

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

"Front-of-pack" nutrition labeling

This is the submitted version (pre peer-review, preprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

"Front-of-pack" nutrition labeling / Strazzullo Pasquale; Cairella Giulia; Sofi Francesco; Erba Daniela; Campanozzi Angelo; Danesi Francesca; lacoviello Licia; Martini Daniela; Pellegrini Nicoletta; Rossi Laura; Vaccaro Salvatore; Battistini Nino Carlo; Bo Simona; Bordoni Alessandra; Brighenti Furio; Casini Alessandro; Casiraghi Maria Cristina; Ciappellano Salvatore; Colantuoni Antonio; D'Elia Lanfranco; Del Rio Daniele; Macchia Paolo Emidio.; Oriani Giovannangelo; Parpinel Maria; Pinto Alessandro; Polito Angela; Porrini Marisa; Riso Patrizia; Russo GianLuigi; Scalfi Luca; Scazzina Francesca; Sieri Sabina; Simonetti Paolo; Verduci Elvira. - In: NMCD. NUTRITION METABOLISM AND CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASES. - ISSN 1590-3729. - STAMPA. - 31:11(2021), pp. 2989-2992. [10.1016/j.numecd.2021.07.021]

This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/897171 since: 2022-10-25

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.numecd.2021.07.021

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

(Article begins on next page)

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/).
When citing, please refer to the published version.

Journal Pre-proof

"Front-Of-Pack" Nutrition Labeling

Pasquale Strazzullo, The scientific-based position of the Italian Society of Human Nutrition (SINU), prepared by the SINU Scientific Board, with review by the SINU Scientific Committee

Nutrition, Metabolism & Cardiovascular Diseases
Advantage for the cardio

PII: S0939-4753(21)00342-2

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.numecd.2021.07.021

Reference: NUMECD 2761

To appear in: Nutrition, Metabolism and Cardiovascular Diseases

Received Date: 20 July 2021

Accepted Date: 20 July 2021

Please cite this article as: Strazzullo P, The scientific-based position of the Italian Society of Human Nutrition (SINU), prepared by the SINU Scientific Board, with review by the SINU Scientific Committee, "Front-Of-Pack" Nutrition Labeling, *Nutrition, Metabolism and Cardiovascular Diseases*, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.numecd.2021.07.021.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2021 The Italian Diabetes Society, the Italian Society for the Study of Atherosclerosis, the Italian Society of Human Nutrition and the Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, Federico II University. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

"FRONT-OF-PACK" NUTRITION LABELING

The scientific-based position of the Italian Society of Human Nutrition (SINU)

prepared by the SINU Scientific Board (a)

with review by the SINU Scientific Committee (b)

(a) Composition of the SINU Scientific Board:

Pasquale STRAZZULLO (President), "Federico II" University of Naples, Italy

Giulia CAIRELLA (Vice-President), Department of Prevention, Local Health Service Rome 2, Rome, Italy

Francesco SOFI (Secretary), Department of Experimental and Clinical Medicine, University of Florence, Italy

Daniela ERBA (Treasurer), Department of Food, Environmental and Nutritional Sciences (DeFENS), University of Milan, Italy

Angelo CAMPANOZZI, Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, University of Foggia, Italy

Francesca DANESI, Department of Agricultural and Food Sciences (DISTAL), University of Bologna, Cesena, Italy

Licia IACOVIELLO, IRCCS Neuromed, Pozzilli IS, Italy; University of Insubria, Italy

Daniela MARTINI, Department of Food, Environmental and Nutritional Sciences (DeFENS), University of Milan, Italy

Nicoletta PELLEGRINI, Department of Agricultural, Food, Environmental and Animal Sciences, University of Udine, Italy

Laura ROSSI, CREA Council for Agricultural Research and Economics-Research Centre for Food and Nutrition, Rome, Italy

Salvatore VACCARO, Clinical Nutrition Unit, Azienda Unità Sanitaria Locale - IRCCS di Reggio Emilia, Reggio Emilia, Italy

(b) Composition of SINU Scientific Committee:

