

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Endodontic Microbial Communities in Apical Periodontitis

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Endodontic Microbial Communities in Apical Periodontitis / Buonavoglia, Alessio; Zamparini, Fausto; Lanave, Gianvito; Pellegrini, Francesco; Diakoudi, Georgia; Spinelli, Andrea; Lucente, Maria Stella; Camero, Michele; Vasinioti, Violetta Iris; Gandolfi, Maria Giovanna; Martella, Vito; Prati, Carlo. - In: JOURNAL OF ENDODONTICS. - ISSN 0099-2399. - ELETTRONICO. - 49:2(2023), pp. 178-189. [10.1016/j.joen.2022.11.015]

Availability:

This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/918214 since: 2023-02-27

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2022.11.015

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

Buonavoglia A, Zamparini F, Lanave G, Pellegrini F, Diakoudi G, Spinelli A, Lucente MS, Camero M, Vasinioti VI, Gandolfi MG, Martella V, Prati C.

Endodontic Microbial Communities in Apical Periodontitis.

J Endod. 2023 Feb;49(2):178-189.

The final published version is available online at: [10.1016/j.joen.2022.11.015]

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/)

When citing, please refer to the published version.

1	Analysis of oral microbiota in non-vital teeth and clinically intact external surface
2	from patients with severe periodontitis using Nanopore sequencing: a case study
3	
4	Alessio Buonavoglia ¹ , Francesco Pellegrini ² , Gianvito Lanave ^{2*} , Georgia Diakoudi ² , Maria
5	Stella Lucente ² , Fausto Zamparini ¹ , Michele Camero ² , Maria Giovanna Gandolfi ¹ , Vito
6	Martella ² , Carlo Prati ¹
7	
8	¹ Department of Biomedical and Neuromotor Sciences, Dental School, University of
9	Bologna, Bologna, Italy
10	² Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Bari, Valenzano (Bari), Italy
11	
12	* Corresponding author
13	Gianvito Lanave, Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Bari, Strada
14	Provinciale per Casamassima km3 70010 Valenzano (Bari), Italy
15	

Abstract (189 words)

Periodontal diseases include a wide range of pathological conditions, damaging the supporting structures of the teeth. Origin and propagation of periodontal disease is believed to be caused by dysbiosis of the commensal oral microbiota. The aim of this study was to evaluate the presence of bacteria in the pulp cavity of teeth with severe periodontal disease with clinically intact external surface. Periodontal (P) and endodontic (E) tissue samples of root canals from six intact teeth of 3 patients were sampled for analysis of microbial population using Nanopore technology. *Streptococcus* was the predominant genus in E samples. *Porphyromonas* (33.4%, p=0.047), *Tannerella* (41.7%, p=0.042) and *Treponema* (50.0%, p=0.0064) were significantly more present in P than in E samples. Some samples (E6 and E1) exhibited a remarkable difference in terms of microbial composition, whilst *Streptococcus* was a common signature in samples E2 to E5, all which were obtained from the same patient. In conclusion, bacteria were identified on both the root surface and the root canal system, thus demonstrating the possibility of bacteria to spread directly from the periodontal pocket to the root canal system even in the absence of crown's loss of integrity.

Keywords: microbiota, root canal, intact teeth, periodontitis, Nanopore

Introduction (3,130 words).

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

Although periodontal and endodontic tissues are prone to different pathologies, there are strict anatomical correlations through lateral and accessory canals and the apical foramen and dentinal tubules [1], favoring bacterial migration among contiguous tissues [1–3]. Bacterial biofilms associated to endodontic or periodontal infections are similar, confirming these pathways of migration [4] Endo-periodontal lesions (EPL) are bacterial infectious diseases that affect both periodontal and endodontic tissues of the same tooth, with periodontal tissue damage and pulp inflammation/necrosis, defined by a pathological communication between the pulpal and periodontal tissues [5]. The common pathologic communication between these tissues can occur by a carious or traumatic lesion that affects the pulp and, secondarily periodontium between apical foramen, accessory canals and dentinal tubules [6]. According to the classification of American Academy of Periodontology criteria, EPL occur in either an acute or a chronic form, and are classified according to signs and symptoms that have direct impact on their prognosis and treatment. The primary signs are deep periodontal pockets extending to the root apex and/or negative/altered response to pulp vitality tests. Other signs/symptoms may include radiographic evidence of bone loss in the apical or furcation region, pain, suppuration, tooth mobility, sinus tract, and crown and/or gingival colour alterations. EPL can be associated to a root damage, generally determined by traumatic and/or iatrogenic factors that may include root perforation, fracture/cracking, or external root resorption. These conditions drastically impair the prognosis of the involved tooth. EPL without root damage are distinguished in two categories based on the presence of periodontitis. EPL are graded into 3 levels depending on morphology and width of the periodontal pocket. Grade 1 presents narrow deep periodontal pocket in 1 tooth surface, Grade 2 a wide deep periodontal pocket in the tooth surface and Grade 3 a deep periodontal pockets in > 1 tooth surface. EPL Grade 3 with root damage have the worst prognosis [5].

