

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna
Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Augmentative biological control of Halyomorpha halys using the native European parasitoid Anastatus bifasciatus: Efficacy and ecological impact

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Iacovone, A., Masetti, A., Mosti, M., Conti, E., Burgio, G. (2022). Augmentative biological control of Halyomorpha halys using the native European parasitoid Anastatus bifasciatus: Efficacy and ecological impact. BIOLOGICAL CONTROL, 172(September 2022), 1-8 [10.1016/j.biocontrol.2022.104973].

Availability:

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/905571> since: 2022-11-22

Published:

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2022.104973>

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

Biological Control

Augmentative biological control of *Halyomorpha halys* using the native European parasitoid *Anastatus bifasciatus*: efficacy and ecological impact --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	BCON-D-21-00637R3
Article Type:	Closed to new submission_VSI:Biocontrol of invasives
Keywords:	brown marmorated stink bug; Pentatomidae; invasive pest; <i>Trissolcus mitsukurii</i> ; egg parasitoids
Corresponding Author:	Antonio Masetti University of Bologna Bologna, Italy
First Author:	Alessia Iacovone, Ph.D
Order of Authors:	Alessia Iacovone, Ph.D Antonio Masetti Marco Mosti Eric Conti, Professor Giovanni Burgio, Professor
Abstract:	<p>We report the first large-scale augmentative biological control project carried out in Europe against <i>Halyomorpha halys</i> (Stål) (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) using the native egg parasitoid <i>Anastatus bifasciatus</i> (Geoffroy) (Hymenoptera: Eupelmidae). During summer 2020, a total of 325,000 adults of <i>A. bifasciatus</i> were released at a rate of 1000 individuals/ha of orchard in 11 sites in Trentino Alto Adige (Northern Italy). Parasitism parameters were compared between release and control (no release) sites, in which at least three egg masses naturally laid by <i>H. halys</i> were collected (for a total of 262 egg masses). <i>Anastatus bifasciatus</i> and <i>Trissolcus mitsukurii</i> (Ashmead) (Hymenoptera: Scelionidae) were the dominant parasitoids, but parasitism by both species fluctuated widely among sites. At release sites, <i>A. bifasciatus</i> showed a significantly higher discovery efficiency (31.4%) and parasitism rate (16.7%) of <i>H. halys</i> egg masses than at control sites (1.7% and 1.2%, respectively). Parasitism by <i>A. bifasciatus</i> was not dependent on egg mass abundance at release sites, but at control sites a host density-dependent response was revealed by a positive relationship between parasitism and number of <i>H. halys</i> egg masses. On the other hand, parasitism by the adventive <i>T. mitsukurii</i> was not affected by either the releases of <i>A. bifasciatus</i> or by the abundance of <i>H. halys</i> egg masses per site. In conclusion, augmentative releases of <i>A. bifasciatus</i> contributed to increasing its parasitization of <i>H. halys</i>, without causing any negative effects on parasitization by naturally occurring species.</p>
Response to Reviewers:	

Dear professor Biondi,

1 Thank you for your last email. According to your kind request we have modified the document of Response
2 to Editor and Reviewers providing a point by point reply reporting also each original comment.
3

4 I've taken the opportunity to include in the new resubmission also the Supplementary Material (unchanged
5 from R1) that I forgot to upload in the resubmission on May 6th.
6
7

8
9
10 On the behalf of all the coauthors, let me thank you once again for your time and attention.
11

12
13 With kindest regards,
14

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65



Antonio Masetti,

Alma Mater Studiorum - Università di Bologna

Dipartimento di Scienze e Tecnologie Agro-alimentari

v.le Fanin, 42 - Bologna, Italy

Phone: +39 051 2096286

E-mail: antonio.masetti@unibo.it

Response to Editors' and Reviewers' comments BCON-D-21-00637R2

A point by point reply to Editors and Reviewers is provided in the following lines in red fonts. All original comments have been reported too.

Response to Editor 's comments

L18: I think here author may need to provide a release rate rather the total number

We have changed the text as suggested.

L19: 11 sites but the abstract says 8 because I guess in three sites there were not enough egg masses, so I wonder why stating 11 locations and why mentioning the total number of parasitoids released, i.e., including those in sites without hosts

Parasitoids were indeed released in 11 sites, but only 8 of them were considered for statistical analysis because of the low number of egg masses (< 3) found in the remaining 3 sites. We would like to retain 11 sites in the highlight to stick to what was actually done.

L20 and the whole manuscript: "Parasitization parameters" is unclear

We have changed "parasitization parameters" in "parasitism parameters" throughout the text. A full description of what we intend with "parasitism parameters" is provided in lines 157-163 of the new numbering.

L30: as per author guidelines

We did our best to stick to authors' guidelines.

L37: host "discovery rate" is unclear

We have changed "discovery efficiency rate" in "discovery efficiency". This is a quite standard term when dealing with egg parasitoids exploiting hosts that lay eggs gathered in masses. See for example Saunders et al. 2022 (Retrospective host-specificity testing shows *Trissolcus basalis* (Wollaston) and the native *Trissolcus oenone* (Dodd) (Hymenoptera: Scelionidae) have overlapping physiological host ranges in New Zealand published in Biological Control 170 104926). "Discovery efficiency" has been clearly defined in lines 158-160.

L89-93: do not use weblink as citations, instead try to use formal document (much better if peer reviewed articles) use author and year and follow the guidelines

We have changed the text trying to match editor's indications.

L115: including the investigated area or not? Please specify

A specification has been added.

L127: sex ratio?.

Sex ratio has been indicated.

L135-136: this sentence as such is not informative. What is "National Biological Control Program."? How this could affect the current experiment? Why 1km min?

The minimum distance from *T. japonicus* release sites was used because it was a mandatory precaution recommended by local government. We have clearly stated that in the revised text.

L146: do authors mean 'one' trained operator per one hour per each site?

L146-150: This is a very crucial point of the research (e.g see statement in L216-7). Unfortunately, it is not well described nor very reliable., i.e., 1h of experienced operator to randomly search in an area of 78hectars seems not highly reliable. Is there at least a reference available for this methodology? Can authors justify it better and more importantly provide more details on this sampling?

More details have been provided to better explain the sampling methods.

L152: I do not think that including urban sites in the control is a good idea when comparing parasitization data between released and not released locations. The environment and the presence of parasitoid is of course totally

1 different in the two environments (crop vs urban landscape). Of course, one of the main conditions in
2 experimental planning is represented by the standardization of the experimental conditions among treatments,
3 and this is not the case of the present work. Authors should take out the material and methods, results and
4 related conclusion related to samplings in urban areas

5 “Urban areas” was used improperly. We meant orchard systems quite close to scattered urban settlements as
6 typical of the investigated areas. As it’s shown in the map (fig 1) the location of no release sites is similar to
7 that of release sites. A specification has been added in the text.

8 L164: how? Please explain

9 We have explained what we meant by” predated” and have reported how we identified the eggs attacked by
10 generalist predators.

11 L194-196: I do not think that the reviewer meant to correlate the parasitism with the parasitoid release rate,
12 In the first round of revision, Reviewer#1 wrote “By using a different release rate on each site (lines 142-144
13 and Table 1S), the authors introduced a variable in the experimental design. Nevertheless, they did not take
14 into account the release rate in the data analysis. How the parasitization level in the field correlates with the
15 number of parasitoids released could help to understand the real impact of the augmentative release of *A.*
16 *bifasciatus*. The authors should run this correlation analysis and discuss the results in combination with the
17 positive correlation of the parasitization rate with the egg mass density in each site.”

18 While the effects of egg mass density have been addressed by changing data analysis according to the
19 suggestion of reviewer 3, we would like to retain Spearman correlation between parasitism rate of *A.*
20 *bifasciatus* and its release rate at each site. The statement by Reviewer #1 that we followed “virtually all
21 reviewer's comments” seemed to us an endorsement to what we did. Of course, we are ready to further modify
22 the data analysis if needed.

23 L201: this section would be much better if dvided in multiple subsections

24 Results have been divided in 3 subsections.

25 L206: any voucher specimen available in a collection?

26 A statement about voucher specimens has been added.

27 L207: “empty parasitized egg masses” have not been presented in the material and method

28 An explanation was added in the method section. As customary, we have considered as parasitized also the
29 eggs that were found already empty, but with clear signs of emerging holes by a parasitic wasp.

30 L209: as it is written, a hyperparasitoid of *A. bifasciatus*, *T. mitsukurii* and *T. japonicus* it seems that these are
31 the only hosts for *Acroclisoides sinicus*

32 The sentence has been rephrased to stress that host range of *A. sinicus* encompass *T. mitsukurii* and *T.*
33 *japonicus*

34 L256: ‘total number’? I think authors meant per release point

35 “per release point” has been added.

36 L296: see comment in L89-93. Justifying such a crucial statement (the whole paper is based on that) with a
37 weblink (moreover it that does not work) of an apparently “news” is not scientifically sound

38 The text has been changed and proper references have been cited in the effort to explain better our line of
39 reasoning.

40 L373: provide statistical results in the text and not in the figure captions. While, when significant differences
41 are present please add (1) one, two or three asteristics in the figure (depending on the significance level) and
42 (2) the meaning of the asteriscs in the caption. When not significant add N.S. in the figure and the meaning of
43 N.S. in the caption

44 The bar charts have been deleted and statistical analysis, which was modified according to the suggestion of
45 reviewer 3, has been either reported in table 1 or in the text.

46 L373: spell out genus names in the figure captions

“H. halys” has been spelled out in the captions.

L373: italicize the species names in the axes labels of the figures
Done.

Authors’ guidelines have not been followed properly.

-The first recommendation in the guidelines is:

“BEFORE YOU BEGIN

Ethics in publishing

Please see our information pages on Ethics in publishing and Ethical guidelines for journal publication.”

And in the guidelines https://www.elsevier.com/_data/assets/pdf_file/0007/653884/Competing-Interests-factsheet-March-2019.pdf the very first potential conflict of interest mentioned is “The most obvious competing interests are financial relationships such as: ● Direct: employment”. I was not able to find in the manuscript any statement about this, and this is surprising to me because some coauthors have as affiliation a private company who produce and sell the main insect studied.

We apologize for having overlooked this important point. A statement on possible conflict of interest has been added in the resubmission of the manuscript.

- Very important: funding statement is missing.

We did not receive any specific funding for this study. This has been now stated at the end of the text.

Highlights should be submitted in a separate editable file in the online submission system. Please use 'Highlights' in the file name and include 3 to 5 bullet points (maximum 85 characters, including spaces, per bullet point).

Highlights have been shrunk to 85 characters and provided also in a separate file according to editor’s suggestions.

- references for web documents are not in the proper format

Following the revision web document are no longer cited in the manuscript.

Response to Reviewer #1

The authors have improved the manuscript following virtually all reviewer's comments. I consider the manuscript acceptable in this form for publication.

We take the opportunity to thank the reviewer for the overall positive evaluations of our study and for their comments which were a spur to improve the manuscript.

Response to Reviewer #3

I have reviewed the manuscript, "Augmentative biological control of Halyomorpha halys using the native non-coevolved parasitoid Anastatus bifasciatus: efficacy and ecological impact" after it has been previously reviewed by two other evaluators.

My main takeaway is that the previous reviewers brought up excellent points about weaknesses in the data analysis that were not properly addressed during the revisions.

This is indeed quite a bit disappointing given the above statement made by reviewer #1.

The main issue, as pointed out by the first reviewer, is that the main conclusion of the paper - that parasitism increased at release sites compared to non-release sites - may be confounded by a higher density of Halyomorpha at release sites compared to non-release sites. This was not addressed; other than stating that "In fact, some release sites were characterized by a relatively low number of egg masses and despite this, the parasitization showed a trend of increase, consistent with the effect due to the parasitoid releases." In order to formally show that higher parasitism at release sites was due to the releases and not some other pre-existing characteristic of the release sites, one would have to do a formal statistical analysis - and in my opinion, this

1 must be done. The inclusion of Table S2 during the revision allowed me to do this myself (I put the data into
2 a .csv and analyzed it in R software) - as the authors did, I only did this with sites where 3 or more egg masses
3 were found. The paper needs to be heavily re-written to incorporate proper analyses, in my opinion.

4 **We have carried out a new analysis following the suggestion of the reviewer.**

5
6 1a. First of all, egg density (number per hour of searching) was significantly higher at release sites compared
7 to non-release sites (linear model; $F=6.761$; $p=0.0187$) in the analysis. As the variance in density at release
8 versus control sites was not equal, I repeated this with a Wilcoxon test, and the results were similar ($W = 70.5$,
9 $p = 0.031$). This shows that at least in principle, as parasitoids are known to respond to host density in a positive
10 way (both through their functional response and their population abundance), that higher host density at release
11 sites could certainly be a confounding factor in the analysis. This result needs to be included in the paper so
12 readers are aware of this potential confound. This shows that there was either bad luck or some kind of bias in
13 site selection - I guess that maybe sites were selected based on infestation history or were the two treatments
14 assigned randomly? This needs to be stated in the methods.

15 **We included in the manuscript an analysis to compare abundances of BMSB egg masses in release vs control**
16 **sites. However, we have carried out a parametric test (One-way ANOVA) on log transformed number of egg**
17 **masses per site. The results were the same of the non-parametric test performed by the reviewer with a**
18 **significantly higher abundance of eggs in release sites than in control ones.**

19
20
21 1b. Next, I ran linear models with both site type (release or control), egg density, and their interaction as
22 explanatory factors (I checked the residual and qq-plots to make sure a linear model was OK - it was). The
23 results were interesting - overall, release sites had higher parasitism than control sites ($p < 0.0001$); but the
24 effect of releasing parasitoids depended on egg density (and vice versa) (density x treatment interaction: $p =$
25 0.038). Parasitism was positively host density-dependent at control sites ($p < 0.0001$) but density-independent
26 at release sites ($p = 0.249$). The estimate on the significant interaction effect shows that, as host density
27 increases, the difference between control and release sites decreases. That is, parasitoid releases elevate
28 parasitism above baseline (natural) levels more at low pest densities than at high pest densities. This has
29 significant biological control implications: based on the data, releases do not do any better (proportionally) at
30 higher host densities than lower densities - in fact, they perform best at low host densities (relative to not
31 releasing). And, when pest pressure is higher, there is more naturally occurring parasitism, making the
32 proportional effect of the releases smaller.

33
34 1c. I did not repeat this analysis for *Anastatus* discovery efficiency (or the parameters related to *Trissolcus*
35 *mitsukurii*), but the authors should repeat it in the same way for the rest of their results and make their
36 conclusions based on those results.

37 **An analysis based on this line of reasoning has been performed for all the parasitism parameters and for the**
38 **percentages of unhatched eggs. Because of heteroscedasticity we were forced to transform raw data in square**
39 **root every now and then.**

40
41
42 1d. I have pasted the R code I used to do the analysis at the end of this review.

43 **We carried out all these analyses in SPSS to which we are far more familiar than to R. Anyhow we would like**
44 **to express our appreciation for the time and the effort that reviewer #3 put in reanalyze our data and pasting R**
45 **codes.**

46
47
48 2. I noted that in the new correlation analysis between parasitoid release rate and parasitism level, sites were
49 included where no egg masses found or very few (based on the number of points in the plot - 11 rather than
50 8). This analysis should be repeated with only the sites where sufficient egg masses were found to make a
51 conclusion, as was done with all the other analyses.

52 **All sites, including no release sites were used to carry out this correlation. Indeed, the control sites equals to**
53 **the release of zero individuals of *A. bifasciatus*. Some point data completely overlap in the chart and so the**
54 **number of circles is less than 19.**

55
56
57 3. I disagree with the authors' response to the other reviewers that one would only expect to find an effect of
58 release distance for exotic parasitoids and not native parasitoids. On the contrary, if released parasitoids
59 increase parasitism levels above natural levels, and the releases actually have an effect, one would still expect
60 to see a distance effect at release sites -- but not control sites. However it sounds like the distance-from-release-
61 point parameter could not be measured because the releases were done in a non-standardized manner with
62
63
64
65

1 respect to distance from the centroid. This should be pointed out clearly in the methods, and a statement should
2 be included as to exactly why the effect of distance from release point was not considered as an explanatory
3 factor- readers will wonder this (as both previous reviewers, and myself did).

4 **We understand the points made by both reviewers. However, we could not record the distance between every**
5 **single egg mass and the release point. We actually did not carry out point releases. Moreover, a too low number**
6 **of egg masses per site was detected to allow the inclusion of the distance from release point as a predictor. We**
7 **have clearly stated this in the method section.**

8
9 4. In the title: "Non-coevolved" is often used in this context but really doesn't make any sense in my opinion.
10 We don't know much about the co-evolutionary history of specific host-parasitoid pairs in this system
11 (demonstrating co-evolution requires experimental evidence of bi-directional selective pressures as a result of
12 the interaction), only biogeographic co-occurrence. I suggest "Native European" or similar here and
13 throughout.

