacity materials for energy storage (Quina *et al.*,
376 2018 and reference therein). Other practical applications include biogas production, CO₂ sequestration
377 (Bacocchi et al., 2010), filler for embankment and landfill top cover (Brännvall and Kumpiene, 2016). The
378 primary aim of MSWI-FA washing is the removal of easily soluble salts to improve
379 decontamination/detoxification treatments. The Solvay Process developed during the 1860s is extensively
380 used to recycle sodium chloride from MSWI-FA produced by wet or semi-dry APC system (Chandler et al.,
381 1997), especially from FA collected at the sodic bag filters. Recently, Stena Recycling A/S developed the
382 HALOSEP® process to remove and recover chlorine salts (mostly CaCl₂) and a concentrate metal cake from
383 MSWI-FA. The key application for these salt products is road de-icing in compliance with the criteria CEN TC
384 337 WG1. The metal filter cake shows an average concentration of around 38-40 wt. % Zn, so it is particularly
385 suited for Zn recovery at the smelter.

386 Several efforts have been made in recent years for metal recovery from MSWI-FA with commercial
387 potential, such as Zn (Fellner et al., 2015), P (Kalmykova et al., 2013), Cu, and other precious and rare metals
388 (Morf et al., 2013; Allegrini et al., 2014; Funari et al., 2016). The removal or stabilization of hazardous
389 substances using traditional robust means such as water washing or co-landfilling is preferred over methods
390 aiming at metal recovery so that, for example, bioleaching and electrocoagulation or eventual landfill mining
391 strategies are still far from industrial rollout. However, some successful examples exist at the demonstration
392 scale such as co-mixing with rice husks (Benassi et al. 2016) to recover an environmentally compatible
393 secondary raw material. The FLUWA process dedicated to recovering Cu and volatile toxic metals such as Zn,
394 Pb, Cd, and organic substances started in 1997 in Switzerland (Bühler and Schlumberger, 2010). It further
395 allows metal separation and recovery through multistep acidic and neutral scrubbing and oxidation,
396 providing a residual MSW-FA less prone to environmental leaching. Organic substances remaining in the filter

397 cakes again represent a key issue, requiring further incineration cycle in the MSWI plant for complete thermal
398 destruction. The new FLUWA + FLUERE process allows up to 60–80% Zn, 80–95% Cd and 50–85% Pb and Cu
399 removal (Quina et al., 2018). The FLUREC implemented in 2012 at MSWI plant Zuchwil, Switzerland, can
400 recover up to 300 Mg/year Zn; however, purification of Zn-rich cake and filtrates is a prerequisite.

401 The use of MSWI-FA for the production of cement is sought because this can limit the enormous
402 environmental impact of the cement industry tied to massive anthropogenic CO₂ (from calcination) and other
403 gaseous emissions (NO_x, organic compounds, and toxic volatile elements), the consumption of energy and
404 natural resources (Lederer et al., 2017). The main options for MSWI-FA reutilization in the cement industry
405 include the production of blended cement and the co-processing in the cement kiln to produce the clinker
406 (e.g., Bertolini et al., 2004). Co-processing of MSWI-FA containing high amounts of Ca-bearing phases is viable
407 to substitute a part of the raw material input (Chandler et al., 1997) up to about 40-50% (Saikia et al., 2015).
408 Earlier studies suggested that the leaching rates of potentially toxic elements are very low in the short term.
409 However, Lederer et al. (2017) surmised that volatile metals such as Cd and Pb are reincorporated into the
410 cement during the regular production process. Considering that the chemical composition of MSWI-FA is not
411 stable over time, particular care should be given to the final cement quality and the emissions at the
412 smokestacks.

413 3. Biotechnology for MSWI ash management

414 3.1. Brief Overview of Biohydrometallurgy

415 Biohydrometallurgy is a branch of metallurgy devoted to hydrometallurgical extraction mediated by
416 microorganisms. Its use in the recovery of metal from primary ores and in the treatment of mine tailings is
417 factual while gaining more and more popularity in treating secondary resources.

418 Extremophile microorganisms adapted to thrive under extreme environmental conditions (e.g.
419 salinity, pH, temperature). In particular, acidophilic bacteria are able to grow, for example, in acid mine
420 drainage (AMD) at low pH (< 2), high concentrations of sulfate and metals, particularly iron, giving it a deep
421 red color. Microbiological studies conducted on Rio Tinto water streams demonstrated the occurrence of
422 precise ecological niches of microbes. It is also not rare to identify new species of microorganisms. Their
423 metabolism evolved towards the use of available nutrients (e.g., metals) contained in solid minerals (e.g.,
424 pyrites) for their energy supply, enabling life in extreme environments. Minerals supposedly oxidize without
425 bacteria or biological interactions, but microorganisms make the process much faster. It was demonstrated
426 in the '70s that the oxidation of ferrous iron operated by *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* was about a million
427 times faster than abiotic chemical oxidation (Lacey and Lawson, 1970). Strains of extremely thermophilic
428 archaea (*Acidianus sulfidivorans*) are found to withstand pH between 0.35 and 3.0, temperatures of 45-83°C
429 in the presence of sulfur minerals such as pyrite, chalcopyrite and arsenopyrite (Brierley and Brierley, 2013).

430 Recently, improved kinetics of biomineralization and biodissolution has also been studied for carbon capture
431 and storage e.g., by observing microbial carbonic anhydrase catalyses (Bhagat et al., 2018). Thermophilic
432 microorganisms can survive at higher temperatures than mesophiles and guarantee faster kinetics and higher
433 yields. However, the use of special catalysts can make the mesophiles exceptionally performing to bioleach
434 complex minerals. For example, *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* and *Leptospirillum ferrooxidans* strains
435 supplemented with ferrous iron are valuable for treating chalcopyrite or molybdenite (Brierley and Brierley,
436 2013). Empirical studies demonstrated that *Acidithiobacillus thiooxidans* and *Acidithiobacillus caldus* reduce
437 sulfur accumulation and improve process efficiency, e.g., by enabling bioleaching for sphalerite and
438 arsenopyrite (e.g., Suzuki, 2001; Vera et al., 2013). Bioleaching and biooxidation processes promoted
439 sustainability in the recovery of base metals (Zn, Cu, Ni, Mo) and precious metals (Au, Ag) trapped inside
440 sulfur minerals.

441 The knowledge of usable microorganisms has significantly increased over the past decades, with
442 higher extraction rate of metals even from complex mineralogical assemblages like waste materials, as
443 demonstrated by the use of extremophiles (e.g., Ramanathan and Ting, 2016) , which is illustrated in Figure
444 3 for the kinetics and extent of recovery of metals via single-stage bioleaching. However, some criticalities
445 were promptly highlighted, such as the need to monitor bacterial growth and the difficulty in guaranteeing
446 the correct and stable functioning of the treatment plants over time. The development of corrosive
447 conditions inside the reactors evoked investments in special building materials, reactors and propellers
448 designs. It is necessary to continue contaminating knowledge in bioleaching by encouraging “among
449 scientists and engineers to enhance development of this very important technology for an industrial sector
450 whose successful future is increasingly dependent on technological advances”, as postulated by Brierley and
451 Brierley (2013).









Figure 3. Kinetics of Cu bioleaching (top) and recovery of metals (bottom) from municipal solid waste incineration fly ash by *Alkalibacterium* sp. TRTYP6 ($n = 3$). Reproduced with permission from Elsevier (5493660184237) (Ramanathan and Ting, 2016).

3.2. Bacterial leaching in sulfidic environments and their industrial applications

The first attempt to understand the mechanism behind bacterial leaching was studying the metal sulfides bioleaching reactions. This effort was accomplished with a multi-disciplinary approach, including mineralogy, chemical bound theory, and biochemistry. In sulfidic environments it is possible to find many microorganisms sulfur and iron oxidizers such as, *Acidithiobacillus thiooxidans*, *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans*, *Leptospirillum ferrooxidans*, *Acidianus/Sulfolobus* spp., *Metallogenium* spp., that can operate direct and indirect leaching *sensu* Sand et al. (1995). Although debated (Sand et al., 2001; Vera et al., 2013; Yin et al., 2019), some co-participated reactions can be drawn:



469 Direct or contact bioleaching generally assumes a metal sulfide-attached cell oxidizes the mineral by
470 an enzyme system with oxygen to sulfate and metal cations. To dissolve a metal sulfide [Eq. 1] the indirect
471 or non-contact mechanisms grounds on the oxidizing capacity of Fe^{3+} ions. During this chemical reaction, Fe^{2+}
472 ions and elemental sulfur (S_0) are poly-sourced [Eq. 3, 5], promoting a cyclic reaction where Fe^{3+} and sulfide
473 moiety is reduced and oxidized progressively [Eq. 4, 6] thanks to an ancillary engine of S-oxidizers. It is worth
474 mentioning Extracellular Polymeric Substances (EPS) allow contact and mineral decomposition that
475 preferably start in crystal defects (Fletcher and Savage, 2013; Gehrke et al., 1998), where the Fe^{2+} ions are
476 more accessible (Dziurla et al., 1998). The need for iron is as important as the S-cycle: when the Fe^{3+} interacts
477 with the electronic structure and (leach) the surface of the mineral, and sulfur de-bonds from sulfide crystal
478 lattice, the thiosulfate releases Fe^{2+} ion and protonation forms H_2S , which reacts with the oxidative
479 compounds (e.g., Fe^{3+} , O_2). This starts a radical chain reaction that produces S_0 as an end-product, which is
480 used by bacteria (e.g., *Acidithiobacillus thiooxidans*) to produce sulfuric acid.

481 The regulatory strategies of *A. thiooxidans* during bioleaching of low-grade chalcopyrite were studied
482 in-depth by Yin et al. (2019), illustrated in Figure 4, through physiological observations matched with
483 transcriptomic approach. The authors observed that during the CuFeS_2 bioleaching process the bacterium
484 endeavor's three mechanisms to keep the pH homeostasis: i) externalizes H^+ by ATPase activity; ii) the amino
485 acid metabolism becomes more active lowering cytoplasmic acidification by proton consumption via the
486 tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle (i.e., Krebs cycle); iii) prevents proton invasion increasing the amount of
487 unsaturated fatty, particularly cyclopropane, and so far the density of the cell membrane. At the
488 transcriptomic level the genes involved in sulfur metabolism were significantly up-regulated while those
489 associated with the flagellar assembly and carbon metabolism were down-regulated, suggesting a strategy
490 of alternative energy production from the first and reduction of energy consumption with the second.
491 Noteworthy, confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) analysis indicated that EPS and biofilm formation
492 might also improve strain resistance to the stress condition (Figure 4). Niu et al. (2016) studied a real-scale
493 bioleaching system of the Dexing Copper Mine (Jiangxi, China) to provide insights into the bacterial
494 community structure and mechanisms involved at three different processing stages. According to
495 phylogenetic analysis based on 16S rRNA metabarcoding, all three groups shared 259 OTUs (Operation
496 Taxonomic Unit), but demonstrated a significant microbial shift in the process line. Gene arrays revealed a
497 difference in functional gene structures of the microbial communities and metabolic pathways potentially
498 related to bioleaching. Genes involved in carbon fixation, polyphosphate degradation, sulfur oxidation, and
499 denitrification were abundant in a sample from the heap; while genes related to carbon degradation,
500 polyphosphate synthesis, sulfite reductase, and nitrification in the spent medium leachate (Niu et al., 2016).