When it comes to pulpal pathology of periodontal origins, the matter is more controversial, especially in teeth that present only a periodontal pathology without root damages and/or coronal leakages [7,8].

Massive periodontal destruction can secondarily affect the root canal system with dissemination of the inflammation, which can result in pulp necrosis [9]. It is still unclear if bacteria can reach the root canal system, or if inflammation is only due to their metabolic products. Overall, how bacteria can reach the root canal system from periodontal tissue is largely unclear. The aim of this study was to evaluate if in non-vital teeth with severe periodontal disease not reaching the apex root segment and with clinically intact external surface there is presence of bacteria in the endodontic space.

Materials and methods

Case study

Study participants were 3 patients (two female and one male patient; mean age 51 years) with chief compliant of severe lower anterior teeth mobility and severe periodontal compromission hindering any periodontal or conservative treatment. As control case, a patient (#1) referred with chief compliant due to lower third molar pericoronitis and indication for surgical extraction was recruited.

The exclusion criteria for this study were antibiotic therapy administered up to 3 months before tooth extraction, systemic diseases and pregnancy. Another exclusion criterium was evaluating that periodontal pockets could not reach the apical root segment. Moreover,

inclusion criteria were teeth affected by EPL without clinically and radiographically

identified caries lesions, cracks/fractures and/or restorations.

The patients involved in the research signed a formal written informed consent form.

EPL diagnosis was performed with periodontal probing that evaluate an average clinical

attachment loss ≥ 5 mm on all root's surfaces and radiographic analyses on periapical

bidimensional radiographies using paralleling technique confirming bone loss extending to mid-third of root and beyond; moreover, periapical radiolucency was not observed. None of these teeth presented periodontal pockets reaching the apex. Teeth presented grade 2 mobility with percussion and palpation sensitivity. In addition, thermal and electric pulp sensibility tests were performed returning non-responsivity and thus confirming pulpar necrosis. Thermal pulp test was performed with a #2 cotton pellet sprayed and fully saturated with 1,1,1,2-tetrafluoroethane and placed at the middle third of the buccal tooth's surface of the clinical crown for at least 20 seconds. Cold Pulp testing was selected as pulp sensibility test which is able to evaluate vital (specificity = 0.84) and nonvital (sensitivity = 0.87) teeth [10–12]. No other EPL signs/symptoms (sinus tract, spontaneous pain, periapical radiolucency, suppuration) were observed. Moreover, on intraoral inspection using loupes for magnification 4.0x and radiographic evaluation, the teeth did not present clinically identified caries lesions, cracks, fractures nor previous conservative restorations. The final diagnosis for all teeth was of EPL without root damage of Grade 3, according to the classification from the American Academy of Periodontology criteria. The sampled teeth clinically appeared without defects, decay or restorations and were affected by severe periodontitis (Stage IV) according to the classification of American Academy of Periodontology criteria [13]. Control sample consisted of an intact lower third molar without carious and periodontal pathologies, surgically extracted because affected by pericoronitis. All teeth affected by EPL were single-rooted (N=5 lower incisors and N=1 lower canine). A total of 12 clinical samples of the study group were collected from periodontal (P) (n=6) and endodontic (E) (n=6) tissue samples of root canals from six intact teeth of 3 patients (P1 and E1 from patient #1, P2 to P5 and E2 to E5 from patient #2 and P6 and E6 from #3). All teeth were single-rooted (lower incisors and lower canine).

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

The study was performed in agreement with the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki laid down in the 1964 and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. The Ethics Committee of Azienda Unità Sanitaria Locale of Bologna approved this study with autorizathion nr. 844-2021-OSS-AUSLBO-21160-ID 3118-Parere CE-AVEC-ENDO-MICROBIOTA 09/2021.

Non-surgical periodontal treatment was applied using ultrasonic tips to remove

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

110

111

112

113

114

Root canal sampling

supragingival dental biofilms and pre-operative mouthwash with chlorhexidine 0.20% for 60 s to reduce bacterial load. Subsequently, the teeth were anesthetized using articaine with adrenaline 1:100.000 (Septodont, Saint-Maur-des-Fossés, France). Sindesmotomy and luxation were performed with a rounded periosteal elevator; extraction was gently performed with dental forceps and tooth was positioned in a sterile tube (Eppendorf AG, Hamburg, Germany). An accurate alveolar toilette was performed with mechanical debridement of granulation tissue and subsequent intra-alveolar irrigation with sterile saline solution rinse. A resorbable collagen sponge (Septodont, Saint-Maur-des-Fossés, France) was positioned in dental socket and a criss-cross non-resorbable suture was performed to favor haemostasis. Only for the extraction of the third molar, a mucoperiosteal flap was executed without ostectomy. After extraction, all teeth were visually examined using loupes for magnification 4.0x to exclude caries lesions, cracks, fractures nor previous conservative restorations. Sampling procedures were carried out immediately after extraction using sterile gloves and sterile materials/instruments. In detail, P samples were collected using a sterile swab to scrub on root surface, chiefly where subgingival calculus was visible; subsequently, swabs were inserted in sterile tubes (Aptaca Spa, Canelli AT, Italy) provided with Stuart transport medium and stored at -80 °C until use.