14 **You made a good point and we agree with you that we used the term “non-coevolved” in a rather naïve way.**
15 **We have replaced “non-coevolved” with "native European" or “native to Europe” all through the text.**
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 **Augmentative biological control of *Halyomorpha halys* using the native European**
2
3 **parasitoid *Anastatus bifasciatus*: efficacy and ecological impact**
4
5
6

7
8
9 4 Alessia Iacovone¹, Antonio Masetti^{2*}, Marco Mosti¹, Eric Conti³, Giovanni Burgio²
10

11 5
12
13
14 6 ¹: Bioplanet s.r.l., via Maccanone 359, Cesena 47522, Italy (alessia.iacovone@bioplanet.it;
15

16 7 mosti@bioplanet.it)
17
18

19 8
20
21 9 ²: Department of Agricultural and Food Sciences, University of Bologna, viale G. Fanin 42, Bologna
22

23
24 10 40127, Italy (antonio.masetti@unibo.it; giovanni.burgio@unibo.it)
25
26

27 11
28
29 12 ³: Department of Agricultural, Food and Environmental Sciences, University of Perugia, Borgo XX
30

31
32 13 Giugno, Perugia 06121, Italy (eric.conti@unipg.it)
33
34

35 14
36
37 15 ***: Corresponding author**
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

16 **Highlights**

- 17 - *Augmentative biological control of Halyomorpha halys was tested in Northern Italy.*
- 18 - *1000 Anastatus bifasciatus/ha (325,000 in total) were released in 11 sites.*
- 19 - *Releases improved discovery efficiency and parasitism rate by A. bifasciatus.*
- 20 - *Trissolcus mitsukurii was not affected by the releases of A. bifasciatus.*

22 **Key words**

23 Brown marmorated stink bug, Pentatomidae, invasive species, *Trissolcus mitsukurii*, egg
24 parasitoids.

25 **Abstract**

1
26 We report the first large-scale augmentative biological control project carried out in Europe
3
4
57 against *Halyomorpha halys* (Stål) (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) using the native egg parasitoid
6
7
28 *Anastatus bifasciatus* (Geoffroy) (Hymenoptera: Eupelmidae). During summer 2020, a total of
8
9
109 325,000 adults of *A. bifasciatus* were released at a rate of 1000 individuals/ha of orchard in 11
11
12
130 sites in Trentino Alto Adige (Northern Italy). Parasitism parameters were compared between
14
15
31 release and control (no release) sites, in which at least three egg masses naturally laid by *H. halys*
16
17
182 were collected (for a total of 262 egg masses). *Anastatus bifasciatus* and *Trissolcus mitsukurii*
19
20
33 (Ashmead) (Hymenoptera: Scelionidae) were the dominant parasitoids, but parasitism by both
21
22
234 species fluctuated widely among sites. At release sites, *A. bifasciatus* showed a significantly higher
24
25
265 discovery efficiency (31.4%) and parasitism rate (16.7%) of *H. halys* egg masses than at control
27
2836 sites (1.7% and 1.2%, respectively). Parasitism by *A. bifasciatus* was not dependent on egg mass
29
30
3137 abundance at release sites, but at control sites a host density-dependent response was revealed
32
33
38 by a positive relationship between parasitism and number of *H. halys* egg masses. On the other
34
35
369 hand, parasitism by the adventive *T. mitsukurii* was not affected by either the releases of *A.*
37
38
3940 *bifasciatus* or by the abundance of *H. halys* egg masses per site. In conclusion, augmentative
40
4141 releases of *A. bifasciatus* contributed to increasing its parasitization of *H. halys*, without causing
42
43
442 any negative effects on parasitization by naturally occurring species.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

43 **1. Introduction**

1
2
3 44 The brown marmorated stink bug (BMSB) *Halyomorpha halys* (Stål) (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) is
4
5 45 a global invasive pest with a wide host range, which includes over 170 plant species. Native to
6
7 46 eastern Asia, this bug has become a notorious pest to growers in many parts of the world
8
9
10 47 (Valentin et al., 2017; Musolin et al., 2018; Rot et al., 2018; Šapina and Jelaska, 2018; Francati et
11
12
13 48 al., 2021), being economically important especially in fruit and vegetable-growing regions (Leskey
14
15 49 and Nielsen, 2018).

16
17
18 50 Programs for management of invasive pests should firstly explore biological control agents, which
19
20
21 51 can contribute to pest suppression in both natural and agricultural areas (Hoddle, 2004; Cock et
22
23 52 al., 2010). The scientific community has therefore developed a strong interest in the search and
24
25
26 53 selection of natural enemies of *H. halys* (Conti et al., 2021; Rot et al., 2021). A debate has arisen on
27
28 54 the most appropriate strategy between the use of exotic natural enemies for classical biological
29
30
31 55 control or the augmentation of native, newly associated, parasitoid species (Abram et al., 2017;
32
33 56 Zapponi et al., 2021).

34
35
36 57 In its native areas in Asia, *H. halys* populations are regulated by egg parasitoids belonging to genus
37
38
39 58 *Trissolcus* (Hymenoptera: Scelionidae) and *Anastatus* (Hymenoptera: Eupelmidae) (Qiu et al.,
40
41
42 59 2007; Hou et al., 2009; Avila et al., 2021). In the countries where *H. halys* has recently established,
43
44 60 the contribution of native biological control agents has been reported as low although highly
45
46
47 61 variable among habitats, seasons and sampling protocols (Cornelius et al., 2016a, 2016b; Herlihy
48
49 62 et al., 2016; Ogburn et al., 2016; Dieckhoff et al., 2017; Jones et al., 2017; Leskey and Nielsen,
50
51
52 63 2018; Pezzini et al., 2018; Moraglio et al., 2020; Francati et al., 2021). For these reasons, the
53
54 64 recent invasions by *H. halys* have emphasized in many countries an interest in classical biological
55
56
57 65 control although this has some limitations (Conti et al., 2021) and is currently subject to
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

66 regulations on the importation of exotic species (De Clercq et al., 2011). The scenario on
1
2
3 67 regulation is quite complex and may differ from country to country (Bale, 2011).
4
5 68 Adventive populations of the Asian egg parasitoids *Trissolcus japonicus* (Ashmead) and *Trissolcus*
6
7 69 *mitsukurii* (Ashmead) (Hymenoptera: Scelionidae) have been recently detected in Europe (the first
8
9
10 70 one in Italy, Switzerland and Germany, the second in Italy, Western Slovenia and France) and
11
12
13 71 these findings have led to reconsider classical biological control methods to manage *H. halys*
14
15 72 (Sabbatini Peverieri et al., 2018; Stahl et al., 2019b; Moraglio et al., 2020; Scaccini et al., 2020;
16
17
18 73 Zapponi et al., 2020, 2021; Bout et al., 2021; Dieckhoff et al., 2021; Rot et al., 2021). However, the
19
20
21 74 use of exotic biological control agents is strictly regulated. For this reason, risk assessment
22
23 75 evaluations for *T. japonicus* and *T. mitsukurii* are in progress, including their potential coexistence
24
25
26 76 with native parasitoids (Konopka et al., 2017; Haye et al., 2020; Giovannini et al., 2021). **Field**
27
28 77 **releases of *T. japonicus* were authorized in Italy in 2020 in the framework of the National**
29
30
31 78 **Biological Control Program against *H. halys*, and this represents the first officially authorized**
32
33
34 79 **release of this parasitoid in Europe (Bittau et al., 2021; Conti et al., 2021).**
35
36 80 Field surveys to evaluate whether indigenous parasitoids in Europe can exploit *H. halys*, leading to
37
38
39 81 potential pest suppression, have been carried out in Switzerland, Italy, Georgia and recently
40
41
42 82 Slovenia. Several sampling methods have been used for these surveys, including exposure of
43
44 83 freeze-killed sentinel egg masses (Haye et al., 2015; Roversi et al., 2016; Stahl et al., 2019b;
45
46 84 Zapponi et al., 2020), exposure of fresh sentinel egg masses, eggs laid on plants by bugs housed in
47
48
49 85 field cages (Costi et al., 2019a; Zapponi et al., 2020; Rot et al., 2021) and field collections of
50
51
52 86 naturally laid egg masses (Sabbatini Peverieri et al., 2018, 2019; Moraglio et al., 2020; Scaccini et
53
54 87 al., 2020; Zapponi et al., 2020, 2021; Francati et al., 2021; Rot et al., 2021). Among native species,
55
56
57 88 *Anastatus bifasciatus* (Geoffroy) (Hymenoptera: Eupelmidae) was the dominant egg parasitoid
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

89 capable of completing development on *H. halys* eggs. It was also the most widespread species as it
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

90 was found in nearly all the investigated sites, yet with relevant fluctuations across years.

91 Because of its prevailing presence, *A. bifasciatus* has been proposed for augmentative biological
92 control of *H. halys* in Europe (Haye et al., 2015). Native to Europe and currently present in the
93 Palearctic and Nearctic regions, *A. bifasciatus* can exploit egg masses of different insect groups in
94 the orders Hemiptera and Lepidoptera, including various pests of agronomic interest, and could
95 play a role in limiting introduced exotic pests (Haye et al., 2015; Stahl et al., 2018). Nevertheless,
96 little information is available on its efficacy in field conditions following inundative or inoculative
97 releases. Some studies, although promising, were conducted releasing a low number of
98 parasitoids and using frozen sentinel egg masses as a method to assess parasitization (Stahl et al.,
99 2019a). Another open question is whether the releases of *A. bifasciatus* can affect parasitoid
100 guilds and in particular the adventive populations of *T. japonicus* and *T. mitsukurii*, which have
101 been recently recorded in some Italian regions, including Trentino Alto Adige (Zapponi et al., 2020)
102 where this study was carried out.

103 The first aim of this study is to assess the efficacy of *A. bifasciatus* augmentative releases in
104 cultivated areas of Northern Italy. The second aim is to investigate the potential impact of *A.*
105 *bifasciatus* releases on the *H. halys* parasitoid guild, including adventive exotic species. As far as
106 we are aware, this experiment represents the first large-scale augmentative biological control
107 project carried out in Europe using *A. bifasciatus* and based exclusively on the sampling of egg
108 masses naturally laid by *H. halys* to evaluate parasitization.

109 110 **2. Material and methods**

111 112 **2.1 Insects**

113 Adults of *A. bifasciatus* were provided periodically by Bioplanet srl (Cesena, Italy) in 150 ml plastic
1
114 bottles containing 250 4-days old adults (sex ratio \approx 9:1, F:M). The bottles were shipped to farmers
2
3
4
115 once per week and kept at 12 °C in dark conditions until use. For the release, one bottle at a time
5
6
7
116 was taken out and the cap was removed to allow parasitoid dispersion.
8
9

10
11

118 2.2 Release and control sites

119 From early June until mid / late July 2020, a total of 325,000 individuals of *A. bifasciatus* were
12
13
14
15
16
17
1120 released in 11 sites in Trentino Alto Adige region (Northern Italy). All the sites were located in
18
19
20
121 agricultural areas, close to orchards that were under integrated pest management (IPM) programs
21
22
122 (Table S1). Release sites of *A. bifasciatus* were located at a minimum distance of 1 km from areas
23
24
25
123 where *T. japonicus* was released within the aforementioned National Biological Control Program
26
27
124 against *H. halys*, following a mandatory precaution recommended by local government (Decreto
28
29
30
125 8129/2021 by Provincia Autonoma di Bolzano - Alto Adige).
31
32

126 Releases of *A. bifasciatus* were carried out in:

- 127 - 5 sites nearby apple orchards in South Tyrol, in the Autonomous Province of Bolzano, covering
33
34
35
36
37
128 about 180 ha, between June 10th and July 29th;
38
39
40
- 129 - 6 sites nearby apple and kiwi orchards and vineyards in Trentino, in the Autonomous Province
41
42
43
130 of Trento, covering about 145 ha, between June 10th and July 8th.
44
45

131 Parasitoids were released at a rate of 1000 individuals per ha of orchard (Table S1). Bottles, each
46
47
48
132 containing 250 adult wasps, were opened and hung at approximately 20 m from each other on
49
50
51
133 shrubs and hedgerows at the edge of the orchards, or in ecological corridors adjacent to
52
53
134 watercourse or reforestation within the cultivated areas.
54
55

135 Naturally laid egg masses were searched in each site by three experienced operators of local
56
57
58
136 extension services for the fixed time of 1 h per operator. Operators walked randomly up to a
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

137 maximum distance of 500 m around the centroid of each release site. During samplings, leaves of
1
2
138 wild plants (shrubs, hedgerows, herbaceous plants) and fruit trees in the orchards were inspected.
3
4
139 Egg masses collections started two weeks after the beginning of releases and were carried out
5
6
140 once a week until mid-September in Trentino and until mid-October in South Tyrol.
7
8
9

101 Field surveys were also performed in 21 control sites within agricultural areas, including orchard
11
12
142 systems close to scattered urban settlements as typical of the investigated areas, where no
13
14
143 parasitoids were released. The control sites were at least 2 km away from release sites and as
15
16
17 similar as possible to the release sites in terms of location, altitude, and agroecosystem features.
18
19
20 Furthermore, in control sites, egg masses were searched on the same kind of plants mentioned
21
22
23 above for release sites.
24
25

147

2.3 Handling of collected egg masses

28
29
30
31 Field-collected egg masses of *H. halys* were transferred to the laboratory, stored individually in
32
33
150 plastic Petri dishes (\varnothing 60 mm) and kept at 25 °C, 65-70% RH and 16L:8D photoperiod. The egg
34
35
36 masses were checked every two days for 8 weeks after collection. For each egg mass, eggs were
37
38
152 counted and classified as: 1) hatched, when a bug nymph hatched in laboratory or a hole by a
39
40
153 nymph already hatched in the field was detected; 2) parasitized, when an adult parasitoid
41
42
43 emerged in laboratory or a hole by a parasitoid already emerged in the field was found; 3)
44
45
155 unhatched, due to mortality from unknown cause; 4) predated by polyphagous predators,
46
47
156 showing clear damage due to chewing.
48
49

50
51
157 To evaluate the overall performances of parasitoids, the following parasitism parameters were
52
53
158 considered: 1) discovery efficiency (i.e. wasp ability to find egg masses), calculated as the number
54
55
159 of egg masses discovered by parasitoids (presenting at least one parasitized egg) over the total
56
57
58 number of egg masses collected in a site; 2) parasitism rate (= parasitoid impact), calculated as the
59
160
60
61
62
63
64
65

161 number of emerged parasitoids over the total number of collected eggs; 3) parasitoid exploitation
162 efficiency, calculated as the number of emerged parasitoids over the total number of eggs within
163 the discovered egg masses (Bin and Vinson, 1990).

164 As suggested by Stahl et al. (2019b), measuring parasitism only by offspring emergence could lead
165 to underestimating the real level of pest suppression. Given that the main goal of biological
166 control is the reduction of the pest populations (van Lenteren et al., 2018), we reported the
167 percentage of unhatched eggs (i.e. eggs that did not develop to a viable bug nymph out of the
168 total eggs in the egg mass) as an additional indication of overall pest suppression.

169 170 *2.4 Parasitoid identification*

171 All emerged parasitoids were frozen and identified to species or genus level. Eupelmidae were
172 identified using the keys proposed by Askew and Nieves-Aldrey (2004). Scelionidae were identified
173 following Johnson (1984), Kozlov and Kononova (1983) and Talamas et al. (2015, 2017). The keys
174 of Sabbatini-Peverieri et al. (2019) were used for Pteromalidae. All morphological analyses were
175 carried out under a stereo microscope (Leica M205C; 40X).

176 **Voucher specimens have been deposited in the Entomological Collection of Department of**
177 **Agricultural and Food Sciences, University of Bologna.**

178 179 *2.5 Data analysis*

180 The relative abundances of emerged parasitoids were calculated using all collected egg masses,
181 pooling data from release and control sites. **For statistical analyses of discovery efficiency,**
182 **parasitism rate, exploitation efficiency and percentage of unhatched eggs (which were calculated**
183 **as reported above in section 2.3) only sites in which at least three egg masses of *H. halys* had been**

184 collected were retained (Fig. 1; Table S2). One-way ANOVA on log transformed number of egg
1
2
185 masses per site was carried out to compare egg mass abundance in release vs control sites.
3
4
186 Two-way ANOVA considering release vs no release of *A. bifasciatus* as a fixed predictor, and
5
6
187 number of egg masses per site as a continuous predictor were run. The interaction between
7
8
9
188 predictors was tested as well. In the cases of significant interaction, a linear regression analysis of
10
11
189 the parasitization parameter in function of the number of egg masses was run separately for
12
13
14
190 releases and no-releases sites. For raw data that violated the assumption of normality and
15
16
17
181 homoscedasticity, which had been verified using Shapiro-Wilk and Levene's tests, the square root
18
19
20
192 transformation was used.
21
22
193 Spearman rank-order procedure was used to correlate discovery efficiency and parasitism rate
23
24
25
194 with the total number of parasitoids released in each site pooling release and control sites.
26
27
195 Data analysis were carried out with IBM SPSS Statistics (version 26) (IBM corporation, Armonk, NY,
28
29
30
196 USA); this software package was also used for graphical representation of data.
31
32

33
34

308 **3. Results**

309 **3.1 Assemblages of Halyomorpha halys natural enemies**

310 A total of 1641 parasitoids emerged from all collected *H. halys* egg masses from release and
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365

208 *halys* egg mass occurred in two egg masses collected in a release site. *Acroclisoides sinicus*, a
1
2
209 hyperparasitoid whose host range includes *A. bifasciatus*, *T. mitsukurii* and *T. japonicus* (Sabbatini
3
4
210 Peverieri et al., 2019), was detected with a relative abundance of 2.5%. No *T. japonicus* was
5
6
7
211 recorded among the emerged parasitoids. Finally, the pressure exerted by generalist predators on
8
9
212 *H. halys* egg masses was low, since only $1.7 \pm 0.7\%$ of eggs were chewed.
10
11
213 Two egg masses of the non-target species *Nezara viridula* L. and *Palomena prasina* L. (Hemiptera:
12
13
214 Pentatomidae) were found while monitoring *H. halys* eggs. Parasitism rates of *A. bifasciatus* on
14
15
215 these species were 25.6% and 52.6%, respectively.
16
17
18
19
20
21
216
21
22

217 3.2. Total parasitism 23 24

218 A total of 262 *H. halys* naturally laid egg masses, corresponding to 7012 eggs, were taken into
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
221 number of egg masses was significantly higher (ANOVA, $F_{(1,17)} = 10.7$; $p = 0.004$) at release sites
34
35
36
222 (22.6 ± 4.2) compared to control sites (7.36 ± 3.57). Considering that the sampling effort was the
37
38
39
40
41
42
224 The total discovery efficiency ($45.4 \pm 8.2\%$) and the total parasitism rate ($28.5 \pm 5.9\%$) in the release
43
44
225 sites were not significantly higher than those in control sites ($19.6 \pm 5.5\%$ and $12.9 \pm 4.1\%$,
45
46
47
226 respectively) (Table 1). However, the p value for total discovery efficiency (0.073) was close to the
48
49
50
227 0.05 significance level. The mean percentage of unhatched eggs in release sites (44.6 ± 8.7) was
51
52
228 almost twice as high than in control sites ($23.9 \pm 5.6\%$), but again the difference was not supported
53
54
55
229 by statistical analysis ($p = 0.12$, Table 1).
56
57
230
58

