

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Examining fish movement in terms of advection or diffusion: a case study of northeastern Atlantic cod

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Examining fish movement in terms of advection or diffusion: a case study of northeastern Atlantic cod / Lundgreen, RBC; Nielsen, A; Krüger-Johnsen, M; Righton, D; Mion, M; Radtke, K; Plikshs, M; Leskelä, AJ; Raitaniemi, J; Griffiths, CA; Casini, M; Krumme, U; Hüssy, K. - In: MARINE ECOLOGY PROGRESS SERIES. - ISSN 0171-8630. - STAMPA. - 691:(2022), pp. 115-129. [10.3354/meps14065]

Availability:

This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/889111 since: 2022-06-17

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.3354/meps14065

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

Lundgreen, RBC; Nielsen, A; Krüger-Johnsen, M; Righton, D; Mion, M; Radtke, K; Plikshs, M; Leskelä, AJ; Raitaniemi, J; Griffiths, CA; Casini, M; Krumme, U; Hüssy, K: *Examining fish movement in terms of advection or diffusion: a case study of northeastern Atlantic cod*

MARINE ECOLOGY PROGRESS SERIES Vol. 691 ISSN 0171-8630

DOI: 10.3354/meps14065

The final published version is available online at:

https://dx.doi.org/10.3354/meps14065

Rights / License:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<u>https://cris.unibo.it/</u>)

When citing, please refer to the published version.

1	Application of advection and diffusion to examine movement in fish:
2	A case study of north-eastern Atlantic cod
3	Regitze B. C. Lundgreen ^{1*} , Anders Nielsen ¹ , Maria Krüger-Johnsen ¹ , David Righton ² ,
4	Monica Mion ³ , Krzysztof Radtke ⁴ , Maris Plikshs ⁵ , Ari Leskelä ⁶ , Jari Raitaniemi ⁶ ,
5	Christopher Griffiths ^{3,7} , Michele Casini ^{3,8} , Uwe Krumme ⁹ , Karin Hüssy ¹
6	
7	¹ National Institute of Aquatic Resources, Technical University of Denmark, Kongens
8	Lyngby, 2800, Denmark
9	² Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science (Cefas), Lowestoft, NR33 0HT,
10	UK
11	³ Department of Aquatic Resources, Institute of Marine Research, Swedish University of
12	Agricultural Sciences, 45330 Lysekil, Sweden
13	⁴ National Marine Fisheries Research Institute, 81-332 Gdynia, Poland
14	⁵ Fish Resource Research Department, Institute of Food Safety, Animal Health and
15	Environment, LV-1076 Riga, Latvia
16	⁶ National Resources Institute Finland, Yliopistokatu 6, FI-80100 Joensuu, Finland
17	⁷ Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, School of Biosciences, University of Sheffield,
18	Sheffield, S10 2TN, UK
19	⁸ Department of Biological, Geological and Environmental Sciences, University of Bologna,
20	40126 Bologna, Italy
21	⁹ Thünen Institute of Baltic Sea Fisheries, 18069 Rostock, Germany
22	
23	*corresponding author: regitzelundgreen@gmail.com
24	
25	

26 Abstract

Advection (directional movement) and diffusion (dispersed movement) were applied for the 27 first time to describe movement patterns in Atlantic cod in the North Sea and Baltic Sea 28 between 1955 and 2020. The advection-diffusion approach provided more detailed estimates 29 of movement that corresponded to previously observed patterns using different analytical 30 techniques. Spatial patterns were evident with greater movement distances in cod from the 31 North Sea and eastern Baltic Sea compared to the western Baltic and Kattegat-Skagerrak. 32 Furthermore, comparative case studies on different ecotypes in the western and eastern Baltic 33 suggested that inshore cod were more resident compared to offshore cod. This preliminary 34 study highlights the usefulness of the advection-diffusion method to describe movements in 35 fish populations and can be further expanded by incorporating information on environment 36 and mortality and providing information to spatially explicit population models. 37

38 Key words: Atlantic cod, movement, advection-diffusion, mark-recapture, Baltic Sea, North39 Sea

40 1. Introduction

The north Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua) is a commercially important fish species found 41 across the Atlantic Ocean. It is one of the most heavily fished species which has led to several 42 populations collapsing. In the north-eastern Atlantic, the Atlantic cod can be found from the 43 North Sea to the Baltic Sea and the Barents Sea. The North Sea, located between Great 44 Britain and the western coast of Europe, connects to the Baltic Sea through the straits 45 Skagerrak and Kattegat. For management purposes, these adjacent seas are divided into units 46 47 known as International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES) subareas, divisions, and subdivisions (SD; Figure 1), and the populations are managed as the following stocks: the 48 North Sea stock (Division 4.a-c, 7.d, SD 20), the Kattegat stock (SD 21), the western Baltic 49

stock (SD 22-24), and the eastern Baltic stock (SD 24-32). All ICES units are collectively

51 referred to as ICES areas henceforth.