Subsequently the crown was disinfected with 2.5% sodium hypochlorite solution (NaOCl) (Niclor 2.5, Ogna, Maggiò, Italy) for 30 s [8,14]. The NaOCl solution was inactivated with 5% sodium thiosulfate in order to avoid interference for diffusion of NaOCl in root canal system during cavity access preparation and bacteriological sampling.

To control the sterility of the operating field, two sterile cotton pellets were brushed on the disinfected tooth crown and transferred to a tube containing transport fluid. If bacterial growth was detected within 72 hours at 37 °C in laboratory incubator, the sample of the root canal was excluded from the study.

Preparation of the access cavity was performed using a sterile high-speed diamond bur (Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) under sterile saline solution flow. Before the pulp chamber was exposed, cleaning of the tooth was repeated as previously described. All the remaining pulpal tissues observed were evaluated clinically as non-bleeding, fibrotic and without chromatic aspects (red or pink coloring) traceable to vital pulp. Moreover, pulp space appeared more or less empty, to confirm clinical diagnosis of pulpal necrosis.

After gentle irrigation with sterile saline solution, a sterile #10 K-type stainless hand file (Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) was introduced into the canal at the tooth apex level. In the control case (lower molar) E samples were collected from the largest root canal (distal root). Working length was previously calibrated on clinical tooth's length to stop K-file and paper points at the level of the tooth apex level. These procedures were carried out by means of a visual inspection using magnification loupes to prevent the crossing of the apex by K-file and paper points.

Following gently scraping with instrumentation alongside the root canal walls with a sterile #10 K-type stainless hand file (Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) to disperse bacteria in the medium, sterile paper points #15 (Dentsply-Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) were positioned in the canals for 60 s, to collect "E" samples in sterile tubes (Eppendorf AG,

Hamburg, Germany), subsequently stored at −80 °C until use. Every procedure was executed using new sterile gloves.

DNA extraction

Paper point samples were immersed in a 2 mL Eppendorf safe-lock tube containing Dulbecco Minimal Essential medium (DMEM). Subsequently, samples were homogenized by Tissue Lyser (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany) at 30 Hz for 5 min. Homogenized samples were centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 3 min. A total of 200 µL of supernatants were subjected to DNA extraction using DNeasy PowerSoil PRO kit (Qiagen S.p.A., Milan, Italy) according to manufacturer's instructions. Negative controls of extraction (DMEM and reagents from extraction kits) were used at the same time as samples, to check for the presence of possible contamination during the extraction steps. To assess for bias in extraction and/or sequencing, commercially available mock community control composed of three Gram-negative and five Gram-positive bacteria with a range of GC content was used. Mock community DNA obtained by pooling DNA extracted from pure cultures (ZymoBIOMICS Catalog #D6306) was used as the input DNA.

PCR amplification of 16SrDNA gene and Nanopore sequencing

A PCR protocol was performed on DNA extracts to amplify the full-length (1500bp) sequence of the 16SrRNA gene using universal primers [15] and TaKaRa LA TaqTM kit (Takara Bio Europe S.A.S., Saint-Germain-en-Laye, France). Afterwards, the 16S barcoding kit SQK-RAB204 (Oxford Nanopore Technologies, ONT, Oxford UK) was used to prepare libraries which were purified by Agencourt AMPure XP magnetic beads (Beckman CoulterTM), pooled and sequenced using MinION flongle Flow cell FLO-FLG001, version R9.4.1 adapted on the MinION- Mk1C device (ONT, UK) for 24h.

Data analysis

FastQ MinION files were uploaded on the online EPI2ME platform

(https://epi2me.nanoporetech.com/) and analyzed by the Fastq 16S 2021.09.09 (Metrichor Agent, ONT) workflow setting the following parameters: quality score 10, minimum length

filter of 1500 bases and BLAST E-value of 0.01.

Taxonomy was obtained through interrogation of the NCBI database non redundant using BLAST with a minimum horizontal coverage of 30% and a minimum accuracy of 77% as default parameters. Reads data obtained were organized in Microsoft Office Excel. Only Taxa scoring a $\geq 0.1\%$ relative abundance in samples were considered and thus analyzed using the "Plotly.py" open-source library for Python 3.7.9 [16]. The computed data were then represented as interrogable BarPlot charts.

In addition, "Krona" visualization tool was employed to organize and display the communities at a species level in multi-layered pie [17]. Multiple comparisons of the bacterial sequence reads obtained in the P and E tissue samples was compared using Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn test as post hoc test. Moreover, categorical dichotomous data (P and E tissue samples and presence/absence of bacteria in samples) were described as counts and percentages and evaluated by Exact Fisher test. Statistical analyses were performed using the freely available online tool EZR [18] for personal computers. A p-value < 0.05 was considered for statistical significance.

Diversity indexes

Statistical analyses were performed with R v.4.1.3 using the library "vegan" (https://vegandevs.github.io/vegan/). Alpha diversity for sample was assessed using Shannon index and measure of biodiversity was evaluated using Richness Menhinick's index. Shapiro–Wilk test was performed to evaluate the normality of distribution of data. Two-sided Student's t test for independent samples or Mann–Whitney U test were

performed on the calculated alpha diversity and biodiversity values on the basis of "P" and "E" categories. To identify possible sample stratification, beta diversity was assessed using Bray–Curtis index and Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) was performed for each pair of categories. ANOVA test and Tukey Honestly Significant Difference as post hoc test were carried out on the calculated beta diversity values. The statistical significance was set at 0.05.