231 3.3. Parasitism by *Anastatus bifasciatus* and *Trissolcus mitsukurii* 59 60 61 62 63 64 65

232 Parasitism parameters by *A. bifasciatus* widely ranged among sites (Table S2). For example,
1
233 discovery efficiencies encompassed a 0-50.0% interval while parasitism rates spanned between 0
2
3
4
234 and 29.6%. Overall, the releases of *A. bifasciatus* had a positive effect on its discovery efficiency
5
6
235 and parasitism rate, as both parameters were significantly higher in the release sites than in the
7
8
9
236 control sites (Table 1). The interactions release*number of egg masses were also significant for
10
11
237 both parameters, demonstrating that the effects of releasing parasitoids depended on egg mass
12
13
14
238 abundance. Indeed, in control sites, discovery efficiency ($r^2=0.86$, $F_{(1,8)}=47.30$, $p< 0.001$) and
15
16
17
239 parasitism rate ($r^2=0.89$, $F_{(1,8)}=67.10$, $p< 0.001$) significantly increased in function of the egg mass
18
19
20
240 number per sites (Fig. 2 and Fig. 3). On the other hand, the regressions were not significant in
21
22
241 release sites (discovery efficiency $r^2= 0.11$, $F_{(1,6)}=0.72$, $p= 0.43$; parasitism rate $r^2=0.23$, $F_{(1,6)}=1.78$,
23
24
25
242 $p= 0.23$), thus showing an independent response of parasitism parameters on egg mass
26
27
28
243 abundance (Fig. 2 and Fig. 3). Finally, parasitism rate by *A. bifasciatus* was also positively
29
30
31
244 correlated with the total number of parasitoids released in each site ($r_s = 0.85$ $p<0.01$, Fig. 4).
32
33
245 The discovery efficiency by *Trissolcus mitsukurii* fluctuated between 0 and 39.3%, while its
34
35
36
246 parasitism rate ranged in a 0-31.6% interval. Both parasitism parameters were neither affected by
37
38
39
247 the releases of *A. bifasciatus* nor by egg mass abundance per sites; the interaction
40
41
42
248 release*number of egg masses did not show any significant effects as well. Therefore, parasitism
43
44
45
249 by *T. mitsukurii* was not dependent on host density.
46
47
48
250 The exploitation efficiency, expressed as the number of emerged parasitoids out of the total
49
50
51
251 number of eggs within the discovered egg masses, was lower for *A. bifasciatus* (median among 53
52
53
252 egg masses = 53.6%) than for *T. mitsukurii* (median among 29 egg masses = 92.9%) (Fig. 5).
54
55
56
57

254 4. Discussion

58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

255 Releases of *A. bifasciatus* significantly increased the discovery efficiency and parasitism rate of *H.*
1
2
256 *halys* egg masses by this native parasitoid compared to control sites. Both parasitism parameters
3
4
257 by naturally occurring *A. bifasciatus* increased with the host density (i.e. number of egg masses) at
5
6
258 control sites, whereas parasitism was not dependent on host density at release sites. This means
7
8
9
259 that releases of *A. bifasciatus* enhanced its impact on *H. halys* also at low abundance of host egg
10
11
12
260 masses. As host density increased, the difference in parasitism between control and release sites
13
14
261 decreased.
15
16
17
262 In the control sites, foraging females of *A. bifasciatus* may have been affected by various stimuli
18
19
20
263 linked to pest density, since they are known to exploit host-associated cues for egg location, like
21
22
264 oviposition-induced plant volatiles and kairomones from male bugs and/or gravid females (Conti
23
24
25
265 and Colazza, 2012; Rondoni et al., 2017). On the other hand, in release sites, the high number of
26
27
266 released individuals likely promoted a response that was not related to host density. The
28
29
30
267 parasitism rate by *A. bifasciatus* was also positively correlated with the total number of parasitoids
31
32
33
268 released **per release site**, thus corroborating the significant effect of the augmentative releases.
34
35
269 Parasitism by the adventive *T. mitsukurii* was similar in release and control sites. Therefore,
36
37
38
270 augmentation of *A. bifasciatus* did not affect natural parasitism by *T. mitsukurii*. **Although no**
39
40
41
271 **significant differences could be detected between release and control sites for total discovery**
42
43
272 **efficiency and total parasitization rate, both parameters showed a tendency to rise were *A.***
44
45
273 ***bifasciatus* was released, thus suggesting a possible additive effect.** Exploitation efficiency of *A.*
46
47
48
274 *bifasciatus* and *T. mitsukurii* in our study was quite in line with that previously reported (Scaccini
49
50
51
275 et al., 2020; Zapponi et al., 2020, 2021).
52
53
276 *Anastatus bifasciatus* was the dominant parasitoid in most studies carried out in Northern Italy
54
55
56
277 (Sabbatini Peverieri et al., 2018; Costi et al., 2019; Moraglio et al., 2020; Zapponi et al., 2020), with
57
58
59
278 the exception of areas in North-eastern Italy (Scaccini et al., 2020), where an overall prevalence of
60
61
62
63
64
65

279 *T. mitsukurii* was recorded. In **these areas**, *A. bifasciatus* was the second most abundant parasitoid
1
2
280 and another native species, *Trissolcus kozlovi* Rjachovskij (Hymenoptera: Scelionidae), emerged
3
4
281 from field-collected *H. halys* egg masses (Moraglio et al., 2021b).
5
6
282 The potential coexistence of indigenous and exotic parasitoids of *H. halys*, which was anticipated
7
8
9
10
283 relying on laboratory investigations (Konopka et al., 2017), was then observed in several field
11
12
284 surveys (Sabbatini Peverieri et al., 2018; Stahl et al., 2019b; Moraglio et al., 2020; Scaccini et al.,
13
14
15
285 2020; Zapponi et al., 2020, 2021; Rot et al., 2021). Our study confirmed that the native *A.*
16
17
286 *bifasciatus* and the exotic *T. mitsukurii* can coexist even when *A. bifasciatus* populations are
18
19
20
287 augmented for biological control. This aspect is noteworthy, considering the continuous expansion
21
22
288 of *T. mitsukurii* and *T. japonicus* in Europe, as demonstrated by a large-scale survey recently
23
24
25
289 performed in Northern Italy and Switzerland (Zapponi et al., 2021). The occurrence of adventive
26
27
28
290 populations of these exotic parasitoids can lead to complex and dynamic relationships evolving
29
30
31
291 over time.
32
33
292 Although our results indicate that releases of *A. bifasciatus* do not interfere with other parasitoids
34
35
36
293 exploiting *H. halys*, parasitoid guilds are hardly predictable and different effects should be
37
38
294 considered **in the long term**. For instance, augmentative releases of *A. bifasciatus* might boost the
39
40
41
295 natural populations of this native species, eventually increasing its efficacy in pest suppression. On
42
43
44
296 the other hand, new exotic species could be introduced accidentally or for biocontrol purpose in
45
46
47
297 the areas invaded by *H. halys*. One example is the exotic *T. japonicus*, which is adventive in North-
48
49
50
298 western Italy and has been recently **released** in several Italian regions for biological control of *H.*
51
52
53
299 *halys*, after authorization by the Italian government (Bittau et al. 2021). Future studies **will be**
54
55
56
300 needed to clarify the spatio-temporal dynamics of the parasitoid guild after the introduction of *T.*
57
58
59
301 *japonicus*.
60
61
62
63
64
65

302 Synergistic interactions may have the potential to improve the suppression of *H. halys* populations
1
2
303 in the long term (Leskey and Nielsen, 2018; Moraglio et al., 2020). However, in Europe the
3
4
304 application of classical biological control is regulated by stringent risk assessments that may
5
6
7
305 hamper the introduction of exotic biological control agents, boosting the exploitation of native
8
9
306 species. The native parasitoid *A. bifasciatus* established a new association with the invasive host *H.*
10
11
307 *halys*, and our data indicate a high egg mass discovery efficiency in the field, as was suggested by
12
13
14
308 its positive response to volatiles associated with the new host (Rondoni et al., 2017). *Anastatus*
15
16
17
309 *bifasciatus* had been already considered for augmentative biological control programs in Europe
18
19
20
310 (Stahl et al., 2018; Stahl et al. 2019a) and for this reason it was selected for mass rearing and
21
22
23
311 release in the present study.
24

25
312 Another aspect that should be considered when evaluating the efficacy of a parasitoid is the
26
27
313 overall impact on the population-level suppression of the host. Usually wasp emergence rate is
28
29
30
314 primarily used as a measure of parasitism rate, without considering the proportion of eggs that do
31
32
33
315 not hatch following parasitoid activity, which ranges between 10-26% in *H. halys* (Abram et al.,
34
35
36
316 2014, 2016; Haye et al., 2015; Cornelius et al., 2016b). Besides successful parasitism, this
37
38
39
317 additional source of mortality contributes to the impact of a parasitoid on a pest (Jervis et al.,
40
41
42
318 1996), and it can be ascribed to host feeding, to unsuccessful probing of the host egg that can lead
43
44
45
319 to abortion, or to parasitoid incapability to complete development. Host feeding is an important
46
47
48
320 biological trait of *A. bifasciatus*, and this should be considered when evaluating biological control
49
50
51
321 programs using this species. Previous laboratory studies concluded that the number of eggs killed
52
53
54
322 by host feeding is nearly as high as the number of eggs killed by parasitization, and can
55
56
57
323 significantly contribute to the efficacy of biological control (Konopka et al., 2017; Stahl et al.,
58
59
324 2019a). In our study, the percentage of unhatched eggs at the release sites was nearly twice as
60
61
62
325 high as at control sites, although this difference was not statistically supported. Released *A.*
63
64
65

326 *bifasciatus* may have contributed to kill host eggs, but the overall mortality of *H. halys* eggs in field
1
2
327 conditions is linked to a number of other factors that could introduce a bias in such evaluation.
3
4
328 During our monitoring, very few egg masses of non-target hosts were found, including *N. viridula*
5
6
329 and *P. prasina*. In spite of that, eggs of both species were parasitized by *A. bifasciatus*, confirming
7
8
9
330 its wide host range. However, the few available data do not allow to draw any final consideration
10
11
331 and specific studies should be carried out to acquire a more complete picture of non-target effects
12
13
14
332 in the field. On the other hand, both non-target species can be also parasitized by the exotic
15
16
17
333 parasitoids *T. japonicus* and *T. mitsukurii* (Haye et al., 2020; Dieckhoff et al., 2021; Giovannini et
18
19
20
334 al., 2021). A polyphagous native parasitoid does not necessarily hinder other species (van Lenteren
21
22
23
335 et al., 2006; van Lenteren and Loomans, 2006) and its activity does not necessarily translate into
24
25
336 adverse effects in the field. For instance, the wide host range of *A. bifasciatus* can favour its
26
27
28
337 colonization of cultivated areas. Additionally, heteropteran hosts of *A. bifasciatus* (Stahl et al.,
29
30
31
338 2018, 2019b; Zapponi et al., 2020; Moraglio et al., 2021a) are mostly considered pests or very
32
33
339 common species. Only a few potential moth species can be considered as “undesired” targets, but
34
35
36
340 their occurrence is rare in agroecosystems (Masetti et al., 2017), which are the main target
37
38
39
341 environments of the introductions of *A. bifasciatus*. Furthermore Stahl et al. (2018) demonstrated
40
41
42
342 that quality and size of the host eggs largely affect the fitness of *A. bifasciatus*. Eggs of most
42
43
44
343 lepidoptera are less suitable for *A. bifasciatus* compared to heteropteran eggs as the small size
45
46
47
344 that is typical of moths (<0.7 mg) leads to emergence of mostly male offspring which would not
47
48
49
345 contribute to the growth of the parasitoid population. Nevertheless, a costs and benefits balance
50
51
52
346 must be figured out, considering possible non-target effects on one hand and the damage caused
52
53
54
347 by *H. halys*, combined with the environmental risks due to the increased use of broad-spectrum
54
55
56
348 insecticides against this pest, on the other hand.
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

349 In our augmentative biocontrol study, we assessed the efficacy of *A. bifasciatus* releases by
1
2
350 sampling egg masses naturally laid in the field. This allowed collecting more realistic data than
3
4
351 using sentinel eggs. Jones et al. (2014) found that parasitism rates were significantly higher on egg
5
6
7
352 masses naturally laid by *H. halys* compared to sentinel egg masses. Use of sentinel eggs leads to
8
9
10
353 underestimation of parasitism rate possibly because of egg mass age or handling methods that
11
12
354 may eliminate host-finding kairomones or other stimuli (Leskey and Nielsen, 2018), including host-
13
14
15
355 induced plant volatiles (Rondoni et al., 2017). Moreover, the use of freeze-killed sentinel eggs
16
17
356 does not allow assessing the number of eggs killed by host feeding (Leskey and Nielsen, 2018).
18
19
20
357 Results of other studies were consistent with these explanations. For instance, augmentative
21
22
23
358 releases of *A. bifasciatus* were tested over three consecutive years in fruit orchards in Switzerland
24
25
359 and in Italy (Stahl et al., 2019a). In this experiment, parasitization of *H. halys* sentinel eggs
26
27
360 averaged 6% (range: 2%–16%). However, as stated by the authors, the impact of *A. bifasciatus* on
28
29
30
361 *H. halys* eggs was likely underestimated because of the use of frozen egg masses. In other surveys
31
32
33
362 conducted in Northern Italy using sentinel eggs obtained in the laboratory or laid in cages, *A.*
34
35
363 *bifasciatus* showed very low parasitism rates (Costi et al., 2019).

364 **In conclusion, releases of *A. bifasciatus* for augmentative biological control of *H. halys* enhanced**
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

367 **the parasitism parameters used to evaluate the performance by this native European species, and**
368 **led to an increased level of pest suppression especially in areas where pest density was low.**
369 Moreover, parasitization of the exotic *T. mitsukurii* was not affected by the release of *A.*
370 *bifasciatus*. We might expect that field releases of *A. bifasciatus* to control the exotic pest could
371 lead to an overall increase of biodiversity in the agroecosystems, as they promote a reduction of
chemical pressure, which is one of the most detrimental factors to biodiversity (Ogburn et al.,
2021). Studies are in progress to evaluate the dynamic scenario resulting from inoculative releases

372 of the exotic *T. japonicus*, which would lead to multi-species interactions and potential additive or
1
2
373 even synergistic effects for biological control of *H. halys*.
3
4

374

6

7

375

Acknowledgements

9

376

We are grateful to prof. Daniele Torreggiani (Department of Agricultural and Food Sciences –

11

12

377

DISTAL, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy) for the preparation of the map in Fig. 1.

14

15

378

16

17

379

Declaration of competing interest

19

20

380

The authors of this manuscript declare that they do not have any conflicts of interest, other than

21

22

381

Alessia Iacovone and Marco Mosti who work for Bioplanet s.r.l. (Cesena, Italy), a biological control

24

25

382

company which has provided the individuals of *Anastatus bifasciatus*.

26

27

383

No specific funding has been received for this study; the released parasitoids were purchased by

28

29

384

local farmers.

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

385 **Table and Figure caption**

1
2
386 3
4
387 5
6
388 7
8
389 9
10
11
12
390 13
14
391 15
16
17
392 18
19
20
393 21
22
394 23
24
25
395 26
27
396 28
29
30
397 31
32
33
398 34
35
399 36
37
400 38
39
40
401 41
42
43
402 44
45
46
403 47
48
404 49
50
51
405 52
53
406 54
55
56
407 57
58
408 59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Table 1. Discovery efficiencies, parasitism rates and percentages of unhatched eggs evaluated in 8 sites in which *Anastatus bifasciatus* was released and in 11 control (no release) sites. Only sites in which at least three naturally laid egg masses of *Halyomorpha halys* were collected are considered.

Fig. 1. Release and control (no release) sites considered for evaluating parasitism parameters. Only sites in which at least three naturally laid egg masses of *Halyomorpha halys* were collected are shown in the map.

Fig. 2. Relationship between discovery efficiencies by *Anastatus bifasciatus* (square root transformed, y-axis) and abundance of *Halyomorpha halys* egg masses (x-axis) in release and control sites ($y=0.02x-0.10$, $p<0.001$)

Fig. 3. Relationship between parasitism rates by *Anastatus bifasciatus* (square root transformed, y-axis) and abundance of *Halyomorpha halys* egg masses (x-axis) in release and control sites ($y=0.02x-0.09$, $p<0.001$).

Fig. 4. Correlation between parasitism rates by *Anastatus bifasciatus* (y-axis) and total number of parasitoids released (x-axis) in the sampled sites ($r_s = 0.85$, $P<0.05$).

Fig. 5. Exploitation efficiency of *H. halys* egg masses by *Anastatus bifasciatus* and *Trissolcus mitsukurii*. White spots and asterisks represent outliers.

