

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Simulating diverse forest management options in a changing climate on a Pinus nigra subsp. laricio plantation in Southern Italy

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Simulating diverse forest management options in a changing climate on a Pinus nigra subsp. laricio plantation in Southern Italy / Testolin, Riccardo; Dalmonech, Daniela; Marano, Gina; Bagnara, Maurizio; D'Andrea, Ettore; Matteucci, Giorgio; Noce, Sergio; Collalti, Alessio. - In: SCIENCE OF THE TOTAL ENVIRONMENT. - ISSN 0048-9697. - ELETTRONICO. - 857:Part 2(2022), pp. 1-13. [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.159361]

Availability:

This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/896837 since: 2024-02-27

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.159361

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

1 Simulating diverse forest management options in a changing climate on a *Pinus*

2 nigra subsp. laricio plantation in Southern Italy

3

45 Abstract

6 Mediterranean pine plantations provide several ecosystem services but are vulnerable to climate 7 change. Forest management might play a strategic role in the adaptation of Mediterranean forests, but 8 the joint effect of climate change and diverse management options have seldom been investigated 9 together. Here, we simulated the development of a Laricio pine (Pinus nigra subsp. laricio) stand in 10 the Bonis watershed (southern Italy) from its establishment in 1958 up to 2095 using a state-of-the-11 science process-based forest model. The model was run under three climate scenarios corresponding 12 to increasing levels of atmospheric CO₂ concentration and warming, and six management options 13 with different goals, including wood production and renaturalization. We analysed the effect of 14 climate change on annual carbon fluxes (i.e., gross and net primary production) and stocks (i.e., basal 15 area, standing and harvested carbon woody stocks) of the autotrophic compartment, as well as the 16 impact of different management options compared to a no management baseline. Results show that 17 higher temperatures (+3 to +5 °C) and lower precipitation (-20% to -22%) will trigger a decrease in 18 net primary productivity in the second half of the century. Compared to no management, the other 19 options had a moderate effect on carbon fluxes over the whole simulation (between -14% and +11%). 20 While standing woody biomass was reduced by thinning interventions and the shelterwood system 21 (between -5% and -41%), overall carbon stocks including the harvested wood were maximized 22 (between +41% and +56%). Results highlight that management exerts greater effects on the carbon 23 budget of Laricio pine plantations than climate change alone, and that climate change and 24 management are largely independent (i.e., no strong interaction effects). Therefore, appropriate 25 silvicultural strategies might enhance potential carbon stocks and improve forest conditions, with 26 cascading positive effects on the provision of ecosystem services in Mediterranean pine plantations.

27 Keywords

- 28 Mediterranean forests; Climate change; Forest management; Process-based model; 3D-CMCC-FEM;
- 29 Autotrophic response; Laricio pine

31 **1. Introduction**

32 Temperate forests play an important role in the Earth system Carbon (C) cycle by absorbing and 33 storing a considerable amount of C in their aboveground and belowground compartments (Keith et 34 al., 2009). In Europe, Mediterranean forests account for 30% of the forest cover and represent a net C-sink (FAO, 2018; Morán-Ordóñez et al., 2020). The Mediterranean basin is also a global 35 biodiversity hotspot (Myers et al., 2000; Noce et al., 2016), with its forests harbouring three times the 36 37 number of tree species as the rest of Europe in a fourfold smaller area (Fady-Welterlen, 2005). These 38 ecosystems play a key role in the livelihoods of local communities by providing food, timber, clean 39 water, protection against soil erosion and micro-climatic regulation (Mazza et al., 2018; Morán-40 Ordóñez et al., 2021, 2020). At the same time, the Mediterranean basin is one of the main climate 41 change hotspots on the planet (Diffenbaugh and Giorgi, 2012; Noce et al., 2017; Tuel and Eltahir, 42 2020). Indeed, the area is warming up 20% faster than the global average, precipitations are projected 43 to decrease up to 20%, and extreme climatic events (e.g., heatwaves and droughts) are likely to 44 increase both in frequency and intensity (D'Andrea et al., 2020; Lionello and Scarascia, 2018; Santini 45 et al., 2014). These changing conditions could potentially reduce forest growth and prompt changes in forest dynamics (i.e., mortality and extensive dieback episodes) that, together with other 46 47 disturbances, might limit the C-uptake capacity and the productivity of Mediterranean forests 48 (Gentilesca et al., 2017; Klein et al., 2019; Matteucci et al., 2013; Resco De Dios et al., 2007). By the 49 end of this century, the cumulative effect of climate and land use change in the Mediterranean basin 50 could reduce the C absorption capability of the forests' autotrophic compartment, with inevitable and 51 profound consequences on the persistence and dynamics of these ecosystems (Morales et al., 2007; Nolè et al., 2013; Pausas and Millán, 2019). 52

In this context, there is a high expectation towards the sustainable management of Mediterranean forests to counterbalance possible climate-change induced C-losses by preserving the sequestration capability of stands (Jandl et al., 2019; Reyer et al., 2015; Ruiz-Peinado et al., 2017; Vilà-Cabrera et al., 2018). Indeed, sustainable forest management practices can mitigate greenhouse gas emissions 57 and contribute to climate change adaptation, while providing long-term livelihoods for communities 58 by maintaining and enhancing ecosystem services (IPCC, 2019). This is especially critical for 59 European and, particularly, Mediterranean forests, as they have already undergone several millennia 60 of human influence which resulted in the prevalence of mixed forest stands and conifer plantations 61 (Naudts et al., 2016; Ruiz-Benito et al., 2012). Among the latter, pine plantations were mainly established during the 20th century to restore overexploited land, foster soil protection, and increase 62 63 the production of existing forest stands, resulting in multiple forest restoration projects on a vast scale 64 (Maestre and Cortina, 2004; Pausas et al., 2004). Despite the typical fast-growing performances, Mediterranean pine plantations are particularly sensitive to the adverse effect of climate change and 65 66 related disturbances (e.g., wildfires, drought, insect outbreaks) (González-Sanchis et al., 2015; 67 Martin-Benito et al., 2011; Navarro-Cerrillo et al., 2019; Resco De Dios et al., 2007; Ruiz-Benito et 68 al., 2012), which might be further exacerbated by the lack or the total abandonment of silvicultural 69 treatments. The latter is particularly relevant in those mountainous areas characterized by limited 70 accessibility and overall low economic revenue due to high forest exploitation costs (Lerma-Arce et 71 al., 2021; Proto et al., 2020). Therefore, management interventions in Mediterranean pine plantations 72 aimed at promoting the progressive evolution of these stands towards more diverse and species-rich 73 forests should be advanced to ensure forest functioning and the future provision of ecosystem services 74 in a changing climate (Nocentini et al., 2022).

75 Management strategies for climate change adaptation in Mediterranean forests can be mainly 76 translated into different thinning schemes - both in terms of intervention frequency and removal 77 intensities – and ultimately through adjusted rotation periods (Resco De Dios et al., 2007). These 78 adaptation measures: (i) modulate C-stocks and C-uptake capacity, (ii) increase drought-stress 79 resistance by reducing competition for water, and (iii) reduce losses of C use efficiency (net vs. gross 80 primary production) by contrasting the aging of Mediterranean forests in the short-term, compared to 81 the absence of management (del Río et al., 2017; González-Sanchis et al., 2015; Navarro-Cerrillo et 82 al., 2019; Vilà-Cabrera et al., 2018). Despite the potential benefits of silvicultural practices aimed at enhancing the resilience of Mediterranean forests to future climate change impacts, the effects of
diverse management alternatives on the long-term forest adaptation have been seldom investigated
(Manrique-Alba et al., 2020; Vilà-Cabrera et al., 2018), with most existing studies carried out in
central and northern Europe (Collalti et al., 2018; Dalmonech et al., 2022; Duveneck et al., 2014).

87 Process-based forest models provide a fundamental experimental framework to track the future 88 responses of forest ecosystems to management strategies under a changing climate (Gupta and 89 Sharma, 2019; Keenan et al., 2011; Maréchaux et al., 2021; Rever et al., 2015; Ruiz-Benito et al., 90 2020). Such models incorporate both empirical and mechanistic relations of the main 91 ecophysiological processes which drive the response of forest stand development over decadal time 92 periods (Gupta and Sharma, 2019; Keenan et al., 2011; Mäkelä et al., 2000) and can therefore help 93 quantify the impacts of climate change and management on forest fluxes and stocks under changing 94 environmental conditions. In an integrated scenario-analysis framework, process-based forest models 95 can inform both the scientific and policy-making communities of the forestry sector, thus supporting 96 adaptation and mitigation strategies in the Mediterranean basin (Keenan et al., 2011; Morán-Ordóñez 97 et al., 2020; Vilà-Cabrera et al., 2018). Yet, simulation studies aimed at assessing the crossed effect 98 of climate change and of diverse management options on forest biomass and productivity have been 99 mostly carried out outside the Mediterranean basin and are limited in the number of simulated climate 100 scenarios (e.g., Borys et al., 2016; Fürstenau et al., 2007; Garcia-Gonzalo et al., 2007; Jönsson et al., 101 2015; Lexer et al., 2008; Shanin et al., 2011) and management options (e.g., Pussinen et al., 2009; 102 Schelhaas et al., 2015).

By means of a state-of-the-science process-based forest model (3D-CMCC-FEM; Three Dimensional - Coupled Model Carbon Cycle - Forest Ecosystem Model) we simulated the development of a Laricio pine stand in the Bonis experimental watershed (southern Italy) with the aim of providing insights on future management strategies for Mediterranean pine plantations. We designed a wide portfolio of forest management options based on different schemes which are currently applied in the study area and tested their effects on the development of forest carbon stocks and fluxes under different climate scenarios. Thus, we assessed the relative impact of climate change and different silvicultural practices
on the autotrophic response of one of the southernmost European pine plantations up to the end of
the 21st century.

112

113 **2. Materials and methods**

114 **2.1. Study area and stand data collection**

The Bonis experimental watershed is located in the mountain area of Sila Greca (39°28'49" N, 115 116 16°32'07" E; from 975 to 1330 m a.s.l.) in the Calabria region, southern Italy, and represents one of 117 the southernmost long-term experimental forest research sites in Europe. The catchment has a surface 118 of 1.39 km², a mean elevation of 1131 m a.s.l. and was firstly instrumented for hydrological 119 monitoring in 1986. Almost 93% of the total area is covered by forests, dominated by ~60 years old 120 Laricio pine stands, whose origin is mainly artificial (Callegari et al., 2003; Caloiero et al., 2017). The stands were planted in 1958 with an average density of 2425 saplings ha^{-1} (Nicolaci et al., 2015) 121 122 and underwent a thinning treatment in 1993 with a basal area (BA) removal of 25% (Callegari et al., 2003). The climate is typically Mediterranean, with average annual precipitation of 915 mm and 123 124 average temperature of 12.2 °C. The geological substrate is mainly composed of acid plutonic rocks and gravelly sands (Callegari et al., 2003). To study forest structure and development, 14 circular 12 125 126 m-radius plots were established in 1993 before the thinning interventions. In each plot, for all trees with diameter at breast height (DBH; 1.3 m) > 2.5 cm, total height, crown insertion height and vitality 127 were recorded (Collalti et al., 2017). The plots were resurveyed in 1999 and 2016. As part of the 128 129 Euroflux-Carboitaly network, a tower for the measurement of eddy fluxes was installed in 2003 in one of the Laricio pine plantation stands within the study area (39°28'40'' N, 16°32'05'' E; Marino 130 131 et al., 2005). The tower was regularly operated between 2005 and 2009. The plot data have been used 132 to parameterize and, together with the eddy fluxes data, to validate the model.

