



ALMA MATER STUDIORUM
UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA

ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE
DELLA RICERCA

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

The Role of the Quality of Relationship in Couples Facing Treatment for Breast Cancer A Qualitative Italian Study

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Valente, M., Chirico, I., Girotti, C., Ottoboni, G., Chattat, R. (2023). The Role of the Quality of Relationship in Couples Facing Treatment for Breast Cancer A Qualitative Italian Study. AMERICAN JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY, 46(1), 36-42 [10.1097/COC.0000000000000962].

Availability:

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/924936> since: 2023-05-08

Published:

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1097/COC.0000000000000962>

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

The role of the Quality of Relationship in Couples Facing Treatment for Breast Cancer: a Qualitative Italian Study.

M. Valente, I. Chirico, C. Girotti, G. Ottoboni, R. Chattat

Abstract

Introduction: Breast cancer is the leading cause of cancer death in women worldwide. Recently, the focus of research has shifted from psychiatric, psychological and social consequences on the woman who gets sick from breast cancer, to the impact on the couple. Indeed, the psychosocial perspective has developed the construct of the Quality of Relationship (QoR) that affects the quality of life of both members of the dyad.

Objective: The aim of this study was to extend knowledge in this field by identifying and analyzing what dimensions of QoR may impact on couples' psychosocial adjustment to breast cancer and related treatments.

Methods: Semi-structured interviews explored couples' experiences of breast cancer diagnosis and treatment. Transcripts were analyzed using inductive thematic analysis.

Results: 12 couples were interviewed. Results showed how the dimensions of psychosocial support, dyadic coping, communication and intimacy are associated and define the construct of QoR, thus affecting couple's adjustment to breast cancer diagnosis and to disease pathway.

Conclusion: Assessment procedures of couple functioning since and after diagnosis could increase the appropriateness and benefits of integrating existing clinical practice in oncological settings.

Introduction

Breast cancer is the deadliest cancer for women in the world (1). It is estimated that one in eight women gets sick from breast cancer during her lifetime (2). Moreover, in the last decades, statistics have shown a constant increase of incidence ratings worldwide (3, 4). In Italy, 53.500 new cases were diagnosed in 2019, and this number increased up to approximately 55.000 cases in 2020 (5, 6). Despite the increase in incidence, over the past few years, mortality rates have decreased significantly due to the development of better screening and surgical techniques (7). Indeed, the current survival rate is approximately 80-90% 5 years after the diagnosis (2).

Although many studies focus on the impact of the disease and associated treatments on people with a cancer diagnosis (8, 9, 10), other articles focus on a dyadic perspective taking an approach based on a couple-level analysis (11). Research on chronic diseases emphasizes the importance of a couple's positive Quality of Relationship (QoR) promoting mental health, physical and psychological adjustment to disease and associated treatments (11, 12, 13, 14).

The Quality of Relationship (QoR) is a construct developed from Clark and Mills' communal relationship theory (15, 16, 17). Based on it, there is a distinction between exchange relationship and communal relationship. In exchange relationships one member of the couple provides benefits to the other, thus waiting for equal benefit in return. Conversely, in communal relationships relatives, friends and partners provide support each other with the primary aim of contributing to the other's well-being. Neither the donor nor the receiver feels that the benefit is given with the expectation of receiving a comparable benefit in return (17). Based on the communal approach, the QoR consists indeed of the prevalence of behaviors that underline the mutual desire to meet other's needs.

Over the past few years, the construct of QoR has drawn the attention of several researchers. Fincham and Rogge (18), for example, developed a theory to define the QoR based on two main approaches. The first one focuses on interpersonal processes using terms such as dyadic adjustment; the other one is based on intrapersonal processes and mainly refers to relationship satisfaction. Interestingly, already in the '80s, an extensive body of studies showed that social relationships

1 relate to protective health effects in terms of less mortality associated with a larger number of
2 communities and social ties (19). Specifically, compared to married people, unmarried people have
3 been found to be at greater risk of poor mental health (20).

4 Nevertheless, when close relationships are mostly characterized by negative exchanges, the
5 latter have been found to negatively affect people's daily mood and well-being (21, 22). Negative
6 exchanges involving emotional domains such as criticism are associated with psychological distress
7 and depression (23).

8 In the field of cancer research and specifically lung cancer, it has been found that partners'
9 perception of a negative or positive couple relationship may differently impact on the quality of life
10 of both members of the dyad (24). Moreover, Watts and colleagues (25) have emphasized the
11 importance of adaptive dyadic coping strategies which relate to a better QoR in couples with
12 women at high risk of developing ovarian cancer. They suggested indeed that perceived support and
13 team approach within couples are associated with some dimensions of dyadic adjustment such as
14 consensus, cohesion and satisfaction.