409
1
2
410
3
4
411
5
6
412
7
8
9
413
10
11
12
414
13
14
15
415
16
17
416
18
19
20
417
21
22
23
418
24
25
419
26
27
420
28
29
30
421
31
32
33
422
34
35
423
36
37
38
424
39
40
425
41
42
43
426
44
45
46
427
47
48
49
50
51
429
52
53
54
55
56
431
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

References

- Abram, P.K., Brodeur, J., Burte, V., Boivin, G., 2016. Parasitoid-induced host egg abortion: an underappreciated component of biological control services provided by egg parasitoids. *Biol. Control* 98, 52–60. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2016.04.002>.
- Abram, P.K., Garipey, T.D., Boivin, G., Brodeur, J., 2014. An invasive stink bug as an evolutionary trap for an indigenous egg parasitoid. *Biol. Invasions* 16, 1387–1395. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-013-0576-y>.
- Abram, P.K., Hoelmer, K.A., Acebes-Doria, A.L., Andrews, H., Beers, E.H., Bergh, J.C., Bessin, R., Biddinger, D., Botch, P., Buffington, M.L., Cornelius, M.L., Costi, E., Delfosse, E.S., Dieckhoff, C., Dobson, R., Donais, Z., Grieshop, M., Hamilton, G., Haye, T., Hedstrom, C., Herlihy, M.V., Hoddle, M.S., Hooks, C.R.R., Jentsch, P., Joshi, N.K., Kuhar, T.P., Lara, J., Lee, J.C., Legrand, A., Leskey, T.C., Lowenstein, D., Maistrello, L., Matthews, C.R., Milnes, J.M., Morrison, W.R., Nielsen, A.L., Ogburn, E.C., Pickett, C.H., Poley, K., Pote, J., Radi, J., Shrewsbury, P.M., Talamas, E., Tavella, L., Walgenbach, J.F., Waterworth, R., Weber, D.C., Welty, C., Wiman, N.G., 2017. Indigenous arthropod natural enemies of the invasive brown marmorated stink bug in North America and Europe. *J. Pest Sci.* 90, 1009–1020. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-017-0891-7>.
- Askew, R.R., Nieves-Aldrey, J.L., 2004. Further observations on Eupelminae (Hymenoptera: Chalcidoidea, Eupelmidae) in the Iberian Peninsula and Canary Islands, including descriptions of new species. *Graellsia* 60, 27–39.

432 Avila, G.A., Chen, J., Li, W., Alavi, M., Mi, Q., Sandanayaka, M., Zhang, F., Zhang, J., 2021. Seasonal
1
2
433 abundance and aiversity of egg parasitoids of *Halyomorpha halys* in kiwifruit orchards in China.
3
4
434 Insects 12, 428. [https://doi.org/ 10.3390/insects12050428](https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12050428).
5
6
7
435
8
9
436 Bale, J., 2011. Harmonization of regulations for invertebrate biocontrol agents in Europe: progress,
10
11
12
437 problems and solutions. J. Appl. Entomol. 135, 503–513. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439->
13
14
15
438 [0418.2011.01611.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0418.2011.01611.x).
16
17
18
439
19
20
440 Bin, F., Vinson, S. B., 1990. Efficacy assessment in egg parasitoids (Hymenoptera): proposal for a
21
22
23
441 unified terminology, in: Wajnberg, E., Vinson, S.B. (Eds.), Proceedings of the *Trichogramma* and
24
25
442 other egg parasitoids. 3rd international symposium on le colloques de l'INRA, San Antonio, TX,
26
27
443 USA, 23–27 September 1990; Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique: Paris, France, 1991;
28
29
30
444 56, pp. 175–179.
31
32
33
445
34
35
446 Bittau, B., Dindo, M. L., Burgio, G., Sabbatini-Peverieri, G., Hoelmer, K. A., Roversi, P.F., Masetti, A.,
36
37
38
447 2021. Implementing mass rearing of *Trissolcus japonicus* (Hymenoptera: Scelionidae) on cold-
39
40
448 stored host eggs. Insects 12, 840. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12090840>.
41
42
43
449
45
46
450 Bout, A., Tortorici, F., Hamidi, R., Warot, S., Tavella, L., Thomas, M., 2021. First detection of the
47
48
451 adventive egg parasitoid of *Halyomorpha halys* (Stål) (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) *Trissolcus*
49
50
51
452 *mitsukurii* (Ashmead) (Hymenoptera: Scelionidae) in France. Insects 12, 761.
52
53
453 <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12090761>.
54
55
56
454
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

455 Cock, M.J.W., van Lenteren, J.C., Brodeur, J., Barratt, B.I.P., Bigler, F., Bolckmans, K., Cònsoli, F.L.,
1
2
456 Haas, F., Mason, P.G., Parra, J.R.P., 2010. Do new access and benefit sharing procedures under the
3
4
457 convention on biological diversity threaten the future of biological control? *BioControl* 55, 199–
6
7
458 218. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10526-009-9234-9>.
8
9
459
11
12
460 Conti, E., Avila, G., Barratt, B., Cingolani, F., Colazza, S., Guarino, S., Hoelmer, K., Laumann, R.A.,
14
15
461 Maistrello, L., Martel, G., Peri, E., Rodriguez-Saona, C., Rondoni, G., Rostás, M., Roversi, P.F.,
16
17
462 Sforza, R.F.H., Tavella, L., Wajnberg, E., 2021. Biological control of invasive stink bugs: review of
19
20
463 global state and future prospects. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* 169, 28–51.
21
22
464 <https://doi.org/10.1111/eea.12967>.
24
25
465
26
27
466 Conti, E., Colazza, S., 2012. Chemical ecology of egg parasitoids associated with true bugs. *Psyche*
29
30
467 2012: 651015. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/651015>.
32
33
468
34
35
469 Cornelius, M.L., Dieckhoff, C., Hoelmer, K.A., Olsen, R.T., Weber, D.C., Herlihy, M.V., Talamas, E.J.,
37
38
470 Vinyard, B.T., Greenstone, M.H., 2016a. Biological control of sentinel egg masses of the exotic
39
40
471 invasive stink bug *Halyomorpha halys* (Stål) in mid-Atlantic USA ornamental landscapes. *Biol.*
42
43
472 *Control* 103, 11–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2016.07.011>.
45
46
473
47
48
494 Cornelius, M.L., Dieckhoff, C., Vinyard, B.T., Hoelmer, K.A., 2016b. Parasitism and predation on
50
51
475 sentinel egg masses of the brown marmorated stink bug (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) in three
52
53
476 vegetables crops: importance of dissections for evaluating the impact of native parasitoids on an
55
56
477 exotic pest. *Environ. Entomol.* 45, 1536–1542. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/nvw134>.
58
59
478
60
61
62
63
64
65

479 Costi, E., Haye, T., Maistrello, L., 2019. Surveying native egg parasitoids and predators of the
1
2
480 invasive *Halyomorpha halys* in Northern Italy. J. Appl. Entomol. 143, 299–307.
3
4
481 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jen.12590>.
5
6
7
482
8
9
10
483 De Clercq, P., Mason, P.G., Babendreier, D., 2011. Benefits and risks of exotic biological control
11
12
484 agents. BioControl 56, 681–698. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10526-011-9372-8>.
13
14
15
485
16
17
486 Dieckhoff, C., Tatman, K.M., Hoelmer, K.A., 2017. Natural biological control of *Halyomorpha halys*
18
19
487 by native egg parasitoids: a multi-year survey in northern Delaware. J. Pest Sci. 90, 1143–1158.
20
21
488 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-017-0868-6>.
22
23
24
25
489
26
27
490 Dieckhoff, C., Wenz, S., Renninger, M., Reißig, A., Rauleder, H., Zebitz, C.P.W., Reetz, J.,
28
29
30
491 Zimmermann, O., 2021. Add Germany to the list—adventive population of *Trissolcus japonicus*
31
32
492 (Ashmead) (Hymenoptera: Scelionidae) emerges in Germany. Insects 12, 414.
33
34
35
493 <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12050414>.
36
37
38
494
39
40
495 Francati, S., Masetti, A., Martinelli, R., Mirandola, D., Anteghini, G., Busi, R., Dalmonte, F., Spinelli,
41
42
496 F., Burgio, G., Dindo, M.L., 2021. *Halyomorpha halys* (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) on Kiwifruit in
43
44
497 Northern Italy: Phenology, Infestation, and Natural Enemies Assessment. J. Econ. Entomol. 114 (4),
45
46
47
498 1733–1742. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/toab126>.
48
49
50
51
499
52
53
500 Giovannini, L., Sabbatini-Peverieri, G., Marianelli, L., Rondoni, G., Conti, E., Roversi, P.F., 2021.
54
55
501 Physiological host range of *Trissolcus mitsukurii*, a candidate biological control agent of
56
57
58
502 *Halyomorpha halys* in Europe. J. Pest. Sci. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-021-01415-x>
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

503
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Haye, T., Fischer, S., Zhang, J., Garipey, T., 2015. Can native egg parasitoids adopt the invasive brown marmorated stink bug, *Halyomorpha halys* (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae), in Europe? J. Pest Sci. 88, 693–705. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-015-0671-1>.

Haye, T., Moraglio, S.T., Stahl, J., Visentin, S., Gregorio, T., Tavella, L., 2020. Fundamental host range of *Trissolcus japonicus* in Europe. J. Pest Sci. 93, 171–182. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-019-01127-3>.

Herlihy, M.V., Talamas, E.J., Weber, D.C., 2016. Attack and success of native and exotic parasitoids on eggs of *Halyomorpha halys* in three Maryland habitats. PLoS ONE 11, e0150275. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0150275>.

Hoddle, M.S., 2004. The strength of biological control in the battle against invasive pests: a reply. Conserv. Biol. 18, 61–64. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2003.00172.x>.

Hou, Z., Liang, H., Chen, Q., Hu, Y., Tian, H., 2009. Application of *Anastatus* sp. against *Halyomorpha halys*. Forest Pest and Disease. 4, 39–43.

Jervis, M.A., Hawkins, B.A., Kidd, N.A., 1996. The usefulness of destructive host feeding parasitoids in classical biological control: theory and observation conflict. Ecol. Entomol. 21, 41–46. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2311.1996.tb00264.x>.

526 Johnson, N.F., 1984. Systematics of Nearctic *Telenomus*: classification and revisions of the *podisi*
1
2
527 and *phymatae* species groups (Hymenoptera: Scelionidae). Bull. Ohio Biol. Survey 6, 1–113.
3
4
528
5
6
7
529 Jones, A.L., Jennings, D.E., Hooks, C.R.R., Shrewsbury, P.M., 2014. Sentinel eggs underestimate
8
9
10 rates of parasitism of the exotic brown marmorated stink bug, *Halyomorpha halys*. Biol. Control
11
12
531 78, 61–66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2014.07.011>.
13
14
15
532
16
17
533 Jones, A.L., Jennings, D.E., Hooks, C.R.R., Shrewsbury, P.M., 2017. Field surveys of egg mortality
18
19
20 and indigenous egg parasitoids of the brown marmorated stink bug, *Halyomorpha halys*, in
21
22
23 ornamental nurseries in the Mid-Atlantic Region of the USA. J. Pest Sci. 90, 1159–1168.
24
25
536 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-017-0890-8>.
26
27
28
537
29
30
538 Konopka, J.K., Haye, T., Gariepy, T.D., McNeil, J.N., 2017. Possible coexistence of native and exotic
31
32
33 parasitoids and their impact on control of *Halyomorpha halys*. J. Pest Sci. 90, 1119–1125.
34
35
540 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-017-0851-2>.
36
37
38
541
39
40
542 Kozlov, M.A., Kononova, S.V., 1983. Telenominae of the fauna of the USSR. Nauka, Leningrad, p
41
42
43 336.
44
45
46
544
47
48
545 Leskey, T.C., Nielsen, A.L., 2018. Impact of the Invasive Brown Marmorated Stink Bug in North
49
50
51 America and Europe: History, Biology, Ecology, and Management. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 63, 599–
52
53
547 618. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-020117-043226>.
54
55
56
548
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

549 Masetti, A., Arpaia S., Ghesini, S., Magagnoli, S., Baldacchino, F., Magarelli, R.A., Neri, U, Lener, M.,
1
2
550 Rastelli, V., Staiano, G., Lang, A., Marini, M., Burgio, G., 2017. Macro-moths as possible assessment
3
4
551 endpoints for non-target effects of Bt-maize pollen: a faunistic study in three Italian protected
5
6
7
552 areas. Bull. Insectol. 70 (2): 307-314. ISSN 1721-8861.
8
9
553
10
11
554 Moraglio, S.T., Tortorici, F., Pansa, M.G., Castelli, G., Pontini, M., Scovero, S., Visentin, S., Tavella,
12
13
14
555 L., 2020. A 3-year survey on parasitism of *Halyomorpha halys* by egg parasitoids in northern Italy.
15
16
17
556 J. Pest Sci. 93, 183–194. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-019-01136-2>.
18
19
20
557
21
22
558 Moraglio, S.T., Tortorici, F., Giromini, D., Pansa, M.G., Visentin, S., Tavella, L., 2021a. Field
23
24
25
559 collection of egg parasitoids of Pentatomidae and Scutelleridae in Northwest Italy and their
26
27
28
560 efficacy in parasitizing *Halyomorpha halys* under laboratory conditions. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 169,
29
30
31
561 52–63. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eea.12966>.
32
33
562
34
35
563 Moraglio, S.T., Tortorici, F., Visentin, S., Pansa, M.G., Tavella, L., 2021b. *Trissolcus kozlovi* in North
36
37
38
564 Italy: host specificity and augmentative releases against *Halyomorpha halys* in hazelnut orchards.
39
40
41
565 Insects 12, 464. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12050464>.
42
43
44
566
45
46
567 Musolin, D.L., Konjević, A., Karpun, N.N., Protsenko, V.Y., Ayba, L.Y., Saulich, A.K., 2018. Invasive
47
48
49
568 brown marmorated stink bug *Halyomorpha halys* (Stål) (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae) in Russia,
50
51
52
569 Abkhazia, and Serbia: history of invasion, range expansion, early stages of establishment, and first
53
54
55
570 records of damage to local crops. Arthropod-Plant Interact. 12, 517–529.
56
571 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11829-017-9583-8>.
58
59
572
60
61
62
63
64
65

573 Ogburn, E.C., Bessin, R., Dieckhoff, C., Dobson, R., Grieshop, M., Hoelmer, K.M., Mathews, C.,
1
2
574 Moore, J., Nielsen, A.L., Poley, K., Pote, J.M., Rogers, M., Welty, C., Walgenbach, J.F., 2016. Natural
3
4
575 enemy impact on eggs of the invasive brown marmorated stink bug, *Halyomorpha halys* (Stål)
6
7
576 (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae), in organic agroecosystems: a regional assessment. *Biol. Control* 101,
8
9
577 39–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2016.06.002>.
11
12
578
14
15
579 Ogburn, E.C., Heintz-Botz, A.S., Talamas, E.J., Walgenbach, J.F., 2021. Biological control of
16
17
580 *Halyomorpha halys* (Stål) (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) in apple orchards versus corn fields and their
18
19
581 adjacent woody habitats: high versus low pesticide-input agroecosystems. *Biol. Control* 152,
20
21
582 104457. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2020.104457>.
24
25
583
26
27
584 Pezzini, D.T., Nystrom Santacruz, E.C., Koch, R.L., 2018. Predation and parasitism of *Halyomorpha*
28
29
585 *halys* (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) eggs in Minnesota. *Environ. Entomol.* 47, 812–821.
30
31
586 <https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/nvy085>.
33
34
35
587
37
38
588 Qiu, L.F., Yang, Z.Q., Tao, W.Q., 2007. Biology and population dynamics of *Trissolcus*
39
40
589 *halymorphae*. *Sci. Silvae Sin.* 43, 62–65. <https://doi.org/10.11707/j.1001-7488.20071111>.
41
42
43
590
44
45
591 Rondoni, G., Bertoldi, V., Malek, R., Foti, M.C., Peri, E., Maistrello, L., Haye, T., Conti, E., 2017.
46
47
592 Native egg parasitoids recorded from the invasive *Halyomorpha halys* successfully exploit volatiles
48
49
593 emitted by the plant–herbivore complex. *J. Pest Sci.* 90, 1087–1095.
51
52
594 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-017-0861-0>.
55
56
595
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 596 Rot, M., Devetak, M., Carlevaris, B., Žežlina, J., Žežlina, I., 2018. First record of brown marmorated
1
2
597 stink bug (*Halyomorpha halys* Stål, 1855) (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) in Slovenia. Acta Entomol.
3
4
598 Slov. 26, 5–12.
6
7
599
8
9
600 Rot, M., Maistrello, L., Costi, E., Bernardinelli, I., Malossini, G., Benvenuto, L., Trdan, S., 2021.
11
12
601 Native and Non-Native Egg Parasitoids Associated with Brown Marmorated Stink Bug
14
15
602 (*Halyomorpha halys* [Stål, 1855]; Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) in Western Slovenia. Insects 12, 505.
16
17
603 <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12060505>.
19
20
604
21
22
605 Roversi, P.F., Binazzi, F., Marianelli, L., Costi, E., Maistrello, L., Sabbatini Peverieri, G., 2016.
24
25
606 Searching for native egg-parasitoids of the invasive alien species *Halyomorpha halys* Stål
26
27
607 (Heteroptera, Pentatomidae) in Southern Europe. Redia. 99, 63–70.
28
29
30
608 <http://dx.doi.org/10.19263/REDIA-99.16.01>.
32
33
609
34
35
610 Sabbatini Peverieri, G., Talamas, E., Bon, M.C., Marianelli, L., Bernardinelli, I., Malossini, G.,
37
38
611 Benvenuto, L., Roversi, P.F., Hoelmer, K., 2018. Two Asian egg parasitoids of *Halyomorpha halys*
39
40
612 (Stål) (Hemiptera, Pentatomidae) emerge in northern Italy: *Trissolcus mitsukurii* (Ashmead) and
42
43
613 *Trissolcus japonicus* (Ashmead) (Hymenoptera, Scelionidae). J. Hymenopt. Res. 67, 37–53.
45
46
614 <https://doi.org/10.3897/jhr.67.30883>.
47
48
615
50
51
616 Sabbatini Peverieri, G., Mitroiu, M.D., Bon, M.C., Balusu, R., Benvenuto, L., Bernardinelli, I.,
52
53
617 Fadamiro, H., Falagiarda, M., Fusu, L., Grove, E., Haye, T., Hoelmer, K., Lemke, E., Malossini, G.,
55
56
618 Marianelli, L., Moore, M.R., Pozzebon, A., Roversi, P.F., Scaccini, D., Shrewsbury, P., Tillman, G.,
58
59
619 Tirello, P., Waterworth, R., Talamas, E.J., 2019. Surveys of stink bug egg parasitism in Asia, Europe
60
61
62
63
64
65

