openheart Early invasive strategy (< 24 hours) in patients with acute coronary syndromes: weighing the evidence

Samuele Nanni, Tullio Palmerini, Anna Corsini, Franco Semprini, Nazzareno Galiè

To cite: Nanni S, Palmerini T, Corsini A, et al. Early invasive strategy (< 24 hours) in patients with acute coronary syndromes: weighing the evidence. Open Heart 2022;9:e001997. doi:10.1136/ openhrt-2022-001997

Accepted 28 February 2022

The recent 2020 European Society of Cardiology Guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes (ACS) in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation (NSTE) recommend an early (<24 hours) invasive strategy with class of recommendation I, level of evidence A for the following groups of patients: all patients with NSTE myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) according to the diagnostic algorithm proposed by the guidelines, patients with dynamic or presumably new contiguous ST/T-segment changes suggesting ongoing ischaemia, patients with transient ST-segment elevation and patients with a Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events (GRACE) risk score >140.

This recommendation thus comprises a heterogeneous population including patients at very high risk, such as those with a GRACE score >140, as well as those with a less defined risk profile, such as the whole population of patients with NSTEMI.

Does the evidence support an early invasive approach for all patients with NSTEMI?

There have been several randomised controlled trials and at least four metaanalyses investigating the optimal timing of an invasive strategy in patients with NSTE-ACS and the summary of the general evidence suggests that compared with a delayed invasive strategy, an early invasive strategy does not reduce the overall risk of death, myocardial infarction or stroke, but it may reduce the risk of recurrent/refractory ischaemia.

The findings on high-risk patients have been controversial, some studies reporting lower rates of mortality with an early invasive strategy, but with inconclusive interaction tests.

In addition, the reduction in recurrent ischaemia reported in two meta-analyses was associated with moderated-to-severe

effect, heterogeneity treatment suggesting caution on data interpretation.²³

The lack of a significant difference in hard endpoints such as death, myocardial infarction or stroke between an early versus a delayed strategy suggests that the majority of patients with NSTE-ACS can be safely managed with a less urgent strategy.

Pursuing an early invasive strategy in all patients with NSTEMI is a logistically demanding approach which important changes in network organisations, with the risk of exposing patients with STEMI or those with NSTEMI and signs of haemodynamic or electric instability to unjustified delays, especially on weekends or after hours when access to cath lab facilities is restrained.

As the findings favouring an early invasive strategy are either controversial (drawn from post hoc analyses, mostly hypothesis generating), or are associated with high level of heterogeneity in aggregate databased meta-analyses, the extension of an early invasive strategy to all patients with NSTEMI with the top rank class recommendation may appear disproportionate to the current level of evidence.

In our view, recommending a more selective approach to an early invasive strategy may be more appropriate. In this regard, the consistent observation across several trials and meta-analyses that patients with GRACE score >140 may benefit from an early invasive strategy supports an individualised approach to timing of invasive strategy in patients with NSTEMI, wherein only those at the highest risk are referred for an early intervention. 15

Acknowledgements We acknowledge Claudia Cavicchi for the contribution on bibliographic research and submission process.

Contributors All authors contributed to conceive and write the letter. AC contributed to write and submit the letter.



@ Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

Cardio-Thoraco-Vascular Department, IRCCS Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria di Bologna Policlinico S Orsola-Malpighi, Bologna, Emilia-Romagna, Italy

Correspondence to

BMJ

Dr Anna Corsini; anna.corsini@ aosp.bo.it



Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available upon reasonable request.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

REFERENCES

- Jobs A, Mehta SR, Montalescot G, *et al.* Optimal timing of an invasive strategy in patients with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndrome: a meta-analysis of randomised trials. *Lancet* 2017;390:737–46.
- 2 Katritsis DG, Siontis GCM, Kastrati A, et al. Optimal timing of coronary angiography and potential intervention in non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes. Eur Heart J 2011;32:32–40.
- 3 Milasinovic D, Milosevic A, Marinkovic J, et al. Timing of invasive strategy in NSTE-ACS patients and effect on clinical outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Atherosclerosis 2015;241:48–54.
- 4 Murrone A, di Uccio FS, Amodeo V, et al. ANMCO position paper: timing of coronary angiography in non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndromes. Eur Heart J Suppl 2021;23:C196–203.
- 5 Kofoed KF, Kelbæk H, Hansen PR, et al. Early versus standard care invasive examination and treatment of patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome. *Circulation* 2018;138:2741–50.