15 So far, very little research has evaluated the QoR in the context of breast cancer specifically.
16 Kraemer and colleagues (26) highlighted that dyads using adaptive dyadic coping strategies, such as
17 problem-focused or emotional-focused, better cope with treatments and experience a greater
18 closeness in the relationship after diagnosis. Moreover, dyadic coping strategies have been found to
19 be associated with couples' effective communication strategies in relation to breast cancer (27).
20 Intimacy also plays a crucial role in the process of couple's adjustment to disease (11, 28).
21 Specifically, couples' previous approach to sexuality may influence the adjustment process to
22 disease (29), with sexual satisfaction affecting the perception of couple's intimacy (30).

23 Overall, these studies underline the presence of some dimensions defining the construct of
24 QoR, though they were evaluated separately, and their importance in adjustment to treatment. In
25 line with these issues, the aim of this study was to extend knowledge in this field by identifying and
26 analyzing what dimensions of QoR may impact on couples' psychosocial adjustment to breast
27 cancer and related treatments. Findings will enrich scientific knowledge and inform researchers and
28 practitioners working in the field of breast cancer.

30 **Methods**

31 **Participants and recruitment**

32 A convenience sample was used consisting of couples in which the woman had received a breast
33 cancer diagnosis from two years before the study and had finished treatments, such as
34 chemotherapy and radiotherapy, for at least six months. Women who were still completing the
35 hormone therapy cycle were enrolled into the study. Moreover, their primary caregiver had to be the
36 spouse, male or female, and had to give his/her consent to be involved into the study.

37 Participants were recruited through clinical practices, third sector/social support
38 organizations and social media. Recruitment continued until data saturation was reached, the point
39 at which no new themes or concepts arose during the analysis of three consecutive interviews (31).
40 Professionals and volunteers firstly provided information about the study for potentially interested
41 participants to take part. Once they were found, researchers contacted them via telephone to make
42 an appointment for interview. Ethical approval was obtained from the Ethics Committee of the
43 University of Bologna (Italy) [Prot. 29770].

45 **Data collection**

46 Participants were informed about the processing of their personal data including the right to
47 withdraw at any time, and provided written informed consent by email. Semi-structured interviews
48 were conducted via telephone by two researchers trained in qualitative data collection. The average
49 length of the interviews was 45 minutes (range 30-60 minutes).

50 At the start of the interview, a data sheet was used to collect participants' sociodemographic
51 details and clinical information about women's treatments and medical conditions. During each

1 semi-structured interview, participants were encouraged to share their experiences of diagnosis and
2 treatment of breast cancer with focus on the role of couple relationship in adapting to disease.

3 Patients and partners were interviewed once, individually and at different times. This choice
4 was made to clarify the personal experience of each member of the couple, while preventing
5 difficulties with disclosure potentially caused by the partner's presence. Moreover, each participant
6 was explicitly asked not to talk about the content of the interview until both members of the couple
7 had completed it.

8 **Data Analysis**

9 The interviews were audio recorded, transcribed verbatim and analyzed via inductive thematic
10 analysis (32). The coding process was carried out by two researchers experienced in analyzing
11 qualitative data in clinical settings. They individually read each interview and used a feed-forward
12 strategy to extract the main units of content as they emerged from data. Codes about the same issues
13 were then clustered into subthemes and themes by each researcher. The clustering process was
14 extended and discussed among all study authors until a consensus about consistency was achieved,
15 and any discrepancies were overcome (33).

17 **Results**

18 **Sample characteristics**

19 Participants were 12 heterosexual dyads (n=24). Most couples were married (n= 8), and half of
20 them had 1 or more children. Women were on average 53.7 years old (SD=10.6; range between 37
21 and 70 years old). Men's ages ranged between 34 and 71 years old (M= 55.2, SD= 11.4). At the
22 time of interview, 14 participants (58.3%) equally distributed among women and men were in paid
23 employment.

24 On average, the breast cancer diagnosis was made 19.7 (SD= 3.5) months prior to study.
25 Amongst women, 2 (16.7%) had a breast cancer recurrence, and 2 (16.7%) had comorbidity with
26 respiratory disease. 11 women (91.7%) underwent surgery, 7 (58.3%) radiotherapy, 8 (66.7%)
27 chemotherapy, 7 (58.3%) hormone therapy, and 3 (25%) were subjected to all these treatments.
28 Furthermore, at the time of the study, 3 women (25%) had not yet completed the hormonal therapy.