620 and North America, morphological taxonomy, and molecular analysis reveal the Holarctic
1
2
621 distribution of *Acroclisoides sinicus* (Huang & Liao) (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae). J. Hymenopt.
3
4
622 Res. 74, 123–151. <https://doi.org/10.3897/jhr.74.46701>.
6
7
623
8
9
624 Šapina, I., Jelaska, L.Š., 2018. First report of invasive brown marmorated stink bug *Halyomorpha*
11
12
625 *halys* (Stål, 1855) in Croatia. EPPO Bull. 48, 138–143. <https://doi.org/10.1111/epp.12449>.
14
15
626
16
17
627 Scaccini, D., Falagiarda, M., Tortorici, F., Martinez-Sañudo, I., Tirello, P., Reyes-Domínguez, Y.,
19
20
628 Gallmetzer, A., Tavella, L., Zandigiacomo, P., Duso, C., Pozzebon, A., 2020. An Insight into the Role
21
22
629 of *Trissolcus mitsukurii* as Biological Control Agent of *Halyomorpha halys* in Northeastern Italy.
24
25
630 Insects 11, 306. <https://doi.10.3390/insects11050306>
26
27
631
28
29
30
632 Stahl, J.M., Tortorici, F., Pontini, M., Bon, M.C., Hoelmer, K., Marazzi, C., Tavella, L., Haye, T.,
32
33
633 2019a. First discovery of adventive populations of *Trissolcus japonicus* in Europe. J. Pest Sci. 92,
34
35
634 371–379. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10340-018-1061-2>.
37
38
635
39
40
636 Stahl, J.M., Babendreier, D., Haye, T., 2018. Using the egg parasitoid *Anastatus bifasciatus* against
42
43
637 the invasive brown marmorated stink bug in Europe: Can non-target effects be ruled out? J. Pest
45
46
638 Sci. 91, 1005–1017. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-018-0969-x>.
47
48
639
50
51
640 Stahl, J.M., Babendreier, D., Marazzi, C., Caruso, S., Costi, E., Maistrello, L., Haye, T., 2019a. Can
52
53
641 *Anastatus bifasciatus* be used for augmentative biological control of the brown marmorated stink
55
56
642 bug in fruit orchards? Insects. 10, 108–121. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects10040108>.
57
58
643
60
61
62
63
64
65

644 Stahl, J.M., Tortorici, F., Pontini, M., Bon, M.C., Hoelmer, K., Marazzi, C., Tavella, L., Haye, T.,
1
2
645 2019b. First discovery of adventive populations of *Trissolcus japonicus* in Europe. J. Pest Sci. 92,
3
4
646 371–379. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10340-018-1061-2>.
6
7

647
8
9
10
648 Talamas, E.J., Buffington, M.L., Hoelmer, K.A., 2017. Revision of palearctic *Trissolcus* Ashmead
11
12
649 (Hymenoptera, Scelionidae). J. Hymenopt. Res. 56, 3–185. <https://doi.org/10.3897/jhr.56.10158>.
14

15
650
16
17
651 Talamas, E.J., Johnson, N.F., Buffington, M., 2015. Key to Nearctic species of *Trissolcus* Ashmead
19
20
652 (Hymenoptera, Scelionidae), natural enemies of native and invasive stink bugs (Hemiptera,
21
22
653 Pentatomidae). J. Hymenopt. Res. 43, 45–110. <https://doi.org/10.3897/JHR.43.8560>.
24

25
654
26
27
655 Valentin, R.E., Nielsen, A.L., Wiman, N.G., Lee, D.H., Fonseca, D.M., 2017. Global invasion network
29
30
656 of the brown marmorated stink bug, *Halyomorpha halys*. Sci. Rep. 7, 9866.
32
33
657 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-10315-z>.
34

35
658
37
38
659 van Lenteren, J.C., Bale, J., Bigler, F., Hokkanen, H.M., Loomans, A.J., 2006. Assessing risks of
39
40
660 releasing exotic biological control agents of arthropod pests. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 51, 609–634.
42
43
661 <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.51.110104.151129>.
45

46
662
47
48
663 van Lenteren, J.C., Bolckmans, K., Köhl, J., Ravensberg, W.J., Urbaneja, A., 2018. Biological control
50
51
664 using invertebrates and microorganisms: plenty of new opportunities. BioControl. 63, 39–59.
52
53
665 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10526-017-9801-4>.
55

56
666

58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

667 van Lenteren, J.C., Loomans, A.J.M., 2006. Environmental risk assessment: methods for
1
2
668 comprehensive evaluation and quick scan, in: Bigler, F., Babendreier, D., Kuhlmann, U. (Eds.),
3
4
669 Environmental impact of invertebrates in biological control of arthropods: methods and risk
5
6
7
670 assessment. Wallingford, UK: CAB Int. 300, pp. 254–72.
8
9
671 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1079/9780851990583.0254>.
10
11

12
672
13
14
15
673 Zapponi, L., Bon, M.C., Fouani, J.M., Anfora, G., Schmidt, S., Falagiarda, M., 2020. Assemblage of
16
17
674 the egg parasitoids of the invasive stink bug *Halyomorpha halys*: insights on plant host
18
19
20
675 associations. *Insects* 11, 588. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects11090588>.
21
22

23
676
24
25
677 Zapponi, L., Tortorici, F., Anfora, G., Bardella, S., Bariselli, M., Benvenuto, L., Bernardinelli, I.,
26
27
678 Butturini, A., Caruso, S., Colla, R., Costi, E., Culatti, P., Di Bella, E., Falagiarda, M., Giovannini, L.,
28
29
30
679 Haye, T., Maistrello, L., Malossini, G., Marazzi, C., Marianelli, L., Mele, A., Michelon, L., Moraglio,
31
32
33
680 S.T., Pozzebon, A., Preti, M., Salvetti, M., Scaccini, D., Schmidt, S., Szalatnay, D., Roversi, P.F.,
34
35
681 Tavella, L., Tommasini, M.G., Vaccari, G., Zandigiacomo, P., Sabbatini Peverieri, G., 2021. Assessing
36
37
682 the Distribution of exotic egg parasitoids of *Halyomorpha halys* in Europe with a large-scale
38
39
40
683 monitoring program. *Insects* 12, 316. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12040316>.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Highlights BCON-D-21-00637 R2

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- Augmentative biological control of *Halyomorpha halys* was tested in Northern Italy.
- 1000 *Anastatus bifasciatus*/ha (325,000 in total) were released in 11 sites.
- Releases improved discovery efficiency and parasitism rate by *A. bifasciatus*.
- *Trissolcus mitsukurii* was not affected by the releases of *A. bifasciatus*.

1 **Augmentative biological control of *Halyomorpha halys* using the native European**
2
3 2 **parasitoid *Anastatus bifasciatus*: efficacy and ecological impact**
4
5
6 3

7
8 4 Alessia Iacovone¹, Antonio Masetti^{2*}, Marco Mosti¹, Eric Conti³, Giovanni Burgio²
9

10
11 5
12
13
14 6 ¹: Bioplanet s.r.l., via Maccanone 359, Cesena 47522, Italy (alessia.iacovone@bioplanet.it;

15
16 7 mosti@bioplanet.it)
17

18
19 8
20
21 9 ²: Department of Agricultural and Food Sciences, University of Bologna, viale G. Fanin 42, Bologna
22
23
24 10 40127, Italy (antonio.masetti@unibo.it; giovanni.burgio@unibo.it)
25

26
27 11
28
29 12 ³: Department of Agricultural, Food and Environmental Sciences, University of Perugia, Borgo XX
30
31
32 13 Giugno, Perugia 06121, Italy (eric.conti@unipg.it)
33

34 14
35
36
37 15 *: Corresponding author
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

16 **Highlights**

- 17 - Augmentative biological control of *Halyomorpha halys* was tested in Northern Italy.
- 18 - 1000 *Anastatus bifasciatus*/ha (325,000 in total) were released in 11 sites.
- 19 - Releases improved discovery efficiency and parasitism rate by *A. bifasciatus*.
- 20 - *Trissolcus mitsukurii* was not affected by the releases of *A. bifasciatus*.

22 **Key words**

23 Brown marmorated stink bug, Pentatomidae, invasive species, *Trissolcus mitsukurii*, egg
24 parasitoids.

25 **Abstract**

1

26

3

4

27

6

7

28

9

29

11

12

30

14

15

31

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

We report the first large-scale augmentative biological control project carried out in Europe against *Halyomorpha halys* (Stål) (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) using the native egg parasitoid *Anastatus bifasciatus* (Geoffroy) (Hymenoptera: Eupelmidae). During summer 2020, a total of 325,000 adults of *A. bifasciatus* were released at a rate of 1000 individuals/ha of orchard in 11 sites in Trentino Alto Adige (Northern Italy). Parasitism parameters were compared between release and control (no release) sites, in which at least three egg masses naturally laid by *H. halys* were collected (for a total of 262 egg masses). *Anastatus bifasciatus* and *Trissolcus mitsukurii* (Ashmead) (Hymenoptera: Scelionidae) were the dominant parasitoids, but parasitism by both species fluctuated widely among sites. At release sites, *A. bifasciatus* showed a significantly higher discovery efficiency (31.4%) and parasitism rate (16.7%) of *H. halys* egg masses than at control sites (1.7% and 1.2%, respectively). Parasitism by *A. bifasciatus* was not dependent on egg mass abundance at release sites, but at control sites a host density-dependent response was revealed by a positive relationship between parasitism and number of *H. halys* egg masses. On the other hand, parasitism by the adventive *T. mitsukurii* was not affected by either the releases of *A. bifasciatus* or by the abundance of *H. halys* egg masses per site. In conclusion, augmentative releases of *A. bifasciatus* contributed to increasing its parasitization of *H. halys*, without causing any negative effects on parasitization by naturally occurring species.

43 **1. Introduction**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

The brown marmorated stink bug (BMSB) *Halyomorpha halys* (Stål) (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) is a global invasive pest with a wide host range, which includes over 170 plant species. Native to eastern Asia, this bug has become a notorious pest to growers in many parts of the world (Valentin et al., 2017; Musolin et al., 2018; Rot et al., 2018; Šapina and Jelaska, 2018; Francati et al., 2021), being economically important especially in fruit and vegetable-growing regions (Leskey and Nielsen, 2018).

Programs for management of invasive pests should firstly explore biological control agents, which can contribute to pest suppression in both natural and agricultural areas (Hoddle, 2004; Cock et al., 2010). The scientific community has therefore developed a strong interest in the search and selection of natural enemies of *H. halys* (Conti et al., 2021; Rot et al., 2021). A debate has arisen on the most appropriate strategy between the use of exotic natural enemies for classical biological control or the augmentation of native, newly associated, parasitoid species (Abram et al., 2017; Zapponi et al., 2021).

In its native areas in Asia, *H. halys* populations are regulated by egg parasitoids belonging to genus *Trissolcus* (Hymenoptera: Scelionidae) and *Anastatus* (Hymenoptera: Eupelmidae) (Qiu et al., 2007; Hou et al., 2009; Avila et al., 2021). In the countries where *H. halys* has recently established, the contribution of native biological control agents has been reported as low although highly variable among habitats, seasons and sampling protocols (Cornelius et al., 2016a, 2016b; Herlihy et al., 2016; Ogburn et al., 2016; Dieckhoff et al., 2017; Jones et al., 2017; Leskey and Nielsen, 2018; Pezzini et al., 2018; Moraglio et al., 2020; Francati et al., 2021). For these reasons, the recent invasions by *H. halys* have emphasized in many countries an interest in classical biological control although this has some limitations (Conti et al., 2021) and is currently subject to

66 regulations on the importation of exotic species (De Clercq et al., 2011). The scenario on
1
2
3 67 regulation is quite complex and may differ from country to country (Bale, 2011).
4
5 68 Adventive populations of the Asian egg parasitoids *Trissolcus japonicus* (Ashmead) and *Trissolcus*
6
7 69 *mitsukurii* (Ashmead) (Hymenoptera: Scelionidae) have been recently detected in Europe (the first
8
9
10 70 one in Italy, Switzerland and Germany, the second in Italy, Western Slovenia and France) and
11
12
13 71 these findings have led to reconsider classical biological control methods to manage *H. halys*
14
15 72 (Sabbatini Peverieri et al., 2018; Stahl et al., 2019b; Moraglio et al., 2020; Scaccini et al., 2020;
16
17
18 73 Zapponi et al., 2020, 2021; Bout et al., 2021; Dieckhoff et al., 2021; Rot et al., 2021). However, the
19
20
21 74 use of exotic biological control agents is strictly regulated. For this reason, risk assessment
22
23 75 evaluations for *T. japonicus* and *T. mitsukurii* are in progress, including their potential coexistence
24
25
26 76 with native parasitoids (Konopka et al., 2017; Haye et al., 2020; Giovannini et al., 2021). Field
27
28
29 77 releases of *T. japonicus* were authorized in Italy in 2020 in the framework of the National
30
31
32 78 Biological Control Program against *H. halys*, and this represents the first officially authorized
33
34
35 79 release of this parasitoid in Europe (Bittau et al., 2021; Conti et al., 2021).
36
37
38 80 Field surveys to evaluate whether indigenous parasitoids in Europe can exploit *H. halys*, leading to
39
40
41 81 potential pest suppression, have been carried out in Switzerland, Italy, Georgia and recently
42
43
44 82 Slovenia. Several sampling methods have been used for these surveys, including exposure of
45
46
47 83 freeze-killed sentinel egg masses (Haye et al., 2015; Roversi et al., 2016; Stahl et al., 2019b;
48
49
50 84 Zapponi et al., 2020), exposure of fresh sentinel egg masses, eggs laid on plants by bugs housed in
51
52
53 85 field cages (Costi et al., 2019a; Zapponi et al., 2020; Rot et al., 2021) and field collections of
54
55
56 86 naturally laid egg masses (Sabbatini Peverieri et al., 2018, 2019; Moraglio et al., 2020; Scaccini et
57
58
59 87 al., 2020; Zapponi et al., 2020, 2021; Francati et al., 2021; Rot et al., 2021). Among native species,
60
61
62 88 *Anastatus bifasciatus* (Geoffroy) (Hymenoptera: Eupelmidae) was the dominant egg parasitoid
63
64
65

89 capable of completing development on *H. halys* eggs. It was also the most widespread species as it
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

90 was found in nearly all the investigated sites, yet with relevant fluctuations across years.
91 Because of its prevailing presence, *A. bifasciatus* has been proposed for augmentative biological
92 control of *H. halys* in Europe (Haye et al., 2015). Native to Europe and currently present in the
93 Palearctic and Nearctic regions, *A. bifasciatus* can exploit egg masses of different insect groups in
94 the orders Hemiptera and Lepidoptera, including various pests of agronomic interest, and could
95 play a role in limiting introduced exotic pests (Haye et al., 2015; Stahl et al., 2018). Nevertheless,
96 little information is available on its efficacy in field conditions following inundative or inoculative
97 releases. Some studies, although promising, were conducted releasing a low number of
98 parasitoids and using frozen sentinel egg masses as a method to assess parasitization (Stahl et al.,
99 2019a). Another open question is whether the releases of *A. bifasciatus* can affect parasitoid
100 guilds and in particular the adventive populations of *T. japonicus* and *T. mitsukurii*, which have
101 been recently recorded in some Italian regions, including Trentino Alto Adige (Zapponi et al., 2020)
102 where this study was carried out.

103 The first aim of this study is to assess the efficacy of *A. bifasciatus* augmentative releases in
104 cultivated areas of Northern Italy. The second aim is to investigate the potential impact of *A.*
105 *bifasciatus* releases on the *H. halys* parasitoid guild, including adventive exotic species. As far as
106 we are aware, this experiment represents the first large-scale augmentative biological control
107 project carried out in Europe using *A. bifasciatus* and based exclusively on the sampling of egg
108 masses naturally laid by *H. halys* to evaluate parasitization.

109 110 **2. Material and methods**

111 112 **2.1 Insects**

113 Adults of *A. bifasciatus* were provided periodically by Bioplanet srl (Cesena, Italy) in 150 ml plastic
1
114 bottles containing 250 4-days old adults (sex ratio \approx 9:1, F:M). The bottles were shipped to farmers
2
3
4
115 once per week and kept at 12 °C in dark conditions until use. For the release, one bottle at a time
5
6
7
116 was taken out and the cap was removed to allow parasitoid dispersion.
8
9

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

2.2 Release and control sites

119 From early June until mid / late July 2020, a total of 325,000 individuals of *A. bifasciatus* were
120 released in 11 sites in Trentino Alto Adige region (Northern Italy). All the sites were located in
121 agricultural areas, close to orchards that were under integrated pest management (IPM) programs
122 (Table S1). Release sites of *A. bifasciatus* were located at a minimum distance of 1 km from areas
123 where *T. japonicus* was released within the aforementioned National Biological Control Program
124 against *H. halys*, following a mandatory precaution recommended by local government (Decreto
125 8129/2021 by Provincia Autonoma di Bolzano - Alto Adige).

126 Releases of *A. bifasciatus* were carried out in:

- 127 - 5 sites nearby apple orchards in South Tyrol, in the Autonomous Province of Bolzano, covering
128 about 180 ha, between June 10th and July 29th;
- 129 - 6 sites nearby apple and kiwi orchards and vineyards in Trentino, in the Autonomous Province
130 of Trento, covering about 145 ha, between June 10th and July 8th.

131 Parasitoids were released at a rate of 1000 individuals per ha of orchard (Table S1). Bottles, each
132 containing 250 adult wasps, were opened and hung at approximately 20 m from each other on
133 shrubs and hedgerows at the edge of the orchards, or in ecological corridors adjacent to
134 watercourse or reforestation within the cultivated areas.