Figure 4. Adaptation mechanism model of *A. thiooxidans* in bioleaching of low-grade chalcopyrite (left); visualization of EPS and cell attachment on chalcopyrite surface when bioleaching at 7, 14, 21, and 28 days by CLSM (right). Reproduced with permission from Springer Nature (5493660654399) (Yin et al., 2019).

There is a long list of microorganisms used for sulfur-bearing ores bioleaching, but their adaptive mechanism to harsh environments remains disputed. Such acquired knowhow is of fundamental importance for creating strains with greater stress tolerance, crucial for commercial use in industrial bioleaching (Jerez, 2008). A review of bacterial strains possessing unique characteristics critical for commercial-scale bio-processing is reported elsewhere (Brierley and Brierley, 2013). The first significant biohydrometallurgical operation took place at the Rio Tinto copper mine in Spain from 1950 to 1980, where bioleaching was primarily done in heaps and dumps on-site. Several industrial plants for metal recovery (especially Cu and Au) have been started in America, South Africa, Uganda, and Australia. The percentages of minerals extracted were very high: up to 95% Au was extracted from crude high-graded ores and Cu yields were up to 65% from chalcopyrite and up to 98% from some sulfosalts (enargite). Around 85% Mo bioleaching is achievable from molybdenite (MoS_2) using *A. ferrooxidans* and *L. ferrooxidans*, in a six-month timeframe (Bosecker 1997; Brombacher et al., 1998). After numerous developments, the BioCOP™ technology owned by BHP Billiton was commercialized at the Chuquicamata Mine in Chile, showing a production rate of 20000 Mg/year Cu using thermophilic microorganisms to leach sulfide mineral concentrates at temperatures up to 80 °C (Batty and Rorke, 2006). The BioCOP™ technology yields a high-value copper metal product after conventional solvent extraction and electrowinning.

In commercial applications, biohydrometallurgical methods' advantages can offset net smelter royalties for metals production. For example, mineral beneficiation through bioleaching can decrease refineries and smelters penalty charge associated with high levels of impurity (Gericke et al., 2009). Moreover, secondary bioleaching or spent medium leaching can be used for on-site acid bioproduction to

525 replace mineral acid purchases (e.g., Funari et al. 2017) although sulfuric acid costs can be volatile (Moore,
526 2008). Biohydrometallurgical methods can fit existing infrastructure, such as wet technologies or
527 electrorefining, avoiding new investments by the companies. Biomining processes are mostly carried out by
528 stirred-tank and heap reactors, or both combined, especially when a spent medium leaching process is
529 attempted. In general, they can be divided into irrigation-type and stirred tank-type, as the two main
530 categories. Irrigation-type processing is primarily deployed *in situ* (e.g., heap, dump, and slope bioleaching
531 techniques), being slope bioleaching more affordable compared to other techniques, while heap bioreactors
532 represent the primary option because they are cheaper and easier to operate than stirred-tank reactors
533 (Gahan et al., 2013; Rawlings, 2004). The latter, however, are less time-consuming and offer more control
534 and predictable performances. A typical heap bioleaching system operates over a 400–600-day period,
535 starting with the preconditioning phase of 1–6 weeks. In Chile, the Bala Ley plant for low-grade Cu minerals
536 ore processing equips a dump bioleaching system, where cycles of preconditioning, irrigation, maturation,
537 and washing can last years (Rawlings, 2002). Several (hybrid) irrigation-type methods were used to treat low-
538 grade uranium ore at the Denison Mine in Ontario, Canada. The primary problems associated with impending
539 leachate loss in the environment were addressed by these procedures (Bosecker, 1997; Rawlings, 2002; 2004;
540 Rawlings et al., 2003). In South Africa and Australia, pilot-scale plants have demonstrated technical feasibility
541 for Ni recovery, with Queensland Nickel as a relevant stakeholder (Gahan et al., 2013). Similarly, the
542 Talvivaara mine in Finland tested heap bioleaching, with operations likely terminated in 2018. A famous
543 example of biooxidation plant in stirred tank reactors is at the Fairview Mine in South Africa, which used the
544 BIOX process for pretreatment of gold-bearing sulfide ores (Kaksonen et al., 2014). Bioleaching as a
545 pretreatment in a multi-stage process increased recovery efficiency, especially for extraction of precious
546 metals and Co. Gu et al. (2018) provide a new list of pretreatment methods based on bioleaching. BacTech
547 Mining Company, Canada, can treat refractory Au concentrates with the further aim of recovering Co, Ni, and
548 Ag, and remediating As-tailings (Rawlings et al., 2003; Gahan et al., 2013). Biooxidation plants equipped with
549 stirred tank reactors to recover Co from enriched mining waste and tailings can be found at Sansu, Ghana,
550 Liazhou, Shandong province, China, the Kasese Cobalt Kilembe Mine, Uganda, and Youanmi Gold Mine,
551 Australia. The latter (Youanmi project) exploits some thermophilic bacteria possessing an optimum
552 temperature between 45 °C and 55 °C (e.g., *Sulfobacillus thermosulfidooxidans*). Numerous pilot and
553 demonstration-scale processes of stirred tank bioleaching prove the potential for recovering other metals
554 from sulfides, including Ni, Co, Zn, and rare metals. Patented processes of Zn bioleaching involving solvent
555 extraction and electrowinning are also available (Steemson et al., 1994).

556 3.3. Biohydrometallurgical processes for the circular economy

557 In order to promote a circular economy, biotechnology for metal production should be eco-friendly
558 and cost-effective and adapt to the waste management sector. The continuously increasing high demand for

critical raw materials and rare metals for technological development has led not only Europe, but also other industrialized countries, to look at diversified sources of supply such as mining waste, mine tailings, and alternate anthropogenic stocks and flows that frequently exhibit a hidden metal value (Baccini and Brunner, 2012). Biomining is suitable for treating such materials because they are flexible for optimization and can prove beneficial to decontamination, coupling metal recovery with environmental remediation. This has led to recent advances in fine-tuned methods to treat anthropogenic wastes. Despite the modest and variable ore metal concentrations in anthropogenic flows like MSWI residues, bioleaching methods can allege lower capital costs than other robust technologies in waste management (Funari et al., 2017).

Biorecovery for metal extraction from anthropogenic materials such as electronic scraps, various types of slag and flying ashes, secondary solid wastes, and sludge is largely investigated as an economical and eco-friendly process (Gahan et al., 2013; Meawad et al., 2010; Lee and Pandey, 2012; Srichandan et al., 2019). Biorecovery of metals through the use of thermophilic and acidophilic bacteria has been primarily investigated to recover metals from electronic scrap, especially printed circuit boards (Ilyas et al., 2007). Among the strategies adopted to achieve higher speeds of metal leaching from electronic waste, it was found that a mixed consortium can show the maximum efficiency of leaching, and a pre-washing might be useful to remove easily soluble metals (e.g., Cl, Na) or light fractions (e.g., plastics) toxic for bacteria. Satisfactory leaching yields are achievable with *S. thermosulfidooxidans*, an example of moderate thermophilic bacteria, but the presence of Pb and Sn precipitation complicated separation and purification (Ilyas et al., 2007). Recently, Becci et al. (2020) confirmed that pre-crushing to obtain a granulometry > 0.5 mm is a good strategy to enhance bioleaching of printed circuit boards using iron oxidizers. However, the formation of passivation layers (e.g., jarosite) remains a limiting factor that reduces kinetics dramatically. In their experiments, bioleaching processes using monoclonal cultures of *A. ferrooxidans* and *L. ferrooxidans* were compared, emphasising oxidation of iron species. The latter microorganisms are very sensitive to metals toxicity and perform a slow conversion of Fe^{2+} in Fe^{3+} , resulting in relatively low recovery of around 40% Cu and 20% Zn, while bioleaching with *A. ferrooxidans* yielded around 95% Cu and 70% Zn and showed high conversion of Fe^{3+} . Further comparing different scenarios in terms of carbon footprint (Figure 5), they found the optimum condition with further bioreactor size reduction can achieve four times reduction of the CO_2 -eq per kilogram of treated material compared to the best bioleaching processes reported in the literature (Becci et al., 2020).





Figure 5. System boundaries considered for the carbon footprint assessment (left); carbon footprint of the five considered approaches (right). (Function unit: 1 kg of shredded PCBs). Reproduced with permission from Elsevier (S493661285952) (Becci et al., 2020).

The ability of microorganisms to interact with Rare Earth Elements (REE) is suitable for metallurgical separation and environmental technology. The microbial capacity to interact with REE and the REE adsorption sites were investigated in depth using synchrotron-based techniques on genetically engineered strains clarifying the REE adsorption mechanisms. Recognized patterns can be generalized: surface adsorption, adsorption on extracellular biopolymers, cellular absorption, and adsorption on extracellular biominerals (Moriwaki and Yamamoto, 2013). The binding sites of the bacterial cell wall suggested to interact the most with REEs and determine the strain selectivity are phosphate and carboxyl groups. In the recovery of metals such as Ni, Co, and Mo from spent catalysts low yield were reported for acidophilic bacteria, however, it could be improved using *Escherichia coli* due to its capacity to produce reducing conditions even in acidic environments (Vyas and Ting, 2020). Vyas and Ting (2020) reported that higher Mo extraction (from 72 to 96 %) was observed in the spent medium leaching when *E. coli* biomass was kept in contact with the pregnant solutions in a two-step bioleaching procedure. The result suggests a possible biosorption or bioaccumulation mechanism operated by *E. coli* using spent medium indicating a significant involvement of active metabolites such as amino acids. Also, recent studies demonstrate that autochthonous bacteria can be present in wastes and that they could be isolated and tailored for bioprocessing (Ramanathan and Ting, 2016) and bioremediation (Piervandi et al., 2020).