29 **Qualitative findings**

30 Thematic analysis identified four overarching themes across the interviews (Table 1): (1) Dyadic
31 coping strategies (two sub-themes: adaptive coping strategies, maladaptive coping strategies); (2)
32 Communication (two sub-themes: constructive communication, communication avoidance); 3)
33 Dyadic support (two subthemes: emotional support, instrumental support); 4) Intimacy (three
34 subthemes: conflicts, body image, sexuality). Each theme is fully described below with verbatim
35 extracts of participants' interviews.
36
37
38
39
40

41 **Table 1.**

42 List of themes and sub-themes from participants' interviews.
43

Themes	Sub-Themes
Dyadic coping strategies	Adaptive coping strategies
	Maladaptive coping strategies
Communication	Constructive communication
	Communication avoidance

Dyadic support	Emotional support Instrumental support
Intimacy	Conflicts Body Image Sexuality

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

Theme 1: Dyadic Coping Strategies

Adaptive coping strategies

Couples who were mutually involved in the process of adjustment to breast cancer, trying to reduce partner’s perceived load and distress, reported a positive coming-back in the disease pathway and treatments as a couple. They tended to use emotion or problem-focused dyadic coping strategies. The former were based on an empathic response to the other’s distress, consisting of an open communication about feelings and emotional concerns around the illness. Through continuous dialogue both members of the couple tried to regulate their emotions, thus reducing each other’s negative emotional responses associated with illness.

“We spent nights crying holding each other’s hands, trying to accept the diagnosis.” (Woman, 55 years old)

Problem-focused dyadic coping strategies were based on couple’s active and effective engagement in finding practical solutions together to face the situation. They indeed looked for information about available treatments and specialist assistance, and/or compared each other about medical choices.

“I discussed with her, she discussed with me and we tried to make the right decisions.” (Man, 52 years old)

Overall couples using adaptive coping strategies reported a positive relationship characterized by mutual trust and support prior disease, which was strengthened at the end of disease pathway.

“We overcome it because we have been married for so many years, we always told each other everything, we respected each other.” (Man, 59 years old)

Maladaptive coping strategies

Couples using maladaptive dyadic coping strategies, such as avoidant or ambivalent/hostile, perceived a minimum dyadic support and greater adjustment difficulty throughout the disease pathway. Couple’s avoidant coping strategies consisted of little to no communication on feelings and emotional concerns around the illness, mutual avoidance or withdrawal.

“She was severely exhausted, she withdrew to pray, she told me <perhaps it’s better if we break up.> She’s able to deny but the truth is that my wife took some things off from her mind.” (Man, 68 years old)

Ambivalent/hostile dyadic coping strategies were characterized by one partner’s negative responses to the other’s distress associated with the illness. Examples were giving support unwillingly, minimizing the situation, distancing or even mocking.

“I felt very lonely during the two days after diagnosis. I didn’t understand if he was aware or he hoped that I was exaggerating. After two days he came into the room asking me what we should eat for dinner.” (Woman, 59 years old)

1 **Theme 2: Communication**

2

3 **Constructive communication**

4 Couples who openly communicated how they felt during the treatment pathway better adjusted to
5 disease. They also shared information, feelings and worries around the illness with family and
6 friends, above all with survivals or patients who were met during treatments.

7 *“I talked to people, I never closed in on myself. In particular I had a friend who had already had
8 this disease, and we often went out in the afternoon. I felt reassured by her.” (Woman, 59 years
9 old)*

10

11 Since the initial stages of diagnosis and throughout treatment communication between
12 couples and health professionals was important, thus building a positive alliance and a climate of
13 trust and openness from both the patient and her partner.

14 *“The doctor approached me in a way that I’d say it was wonderful. I was very happy with his job.”
15 (Woman, 58 years old)*

16

17 **Communication avoidance**

18 Couples who had little to no discussion since the first steps after diagnosis were more likely to
19 develop an avoidant style of communication on breast cancer-related concerns between themselves
20 and with the others. This took couples off the chance of any emotional relief gained through talking
21 about the illness and its adverse outcomes.

22 *“Each of us kept its own thoughts and worries inside.” (Woman, 69 years old)*

23

24 Communication avoidance tended to persist during the treatment pathway, thus hindering
25 the adjustment to disease and negatively influencing relationship quality and couple satisfaction.

26 *“You realize things don’t work out. But I’m having a hard time talking to her about these things.”
27 (Man, 53 years old)*

28

29 **Theme 3: Dyadic Support**

30

31 **Emotional support**

32 The diagnosis of breast cancer emerged abruptly in participants’ lives, thus changing their life
33 perspective and causing distress, demoralization and anxiety. Whilst these negative emotions were
34 exacerbated by perceived poor dyadic support in couples, mutual emotional support was considered
35 by participants to be vital throughout the disease pathway. Empathic listening allowed each partner
36 to feel and understand what the other was experiencing about the disease. By putting themselves in
37 the shoes of their partners, participants achieved greater understanding of mutual emotional
38 difficulties and perspectives around the illness. This facilitated the overall adaptation to disease,
39 thus leading to couple’s greater emotional closeness which was also maintained at the end of
40 treatment.

41 *“The support from my husband was crucial. Being alone wouldn’t have given me any purpose in
42 life. He was always there.” (Woman, 43 years old)*

43

44 **Instrumental support**

45 Along with emotional support, practical and instrumental one played an important role in couple’s
46 dealing with and adjusting to disease. This consisted of providing help with practical things and
47 everyday activities such as cooking or doing housework, thus reducing potential additional distress
48 for women. In particular, the latter benefited from their partners’ presence during medical visits and
49 the practical support provided after surgery, such as in disinfecting drains. By providing

1 instrumental support that women were not able to do or feel unable to cope with, couples also
2 reported positive outcomes in terms of overall relationship satisfaction and closeness.

