# A resistive sensor for humidity detection based on cellulose/polyaniline

Ilaria Ragazzini,<sup>a</sup> Riccardo Castagnoli,<sup>a</sup> Isacco Gualandi,<sup>a,b,c,\*</sup>, Maria Cristina Cassani,<sup>a,b</sup> Daniele Nanni,<sup>a</sup> Francesca Gambassi,<sup>a</sup> Erika Scavetta,<sup>a,b,c</sup> Elena Bernardi,<sup>a,c</sup> and Barbara Ballarin<sup>a,b,c,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Industrial Chemistry "Toso Montanari", Bologna University, Via Risorgimento 4,
I-40136, Bologna, Italy & UdR INSTM Bologna.

<sup>b</sup> Center for Industrial Research-Advanced Applications in Mechanical Engineering and Materials Technology CIRI MAM University of Bologna, Viale del Risorgimento 2, I-40136 Bologna, Italy.

<sup>c</sup> Center for Industrial Research-Fonti Rinnovabili, Ambiente, Mare e Energia CIRI FRAME University of Bologna, Viale del Risorgimento 2, I-40136 Bologna, Italy.

## **Supporting Information**

S1 Cost analysis and set-up	2
S2 Sensor Characterization	3
S3 Electrical measurements	5
S4 Humidity sensing studies	7

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: <u>barbara.ballarin@unibo.it</u> (B.B) tel: +39 051 2093704; <u>isacco.gualandi@unibo.it</u> (I.G.) tel: +39 051 2093386.

### S1. COST ANALYSIS AND SET-UP

Table S1: cost analysis to produce 310,8 cm<sup>2</sup> of modified cellulose

material	Cost for batch (310.8 cm <sup>2</sup> , USD)
Water	0.45
Bare cellulose fibers	negligible
Aniline	0.80
Cloridic acid (37%)	3.27
Ammonium persulphate	9.49
Citric acid	41.32
Aluminium sulphate	1.46
Tot	56.8



Fig. S1. Homemade set-up for humidity sensing. Analysis chamber of 500 cm<sup>3</sup>.

#### **S2. SENSOR CHARACTERIZATIONS**



**Fig. S2.** Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of: A-C) bare Cellulose and D-F) Cell/PANI fibers at different X magnifications; G) Cross-section of Cell/PANI fiber at 10000 X magnification and H) EDX elemental maps of a Cell/PANI fiber (C red, O blue, N green).



**Fig. S3.** ATR-FTIR spectra of bare Cellulose (black), PANI (red) and Cell/PANI-S (blue); inset: enlargement in the range 1900-700 cm<sup>-1</sup>.



Fig. S4. TGA curves for Cellulose and Cell/PANI.



Fig. S5. Acid release tests: A) Cell/PANI, B) Cell/PANI after 67 h under vacuum.

#### **S3. ELECTRICAL MEASUREMENTS**

Resistance measurements were made with a Keysight B2902A source meter units in a 4-line-probe configuration. The sample was prepared with a rectangular shape and was held down with an insulating material by exerting a uniform pressure on all the surfaces. The inner electrodes measure the difference of potential while a constant current flow was forced between the two outer electrodes (Fig. S6).



Fig. S6. Sample holder for resistance measurements.

The measurements were performed at different current values (100, 200, 300  $\mu$ A) and a line passing from the origin was always obtained. The resistance (R) was calculated with the Ohm's law and the sheet resistance (R<sub>•</sub>) is equal to:

$$R_{\bullet} = R \frac{W}{L}$$

Where W and L are the width and the length, respectively.

The specific resistance  $(\rho)$  can be calculated by:

$$\rho = R_{\blacksquare} t$$

Where t is the thickness. The specific conductance ( $\kappa$ ) is calculated by:



Fig. S7. Cell/PANI conductivity after repeated bending cycles (angle =  $30^{\circ}$ ).

#### **S4. HUMIDITY SENSING STUDIES**



**Fig. S8.** The cycling behaviour of the Cell/PANI sensor under pulse stimuli obtained by switching at different %RH obtained with potassium carbonate saturated salt solution (44 RH%) or under wet or dry  $N_2$  flow (3 mL s<sup>-1</sup>, 2-98 RH%) at short cycling time. The tests were conducted under an applied voltage of 0.100 V at 25±1 °C with the homemade set-up.