3.3.1. Bioleaching of MSWI residues

Bioleaching of MSWI residues mainly involves ferrous iron and sulfur-oxidizing bacteria (e.g., *A. ferrooxidans*, *A. thiooxidans*), and several species of fungi (e.g., *Aspergillus niger*), which can grow on and around the waste material. Despite numerous bench-scale experiments, no commercial processes for MSWI residues bioleaching are available so far. Biohydrometallurgical processing of MSWI residues suffers

612 considerable limitations when a high pulp density is required to justify short to medium term investments.
613 The high content of toxic metals, organic materials, and a highly alkaline starting pH can impede microbial
614 growth and process efficiency. Various leaching organisms show a high tolerance to toxic metals (i.e., 50 g/l
615 Ni, 55 g/l Cu, 112 g/l Zn), but also the mineralogical composition is of primary importance: a high calcium
616 carbonate content, such as for several types of MSWI-FA, would be unfavorable because high alkalinity and
617 the precipitation of gypsum can occur, affecting the overall costs.

618 Bioleaching can extract valuable metals, especially Cu, Zn, Pb, As, Sb, Ni, Mo, Au, Ag and Co, from
619 MSWI residues with less energy and environmental impact than pyro- or hydro-metallurgical methods.
620 Ishigaki et al. (2005) studied the bioleaching of Cr, Cu, Zn, Cd, and As from MSWI-FA by sulfur-oxidizing and
621 iron-oxidizing bacteria, as pure cultures and a mixture of both. The mixed culture showed the best
622 performance (67% Cu, 78% Zn and 100% for Cr and Cd at 1 % w/v pulp density). Characterization of metal
623 bioleaching revealed that the acidic and oxidizing conditions remained stable throughout the experiment.
624 The redox mechanisms coupled with the sulfate leaching brought an increase of ferrous iron enhancing the
625 Cr, Cu, and As leaching. However, they found that at a higher pulp density (3 % w/v) chromium remained
626 virtually undissolved (4 % Cr yield). The presence of degradable and non-degradable organic compounds in
627 MSWI residues exerted no significant changes in the leachability of metals other than Zn (Ishigaki et al., 2005).
628 An earlier study on microbial leaching (Mercier et al., 1999) elucidated that the following elements can be
629 removed in decreasing order of extraction rate: Cd, Zn, Pb, Cu, using a pure culture of *A. ferrooxidans*. In the
630 same work, four different leaching tests were conducted for environmental compliance of the final residues,
631 and the authors concluded that the leachate from Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) was
632 within the acceptance criteria only if the final residue's pH was increased to five after the biological
633 treatment. Still, Cd releases could be an issue concerning regulatory limits. The investigation by Krebs (2001)
634 reported an example of co-treatments of MSWI-FA using a mixture of strains (*Thiobacillus* genus) in a
635 suspension of water and nutrients (1 % w/v S_0 powder) and 4 % w/v sewage sludge. The cultivation was
636 compared to pure *A. thiooxidans* or sewage sludge alone, over 1-3 months. The inoculation with the
637 combination of sewage sludge and bacteria showed a fast decrease in pH and increased microbial growth. In
638 the final pH of 1, the efficiency of metal leaching was very similar, with pulp density ranging from 0.5 % to 1
639 %. More than 80 % Cd, Cu, and Zn, around 60 % Al, up to 30 % Ni and Fe, less than 10% Cr and Pb were
640 mobilized (Krebs, 2001). Autochthonous bacteria can be used for bioleaching as reported in a study on MSWI-
641 FA produced in Singapore incineration plant (Ramanathan and Ting, 2016). Thirty-eight different microbes
642 were isolated and characterized to find the most suitable autochthonous microbe with inherent fly ash
643 tolerance and ability to thrive in alkaline pH (thus avoiding any pre-acidification of the ash). Besides
644 *Firmicutes* (90 % relative abundance), three other phyla were identified: *Bacterioidetes*, *Actinobacteria*, and
645 *α -Proteobacteria*. Among six isolates displaying Cu recovery of about 20% or more, *Alkalibacterium* sp. was

646 tolerant to pH and fly ash, making it a suitable candidate for MSWI-FA bioleaching. Indeed, a one-step
647 bioleaching with *Alkalibacterium sp.* on MSWI-FA showed 52% Cu and 25% Zn recovery. The high tolerance
648 of *Alkalibacterium sp.* to metals and substantial bioleaching ability can prompt scaled-up bioleaching with
649 alkaline bacteria that, at present, do not reach acidophile bioleaching in terms of Cu and Zn removal rates. A
650 clear advantage of alkaline bioleaching is the higher pulp density (more than 20% w/v), signifying less water-
651 demand. Hong et al. (2000) tested saponin, a biosurfactant produced by microorganisms and plants, for
652 metal removal from MSWI-FA. They compared the efficiency of saponins with that of other solvent extraction
653 (HCl and EDTA) in the pH range 4-9. The saponins leaching was more effective than control acid treatments
654 for Cr, Cu, and Pb with yields up to 45 %, 60 % and 100 %, respectively, whereas the Fe, Si, Al, and Zn
655 extraction was not significant. Gonzalez et al. (2017) used cementing bacteria to stabilise MSWI-FA. After
656 bacteria cementation assays and the assessment of the ad/absorption of metals in the cemented fly ash, they
657 concluded that *Sporosarcina pasteurii* and *Myxococcus xanthus* are suitable for multiple metal stabilization
658 (As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb, Sn, and Zn) with some differences concerning trace elements mobility, depending on
659 the starting concentrations in the samples (Gonzalez et al., 2017).


660 MSWI-BA bioleaching has received less attention in the scientific literature because of its less
661 hazardous nature than MSWI-FA, which allows for direct reuse in the construction sector with minimum
662 pretreatment. Aouad et al. (2008) studied *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and MSWI-BA interactions foreseeing
663 real exposition of MSWI residues to halotolerant bacteria at landfill site. Bioleaching experiments using a
664 pure culture of *P. aeruginosa* was carried out for 133 days at 25 °C using a modified Soxhlet's device and a
665 culture medium in a closed, unstirred system and resulted in an increase in pH, a greater immobilization of
666 Pb, Ni and Zn, and weaker alteration rate of treated MSWI-BA compared to the abiotic control. The authors
667 explained that the biofilms acted as a protective barrier, thus preventing dissolution by promoting
668 biomineralization (Aouad et al., 2008). Many halotolerant bacteria can be found at MSWI disposal site since
669 tolerating the salinity of MSWI residues, but little information is available about the interaction between
670 bacteria and landfill waste (Sun et al., 2016). *Firmicutes*, *Proteobacteria*, and *Bacteroidetes* as the dominant
671 phyla, with dominant genera as *Halanaerobium*, *Lactococcus*, *Methylohalobius*, *Ignatzschineria*,
672 *Syntrophomonas*, *Fastidiosipila*, and *Spirochaeta* are characteristic in municipal waste landfills (Wang et al.,
673 2017)

674 The acid bioleaching behavior of both MSWI FA and MSWI-BA was investigated at the bench scale
675 and compared to abiotic leaching (H₂SO₄, 10% pulp density, 30 °C, 150 rpm) looking at a wide range of metals
676 (Funari et al., 2017). A mixed acidophilic culture composed of iron and sulfur-oxidizing bacteria tested on a
677 one-step bioleaching process yielded >85% Cu, Al, Mn, Mg, and Zn and significant removals of Co, Cr, Pb, Sb,
678 and REE. Unvalued elements like Ca, Si, and Ti showed low mobility with the tendency to remain in the solid
679 phase, while the solubility of other trace elements might be selectively enhanced by the cyclic supply of Fe⁺³


680 produced by iron-oxidizing bacteria. Moving ahead, Mäkinen et al. (2019) tested the possibility of heap
681 bioleaching for the recovery of Zn and Cu from MSWI-BA at the bench scale via column experiments. Leaching
682 yields varied 18–53% Zn and 6–44% Cu, and they noted that appropriate aeration is the main critical factor
683 needing further adjustments in future testing. Potentially high Fe and Al, easily dissolved in sulfuric acid
684 solutions, and different heap behavior due to the heterogeneity of the material can also impede bioleaching
685 utilization in MSWI residues treatment. However, a balance between bacterial adaptive mechanisms and
686 nutrient supply can generate savings compared to processes relying on abiotic procedures. Electrochemical
687 technologies are also promising in the optimization of acidophile bioleaching for MSWI residues (Gomes et
688 al., 2020), possibly to offset the CO₂ generation of full-scale applications.

689 Fungi have considerable industrial importance in biomining. Several studies demonstrate the
690 applicability of a bioleaching process to MSWI residues using fungal metabolic substances and reactions.
691 However, data on a limited spectrum of genera are available (i.e., *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium*). The
692 bioleaching ability of *Aspergillus* has been primarily ascribed to metal dissolution by organic acid excretion
693 (e.g., citric acid). Bosshard et al. (1996) compared biological leaching of MSWI-FA by *Aspergillus niger* in batch
694 cultures 5% pulp density to chemical leaching, and they found that bioleaching was only slightly lower than
695 chemical leaching with commercial citric acid. They also noted that, in the presence of MSWI-FA, *A. niger*
696 produced gluconate, whereas, in its absence, citrate. Xu and Ting (2009) investigated the bioleaching kinetics
697 of *A. niger* with MSWI-FA at various pulp densities (1–6%) in a batch system; Figure 6 illustrates the key
698 results. A modified Gompertz model was used to evaluate growth and acid production by the fungus, while
699 a Monod inhibition model served to assess growth kinetics in the presence of toxic and inhibitory
700 components of the MSWI-FA. The metals present in the MSWI-FA at high concentrations acted as inhibitors,
701 decreasing the *A. niger* bioleaching yield. A gradual decrease of the fungal growth rate was observed with
702 the increase of the pulp density, likely in relation to the primary inhibitory mechanisms that include inhibition
703 of critical functional groups of enzymes, conformational changes of cell's polymers, and alteration of the
704 integrity of the cell membrane. Nonetheless, the acid excretion by the fungus played a direct role in metal
705 solubility (Al, Fe, and Zn) since the concentration of organic acid increases with biomass and time during
706 fungal leaching of MSWI-FA (Xu and Ting, 2009). The optimal MSWI-FA concentration for fungal leaching is
707 up to 10 % (w/v) in the medium (Bosshard et al., 1996). Yang et al. (2009a) reported bioleaching experiments
708 of MSWI-FA by using single-metal adapted, multi-metal adapted, un-adapted *A. niger*. The effect of pH and
709 concentration of the extracted metals on the fungus growth was evaluated by comparing the diameter of the
710 fungal colonies. The authors found that multi-metal adapted AS3.879 can tolerate the greatest pulp densities
711 and the Al-adapted strain AS3.879 is the best candidate for MSWI-FA decontamination according to the TCLP
712 test on final residues (Yang et al., 2009a). The biosorption of metals in their ionic form operated by *A. niger*
713 was further elucidated contacting MSWI-FA leachate made from gluconic acid leaching and the fungus for

120 minutes at 6.5 pH: Al, Fe and Zn fitted a pseudo-first-order kinetic and Pb a pseudo-second kinetic; regarding the isotherm models, Pb, Zn and Fe fitted the Langmuir model, while Al Freundlich's (Yang et al., 2009). Moreover, microscope observations revealed that fungal morphology was significantly affected during both one-step and two-step bioleaching, with precipitation of calcium oxalate hydrate crystals at the surface of hyphae (Xu et al., 2014), as illustrated in Figure 7, leading to noteworthy implications for after-use. Metal richness in solution or contact surface can be toxic to microorganisms, but finely tuned pre-treatment and adaptation strategies would overcome this limitation in industrial bioprocessing. For example, water washing pre-treatment of MSWI-FA was simultaneously evaluated in both one-step and two-step bioleaching procedures using *A. niger* (Wang et al., 2009). The results (under optimum pulp density of 1% w/v) showed that the fungi lag phase (i.e., the timeframe of steady pH level and after which the pH starts to drop quickly) in the absence of pre-treatment lasts about 260 hours, while less than 150 hours if water washing is deployed (yielding 96% Cd, 91% Mn, 73% Pb, 68% Zn, 35% Cr, 30% Fe at the end of the experiment; Wang et al., 2009). Water washing pre-treatment improves the production of organic acids thanks to partial removal of other components, leading to a reduction of the experiment duration and overall costs.