135 Naturally laid egg masses were searched in each site by three experienced operators of local
136 extension services for the fixed time of 1 h per operator. Operators walked randomly up to a

137 maximum distance of 500 m around the centroid of each release site. During samplings, leaves of
1
138 wild plants (shrubs, hedgerows, herbaceous plants) and fruit trees in the orchards were inspected.
2
3
4
139 Egg masses collections started two weeks after the beginning of releases and were carried out
5
6
140 once a week until mid-September in Trentino and until mid-October in South Tyrol.
7
8
9
141 Field surveys were also performed in 21 control sites within agricultural areas, including orchard
10
11
142 systems close to scattered urban settlements as typical of the investigated areas, where no
12
13
143 parasitoids were released. The control sites were at least 2 km away from release sites and as
14
15
16
17
144 similar as possible to the release sites in terms of location, altitude, and agroecosystem features.
18
19
20
145 Furthermore, in control sites, egg masses were searched on the same kind of plants mentioned
21
22
23
146 above for release sites.
24
25

26
27

28 *2.3 Handling of collected egg masses*

29

30
31
149 Field-collected egg masses of *H. halys* were transferred to the laboratory, stored individually in
32
33
150 plastic Petri dishes (\varnothing 60 mm) and kept at 25 °C, 65-70% RH and 16L:8D photoperiod. The egg
34
35
36
151 masses were checked every two days for 8 weeks after collection. For each egg mass, eggs were
37
38
152 counted and classified as: 1) hatched, when a bug nymph hatched in laboratory or a hole by a
39
40
153 nymph already hatched in the field was detected; 2) parasitized, when an adult parasitoid
41
42
154 emerged in laboratory or a hole by a parasitoid already emerged in the field was found; 3)
43
44
45
155 unhatched, due to mortality from unknown cause; 4) predated by polyphagous predators,
46
47
48
156 showing clear damage due to chewing.
49
50

51
157 To evaluate the overall performances of parasitoids, the following parasitism parameters were
52
53
158 considered: 1) discovery efficiency (i.e. wasp ability to find egg masses), calculated as the number
54
55
159 of egg masses discovered by parasitoids (presenting at least one parasitized egg) over the total
56
57
58
160 number of egg masses collected in a site; 2) parasitism rate (= parasitoid impact), calculated as the
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

161 number of emerged parasitoids over the total number of collected eggs; 3) parasitoid exploitation
162 efficiency, calculated as the number of emerged parasitoids over the total number of eggs within
163 the discovered egg masses (Bin and Vinson, 1990).

164 As suggested by Stahl et al. (2019b), measuring parasitism only by offspring emergence could lead
165 to underestimating the real level of pest suppression. Given that the main goal of biological
166 control is the reduction of the pest populations (van Lenteren et al., 2018), we reported the
167 percentage of unhatched eggs (i.e. eggs that did not develop to a viable bug nymph out of the
168 total eggs in the egg mass) as an additional indication of overall pest suppression.

169 170 *2.4 Parasitoid identification*

171 All emerged parasitoids were frozen and identified to species or genus level. Eupelmidae were
172 identified using the keys proposed by Askew and Nieves-Aldrey (2004). Scelionidae were identified
173 following Johnson (1984), Kozlov and Kononova (1983) and Talamas et al. (2015, 2017). The keys
174 of Sabbatini-Peverieri et al. (2019) were used for Pteromalidae. All morphological analyses were
175 carried out under a stereo microscope (Leica M205C; 40X).

176 Voucher specimens have been deposited in the Entomological Collection of Department of
177 Agricultural and Food Sciences, University of Bologna.

178 179 *2.5 Data analysis*

180 The relative abundances of emerged parasitoids were calculated using all collected egg masses,
181 pooling data from release and control sites. For statistical analyses of discovery efficiency,
182 parasitism rate, exploitation efficiency and percentage of unhatched eggs (which were calculated
183 as reported above in section 2.3) only sites in which at least three egg masses of *H. halys* had been

184 collected were retained (Fig. 1; Table S2). One-way ANOVA on log transformed number of egg
1
2
185 masses per site was carried out to compare egg mass abundance in release vs control sites.
3
4
186 Two-way ANOVA considering release vs no release of *A. bifasciatus* as a fixed predictor, and
6
7
187 number of egg masses per site as a continuous predictor were run. The interaction between
8
9
188 predictors was tested as well. In the cases of significant interaction, a linear regression analysis of
11
12
189 the parasitization parameter in function of the number of egg masses was run separately for
14
15
190 releases and no-releases sites. For raw data that violated the assumption of normality and
16
17
191 homoscedasticity, which had been verified using Shapiro-Wilk and Levene's tests, the square root
19
20
192 transformation was used.
21
22
193 Spearman rank-order procedure was used to correlate discovery efficiency and parasitism rate
24
25
194 with the total number of parasitoids released in each site pooling release and control sites.
26
27
195 Data analysis were carried out with IBM SPSS Statistics (version 26) (IBM corporation, Armonk, NY,
29
30
196 USA); this software package was also used for graphical representation of data.
32
33
197

34
35

198 **3. Results**

199 **3.1 Assemblages of Halyomorpha halys natural enemies**

200 A total of 1641 parasitoids emerged from all collected *H. halys* egg masses from release and
201 control sites (N=273 corresponding to 7318 eggs), including the following species: *A. bifasciatus*
202 (N=762), *T. mitsukurii* (N=717), *Telenomus* sp. (N=4), *Trissolcus cultratus* (Mayr) (Hymenoptera:
203 Scelionidae) (N=1), *Acroclisoides sinicus* (Huang and Liao) (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) (N=41). A
204 total of 116 parasitoids, corresponding to the 7.1 % of the emerged individuals, were not
205 identified because only empty parasitized egg masses were found. *Anastatus bifasciatus* was the
206 dominant parasitoid, representing a relative abundance of 46,4%, followed by *T. mitsukurii*
207 (43,7%). Concomitant parasitization by *A. bifasciatus* and *T. mitsukurii* emerging from the same *H.*
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265

208 *halys* egg mass occurred in two egg masses collected in a release site. *Acroclisoides sinicus*, a
1
2
209 hyperparasitoid whose host range includes *A. bifasciatus*, *T. mitsukurii* and *T. japonicus* (Sabbatini
3
4
210 Peverieri et al., 2019), was detected with a relative abundance of 2.5%. No *T. japonicus* was
5
6
7
211 recorded among the emerged parasitoids. Finally, the pressure exerted by generalist predators on
8
9
10
212 *H. halys* egg masses was low, since only $1.7 \pm 0.7\%$ of eggs were chewed.
11
12
213 Two egg masses of the non-target species *Nezara viridula* L. and *Palomena prasina* L. (Hemiptera:
13
14
15
214 Pentatomidae) were found while monitoring *H. halys* eggs. Parasitism rates of *A. bifasciatus* on
16
17
18
215 these species were 25.6% and 52.6%, respectively.
19
20
21
216
22

217 3.2. Total parasitism 23 24

218 A total of 262 *H. halys* naturally laid egg masses, corresponding to 7012 eggs, were taken into
25
26
27
219 account for the evaluation of parasitism parameters; in particular 181 egg masses were
28
29
30
220 considered from eight release sites and 81 from eleven control (no release) sites (Fig. 1). The mean
31
32
33
221 number of egg masses was significantly higher (ANOVA, $F_{(1,17)} = 10.7$; $p = 0.004$) at release sites
34
35
36
222 (22.6 ± 4.2) compared to control sites (7.36 ± 3.57). Considering that the sampling effort was the
37
38
39
223 same in all sites, these differences likely reflected the different abundance of *H. halys* in each area.
40
41
42
224 The total discovery efficiency ($45.4 \pm 8.2\%$) and the total parasitism rate ($28.5 \pm 5.9\%$) in the release
43
44
45
225 sites were not significantly higher than those in control sites ($19.6 \pm 5.5\%$ and $12.9 \pm 4.1\%$,
46
47
48
226 respectively) (Table 1). However, the p value for total discovery efficiency (0.073) was close to the
49
50
51
227 0.05 significance level. The mean percentage of unhatched eggs in release sites (44.6 ± 8.7) was
52
53
54
228 almost twice as high than in control sites ($23.9 \pm 5.6\%$), but again the difference was not supported
55
56
57
229 by statistical analysis ($p = 0.12$, Table 1).
58
59
60

231 3.3. Parasitism by *Anastatus bifasciatus* and *Trissolcus mitsukurii* 61 62 63 64 65

232 Parasitism parameters by *A. bifasciatus* widely ranged among sites (Table S2). For example,
1
233 discovery efficiencies encompassed a 0-50.0% interval while parasitism rates spanned between 0
2
3
4
234 and 29.6%. Overall, the releases of *A. bifasciatus* had a positive effect on its discovery efficiency
5
6
235 and parasitism rate, as both parameters were significantly higher in the release sites than in the
7
8
9
1036 control sites (Table 1). The interactions release*number of egg masses were also significant for
11
12
137 both parameters, demonstrating that the effects of releasing parasitoids depended on egg mass
14
15
138 abundance. Indeed, in control sites, discovery efficiency ($r^2=0.86$, $F_{(1,8)}=47.30$, $p< 0.001$) and
16
17
139 parasitism rate ($r^2=0.89$, $F_{(1,8)}=67.10$, $p< 0.001$) significantly increased in function of the egg mass
18
19
240 number per sites (Fig. 2 and Fig. 3). On the other hand, the regressions were not significant in
20
21
22
241 release sites (discovery efficiency $r^2= 0.11$, $F_{(1,6)}=0.72$, $p= 0.43$; parasitism rate $r^2=0.23$, $F_{(1,6)}=1.78$,
23
24
25
242 $p= 0.23$), thus showing an independent response of parasitism parameters on egg mass
26
27
28
243 abundance (Fig. 2 and Fig. 3). Finally, parasitism rate by *A. bifasciatus* was also positively
29
30
31
244 correlated with the total number of parasitoids released in each site ($r_s = 0.85$ $p<0.01$, Fig. 4).
32
33
245 The discovery efficiency by *Trissolcus mitsukurii* fluctuated between 0 and 39.3%, while its
34
35
36
246 parasitism rate ranged in a 0-31.6% interval. Both parasitism parameters were neither affected by
37
38
39
247 the releases of *A. bifasciatus* nor by egg mass abundance per sites; the interaction
40
41
42
248 release*number of egg masses did not show any significant effects as well. Therefore, parasitism
43
44
249 by *T. mitsukurii* was not dependent on host density.
45
46
250 The exploitation efficiency, expressed as the number of emerged parasitoids out of the total
47
48
49
251 number of eggs within the discovered egg masses, was lower for *A. bifasciatus* (median among 53
50
51
252 egg masses = 53.6%) than for *T. mitsukurii* (median among 29 egg masses = 92.9%) (Fig. 5).
52
53

253 54 55 56 254 **4. Discussion** 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65

255 Releases of *A. bifasciatus* significantly increased the discovery efficiency and parasitism rate of *H.*
1
2
256 *halys* egg masses by this native parasitoid compared to control sites. Both parasitism parameters
3
4
257 by naturally occurring *A. bifasciatus* increased with the host density (i.e. number of egg masses) at
5
6
258 control sites, whereas parasitism was not dependent on host density at release sites. This means
7
8
9
10
259 that releases of *A. bifasciatus* enhanced its impact on *H. halys* also at low abundance of host egg
11
12
260 masses. As host density increased, the difference in parasitism between control and release sites
13
14
261 decreased.
15
16
17
262 In the control sites, foraging females of *A. bifasciatus* may have been affected by various stimuli
18
19
20
263 linked to pest density, since they are known to exploit host-associated cues for egg location, like
21
22
264 oviposition-induced plant volatiles and kairomones from male bugs and/or gravid females (Conti
23
24
25
265 and Colazza, 2012; Rondoni et al., 2017). On the other hand, in release sites, the high number of
26
27
28
266 released individuals likely promoted a response that was not related to host density. The
29
30
31
267 parasitism rate by *A. bifasciatus* was also positively correlated with the total number of parasitoids
32
33
34
268 released per release site, thus corroborating the significant effect of the augmentative releases.
35
36
269 Parasitism by the adventive *T. mitsukurii* was similar in release and control sites. Therefore,
37
38
39
270 augmentation of *A. bifasciatus* did not affect natural parasitism by *T. mitsukurii*. Although no
40
41
42
271 significant differences could be detected between release and control sites for total discovery
43
44
272 efficiency and total parasitization rate, both parameters showed a tendency to rise were *A.*
45
46
273 *bifasciatus* was released, thus suggesting a possible additive effect. Exploitation efficiency of *A.*
47
48
49
274 *bifasciatus* and *T. mitsukurii* in our study was quite in line with that previously reported (Scaccini
50
51
275 et al., 2020; Zapponi et al., 2020, 2021).
52
53
54
276 *Anastatus bifasciatus* was the dominant parasitoid in most studies carried out in Northern Italy
55
56
277 (Sabbatini Peverieri et al., 2018; Costi et al., 2019; Moraglio et al., 2020; Zapponi et al., 2020), with
57
58
59
278 the exception of areas in North-eastern Italy (Scaccini et al., 2020), where an overall prevalence of
60
61
62
63
64
65

279 *T. mitsukurii* was recorded. In these areas, *A. bifasciatus* was the second most abundant parasitoid
1
2
280 and another native species, *Trissolcus kozlovi* Rjachovskij (Hymenoptera: Scelionidae), emerged
3
4
281 from field-collected *H. halys* egg masses (Moraglio et al., 2021b).
5
6
7
282 The potential coexistence of indigenous and exotic parasitoids of *H. halys*, which was anticipated
8
9
10
283 relying on laboratory investigations (Konopka et al., 2017), was then observed in several field
11
12
284 surveys (Sabbatini Peverieri et al., 2018; Stahl et al., 2019b; Moraglio et al., 2020; Scaccini et al.,
13
14
15
285 2020; Zapponi et al., 2020, 2021; Rot et al., 2021). Our study confirmed that the native *A.*
16
17
286 *bifasciatus* and the exotic *T. mitsukurii* can coexist even when *A. bifasciatus* populations are
18
19
20
287 augmented for biological control. This aspect is noteworthy, considering the continuous expansion
21
22
288 of *T. mitsukurii* and *T. japonicus* in Europe, as demonstrated by a large-scale survey recently
23
24
25
289 performed in Northern Italy and Switzerland (Zapponi et al., 2021). The occurrence of adventive
26
27
290 populations of these exotic parasitoids can lead to complex and dynamic relationships evolving
28
29
30
291 over time.
31
32
33
292 Although our results indicate that releases of *A. bifasciatus* do not interfere with other parasitoids
34
35
36
293 exploiting *H. halys*, parasitoid guilds are hardly predictable and different effects should be
37
38
294 considered in the long term. For instance, augmentative releases of *A. bifasciatus* might boost the
39
40
41
295 natural populations of this native species, eventually increasing its efficacy in pest suppression. On
42
43
44
296 the other hand, new exotic species could be introduced accidentally or for biocontrol purpose in
45
46
297 the areas invaded by *H. halys*. One example is the exotic *T. japonicus*, which is adventive in North-
47
48
49
298 western Italy and has been recently released in several Italian regions for biological control of *H.*
50
51
299 *halys*, after authorization by the Italian government (Bittau et al. 2021). Future studies will be
52
53
54
300 needed to clarify the spatio-temporal dynamics of the parasitoid guild after the introduction of *T.*
55
56
301 *japonicus*.
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

302 Synergistic interactions may have the potential to improve the suppression of *H. halys* populations
1
2
303 in the long term (Leskey and Nielsen, 2018; Moraglio et al., 2020). However, in Europe the
3
4
304 application of classical biological control is regulated by stringent risk assessments that may
5
6
7
305 hamper the introduction of exotic biological control agents, boosting the exploitation of native
8
9
306 species. The native parasitoid *A. bifasciatus* established a new association with the invasive host *H.*
10
11
307 *halys*, and our data indicate a high egg mass discovery efficiency in the field, as was suggested by
12
13
14
308 its positive response to volatiles associated with the new host (Rondoni et al., 2017). *Anastatus*
15
16
17
309 *bifasciatus* had been already considered for augmentative biological control programs in Europe
18
19
20
310 (Stahl et al., 2018; Stahl et al. 2019a) and for this reason it was selected for mass rearing and
21
22
23
311 release in the present study.
24

25
26
312 Another aspect that should be considered when evaluating the efficacy of a parasitoid is the
27
28
313 overall impact on the population-level suppression of the host. Usually wasp emergence rate is
29
30
314 primarily used as a measure of parasitism rate, without considering the proportion of eggs that do
31
32
33
315 not hatch following parasitoid activity, which ranges between 10-26% in *H. halys* (Abram et al.,
34
35
36
316 2014, 2016; Haye et al., 2015; Cornelius et al., 2016b). Besides successful parasitism, this
37
38
39
317 additional source of mortality contributes to the impact of a parasitoid on a pest (Jervis et al.,
40
41
42
318 1996), and it can be ascribed to host feeding, to unsuccessful probing of the host egg that can lead
43
44
45
319 to abortion, or to parasitoid incapability to complete development. Host feeding is an important
46
47
48
320 biological trait of *A. bifasciatus*, and this should be considered when evaluating biological control
49
50
51
321 programs using this species. Previous laboratory studies concluded that the number of eggs killed
52
53
54
322 by host feeding is nearly as high as the number of eggs killed by parasitization, and can
55
56
57
323 significantly contribute to the efficacy of biological control (Konopka et al., 2017; Stahl et al.,
58
59
324 2019a). In our study, the percentage of unhatched eggs at the release sites was nearly twice as
60
61
62
325 high as at control sites, although this difference was not statistically supported. Released *A.*
63
64
65