Results

All the crown samples tested negative in the sterility test. Bacterial DNA was identified in all the 12 samples analyzed from the study group and the control sample. Negative extraction controls (DMEM and reagents from the DNA extraction kit) did not result in library prep due to low DNA concentration and were not sequenced. Mock community control DNA included in the sequencing runs most closely approximated to the theoretical composition of the mock community.

After quality control of Nanopore sequence data, a total of 445,215 bacterial 16SrRNA gene sequence reads (mean 37,101, median 12,870, range 3,255-23,0280) were obtained in the 12 analyzed samples but only 36 sequence reads in the control sample. A total of 123 Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) were identified in the P and E samples and assigned to 8 phyla, 29 genera and 86 species using Fastq 16S 2021.09.09 workflow. Overall, the most abundant phylum detected was *Bacillota* (80%) followed by *Actinomycetota* (6.1%) and *Bacteroidotes* (5.6%). The prominent genus was *Streptococcus* (72.9%) followed by *Veillonella* (3.6%), *Actinomyces* (3.6%), *Parvimonas* (3.5%) and *Prevotella* (3.1%) (Table 1, Figure 1) whilst *Streptococcus mutans* (50.7%) was the predominant species followed by *Streptococcus anginosus* (6.3%) and *Parvimonas micra* (3.5%) (Figure 2).

Although the six collected teeth samples did not present neither clinically evident coronal leakages nor root damages, Streptococcus (54.7%) was predominant in E samples (Table 1), and it was also identified in P samples (18.2%) (Table 1, Figure 1). The bacterial genera identified in the analyzed samples is reported in Table 2 whilst Table 3 shows the distribution of bacterial genera, expressed as percentage, in P and E tissue samples from the root canals. Overall, the most prevalent bacterial genera in the twelve collected samples were Streptococcus (100.0%, 12/12), Actinomyces (83.4%, 10/12), Fusobacterium (66.7%, 8/12), Parvimonas (66.7%, 8/12), Prevotella (66.7%, 8/12), Tannerella (66.7%, 8/12), Treponema (66.7%, 8/12) and Veillonella (66.7%, 8/12) (Table 2 and 3). Alpha diversity among the samples, calculated using Shannon index, ranged between 0.053-0.909 (mean = 0.564; median = 0.611) whilst the biodiversity value using Richness Menhinick's index ranged between 0.010 and 0.239 (mean = 0.091; median= 0.076). Comparisons of alpha diversity and biodiversity values did not reach the thresholds of statistical significance (p>0.05) for the considered categories.

Beta diversity for P and E categories were assessed by using Bray–Curtis index and PCoA plot graphs were produced (Figure 3). Comparisons of beta diversity of samples did not reveal statistical significance (p>0.05) for the categories.

The genera most frequently identified in P samples (n=6) were *Fusobacterium* (50%), *Prevotella* (50.0%), *Streptococcus* (50.0%), *Treponema* (50.0%), *Actinomyces* (41.7%), *Olsenella* (41.7%), *Parvimonas* (41.7%) and *Tannerella* (41.7%). *Streptococcus* (50.0%) and *Actinomyces* (41.7%) were the most frequently detected genera in E samples (n=6) (Table 2 and 3). *Actinomyces* and *Streptococcus* were detected with equal prevalence (41.7-

50.0%) in both P and E samples whilst *Fusobacterium*, *Prevotella* and *Treponema* were detected with higher prevalence in P (50.0%) than in E samples (16.7%) (Table 3).

Atopobium (41.7%, 5/12), *Selenomonas* (41.7%, 5/12), *Lactobacillus* (33.4%, 4/12),

Capnocytophaga (25.0%, 3/12), *Leptotrichia* (25.0%, 3/12), *Paludibacter* (25.0%, 3/12) and

Schaalia (25.0%, 3/12) were detected with equal or comparable prevalence in both P (16.7-25%) and E samples (8.3-16.7%). Conversely, *Campylobacter* (41.7%, 5/12),

Porphyromonas (41.7%, 5/12) and *Bifidobacterium* (25.0%, 3/12) were identified more frequently in P samples (25.0-33.4%) than in E samples (0.0-8.3%) (Table 3). Multiple comparison between the obtained sequence reads in P and E samples revealed that the genera *Porphyromonas* (33.4% vs 8.3%, p=0.047), *Tannerella* (41.7% vs 25%, p=0.042) and *Treponema* (50.0%, p=0.0064) were significantly more present in P than in E samples.

Samples P1 and P6 were collected from patient #1 and #3, respectively and samples P2 to P5 were collected from patient #2. In the P samples collected from the three patients, yet in the context of an expected microbiome diversity, we observed the presence of the genera *Actinomyces, Fusobacterium, Olsenella, Parvimonas, Prevotella, Streptococcus, Tannerella* and *Treponema*. Samples E6 and E1 exhibited a remarkable difference in terms of microbial composition, whilst the genus *Streptococcus*, was a common signature in samples E2 to E5 obtained from the same patient (#2) (Table 2).