729 Figure 6. Growth of *A. niger* in the presence of fly ash: solid lines are Modified Gompertz model, and no growth was seen at 6%
 730 pulp density (top). Effect of fly ash pulp density (1–6%, w/v) on: the bioleaching of zinc (middle); the production of citric acid
 731 (bottom). Reproduced with permission from Elsevier (S493670696531) (Xu and Ting, 2009).



732 Figure 7. (a) Undefined XRD analyses of the fungal pellet in two-step bioleaching confirming the formation of calcium oxalate
 733 crystals and dissolution of fly ash particles from Day 7; (b) micrograph of the fungal pellet in two-step bioleaching on Day 7 showing
 734 small particles on the hyphae and increased diameter of hyphae (PPL1 = 4.45 mm and PPL2 = 5.97mm); (c) EDX analyses of the
 735 fungal pellet in two-step bioleaching at Day 7 confirming the presence of calcium oxalate crystals on the fungal surface; (d) surface
 736 of the fungal pellet in two-step bioleaching at Day 8 showing abnormally short, swollen and high-branched hyphae (PPL1 = 5.59
 737 mm, PPL2 = 6.32 mm and PPL3 = 5.32 mm). Reproduced with permission from Elsevier (S493670100485) (Xu et al., 2014).
 738

739 4. Perspectives and Future Developments

740 5. 4.1 Challenges and Opportunities

741 Bioleaching applied to metal recovery and other biomining operations (e.g., biooxidation for mineral
 742 beneficiation, bioremediation of mining waste) can be considered more environmentally friendly than
 743 traditional methods. The application of bioleaching, which often refers to mimicking natural processes, is one
 744 of the most prominent methods capable of balancing environmental and economic costs in the waste
 745 management sector.

746 MSWI residues are mineral assemblages resulting from an elaborated petrogenesis and, thus, after
 747 appropriate metallurgical treatments, can fit many potential after-uses. MSWI urban ores show metal

748 concentrations equivalent to low-grade primary ores (Funari et al., 2015). Therefore, urban mining can be
749 affordable. Critical raw materials and strategic elements for green and high-tech applications can be
750 recovered from anthropogenic resources like MSWI residues which are an ever-present flow of loose material
751 and not natural ores to be drilled/crushed to the detriment of the environment. Many countries with limited
752 mineral reserves could find urban mines a compelling resource supply and income option.

753 Biotechnologies, with their relatively low running costs and capital, have a central role in the supply
754 of raw materials and eco-friendly alternatives. It is ideal for remediation and metal recovery from legacy sites
755 and other mining wastes, even and especially in developing countries (Acevedo, 2002). Some strength points
756 of MSWI residues bioleaching have been elucidated after about twenty years of laboratory testing at different
757 scales (Rawlings, 2002; Hennebel et al., 2015; Srichandan et al., 2019; Gomes et al., 2020), such as, less energy
758 and solvent consumption, high boosting potential, easiness to suite existing infrastructures, etc. However,
759 bioleaching of MSWI BA and FA results slower than chemical leaching, and the state-of-the-art highlights the
760 need for improvements concerning dissolution kinetics. For industrial rollout, this limiting factor makes the
761 sole bioprocessing unaffordable and less appealing for MSWI plants than aggressive acid extractions or
762 energy-demanding physical methods.

763 Safety measures must be continually adapted to the desired technology, and fundamental
764 information from basic research is required for process development. Using strains from lab collections and
765 indigenous uncultured strains or mixtures from the natural environments may overcome some limitations,
766 such as the long reaction times to obtain satisfactory yields. As a fact, it became clearer that mixed cultures
767 instead of monoclonal strain showed synergistic effects, favoring biomass growth against heavy metals
768 inhibition and maintaining a reasonable trade-off between microbial community succession and their energy
769 type metabolisms. The use of nutrients, e.g., iron and sulfur for acidophilic bacteria or organic sources for
770 fungi and cyanobacteria, and the mineral acids/bases to maintain a predetermined pH setpoint can increase
771 overall processing costs (Srichandan et al., 2019). Moreover, each microorganism must be adapted to the
772 waste material to be treated as its resistance might strongly depend on the heterogeneity the matrix and
773 standard pH. As in the case of alkaline wastes, such as MSWI ashes, where acidophile cultures can be
774 unaffordable in bioleaching, additional data on alkaline bioleaching or fungal bioleaching is required.

775 Reducing carbon footprint could receive attention and leverage the development of bioleaching to
776 mitigate climate change. For example, carbon sequestration and accelerated carbonation of alkaline wastes
777 mediated by microorganisms are promising (Mayes et al., 2018; Gomes et al., 2020). Further opportunities
778 are represented by i) fine-tuned bioleaching (enhanced selectivity for specifically chased metals as Li, Co, Cu,
779 REE); ii) microbial recovery cell (consisting in a combination of galvanic leaching and bioleaching). In relation
780 to the last case, an electrodialytic in-situ bioleaching can be tested expecting great results. Several authors
781 argued that some magnetic separates from MSWI residues via electrodynamic fragmentation (Bluhm et al.,

2000; Seifert et al., 2013), can suite as ideal substrate material (e.g., Panda, 2020) which, at some point, could effortlessly combine to electrodialytic bioleaching. In Europe, metal recovery exploiting ferrous fraction separation has been valued at 60-100 € per ton (of MSWI-BA), while the economic value of the non-ferrous fraction is significantly higher (Šyc et al., 2020). Interestingly, the ferrous metal fraction >3/4 mm is still virtually unemployed, although it could contain a significant amount of precious metals (Muchova et al., 2009; Holm and Simon, 2017). Since they contain many impurities, these separated by-products are generally sold to a third party at low cost. Again, fine-tuned bioprocessing can enter the treatment chains if sufficient trials are available to achieve better market values. New contractors for MSWI residues bioprocessing indeed produces new job and business models which, in turn, aside from economic feasibility, depends on:

- i. geographic location
- ii. desired final quality of recovery
- iii. throughput (i.e., large vs small MSWI plants)
- iv. type of MSWI residue (e.g., MSWI-BA vs MSWI-FA, quenched MSWI-BA vs dry MSWI-BA)
- v. type of treatment plant (e.g., on-site, at the landfill, mobile processing plant)
- vi. space requirements
- vii. proposed technology (e.g., one step vs multistep bioleaching)
- viii. management options for end-products (landfilling vs inert re-use)

4.2 Future research

The reproducibility of MSWI ashes bioprocessing is uncertain due to the lack of pilot-scale treatment results and considering the significant diversity and obsolescence (lifespan of 20-30 years) of the technologies used in MSWI management and municipal waste feed heterogeneity. During prototyping phase the assessment of biological hazards via standardized tests must be completely developed and adapted to the proposed technologies. BA and FA contain hazardous substances, such as mobile harmful elements Pb, As, Mo, Cd, Zn, and Sb, and also organic contaminants such as halides, hormones, prion, ionic liquids and rare volatile metals like osmium, and other ultrafine particles (Funari et al., 2016; 2020; Turner and Filella, 2017; Richardson and Kimura, 2017). Target and non-target chemical analyses, toxicological studies, and endpoint metrics (such as antibiotic resistance, genotoxicity, superparamagnetism, etc.) are necessary to protect the environment and the human health.

Despite the general skepticism in the application of biological processes, feasibility studies ascertain the urgent need to establish process efficiency in appropriate scale reactors or heaps to optimize the process, reactor design, and cost-benefit analysis towards cleaner waste management and minimization of loss of resources in the production chains. Optimizing the dissolution kinetics to speed up the reaction can be improved by optimizing pH, pulp density, temperature, pre-treatments, reaction time, and the careful choice

816 of bioleaching bacteria and their nutrients. Certainly, a profound knowledge of the leaching mechanism and
817 behavior of microorganisms is vital for identifying new promising species or consortia. Pulp density is another
818 issue that makes the process uneconomic unless clear water recirculation solutions are developed.
819 Evaluation of the process economics may be properly examined in the long run after identifying the best
820 metal to recover based on the commercial bioleaching applications in primary ore mining. Although
821 bioleaching yields are a step below compared to abiotic leaching, engineered inocula can be tailored to the
822 target materials and express their functionalities to sustain or prevent metal leaching from the treated waste.

823 Statements

824 -Ethical Approval

825

826 Not applicable

827

828 -Consent to Participate

829

830 Not applicable

831

832 -Consent to Publish

833

834 Not applicable

835

836 -Authors Contributions

837

838 *Conceptualization: Valerio Funari; Methodology: Valerio Funari, Helena I. Gomes, Rafael Santos; Writing -*
839 *original draft preparation: Valerio Funari; Writing - review and editing: Valerio Funari, Helena I. Gomes,*
840 *Simone Toller, Laura Vitale; Supervision: Valerio Funari, Helena I. Gomes, Rafael Santos.*

841

842 -Funding

843

844 *This work was supported by Ministero dell'Istruzione, dell'Università e della Ricerca (PRIN 2017*
845 *2017L83S77_005) and Fondazione CON IL SUD (2018-PDR-01165).*

846

847 -Competing Interests

848

849 *The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.*

850

851 -Availability of data and materials

852

853 *The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, V.F., upon*
854 *reasonable request.*

855

856 Acknowledgement

857 V. F. and S. T. acknowledge the project PRIN 2017 2017L83S77_005 “Mineral reactivity, a key to under-
858 stand large-scale processes: from rock forming environments to solid waste recovering/lithification”. V. F.,
859 H.I. G. and L. V. acknowledge the Fondazione CON IL SUD for the support through MATCHER project (2018-
860 PDR-01165).