Simona BO, Department of Medical Sciences, University of Turin, Italy

Alessandra BORDONI, Department of Agricultural and Food Sciences (DISTAL), University of Bologna, Cesena, Italy

Furio BRIGHENTI, Human Nutrition Unit, Department of Food and Drugs, University of Parma, Parma, Italy

Maria Cristina CASIRAGHI, Department of Food, Environmental and Nutritional Sciences (DeFENS), University of Milan, Italy

Salvatore CIAPPELLANO, Department of Food, Environmental and Nutritional Sciences (DeFENS), University of Milan, Italy

Lanfranco D'ELIA, Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, Federico II University of Naples Medical School, Naples, Italy

Journal Pre-proof

Daniele DEL RIO, Human Nutrition Unit, Department of Veterinary Science, University of Parma, Italy

Paolo Emidio MACCHIA, Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, Federico II University of Naples Medical School, Naples, Italy

Giovannangelo ORIANI, CEINGE-Biotecnologie Avanzate, Naples, Italy

Maria PARPINEL, Department of Medicine, University of Udine, Italy

Alessandro PINTO, Department of Prevention, Local Health Service Rome 2, Rome, Italy

Angela POLITO, Council for Agricultural Research and Economics, Research Centre for Food and Nutrition, Rome, Italy

Marisa PORRINI, Department of Food, Environmental and Nutritional Sciences (DeFENS), University of Milan, Italy

Patrizia RISO, Department of Food, Environmental and Nutritional Sciences (DeFENS), University of Milan, Italy

Luca SCALFI, Institute of Internal Medicine and Metabolic Diseases, Medical School, University of Naples, Italy

Francesca SCAZZINA, Human Nutrition Unit, Department of Food and Drug, University of Parma, Italy

Sabina SIERI, Epidemiology and Prevention Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori di Milano, Milan, Italy

Paolo SIMONETTI, Department of Food, Environmental and Nutritional Sciences (DeFENS), University of Milan, Italy

Elvira VERDUCI, Department of Pediatrics, Vittore Buzzi Children's Hospital, University of Milan, Italy

Author for correspondence

Prof. Pasquale STRAZZULLO

"Federico II" University of Naples, Italy

email: strazzul@unina.it

Abstract

Excess intake of energy, sugars, salt and saturated fats is an important causal factor of obesity and related non-communicable diseases. In order to help consumers to make healthy food choices, many European countries have developed proposals for "front-of-pack" food labeling, intended as an integration to the nutritional information provided by the mandatory nutritional declaration. Based on the European strategic program "Farm to Fork", the intention is to achieve a harmonized front-of-pack label proposal by Q4 2022.

Among the different proposals, the one which received most attention by experts and greater feedback by the EU member countries is the Nutri-Score, a tool based on an algorithm whereby a "quality" category ranging from A to E is assigned to each single food on a background colored from dark green to dark orange. As an alternative to Nutri-Score, the NutrInform Battery has been developed by Italy in association with a few other EU member states: this proposal is objectively alternative to the Nutri-Score proposal due to a different underlying philosophy, in particular for its informative and educational intent rather than purchase orientation.

The present document, prepared by the Scientific Board and reviewed by the Scientific Council of the Italian Society of Human Nutrition, represents the scientific-based position of the Society in relation to the general theme of front-of-pack labeling and in particular to the dualism created between the Nutri-Score and NutrInform Battery proposals.

Introduction

Non-communicable diseases are the main cause of reduced quality of life, disability and premature death in societies with higher economic development, and malnutrition due to excess intake of energy, sugars, salt and saturated fats is an important causal factor (1). Overweight, obesity, hypertension and diabetes, largely due to poor dietary habits, are causal factors of cardiovascular events, neoplastic diseases, cognitive decline and other chronic degenerative diseases (2).