133

2.2. Vegetation model and species parameterization

134 The 3D-CMCC-FEM forest model (v.5.6 BGC) is a biogeochemical, biophysical, and physiological 135 process-based forest model developed to predict C, energy, and water fluxes coupled with stand 136 development processes that determine relative stock changes in forest ecosystems (Collalti et al., 137 2019; Dalmonech et al., 2022). The model is designed to simulate the main physiological and 138 hydrological processes at daily, monthly, and annual scales and at the species-specific level. The 139 model requires data on initial forest stand conditions including species composition, average tree 140 DBH, height, stand age and tree density (number of trees per hectare). Both structural and non-141 structural tree C-pools are initialized at the beginning of the simulation and updated daily, monthly, 142 or annually, depending on the processes. Furthermore, the model allows the simulation of different 143 management scenarios by defining the intensity and the interval of removals, as well as the length of 144 rotation periods and artificial replanting schemes, which can be varied through the simulation time. 145 For a full description of key model principles and theoretical framework see also Collalti et al. (2020a, 146 2019, 2018, 2016, 2014), Dalmonech et al. (2022), Engel et al. (2021), and Marconi et al. (2017).

147 The model was parameterized to simulate the development of a Laricio pine stand based on published 148 literature (Lapa et al., 2017; Lebourgeois et al., 1998; Patenaude et al., 2008). When published 149 information on the species was unavailable for a given ecophysiological parameter, we used the 150 values reported for ecologically-close species following this order: other subspecies of Pinus nigra 151 (Grossoni, 2014; Margolis et al., 1995; Móricz et al., 2018; Navarro-Cerrillo et al., 2016; Van 152 Haverbeke, 1990), Pinus pinaster (Chiesi et al., 2007; Delzon et al., 2004; Mollicone et al., 2002), 153 Pinus sylvestris (Collalti et al., 2019; Yuste et al., 2005) or, more generally and in few cases, other 154 evergreen species (Arora and Boer, 2005; Dewar et al., 1994; Poulter et al., 2010). All parameter 155 values and sources are reported in Supplementary Information Table S1.

156

2.3. Climate and atmospheric CO₂ data

The 3D-CMCC-FEM requires as climatic inputs daily values of solar radiation (MJ m⁻²), temperature (°C), precipitation (mm) and vapor pressure deficit (hPa). Such data, from 1958 to 2016, were derived for the Bonis watershed using the mountain microclimate simulation model MT-CLIM (Thornton and Running, 1999) forced by temperature and precipitation series measured by the nearby Cecita meteorological station (39°23'51'' N, 16°33'24'' E; 1180 m a.s.l.). This dataset was used to perform historical simulations for model validation.

To simulate the development of the Laricio pine stand up to the end of the 21st century, we employed 163 a set of climate data covering the 1976 - 2095 period at 0.0715° spatial resolution (~8 km) 164 165 (Bucchignani et al., 2016; Zollo et al., 2016). This highly resolved climate data are based on the 166 regional climate model COSMO-CLM (Rockel et al., 2008) driven by the CMCC-CM global model (Scoccimarro et al., 2011) using the 20C3M forcing (i.e., observed emissions) for the period 1976 -167 168 2005, and two IPCC emission scenarios from 2006 onwards: the intermediate emission scenario 169 RCP4.5 and the high emission scenario RCP8.5 (Moss et al., 2010; van Vuuren et al., 2011). The RCP4.5 scenario assumes that the total radiative forcing is stabilized, shortly after 2100, to 4.5 Wm⁻ 170 171 ² (approximately 650 ppmv CO₂-equivalent) by employing various technologies and strategies to 172 reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The RCP8.5 is characterized by increasing emissions and high greenhouse gas concentration levels, leading to 8.5 Wm⁻² in 2100 (approximately 1370 ppmv CO₂-173 174 equivalent). Modeled temperature and precipitation data were bias-corrected following the approach 175 adopted and described in Sperna Weiland et al. (2010), starting from the observed series of the same 176 variables. As an observational dataset for the bias correction the downscaled daily E-OBS dataset (v 177 10.0) at 1 km resolution (Maselli et al., 2012) was used. Additionally, we simulated a current climate 178 (CUR) dataset as a benchmark scenario for the period 2006 - 2095 by randomly sampling each day 179 in sequence from the bias-corrected COSMO-CLM dataset between 1990 and 2005. As the COSMO-180 CLM data were only available starting from 1976, we used the MT-CLIM climatic dataset described 181 above for the 1958 - 1975 period.

Measured values of global annual atmospheric CO₂ concentration (ppmv) were derived from Meinshausen et al. (2011), while values consistent to the abovementioned emission scenarios were provided by Dlugokencky and Tans (2014). The atmospheric CO₂ concentrations for the CUR scenario were simulated by randomly sampling each year in sequence between 1990 and 2005 from Meinshausen et al. (2011).

To assess the departure of projected climate change from the baseline CUR scenario, we calculated the mean relative change in temperature, precipitation, vapor pressure deficit and atmospheric CO₂ concentration for the two RCP scenarios within two different time windows: near future (NF; 2025 -2055) and far future (FF; 2065 - 2095). Confidence intervals (95%) were estimated as \pm 1.96 times the standard error.

192 **2.4. Model evaluation**

193 Model performances were evaluated by simulating the development of a representative Laricio pine 194 stand in the Bonis watershed from its establishment in 1958 to the last field measurements occurred in 2016, which includes the thinning in 1993. The model was initialized in 1958 with an initial density 195 196 of 2425 saplings per hectare (DBH: 1 cm, height: 1.3 m, age: 4 years; Nicolaci et al., 2015), 197 considering the average elevation of the watershed (1131 m a.s.l.), the average soil texture (clay: 198 20%; silt: 26%; sand: 54%) and depth (100 cm) (Buttafuoco et al., 2005; Moresi et al., 2020). The 199 evaluation was carried out by comparing the resulting simulated mean annual DBH and tree density 200 to the values measured at the field plots in 1993 (before thinning), 1999 and 2016, as well as to the 201 estimations provided by Callegari et al. (2003) for low and high density Laricio pine plantations in 202 the Bonis watershed for 1986, 1993 (before and after thinning) and 1999. Additionally, a micrometeorological validation of daily gross primary productivity (GPP) was carried out by 203 204 comparing the simulated values to those obtained by the eddy covariance tower. As described in 205 Collalti et al. (2018), we excluded years with major gaps (i.e., 2009), as well as all days with a quality 206 control flag of eddy data lower than 0.6. The comparisons were carried out for each year, as well as for the daily averages, by calculating root mean squared error (RMSE), coefficient of determination (R^2) and modelling efficiency (ME). The latter index provides information about modelling performance on a relative scale: ME = 1 indicates a perfect fit, ME = 0 reveals that the model is no better than a simple average, while negative values indicate poor performance (Bagnara et al., 2015; Vanclay and Skovsgaard, 1997).

212

2.5. Forest management scenarios

For each of the three climate scenarios (i.e., CUR, RCP4.5, RCP8.5) we simulated forest management 213 214 by mimicking six different silvicultural options reflecting different goals (Table 1), resulting in a total 215 of 18 different model runs. All the options were simulated to take place after 2016, i.e., the last year 216 of field measurements. The scenarios cover several management objectives including both wood production and renaturalization and reflect the state-of-the-science of management options applied to 217 218 this region of the Italian Apennines (Cantiani et al., 2018). The first option ('no management') 219 represents the natural development of the forest left without human intervention. Such option also 220 mimics land abandonment, that represents a relatively widespread occurrence in Mediterranean 221 mountains. Two options simulating different thinning intensities - 'light' and 'heavy', corresponding to a 28% and 35.5% reduction of BA, respectively – at an interval of 15 years are proposed in order 222 223 to reproduce silvicultural interventions aimed at favouring natural forest dynamics. Indeed, at 224 intermediate stages of stand development, pine forests can benefit from thinnings aimed specifically at improving their degree of stability (Cantiani et al., 2005; Cantiani and Piovosi, 2008). Selective 225 226 thinnings also favor structural diversity, and reduce inter-tree competition for water, light, and 227 nutrients (del Río et al., 2017; Marchi et al., 2018). However, tending and thinning interventions still 228 represent a major passive management item in terms of net costs and are often avoided in public 229 forests resulting in a progressive degeneration of stand structure (Ahtikoski et al., 2021; Niskanen 230 and Väyrynen, 2001). An additional, production-oriented option ('patch clearcut') simulating a complete harvest followed by replanting 80 years after the establishment of the plantation is also 231 232 included. Nevertheless, the shelterwood system represents a more sustainable alternative to clear233 cutting and patch cuttings by ensuring continuous forest cover and an adequate light availability to 234 the forest floor and protection from soil erosion. The practice favours regeneration while modulating 235 the competition for light and water resources with herbs and shrubs, and allows higher revenues 236 (Brichta et al., 2020; Cantiani et al., 2018; Montoro Girona et al., 2018). Therefore, we simulated two 237 shelterwood options: 'shelterwood A', consisting of two light thinnings (20% reduction of BA) with 238 a 10 year interval, followed by a seed-favouring cut after 80 years from the original planting (80% 239 reduction of BA) and a removal cut 10 years later; 'shelterwood B', defined by a delayed seed-240 favouring cut after 90 years, preceded by three heavier thinnings (28.5% reduction of BA) and 241 followed by a removal cut after 10 years. In both cases, the seed-favouring cut is followed by natural 242 regeneration of the same species. The regeneration is simulated as a prescribed replanting, with 243 density of saplings derived from the estimated tree density of natural Laricio pine stands in 1986 (see 244 Callegari et al., 2003) by going backwards to 1958 and assuming a 1% annual mortality rate (Andrus 245 et al., 2021).

246

2.6. Analysis of simulation outputs

247 To assess the response of the autotrophic component of the stand to climate change and management, 248 we considered the tree biomass compartment, whose C-stocks and fluxes are the most affected by 249 forest management due to a modulation of stand density as a consequence of thinning and harvesting 250 (D'Amato et al., 2011). Specifically, we evaluated the temporal trends of stand-level GPP, net 251 primary productivity (NPP), potential C-woody stocks (pCWS; i.e., the sum of standing woody 252 biomass and harvested woody stocks, assuming no decay) and BA. We chose these variables among 253 all model outputs as they are key components of the forest C-budget and forest structure, representing 254 the physiologically and structurally inherent capacity of trees to sequester and stock atmospheric CO₂ 255 on the short- (i.e., GPP and NPP) to long-term (i.e., pCWS and BA). At the same time, these outputs 256 are key variables relevant to decision makers to assess stand growth changes and current standing 257 biomass, as well as to make appropriate management decisions. Notably, we considered pCWS as 258 potential values representative of the maximum attainable C-stock capacity (i.e., the yield in woody

biomass and timber), to quantify the inherent capability of trees to sequester and store C over mediumto long-time periods. Temporal trends of standing woody biomass and harvested woody stocks were also presented separately to facilitate the interpretation of pCWS. Likewise, trends of autotrophic respiration and biomass production (i.e., the fraction of NPP that is used for the biomass increment only; Collalti et al., 2020b) were evaluated to assess the relationship between productivity and Cstocks.

We analysed the crossed effect of climate change and management by calculating the relative change of the abovementioned outputs from the baseline '*no management*' option under CUR climate for each combination of management option and climate scenario. The results were averaged within the NF and FF time windows, as well as for the whole simulation starting from 2006 (i.e., the starting year of the climatic scenarios; ALL time window). All data analyses and visualization were performed with R (R Core Team, 2021).