861

862 References

863

- 864 (EIPPCB) European Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control Bureau, 2018. Best Available
865 Techniques (BAT) Reference Document on Waste Incineration (final draft, December 2018).
866 Joint Research Centre, Sevilla, Spain.
- 867 Acevedo F., 2002. Present and future of bioleaching in developing countries. *Electronic Journal of*
868 *Biotechnology*, 5: 196–199.
- 869 Allegrini E., Maresca A., Olsson M.E., Holtze M.S., Boldrin A., and Astrup T.F. 2014. Quantification
870 of the Resource Recovery Potential of Municipal Solid Waste Incineration Bottom Ashes.
871 *Waste Management* 34: 1627–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2014.05.003>.
- 872 Aouad G., Crovisier J.L., Damidot D., Stille P., Hutchens E., Mutterer J., Meyer J.M., and Geoffroy
873 V.A. 2008. Interactions between Municipal Solid Waste Incinerator Bottom Ash and
874 Bacteria (*Pseudomonas Aeruginosa*). *Science of the Total Environment* 393 (2–3): 385–93.
875 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.01.017>.
- 876 Arickx S., Van Gerven T., and Vandecasteele C. 2006. Accelerated Carbonation for Treatment of
877 MSWI Bottom Ash. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 137 (1): 235–43.
878 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.01.059>.
- 879 Astrup T., Muntoni A., Poletti A., van Gerven T., van Zomeren A. 2016. Treatment and reuse of
880 incineration bottom ash. Elsevier Inc. ISBN: 978-0-12-803837-6
- 881 Baccini P., and Brunner P.H. 2012. *Metabolism of the Anthroposphere: Analysis, Evaluation,*
882 *Design.* MIT Press.
- 883 Baciocchi R., Costa G., Zingaretti D., Cazzotti M., Werner M., Poletti A., Pomi R., and Falasca M.
884 2010. Studio Sulle Potenzialità Della Carbonatazione Di Minerali e Residui Industriali per Lo
885 Stoccaggio Di Anidride Carbonica Prodotta Da Impianti Di Piccola / Media Taglia. ENEA -
886 Report Ricerca Di Sistema Elettrico.

887 Batty, J.D., Rorke, G.V., 2006. Development and commercial demonstration of the BioCOP™
888 thermophile process. *Hydrometallurgy*, 83 (1–4): 83–89.

889 Bayuseno A.P., and Schmahl W.W. 2010. Understanding the Chemical and Mineralogical
890 Properties of the Inorganic Portion of MSWI Bottom Ash. *Waste Management* 30 (8–9):
891 1509–20. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2010.03.010>.

892 Becci A., Amato A., Fonti V., and Beolchini F. 2020. An innovative biotechnology for metal recovery
893 from printed circuit boards. *Resources, Conservation & Recycling*, 153, November 2019,
894 2020

895 Benassi L., Pasquali M., Zanoletti A., Dalipi R., Borgese L., Depero L.E., Vassura I., Quina M.J., and
896 Bontempi E. 2016. Chemical Stabilization of Municipal Solid Waste Incineration Fly Ash
897 without Any Commercial Chemicals: First Pilot-Plant Scaling Up. *ACS Sustainable Chemistry*
898 *and Engineering* 4 (10): 5561–69. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.6b01294>.

899 Bertolini L., Carsana M., Cassago D., Quadrio Curzio A., and Collepardi M. 2004. MSWI Ashes as
900 Mineral Additions in Concrete. *Cement and Concrete Research* 34 (10): 1899–1906.
901 <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2004.02.001>.

902 Bhagat C., Dudhagara P., and Tank S. 2018. Trends, Application and Future Prospectives of
903 Microbial Carbonic Anhydrase Mediated Carbonation Process for CCUS. *Journal of Applied*
904 *Microbiology* 124 (2): 316–35. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.13589>.

905 Biganzoli L., and Grosso M. 2013. Aluminium Recovery from Waste Incineration Bottom Ash, and
906 Its Oxidation Level. *Waste Management and Research* 31 (9): 954–59.
907 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0734242X13493956>.

908 Bipp H.P., Wunsch P., Fischer K., Bieniek D., and Kettrup A. 1998. Heavy metal leaching of fly ash
909 from waste incineration with gluconic acid and molasses hydrolysate. *Chemosphere*, 36,
910 2523–2533.

911 Bluhm H., Frey W., Giese H., Hoppé P., Schultheiß C., and Sträßner R. 2000. Application of pulsed
912 HV discharges to material fragmentation and recycling. *IEEE Transactions on Dielectrics and*
913 *Electrical Insulation*, 7: 625–636. <https://doi.org/10.1109/94.879358>

914 Bogush A., Stegemann J.A., Wood I., and Roy A. 2015. Element Composition and Mineralogical
915 Characterisation of Air Pollution Control Residue from UK Energy-from-Waste Facilities.
916 *Waste Management* 36: 119–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2014.11.017>.

917 Böni D., and Morf L., 2018. Thermo-Recycling: Efficient recovery of valuable materials from dry
918 bottom ash. *Removal, Treatment and Utilisation of Waste Incineration Bottom Ash*.
919 Thomé-Kozmiensky Verlag GmbH: 25–37.

920 Born J.P., 2018. Mining incinerator bottom ash for heavy non-ferrous metals and precious metal.
921 In: Holm, O., Thome-Kozmiensky, E. (Eds.), *Removal, Treatment and Utilisation of Waste*
922 *Incineration Bottom Ash*. TK Verlag, Neuruppin, pp. 11–24.

923 Bosecker K. 1997. Bioleaching: Metal Solubilization by Microorganisms. *FEMS Microbiology*
924 *Reviews* 20: 591–604. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.1997.tb00340.x>.

925 Bosshard P.P., Bachofen R., and Brandl H. 1996. Metal Leaching of Fly Ash from Municipal Waste
926 Incineration by *Aspergillus Niger*. *Environmental Science & Technology* 30: 3066–70.

927 Brännvall E., and Kumpiene J. 2016. Fly Ash in Landfill Top Covers – a Review. *Environmental*
928 *Science: Processes & Impacts* 18 (1): 11–21. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c5em00419e>.

929 Brierley C.L., and Brierley J.A. 2013. Progress in Bioleaching: Part B: Applications of Microbial
930 Processes by the Minerals Industries. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 97: 7543–
931 52. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-013-5095-3>.

932 Brombacher C., Bachofen R., and Brandl H. 1998. Development of a Laboratory-Scale Leaching
 933 Plant for Metal Extraction from Fly Ash by *Thiobacillus* Strains. *Applied and Environmental*
 934 *Microbiology* 64 (4): 1237–41. [https://doi.org/0099-2240/98/\\$04.00+0](https://doi.org/0099-2240/98/$04.00+0).

935 Bühler, A., Schlumberger, S., 2010. Schwermetalle aus der Flugasche zurückgewinnen: Saure
 936 Flugaschenwäsche – FLUWA Verfahren, ein zukunftsweisendes Verfahren in der
 937 Abfallverbrennung (Recovering Heavy Metals from Fly Ash: Acidic Fly Ash Scrubbing
 938 'FLUWA', a Trendsetting Procedure in Waste Incineration). KVARückstände in der Schweiz –
 939 Der Rohstoff mit Mehrwert (MSWI Residues in Switzerland – A Resource with Added
 940 Value). Swiss Federal Office for the Environment (FOEN), Bern.

941 Bunge R. 2018. Recovery of metals from waste incineration bottom ash. In: Holm, O., Thome-
 942 Kozmiansky, E. (Eds.), *Removal, Treatment and Utilisation of Waste Incineration Bottom*
 943 *Ash*. TK Verlag, Neuruppin, pp. 63–143.

944 Chandler A. J., Eighmy T.T., Hartlén J., Hjelm O., Kosson D.S., Sawell S.E., van der Sloot H.A., and
 945 Vehlow J. 1997. *Municipal Solid Waste Incinerator Residues*. Edited by The International Ash
 946 Working Group. The International Ash Working Group. 1997 Elsev. Vol. 67. Netherlands:
 947 *Studies in Environmental Science*.

948 Chang C.Y., Wang C.F., Mui D.T., Chiang H.L. 2009. Application of methods (sequential extraction
 949 procedures and high-pressure digestion method) to fly ash particles to determine the
 950 element constituents: A case study for BCR 176. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 163, 578-
 951 587. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.07.039

952 Chen Z., Lu S., Mao Q., Buekens A., Chang W., Wang X., Yan J. 2016. Suppressing heavy metal
 953 leaching through ball milling of fly ash. *Energies* 9 (7): 524.
 954 <https://doi.org/10.3390/en9070524>

955 Chimenos J.M., Fernández A.I., Miralles L., Segarra M., and Espiell F. 2003. Short-term natural
 956 weathering of MSWI bottom ash as a function of particle size. *Waste Management*, 23, 10,
 957 887-895. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0956-053X\(03\)00074-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0956-053X(03)00074-6)

958 Chou J.D., Wey M.Y., Chang S.H., 2009. Evaluation of the distribution patterns of Pb, Cu and Cd
 959 from MSWI fly ash during thermal treatment by sequential extraction procedure. *Journal of*
 960 *Hazardous Materials*, 162, 1000-1006. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.05.155

961 Clavier K.A., Paris J.M., Ferraro C.C., Bueno E.T., Tibbetts C.M., and Townsend T.G. 2021. Washed
 962 waste incineration bottom ash as a raw ingredient in cement production: Implications for
 963 lab-scale clinker behavior. *Resources, Conservation & Recycling*, 169, 105513.
 964 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2021.105513>

965 Cornelis G., Van Gerven T., and Vandecasteele C. 2012. Antimony Leaching from MSWI Bottom
 966 Ash: Modelling of the Effect of PH and Carbonation. *Waste Management* 32 (2): 278–86.
 967 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2011.09.018>.

968 Costa G., Baciocchi R., Polettini A., Pomi R., Hills C.D., and Carey P.J. 2007. Current Status and
 969 Perspectives of Accelerated Carbonation Processes on Municipal Waste Combustion
 970 Residues. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 135 (1–3): 55–75.
 971 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-007-9704-4>.

972 De Boom A., and Degrez M. 2012. Belgian MSWI Fly Ashes and APC Residues: A Characterisation
 973 Study. *Waste Management* 32 (6): 1163–70.
 974 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2011.12.017>.