It is clear that institutions must implement effective actions to address the challenge associated with the increasing prevalence of these diseases. Indeed, several actions have been taken to improve dietary practices and habits at the population level. The most popular approach has been to develop and disseminate food-based guidelines that reflect knowledge about the components of a healthy diet known to be associated with reduced risk of diet-related diseases (3). In recent years, also following what is already provided for by Art. 35 of Regulation of the European Union (EU) 1169/2011, many European countries have developed a series of proposals for "front-of-pack" labeling, intended as an integration to the nutritional information provided by the mandatory nutritional declaration, in order to further help the consumer to make informed and possibly healthy food choices. Based on the European strategic program "Farm to Fork", the intention is to achieve a harmonized front-of-pack label proposal by Q4 2022 (4).

Proposals of front-of-pack labeling

Many labeling proposals have been put forward, which differ from each other for being mainly informative or interpretative and directive, extremely synthetic or nutrient specific. The proposal which received most attention and feedback by the member countries of the EU and by an uncoordinated series of experts and non-experts in the sector is the so-called Nutri-Score, a tool which is based on an algorithm whereby a "quality" category is assigned to each single food, ranging from A to E, on a background colored from dark green to dark orange (5). This proposal, in particular, has been the subject, in recent years, of a significant number of scientific studies which evaluated the comprehension by the consumer and the effects on food choices and habits in numerous populations (5).

As an alternative to the Nutri-Score proposal, Italy has developed a proposal called NutrInform Battery, through an initiative coordinated by the Ministry of Economic Development, with the collaboration of the Ministries of Health, Foreign Affairs and Agricultural, Environmental and Forestry Policies, under the technical supervision of the Centre for Research and Economic Development in Agriculture (*CREA Alimenti e Nutrizione*) and the National Institute of Health (*Istituto Superiore di*

Sanità), and in association with a few other EU member states. This proposal is objectively alternative to the Nutri-Score proposal due to a different underlying philosophy, in particular for its informative and educational intent rather than purchase orientation (6-7).

In relation to the general theme of front-of-pack labeling, and in particular to the dualism created between the Nutri-Score and NutrInform Battery proposals, after an extensive discussion that involved the components of the Scientific Board and the Scientific Committee, the Italian Society of Human Nutrition (SINU) deemed it appropriate to represent its position on this subject.

First of all, according to SINU, any proposal of front-of-pack labeling should make it clear the objective which is being pursued to the benefit of the consumer, in addition to the information provided by the mandatory label placed on the back of the package. In this regard, the proposals having mainly informative character are at risk to just duplicate what is already exposed in the mandatory label, whereas synthetic proposals tend to simply orient the consumer choice in an "ultra-simplified" way disregarding the concept that the overall diet is the result of the consumption of a combination of foods, both in quantity and in quality, with potentially synergic effects. While a person's diet can be qualified as relatively healthy or unhealthy, it is not as simple to qualify individual foods, which are only a fraction of the diet. According to the Italian Healthy Eating Guidelines 2018 revision, no food is harmful or toxic per se, just as no food can be represented as a universal panacea; it is the habitual combination of different foods that leads to a healthy diet or to a more or less inadequate and potentially harmful one (8).

Designating foods as "healthy" or "unhealthy" tout court is a dangerous simplification that could produce unintended consequences in terms of eating behavior or food-related anxiety. Some research conducted on consumer behavior suggests, for example, that consumers often tend to convert ordinal scales into binary indications or have difficulty interpreting labeling in the middle range of an ordinal scale, resulting in inappropriate consumption of some foods over others (9). Ideally, an information system should educate on the proper use and combination of foods, taking into account not only the compositional characteristics of foods but also portion sizes and frequency of consumption.

Dealing more specifically with the Nutri-Score and NutrInform Battery proposals, SINU attempted to focus their respective strengths and weaknesses, in order to provide useful suggestions and overcome the possible weaknesses.