Declining cod stock sizes in the North Sea and Baltic Sea have been a great concern since the 52 1970s and 1980s, respectively. This is particularly evident in the North Sea where the cod 53 stock is currently at similar levels as after the collapse in the early 2000s (Huserbråten et al. 54 2018). In the southern North Sea, the cod is virtually absent due to historically high fishing 55 pressure and continued low recruitment. In the nearby Kattegat, the stock was at a historically 56 low spawning stock biomass (SSB) level in 2020, and ICES currently advises zero catch only 57 (ICES 2021a). In the Baltic Sea, the western Baltic cod stock has experienced low 58 recruitment since the mid-2000s (ICES 2021b). In addition, the eastern Baltic cod stock is in 59 a poor state, partially due to high fishing pressure, low recruitment, and slow growth (Eero et 60 al. 2015, Orio et al. 2019). 61

Several factors may have affected the movement patterns in Atlantic cod stocks, such as 62 changes in stock sizes due to density-dependent behaviour (Fretwell 1969) although 63 cannibalism also plays a role (Neuenfeldt and Köster 2000). As stock sizes increase, density 64 dependent behaviour may cause individuals to adjust their spatial distribution to avoid high 65 density patches as has previously been observed in spawning eastern Baltic cod (Baranova 66 1995). In contrast, reduction in stock sizes has been linked to range contractions. This has 67 previously been observed in capelin (Mallotus villosus, Ingvaldsen and Gjøsæter 2013) and 68 pike (Esox lucius, Haugen et al. 2006). Furthermore, changes in the environment, such as an 69 increase in hypoxic areas in the past decades in the Baltic Sea (Casini et al. 2016), could 70 potentially have affected movement patterns as well as cod move to more oxygen-rich areas. 71 Additionally, movement may also be variable across stocks due to the presence of two 72 distinct ecotypes of Atlantic cod: more resident inshore and more migratory offshore cod 73

74 (e.g., see Lear and Green 1984, Robichaud and Rose 2004, Karlsen et al. 2013) and both

types can occur in the same area (e.g., Knutsen et al. 2018).

Movement patterns of cod in the North Sea and Baltic Sea have been studied using mark-76 recapture data (e.g., see Otterlind 1985, Aro 1989, Bagge and Steffensen 1989, Bagge and 77 Thurow 1994, Wright et al. 2006a, Righton et al. 2007, Mion et al. in press). The majority of 78 this data, in addition to Danish mark-recapture data from the western Baltic Sea, Sound, and 79 Kattegat, has recently been compiled into a joint database in the Baltic Sea (Mion et al. 2020, 80 2021). Despite the limitations of mark-recapture data, as only the release and recapture 81 location are known, historical mark-recapture studies offer a good opportunity to study 82 temporal movement patterns due to the quantity of data available, making it possible to 83 discern general movement patterns and changes through time. 84

85 Numerous methods have been used to analyse mark-recapture data in the North Sea and Baltic Sea, including plotting recaptures (Otterlind 1985), employing kernel density 86 distributions (Mion et al. in press, Righton et al. 2007) and generalised additive models 87 (GAMs; Mion et al. in press, Espeland et al. 2008), as well as calculating metrics of 88 movement (Righton et al. 2007). Occasionally, ancillary information such as that provided by 89 90 otolith microchemistry (Wright et al. 2006b, Svedäng et al. 2010) or genetics (Heath et al. 2014, Hemmer-Hansen et al. 2020) have also been used in combination with tagging data to 91 disentangle sub-population stock structuring (e.g., Zemeckis et al. 2014, ICES 2020c). These 92 methods often provide insight on movement behaviour and spatial distribution patterns, but 93 do not provide mathematical links between individual-level movements and population-level 94 patterns. A mathematical approach to assessing movement is the concepts of advection 95 (biased movement) and diffusion (dispersal). When applied to populations, they describe the 96 average movement of a population within a selected time frame and coordinate system and to 97 what extent average movement is directed or appears to be random. For instance, a high 98