975 Dijkstra J.J., van der Sloot H.A., and Comans R.N.J. 2006. The leaching of major and trace elements
 976 from MSWI bottom ash as a function of pH and time. *Applied Geochemistry* 21 (2): 335–51.
 977 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2005.11.003>

978 Dziurla M.-A., Achouak W., Lam B.-T., Heulin T., Berthelin J. 1998. Enzyme-linked immunofiltration
 979 assay to estimate attachment of Thiobacilli to pyrite. *Applied Environmental Microbiology*
 980 64 (8), 2937–2942.

981 Eighmy T.T., Dykstra J., Eusden J., Krzanowski E., Domingo D.S., Staempfli D., Martin J.R., and
 982 Erickson P.M. 1995. Comprehensive Approach toward Understanding Element Speciation
 983 and Leaching Behavior in Municipal Solid Waste Incineration Electrostatic Precipitator Ash.
 984 *Environmental Science & Technology* 29 (3): 629–46.
 985 <https://doi.org/10.1021/es00003a010>.

986 Eurostat, 2019. Statistics explained retrieved at [https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-](https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=File:Municipal_waste_landfilled,_incinerated,_recycled_and_composted,_EU-27,_1995-2019.png)
 987 [explained/index.php?title=File:Municipal_waste_landfilled,_incinerated,_recycled_and_co](https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=File:Municipal_waste_landfilled,_incinerated,_recycled_and_composted,_EU-27,_1995-2019.png)
 988 [mposted,_EU-27,_1995-2019.png](https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=File:Municipal_waste_landfilled,_incinerated,_recycled_and_composted,_EU-27,_1995-2019.png)

989 Eusden J.D., Eighmy T.T., Hockert K., Holland E., and Marsella K. 1999. Petrogenesis of Municipal
 990 Solid Waste Combustion Bottom Ash. *Applied Geochemistry* 14 (8): 1073–91.
 991 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927\(99\)00005-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(99)00005-0).

992 Fan C., Wang B., Qi Y., Liu Z., 2021. “Characteristics and leaching behavior of MSWI fly ash in novel
 993 solidification/stabilization binders.” *Waste Management*, 131, 277-285.
 994 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2021.06.011>

995 Fellner J., Lederer J., Purgar A., Winterstetter A., Rechberger H., Winter F., and Laner D. 2015.
 996 Evaluation of Resource Recovery from Waste Incineration Residues--the Case of Zinc.
 997 *Waste Management* 37: 95–103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2014.10.010>.

998 Ferrari S., Belevi H., and Baccini P. 2002. Chemical Speciation of Carbon in Municipal Solid Waste
 999 Incinerator Residues. *Waste Management* 22: 303–14.

1000 Fletcher M., and Savage D.C. 2013. *Bacterial Adhesion: Mechanisms and Physiological Significance*.
 1001 Springer Science & Business Media.

1002 Fruergaard T., Hyks J., and Astrup T. 2010. Life-cycle assessment of selected management options
 1003 for air pollution control residues from waste incineration. *Science of The Total*
 1004 *Environment*, 408, 20: 4672-4680. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.05.029>

1005 Funari V., Bokhari S.H.N., Vigliotti L., Meisel T.C., and Braga R. 2016. The Rare Earth Elements in
 1006 Municipal Solid Waste Incinerators ash and promising tools for their prospecting. *Journal of*
 1007 *Hazardous Materials* 301 (January): 471–79.
 1008 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2015.09.015>.

1009 Funari V., Braga R., Bokhari S.N.H., Dinelli E., and Meisel T.C. 2015. Solid Residues from Italian
 1010 Municipal Solid Waste Incinerators: A Source for “critical” Raw Materials. *Waste*
 1011 *Management* 45: 206–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2014.11.005>.

1012 Funari V., Gomes H.I., Cappelletti M., Fedi S., Dinelli E., Rogerson M., Mayes W.M., and Rovere M.
 1013 2019. Optimization Routes for the Bioleaching of MSWI Fly and Bottom Ashes Using
 1014 Microorganisms Collected from a Natural System. *Waste and Biomass Valorization* 10 (12):
 1015 3833–42. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-019-00688-9>.

1016 Funari V., Mäkinen J., Salminen J., Braga R., Dinelli E., and Revitzer H. 2017. Metal Removal from
 1017 Municipal Solid Waste Incineration Fly Ash: A Comparison between Chemical Leaching and
 1018 Bioleaching. *Waste Management* 60: 397–406.
 1019 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2016.07.025>.

1020 Funari V., Mantovani L., Vigliotti L., Dinelli E., and Tribaudino M. 2020. Understanding Room-
 1021 Temperature Magnetic Properties of Anthropogenic Ashes from Municipal Solid Waste
 1022 Incineration to Assess Potential Impacts and Resources. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 262
 1023 (July): 121209. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121209>.

1024 Funari V., Mantovani L., Vigliotti L., Tribaudino M., Dinelli E., and Braga R. 2018.
 1025 Superparamagnetic Iron Oxides Nanoparticles from Municipal Solid Waste Incinerators.
 1026 Science of the Total Environment 621: 687–96.
 1027 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.289>.

1028 Funari V., Meisel T.C., and Braga R. 2016. The Potential Impact of Municipal Solid Waste
 1029 Incinerators Ashes on the Anthropogenic Osmium Budget. Science of the Total
 1030 Environment 541: 1549–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.10.014>.

1031 Funatsuki A., Takaoka M., Oshita K., and Takeda N. 2012. Methods of Determining Lead Speciation
 1032 in Fly Ash by X-ray Absorption Fine-Structure Spectroscopy and a Sequential Extraction
 1033 Procedure. Analytical Sciences, 28, 481-490.

1034 Gahan C.S., Srichandan H., Kim D.J., and Akcil A. 2013. Bio-Hydrometallurgy and Its Applications: A
 1035 Review. In Advances in Biotechnology, edited by H N Thatoi, 71–100. New Delhi. India:
 1036 Indian Publisher.

1037 Gehrke T., Telegdi J., Thierry D., Sand W. 1998. Importance of extracellular polymeric substances
 1038 from Thiobacillus ferrooxidans for bioleaching. Applied Environmental Microbiology 64 (7):
 1039 2743–2747.

1040 Gericke M., Neale J.W., and van Staden P.J. 2009. A Mintek perspective of the past 25 years in
 1041 minerals bioleaching. Journal of Southern African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, 109:
 1042 567–585.

1043 Gomes H.I., Funari V., and Ferrari R. 2020. Bioleaching for Resource Recovery from Low-Grade
 1044 Wastes like Fly and Bottom Ashes from Municipal Incinerators: A SWOT Analysis. Science of
 1045 The Total Environment 715 (May): 136945.
 1046 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.136945>.

1047 Gomes H.I., Funari V., Dinelli E., and Soavi F. 2020. Enhanced Electrodialytic Bioleaching of Fly
 1048 Ashes of Municipal Solid Waste Incineration for Metal Recovery. Electrochimica Acta 345
 1049 (June): 136188. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2020.136188>.

1050 Gonzalez I., Vazquez M.A., Romero-Baena A.J., Barba-Brioso C., González I., Vázquez M.A. 2017.
 1051 Stabilization of Fly Ash Using Cementing Bacteria. Assessment of Cementation and Trace
 1052 Element Mobilization. Journal of Hazardous Materials 321: 316–25.
 1053 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.09.018>.

1054 Grosso M., Biganzoli L., and Rigamonti L. 2011. A Quantitative Estimate of Potential Aluminium
 1055 Recovery from Incineration Bottom Ashes. Resources, Conservation and Recycling 55 (12):
 1056 1178–84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2011.08.001>.

1057 Gu T., Rastegar S.O., Mousavi S.M., Li M., and Zhou M. 2018. Advances in bioleaching for recovery
 1058 of metals and bioremediation of fuel ash and sewage sludge. Bioresources Technology,
 1059 221: 428–440.

1060 Guimaraes A.L., Okuda T., Nishijima W., Okada M. 2006. Organic carbon leaching behavior from
 1061 incinerator bottom ash. Journal of Hazardous Materials 137: 1096–1101.

1062 Hennebel T., Boon N., Maes S., and Lenz M. 2015. Biotechnologies for Critical Raw Material
 1063 Recovery from Primary and Secondary Sources: R&D Priorities and Future Perspectives.
 1064 New Biotechnology 32 (1): 121–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbt.2013.08.004>.

1065 Holm O., and Simon F.G. 2017. Innovative treatment trains of bottom ash (BA) from municipal
 1066 solid waste incineration (MSWI) in Germany. Waste Management, 59, 229-236.
 1067 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2016.09.004>

1068 Hong K.J., Tokunaga S., Ishigami Y., and Kajiuchi T. 2000. Extraction of Heavy Metals from MSW
 1069 Incinerator Fly Ash Using Saponins. *Chemosphere* 41: 345–52.
 1070 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535\(99\)00489-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(99)00489-0).
 1071 Huang S.J., Chang C.Y., Mui D.T., Chang F.C., Lee M.Y., Wang C.F. 2007. Sequential extraction for
 1072 evaluating the leaching behavior of selected elements in municipal solid waste incineration
 1073 fly ash. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 149, 180-188. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.03.067
 1074 Huber F., Blasenbauer D., Aschenbrenner P., and Fellner J. 2019. Chemical composition and
 1075 leachability of differently sized material fractions of municipal solid waste incineration
 1076 bottom ash. *Waste Management*, 95: 593-603.
 1077 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2019.06.047>
 1078 Hyks J., and Hjelm O. 2018. Utilisation of incineration bottom ash (IBA) from waste incineration –
 1079 prospects and limits. In: Holm O., Thomé-Kozmiensky, E. (Eds.), *Removal, Treatment and*
 1080 *Utilisation of Waste Incineration Bottom Ash*. Thomé- Kozmiensky Verlag GmbH,
 1081 Neuruppin, pp. 11–23.
 1082 Hyks J., Astrup T., and Christensen T.H. 2009. Leaching from MSWI Bottom Ash: Evaluation of Non-
 1083 Equilibrium in Column Percolation Experiments. *Waste Management* 29 (2): 522–29.
 1084 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2008.06.011>
 1085 Ilyas S., Anwar M.A., Niazi S.B., and Ghauri M.A. 2007. Bioleaching of metals from electronic scrap
 1086 by moderately thermophilic acidophilic bacteria. *Hydrometallurgy* 88 (1–4), 180–188.
 1087 Inkaew K., Saffarzadeh A., and Shimaoka T. 2016. “Modeling the Formation of the Quench Product
 1088 in Municipal Solid Waste Incineration (MSWI) Bottom Ash.” *Waste Management* 52: 159–
 1089 68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2016.03.019>.
 1090 Ishigaki T., Nakanishi A., Tateda M., Ike M., and Fujita M. 2005. Bioleaching of Metal from
 1091 Municipal Waste Incineration Fly Ash Using a Mixed Culture of Sulfur-Oxidizing and Iron-
 1092 Oxidizing Bacteria. *Chemosphere* 60 (8): 1087–94.
 1093 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2004.12.060>.
 1094 Izquierdo M., Lòpez-Soler A., Ramonich E.V., Barra M., and Querol X. 2002. Characterisation of
 1095 bottom ash from municipal solid waste incineration in Catalonia. *Journal of Chemical*
 1096 *Technology and Biotechnology*, 77: 576-583.
 1097 Jerez C.A. 2008. The Use of Genomics, Proteomics and Other OMICS Technologies for the Global
 1098 Understanding of Biomining Microorganisms. *Hydrometallurgy* 94 (1–4): 162–69.
 1099 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hydromet.2008.05.032>.
 1100 Kaksonen A.H., Morris C., Rea S., Li J., Usher K.M., McDonald R.G., Hilario F., Hosken T., Jackson
 1101 M., du Plessis C.A. 2014. Biohydrometallurgical iron oxidation and precipitation: Part II —
 1102 jarosite precipitate characterisation and acid recovery by conversion to hematite.
 1103 *Hydrometallurgy* 147–148, 264–272.
 1104 Kalmykova Y., Fedje K.K., and Fedje K.K. 2013. Phosphorus Recovery from Municipal Solid Waste
 1105 Incineration Fly Ash. *Waste Management* 33 (6): 1403–10.
 1106 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2013.01.040>.
 1107 Kaza S., Yao, L.C.; Perinaz B.T., and Van Woerden F. 2018. *What a Waste 2.0 : A Global Snapshot of*
 1108 *Solid Waste Management to 2050*. Urban Development; Washington, DC: World Bank. ©
 1109 World Bank. <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/30317> License: CC BY
 1110 3.0 IGO.
 1111 Kirby C.S., and Rimstidt J.D. 1993. Mineralogy and Surface Properties of Municipal Solid Waste
 1112 Ash. *Environmental Science & Technology* 27 (1): 652–60.