3 *“A practical type of support: I take you home, I take you to visits, I take you back, I do the
4 housework.” (Man, 52 years old)*

6 **Theme 4: Intimacy**

8 **Conflicts**

9 Couples reported greater internal cohesion and closeness immediately following the diagnosis,
10 regardless of pre-existing intimacy levels.

11 *“Before the disease we were in a severe crisis, we were thinking of meeting a counselor. Then
12 everything changed and there was nothing but my disease, it brought us closer so much.” (Woman,
13 53 years old)*

14
15 However, after surgery, conflicts could emerge and were more easily resolved by those
16 couples who had a positive relationship before the onset of disease. Conflicts were caused by
17 apparently trivial matters associated with higher levels of both partners’ distress. They could also
18 consist of infertility problems arising after surgery especially in younger couples, or different ideas
19 about the role of religion and spirituality in coping with the illness.

20 *“With my wife you had to live praying 24 hours a day, we always raised our prayers, I couldn’t take
21 it longer”. (Man, 68 years old)*

23 **Body image**

24 Women’s difficulties to recognize and accept body changes after surgery or hair loss after
25 chemotherapy were associated with their feelings of femininity loss. This threatened couple’s
26 intimacy especially when men did not recognize or minimized the psychological consequences of
27 breast cancer and, specifically, women’s physical changes and their difficulty accepting them after
28 treatment ended.

29 *“Physical changes bother me, he tells me <you’re so beautiful>, but unfortunately it’s not like that
30 for me.” (Woman, 55 years old)*

32 **Sexuality**

33 Couples avoided sexual activities in the post-operative period and during treatments (chemotherapy,
34 radiotherapy). This was due to both physical difficulties, such as women’s pain after surgery or
35 vaginal dryness associated with treatments, and to husbands’ fear to cause pain to their wives.
36 However, many couples naturally resumed sexual activities once postoperative recovery or
37 treatments had ended.

38 *“Intimacy was forced by treatment pathway, but then everything works out. We feel like a rock
39 through the whole”. (Woman, 42 years old)*

40 Concerning older couples, they were not affected by changes in sexual activities and they
41 easily shifted to other forms of intimacy. Conversely, those couples who found it difficult to get
42 intimate again felt a sense of dissatisfaction regarding their relationship to the point of avoiding any
43 sexual activity.

44 *“Our couple is experiencing several problems because now I’m rebelling against things that don’t
45 suit me. Maybe he no longer recognizes the person next to him. Menopause has led to no desire, it’s
46 not easy when you’re 34 years old and have a woman who doesn’t want you.” (Woman, 37 years
47 old)*

1 Discussion

2 This study highlights how breast cancer treatment pathway and overall adjustment to disease are
3 influenced by different but interrelated aspects of couple functioning. Specifically, dyadic support
4 was found to be associated with open couple communication and effective coping strategies for
5 managing practical and emotional issues associated with disease. Couples with a positive and
6 balanced relationship prior to diagnosis experienced few conflicts through the disease journey, and
7 were at the end satisfied about their relationship. Conversely, couples who experienced mutual
8 alienation in the disease course were characterized by high pre-illness conflicts and poor internal
9 cohesion. Moreover, these couples implemented maladaptive coping strategies, communication
10 avoidance, and had difficulties recovering several aspects of couple relationships including a
11 healthy sex life.

12 In the breast cancer literature, Kraemer and colleagues (26) argue that emotion and problem-
13 focused coping strategies positively impact on the adjustment to disease, thus promoting
14 relationship growth at the end of the journey. Conversely, couple's ambivalent/hostile coping
15 strategies are associated with more psychological distress, little to no communication, poor mutual
16 psychosocial support and greater risk of conflict, demoralization and dissatisfaction (27, 34). Manne
17 and colleagues (35) found that couple's dysfunctional communication was predictive of worse
18 psychological adjustment to disease and lower relationship satisfaction. Conversely, active
19 constructive communication, linked with the use of adaptive coping strategies, was predictive of
20 positive dyadic adjustment to disease and greater relationship satisfaction (13).

21 Our findings show that dyads who used adaptive coping strategies and actively
22 communicated around breast cancer reported effective psychosocial support, both emotional and
23 instrumental, with increased feelings of closeness in their relationship at the end of disease pathway.
24 Dorval and colleagues (36) also highlighted that perceived psychosocial support by couple leads to
25 positive outcomes, such as higher emotional intimacy and better adjustment to treatment.
26 Conversely, poor dyadic support may exacerbate feelings of demoralization in patients with
27 disease, dissatisfaction and loneliness in relationship (37).