advection in one direction indicates the population has an overall tendency to move in this 99 direction, while a high diffusion would indicate the population is characterized by random 100 movement. Together, advection and diffusion can offer a dynamic approach to study 101 movement in tagged fish in space and time and have previously been applied to skipjack tuna 102 (Katsuwonus pelamis; Sibert et al. 1999, Faugeras and Maury 2005). To the authors' 103 knowledge, however, this approach has not been applied to Atlantic cod. 104 The main aim of this study was to gain preliminary insights into overall movement patterns in 105 Atlantic cod in the North Sea and Baltic Sea based on mark-recapture data from 1955 to 2020 106 by applying the advection-diffusion approach and to test the applicability of this approach to 107 reproduce movement patterns obtained by other methods, such as the kernel density 108 distribution analyses done by Mion et al. (in press) which also addressed seasonality in 109 movements. Specifically, the objectives of this study were to compare spatial and temporal 110 patterns in advection and diffusion of Atlantic cod between the North Sea, Kattegat-111 Skagerrak, western Baltic, and eastern Baltic. Additionally, we examined if movement 112 distances were higher in offshore ecotypes in comparison to inshore ecotypes in the eastern 113 and western Baltic Sea. 114

115 2. Methods

116 2.1 Data overview

117 Mark-recapture data from Danish tagging projects in the North Sea and Baltic Sea (n = 7,962)

118 were digitised and incorporated into a database containing mark-recapture data collected

between 1955 and 2020 from several countries (Mion et al. 2020, 2021), including Denmark

120 (n = 325), Sweden (n = 4,796), Poland (n = 1,794), Latvia (n = 113), Germany (n = 910),

121 Finland (n = 403), and the United Kingdom (n = 5,361). This also included the more recent

tagging projects CODYSSEY (Cod spatial dynamics and vertical movements in European

123	waters and implications for fishery management; Righton et al. 2009) and TABACOD
124	(Tagging Baltic Cod; Hüssy et al. 2020) ($n = 21,664$ in total). Archival tagging data from
125	CODYSSEY and TABACOD were also included but made up $<1\%$ of the overall data. The
126	database included detailed information on release and recapture locations and dates, and
127	biological information such as total length (mm) and weight (g). Recaptures were only
128	considered if release and recapture locations and dates were known. Recaptures ≤ 15 days
129	after release were excluded to allow for post-tagging recovery of neutral buoyancy (van der
130	Kooij et al. 2007) and resumption of normal behaviour as cod live at depth. In total, 4,295
131	recaptures were excluded resulting in a dataset of 17,369 recaptures for this study.
132	All Atlantic cod were tagged and released within the distribution areas of the North Sea stock
133	(ICES area 4.a, 4.b, 4.c, 20), the Kattegat stock (ICES area 21), the western Baltic stock
134	(ICES area 22-24), and the eastern Baltic stock (ICES area 24-32, except 28.1 and 31) (see
135	Figure 1 for ICES areas and figure text for important local area names), and the most
136	common tag types were Lea, alcathene, and t-bars. Information on total release numbers was
137	not available due to a lack of available information within the historical part of the database.
138	All recaptures were grouped by release ICES areas. Skagerrak (ICES area 20) was grouped
139	with Kattegat (ICES area 21) due to low recapture coverage in Skagerrak $(n = 4)$ and all
140	release locations being on the edge of Kattegat. Henceforth, cod tagged within this area will
141	collectively be referred to as Kattegat-Skagerrak. Overall differences between ICES areas
142	were first considered for all data collected within each ICES area, and the data was further
143	grouped by recapture decades (<1960, 1960-1970, 1971-1980, 1981-1999, 2000-2020) to
144	determine temporal differences within and between ICES areas. Due to low recaptures in all
145	areas in the 1990s (n = 71) and 2000s (n = 256), 1981-1999 and 2000-2020 were grouped to
146	span two decades. Note that recaptures were unavailable for some years (1991-1993, 1997,

147 1998, 2008, 2009, 2012, 2014). For analyses of seasonal movements, we refer to Mion et al.148 (in press).