271

272 **3. Results**

3.1. Model evaluation

274 The simulated mean stand DBH of Laricio pine plantations in the Bonis watershed was 18.1 cm in 275 1986, 20.5 cm in 1993 before the thinning, 21 cm in 1993 after the thinning, and 24.3 cm in 1999. In 276 the same years, Callegari et al. (2003) reported a mean stand DBH range of 18 - 20.2 cm, 19.8 - 21.8 277 cm, 20.8 - 22.8 cm and 23.8 - 27.4 cm, respectively, for high- and low-density plantations. At the forest plots, a mean stand DBH of 22.2 ± 2.4 cm was estimated in 1993 before the thinning, which 278 279 increased to 25.9 ± 3.7 cm in 1999 and to 33.7 ± 3.3 cm in 2016. The simulated value for in 2016, 280 was 33.6 cm (Table 2; Figure S1). As for tree density, the model simulated 1620 trees ha⁻¹ in 1986, 1276 trees ha⁻¹ in 1993 before the thinning, 948 trees ha⁻¹ in 1993 after the thinning, 894 trees ha⁻¹ 281 in 1999 and 474 trees ha⁻¹ in 2016. The values measured at the forest plots were 1491 ± 382 trees ha⁻¹ 282 ¹, 975 \pm 376 trees ha⁻¹ and 522 \pm 231 trees ha⁻¹ in 1993 before the thinning, 1999 and 2016, 283

respectively. Similarly, Callegari et al. (2003) reported a range of 1250 - 2200 trees ha⁻¹, 1162 - 1701 trees ha⁻¹, 800 - 1150 trees ha⁻¹ and 775 - 1102 trees ha⁻¹ in 1986, 1993 before thinning, 1993 after thinning and 1999, respectively (Table 2; Figure S1).

Goodness-of-fit metrics of the four-year average trend of simulated daily GPP against values derived by the eddy covariance tower were RMSE = $1.38 \text{ gC} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$, $\text{R}^2 = 0.69$ and ME = 0.6 (Figure 1 a,b). As for the daily GPP of each year, the model reproduced the annual trends, albeit with different accuracy (Figure S2).

3.2. Climate scenarios

292 On average, atmospheric CO₂ concentration will increase to 461 - 494 ppmv in NF and to 530 - 761 ppmv in FF, according to the RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 scenarios, respectively. At the same time, mean 293 temperatures at the Bonis watershed under the RCP4.5 scenario are projected to increase by 1.2 °C 294 295 (9%) in NF and 3 °C (23%) in FF, compared to CUR. According to the RCP8.5 scenario, the increase 296 will be by 1.8 °C (14%) and 5 °C (39%). Vapor pressure deficit will also increase by 13% in NF and 31% in FF under the RCP4.5 scenario compared to CUR, while the increase will be by 18% and 59% 297 298 under the RCP8.5 scenario. No significant change in precipitation is predicted in NF for both 299 scenarios, while a reduction of 20% and 22% is predicted in FF, respectively for the RCP4.5 and 300 RCP8.5 scenarios, compared to CUR (Table S2; Figure S3).

301 **3.3. Crossed effects of climate change and management**

Within the NF period, the '*no management*' option showed the highest values for GPP (1591 - 1677 gC m⁻² y⁻¹), NPP (570 - 552 gC m⁻² y⁻¹), and BA (42 m² ha⁻¹) under all climate scenarios, while the '*patch clearcut*' option showed the lowest values of the same variables (GPP: 1172 - 1269 gC m⁻² y⁻ ¹, NPP: 450 - 455 gC m⁻² y⁻¹, BA: 24 - 25 m² ha⁻¹). As for pCWS, the highest values were for the '*shelterwood B*' option (167 - 169 tC ha⁻¹), while '*no management*' exhibited the lowest (114 - 115 tC ha⁻¹). The '*shelterwood A*', '*shelterwood B*' and '*patch clearcut*' options showed a similar decrease in GPP (between -12% and -26%) and BA (between -30% and -42%) compared to '*no* 309 management' under CUR climate, while the 'light' and 'heavy thinning' options presented a more 310 limited decrease (between -1% and -6% for GPP; between -11% and -16% for BA). As for NPP, 311 the decrease was negligible for 'light' and 'heavy thinning' (between -2% and -5%); 'shelterwood 312 A' and 'shelterwood B' exhibited intermediate values between -6% and -11% of NPP while the 313 'patch clearcut' presented the greatest decrease (between -19% and -20%) compared to 'no 314 management' under CUR climate. Increases in pCWS were between 37% and 47% for thinning and 315 shelterwood options, while the 'patch clearcut' option exhibited a 3% to 4% increase compared to 316 'no management' under CUR climate. No large differences in the output variables were observed 317 among different climate scenarios across all management options (Table 3; Figure 2 and 3).

318 As for the FF time window, mean GPP was the highest under the 'shelterwood B' option (1833 - 1937) gC m⁻² y⁻¹), while mean NPP was the highest under the 'shelterwood A' option (465 - 604 gC m⁻² y⁻¹) 319 320 ¹). Mean pCWS was maximized with *'heavy thinning'* (265 - 275 tC ha⁻¹), while the highest simulated 321 BA was found under the 'shelterwood A' option (42 m² ha⁻¹). The 'heavy thinning' option led to the lowest mean values for GPP (1334 - 1374 gC m⁻² y⁻¹), NPP (353 - 490 gC m⁻² y⁻¹) and BA (36 - 37 322 m^{2} ha⁻¹), while the lowest mean values for pCWS were found under the 'no management' simulation 323 (138 - 141 tC ha⁻¹) (Table 3; Figure 2 and 3). Overall, 'patch clearcut', 'shelterwood A' and 324 325 'shelterwood B' options exhibited a similar change in GPP (between 25% and 37%) with very limited 326 effect on BA (between -3% and 1%), compared to 'no management' under CUR climate. Conversely, 327 'light' and 'heavy thinning' showed a slight decrease both in GPP (between -1% and -6%) and BA 328 (-3% and -14%). pCWS increased between 61% and 94% under the thinning and shelterwood options 329 and showed a 28% - 30% increase with 'patch clearcut'. Even in the FF time window, no large 330 differences in GPP, pCWS and BA emerged among different climate scenarios across the different 331 management options. On the contrary, NPP was more strongly affected by climate change: it 332 decreased by 14% and 25% under the RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 scenarios in the 'no management' option 333 compared to the baseline under CUR climate. As for the 'patch clearcut', 'shelterwood A' and 334 'shelterwood B' options NPP showed to increase between 1 and 18% under the CUR and RCP4.5

scenarios, and a to decrease under the RCP8.5 scenario (between -8% and -12%), compared to 'no *management*' under CUR climate. As for the '*light*' and '*heavy thinning*' options, NPP decreased
between 2% and 4% under CUR climate, 16% and 18% under RCP4.5, and between 28% and 30%
under the RCP8.5 scenario, compared 'no management' under CUR climate (Table 3; Figure 2 and
339
3).

340 Between 2006 and 2095, GPP was maximized under the 'patch clearcut', 'shelterwood A' and 'shelterwood B' options (1552 - 1695 gC m⁻² y⁻¹), corresponding to a 1% to 11% increase compared 341 to 'no management' under CUR climate (1544 gC m⁻² y⁻¹), while the thinning options showed the 342 lowest values (1460 - 1530 gC m⁻² y⁻¹) and a decrease between 1% and 5%. NPP showed a similar 343 344 trend, with the 'shelterwood A' and 'shelterwood B' options exhibiting the highest values (509 - 569 gC m⁻² y⁻¹), corresponding to a change between -6% and 4%, compared to '*no management*' under 345 CUR climate (551 gC m⁻² y⁻¹). The 'patch clearcut', 'light thinning' and 'heavy thinning' simulations 346 had lower NPP (474 - 541 gC m⁻² y⁻¹) than 'no management' (491 - 551 gC m⁻² y⁻¹) for the same 347 348 climate scenarios, corresponding to a 2% - 14% decrease compared to the value obtained under CUR 349 climate (Table 3; Figure 2 and 3). The same pattern was observed for biomass production – ranging between 502 - 557 gC $m^{-2}\,y^{-1}$ with the shelterwood options and between 467 - 529 gC $m^{-2}\,y^{-1}$ with 350 the other active management options – and autotrophic respiration, which was maximized under the 351 'patch clearcut', 'shelterwood A' and 'shelterwood B' options (996 - 1177 gC m⁻² y⁻¹) and minimized 352 under the thinning options (928 - 1048 gC m⁻² y⁻¹) (Table S4; Figure S4 and S5). All management 353 options showed lower BA values (34 - 38 m² ha⁻¹) compared to 'no management', corresponding to 354 a relative change between -7% and -8% ('light thinning'), and -19% ('shelterwood B'). As for 355 356 pCWS, all options led to greater values than 'no management' (121 - 122 tC ha⁻¹), with the thinning and shelterwood options showing similar values (181 - 196 tC ha⁻¹), corresponding to a 45% to 56% 357 358 increase (Table 3; Figure 2 and 3). The increase in pCWS under all active management options was explained by the larger harvested woody stocks (between 377% and 710%) compared to the 'no 359

management ' option, while standing woody biomass decreased between -5% (*'light thinning'*) and 41% (*'shelterwood A'*) (Table S5; Figure S6 and S7).

362 **4. Discussion**

4.1. Model evaluation

364 The 3D-CMCC-FEM reproduced well the development of a Laricio pine stand in the Bonis watershed over a 58-year span. Our evaluation of stand attributes showed that, starting from the establishment 365 366 of the plantation in 1958, the simulated mean stand DBH and tree density fell within the measured 367 range of two independent datasets: average values for low and high density Laricio pine plantations in the area between 1986 and 1999 (Callegari et al.; 2003), and the forest plots surveyed between 368 1993 and 2016. The model was also able to simulate historical management activities and their effects 369 370 on forest development. Indeed, the simulation included a thinning of 25% of stand BA that took place 371 in 1993, which was reflected by the reduction in tree density in that year and a slight increase in the growth rate of mean stand DBH in the following years (0.6 cm y^{-1} after the thinning vs. 0.3 cm y^{-1} 372 373 before the thinning).

Furthermore, the model was able to reproduce the mean seasonal cycle of daily GPP as obtained by the eddy covariance tower with sufficient accuracy, supporting previous assessments of model performance (Collalti et al., 2014, 2016, 2018, 2020a; Dalmonech et al., 2022; Engel et al., 2021; Mahnken et al., 2022; Marconi et al., 2017). The R² of 0.69 is in line with previous evaluations of simulated daily GPP across northern European forest sites (average R² across three sites = 0.73; Collalti et al., 2018), while the ME of 0.61 is within the range found for daily GPP simulated with other process-based models (0.42 - 0.84 in Bagnara et al., 2015; 0.61 - 0.98 in Minunno et al., 2016).

381 **4.2. Impacts of climate change**

382 In the first half of the 21st century, both RCPs projected similar increments in mean annual 383 temperature and vapor pressure deficit with no significant changes in the amount of precipitation for 384 the Bonis watershed. However, these trends had little effect on the considered forest variables within 385 the NF time window. Conversely, in the second half of the 21st century, a reduction in precipitation 386 and an increase in temperature - in line with previous estimates for the Mediterranean basin (see 387 Lionello and Scarascia, 2018; Santini et al., 2014) - were probably responsible for the observed 388 decrease in NPP across all management options. The changes were more pronounced under the most 389 emission-intensive scenario and toward the end of the century, negatively affecting the ability of 390 Laricio pine stands to absorb and to store C. Indeed, the decline in water availability is likely 391 responsible for an increased water stress, which could offset the positive effects of higher atmospheric 392 CO₂ concentrations and the lengthening of the growing season (Cinnirella et al., 2002), while higher 393 temperatures favour autotrophic respiration and photorespiration (Dusenge et al., 2019; Gea-394 Izquierdo et al., 2017; Lindner et al., 2010), leading to a reduction in biomass production because of 395 increased allocation to non-structural carbon pools (Collalti et al., 2020b). Yet, the observed climate 396 change-driven decreases in C-fluxes were only marginally mirrored by lower C-stocks at higher 397 atmospheric CO₂ concentrations. This is likely due to a temporal lag induced by a smaller magnitude 398 of the fluxes compared to the stocks, with changes of the latter observable only over longer simulation 399 timeframes.