28 Intimacy represents a key dimension of QoR and, specifically, healthy intimate relationships
29 play a crucial role in adjustment to disease as couple (28, 38). More than 60% of women who
30 undergo treatments for breast cancer suffer from sexual dysfunction during treatments, and about
31 45% of them still have problems after treatments ended (39). Reasons are several such as the lack of
32 sexual desire, sexual aversion or painful intercourse due to lubrication problems (39). In such a
33 context, we found that women also experienced radical changes in their body image, thus leading to
34 intense feelings of body alienation and incompleteness with severe consequences on their self-
35 esteem (40).

36 In our study, all couples reported a sharp decline in sexual desire, many sexual concerns and
37 discomfort during sexual activity due to severe vaginal dryness (41, 42). Zimmermann (43) found
38 that the lack of sexual intercourse after treatment negatively impacts on couple's relationship
39 satisfaction and correlates with high levels of conflict. Interestingly, these results were influenced
40 by socio-demographic variables such as age and, specifically, older adults' sexual desire was found
41 to be associated with patients' health status (43, 44, 45). Furthermore, couple cohesion resulted to
42 be closely linked with the quality of sexual intimacy and higher relationship satisfaction (46, 47).

43 Our study shows that, regardless of pre-existing relationship, couples reported conflicts after
44 breast cancer surgery or during chemotherapy treatments which were associated with drain on
45 personal resources by both members of the couple, along with imposed changes in their role and
46 caregiving responsibilities (48, 49, 50). It is interesting to note that major conflicts emerged when
47 couple's members had different subjective perceptions regarding treatment pathway, with men
48 struggling to understand the psychological consequences of breast cancer on women after
49 treatments ended. Other sources of conflicts were associated with the overall consequences of
50 disease, for example, infertility issues due to treatments were challenging for women especially.
51 However, couples who were strongly involved in their relationship prior to disease better faced the

1 breast cancer experience, and also strengthened their relationship over the course of disease.
2 Instead, those couples who were in severe crisis, reported misunderstandings before the onset of
3 disease or had different interests and values showed more conflicts and little cohesion during the
4 disease journey. These couples, though felt closer immediately after diagnosis, then experienced the
5 exacerbation and worsening of previous relationship problems and were unable to effectively cope
6 with them.

7 Overall, the adjustment to breast cancer pathway and couple's satisfaction concerning
8 relationship were influenced by previous levels of communication, closeness and cohesion.
9 According to Tedeschi & Calhoun (51), the term 'cohesion' refers to positive changes experienced
10 by people after traumatic experiences, such as self-transformation (52) and identity reconstruction
11 (53). As found in our study, these changes were reflected in the use of the pronoun 'we' and plural
12 terms according to the concept of breast cancer as 'we-disease' (27). In the field of chronic illness,
13 it is more likely that couples in a strong relationship prior to disease maintain a positive relationship
14 and even find new ways of relating as a couple (54). Differently, couples with high levels of
15 relationship conflicts and distress before diagnosis tend to more easily deteriorate, as found in our
16 study. Specifically, Northouse and colleagues (55) found that these couples remained highly
17 distressed even one year after diagnosis, thus having trouble adjusting to treatment and experiencing
18 a decrease in marital and family functioning overall.

19 To conclude, the dimensions of dyadic coping, communication, psychosocial support and
20 intimacy appear to be central in couple's adjustment to breast cancer diagnosis and to overall
21 disease pathway. Interestingly, in the social relationship's theory, Spanier & Lewis (56, 57) had
22 already identified some relationship dimensions that affect couples, thus highlighting how they
23 dynamically compose the construct of QoR. In their view, the type of communication, conflict,
24 relationship satisfaction and intimacy characterize and shape couple's psychological adjustment to
25 major and minor life changes. Similarly, Hassebrauck and colleagues (58) found that all these
26 dimensions are interconnected and mutually influencing, that is changes in one dimension would
27 lead to changes in the other dimensions and, in turn, to the overall QoR. In this context, we offered
28 empirical evidence on some couple's relationship dimensions that are involved in the adjustment to
29 breast cancer pathway, which resulted to be associated and to define the construct of QoR.

31 **Limitations and future directions**

32 This study explored the experiences of couples dealing with breast cancer, with focus on identifying
33 specific couple relationship dimensions that may influence the adjustment to the disease.
34 Notwithstanding the validity of our findings, they deserve to be expanded on larger and more
35 representative samples in future research using a mix-method design. Indeed, the limited sample size
36 could have flawed the study results. Confounders such as participants' age, patient's diagnosis (e.g.,
37 onset date and time, first diagnosis versus recurrent breast cancer), treatment type, the duration of
38 relationship and family composition might differently impact on couple relationship functioning
39 and on their associations with couple's wellbeing.

40 Moreover, it would be interesting to obtain longitudinal data on trends in the identified four
41 dimensions of a couple relationship and their associations with patients' health-related quality of
42 life and partners' mental health during the first year after diagnosis. It would also be interesting to
43 collect quantitative data longitudinally to monitor clinical outcomes in terms of patients'
44 compliance to treatment and care service use, and their associations with the specific dimensions of
45 a couple relationship. To better interpret and discuss quantitative data, in-depth interviews should
46 explore patients and partners' subjective experience about changes that may occur in their
47 relationship since and after diagnosis, and their role in the process of psychological adjustment to
48 breast cancer.