326 *bifasciatus* may have contributed to kill host eggs, but the overall mortality of *H. halys* eggs in field
1
2
327 conditions is linked to a number of other factors that could introduce a bias in such evaluation.
3
4
328 During our monitoring, very few egg masses of non-target hosts were found, including *N. viridula*
6
7
329 and *P. prasina*. In spite of that, eggs of both species were parasitized by *A. bifasciatus*, confirming
8
9
330 its wide host range. However, the few available data do not allow to draw any final consideration
11
12
331 and specific studies should be carried out to acquire a more complete picture of non-target effects
14
15
332 in the field. On the other hand, both non-target species can be also parasitized by the exotic
16
17
333 parasitoids *T. japonicus* and *T. mitsukurii* (Haye et al., 2020; Dieckhoff et al., 2021; Giovannini et
19
20
334 al., 2021). A polyphagous native parasitoid does not necessarily hinder other species (van Lenteren
21
22
335 et al., 2006; van Lenteren and Loomans, 2006) and its activity does not necessarily translate into
24
25
336 adverse effects in the field. For instance, the wide host range of *A. bifasciatus* can favour its
26
27
337 colonization of cultivated areas. Additionally, heteropteran hosts of *A. bifasciatus* (Stahl et al.,
28
29
338 2018, 2019b; Zapponi et al., 2020; Moraglio et al., 2021a) are mostly considered pests or very
30
31
339 common species. Only a few potential moth species can be considered as “undesired” targets, but
32
33
340 their occurrence is rare in agroecosystems (Masetti et al., 2017), which are the main target
34
35
341 environments of the introductions of *A. bifasciatus*. Furthermore Stahl et al. (2018) demonstrated
36
37
342 that quality and size of the host eggs largely affect the fitness of *A. bifasciatus*. Eggs of most
38
39
343 lepidoptera are less suitable for *A. bifasciatus* compared to heteropteran eggs as the small size
40
41
344 that is typical of moths (<0.7 mg) leads to emergence of mostly male offspring which would not
42
43
345 contribute to the growth of the parasitoid population. Nevertheless, a costs and benefits balance
44
45
346 must be figured out, considering possible non-target effects on one hand and the damage caused
46
47
347 by *H. halys*, combined with the environmental risks due to the increased use of broad-spectrum
48
49
348 insecticides against this pest, on the other hand.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

349 In our augmentative biocontrol study, we assessed the efficacy of *A. bifasciatus* releases by
1
2
350 sampling egg masses naturally laid in the field. This allowed collecting more realistic data than
3
4
351 using sentinel eggs. Jones et al. (2014) found that parasitism rates were significantly higher on egg
5
6
352 masses naturally laid by *H. halys* compared to sentinel egg masses. Use of sentinel eggs leads to
7
8
9
353 underestimation of parasitism rate possibly because of egg mass age or handling methods that
10
11
354 may eliminate host-finding kairomones or other stimuli (Leskey and Nielsen, 2018), including host-
12
13
14
355 induced plant volatiles (Rondoni et al., 2017). Moreover, the use of freeze-killed sentinel eggs
15
16
17
356 does not allow assessing the number of eggs killed by host feeding (Leskey and Nielsen, 2018).
18
19
20
357 Results of other studies were consistent with these explanations. For instance, augmentative
21
22
23
358 releases of *A. bifasciatus* were tested over three consecutive years in fruit orchards in Switzerland
24
25
26
359 and in Italy (Stahl et al., 2019a). In this experiment, parasitization of *H. halys* sentinel eggs
27
28
360 averaged 6% (range: 2%–16%). However, as stated by the authors, the impact of *A. bifasciatus* on
29
30
361 *H. halys* eggs was likely underestimated because of the use of frozen egg masses. In other surveys
31
32
33
362 conducted in Northern Italy using sentinel eggs obtained in the laboratory or laid in cages, *A.*
34
35
363 *bifasciatus* showed very low parasitism rates (Costi et al., 2019).
36
37
38
364 In conclusion, releases of *A. bifasciatus* for augmentative biological control of *H. halys* enhanced
39
40
41
365 the parasitism parameters used to evaluate the performance by this native European species, and
42
43
44
366 led to an increased level of pest suppression especially in areas where pest density was low.
45
46
47
367 Moreover, parasitization of the exotic *T. mitsukurii* was not affected by the release of *A.*
48
49
50
368 *bifasciatus*. We might expect that field releases of *A. bifasciatus* to control the exotic pest could
51
52
53
369 lead to an overall increase of biodiversity in the agroecosystems, as they promote a reduction of
54
55
56
370 chemical pressure, which is one of the most detrimental factors to biodiversity (Ogburn et al.,
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
2021). Studies are in progress to evaluate the dynamic scenario resulting from inoculative releases

372 of the exotic *T. japonicus*, which would lead to multi-species interactions and potential additive or
1
2
373 even synergistic effects for biological control of *H. halys*.
3
4

374

6

7
375

Acknowledgements

8
9

376

We are grateful to prof. Daniele Torreggiani (Department of Agricultural and Food Sciences –

11

12
377

DISTAL, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy) for the preparation of the map in Fig. 1.

14

15
378

16

17

379

Declaration of competing interest

19

20
380

The authors of this manuscript declare that they do not have any conflicts of interest, other than

22

23
381

Alessia Iacovone and Marco Mosti who work for Bioplanet s.r.l. (Cesena, Italy), a biological control

24

25
382

company which has provided the individuals of *Anastatus bifasciatus*.

27

28
383

No specific funding has been received for this study; the released parasitoids were purchased by

29

30
384

local farmers.

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

385 **Table and Figure caption**

1
2
386
3
4
387
6
7
388
8
9
389
11
12
390
13
14
391
15
16
17
392
19
20
393
21
22
394
24
25
395
26
27
396
29
30
397
32
33
398
34
35
399
37
38
400
39
40
401
42
43
402
45
46
403
47
48
404
50
51
405
52
53
406
55
56
407
58
59
408
60
61
62
63
64
65

Table 1. Discovery efficiencies, parasitism rates and percentages of unhatched eggs evaluated in 8 sites in which *Anastatus bifasciatus* was released and in 11 control (no release) sites. Only sites in which at least three naturally laid egg masses of *Halyomorpha halys* were collected are considered.

Fig. 1. Release and control (no release) sites considered for evaluating parasitism parameters. Only sites in which at least three naturally laid egg masses of *Halyomorpha halys* were collected are shown in the map.

Fig. 2. Relationship between discovery efficiencies by *Anastatus bifasciatus* (square root transformed, y-axis) and abundance of *Halyomorpha halys* egg masses (x-axis) in release and control sites ($y=0.02x-0.10$, $p<0.001$)

Fig. 3. Relationship between parasitism rates by *Anastatus bifasciatus* (square root transformed, y-axis) and abundance of *Halyomorpha halys* egg masses (x-axis) in release and control sites ($y=0.02x-0.09$, $p<0.001$).

Fig. 4. Correlation between parasitism rates by *Anastatus bifasciatus* (y-axis) and total number of parasitoids released (x-axis) in the sampled sites ($r_s = 0.85$, $P<0.05$).

Fig. 5. Exploitation efficiency of *H. halys* egg masses by *Anastatus bifasciatus* and *Trissolcus mitsukurii*. White spots and asterisks represent outliers.

409
1
2
410
3
4
411
5
6
7
412
8
9
413
10
11
12
414
13
14
15
415
16
17
416
18
19
20
417
21
22
23
418
24
25
419
26
27
420
28
29
30
421
31
32
33
422
34
35
423
36
37
38
424
39
40
425
41
42
43
426
44
45
46
427
47
48
49
50
51
429
52
53
54
55
56
431
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

References

- Abram, P.K., Brodeur, J., Burte, V., Boivin, G., 2016. Parasitoid-induced host egg abortion: an underappreciated component of biological control services provided by egg parasitoids. *Biol. Control* 98, 52–60. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2016.04.002>.
- Abram, P.K., Garipey, T.D., Boivin, G., Brodeur, J., 2014. An invasive stink bug as an evolutionary trap for an indigenous egg parasitoid. *Biol. Invasions* 16, 1387–1395. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-013-0576-y>.
- Abram, P.K., Hoelmer, K.A., Acebes-Doria, A.L., Andrews, H., Beers, E.H., Bergh, J.C., Bessin, R., Biddinger, D., Botch, P., Buffington, M.L., Cornelius, M.L., Costi, E., Delfosse, E.S., Dieckhoff, C., Dobson, R., Donais, Z., Grieshop, M., Hamilton, G., Haye, T., Hedstrom, C., Herlihy, M.V., Hoddle, M.S., Hooks, C.R.R., Jentsch, P., Joshi, N.K., Kuhar, T.P., Lara, J., Lee, J.C., Legrand, A., Leskey, T.C., Lowenstein, D., Maistrello, L., Matthews, C.R., Milnes, J.M., Morrison, W.R., Nielsen, A.L., Ogburn, E.C., Pickett, C.H., Poley, K., Pote, J., Radi, J., Shrewsbury, P.M., Talamas, E., Tavella, L., Walgenbach, J.F., Waterworth, R., Weber, D.C., Welty, C., Wiman, N.G., 2017. Indigenous arthropod natural enemies of the invasive brown marmorated stink bug in North America and Europe. *J. Pest Sci.* 90, 1009–1020. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-017-0891-7>.
- Askew, R.R., Nieves-Aldrey, J.L., 2004. Further observations on Eupelminae (Hymenoptera: Chalcidoidea, Eupelmidae) in the Iberian Peninsula and Canary Islands, including descriptions of new species. *Graellsia* 60, 27–39.

432 Avila, G.A., Chen, J., Li, W., Alavi, M., Mi, Q., Sandanayaka, M., Zhang, F., Zhang, J., 2021. Seasonal
1
2
433 abundance and aiversity of egg parasitoids of *Halyomorpha halys* in kiwifruit orchards in China.
3
4
434 Insects 12, 428. [https://doi.org/ 10.3390/insects12050428](https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12050428).
5
6
7
435
8
9
436 Bale, J., 2011. Harmonization of regulations for invertebrate biocontrol agents in Europe: progress,
10
11
12
437 problems and solutions. J. Appl. Entomol. 135, 503–513. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439->
13
14
15
438 [0418.2011.01611.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0418.2011.01611.x).
16
17
18
439
19
20
440 Bin, F., Vinson, S. B., 1990. Efficacy assessment in egg parasitoids (Hymenoptera): proposal for a
21
22
23
441 unified terminology, in: Wajnberg, E., Vinson, S.B. (Eds.), Proceedings of the *Trichogramma* and
24
25
442 other egg parasitoids. 3rd international symposium on le colloques de l'INRA, San Antonio, TX,
26
27
443 USA, 23–27 September 1990; Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique: Paris, France, 1991;
28
29
30
444 56, pp. 175–179.
31
32
33
445
34
35
446 Bittau, B., Dindo, M. L., Burgio, G., Sabbatini-Peverieri, G., Hoelmer, K. A., Roversi, P.F., Masetti, A.,
36
37
38
447 2021. Implementing mass rearing of *Trissolcus japonicus* (Hymenoptera: Scelionidae) on cold-
39
40
448 stored host eggs. Insects 12, 840. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12090840>.
41
42
43
449
44
45
46
450 Bout, A., Tortorici, F., Hamidi, R., Warot, S., Tavella, L., Thomas, M., 2021. First detection of the
47
48
451 adventive egg parasitoid of *Halyomorpha halys* (Stål) (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) *Trissolcus*
49
50
51
452 *mitsukurii* (Ashmead) (Hymenoptera: Scelionidae) in France. Insects 12, 761.
52
53
453 <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12090761>.
54
55
56
454
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

455 Cock, M.J.W., van Lenteren, J.C., Brodeur, J., Barratt, B.I.P., Bigler, F., Bolckmans, K., Cònsoli, F.L.,
1
2
456 Haas, F., Mason, P.G., Parra, J.R.P., 2010. Do new access and benefit sharing procedures under the
3
4
457 convention on biological diversity threaten the future of biological control? *BioControl* 55, 199–
6
7
458 218. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10526-009-9234-9>.
8
9
459
11
12
460 Conti, E., Avila, G., Barratt, B., Cingolani, F., Colazza, S., Guarino, S., Hoelmer, K., Laumann, R.A.,
14
15
461 Maistrello, L., Martel, G., Peri, E., Rodriguez-Saona, C., Rondoni, G., Rostás, M., Roversi, P.F.,
16
17
462 Sforza, R.F.H., Tavella, L., Wajnberg, E., 2021. Biological control of invasive stink bugs: review of
19
20
463 global state and future prospects. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* 169, 28–51.
21
22
464 <https://doi.org/10.1111/eea.12967>.
24
25
465
26
27
466 Conti, E., Colazza, S., 2012. Chemical ecology of egg parasitoids associated with true bugs. *Psyche*
29
30
467 2012: 651015. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/651015>.
32
33
468
34
35
469 Cornelius, M.L., Dieckhoff, C., Hoelmer, K.A., Olsen, R.T., Weber, D.C., Herlihy, M.V., Talamas, E.J.,
37
38
470 Vinyard, B.T., Greenstone, M.H., 2016a. Biological control of sentinel egg masses of the exotic
39
40
471 invasive stink bug *Halyomorpha halys* (Stål) in mid-Atlantic USA ornamental landscapes. *Biol.*
42
43
472 *Control* 103, 11–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2016.07.011>.
45
46
473
47
48
494 Cornelius, M.L., Dieckhoff, C., Vinyard, B.T., Hoelmer, K.A., 2016b. Parasitism and predation on
50
51
475 sentinel egg masses of the brown marmorated stink bug (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) in three
52
53
476 vegetables crops: importance of dissections for evaluating the impact of native parasitoids on an
55
56
477 exotic pest. *Environ. Entomol.* 45, 1536–1542. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/nvw134>.
58
59
478
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 479 Costi, E., Haye, T., Maistrello, L., 2019. Surveying native egg parasitoids and predators of the
1
2
480 invasive *Halyomorpha halys* in Northern Italy. J. Appl. Entomol. 143, 299–307.
3
4
481 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jen.12590>.
5
6
7
482
8
9
483 De Clercq, P., Mason, P.G., Babendreier, D., 2011. Benefits and risks of exotic biological control
10
11
12
484 agents. BioControl 56, 681–698. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10526-011-9372-8>.
13
14
15
485
16
17
486 Dieckhoff, C., Tatman, K.M., Hoelmer, K.A., 2017. Natural biological control of *Halyomorpha halys*
18
19
20
487 by native egg parasitoids: a multi-year survey in northern Delaware. J. Pest Sci. 90, 1143–1158.
21
22
488 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-017-0868-6>.
23
24
25
489
26
27
490 Dieckhoff, C., Wenz, S., Renninger, M., Reißig, A., Rauleder, H., Zebitz, C.P.W., Reetz, J.,
28
29
30
491 Zimmermann, O., 2021. Add Germany to the list—adventive population of *Trissolcus japonicus*
31
32
33
492 (Ashmead) (Hymenoptera: Scelionidae) emerges in Germany. Insects 12, 414.
34
35
493 <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12050414>.
36
37
38
494
39
40
495 Francati, S., Masetti, A., Martinelli, R., Mirandola, D., Anteghini, G., Busi, R., Dalmonte, F., Spinelli,
41
42
43
496 F., Burgio, G., Dindo, M.L., 2021. *Halyomorpha halys* (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) on Kiwifruit in
44
45
46
497 Northern Italy: Phenology, Infestation, and Natural Enemies Assessment. J. Econ. Entomol. 114 (4),
47
48
498 1733–1742. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/toab126>.
49
50
51
499
52
53
500 Giovannini, L., Sabbatini-Peverieri, G., Marianelli, L., Rondoni, G., Conti, E., Roversi, P.F., 2021.
54
55
56
501 Physiological host range of *Trissolcus mitsukurii*, a candidate biological control agent of
57
58
59
502 *Halyomorpha halys* in Europe. J. Pest. Sci. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-021-01415-x>
60
61
62
63
64
65

503
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Haye, T., Fischer, S., Zhang, J., Garipey, T., 2015. Can native egg parasitoids adopt the invasive brown marmorated stink bug, *Halyomorpha halys* (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae), in Europe? J. Pest Sci. 88, 693–705. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-015-0671-1>.

Haye, T., Moraglio, S.T., Stahl, J., Visentin, S., Gregorio, T., Tavella, L., 2020. Fundamental host range of *Trissolcus japonicus* in Europe. J. Pest Sci. 93, 171–182. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-019-01127-3>.

Herlihy, M.V., Talamas, E.J., Weber, D.C., 2016. Attack and success of native and exotic parasitoids on eggs of *Halyomorpha halys* in three Maryland habitats. PLoS ONE 11, e0150275. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0150275>.

Hoddle, M.S., 2004. The strength of biological control in the battle against invasive pests: a reply. Conserv. Biol. 18, 61–64. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2003.00172.x>.

Hou, Z., Liang, H., Chen, Q., Hu, Y., Tian, H., 2009. Application of *Anastatus* sp. against *Halyomorpha halys*. Forest Pest and Disease. 4, 39–43.

Jervis, M.A., Hawkins, B.A., Kidd, N.A., 1996. The usefulness of destructive host feeding parasitoids in classical biological control: theory and observation conflict. Ecol. Entomol. 21, 41–46. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2311.1996.tb00264.x>.