149 2.2 Analysis of movement

Assuming individuals move independently of each other, the direction-driven (anisotropic)
movement from the release point was illustrated using advection (mean movement vector), *â*,
and estimated for each release ICES area by (Nielsen 2004):

153
$$\hat{\alpha} = \left(\frac{\sum \Delta x}{\sum \Delta t}, \frac{\sum \Delta y}{\sum \Delta t}\right)'$$
(1)

and assuming non-directional movement is the same in every direction (isotropic) from the release point, the isotropic diffusion coefficient, \hat{D} , was estimated by (Nielsen 2004):

156
$$\widehat{D} = \frac{1}{4(n-1)} \left(\sum \left(\frac{\Delta x^2}{\Delta t} \right) - \frac{(\sum \Delta x)^2}{\sum \Delta t} + \sum \left(\frac{\Delta y^2}{\Delta t} \right) - \frac{(\sum \Delta y)^2}{\sum \Delta t} \right)$$
(2)

where Δx and Δy denote the difference between release and recapture coordinates (longitude 157 and latitude) converted to a geodesic distance (kilometres), Δt the difference between release 158 and recapture time, and n the number of fish. To visualise the directed movements for each 159 area, the advection was used to calculate a vector angle and distance, and the time frame was 160 scaled to per half year by multiplying with 365/2 to see large-scale trends. The advection thus 161 describes movement through mean changes in kilometres between release and recapture per 162 half year for the large-scale study that included all ICES areas. Similarly, diffusion (the 163 variance) describes mean changes in distance from the point of release over $\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} year}$ for the 164 large-scale study, respectively, and gives a relative indication of the degree of dispersal. To 165 visualise the dispersal for each area, circles were used, and the radius was determined by the 166 diffusion coefficient multiplied by 365/2. 167

To account for statistical uncertainty and assign a measure of accuracy to the observed patterns, each ICES area group was bootstrapped to create new datasets (n = 10,000) by randomly sampling the original dataset for the respective ICES area group and allowing duplicate data entries. These new datasets were used to calculate bootstrapped $\hat{\alpha}$ and \hat{D} values to use as confidence intervals to determine the stability of the $\hat{\alpha}$ and \hat{D} estimates from the raw data for each release ICES area group. Subsets per ICES area per decade with less than 30 recaptures were ignored for the bootstrap accuracy analyses due to low sample size.

175 2.3 Movement patterns in offshore and inshore ecotypes

In the western Baltic Sea, stock components in the Aabenraa Fjord and southern Belt Sea
(SBS) were chosen to represent inshore and offshore ecotypes, respectively, based on the
proximity to land (Figure 1). Aabenraa Fjord is a short fjord located in the western part of the
Baltic Sea and is constrained by land. In comparison, the southern Belt Sea is one of the more
offshore areas in the western Baltic. This group included all releases south of Bagenkop (see
Figure 1 for locations).

Stock components in the eastern Baltic (the Gulf of Finland and south-eastern Baltic Sea (SEBS)) were similarly chosen to represent inshore and offshore ecotypes, respectively. The Gulf of Finland is a large inlet located in the easternmost Baltic Sea and is relatively constrained by land. This ecotype group included all releases in ICES area 32 (Figure 1). In contrast, the south-eastern Baltic Sea is an offshore area, and this group included all releases in ICES area 26 (Figure 1).

188 The ecotype case studies were treated and analysed similarly as the large-scale case study 189 (see previous section). To compare overall movement distances between ecotypes (offshore 190 and inshore) in the eastern and western Baltic, distance travelled per month (km month⁻¹) was 191 assessed as a complementary analysis to the advection-diffusion methodology to illustrate

pattern detection at even small time scales. Distance travelled per month was calculated as 192 the geodesic distance between release and recapture location to account for the slight 193 curvature of the Earth which was then divided by days at liberty and multiplied by 30. 194 Distance per month between inshore and offshore ecotypes was compared using a pairwise 195 bootstrap test on the median differences. The median was chosen as the test metric due to its 196 robustness to outliers. For each group comparison (Aabenraa Fjord vs. SBS, Gulf of Finland 197 vs. SEBS), the groups were combined into one dataset which was used to construct two new 198 datasets through sampling with replacement. The datasets would then represent the inshore 199 and offshore group, respectively, and be of the same size as the original datasets. The median 200 difference was calculated by subtracting the distance per month median for the inshore 201 population from the offshore population. This was repeated 100,000 times to compare to the 202 true median difference between the ecotypes. If the ecotypes were statistically similar, the 203 true median difference would be expected to fall within the bootstrapped distribution. 204 However, if the ecotypes were different, the true median difference would be expected to fall 205 outside the bootstrapped distribution. 206

Finally, a pairwise bootstrap test was performed to test for temporal differences within the areas based on recapture decade (Aabenraa Fjord = 1950s vs. 1960s; SBS = 1950s vs. 1960s; Gulf of Finland = 1970s vs. 1980s; SEBS = 1950 vs. 1960s vs. 1970s vs. 2010s). The median difference was calculated by subtracting the distance per month median for the oldest decade from the youngest. The results of the tests were subsequently compared to recapture lengths and months to check for biases. Subsets per ecotype group per decade with less than 30 recaptures were ignored for the bootstrap analyses due to low sample size.