Discussion

In this study teeth affected by EPL Grade 3 with clinically intact crown' surface and bone loss not reaching the apex were used. Therefore, a primary endodontic involvement was ruled out. The rationale for the study was based on the hypothesis that periodontal bacteria may reaches the root canal system even before the periodontal disease reaches the apical root segment.

316

In our study, all the teeth but the control case presented bacteria on the root surface and in the root canal system, demonstrating the possibility of bacteria to spread directly from the periodontal pocket to the root canal system, despite the apical foramen was not reached by periodontal lesion. It is well known that periodontal diseases may determine the exposure of other anatomical communications, over the apical segment, between periodontal tissues and the root canal system, with possible invasion of the root canal system from periodontal bacteria and/or their toxic metabolic products [19]. "Retrograde" pulpitis is an inflammatory pulpal condition caused by response to bacterial invasion and toxic products entering through anatomical communications that became exposed to the oral fluids. Total pulp necrosis is determined when the blood supply to all root canals is interrupted by periodontal disease that involves apical root segment determining a vascular damage and subsequent hypoxia [20] Alveolar bone resorption causes exposure of cementum that can be subsequently eroded mechanically, removed, and abraded with various processes. Loss of cementum exposes the dentinal tubules and allow bacterial entrance into the tooth. Moreover, the cementumenamel junction (CEJ) presents a great morphological diversity, with frequent gaps between enamel and cementum with exposure of dentin, also among different surfaces of the same tooth [21]. Although in healthy teeth CEJ is normally protected and covered by gingival tissues (epithelial attachment and connectival attachment) [22], it can be exposed for gingival recession and/or periodontal disease, with the possibility of an immediate exposure of dentinal tubules. Lateral and accessory canals may distribute bacteria and toxins from the periodontal apparatus into the dental pulp [23,24]. Since they are located more at the coronal level than at the apical foramen, deep periodontal pockets can expose these communications without reaching the apex of the root. The control

tooth in our study was also positive for bacterial DNA, revealing a low number of bacterial

reads in the root canal system. This can suggest that pulpal tissue is not completely sterile also in the absence of deep periodontal pockets.

There are many controversial opinions regarding implications of severe periodontitis on inflammatory and degenerative alterations in the dental pulp. Some researchers suggest that periodontal disease can cause pulpal changes [25–29], whereas others do not [30,31]. Ricucci et al. evaluated teeth affected by periodontal disease with no clinically identified caries lesions, reporting histological and bacteriologic results consistent with bacterial colonization of the outer end of dentinal tubules when loss of integrity of the radicular cementum occurred. In some cases the authors described histological aspects of pulpal degeneration due to bacterial colonization of the orifice of a lateral canal, with subsequent vascular damage and bacterial invasion of the pulpal bloodstream even before pulpal tissue necrosis [32]. These data support the results of this study, suggesting the possibility for periodontal bacteria to migrate in the root canal system also in absence of evident root damages, loss of crown integrity or massive periodontal disease reaching the apex.

Moreover, some bacteria seem to have a greater ability of migration between the two spaces. In fact, *Actinomyces*, *Streptococcus* [14], *Parvimonas* and *Veillonella* were present with similar frequencies both in the periodontal pocket and in the root canal system.

Although their high frequency on root surfaces, some bacteria such as *Porphiromonas* and *Prevotella* were not identified in the root canal system. Several factors, including bacterial size, adhesive properties, motility or micro-environmental selectivity may affect the degree of permeability to the dentinal tubules and virulence [21]

Overall, our study presents some limitations. The total sample size is relatively small and likely a larger sample size could be more useful to identify trends in the oral microbiome in these pathologies. Unfortunately, teeth affected by advanced periodontal disease without no clinically loss of external integrity and/or coronal leakages, which are

ideal for similar studies, are not commonly observed in the clinical practice. In presence of teeth affected by EPL with loss of crown's integrity there is an objective difficulty to establish if the primary bacterial involvement took place from the periodontal space or endodontic space.

Moreover, histologic investigations with bacterial staining were not carried out in our study to investigate the patterns of tissue invasion by bacteria in the endoperiodontal environments.

The study was based on teeth with poor prognosis, hindering any periodontal or endodontic treatment and with indication of extraction. It is unclear if endodontic contamination from periodontal space may occur also in teeth affected by less severe periodontitis.

The present study confirms the complexity of oral microbiome, organized in multispecies communities that may present important limits in microbiological evaluation using classic microbiological tests such as in vitro cultivation, typing with primers and probes and direct sequencing [33–35]. Massive sequencing techniques are a novel molecular method that may be applied to unveil the convoluted pictures of polymicrobial communities including low-abundance taxa or non-cultivatable species of oral microbiota [8,15,34,36–38]. In this study we relied on a Nanopore 16s rDNA protocol to generate sequence data at a population level on the microbiological community. 16S rDNA gene is a universal target for bacterial characterization with nine variable regions intermingled with conserved regions. Unlike other NGS techniques, Nanopore technology allows to generate sequence data on the full length 16S rDNA gene increasing the accuracy of characterization. Also, PCR-based enrichment with consensus primers allows to obtain data from biological matrices with low density bacterial communities, for which otherwise, other sequencing approaches would not be feasible.