1113 Krebs W., Bachofen R., and Brandl H. 2001. Growth stimulation of sulfur oxidizing bacteria for
 1114 optimization of metal leaching efficiency of fly ash from municipal solid waste incineration.
 1115 Hydrometallurgy, 59, 283–290. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-386X\(00\)00174-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-386X(00)00174-2)

1116 Krebs W., Broubacher C., Bosshard P.P., Bachofen R., and Brandl H. 1997. Microbial Recovery of
 1117 Metals from Solids. FEMS Microbiology Reviews 20: 605–17. [https://doi.org/S0168-](https://doi.org/S0168-6445(97)00037-5)
 1118 6445(97)00037-5.

1119 Kuboňová L., Langová Š., Nowak B., and Winter F. 2013. Thermal and Hydrometallurgical Recovery
 1120 Methods of Heavy Metals from Municipal Solid Waste Fly Ash. Waste Management 33 (11):
 1121 2322–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2013.05.022>.

1122 Lacey D.T., and Lawson F. 1970. Kinetics of the liquid-phase oxidation of acid ferrous sulfate by the
 1123 bacterium Thiobacillus ferrooxidans. Biotechnology and Bioengineering, 12: 29–50.

1124 Lam C.H.K., Ip A.W.M., Barford J.P., and McKay G. 2010. Use of Incineration MSW Ash: A Review.
 1125 Sustainability 2 (7): 1943–68. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su2071943>.

1126 Lederer J., Trinkel V., and Fellner J. 2017. Wide-Scale Utilization of MSWI Fly Ashes in Cement
 1127 Production and Its Impact on Average Heavy Metal Contents in Cements: The Case of
 1128 Austria. Waste Management 60: 247–58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2016.10.022>.

1129 Lee J.C., and Pandey B.D. 2012. Bio-Processing of Solid Wastes and Secondary Resources for Metal
 1130 Extraction - A Review. Waste Management 32 (1): 3–18.
 1131 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2011.08.010>.

1132 Liu Y., Zheng L., Li X., and Xie S. 2009. SEM/EDS and XRD characterization of raw and washed MSWI
 1133 fly ash sintered at different temperatures. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 162(1), 161–
 1134 173. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.05.029>

1135 Magiera T., Jabłońska M., Strzyszc Z., and Rachwal M. 2011. Morphological and mineralogical
 1136 forms of technogenic magnetic particles in industrial dusts. Atmospheric Environment, 45,
 1137 25: 4281-4290, ISSN 1352-2310, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.04.076>

1138 Mäkinen J., Salo M., Soini J., and Kinnunen P. 2019. Laboratory scale investigations on heap (bio)
 1139 leaching of municipal solid waste incineration bottom ash. Minerals, 9, 290.

1140 Maldonado-Alameda A., Manosa J., Giro-Paloma J., Formosa J., and Chimenos J.M. 2021. Alkali-
 1141 Activated Binders Using Bottom Ash from Waste-to-Energy Plants and Aluminium Recycling
 1142 Waste. Applied Sciences, 11(9), 3840. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app11093840>

1143 Mantovani L., Tribaudino M., De Matteis C., and Funari V. 2021. Particle Size and Potential Toxic
 1144 Element Speciation in Municipal Solid Waste Incineration (MSWI) Bottom Ash.
 1145 Sustainability, 13(4):1911. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13041911>

1146 Matjie R.H., Bunt J.R., and Heerden J.H.P.V. 2005. Extraction of alumina from coal fly ash
 1147 generated from a selected low rank bituminous South African coal. Minerals Engineering,
 1148 18, 3, 299-310. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mineng.2004.06.013>

1149 Mayes W.M., Riley A.L., Gomes H.I., Brabham P., Hamlyn J., Pullin H., and Renforth P. 2018.
 1150 Atmospheric CO₂ sequestration in iron and steel slag: Consett, Co. Durham, UK.
 1151 Environmental Science & Technology, 52, 7892-7900.
 1152 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b01883>.

1153 Meawad A.S., Bojinova D.Y., and Pelovski Y.G. 2010. An Overview of Metals Recovery from
 1154 Thermal Power Plant Solid Wastes. Waste Management 30 (12): 2548–59.
 1155 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2010.07.010>.

1156 Mercier G., Chartier M., Couillard D., and Blais J.-F. 1999. Decontamination of Fly Ash and Used
 1157 Lime from Municipal Waste Incinerator Using Thiobacillus Ferrooxidans.” Environmental
 1158 Management 24 (4): 517–28.

1159 Moore P. 2008. Scaling fresh heights in heap-leach technology. *Mining Magazine* 198, 54–66.

1160 Morf L.S., Gloor R., Haag O., Haupt M., Skutan S., Di Lorenzo F., and Böni D. 2013. Precious Metals
1161 and Rare Earth Elements in Municipal Solid Waste--Sources and Fate in a Swiss Incineration
1162 Plant. *Waste Management* 33 (3): 634–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2012.09.010>.

1163 Moriwaki H., and Yamamoto H. 2013. Interactions of Microorganisms with Rare Earth Ions and
1164 Their Utilization for Separation and Environmental Technology. *Applied Microbiology and
1165 Biotechnology* 97: 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-012-4519-9>.

1166 Muchova L., Bakker E., and Rem P. 2009. Precious Metals in Municipal Solid Waste Incineration
1167 Bottom Ash. *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution: Focus* 9: 107–16. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11267-
1168 008-9191-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11267-008-9191-9).

1169 Nagib S., and Inoue K. 2000. Recovery of Lead and Zinc from Fly Ash Generated from Municipal
1170 Incineration Plants by Means of Acid and/or Alkaline Leaching. *Hydrometallurgy* 56: 269–
1171 92. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-386X\(00\)00073-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-386X(00)00073-6).

1172 Nayak N., and Panda C.R. 2010. Aluminium extraction and leaching characteristics of Talcher
1173 Thermal Power Station fly ash with sulphuric acid. *Fuel*, 89, 1, 53-58.
1174 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2009.07.019>

1175 Niu J., Deng J., Xiao Y., He Z., Zhang X., Van Nostrand J.D., Liang Y., Deng Y., Liu X., and Yin H. 2016.
1176 The Shift of Microbial Communities and Their Roles in Sulfur and Iron Cycling in a Copper
1177 Ore Bioleaching System. *Scientific Reports* 6 (October): 34744.
1178 <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep34744>.

1179 Nørgaard K.P., Hyks J., Mulvad J.K., Frederiksen J.O., Hjelm O. 2019. Optimizing large-scale
1180 ageing of municipal solid waste incinerator bottom ash prior to the ad- vanced metal
1181 recovery: phase I: monitoring of temperature, moisture content, and CO2 level. *Waste
1182 Management* 85, 95–105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2018.12.019>.

1183 Panda S. 2020. Magnetic separation of ferrous fractions linked to improved bioleaching of metals
1184 from waste-to-energy incinerator bottom ash (IBA): a green approach. *Environmental
1185 Science and Pollution Research* 27.9: 9475-9489.

1186 Parés Viader R., Pernille E.J., and Ottosen L.M. 2017. Electrodialytic Remediation of Municipal Solid
1187 Waste Incineration Residues Using Different Membranes. *Chemosphere* 169: 62–68.
1188 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.11.047>.

1189 Pedersen A.J., Ottosen L.M., and Villumsen A. 2005. Electrodialytic Removal of Heavy Metals from
1190 Municipal Solid Waste Incineration Fly Ash Using Ammonium Citrate as Assisting Agent.
1191 *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 122 (1–2): 103–9.
1192 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2005.03.019>.

1193 Piervandi Z., Darban A.K., Mousavi S.M., Abdollahy M., Asadollahfardi G., Funari V., Dinelli E.,
1194 Webster R.D., and Sillanpää M. 2020. Effect of Biogenic Jarosite on the Bio-Immobilization
1195 of Toxic Elements from Sulfide Tailings. *Chemosphere* 258 (November): 127288.
1196 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.127288>.

1197 Quina M.J., Bontempi E., Bogush A., Schlumberger S., Weibel G., Braga R., Funari V., Hyks J.,
1198 Rasmussen E., and Lederer J. 2018. Technologies for the Management of MSW Incineration
1199 Ashes from Gas Cleaning: New Perspectives on Recovery of Secondary Raw Materials and
1200 Circular Economy. *Science of the Total Environment*.
1201 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.150>.

1202 Quina M.J., Bordado J.C.M., and Quinta-Ferreira R.M. 2008. Treatment and use of air pollution
1203 control residues from MSW incineration: an overview. *Waste Management* 28: 2097–2121.

1204 Ramanathan T., and Ting Y.P. 2016. Alkaline Bioleaching of Municipal Solid Waste Incineration Fly
1205 Ash by Autochthonous Extremophiles. *Chemosphere* 160: 54–61.
1206 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.06.055>.