49 Finally, future research could capitalize on more comprehensive results to finalize
50 interventions targeting specific dimensions of couple relationship, which, in turn, are expected to
51 improve the overall relationship functioning. For example, couple therapy focused on improving

1 communication should help dyads to uncover each other's emotional needs and to respond to them
2 appropriately, thus increasing dyadic support and perceived connection with each other. This would
3 be important to strengthen relationship resources and to guarantee a better relationship functioning,
4 that is associated with partners' higher well-being and faster recovery from illness (59, 60). Last but
5 not least, interventions for couples should be empirically evaluated to provide evidence on their
6 efficacy and effectiveness, thus improving quality of care for both patients and their partners.
7

8 **Conclusions**

9 Caring for patients in healthcare contexts mostly occurs by using an individualized approach that
10 overlooks the role of psychosocial factors, including couple relationships, in adjustment to disease.
11 Couple-based interventions are indeed applied in very few public and private contexts, though there
12 is increasing empirical evidence about the appropriateness and benefits of integrating existing
13 clinical practice with assessment procedures of couple functioning since and after diagnosis.

14 Carrying out preliminary assessment of couple functioning is important to provide timely,
15 effective and tailored specialist support, if necessary. Consequently, better support for couples
16 would likely benefit patient care. Based on couple's needs, resources and disease stage,
17 interventions should be aimed to prevent and enhance couple's abilities to cope with and adjust to
18 breast cancer, thus restoring intimacy and dyad's psychological well-being.
19

20 **Acknowledgements**

21 We wish to thank all the participants who volunteered, care facilities and organizations who have
22 helped recruiting participants, including the Medical Oncology Unit located at Sant'Orsola-
23 Malpighi Hospital (Bologna, Italy), the Meldola Institute of Oncology (Forlì, Italy), and the local
24 section of the National Tumor Association (Bologna, Italy).
25

26 **Conflict of interest**

27 The authors report no conflict of interest.
28

29 **Data sharing statement**

30 No additional data available.
31
32
33
34

35 **References**

- 36
37 1. Ferlay J, Héry C, Autier P, et al. Global burden of breast cancer. *Breast cancer epidemiology* 2010; 1-19.
- 38
39 2. DeSantis C, Ma, J, Bryan L, et al. Breast cancer statistics. *CA: a cancer journal for*
40 *clinicians*, 2014; 64(1): 52-62.
- 41 3. Merlo DF, Ceppi M, Filiberti R, et al. Breast cancer incidence trends in European women
42 aged 20–39 years at diagnosis. *Breast cancer research and treatment* 2012; 134(1): 363-
43 370.
- 44 4. Jatoi I, Anderson WF, Rao SR, et al. Breast cancer trends among black and white women in
45 the United States. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2005; 23(31); 7836-7841.
- 46 5. Italian Cancer Registry Association. I numeri del cancro in Italia 2020. 2020. Available at
47 <https://www.registri-tumori.it/cms/pubblicazioni/i-numeri-del-cancro-italia-2020>.
- 48 6. Italian Cancer Registry Association. I numeri del cancro in Italia 2019. 2019. Available at:
49 <https://www.registri-tumori.it/cms/pubblicazioni/i-numeri-del-cancro-italia-2019>.