Nutri-Score: strengths and weaknesses

Strengths of the Nutri-Score proposal:

- It is a well recognizable and clear proposal from a graphic point of view, with the use of colors that

are in line with the indications reported by the European Commission document

- It has a real integrative value being not repetitive compared to the information provided in the

mandatory nutritional declaration generally/usually present on the side or on the back of the

product

- Since its presentation, it has received wide diffusion and has been supported by a significant part of

the international scientific community, with numerous studies carried out ad hoc for its validation

(10)

It seems to be effective especially in population groups with incorrect eating habits as it has been

shown to effectively reduce the consumption of certain foods whose abuse is harmful to health (11)

However, the same proposal presents several weaknesses that in our opinion deserve particular

attention:

The main purpose of orienting the consumer choices, thus indirectly making pressure on food

companies toward product reformulation, is quite apparent. An unintended consequence, however,

might be that the reformulation could be minimal and made in a such a way to get the product a

higher quality score with minimal if any improvement of its nutritional value

6

- The score is based on the consumption of 100 grams of the product. This does not take into account the concept of portion and leads to an objective risk of distortion in nutritional terms, mostly for

the products having reference portions largely different from 100 g

- The algorithm whereby the score is calculated is cumbersome and complex, almost impossible for

the consumer to understand or even imagine.

It is a non-educational system which, by its very nature, does not aim to improve consumer

knowledge, the consumer being instead called upon to uncritically accept the score resulting from

the application of the algorithm. Not surprisingly, among the many studies carried out, no evidence

was found that this system can contribute to improving the consumer nutritional knowledge, for

example helping him/her to understand how foods can be combined for the purpose of an overall

improvement of the diet. Given its synthetic nature, moreover, it does not provide an assessment

about the individual nutrients in the product (mostly sugars, salt and saturated fats), which are

instead relevant in particular to certain categories of consumers (e.g., obese, hypertensive,

hypercholesterolemic).

NutrInform Battery: strengths and weaknesses

Major strengths of the NutrInform Battery proposal are:

- The concept of portion, which helps to assess the qualities and criticalities of foods in relation to

their expected consumption in the context of the overall diet

- It aims at being strictly "informative" rather than orienting the user choices (although this can also

be interpreted as a weakness)

Somehow it envisions consumer nutrition education rather than product reformulation as its

objective. It would therefore be interesting to evaluate the effectiveness of this tool in improving the

consumer's knowledge and eating habits in addition to the information provided by the nutritional

declaration

7

The same proposal, however, has some weaknesses:

- The consumer understanding of this graphic proposal has been tested so far by only two scientific studies (6-7): therefore, further studies are warranted hopefully aimed at assessing its impact on the consumer food choices
- The information about the various nutrients provided in the battery is difficult to interpret by lay people, who could be oriented to believe that the greater the contribution provided for the individual components of the battery, the better.
- In the absence of an explicit comparison with the level of intake not to be exceeded for energy and for each of the nutrients considered (total fats, saturated fats, sugars, salt), the information provided through the battery system basically repeats what is already reported on the nutrition declaration usually placed on the back of the package, with little if any addition
- The values referred to as reference intakes are based on the Regulation (EU) n.1169/2011 but do not reflect the population needs as defined by the Italian National Reference Intake Levels (LARN) (12). In particular, the 100% level of intake for each nutrient should correspond for the Italian population to what is set by LARN in terms of reference intervals (RI) or reference intakes of the population (PRI) or standard dietary targets for prevention (SDT), depending on the nutrient: unacceptable in particular is the value of 6 g/day for salt consumption, which does not correspond to the target of 5 g shared by LARN (12), EFSA (13) and WHO (14), and equally unacceptable is the value of 90 g/day for sugar, also contrary to both national recommendations and those of supranational organizations (15)
- The concept of portion is not yet regulated at European level and its use in the context of a label could therefore seem in contradiction with the principle of free movement of goods. In turn, however, this could be seen as a merit, as it would encourage the regulation of this aspect.

Conclusions

In conclusion, while the primary goal of adding a front-of-pack label is reasonably to fight malnutrition by excess as a contributing factor to the development of obesity and chronic non-transmissible degenerative diseases, the NutrInform Battery proposal appears more focused in this regard than the other proposals in the field, including the Nutri-Score. It is however susceptible to refinement by overcoming the highlighted critical issues.