400 Previous studies already highlighted the negative effect of high temperature and soil moisture scarcity 401 on leaf development and tree growth for forests in general and, more in particular, for Laricio pines, 402 although the emergence of pervasive acclimation mechanisms (e.g., changes in C-allocation for 403 reserve accumulation) in this species could reduce forest vulnerability to extreme events, thus 404 preventing extensive dieback episodes (Cinnirella et al., 2002; Mazza et al., 2018). Nonetheless, 405 indirect effects of climate change, including increased vulnerability of trees to pathogen attacks, could 406 lead to higher mortality rates irrespective of physiological adaptations (Gentilesca et al., 2017; Resco 407 De Dios et al., 2007). Recent studies have shown the ambiguity in the responses of forests to both 408 warming and enriched atmospheric CO₂ concentration (Rezaie et al., 2018), probably related to site-409 specific factors (e.g. forest age, forest structure, soil nutrient availability and microclimate). While 410 Central and Northern Europe seem to show a general increase in both C-sequestration and C-stocks in the short- to medium-term (Reyer et al., 2015), the impact of increasing droughts and disturbance risk will likely outweigh any positive trends in Southern Europe induced by CO₂-fertilization, with an expected decline in the productivity of the Mediterranean region (Lindner et al., 2010; Reyer et al., 2014; Simioni et al., 2020). In this respect, the Bonis watershed represents a unique experimental site with mountain climate at the center of the Mediterranean basin. These features make it particularly exposed to the effects of climate change, hence its likely role as sentinel of future changes in forest dynamics for the whole region.

418

4.3. Impacts of forest management

419 Regardless of the short- to long-term changes in productivity, the effect of management on forest 420 attributes largely outplays that of climate change, in line with previous findings for Mediterranean 421 pine forests (del Río et al., 2017) and other European forests (e.g., Akujärvi et al., 2019; Gutsch et 422 al., 2018). Therefore, the choice of far-sighted management options is key to the future of pine plantations, particularly in the case of Laricio pine stands in the Bonis watershed, with the aim of 423 424 preserving and enhancing primary production and carbon storage capacity over time, improving 425 forests resilience to biotic and abiotic stresses, as well as promoting their structural complexity and 426 the multiple ecosystem functions (Scarascia-Mugnozza et al., 2000). The present study aimed at 427 reducing the knowledge gap about the potential benefits of diverse forest management options for 428 Mediterranean pine plantations under climate change and, to our knowledge, provides the most 429 complete overview on the subject to date. Assessing the crossed effects of forest management and 430 climate in these environments is of paramount importance for areas close to the geographical limit of 431 the distribution of pine species like the Bonis watershed (Navarro-Cerrillo et al., 2019), as well as for 432 the whole European continent given the ubiquity of conifer plantations (Naudts et al., 2016).

Our simulations showed that, in the first half of the 21st century, the lack of management interventions
led to higher C-fluxes (i.e., GPP and NPP) and BA, as opposed to production-oriented management
strategies involving clear-cutting or the shelterwood system, which abruptly slowed down C-fluxes
because of the strong reduction in leaf area and in situ standing biomass. Yet, such commercial, forest-

oriented options showed to maximize C-fluxes in the second half of the 21^{st} century as a response to regeneration or replanting. Despite these fluctuations, the overall effect on C-fluxes of different management options under different climate scenarios over the 2006 - 2095 period was modest, with a relative change range between -14% and +11% compared to *'no management'* under the CUR climate scenario. These results might allude that either forest management is counterbalancing the effects of warming and increasing atmospheric CO₂ concentration, or that the Laricio pine has already reached its suitability optimum for this particular geographic area.

444 Our results indicate that, in the long term, active management practices can effectively increase both 445 pCWS and NPP. However, as defined in this paper, C-stocks in the harvested woody biomass are just 446 a potential value and do not account for decay rates. Thus, our modelling outcomes tend to favour the 447 options that maximize C-sequestration efficiency and storage into wood tissues, despite the observed 448 reduction in standing woody biomass. Yet, even though the predicted total pCWS are probably on 449 the optimistic end of the wide spectrum of possible outcomes (i.e., overestimated), the proactive 450 management of Mediterranean pine plantation likely remains beneficial. Indeed, it has been 451 previously demonstrated that the lack of forest management in pine plantations might increase inter-452 tree competition, hence vulnerability to drought stress (Manrique-Alba et al., 2020; Martín-Benito et 453 al., 2010; Navarro-Cerrillo et al., 2019). Furthermore, unmanaged pine plantations of the 454 Mediterranean basin are simplified ecosystems composed of high-density, even-aged stands with 455 arrested succession and at risk of events like wildfires and pest outbreaks (Ruiz-Benito et al., 2012; 456 Scarascia-Mugnozza et al., 2000). As these destructive events represent an increasingly likely outcome in Mediterranean pine plantations under climate change, forest managers should prioritize 457 458 active management options aimed at reducing fire risk by decreasing the fuel load. Among these 459 options, thinning interventions are particularly promising, as they have demonstrated to reduce 460 fireline intensity while avoiding emissions from prescribed burning (Rabin et al., 2022)

461 Previous studies highlighted the role of management strategies targeting a reduction of tree density462 (i.e., thinning and shelterwood) in improving overall forest health in the Mediterranean region

463 (Brichta et al., 2020; del Río et al., 2017; Manrique-Alba et al., 2020; Martín-Benito et al., 2010; 464 Navarro-Cerrillo et al., 2019; Prévosto et al., 2011; Ruiz-Benito et al., 2012). In particular, moderate 465 to heavy thinning interventions (between 25% to 50% reduction of stand BA) have been 466 recommended as a drought adaptation measure for Mediterranean pine forests with long-lasting 467 positive effects (Manrique-Alba et al., 2020). Furthermore, heavy thinning was found to increase the 468 C-sequestration potential of these environments by compensating the on-site loss of C with an 469 increased total C-stock when harvested woody stocks are taken into account (del Río et al., 2017). 470 Similarly, in the shelterwood system, stand density is reduced to increase light availability, with 471 positive effects on the growth of naturally established seedlings (Prévosto et al., 2011). Shelterwood 472 regeneration of pine species was found to be more favourable with respect to microsite characteristics 473 and of greater quality compared to replanting after clear-cut, especially after a heavy reduction of 474 initial stand density. Thus, the shelterwood system represents a potentially useful management option 475 to mitigate the negative effects of climate change (Brichta et al., 2020) and to reduce the impacts of 476 replanting operations on soils. While in the present study we did not explicitly assess the effect of 477 management options on water-use efficiency, we found that 'heavy thinning' represented the best 478 option for maximizing the pCWS, in line with previous findings. At the same time, the shelterwood 479 options performed halfway between patch clearcut and thinnings and can be used to renaturalize 480 Laricio pine forests while enhancing potential C-stocks and productivity.

481

4.4. Assumptions and caveats

The 3D-CMCC-FEM allowed to simulate several management options for Laricio pine plantations at Bonis watershed under different climate scenarios considering biogeochemical, biophysical, physiological and stand development processes. In the present study, we considered only the autotrophic response to climate change and management, namely those primary stand attributes related to C-fluxes and C-stocks of the standing and harvested biomass. Despite we acknowledge the non-negligible contribution of other compartments like soil (Navarrete-poyatos et al., 2019) and deadwood (del Río et al., 2017) our aim was to provide an indication of the joint effect of climate 489 change and management on the main C inputs and stocks of a Mediterranean pine plantation, which 490 are the most affected by silvicultural activities (D'Amato et al., 2011) and the main target of 491 management planning. In addition, the model is most suitable for medium-term simulations, as it 492 currently does not incorporate complex regeneration and mortality-related dynamics, which are 493 known to likely exert a greater effect than climate on C-stocks and might play an important role in 494 post-disturbance recovery and resilience especially in the Mediterranean environments (Oberpriller 495 et al., 2022). Thus, further studies including other ecosystem compartments and dynamics are 496 required to obtain a complete picture of the overall C-balance in Mediterranean forests and project its 497 changes under future climate.

498 In our approach, we did not consider the decay of the harvested woody biomass, as the main focus of 499 the present study was to quantify the maximum potential capacity of trees to sequester C in woody 500 tissues and, in turn, to provide products under the proposed robust modelling approach and portfolio 501 of interventions. A life-cycle assessment with the quantification of the overall climate change 502 mitigation contribution of the forestry sector would require the definition of context-specific 503 scenarios of final use and displacement of harvested wood (e.g., Valade et al., 2017), as well as the 504 full greenhouse gas budget. The analysis would however be highly influenced by the wood market, 505 as well as the energy and manufacturing-construction sectors (Howard et al., 2021; Leskinen et al., 506 2018), potentially adding high uncertainty to the modelling outcome.

507 Furthermore, the current model version is not set to simulate some forest disturbances that are likely 508 to impact our study area like recurrent wildfires and pest outbreaks. However, simulating such events 509 was beyond the scope of the present study as they are better represented under simulations conducted 510 at the landscape scale. We also recognize that more management options than the ones we simulated 511 are available. Yet, our scenarios cover several objectives including biodiversity enhancement, wood 512 production and re-naturalization and reflect the state-of-the-practice of management portfolios 513 applied to this region of the Italian Apennines (Cantiani et al., 2018). Moreover, the model currently 514 does not account for the effect of soil nutrients on tree growth, site conditions being equal in all

515 simulations. Yet, nutrient availability is generally considered a secondary driver of tree growth in 516 Laricio pine forests, which are usually mainly limited by soil moisture (Mazza et al., 2018). Indeed, 517 Laricio pines and other Pinus nigra subspecies were widely employed in large-scale afforestation 518 projects in the Italian Apennines for their pioneer species features, being able to thrive on depleted 519 and overexploited soils (Cantiani et al., 2018). We acknowledge, however, that this low sensitivity of 520 tree growth to soil nutrients is specific to this study and should be reconsidered when performing 521 simulations on other forest ecosystems. Finally, the simulations did not include species replacement 522 due to competition and colonization. However, the forests at the Bonis watershed are dominated by 523 Laricio pines, both natural and artificial, which are likely to recolonize gaps in the absence of 524 proactive replanting of other tree species.

525

526 **5.** Conclusions

527 Overall, our 137-year simulation showed that climate change will affect the productivity of Laricio pine plantations in the Bonis watershed, especially in the second half of the 21st century. However, 528 529 the choice of current and future management will exert an even stronger effect on the C-sink and C-530 stock capacity of such forests. Therefore, an appropriate planning over a set of management options 531 aimed at maintaining and enhancing forest functionality is key to allow the future provision of forest ecosystem services in the Mediterranean area. Among the investigated options, different thinning 532 533 regimes and shelterwood represent the most promising management practice. The present work 534 provided the most complete overview to date of the crossed effect of climate change and management 535 on one of the southernmost European pine plantation sites, with direct implications for the planning 536 of diverse management strategies in Mediterranean pine forests. Yet, further studies are required to assess the impact of recurrent stand disturbances, changes in soil nutrient availability and species 537 538 replacement on multiple ecosystem services, possibly including the soil and heterotrophic fraction of 539 the ecosystem C-balance.

541 Figure captions

542 543

Figure 1. Evaluation of the average simulated daily GPP against the values obtained by the eddy covariance tower at the Bonis watershed in the years 2005 - 2008 (a, b). The solid line represents the mean simulated value. The points represent the mean values derived by eddy covariance measurements in different years. Shaded areas (a) and error bars (b) are the interval between the minimum and maximum values for a given day.

549

Figure 2. Relative change of modeled outputs according to six different management options (no management, light thinning, heavy thinning, patch clearcut, shelterwood A, shelterwood B) and three climate scenarios (CUR, RCP4.5, RCP8.5) compared to the baseline 'no management' option under the CUR climate scenario within the NF, FF, and ALL time windows. The error bars are the 95% confidence intervals.

555

Figure 3. Simulated GPP (a), NPP (b), pCWS (c) and BA (d) according to six management options (no management, light thinning, heavy thinning, patch clearcut, shelterwood A, shelterwood B) and three climate scenarios (CUR, RCP4.5, RCP8.5). Black lines are the historical simulations from 1958 to 2005. Solid lines from 2006 are the outputs produced by different management options for each climate scenario.