- 1 7. Stout NK, Lee SJ, Schechter CB, et al. Benefits, harms, and costs for breast cancer screening
2 after US implementation of digital mammography. *JNCI: Journal of the National Cancer*
3 *Institute* 2014; 106(6).
- 4 8. Kadan-Lottick NS, Vanderwerker LC, Block SD, et al. Psychiatric disorders and mental
5 health service use in patients with advanced cancer: a report from the coping with cancer
6 study. *Cancer: Interdisciplinary International Journal of the American Cancer Society*
7 2005; 104(12): 2872-2881.
- 8 9. Curt GA, Breitbart W, Cella D, et al. Impact of cancer-related fatigue on the lives of
9 patients: new findings from the Fatigue Coalition. *The oncologist* 2000; 5(5): 353-360.
- 10 10. Given CW, Given BA, Stommel M. The impact of age, treatment, and symptoms on the
11 physical and mental health of cancer patients. *Cancer* 1994; 74(S7): 2128-2138.
- 12 11. Valente M, Chirico I, Ottoboni G, & Chattat R. Relationship Dynamics among Couples
13 Dealing with Breast Cancer: A Systematic Review. *International journal of environmental*
14 *research and public health* 2021; 18(14): 7288.
- 15 12. Meier C, Bodenmann G, Mörgeli H, et al. Dyadic coping, quality of life, and psychological
16 distress among chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients and their
17 partners. *International journal of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease* 2011; 6: 583.
- 18 13. Badr H, Acitelli LK, & Taylor CLC. Does talking about their relationship affect couples'
19 marital and psychological adjustment to lung cancer? *Journal of cancer survivorship* 2008;
20 2(1): 53-64.
- 21 14. Manne SL, & Zautra AJ. Couples coping with chronic illness: Women with rheumatoid
22 arthritis and their healthy husbands. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine* 1990; 13(4): 327-342.
- 23 15. Clark MS, & Mills JR. A theory of communal (and exchange) relationships. *Handbook of*
24 *theories of social psychology* 2011; 2: 232-250.
- 25 16. Clark MS, & Mills J. The difference between communal and exchange relationships: What it
26 is and is not. *Personality and social psychology bulletin* 1993; 19(6): 684-691.
- 27 17. Clark MS, & Mills J. Interpersonal attraction in exchange and communal relationships.
28 *Journal of personality and social psychology* 1979; 37(1): 12.
- 29 18. Fincham FD, & Rogge R. Understanding relationship quality: Theoretical challenges and
30 new tools for assessment. *Journal of Family Theory & Review* 2010; 2(4): 227-242.
- 31 19. Berkman LF, & Syme SL. Social networks, host resistance, and mortality: a nine-year
32 follow-up study of Alameda County residents. *American journal of Epidemiology*
33 1979; 109(2): 186-204.
- 34 20. Kitagawa EM, & Hauser PM. *Differential mortality in the United States: A Study in Socio-*
35 *Economic Epidemiology*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1973.
- 36 21. Rook KS. Emotional health and positive versus negative social exchanges: A daily diary
37 analysis. *Applied Developmental Science* 2001; 5(2): 86-97.
- 38 22. Rook KS. Assessing the health-related dimensions of older adults' social
39 relationships. *Annual review of gerontology and geriatrics* 1994; 14(1): 142-181.
- 40 23. Newsom JT, Rook KS, Nishishiba M, et al. Understanding the relative importance of
41 positive and negative social exchanges: Examining specific domains and appraisals. *The*
42 *Journals of Gerontology Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences* 2005; 60(6):
43 pp 304-312.
- 44 24. Oh S, & Ryu E. Does Holding Back Cancer-Related Concern Affect Couples' Marital
45 Relationship and Quality of Life of Patients with Lung Cancer? An Actor-Partner
46 Interdependence Mediation Modeling Approach. *Asian nursing research* 2019; 13(4): 277-
47 285.
- 48 25. Watts KJ, Sherman KA, Mireskandari S, et al. Predictors of relationship adjustment among
49 couples coping with a high risk of developing breast/ovarian cancer. *Psychology & health*
50 2011; 26(sup1): 21-39.

- 1 26. Kraemer LM, Stanton AL, Meyerowitz BE et al. A longitudinal examination of couples'
2 coping strategies as predictors of adjustment to breast cancer. *Journal of Family Psychology*
3 2011; 25(6): 963.
- 4 27. Kayser K, Watson LE, & Andrade JT. Cancer as a "we-disease": Examining the process of
5 coping from a relational perspective. *Families, Systems, & Health* 2007; 25(4): 404.
- 6 28. Telli S, & Gürkan A. Examination of sexual quality of life and dyadic adjustment among
7 women with mastectomy. *European journal of breast health* 2020; 16(1): 48.
- 8 29. Gilbert E, Ussher JM, & Perz J. Renegotiating sexuality and intimacy in the context of
9 cancer: the experiences of carers. *Archives of Sexual Behavior* 2010; 39(4): 998-1009.
- 10 30. Yoo H, Bartle-Haring S, Day RD, et al. Couple communication, emotional and sexual
11 intimacy, and relationship satisfaction. *Journal of sex & marital therapy* 2014; 40(4): 275-
12 293.
- 13 31. Saunders B, Sim J, Kingstone T, et al. Saturation in qualitative research: exploring its
14 conceptualization and operationalization. *Quality & quantity* 2018; 52(4): 1893-1907.
- 15 32. Braun V, & Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative research in*
16 *psychology* 2006; 3(2): 77-101.
- 17 33. Hickey G, & Kipping C. A multi-stage approach to the coding of data from open-ended
18 questions. *Nurse researcher* 1996; 4(1): 81-91.
- 19 34. Ptacek JT, Ptacek JJ, & Dodge KL. Coping with breast cancer from the perspectives of
20 husbands and wives. *Journal of Psychosocial Oncology* 1994; 12(3): 47-72.
- 21 35. Manne SL, Ostroff JS, Norton TR. Cancer-related relationship communication in couples
22 coping with early-stage breast cancer. *Psycho-Oncology: Journal of the Psychological,*
23 *Social and Behavioral Dimensions of Cancer* 2006; 15(3): 234-247.
- 24 36. Dorval M, Guay S, Mondor M, et al. Couples who get closer after breast cancer: frequency
25 and predictors in a prospective investigation. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2005; 23(15):
26 3588-3596.
- 27 37. Boeding SE, Pukay-Martin ND, Baucom DH, et al. Couples and breast cancer: Women's
28 mood and partners' marital satisfaction predicting support perception. *Journal of Family*
29 *Psychology* 2014; 28(5): 675.
- 30 38. Milbury K, & Badr H. Sexual problems, communication patterns, and depressive symptoms
31 in couples coping with metastatic breast cancer. *Psycho-Oncology* 2013; 22(4): 814-822.
- 32 39. Kedde H, Van de Wiel HBM, Schultz WW, et al. Sexual dysfunction in young women with
33 breast cancer. *Supportive care in cancer* 2013; 21(1): 271-280.
- 34 40. Koçan S, & Gürsoy A. Body image of women with breast cancer after mastectomy: A
35 qualitative research. *The journal of breast health* 2016; 12(4): 145.
- 36 41. Hickey M, Marino JL, Braat S et al. A randomized, double-blind, crossover trial comparing
37 a silicone-versus water-based lubricant for sexual discomfort after breast cancer. *Breast*
38 *cancer research and treatment* 2016; 158(1): 79-90.
- 39 42. Herbenick D, Reece M, Hollub A, et al. Young female breast cancer survivors: their sexual
40 function and interest in sexual enhancement products and services. *Cancer nursing* 2008;
41 31(6): 417-425.
- 42 43. Zimmermann T. Intimate relationships affected by breast cancer: interventions for
43 couples. *Breast Care* 2015; 10(2): 102-108.
- 44 44. Zimmermann T, Scott JL, & Heinrichs N. Individual and dyadic predictors of body image in
45 women with breast cancer. *Psycho-oncology*, 2010; 19(10): 1061-1068.
- 46 45. Ginsberg TB, Pomerantz SC, & Kramer-Feeley V. Sexuality in older adults: behaviours and
47 preferences. *Age and ageing*, 2005; 34(5): 475-480.
- 48 46. Lim JW, & Shon EJ. The dyadic effects of family cohesion and communication on health-
49 related quality of life: The moderating role of sex. *Cancer nursing* 2018; 41(2): 156.
- 50 47. Rolland JS. In sickness and in health: the impact of illness on couples' relationships. *Journal*
51 *of Marital and Family therapy* 1994; 20(4): 327-347.