526 Johnson, N.F., 1984. Systematics of Nearctic *Telenomus*: classification and revisions of the *podisi*
1
2
527 and *phymatae* species groups (Hymenoptera: Scelionidae). Bull. Ohio Biol. Survey 6, 1–113.
3
4
528
6
7
529 Jones, A.L., Jennings, D.E., Hooks, C.R.R., Shrewsbury, P.M., 2014. Sentinel eggs underestimate
8
9
530 rates of parasitism of the exotic brown marmorated stink bug, *Halyomorpha halys*. Biol. Control
10
11
531 78, 61–66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2014.07.011>.
12
13
14
15
532
16
17
533 Jones, A.L., Jennings, D.E., Hooks, C.R.R., Shrewsbury, P.M., 2017. Field surveys of egg mortality
18
19
534 and indigenous egg parasitoids of the brown marmorated stink bug, *Halyomorpha halys*, in
20
21
22
535 ornamental nurseries in the Mid-Atlantic Region of the USA. J. Pest Sci. 90, 1159–1168.
23
24
536 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-017-0890-8>.
25
26
27
28
537
29
30
538 Konopka, J.K., Haye, T., Gariepy, T.D., McNeil, J.N., 2017. Possible coexistence of native and exotic
31
32
539 parasitoids and their impact on control of *Halyomorpha halys*. J. Pest Sci. 90, 1119–1125.
33
34
35
540 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-017-0851-2>.
36
37
38
541
39
40
542 Kozlov, M.A., Kononova, S.V., 1983. Telenominae of the fauna of the USSR. Nauka, Leningrad, p
41
42
543 336.
43
44
45
46
544
47
48
545 Leskey, T.C., Nielsen, A.L., 2018. Impact of the Invasive Brown Marmorated Stink Bug in North
49
50
51
546 America and Europe: History, Biology, Ecology, and Management. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 63, 599–
52
53
547 618. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-020117-043226>.
54
55
56
548
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

549 Masetti, A., Arpaia S., Ghesini, S., Magagnoli, S., Baldacchino, F., Magarelli, R.A., Neri, U, Lener, M.,
1
2
550 Rastelli, V., Staiano, G., Lang, A., Marini, M., Burgio, G., 2017. Macro-moths as possible assessment
3
4
551 endpoints for non-target effects of Bt-maize pollen: a faunistic study in three Italian protected
5
6
7
552 areas. Bull. Insectol. 70 (2): 307-314. ISSN 1721-8861.
8
9
553
10
11
554 Moraglio, S.T., Tortorici, F., Pansa, M.G., Castelli, G., Pontini, M., Scovero, S., Visentin, S., Tavella,
12
13
14
555 L., 2020. A 3-year survey on parasitism of *Halyomorpha halys* by egg parasitoids in northern Italy.
15
16
17
556 J. Pest Sci. 93, 183–194. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-019-01136-2>.
18
19
20
557
21
22
558 Moraglio, S.T., Tortorici, F., Giromini, D., Pansa, M.G., Visentin, S., Tavella, L., 2021a. Field
23
24
25
559 collection of egg parasitoids of Pentatomidae and Scutelleridae in Northwest Italy and their
26
27
28
560 efficacy in parasitizing *Halyomorpha halys* under laboratory conditions. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 169,
29
30
31
561 52–63. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eea.12966>.
32
33
562
34
35
563 Moraglio, S.T., Tortorici, F., Visentin, S., Pansa, M.G., Tavella, L., 2021b. *Trissolcus kozlovi* in North
36
37
38
564 Italy: host specificity and augmentative releases against *Halyomorpha halys* in hazelnut orchards.
39
40
41
565 Insects 12, 464. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12050464>.
42
43
44
566
45
46
567 Musolin, D.L., Konjević, A., Karpun, N.N., Protsenko, V.Y., Ayba, L.Y., Saulich, A.K., 2018. Invasive
47
48
49
568 brown marmorated stink bug *Halyomorpha halys* (Stål) (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae) in Russia,
50
51
52
569 Abkhazia, and Serbia: history of invasion, range expansion, early stages of establishment, and first
53
54
55
570 records of damage to local crops. Arthropod-Plant Interact. 12, 517–529.
56
571 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11829-017-9583-8>.
58
59
572
60
61
62
63
64
65

573 Ogburn, E.C., Bessin, R., Dieckhoff, C., Dobson, R., Grieshop, M., Hoelmer, K.M., Mathews, C.,
1
2
574 Moore, J., Nielsen, A.L., Poley, K., Pote, J.M., Rogers, M., Welty, C., Walgenbach, J.F., 2016. Natural
3
4
575 enemy impact on eggs of the invasive brown marmorated stink bug, *Halyomorpha halys* (Stål)
6
7
576 (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae), in organic agroecosystems: a regional assessment. *Biol. Control* 101,
8
9
577 39–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2016.06.002>.
10
11
12
578
13
14
579 Ogburn, E.C., Heintz-Botz, A.S., Talamas, E.J., Walgenbach, J.F., 2021. Biological control of
15
16
17
580 *Halyomorpha halys* (Stål) (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) in apple orchards versus corn fields and their
18
19
20
581 adjacent woody habitats: high versus low pesticide-input agroecosystems. *Biol. Control* 152,
21
22
582 104457. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2020.104457>.
23
24
25
583
26
27
584 Pezzini, D.T., Nystrom Santacruz, E.C., Koch, R.L., 2018. Predation and parasitism of *Halyomorpha*
28
29
30
585 *halys* (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) eggs in Minnesota. *Environ. Entomol.* 47, 812–821.
31
32
586 <https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/nvy085>.
33
34
35
587
36
37
588 Qiu, L.F., Yang, Z.Q., Tao, W.Q., 2007. Biology and population dynamics of *Trissolcus*
38
39
40
589 *halymorphae*. *Sci. Silvae Sin.* 43, 62–65. <https://doi.org/10.11707/j.1001-7488.20071111>.
41
42
43
590
44
45
591 Rondoni, G., Bertoldi, V., Malek, R., Foti, M.C., Peri, E., Maistrello, L., Haye, T., Conti, E., 2017.
46
47
48
592 Native egg parasitoids recorded from the invasive *Halyomorpha halys* successfully exploit volatiles
49
50
51
593 emitted by the plant–herbivore complex. *J. Pest Sci.* 90, 1087–1095.
52
53
594 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-017-0861-0>.
54
55
56
595
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 596 Rot, M., Devetak, M., Carlevaris, B., Žežlina, J., Žežlina, I., 2018. First record of brown marmorated
1
2
597 stink bug (*Halyomorpha halys* Stål, 1855) (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) in Slovenia. Acta Entomol.
3
4
598 Slov. 26, 5–12.
6
7
599
8
9
600 Rot, M., Maistrello, L., Costi, E., Bernardinelli, I., Malossini, G., Benvenuto, L., Trdan, S., 2021.
11
12
601 Native and Non-Native Egg Parasitoids Associated with Brown Marmorated Stink Bug
14
15
602 (*Halyomorpha halys* [Stål, 1855]; Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) in Western Slovenia. Insects 12, 505.
16
17
603 <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12060505>.
19
20
604
21
22
605 Roversi, P.F., Binazzi, F., Marianelli, L., Costi, E., Maistrello, L., Sabbatini Peverieri, G., 2016.
24
25
606 Searching for native egg-parasitoids of the invasive alien species *Halyomorpha halys* Stål
26
27
607 (Heteroptera, Pentatomidae) in Southern Europe. Redia. 99, 63–70.
28
29
30
608 <http://dx.doi.org/10.19263/REDIA-99.16.01>.
32
33
609
34
35
610 Sabbatini Peverieri, G., Talamas, E., Bon, M.C., Marianelli, L., Bernardinelli, I., Malossini, G.,
37
38
611 Benvenuto, L., Roversi, P.F., Hoelmer, K., 2018. Two Asian egg parasitoids of *Halyomorpha halys*
39
40
612 (Stål) (Hemiptera, Pentatomidae) emerge in northern Italy: *Trissolcus mitsukurii* (Ashmead) and
42
43
613 *Trissolcus japonicus* (Ashmead) (Hymenoptera, Scelionidae). J. Hymenopt. Res. 67, 37–53.
45
46
614 <https://doi.org/10.3897/jhr.67.30883>.
47
48
615
50
51
616 Sabbatini Peverieri, G., Mitroiu, M.D., Bon, M.C., Balusu, R., Benvenuto, L., Bernardinelli, I.,
52
53
617 Fadamiro, H., Falagiarda, M., Fusu, L., Grove, E., Haye, T., Hoelmer, K., Lemke, E., Malossini, G.,
55
56
618 Marianelli, L., Moore, M.R., Pozzebon, A., Roversi, P.F., Scaccini, D., Shrewsbury, P., Tillman, G.,
58
59
619 Tirello, P., Waterworth, R., Talamas, E.J., 2019. Surveys of stink bug egg parasitism in Asia, Europe
60
61
62
63
64
65

620 and North America, morphological taxonomy, and molecular analysis reveal the Holarctic
1
2
621 distribution of *Acroclisoides sinicus* (Huang & Liao) (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae). J. Hymenopt.
3
4
622 Res. 74, 123–151. <https://doi.org/10.3897/jhr.74.46701>.
6
7
623
8
9
624 Šapina, I., Jelaska, L.Š., 2018. First report of invasive brown marmorated stink bug *Halyomorpha*
11
12
625 *halys* (Stål, 1855) in Croatia. EPPO Bull. 48, 138–143. <https://doi.org/10.1111/epp.12449>.
14
15
626
16
17
627 Scaccini, D., Falagiarda, M., Tortorici, F., Martinez-Sañudo, I., Tirello, P., Reyes-Domínguez, Y.,
19
20
628 Gallmetzer, A., Tavella, L., Zandigiacomo, P., Duso, C., Pozzebon, A., 2020. An Insight into the Role
21
22
629 of *Trissolcus mitsukurii* as Biological Control Agent of *Halyomorpha halys* in Northeastern Italy.
24
25
630 Insects 11, 306. <https://doi.10.3390/insects11050306>
26
27
631
28
29
30
632 Stahl, J.M., Tortorici, F., Pontini, M., Bon, M.C., Hoelmer, K., Marazzi, C., Tavella, L., Haye, T.,
32
33
633 2019a. First discovery of adventive populations of *Trissolcus japonicus* in Europe. J. Pest Sci. 92,
34
35
634 371–379. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10340-018-1061-2>.
37
38
635
39
40
636 Stahl, J.M., Babendreier, D., Haye, T., 2018. Using the egg parasitoid *Anastatus bifasciatus* against
42
43
637 the invasive brown marmorated stink bug in Europe: Can non-target effects be ruled out? J. Pest
45
46
638 Sci. 91, 1005–1017. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-018-0969-x>.
47
48
639
50
51
640 Stahl, J.M., Babendreier, D., Marazzi, C., Caruso, S., Costi, E., Maistrello, L., Haye, T., 2019a. Can
52
53
641 *Anastatus bifasciatus* be used for augmentative biological control of the brown marmorated stink
55
56
642 bug in fruit orchards? Insects. 10, 108–121. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects10040108>.
57
58
643
60
61
62
63
64
65

644 Stahl, J.M., Tortorici, F., Pontini, M., Bon, M.C., Hoelmer, K., Marazzi, C., Tavella, L., Haye, T.,
1
2
645 2019b. First discovery of adventive populations of *Trissolcus japonicus* in Europe. J. Pest Sci. 92,
3
4
646 371–379. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10340-018-1061-2>.
6
7
647
8
9
10
648 Talamas, E.J., Buffington, M.L., Hoelmer, K.A., 2017. Revision of palearctic *Trissolcus* Ashmead
11
12
649 (Hymenoptera, Scelionidae). J. Hymenopt. Res. 56, 3–185. <https://doi.org/10.3897/jhr.56.10158>.
13
14
15
650
16
17
651 Talamas, E.J., Johnson, N.F., Buffington, M., 2015. Key to Nearctic species of *Trissolcus* Ashmead
18
19
652 (Hymenoptera, Scelionidae), natural enemies of native and invasive stink bugs (Hemiptera,
20
21
22
653 Pentatomidae). J. Hymenopt. Res. 43, 45–110. <https://doi.org/10.3897/JHR.43.8560>.
23
24
25
654
26
27
655 Valentin, R.E., Nielsen, A.L., Wiman, N.G., Lee, D.H., Fonseca, D.M., 2017. Global invasion network
28
29
30
656 of the brown marmorated stink bug, *Halyomorpha halys*. Sci. Rep. 7, 9866.
31
32
33
657 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-10315-z>.
34
35
658
36
37
38
659 van Lenteren, J.C., Bale, J., Bigler, F., Hokkanen, H.M., Loomans, A.J., 2006. Assessing risks of
39
40
41
660 releasing exotic biological control agents of arthropod pests. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 51, 609–634.
42
43
661 <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.51.110104.151129>.
44
45
46
662
47
48
663 van Lenteren, J.C., Bolckmans, K., Köhl, J., Ravensberg, W.J., Urbaneja, A., 2018. Biological control
49
50
51
664 using invertebrates and microorganisms: plenty of new opportunities. BioControl. 63, 39–59.
52
53
665 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10526-017-9801-4>.
54
55
56
666
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

667 van Lenteren, J.C., Loomans, A.J.M., 2006. Environmental risk assessment: methods for
1
2
668 comprehensive evaluation and quick scan, in: Bigler, F., Babendreier, D., Kuhlmann, U. (Eds.),
3
4
669 Environmental impact of invertebrates in biological control of arthropods: methods and risk
5
6
7
670 assessment. Wallingford, UK: CAB Int. 300, pp. 254–72.
8
9
671 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1079/9780851990583.0254>.
10
11
12
672
13
14
15
673 Zapponi, L., Bon, M.C., Fouani, J.M., Anfora, G., Schmidt, S., Falagiarda, M., 2020. Assemblage of
16
17
674 the egg parasitoids of the invasive stink bug *Halyomorpha halys*: insights on plant host
18
19
20
675 associations. *Insects* 11, 588. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects11090588>.
21
22
23
676
24
25
677 Zapponi, L., Tortorici, F., Anfora, G., Bardella, S., Bariselli, M., Benvenuto, L., Bernardinelli, I.,
26
27
678 Butturini, A., Caruso, S., Colla, R., Costi, E., Culatti, P., Di Bella, E., Falagiarda, M., Giovannini, L.,
28
29
30
679 Haye, T., Maistrello, L., Malossini, G., Marazzi, C., Marianelli, L., Mele, A., Michelon, L., Moraglio,
31
32
33
680 S.T., Pozzebon, A., Preti, M., Salvetti, M., Scaccini, D., Schmidt, S., Szalatnay, D., Roversi, P.F.,
34
35
681 Tavella, L., Tommasini, M.G., Vaccari, G., Zandigiacomo, P., Sabbatini Peverieri, G., 2021. Assessing
36
37
682 the Distribution of exotic egg parasitoids of *Halyomorpha halys* in Europe with a large-scale
38
39
40
683 monitoring program. *Insects* 12, 316. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12040316>.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Fig. 1. Release and control (no release) sites considered for evaluating parasitism parameters. Only sites in which at least

[Click here to access/download;Figure;Anastatus ABS - Map of sites BW \(fig_1\).jpg](#)




Fig. 2. Relationship between discovery efficiencies by *Anastatus bifasciatus* (square

[Click here to access/download;Figure;Fig_2 Chart Dis_Eff_A_b SQRT.pdf](#)




Fig. 3. Relationship between parasitism rates by *Anastatus bifasciatus* (square root

[Click here to access/download;Figure;Fig_3 Chart Par_rate A_b SQRT.pdf](#)




Fig. 4. Correlation between parasitism rates by *A. bifasciatus* (y-axis) and total

[Click here to access/download;Figure;Fig_4 Chart_Corr Release_Par Rate.pdf](#)




Fig. 5. Exploitation efficiency of *H. halys* egg masses by *Anastatus bifasciatus* and

[Click here to access/download;Figure;Fig_5 Chart Exp_Eff A_b T_m.pdf](#)




Table 1. Discovery efficiency rates, parasitism rates and percentage of unhatched eggs evaluated in 8 sites in which *Anastatus bifasciatus* was released and in 11 control (no release) sites. Only sites in which at least three naturally laid egg masses of *Halyomorpha halys* were considered.

	Marginal mean ± Standard error (%)		ANOVA Effects		
	Release sites	Control sites	<i>A. bifasciatus</i> release	Number of egg masses per sites	Release * number of egg masses
Total discovery efficiency rate	45.4±8.2	19.6±5.5	$F_{(1,15)}=3.72$ $p=0.073$	$F_{(1,15)}=0.016$ $p=0.90$	$F_{(1,15)}=0.19$ $p=0.67$
Total parasitism rate	28.5±5.9	13.0±4.1	$F_{(1,15)}=2.87$ $p=0.11$	$F_{(1,15)}=0.04$ $p=0.85$	$F_{(1,15)}=0.26$ $p=0.62$
Discovery efficiency rate by <i>A. bifasciatus</i> ¹	31.4±4.3	1.7±1.1	$F_{(1,14)}=101.9$ $p<0.001$	$F_{(1,14)}=11.5$ $p=0.004$	$F_{(1,14)}=17.6$ $p=0.001$
Parasitism rate by <i>A. bifasciatus</i> ¹	16.7±2.6	1.2±0.8	$F_{(1,14)}=105.6$ $p<0.001$	$F_{(1,14)}=12.9$ $p=0.003$	$F_{(1,14)}=23.9$ $p<0.001$
Discovery efficiency rate by <i>T. mitsukurii</i>	11.5±5.1	8.7±3.9	$F_{(1,14)}=0.0002$ $p=0.99$	$F_{(1,14)}=0.062$ $p=0.81$	$F_{(1,14)}=0.009$ $p=0.92$
Parasitism rate by <i>T. mitsukurii</i>	9.6±4.3	7.0±3.0	$F_{(1,14)}=0.0001$ $p=0.99$	$F_{(1,14)}=0.080$ $p=0.78$	$F_{(1,14)}=0.009$ $p=0.93$
Unhatched eggs	44.6±8.7	23.9±5.6	$F_{(1,15)}=2.72$ $p=0.12$	$F_{(1,15)}=0.29$ $p=0.60$	$F_{(1,15)}=0.49$ $p=0.50$

¹: Data were square root transformed to meet ANOVA assumptions

Credit Author Statement


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Alessia Iacovone: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Investigation, Writing- Original draft preparation

Antonio Masetti: Formal analysis, Visualization, Writing - Review & Editing. **Marco Mosti:**

Conceptualization, Resources. **Eric Conti:** Writing - Review & Editing. **Giovanni Burgio:** Methodology,

Formal analysis, Writing - Review & Editing.



[Click here to access/download](#)

Supplementary Material

Suppl Mat BCON-D-21-00637 R1.docx