562 **References**

- Ahtikoski, A., Laitila, J., Hilli, A., Päätalo, M.L., 2021. Profitability of the first commercial
 thinning, a simulation study in northern finland. Forests 12, 1–15.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/f12101389
- Akujärvi, A., Shvidenko, A., Pietsch, S.A., 2019. Modelling the impacts of intensifying forest
 management on carbon budget across a long latitudinal gradient in Europe. Environ. Res. Lett.
 14, 034012. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aaf766
- Andrus, R.A., Chai, R.K., Harvey, B.J., Rodman, K.C., Veblen, T.T., 2021. Increasing rates of
 subalpine tree mortality linked to warmer and drier summers. J. Ecol. 109, 2203–2218.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13634
- Arora, V.K., Boer, G.J., 2005. A parameterization of leaf phenology for the terrestrial ecosystem
 component of climate models. Glob. Chang. Biol. 11, 39–59. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.13652486.2004.00890.x
- Bagnara, M., Sottocornola, M., Cescatti, A., Minerbi, S., Montagnani, L., Gianelle, D., Magnani, F.,
 2015. Bayesian optimization of a light use efficiency model for the estimation of daily gross
 primary productivity in a range of Italian forest ecosystems. Ecol. Modell. 306, 57–66.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2014.09.021
- Borys, A., Suckow, F., Reyer, C., Gutsch, M., Lasch-Born, P., 2016. The impact of climate change
 under different thinning regimes on carbon sequestration in a German forest district. Mitig.
 Adapt. Strateg. Glob. Chang. 21, 861–881. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11027-014-9628-6
- Brichta, J., Bílek, L., Linda, R., Vítámvás, J., 2020. Does shelterwood regeneration on natural Scots
 pine sites under changing environmental conditions represent a viable alternative to traditional
 clear-cut management? Cent. Eur. For. J. 66, 104–115. https://doi.org/10.2478/forj-2020-0014
- Bucchignani, E., Montesarchio, M., Zollo, A.L., Mercogliano, P., 2016. High-resolution climate
 simulations with COSMO-CLM over Italy: Performance evaluation and climate projections for
 the 21st century. Int. J. Climatol. 36, 735–756. https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.4379
- Buttafuoco, G., Castrignanò, A., Busoni, E., Dimase, A.C., 2005. Studying the spatial structure
 evolution of soil water content using multivariate geostatistics. J. Hydrol. 311, 202–218.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2005.01.018
- 591 Callegari, G., Ferrari, E., Garfi, G., Iovino, F., Veltri, A., 2003. Impact of thinning on the water
 592 balance of a catchment in a Mediterranean environment. For. Chron. 79, 301–306.
 593 https://doi.org/10.5558/tfc79301-2
- Caloiero, T., Biondo, C., Callegari, G., Collalti, A., Froio, R., Maesano, M., Matteucci, G.,
 Pellicone, G., Veltri, A., 2017. Results of a long-term study on an experimental watershed in southern Italy. Forum Geogr. XV, 55–65. https://doi.org/10.5775/fg.2016.067.s
- Cantiani, P., Di Salvatore, U., Romano, R., 2018. Silvicultural aspects of artificial black pine
 plantations: analysis of Italian regional laws. For. Riv. di Selvic. ed Ecol. For. 15, 99–111.
 https://doi.org/10.3832/efor2985-015
- Cantiani, P., Iorio, G., Pelleri, F., 2005. Effects of thinnings in Pinus nigra artificial stands (Umbria, Italy). For. Riv. di Selvic. ed Ecol. For. 2, 207–216. https://doi.org/10.3832/efor0292-

- 602 0020207
- Cantiani, P., Piovosi, M., 2008. La gestione dei rimboschimenti di pino nero appenninici. I
 diradamenti nella strategia di rinaturalizzazione. Ann. CRA-SEL 35, 35–42.

605 Chiesi, M., Maselli, F., Moriondo, M., Fibbi, L., Bindi, M., Running, S.W., 2007. Application of
606 BIOME-BGC to simulate Mediterranean forest processes. Ecol. Modell. 206, 179–190.
607 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2007.03.032

Cinnirella, S., Magnani, F., Saracino, A., Borghetti, M., 2002. Response of a mature Pinus laricio
 plantation to a three-year restriction of water supply: Structural and functional acclimation to
 drought. Tree Physiol. 22, 21–30. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/22.1.21

Collalti, A., Biondo, C., Buttafuoco, G., Maesano, M., Caloiero, T., Lucà, F., Pellicone, G., Ricca,
N., Salvati, R., Veltri, A., Scarascia Mugnozza, G., Matteucci, G., 2017. Simulation,
calibration and validation protocols for the model 3D-CMCC-CNR-FEM: a case study in the
Bonis' watershed (Calabria, Italy). For. - Riv. di Selvic. ed Ecol. For. 14, 247–256.
https://doi.org/10.3832/efor2368-014

Collalti, A., Ibrom, A., Stockmarr, A., Cescatti, A., Alkama, R., Fernández-Martínez, M., Ciais, P.,
Sitch, S., Friedlingstein, P., Goll, D.S., Nabel, J.E.M.S., Pongratz, J., Arneth, A., Haverd, V.,
Prentice, I.C. 2020b. Forest production efficiency increases with growth temperature. Nat
Commun. 11, 5322. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19187-w, 2020

Collalti, A., Marconi, S., Ibrom, A., Trotta, C., Anav, A., D'andrea, E., Matteucci, G., Montagnani,
L., Gielen, B., Mammarella, I., Grünwald, T., Knohl, A., Berninger, F., Zhao, Y., Valentini,
R., Santini, M., 2016. Validation of 3D-CMCC Forest Ecosystem Model (v.5.1) against eddy
covariance data for 10 European forest sites. Geosci. Model Dev. 9, 479–504.
https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-479-2016

Collalti, A., Perugini, L., Santini, M., Chiti, T., Nolè, A., Matteucci, G., Valentini, R., 2014. A
process-based model to simulate growth in forests with complex structure: Evaluation and use
of 3D-CMCC Forest Ecosystem Model in a deciduous forest in Central Italy. Ecol. Modell.
272, 362–378. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2013.09.016

Collalti, A., Thornton, P.E., Cescatti, A., Rita, A., Borghetti, M., Nolè, A., Trotta, C., Ciais, P.,
Matteucci, G., 2019. The sensitivity of the forest carbon budget shifts across processes along
with stand development and climate change. Ecol. Appl. 29, 1–18.
https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.1837

Collalti, A., Tjoelker, M.G., Heskel, M., Hoch, G., Petit, G., Mäkelä, A., Guidolotti, G., Battipaglia,
G., Ryan, M.G., Matteucci, G., 2020a. Plant respiration : Controlled by photosynthesis or
biomass ? Glob. Chang. Biol. 26,1739–1753. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14857

Collalti, A., Trotta, C., Keenan, T.F., Ibrom, A., Bond-Lamberty, B., Grote, R., Vicca, S., Reyer,
C.P.O., Migliavacca, M., Veroustraete, F., Anav, A., Campioli, M., Scoccimarro, E., Šigut, L.,
Grieco, E., Cescatti, A., Matteucci, G., 2018. Thinning Can Reduce Losses in Carbon Use
Efficiency and Carbon Stocks in Managed Forests Under Warmer Climate. J. Adv. Model.
Earth Syst. 10, 2427–2452. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018MS001275

b'Amato, A.W., Bradford, J.B., Fraver, S., Palik, B.J., 2011. Forest management for mitigation and
adaptation to climate change: Insights from long-term silviculture experiments. For. Ecol.
Manage. 262, 803–816. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2011.05.014

- b'Andrea, E., Rezaie, N., Prislan, P., Gričar, J., Collalti, A., Muhr, J., Matteucci, G., 2020. Frost
 and drought: Effects of extreme weather events on stem carbon dynamics in a Mediterranean
 beech forest. Plant Cell Environ. 43, 2365–2379. https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13858
- Dalmonech, D., Marano, G., Amthor, J., Cescatti, A., Lindner, M., Trotta, C., Collalti, A., 2022. No
 leeway to enhance carbon sequestration and stock capacity via changes to forest management
 1–45. https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.10.479900
- del Río, M., Barbeito, I., Bravo-Oviedo, A., Calama, R., Cañellas, I., Herrero, C., Montero, G.,
 Moreno-Fernández, D., Ruiz-Peinado, R., Bravo, F., 2017. Mediterranean Pine Forests:
 Management Effects on Carbon Stocks, in: Bravo, F., LeMay, V., Jandl, R. (Eds.), Managing
 Forest Ecosystems: The Challenge of Climate Change. Springer, pp. 301–327.
- 654 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-28250-3_15
- Delzon, S., Sartore, M., Burlett, R., Dewar, R., Loustau, D., 2004. Hydraulic responses to height
 growth in maritime pine trees. Plant, Cell Environ. 27, 1077–1087.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2004.01213.x
- Dewar, R.C., Ludlow, A.R., Dougherty, P.M., Ludlow, R., Dewar, C., 1994. Oikos Editorial Office
 Environmental Influences on Carbon Allocation in Pines Source : Ecological Bulletins , No.
 43, Environmental Constraints on the Structure and Productivity of Pine Forest Ecosystems: A
 Comparative Analysis (1994), pp . 92-101 Pu. Ecol. Bull. 43, 92–101.
- Diffenbaugh, N.S., Giorgi, F., 2012. Climate change hotspots in the CMIP5 global climate model
 ensemble. Clim. Change 114, 813–822. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-012-0570-x
- Dlugokencky, E., Tans, P., 2014. Trends in Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide [WWW Document].
 NOAA, Earth Syst. Res. Lab. URL https://gml.noaa.gov/ccgg/trends/ (accessed 12.10.21).
- Dusenge, M.E., Duarte, A.G., Way, D.A., 2019. Plant carbon metabolism and climate change:
 elevated CO2 and temperature impacts on photosynthesis, photorespiration and respiration.
 New Phytol. 221, 32–49. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15283
- Duveneck, M.J., Scheller, R.M., White, M.A., 2014. Effects of alternative forest management on
 biomass and species diversity in the face of climate change in the northern Great Lakes region
 (USA). Can. J. For. Res. 44, 700–710. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfr-2013-0391
- Engel, M., Vospernik, S., Toïgo, M., Morin, X., Tomao, A., Trotta, C., Steckel, M., Barbati, A.,
 Nothdurft, A., Pretzsch, H., del Rio, M., Skrzyszewski, J., Ponette, Q., Löf, M., Jansons, Ā.,
 Brazaitis, G., 2021. Simulating the effects of thinning and species mixing on stands of oak
 (Quercus petraea (Matt.) Liebl./Quercus robur L.) and pine (Pinus sylvestris L.) across Europe.
 Ecol. Modell. 442. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2020.109406
- Fady-Welterlen, B., 2005. Is there really more biodiversity in Mediterranean forest ecosystems?
 Taxon 54, 905–910. https://doi.org/10.2307/25065477
- 679 FAO, 2018. State of Mediterranean Forests 2018.
- Fürstenau, C., Badeck, F.W., Lasch, P., Lexer, M.J., Lindner, M., Mohr, P., Suckow, F., 2007.
 Multiple-use forest management in consideration of climate change and the interests of stakeholder groups. Eur. J. For. Res. 126, 225–239. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-006-0114-x
- 683 Garcia-Gonzalo, J., Peltola, H., Briceño-Elizondo, E., Kellomäki, S., 2007. Effects of climate 684 change and management on timber yield in boreal forests, with economic implications: A case