- 1 48. Chirico I, Ottoboni G, Linarello S, et al. Family experience of young-onset dementia: the
2 perspectives of spouses and children. *Aging & mental health*, 2021; 1–9.
- 3 49. Chirico, I., Ottoboni, G., Valente, M., & Chattat, R. (2021b). Children and young people's
4 experience of parental dementia: A systematic review. *International Journal of Geriatric*
5 *Psychiatry*, 36(7), 975-992.
- 6 50. Keesing S, Rosenwax L, & McNamara B. A dyadic approach to understanding the impact of
7 breast cancer on relationships between partners during early survivorship. *BMC women's*
8 *health* 2016; 16(1): 1-14.
- 9 51. Tedeschi RG, & Calhoun LG. *Trauma and transformation: Growing in the aftermath of*
10 *suffering*. Newbury Park: Sage, 1995.
- 11 52. Carpenter JS, Brockopp DY, & Andrykowski MA. Self-transformation as a factor in the
12 self-esteem and well-being of breast cancer survivors. *Journal of advanced Nursing* 1999;
13 29(6): 1402-1411.
- 14 53. Corbin JM, & Strauss A. *Unending work and care: Managing chronic illness at home*. San
15 Francisco: Jossey-bass Publishers, 1988.
- 16 54. Donato S. Il coping diadico, ovvero far fronte allo stress insieme: una rassegna della
17 letteratura. *Giornale italiano di psicologia* 2014; 41(3): 473-504.
- 18 55. Northouse LL, Templin T, Mood D, et al. Couples' adjustment to breast cancer and benign
19 breast disease: A longitudinal analysis. *Psycho-Oncology: Journal of the Psychological,*
20 *Social and Behavioral Dimensions of Cancer* 1998; 7(1): 37-48.
- 21 56. Spanier GB, & Lewis RA. Marital quality: A review of the seventies. *Journal of Marriage*
22 *and the Family* 1980; 825-839.
- 23 57. Spanier GB. Measuring dyadic adjustment: New scales for assessing the quality of marriage
24 and similar dyads. *Journal of Marriage and the Family* 1976; 15-28.
- 25 58. Hassebrauck M, & Fehr B. Dimensions of relationship quality. *Personal relationships* 2002;
26 9(3): 253-270.
- 27 59. Kiecolt-Glaser JK, & Newton TL. Marriage and health: his and hers. *Psychological bulletin*
28 2001; 127(4): 472-503.
- 29 60. Leuchtman L, & Bodenmann G. Interpersonal view on physical illnesses and mental
30 disorders. *Swiss Archives of Neurology, Psychiatry and Psychotherapy* 2017; 168(6), 170–
31 174.
- 32
33