Considering the capacity of migration among periodontal and endodontic tissues, in case of surgical/non-surgical periodontal therapies and/or conservative rehabilitations of teeth affected by deep periodontal pockets, particular attention should be always paid to pulpal sensibility tests and pulpal symptoms to evaluate necrotic pulp or hyperresponsive vital pulp. In these cases, the root canal system should be considered as potentially contaminated by bacteria, and potentially acts as bacterial reservoir that may serve as recontamination source of residual pockets and/or periodontal tissues after surgical/nonsurgical therapies [39], although not all bacterial species seem to possess the same capacity of migration. At the same time, an untreated deep periodontal pocket may serve as a source of periodontal bacteria to contaminate/re-contaminate the root canal system, determining pulpal/periapical pathology. Also, therapies that may remove cementum, such as root planning, should be carefully pondered for the potential exposure of dentinal tubules creating breaches for bacterial entry. [31]. In conclusion, the results of the present study demonstrated the possibility of bacteria to spread directly from the periodontal pocket to the root canal system even in the absence of crown's loss of integrity. References

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

- 386 [1] Seltzer S, Bender IB, Ziontz M. The interrelationship of pulp and periodontal disease. Oral Surgery, 387 Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology. 1963; 16:1474–1490.
- [2] Rotstein I, Simon JHS. Diagnosis, prognosis and decision-making in the treatment of combined 388 periodontal-endodontic lesions. Periodontol 2000. 2004; 34:165–203. 389
- Simon JHS, Glick DH, Frank AL. The Relationship of Endodontic-Periodontic Lesions. J Endod. 2013; 390 [3] 39:e41-e46. 391
- 392 [4] Li H, Guan R, Sun J, et al. Bacteria Community Study of Combined Periodontal-Endodontic Lesions Using Denaturing Gradient Gel Electrophoresis and Sequencing Analysis. J Periodontol. 2014; 393 85:1442-1449. 394
- 395 [5] Papapanou PN, Sanz M, Buduneli N, et al. Periodontitis: Consensus report of workgroup 2 of the 2017 World Workshop on the Classification of Periodontal and Peri-Implant Diseases and 396 397 Conditions. J Clin Periodontol. 2018; 45:S162-S170.

398 399	[6]	Ricucci D, Siqueira JF. Biofilms and Apical Periodontitis: Study of Prevalence and Association with Clinical and Histopathologic Findings. J Endod. 2010; 36:1277–1288.
400 401	[7]	Sabeti M. Histopathological investigation of dental pulp reactions related to periodontitis. Eur Endod J. 2021;
402 403	[8]	Buonavoglia A, Lanave G, Camero M, et al. Next-Generation Sequencing Analysis of Root Canal Microbiota Associated with a Severe Endodontic-Periodontal Lesion. Diagnostics. 2021; 11:1461.
404 405	[9]	Langeland K, Rodrigues H, Dowden W. Periodontal disease, bacteria, and pulpal histopathology. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology. 1974; 37:257–270.
406 407	[10]	Mainkar A, Kim SG. Diagnostic Accuracy of 5 Dental Pulp Tests: A Systematic Review and Meta- analysis. J Endod. 2018; 44:694–702.
408 409	[11]	Balevi B. Cold pulp testing is the simplest and most accurate of all dental pulp sensibility tests. Evid Based Dent. 2019; 20:22–23.
410 411 412	[12]	Matos F de S, Cunha TC, Ribeiro MAG, et al. Accuracy of the dental pulp sensibility test using cold spray for the diagnosis of pulp diseases: an observational clinical study. Bioscience Journal. 2021; 37:e37040.
413 414 415	[13]	Papapanou PN, Sanz M, Buduneli N, et al. Periodontitis: Consensus report of workgroup 2 of the 2017 World Workshop on the Classification of Periodontal and Peri-Implant Diseases and Conditions. J Clin Periodontol. 2018;45: S162–S170.
416 417	[14]	Buonavoglia A, Trotta A, Camero M, et al. Streptococcus mutans Associated with Endo-Periodontal Lesions in Intact Teeth. Applied Sciences. 2022; 12:11837.
418 419	[15]	Lillo A, Ashley FP, Palmer RM, et al. Novel subgingival bacterial phylotypes detected using multiple universal polymerase chain reaction primer sets. Oral Microbiol Immunol. 2006; 21:61–68.
420	[16]	Carson S. Interactive Web-Based Data Visualization with R, plotly 1st ed. Chapman & Hall; 2019.
421 422	[17]	Ondov BD, Bergman NH, Phillippy AM. Interactive metagenomic visualization in a Web browser. BMC Bioinformatics. 2011; 12:385.
423 424	[18]	Kanda Y. Investigation of the freely available easy-to-use software 'EZR' for medical statistics. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2013; 48:452–458.
425 426	[19]	Das A, Sahoo S, Parihar A, et al. Evaluation of role of periodontal pathogens in endodontic periodontal diseases. J Family Med Prim Care. 2020; 9:239.
427 428	[20]	Seltzer S, Bender IB, Ziontz M. The interrelationship of pulp and periodontal disease. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology. 1963; 16:1474–1490.
429 430	[21]	Brittan JL, Sprague S V., Macdonald EL, et al. In vivo model for microbial invasion of tooth root dentinal tubules. Journal of Applied Oral Science. 2016; 24:126–135.
431 432	[22]	Schmidt JC, Sahrmann P, Weiger R, et al. Biologic width dimensions - a systematic review. J Clin Periodontol. 2013; 40:493–504.
433 434	[23]	Kerekes K, Olsen I. Similarities in the microfloras of root canals and deep periodontal pockets. Dental Traumatology. 1990; 6:1–5.
435 436	[24]	Tan B, Sun Q, Xiao J, et al. Pulp status of teeth in patients with chronic advanced periodontitis. Int J Clin Exp Pathol. 2020; 13:635–641.