- 685 study. Ecol. Modell. 209, 220–234. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2007.06.021
- 686 Gea-Izquierdo, G., Nicault, A., Battipaglia, G., Dorado-Liñán, I., Gutiérrez, E., Ribas, M., Guiot, J.,
 687 2017. Risky future for Mediterranean forests unless they undergo extreme carbon fertilization.
 688 Glob. Chang. Biol. 23, 2915–2927. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13597
- 689 Gentilesca, T., Camarero, J.J., Colangelo, M., Nolè, A., Ripullone, F., 2017. Drought-induced oak
 690 decline in the western mediterranean region: An overview on current evidences, mechanisms
 691 and management options to improve forest resilience. IForest 10, 796–806.
 692 https://doi.org/10.3832/ifor2317-010
- 693 González-Sanchis, M. a., Del Campo, A.D., Molina, A.J., Fernandes, T. sio J.G., 2015. Modeling
 694 adaptive forest management of a semi-arid Mediterranean Aleppo pine plantation. Ecol.
 695 Modell. 308, 34–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2015.04.002
- 696 Grossoni, P., 2014. Pinus nigra, in: Roloff, A., Weisgerber, H., Lang, U.M., Stimm, B., Schütt, P.
 697 (Eds.), Enzyklopädie Der Holzgewächse: Handbuch Und Atlas Der Dendrologie. Wiley-Vch
 698 Verlag.
- 699 Gupta, R., Sharma, L.K., 2019. The process-based forest growth model 3-PG for use in forest
 700 management: A review. Ecol. Modell. 397, 55–73.
 701 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2019.01.007
- Gutsch, M., Lasch-Born, P., Kollas, C., Suckow, F., Reyer, C.P.O., 2018. Balancing trade-offs
 between ecosystem services in Germany's forests under climate change. Environ. Res. Lett.
 13. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aab4e5
- Howard, C., Dymond, C.C., Griess, V.C., Tolkien-Spurr, D., van Kooten, G.C., 2021. Wood
 product carbon substitution benefits: a critical review of assumptions. Carbon Balance Manag.
 16, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13021-021-00171-w
- IPCC, 2019. Climate Change and Land: an IPCC special report on climate change, desertification,
 land degradation, sustainable land management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in
 terrestrial ecosystems, International Encyclopedia of Geography: People, the Earth,
 Environment and Technology. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118786352.wbieg0538
- Jandl, R., Spathelf, P., Bolte, A., Prescott, C.E., 2019. Forest adaptation to climate change—is nonmanagement an option? Ann. For. Sci. 76, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-019-0827-x
- Jönsson, A.M., Lagergren, F., Smith, B., 2015. Forest management facing climate change an
 ecosystem model analysis of adaptation strategies. Mitig. Adapt. Strateg. Glob. Chang. 20,
 201–220. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11027-013-9487-6
- Keenan, T., Maria Serra, J., Lloret, F., Ninyerola, M., Sabate, S., 2011. Predicting the future of forests in the Mediterranean under climate change, with niche- and process-based models:
 CO2 matters! Glob. Chang. Biol. 17, 565–579. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02254.x
- Keith, H., Mackey, B.G., Lindenmayer, D.B., 2009. Re-evaluation of forest biomass carbon stocks
 and lessons from the world's most carbon-dense forests. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 106,
 11635–11640. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0901970106
- Klein, T., Cahanovitc, R., Sprintsin, M., Herr, N., Schiller, G., 2019. A nation-wide analysis of tree
 mortality under climate change: Forest loss and its causes in Israel 1948–2017. For. Ecol.

- 726 Manage. 432, 840–849. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2018.10.020
- Lapa, G., Morandini, F., Ferrat, L., 2017. Sap flow and photosynthetic response to climate and
 drought of Pinus nigra in a Mediterranean natural forest. Trees Struct. Funct. 31, 1711–1721.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-017-1580-0
- Lebourgeois, F., Lévy, G., Aussenac, G., Clerc, B., Willm, F., 1998. Influence of soil drying on leaf
 water potential, photosynthesis, stomatal conductance and growth in two black pine varieties.
 Ann. des Sci. For. 55, 287–299. https://doi.org/10.1051/forest:19980302
- Lerma-Arce, V., Oliver-Villanueva, J., Segura-Orenga, G., Urchueguia-Schölzel, J., 2021.
 Comparison of alternative harvesting systems for selective thinning in a Mediterranean pine
 afforestation (Pinus halepensis Mill.) for bioenergy use. iForest Biogeosciences For. 14, 465–
 472. https://doi.org/10.3832/ifor3636-014
- Leskinen, P., Cardellini, G., González-García, S., Hurmekoski, E., Sathre, R., Seppälä, J., Smyth,
 C., Stern, T., Verkerk, P.J., 2018. Substitution effects of wood-based products in climate
 change mitigation. From Science to Policy 7, European Forest Institute. From Sci. to Policy
 27.
- Lexer, M.J., Seidl, R., Rammer, W., Ja, D., 2008. Forest Ecology and Management Impact of bark
 beetle (Ips typographus L.) disturbance on timber production and carbon sequestration in
 different management strategies under climate change 256, 209–220.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2008.04.002
- Lindner, M., Maroschek, M., Netherer, S., Kremer, A., Barbati, A., Garcia-Gonzalo, J., Seidl, R.,
 Delzon, S., Corona, P., Kolström, M., Lexer, M.J., Marchetti, M., 2010. Climate change
 impacts, adaptive capacity, and vulnerability of European forest ecosystems. For. Ecol.
 Manage. 259, 698–709. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2009.09.023
- Lionello, P., Scarascia, L., 2018. The relation between climate change in the Mediterranean region
 and global warming. Reg. Environ. Chang. 18, 1481–1493. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113018-1290-1
- Maestre, F.T., Cortina, J., 2004. Are Pinus halepensis plantations useful as a restoration tool in
 semiarid Mediterranean areas? For. Ecol. Manage. 198, 303–317.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2004.05.040
- Mahnken, M., Cailleret, M., Collalti, A., Trotta, C., Biondo, C., D'Andrea, E., Dalmonech, D.,
 Marano, G., Mäkelä, A., Minunno, F., Peltoniemi, M., Trotsiuk, V., Nadal-Sala, D., Sabaté, S.,
 Vallet, P., Aussenac, R., Cameron, D.R., Bohn, F.J., Grote, R., Augustynczik, A.L.D.,
 Yousefpour, R., Huber, N., Bugmann, H., Merganicova, K., Merganic, J., Valent, P., Lasch-
- Born, P., Hartig, F., Vega del Valle, I.D., Volkholz, J., Gutsch, M., Matteucci, G., Krejza, J.,
- 760 Ibrom, A., Meesenburg, Rötzer, T., van der Maaten-Theunissen, M., van der Maaten, E.,
- Reyer, C.P.O. 2022. Accuracy, realism and general applicability of European forest models.
 Glob. Chang. Biol. 0, 1-23. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16384
- Mäkelä, A., Landsberg, J., Ek, A.R., Burk, T.E., Ter-Mikaelian, M., Ågren, G.I., Oliver, C.D.,
 Puttonen, P., 2000. Process-based models for forest ecosystem management: Current state of
 the art and challenges for practical implementation. Tree Physiol. 20, 289–298.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/20.5-6.289
- Manrique-Alba, À., Beguería, S., Molina, A.J., González-Sanchis, M., Tomàs-Burguera, M., del
 Campo, A.D., Colangelo, M., Camarero, J.J., 2020. Long-term thinning effects on tree growth,

- drought response and water use efficiency at two Aleppo pine plantations in Spain. Sci. Total
 Environ. 728, 138536. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138536
- Marchi, M., Paletto, A., Cantiani, P., Bianchetto, E., de Meo, I., 2018. Comparing thinning system
 effects on ecosystem services provision in artificial black pine (Pinus nigra J. F. Arnold)
 Forests. Forests 9, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.3390/f9040188
- Marconi, S., Chiti, T., Nolè, A., Valentini, R., Collalti, A., 2017. The role of respiration in
 estimation of net carbon cycle: Coupling soil carbon dynamics and canopy turnover in a novel
 version of 3D-CMCC forest ecosystem model. Forests 8. https://doi.org/10.3390/f8060220
- Maréchaux, I., Langerwisch, F., Huth, A., Bugmann, H., Morin, X., Reyer, C.P.O., Seidl, R.,
 Collalti, A., Dantas de Paula, M., Fischer, R., Gutsch, M., Lexer, M.J., Lischke, H., Rammig,
 A., Rödig, E., Sakschewski, B., Taubert, F., Thonicke, K., Vacchiano, G., Bohn, F.J., 2021.
 Tackling unresolved questions in forest ecology: The past and future role of simulation
 models. Ecol. Evol. 11, 3746–3770. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.7391
- Margolis, H., Oren, R., Whitehead, D., Kaufmann, M.R., 1995. Leaf Area Dynamics of Conifer
 Forests, Ecophysiology of Coniferous Forests. ACADEMIC PRESS, INC.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-092593-6.50012-8
- Marino, C., Manca, G., Matteucci, G., Scarascia Mugnozza, G., 2005. Cambiamenti climatici nel
 mediterraneo: un caso di studio sul ciclo del carbonio in una pineta della Sila, Calabria. Forest
 2, 52–65.
- Martín-Benito, D., Del Río, M., Heinrich, I., Helle, G., Cañellas, I., 2010. Response of climate growth relationships and water use efficiency to thinning in a Pinus nigra afforestation. For.
 Ecol. Manage. 259, 967–975. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2009.12.001
- Martin-Benito, D., Kint, V., del Río, M., Muys, B., Cañellas, I., 2011. Growth responses of WestMediterranean Pinus nigra to climate change are modulated by competition and productivity:
 Past trends and future perspectives. For. Ecol. Manage. 262, 1030–1040.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2011.05.038
- Maselli, F., Pasqui, M., Chirici, G., Chiesi, M., Fibbi, L., Salvati, R., Corona, P., 2012. Modeling
 primary production using a 1 km daily meteorological data set. Clim. Res. 54, 271–285.
 https://doi.org/10.3354/cr01121
- Matteucci, G., Cammarano, M., Dezi, S., Mancini, M., Mugnozza, G.S., Magnani, F., 2013.
 Climate Change Impacts on Forests and Forest Products in the Mediterranean Area, in:
 Navarra, A., Tubiana, L. (Eds.), Regional Assessment of Climate Change in the
 Mediterranean: Volume 2: Agriculture, Forests and Ecosystem Services and People, Advances
 in Global Change Research. Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht, pp. 71–100.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-5772-1_5
- Mazza, G., Sarris, D., Chiavetta, U., Ferrara, R.M., Rana, G., 2018. An intra-stand approach to
 identify intra-annual growth responses to climate in Pinus nigra subsp. laricio Poiret trees from
 southern Italy. For. Ecol. Manage. 425, 9–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2018.05.029
- Meinshausen, M., Raper, S.C.B., Wigley, T.M.L., 2011. Emulating coupled atmosphere-ocean and
 carbon cycle models with a simpler model, MAGICC6 Part 1: Model description and
 calibration. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 11, 1417–1456. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-1417-2011
- 810 Minunno, F., Peltoniemi, M., Launiainen, S., Aurela, M., Lindroth, A., Lohila, A., Mammarella, I.,