All analyses were done in R version 4.0.2 (R Core Team 2020). The packages *sp* (Pebesma

and Bivand 2005), rgeos (Bivand and Rundel 2020), and rgdal (Bivand et al. 2020) were

- used to create the maps, and *beanplot* (Kampstra 2008) was used for the beanplots. The R
 script utilized for the analyses is available upon request.
- 218 3. Results

219 3.1 Data overview

Cod were both released and recaptured in different months across the years (Table S1) 220 indicating both spawning and feeding seasonal movements were represented. However, 221 release numbers were lower for June-September and recapture numbers slightly lower for 222 August-December compared to the rest of the year (Table S1). In the case of recaptures, this 223 is likely due to differences in fishing pressure. The number of recaptures was variable among 224 ICES areas (Figure S1). Most areas were well represented across decades although the 225 northern North Sea (ICES area 4.a) and northern Baltic Sea (ICES areas 29, 30, 32) were 226 mainly represented by few recaptures across one or two decades. Most releases were 227 recaptured within the same decade. Days at liberty were similarly variable for each release 228 area (Figure S2) with most cod being recaptured within the first 100 days after release. 229 In general, release and recapture lengths across the four stocks were similar with a median 230 total length around 400 mm and 450 mm, respectively (Figure S3), with the largest sizes 231 observed in the North Sea. 232

- 3.2 Analysis of movement
- 3.2.1 The North Sea (ICES areas 4.a-c)

The overall advection was low in the northern North Sea (ICES area 4.a) but increased towards the southern part of the North Sea (**Figure 2**). The overall diffusion patterns in the North Sea were much stronger than the adjacent ICES areas (**Figure 3**) and comparable to those observed in the eastern Baltic. Across decades, the advection was strong and towards

the north in the central and southern North Sea (ICES area 4.b and 4.c, respectively),
although the advection was relatively low in the central North Sea in 1971-1980 (Figure 4a,
c). Diffusion patterns were variable but generally high in both the central and southern North
Sea (Figure 4b, d). No decadal comparison was possible for the northern North Sea. The
bootstrap accuracy analyses generally showed relatively stable estimates aside from the
southern North Sea in the 2000s (Figure 4c, d).

Overall, the patterns suggest high degrees of movement in a northerly direction in the central and southern North Sea with some decadal variation. In contrast, the patterns suggest a relatively high degree of movement in the northern North Sea but with no clear direction (see **Table S2-3** for the advection and diffusion values).

3.2.2 The Kattegat-Skagerrak (ICES areas 20-21)

250 Kattegat-Skagerrak exhibited very low overall advection towards the north (Figure 2) and no strong historical changes in direction, although advection was slightly greater in 1971-1980 251 (Figure 4e). While the overall diffusion was relatively low (Figure 3), decadal variation 252 could be observed, with greater diffusion in 1981-1999 compared to 1971-1980 (Figure 4f). 253 While the advection estimates were generally characterized by low uncertainty, the diffusion 254 was characterized by wide confidence intervals (Figure 4f). The observed patterns suggest a 255 historical high degree of residency in the Kattegat-Skagerrak (see Table S2-3 for the 256 advection and diffusion values). 257

3.2.3 The Western Baltic Sea (ICES areas 22-24)

259 Advection and diffusion in the western Baltic were relatively low overall but with some

decadal variation (Figure 2, 3, 5). In the Belt Sea (ICES area 22) the direction of the

advection was generally between north and east, and increased north-eastern advection and

greater diffusion were observed in 1960-1970 (Figure 5a, b). The Sound (ICES area 23)



with a general decadal decrease in diffusion rates (Figure 6b). In comparison, SEBS (ICES

area 26) had strong overall advection west towards the Bornholm Sea and high diffusion,

with highest rates observed <1960 (Figure 6c, d). The Western Gotland Basin (ICES area

283 27) exhibited very strong advection in a southerly direction towards the southern Baltic and

- similarly strong diffusion in 1960-1970 (Figure 2-3). However, due to low recaptures in
- other decades, a temporal comparison was not possible. The estimates were relatively

accurate although the strength of the advection was slightly less certain, particularly in SEBS(Figure 6c-d).