In any case, when considering single foods rather than the diet as a whole, the main tool available to the consumer at the time of purchase, in addition to the list of ingredients, is still the nutrition declaration present on the back of the package, providing detailed and precise numerical data about the quantity of energy and nutrients the product contains. It is important first of all that any further front-of-pack labeling does not reduce the interest of the consumer to carefully read the mandatory label which, by providing the actual composition of the product including its ingredients, has both an "educational" and informative function.

References

- GBD 2019 Diseases and Injuries Collaborators. Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990-2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. Lancet. 2020; 396: 1204-1222
- 2. GBD 2017 Diet Collaborators. Health effects of dietary risks in 195 countries, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. Lancet 2019; 393: 1958-1972.

- 3. Martini D, Tucci M, Bradfield J, Di Giorgio A, Marino M, Del Bo' C, Porrini M, Riso P. Principles of sustainable healthy diets in worldwide dietary guidelines: efforts so far and future perspectives. Nutrients 2021; 13: 1827.
- 4. Farm to Fork strategy. For a fair, healthy and environmentally friendly food system. EU Commission 2020 (Available at https://ec.europa.eu/food/system/files/2020-05/f2f_action-plan_2020_strategy-info_en.pdf)
- 5. Julia C, Hercberg S. Development of a new front-of-pack nutrition label in France: the 5-Colour Nutri-Score. Public Health Panorama 2017; 3: 712–25
- Mazzù MF, Romani S, Baccelloni A, Gambicorti A. A cross-country experimental study on consumers' subjective understanding and liking on front-of-pack nutrition labels. Int J Food Sci Nutr 2021 Mar 3:1-15.
- 7. Mazzù MF, Romani S, Gambicorti A. Effects on consumers' subjective understanding of a new front-of-pack nutritional label: a study on Italian consumers. Int J Food Sci Nutr 2021; 72: 357-366.
- 8. Linee Guida per una Sana Alimentazione. CREA Centro di Ricerca Alimenti e Nutrizione. Revisione 2018 (Available at https://www.crea.gov.it/web/alimenti-e-nutrizione/-/linee-guida-per-una-sana-alimentazione-2018)
- 9. An R, Shi Y, Shen J, Bullard T, Liu G, Yang Q, Chen N, Cao L. Effect of front-of-package nutrition labeling on food purchases: a systematic review. Public Health 2021; 191: 59-67.
- 10. Ministère des Solidarités et de la Santé. Scientific articles and papers published on the Nutri-Score.
 (Available at https://solidarites-sante.gouv.fr/prevention-en-sante/preserver-sa-sante/nutrition/article/articles-scientifiques-et-documents-publies-relatifs-au-nutri-score)
- 11. Dubois P, Albuquerque P, Allais O, Bonnet C, Bertail P, Combris P, Lahlou S, Rigal N, Ruffieux B, Chandon P. Effects of front-of-pack labels on the nutritional quality of supermarket food purchase: evidence from a large-scale randomized controlled trial. J Acad Mark Sci 2021; 49: 119-138.
- Società Italiana di Nutrizione Umana. LARN Livelli di riferimento di nutrienti ed energia per la popolazione italiana, IV revisione. Ed. SICS, 2014.

Journal Pre-proof

- 13. EFSA Panel on Nutrition, Novel Foods and Food Allergens (NDA), Turck D, Castenmiller J, de Henauw S, Hirsch-Ernst KI, Kearney J, Knutsen HK, Maciuk A, Mangelsdorf I, McArdle HJ, Pelaez C, Pentieva K, Siani A, Thies F, Tsabouri S, Vinceti M, Aggett P, Fairweather-Tait S, Martin A, Przyrembel H, Ciccolallo L, de Sesmaisons-Lecarré A, Valtueña Martinez S, Martino L, Naska A. Dietary reference values for sodium. EFSA J 2019; 17: e05778.
- 14. NCD global monitoring framework. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2013 (https://www.who.int/nmh/global_monitoring_framework/en/)
- Guideline: Sugars intake for adults and children. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015.
 (Available at https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241549028)