- 811 Minkkinen, K., Mäkelä, A., 2016. Calibration and validation of a semi-empirical flux
- 812 ecosystem model for coniferous forests in the Boreal region. Ecol. Modell. 341, 37–52.
 813 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2016.09.020
- Mollicone, D., Matteucci, G., Köble, R., Masci, A., Chiesi, M., Smits, P.C., 2002. A Model-Based
 Approach for the Estimation of Carbon Sinks in European Forests. Ecol. Stud. 164, 179–206.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-05171-9_9
- Montoro Girona, M., Lussier, J.M., Morin, H., Thiffault, N., 2018. Conifer regeneration after
 experimental shelterwood and seed-tree treatments in boreal forests: finding silvicultural
 alternatives. Front. Plant Sci. 9, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01145
- Morales, P., Hickler, T., Rowell, D.P., Smith, B., Sykes, M.T., 2007. Changes in European
 ecosystem productivity and carbon balance driven by regional climate model output. Glob.
 Chang. Biol. 13, 108–122. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01289.x
- Morán-Ordóñez, A., Ameztegui, A., De Cáceres, M., de-Miguel, S., Lefèvre, F., Brotons, L., Coll,
 L., 2020. Future trade-offs and synergies among ecosystem services in Mediterranean forests
 under global change scenarios. Ecosyst. Serv. 45, 101174.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoser.2020.101174
- Morán-Ordóñez, A., Ramsauer, J., Coll, L., Brotons, L., Ameztegui, A., 2021. Ecosystem services
 provision by Mediterranean forests will be compromised above 2°C warming. Glob. Chang.
 Biol. 27, 4210–4222. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15745
- Moresi, F.V., Maesano, M., Collalti, A., Sidle, R.C., Matteucci, G., Mugnozza, G.S., 2020.
 Mapping landslide prediction through a GIS-based model: A case study in a catchment in southern Italy. Geosci. 10, 1–22. https://doi.org/10.3390/geosciences10080309
- Móricz, N., Garamszegi, B., Rasztovits, E., Bidló, A., Horváth, A., Jagicza, A., Illés, G., Vekerdy,
 Z., Somogyi, Z., Gálos, B., 2018. Recent drought-induced vitality decline of Black pine (Pinus
 nigra arn.) in south-west hungary-is this drought-resistant species under threat by climate
 change? Forests 9, 1–20. https://doi.org/10.3390/f9070414
- Moss, R.H., Edmonds, J.A., Hibbard, K.A., Manning, M.R., Rose, S.K., Van Vuuren, D.P., Carter,
 T.R., Emori, S., Kainuma, M., Kram, T., Meehl, G.A., Mitchell, J.F.B., Nakicenovic, N.,
 Riahi, K., Smith, S.J., Stouffer, R.J., Thomson, A.M., Weyant, J.P., Wilbanks, T.J., 2010. The
 next generation of scenarios for climate change research and assessment. Nature 463, 747–756.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08823
- Myers, N., Mittermeier, R.A., Mittermeier, C.G., da Fonseca, G.A.B., Kent, J., 2000. Biodiversity
 hotspots for conservation priorities. Nature 403, 853–858. https://doi.org/10.1038/35002501
- Naudts, K., Chen, Y., McGrath, M.J., Ryder, J., Valade, A., Otto, J., Luyssaert, S., 2016. Europe's
 forest management did not mitigate climate warming. Science 351, 597–601.
- Navarrete-poyatos, M., Navarro-cerrillo, R., Lara-g, M., Duque-lazo, J., De Los Angeles Varo, M.,
 Palacios Rodriguez, G., 2019. Assessment of the Carbon Stock in Pine Plantations in Southern
 Spain through ALS Data and K- Nearest Neighbor Algorithm Based Models. Geosciences 9,
 1–21.
- Navarro-Cerrillo, R.M., Beira, J., Suarez, J., Xenakis, G., Sánchez-Salguero, R., HernándezClemente, R., 2016. Growth decline assessment in Pinus sylvestris L. and Pinus nigra Arnold.
 forests by using 3-PG model. For. Syst. 25. https://doi.org/10.5424/fs/2016253-08610

- Navarro-Cerrillo, R.M., Sánchez-Salguero, R., Rodriguez, C., Duque Lazo, J., Moreno-Rojas, J.M.,
 Palacios-Rodriguez, G., Camarero, J.J., 2019. Is thinning an alternative when trees could die in
 response to drought? The case of planted Pinus nigra and P. Sylvestris stands in southern
 Spain. For. Ecol. Manage. 433, 313–324. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2018.11.006
- Nicolaci, A., Marziliano, P.A., Pignataro, F., Menguzzato, G., Iovino, F., 2015. Fire prevention
 with thinning operations in black pine reforestations. Results of a study on a regional scale.
 L'Italia For. E Mont. 70, 7–21. https://doi.org/10.4129/ifm.2015.1.01
- Niskanen, A., Väyrynen, J., 2001. Economic Sustainability of Small-Scale Forestry, International
 IUFRO Symposium.
- Noce, S., Collalti, A., Santini, M., 2017. Likelihood of changes in forest species suitability,
 distribution, and diversity under future climate: The case of Southern Europe. Ecol. Evol. 7,
 9358–9375. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.3427
- Noce, S., Collalti, A., Valentini, R., Santini, M., 2016. Hot spot maps of forest presence in the
 Mediterranean basin. IForest 9, 766–774. https://doi.org/10.3832/ifor1802-009
- Nocentini, S., Travaglini, D., Muys, B., 2022. Managing Mediterranean Forests for Multiple
 Ecosystem Services: Research Progress and Knowledge Gaps. Curr. For. Reports.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s40725-022-00167-w
- Nolè, A., Collalti, A., Magnani, F., Duce, P., Ferrara, A., Mancino, G., Marras, S., Sirca, C., Spano,
 D., Borghetti, M., 2013. Assessing temporal variation of primary and ecosystem production in
 two Mediterranean forests using a modified 3-PG model. Ann. For. Sci. 70, 729–741.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-013-0315-7
- Oberpriller, J., Herschlein, C., Anthoni, P., Arneth, A., Krause, A., Rammig, A., Lindeskog, M.,
 Olin, S., Hartig, S. 2022. Climate and parameter sensitivity and induced uncertainties in carbon
 stock projections for European forests (using LPJ-GUESS 4.0). Geosci. Model Dev. 15, 6495–
 6519. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-15-6495-2022
- Patenaude, G., Milne, R., Van Oijen, M., Rowland, C.S., Hill, R.A., 2008. Integrating remote
 sensing datasets into ecological modelling: A Bayesian approach. Int. J. Remote Sens. 29,
 1295–1315. https://doi.org/10.1080/01431160701736414
- Pausas, J.G., Bladé, C., Valdecantos, A., Seva, J.P., Fuentes, D., Alloza, J.A., Vilagrosa, A.,
 Bautista, S., Cortina, J., Vallejo, R., 2004. Pines and oaks in the restoration of Mediterranean
 landscapes of Spain: New perspectives for an old practice A review. Plant Ecol. 171, 209–
 220. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:VEGE.0000029381.63336.20
- Pausas, J.G., Millán, M.M., 2019. Greening and Browning in a Climate Change Hotspot: The
 Mediterranean Basin. Bioscience 69, 143–151. https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biy157
- Poulter, B., Hattermann, F., Hawkins, E., Zaehle, S., Sitch, S., Restrepo-Coupe, N., Heyder, U.,
 Cramer, W., 2010. Robust dynamics of Amazon dieback to climate change with perturbed
 ecosystem model parameters. Glob. Chang. Biol. 16, 2476–2495.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02157.x
- Prévosto, B., Monnier, Y., Ripert, C., Fernandez, C., 2011. Can we use shelterwoods in
 Mediterranean pine forests to promote oak seedling development? For. Ecol. Manage. 262,
 1426–1433. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2011.06.043

- Proto, A.R., Bernardini, V., Cataldo, M.F., Zimbalatti, G., 2020. Whole tree system evaluation of
 thinning a pine plantation in southern Italy. Ann. Silvic. Res. 45, 44–52.
 https://doi.org/10.12899/asr-1849
- Pussinen, A., Nabuurs, G.J., Wieggers, H.J.J., Reinds, G.J., Wamelink, G.W.W., Kros, J., MolDijkstra, J.P., de Vries, W., 2009. Modelling long-term impacts of environmental change on
 mid- and high-latitude European forests and options for adaptive forest management. For.
 Ecol. Manage. 258, 1806–1813. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2009.04.007
- 901 R Core Team, 2021. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- Rabin, S.S., Gérard, F.N., Arneth, A., 2022. The influence of thinning and prescribed burning on
 future forest fires in fire-prone regions of Europe. Environ. Res. Lett. 17, 055010.
- Resco De Dios, V., Fischer, C., Colinas, C., 2007. Climate change effects on mediterranean forests
 and preventive measures. New For. 33, 29–40. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11056-006-9011-x
- Reyer, C., Lasch-Born, P., Suckow, F., Gutsch, M., Murawski, A., Pilz, T., 2014. Projections of
 regional changes in forest net primary productivity for different tree species in Europe driven
 by climate change and carbon dioxide. Ann. For. Sci. 71, 211–225.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-013-0306-8
- Reyer, C.P.O., Bugmann, H., Nabuurs, G.J., Hanewinkel, M., 2015. Models for adaptive forest
 management. Reg. Environ. Chang. 15, 1483–1487. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-015-08617
- Rezaie, N., D'Andrea, E., Bräuning, A., Matteucci, G., Bombi, P., Lauteri, M., 2018. Do
 atmospheric CO2 concentration increase, climate and forest management affect iWUE of
 common beech? Evidences from carbon isotope analyses in tree rings. Tree Physiol. 38, 1110–
 1126. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpy025
- 800 Pirote Rockel, B., Will, A., Hense, A., 2008. The regional climate model COSMO-CLM (CCLM).
 818 Meteorol. Zeitschrift 17, 347–348. https://doi.org/10.1127/0941-2948/2008/0309
- Ruiz-Benito, P., Gómez-Aparicio, L., Zavala, M.A., 2012. Large-scale assessment of regeneration
 and diversity in Mediterranean planted pine forests along ecological gradients. Divers. Distrib.
 18, 1092–1106. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2012.00901.x
- Ruiz-Benito, P., Vacchiano, G., Lines, E.R., Reyer, C.P.O., Ratcliffe, S., Morin, X., Hartig, F.,
 Mäkelä, A., Yousefpour, R., Chaves, J.E., Palacios-Orueta, A., Benito-Garzón, M., MoralesMolino, C., Camarero, J.J., Jump, A.S., Kattge, J., Lehtonen, A., Ibrom, A., Owen, H.J.F.,
 Zavala, M.A., 2020. Available and missing data to model impact of climate change on
 European forests. Ecol. Modell. 416, 108870. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2019.108870
- Ruiz-Peinado, R., Bravo-Oviedo, A., López-Senespleda, E., Bravo, F., del Río, M., 2017. Forest
 management and carbon sequestration in the Mediterranean region: A review. For. Syst. 26, 1–
 https://doi.org/10.5424/fs/2017262-11205
- Santini, M., Collalti, A., Valentini, R., 2014. Climate change impacts on vegetation and water cycle
 in the Euro-Mediterranean region, studied by a likelihood approach. Reg. Environ. Chang. 14,
 1405–1418. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-013-0582-8
- Scarascia-Mugnozza, G., Oswald, H., Piussi, P., Radoglou, K., 2000. Forests of the Mediterranean
 region: Gaps in knowledge and research needs. For. Ecol. Manage. 132, 97–109.