43 <i>7</i> 438	[25]	fibroblasts to saliva. Int Endod J. 2016; 49:655–662.
439 440	[26]	Seltzer S, Bender IB, Ziontz M. The interrelationship of pulp and periodontal disease. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology. 1963; 16:1474–1490.
441 442	[27]	Lindhe J. Tratado de periodontia clínica e implantologia oral . 3rd ed. Rio de Janeiro: Guanabara Koogan; 1999.
443 444	[28]	Wan L, Lu HB, Xuan DY, et al. Histological changes within dental pulps in teeth with moderate-to-severe chronic periodontitis. Int Endod J. 2015; 48:95–102.
445 446	[29]	Aguiar TR, Tristao GC, Mandarino D, et al. Histopathologic changes in dental pulp of teeth with chronic periodontitis. Compend Contin Educ Dent. 2014; 35:344; 346; 348; 350–351.
447 448	[30]	Hattler AB, Snyder DE, Listgarten MA, et al. The lack of pulpal pathosis in rice rats with the periodontal syndrome. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology. 1977; 44:939–948.
449 450	[31]	Bergenholtz G, Lindhe J. Effect of experimentally induced marginal periodontitis and periodontal scaling on the dental pulp. J Clin Periodontol. 1978; 5:59–73.
451 452	[32]	Ricucci D, Siqueira JF, Rôças IN. Pulp Response to Periodontal Disease: Novel Observations Help Clarify the Processes of Tissue Breakdown and Infection. J Endod. 2021; 47:740–754.
453	[33]	Siqueira JF, Rôças IN. Diversity of Endodontic Microbiota Revisited. J Dent Res. 2009; 88:969–981.
454 455	[34]	Kralik P, Ricchi M. A Basic Guide to Real Time PCR in Microbial Diagnostics: Definitions, Parameters, and Everything. Front Microbiol. 2017;8.
456 457	[35]	Krishnan K, Chen T, Paster B. A practical guide to the oral microbiome and its relation to health and disease. Oral Dis. 2017; 23:276–286.
458 459 460	[36]	Sánchez-Sanhueza G, Bello-Toledo H, González-Rocha G, et al. Metagenomic study of bacterial microbiota in persistent endodontic infections using Next-generation sequencing. Int Endod J. 2018; 51:1336–1348.
461 462	[37]	Korona-Glowniak I, Piatek D, Fornal E, et al. Patterns of Oral Microbiota in Patients with Apical Periodontitis. J Clin Med. 2021; 10:2707.
463 464	[38]	Buonavoglia A, Zamparini F, Lanave G, et al. Endodontic Microbial Communities in Apical Periodontitis. J Endod. 2023; 49:178–189.
465 466	[39]	Buonavoglia A, Leone P, Solimando AG, et al. Antibiotics or No Antibiotics, That Is the Question: An Update on Efficient and Effective Use of Antibiotics in Dental Practice. Antibiotics. 2021; 10:550.
467		
468		Declarations
469		Ethics approval and consent to participate
470		The study was performed in agreement with the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of
471		Helsinki laid down in the 1964 and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.
472		The Ethics Committee of Azienda Unità Sanitaria Locale of Bologna approved this study

with autorizathion nr. 844-2021-OSS-AUSLBO-21160-ID 3118-Parere CE-AVEC-ENDO-MICROBIOTA 09/2021. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects and/or their legal guardian(s) for participation and publication.

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed in this study are included in this published article.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for- profit sectors.