1207 Rawlings D.E. 1997. Mesophilic autotrophic bioleaching bacteria: Description, physiology and role.
1208 In *Biomining: Theory, Microbes and Industrial Processes*; Rawlings, D.E., Ed.; Springer-
1209 Verlag: Berlin, Germany, 1997; pp. 229–245.

1210 Rawlings D.E. 2002. Heavy Metal Mining Using Microbes. *Annual Review of Microbiology* 56 (1):
1211 65–91. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.micro.56.012302.161052>.

1212 Rawlings D.E., and Johnson D.B. 2007. The microbiology of biomining: Development and
1213 optimization of mineral-oxidizing microbial consortia. *Microbiology*, 153: 315–324.

1214 Rawlings D.E., Dew D., and du Plessis C. 2003. Biomineralization of metal-containing ores and
1215 concentrates. *Trends in Biotechnology* 21, 38–44.

1216 Rawlings, D.E. 2004. Microbially assisted dissolution of minerals and its use in the mining industry.
1217 *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, 76: 847–859.

1218 Richardson S.D., and Kimura S.Y. 2017. Emerging Environmental Contaminants: Challenges Facing
1219 Our next Generation and Potential Engineering Solutions. *Environmental Technology and*
1220 *Innovation* 8: 40–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eti.2017.04.002>.

1221 Rissler J., Klementiev K., Dahl J., Steenari B. M., and Edo M. 2020. Identification and Quantification
1222 of Chemical Forms of Cu and Zn in MSWI Ashes Using XANES. *Energy Fuels* 34, 11: 14505-
1223 14514. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.0c02226>

1224 Sabbas T., Poletti A., Pomi R., Astrup T., Hjelm O., Mostbauer P., Cappai G. 2003. Management
1225 of Municipal Solid Waste Incineration Residues. *Waste Management* 23 (1): 61–88.
1226 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0956-053X\(02\)00161-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0956-053X(02)00161-7).

1227 Saikia N., Mertens G., Van Balen K., Elsen J., Van Gerven T., Vandecasteele C. 2015. Pre-treatment
1228 of municipal solid waste incineration (MSWI) bottom ash for utilisation in cement mortar.
1229 *Construction and Building Materials* 96, 76–85.
1230 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.07.185>

1231 Sand W., Gehrke T., Hallmann R., and Schippers A. 1995. Sulfur chemistry, biofilm, and the
1232 (in)direct attack mechanism—a critical evaluation of bacterial leaching. *Applied*
1233 *Microbiology and Biotechnology* 43: 961–966.

1234 Sand W., Gehrke T., Jozsa P.-G., and Schippers A. 2001. (Bio)Chemistry of Bacterial Leaching—
1235 Direct vs. Indirect Bioleaching. *Hydrometallurgy* 59: 159–75.
1236 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-386X\(00\)00180-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-386X(00)00180-8).

1237 Seifert S., Thome V., Karlstetter C., Maier M. 2013. Elektrodynamische Fragmentierung von MVA-
1238 Schlacken – Zerlegung der Schlacken und Abscheidung von Chloriden und Sulfaten. In:
1239 Thomé-Kozmiensky, K.J. (Ed.), *Asche-Schlacke- Stäube Aus Metallurgie Und*
1240 *Abfallverbrennung*. TK Verlag Karl Thomé-Kozmiensky, pp. 353–366.

1241 Smith, Y.R., Nagel, J.R., Rajamani, R.K., 2019. Eddy current separation for recovery of non-ferrous
1242 metallic particles: a comprehensive review. *Mineral Engineering* 133, 149–159.
1243 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mineng.2018.12.025>.

1244 Speiser C., Baumann T., and Niessner R. 2000. Morphological and chemical characterization of
1245 calcium-hydrate phases formed in alteration processes of deposited municipal solid waste
1246 incinerator bottom ash. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 34, 5030-5037. DOI:
1247 10.1021/es990739c

1248 Srichandan H., Mohapatra R.K., Parhi P.K., and Mishra S. 2019. Bioleaching Approach for Extraction
1249 of Metal Values from Secondary Solid Wastes: A Critical Review. *Hydrometallurgy* 189
1250 (February): 105122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hydromet.2019.105122>.

1251 Steemson, M.L., Sheehan, G.J., Winborne, D.A. and Wong, F.S. (1994). An integrated
1252 bioleach/solvent extraction process for zinc metal production form zinc concentrates. PCT
1253 World Patent, WO 94/28184.

1254 Stockinger G. 2018. Direct wet treatment of fresh, wet removed IBA from waste incinerator. In:
1255 Holm, O., Thomé-Kozmiensky, E. (Eds.), *Removal, Treatment and Utilisation of Waste*
1256 *Incineration Bottom Ash*. TK Verlag, Neuruppin, pp. 47–52.

1257 Su L., Guo G., Shi X., Zuo M., Niu D., Zhao A., and Zhao Y. 2013. Copper Leaching of MSWI Bottom
1258 Ash Co-Disposed with Refuse: Effect of Short-Term Accelerated Weathering. *Waste*
1259 *Management* 33 (6): 1411–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2013.02.011>

1260 Sun M., Sun W., and Barlaz M.A. 2016. A Batch Assay to Measure Microbial Hydrogen Sulfide
1261 Production from Sulfur-Containing Solid Wastes. *Science of the Total Environment* 551–
1262 552: 23–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.01.161>.

1263 Sun Z., Cui H., An H., Tao D., Xu Y., Zhai J., and Li Q. 2013. Synthesis and Thermal Behavior of
1264 Geopolymer-Type Material from Waste Ceramic. *Construction and Building Materials* 49:
1265 281–87. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2013.08.063>.

1266 Suzuki I. 2001. Microbial leaching of metals from sulfide minerals. *Biotechnology Advances*, 19,
1267 119–132.

1268 Šyc M., Simon F.G., Hykš J., Braga R., Biganzoli L., Costa G., Funari V., and Grosso M. 2020. Metal
1269 Recovery from Incineration Bottom Ash: State-of-the-Art and Recent Developments.
1270 *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 393 (February): 122433.
1271 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.122433>.

1272 Turner A., and Filella M. 2017. Bromine in Plastic Consumer Products - Evidence for the
1273 Widespread Recycling of Electronic Waste. *Science of the Total Environment* 601–602:
1274 374–79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.05.173>.

1275 Van Herck P., and Vandecasteele C. 2001. Evaluation of the Use of a Sequential Extraction
1276 Procedure for the Characterization and Treatment of Metal Containing Solid Waste. *Waste*
1277 *Management* 21 (8): 685–94. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0956-053X\(01\)00011-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0956-053X(01)00011-3).

1278 Van Herck P., Van der Bruggen B., Vogels G., Vandecasteele C. 2000. Application of computer
1279 modelling to predict the leaching behaviour of heavy metals from MSWI fly ash and
1280 comparison with a sequential extraction method. *Waste Management*, 20, 203-210.
1281 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0956-053X\(99\)00321-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0956-053X(99)00321-9)

1282 Vera M., Schippers A., and Sand W. 2013. Progress in bioleaching: fundamentals and mechanisms
1283 of bacterial metal sulfide oxidation. Part A. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*. doi:10.1007/s00253-
1284 013-4954-2

1285 Vyas S., and Ting Y.-P. 2020. Microbial leaching of heavy metals using *Escherichia coli* and
1286 evaluation of bioleaching mechanism. *Bioresource Technology Reports*, 9: 100368.

1287 Wan X., Wang W., Ye T., Guo Y., and Gao X. 2006. A study on the chemical and mineralogical
1288 characterization of MSWI fly ash using a sequential extraction procedure. *Journal of*
1289 *Hazardous Materials*, 134(1–3), 197–201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2005.10.048>

1290 Wang L., Jin Y., and Nie Y. 2010. Investigation of Accelerated and Natural Carbonation of MSWI Fly
1291 Ash with a High Content of Ca. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 174 (1–3): 334–43.
1292 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.09.055>.

1293 Wang Q., Yang J., Wang Q., and Wu T. 2009. Effects of Water-Washing Pretreatment on
1294 Bioleaching of Heavy Metals from Municipal Solid Waste Incinerator Fly Ash. *Journal of*
1295 *Hazardous Materials* 162: 812–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.05.125>.
1296 Wang X., Cao A., Zhao G., Zhou C., and Xu R. 2017. Microbial Community Structure and Diversity in
1297 a Municipal Solid Waste Landfill. *Waste Management*, 66: 79–87.
1298 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2017.04.023>.
1299 Wong G., Gan M., Fan X., Ji Z., Chen X., and Wang Z., 2021. Co-disposal of municipal waste
1300 incineration fly ash and bottom slag: A novel method of low temperature melting
1301 treatment. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 408, 124438.
1302 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.124438>
1303 Xiaomin D., Ren F., Nguyen M.Q., Ahamed A., Yin K., Chan W.P., and Chang V.W.C. 2017. Review of
1304 MSWI bottom ash utilization from perspectives of collective characterization, treatment
1305 and existing application. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 79, 24-38.
1306 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.05.044>
1307 Xu T.-J., and Ting Y.-P. 2009. Fungal Bioleaching of Incineration Fly Ash: Metal Extraction and
1308 Modeling Growth Kinetics. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology* 44 (5): 323–28.
1309 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enzmictec.2009.01.006>.
1310 Xu T.-J., Ramanathan T., and Ting Y.-P. 2014. Bioleaching of Incineration Fly Ash by *Aspergillus*
1311 *Niger* – Precipitation of Metallic Salt Crystals and Morphological Alteration of the Fungus.
1312 *Biotechnology Reports* 3: 8–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.btre.2014.05.009>.
1313 Yang J., Wang Q.Q., and Wu T. 2009(a). Heavy Metals Extraction from Municipal Solid Waste
1314 Incineration Fly Ash Using Adapted Metal Tolerant *Aspergillus Niger*. *Bioresource*
1315 *Technology* 100 (1): 254–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2008.05.026>.
1316 Yang J., Wang Q., Luo Q., Wang Q., and Wu T. 2009(b). Biosorption Behavior of Heavy Metals in
1317 Bioleaching Process of MSWI Fly Ash by *Aspergillus Niger*. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*
1318 46 (3): 294–99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2009.05.022>.
1319 Yin Z., Feng S., Tong Y., and Yang H. 2019. Adaptive mechanism of *Acidithiobacillus thiooxidans*
1320 CCTCC M 2012104 under stress during bioleaching of low-grade chalcopyrite based on
1321 physiological and comparative transcriptomic analysis. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology*
1322 *and Biotechnology* 46, 1643–1656 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10295-019-02224-z>
1323 Zhao L., Zhang F.S., and Zhang J. 2008. Chemical properties of rare elements in typical medical
1324 waste incinerator ashes in China. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 158: 465-470.
1325 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.01.091>
1326
1327