- 935 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(00)00383-2
- Schelhaas, M.J., Nabuurs, G.J., Hengeveld, G., Reyer, C., Hanewinkel, M., Zimmermann, N.E.,
 Cullmann, D., 2015. Alternative forest management strategies to account for climate changeinduced productivity and species suitability changes in Europe. Reg. Environ. Chang. 15,
 1581–1594. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-015-0788-z
- Scoccimarro, E., Gualdi, S., Bellucci, A., Sanna, A., Fogli, P.G., Manzini, E., Vichi, M., Oddo, P.,
 Navarra, A., 2011. Effects of tropical cyclones on ocean heat transport in a high-resolution
 coupled general circulation model. J. Clim. 24, 4368–4384.
 https://doi.org/10.1175/20111CL14104.1
- 943 https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JCLI4104.1
- Shanin, V.N., Komarov, A.S., Mikhailov, A. V., Bykhovets, S.S., 2011. Modelling carbon and
 nitrogen dynamics in forest ecosystems of Central Russia under different climate change
 scenarios and forest management regimes. Ecol. Modell. 222, 2262–2275.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2010.11.009
- Simioni, G., Marie, G., Davi, H., Martin-St Paul, N., Huc, R., 2020. Natural forest dynamics have
 more influence than climate change on the net ecosystem production of a mixed Mediterranean
 forest. Ecol. Modell. 416, 108921. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2019.108921
- Sperna Weiland, F.C., Van Beek, L.P.H., Kwadijk, J.C.J., Bierkens, M.F.P., 2010. The ability of a
 GCM-forced hydrological model to reproduce global discharge variability. Hydrol. Earth Syst.
 Sci. 14, 1595–1621. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-14-1595-2010
- Thornton, P.E., Running, S.W., 1999. An improved algorithm for estimating incident daily solar
 radiation from measurements of temperature, humidity, and precipitation. Agric. For.
 Meteorol. 93, 211–228. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1923(98)00126-9
- Tuel, A., Eltahir, E.A.B., 2020. Why Is the Mediterranean a Climate Change Hot Spot? J. Clim. 33,
 5829–5843. https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-19-0910.1
- Valade, A., Bellassen, V., Magand, C., Luyssaert, S., 2017. Sustaining the sequestration efficiency
 of the European forest sector. For. Ecol. Manage. 405, 44–55.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2017.09.009
- Van Haverbeke, D., 1990. Pinus nigra Arnold (European Black Pine), in: R.M., B., B.H., H. (Eds.),
 Silvics of North America. Volume 1. Conifers. Agriculture Handbook No. 654. USDA Forest
 Service, Washington, D.C., pp. 797–818.
- van Vuuren, D.P., Edmonds, J., Kainuma, M., Riahi, K., Thomson, A., Hibbard, K., Hurtt, G.C.,
 Kram, T., Krey, V., Lamarque, J.F., Masui, T., Meinshausen, M., Nakicenovic, N., Smith, S.J.,
 Rose, S.K., 2011. The representative concentration pathways: An overview. Clim. Change
 109, 5–31. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-011-0148-z
- 969 Vanclay, J.K., Skovsgaard, J.P., 1997. Evaluating forest growth models. Ecol. Modell. 98, 1–12.

Vilà-Cabrera, A., Coll, L., Martínez-Vilalta, J., Retana, J., 2018. Forest management for adaptation
to climate change in the Mediterranean basin: A synthesis of evidence. For. Ecol. Manage.
407, 16–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2017.10.021

Yuste, J.C., Konôpka, B., Janssens, I.A., Coenen, K., Xiao, C.W., Ceulemans, R., 2005. Contrasting
net primary productivity and carbon distribution between neighboring stands of Quercus robur
and Pinus sylvestris. Tree Physiol. 25, 701–712. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/25.6.701

- 976 Zollo, A.L., Rillo, V., Bucchignani, E., Montesarchio, M., Mercogliano, P., 2016. Extreme
- 977 temperature and precipitation events over Italy: Assessment of high-resolution simulations
- with COSMO-CLM and future scenarios. Int. J. Climatol. 36, 987–1004.
- 978 979 https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.4401

Tables

Table 1. Summary of simulated management options. Abbreviations: r = rotation period; thBA = basal area

removed with thinning; thINT = time interval between thinnings.

| Option | Detail | Objective | r | thBA | thINT | replanting | Description |
|-------------------|---|------------------------------------|------|------|-------|-----------------------------|--|
| | | | year | % | year | n saplings ha ⁻¹ | |
| No management | No interventions | - | - | - | - | - | This option simulates only the documented thinning in 1993 (25% of BA). |
| Light thinning | Multiple thinning interventions | Biodiversity / Renaturalization | - | 28 | 15 | - | 4 light thinnings (years: 2017, 2032, 2047, 2062). |
| Heavy thinning | Multiple thinning interventions | Biodiversity / Renaturalization | - | 35.5 | 15 | - | 4 heavy thinnings (years: 2017, 2032, 2047, 2062). |
| Patch clearcut | Clearcut + artificial regeneration (replanting) | Production / Commercial forest | 80 | - | - | 2425 | Complete harvest after 80 years from plantation establishment (year: 2038). After that, the same number of trees as in 1958 is replanted. |
| | Thinnings | | - | 20 | 10 | - | |
| Shelterwood A | Seed-favoring cut | Production / Commercial forest | 80 | 80 | - | 5013 | 2 light thinnings (years: 2017, 2027), 1 heavy thinning (seed-favoring cut) in 2038 followed by natural regeneration, harvest (removal cut) in 2048. |
| | Removal cut | | 90 | 100 | - | - | |
| | Thinnings | | - | 28.5 | 10 | - | |
| Shelterwood B | Seed-favoring cut | Production / Commercial forest | 90 | 80 | - | 5013 | 3 light thinnings (years: 2017, 2027, 2037), 1 heavy thinning (seed-favoring cut) in 2048 followed by natural regeneration, harvest (removal cut) in 2058. |
| | Removal cut | | 100 | 100 | - | - | |

Table 2. Simulated values of mean stand DBH and tree density (in bold) against those reported by Callegari et al. 2003 (range between low and high density plantations) and measured at the sampling plots (mean and standard deviation). The reported simulated values for 1993 (before thinning) and 1993 (after thinning) are for the years 1992 and 1993, respectively.

| | 1986 | 1993 (before thinning) | 1993 (after thinning) | 1999 | 2016 |
|--------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Mean stand DBH (cm) | | | | | |
| Simulated | 18.1 | 20.5 | 21 | 24.3 | 33.6 |
| Callegari et al. 2003 | 18 - 20.2 | 19.8 - 21.8 | 20.8 - 22.8 | 23.8 - 27.4 | - |
| Plot data | - | 22.2 ± 2.4 | - | 25.9 ± 3.7 | 33.7 ± 3.3 |
| Tree density (n trees ha | -1) | | | | |
| Simulated | 1620 | 1276 | 948 | 894 | 474 |
| Callegari et al. 2003 | 1250 - 2200 | 1162 - 1701 | 800 - 1150 | 775 - 1102 | - |
| Plot data | - | 1491 ± 382 | - | 975 ± 376 | 522 ± 231 |

Table 3. Mean values (rounded to unity) of selected model outputs for six management options, three climate scenarios and three time windows. Relative changes (rounded to unity) between each option and the baseline '*no management*' scenario with CUR climate are reported in brackets. The highest and lowest values when compared to the baseline are in bold and highlighted in grey.

| | | Nea | r future | (2025 - 2 | 055) | Fai | r future (| 2065 - 20 | 195) | All (2006 - 2095) | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|--------------------------------|--|---|---|--------------------------------|--|---|---|--------------------------------|--|
| | | GPP (gC m ⁻² y ⁻ 1) | NPP (gC m ⁻² y ⁻¹) | pCWS (tC ha ⁻¹) | BA (m ² ha ⁻¹) | GPP (gC m ⁻² y ⁻ 1) | NPP (gC m ⁻² y ⁻ 1) | pCWS (tC ha ⁻¹) | BA (m ² ha ⁻¹) | GPP (gC m ⁻² y ⁻ 1) | NPP (gC m ⁻² y ⁻ 1) | pCWS (tC ha ⁻¹) | \mathbf{BA} $(\mathbf{m}^2 \mathbf{ha}^{-1})$ |
| No | CUR (baseli ne) | 1591 | 570 | 115 | 42 | 1421 | 513 | 141 | 41 | 1544 | 551 | 122 | 42 |
| management (baseline) | RCP4. 5 | 1639 (3) | 553 (- 2) | 114 (-) | 42 (-) | 1427 (1) | 439 (- 14) | 139 (- 2) | 41 (-) | 1572 (2) | 511 (- 7) | 120 (- 1) | 41 (-) |
| | RCP8. 5 | 1677 (6) | 552 (- 2) | 115 (-) | 42 (-) | 1396 (- 2) | 377 (- 25) | 138 (- 2) | 41 (-1) | 1581 (2) | 491 (- 10) | 120 (- 1) | 41 (-) |
| | CUR | 1528 (- 4) | 558 (- 2) | 158 (37) | 37 (- 11) | 1407 (- 1) | 502 (- 2) | 254 (79) | 40 (-3) | 1499 (- 3) | 541 (- 2) | 184 (47) | 38 (-7) |
| Light thinning | RCP4. 5 | 1572 (- 1) | 544 (- 3) | 158 (37) | 37 (- 11) | 1408 (- 1) | 427 (- 16) | 249 (76) | 39 (-5) | 1524 (- 1) | 502 (- 8) | 182 (46) | 38 (-8) |
| | RCP8. 5 | 1608 (1) | 545 (- 3) | 158 (37) | 37 (- 11) | 1376 (- 3) | 363 (- 28) | 247 (74) | 39 (-6) | 1530 (- 1) | 482 (- 12) | 181 (45) | 38 (-9) |
| | CUR | 1488 (- 6) | 550 (- 3) | 167 (44) | 35 (- 16) | 1374 (- 3) | 490 (- 4) | 275 (94) | 37 (- 10) | 1460 (- 5) | 532 (- 4) | 196 (56) | 36 (- 13) |
| Heavy thinning | RCP4. 5 | 1533 (- 4) | 537 (- 5) | 166 (44) | 35 (- 16) | 1369 (- 3) | 415 (- 18) | 268 (89) | 36 (- 12) | 1482 (- 4) | 494 (- 10) | 193 (54) | 36 (- 14) |
| | RCP8. 5 | 1567 (- 1) | 539 (- 4) | 167 (44) | 35 (- 16) | 1334 (- 6) | 353 (- 30) | 265 (87) | 36 (- 14) | 1487 (- 4) | 474 (- 14) | 192 (53) | 35 (- 15) |
| | CUR | 1172 (- 26) | 454 (- 20) | 119 (4) | 24 (- 42) | 1777 (25) | 588 (14) | 184 (30) | 42 (1) | 1559 (2) | 539 (- 2) | 141 (14) | 35 (- 15) |
| Patch clearcut | RCP4. 5 | 1221 (- 23) | 450 (- 20) | 119 (3) | 24 (- 42) | 1855 (31) | 514 (1) | 180 (28) | 42 (1) | 1617 (6) | 504 (- 7) | 140 (13) | 35 (- 15) |
| | RCP8. 5 | 1269 (- 20) | 455 (- 19) | 120 (4) | 25 (- 41) | 1848 (30) | 444 (- 12) | 180 (28) | 42 (-) | 1639 (7) | 484 (- 11) | 140 (14) | 35 (- 15) |
| | CUR | 1300 (- 18) | 520 (- 8) | 158 (37) | 26 (- 37) | 1797 (26) | 604 (18) | 231 (63) | 42 (2) | 1606 (5) | 569 (4) | 177 (42) | 36 (- 14) |
| Shelterwood A | RCP4. 5 | 1353 (- 15) | 517 (- 8) | 157 (36) | 26 (- 37) | 1885 (33) | 533 (5) | 228 (61) | 42 (2) | 1670 (9) | 536 (- 1) | 175 (41) | 36 (- 14) |
| | RCP8. 5 | 1402 (- 12) | 528 (- 6) | 159 (38) | 26 (- 37) | 1884 (33) | 465 (- 8) | 230 (63) | 42 (1) | 1695 (11) | 518 (- 5) | 177 (42) | 36 (- 14) |
| Shelterwood B | CUR | 1306 (- 18) | 506 (- 11) | 167 (45) | 29 (- 31) | 1833 (29) | 590 (15) | 261 (85) | 40 (-2) | 1552 (1) | 557 (1) | 191 (53) | 34 (- 19) |

| RC | CP4. 5 | 1366 (- 14) | 505 (- 10) | 168 (46) | 29 (- 30) | 1932 (36) | 526 (4) | 261 (84) | 40 (-4) | 1620 (6) | 530 (- 2) | 191 (53) | |
|----|-----------|----------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|---------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--|
| | CP8. 5 | 1396 (- 12) | 510 (- 9) | 169 (47) | 29 (- 30) | 1937 (37) | 456 (- 10) | 261 (85) | 39 (-5) | 1637 (7) | 509 (- 6) | 192 (54) | |