Authors' contributions

A.B. contributed to conception and design of the study, wrote the first draft of the manuscript; F.P. performed the experiments, organized the database, wrote the first draft of the manuscript; G.L. performed the statistical analysis and wrote the first draft of the manuscript; G.D. performed the experiments; M.S.L. performed the experiments; F.Z. wrote sections of the manuscript; M.C. reviewed and edited the manuscript; M.G.G. wrote sections of the manuscript; V.M. contributed to conception and design of the study, reviewed and edited the manuscript; C.P. contributed to conception and design of the study and reviewed

498	and edited the manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript revision, read, and approved
499	the submitted version.
500	
501	Acknowledgements
502	Not applicable
503	
504	Authors' information
505	1 Department of Biomedical and Neuromotor Sciences, Dental School, University of
506	Bologna, Bologna, Italy
507	2 Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Bari, Valenzano (Bari), Italy
508	

509	rigure legends
510	Figure 1 Sequence read distribution of bacterial genera detected in the periodontal (P), endodontic
511	(E) and control (M) tissue samples of root canals from teeth of patients expressed as BarPlot charts
512	
513	Figure 2 Sequence read distribution of bacterial species detected in the periodontal (P), endodontic
514	(E) and control (M) tissue samples of root canals from teeth of patients expressed as multi-layered
515	pie charts (Krona visualization tool).
516	
517	Figure 3 Bray-Curtis index (a) and Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) (b) for periodontal (P)
518	and endodontic (E) samples expressed as plots.

Table 1: Distribution of sequence data, expressed as percentage, per bacterial genera in samples collected from periodontal (P) and endodontic (E) tissue samples from root canals of patients affected by severe periodontitis (Stage IV) according to the American Academy of Periodontology criteria.

Bacterial genera	Total reads (%)	P (%)	E (%)
Actinomyces	3.6	2.7	0.9
Atopobium	0.2	0.1	0.1
Bifidobacterium	0.7	0.7	0.0
Campylobacter	0.4	0.4	0.0
Capnocytophaga	0.1	0.1	0.0
Corynebacterium	0.1	0.1	0.0
Dialister	0.1	0.1	0.0
Enterococcus	0.1	0.1	0.0
Fusobacterium	1.6	1.4	0.2
Lactobacillus	1.8	1.7	0.1
Leptotrichia	0.2	0.2	0.0
Mycoplasma	0.6	0.6	0.0
Neisseria	0.1	0.1	0.0
Olsenella	0.4	0.4	0.0
Oribacterium	0.1	0.1	0.0
Paludibacter	0.2	0.1	0.1
Parvimonas	3.5	2.2	1.3
PeptoStreptococcus	0.4	0.4	0.0
Porphyromonas	1.3	1.3	0.0
Prevotella	3.1	3.1	0.0
Pseudomonas	0.1	0.1	0.0
Rothia	0.3	0.3	0.0
Scardovia	0.2	0.2	0.0
Schaalia	1.3	1.3	0.0
Selenomonas	0.5	0.5	0.0
Streptococcus	72.9	18.2	54.7
Tannerella	0.7	0.6	0.1
Treponema	1.9	1.8	0.1
Veillonella	3.6	2.1	1.4
Total	100.0	41.0	59.0

Table 2: Presence of bacterial genera in periodontal (P) and endodontic (E) tissue samples of root canals from patients affected by severe periodontitis

	Samples											
P/E	P1	P2	Р3	P4	P5	P6	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	E6
Patient	#1	#2	#2	#2	#2	#3	#1	#2	#2	#2	#2	#3
Bacterial Genera												
Actinomyces	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-
Atopobium	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-
Bifidobacterium	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Campylobacter	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
Capnocytophaga	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
Corynebacterium	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-
Dialister	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Enterococcus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Fusobacterium	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+
Lactobacillus	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	=	+	=	-
Leptotrichia	+	+	-	=	-	-	-	+	=	-	=	-
Mycoplasma	-	-	-	=	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
Neisseria	+	-	-	=	-	-	-	-	=	=	=	-
Olsenella	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	=	+	=	-
Oribacterium	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Paludibacter	+	-	-	=	-	+	+	-	-	=	=	-
Parvimonas	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	=	+	-
PeptoStreptococcus	-	=	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	=	-
Porphyromonas	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	=	+	=	-
Prevotella	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-
Pseudomonas	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	_	-
Rothia	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Scardovia	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Schaalia	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	_	-

Selenomonas	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-
Streptococcus	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Tannerella	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-
Treponema	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-
Veillonella	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-

+: Presence; -: Absence; •: same patient

Table 3. Distribution of bacterial genera, expressed as percentage, in periodontal (P) and endodontic (E) tissue samples of root canals from patients affected by severe periodontitis.

Bacterial genera	P (%)	E (%)
Actinomyces	41.7	41.7
Atopobium	25.0	16.7
Bifidobacterium	25.0	0.0
Campylobacter	33.4	8.3
Capnocytophaga	16.7	8.3
Corynebacterium	0.0	8.3
Dialister	8.3	0.0
Enterococcus	0.0	8.3
Fusobacterium	50.0	16.7
Lactobacillus	16.7	16.7
Leptotrichia	16.7	8.3
Mycoplasma	8.3	0.0
Neisseria	8.3	0.0
Olsenella	41.7	8.3
Oribacterium	8.3	0.0
Paludibacter	16.7	8.3
Parvimonas	41.7	25.0
PeptoStreptococcus	16.7	0.0
Porphyromonas	33.4	8.3
Prevotella	50.0	16.7
Pseudomonas	0.0	8.3
Rothia	8.3	0.0
Scardovia	8.3	0.0
Schaalia	16.7	8.3
Selenomonas	25.0	16.7
Streptococcus	50.0	50.0
Tannerella	41.7	25.0
Treponema	50.0	16.7
Veillonella	33.4	33.3