The Gotland Sea (ICES area 28.2) similarly showed strong advection towards the southern 288 Baltic in 1960-1970 and 1971-1980 (Figure 6e). Diffusion was high across both decades but 289 more similar to the patterns in the southern Baltic than the northern Baltic (Figure 6f). 290 Comparable patterns were observed in the Archipelago Sea (ICES area 29) with very strong 291 advection towards the southern Baltic across all decades although lower in 1960-1970 292 compared to <1960 and 1981-1999 (Figure 7a). A high degree of diffusion was observed, 293 with the lowest observed in 1960-1970 (Figure 7b). The Bothnian Sea (ICES area 30) also 294 exhibited strong advection towards the southern Baltic in 1981-1999 (Figure 2). Diffusion 295 was very high albeit with relatively wide 95% confidence intervals (Figure 3), indicating a 296 high degree of uncertainty. However, due to low recaptures in other decades, no temporal 297 comparison was possible for this area either. The Gulf of Finland (ICES area 32) showed low 298 advection towards the north-west, with slightly higher advection in 1971-1980 compared to 299 1981-1999 (Figure 7c). Diffusion was greatly variable and was much higher in 1971-1980 300 compared to 1981-1999 (Figure 7d). While the bootstrap accuracy analyses showed fairly 301 302 stable estimates in the Gotland Sea and Gulf of Finland (Figure 6e, f, 7c, d), the Archipelago Sea was characterized by high uncertainty (Figure 7a, b). 303

Overall patterns in the eastern Baltic Sea suggest high degrees of movement from the
northern part towards the southern Baltic. The decadal patterns suggest generally higher
degrees of movement before 1980s compared to more recent decades. In comparison,
movement in the Gulf of Finland and east and south of Gotland appear to be lower but still
higher or similar to the western Baltic Sea (see Table S2-3 for the advection and diffusion
values).

310	3.3 Movement patterns in inshore and offshore ecotypes
311	3.3.1 Western Baltic - Aabenraa Fjord vs. southern Belt Sea (SBS)
312	Ecotypes in Aabenraa Fjord (inshore) and SBS (offshore) exhibited different movement
313	patterns and both methodologies produced similar results with relatively accurate estimates
314	(Figure 8a, b, 9). While most cod in both areas swam less than 10 km month ⁻¹ (Figure 9),
315	movement distances greater than 10 km month ⁻¹ were more common in offshore cod in SBS
316	compared to inshore cod in Aabenraa Fjord, suggesting more resident behaviour in Aabenraa
317	Fjord. The advection and diffusion (Table S4), and distance medians suggested similar
318	patterns (0.67 km month ⁻¹ and 7.32 km month ⁻¹ for Aabenraa Fjord and SBS, respectively).
319	The bootstrap test on the median differences between Aabenraa Fjord and SBS supported this
320	difference in behaviour as the true difference between the medians (6.65 km month ⁻¹) was
321	significantly higher than the bootstrapped distribution (Figure S4a). Recapture lengths were
322	similar between populations (Figure S4c) but releases in Aabenraa Fjord were generally
323	recaptured throughout the year compared to SBS that was dominated by recaptures early in
324	the year (Figure S4e). Days at liberty were variable in both ecotypes although the majority
325	were caught within 100 days of release, especially in SBS (Figure 9).
326	3.3.2 Eastern Baltic - Gulf of Finland vs. south-eastern Baltic Sea (SEBS)
327	Similar patterns were observed in ecotypes in the Gulf of Finland (inshore) and SEBS
328	(offshore; Figure 8c, d, 9). Inshore cod in the Gulf of Finland generally swam <20 km
329	month ⁻¹ while a relatively small proportion of offshore cod in SEBS swam >50 km month ⁻¹ .

The advection and diffusion, and distance medians similarly suggested more resident

behaviour in cod in the Gulf of Finland (6.26 km month⁻¹) compared to SEBS (17.79 km

332 month⁻¹) (Table S4, Figure 8c, d).

As for the western Baltic ecotypes, the bootstrap test on the median differences between Gulf 333 of Finland and SEBS further supported this distinction in behaviour as the true difference 334 between the medians (11.53 km month⁻¹) was significantly higher than the bootstrapped 335 distribution (Figure S4b). Overall recapture lengths were lower in SEBS compared to Gulf of 336 Finland (Figure S4d) and releases in SEBS were generally recaptured early on in the year 337 while releases in Gulf of Finland were recaptured throughout the year with peaks in spring 338 and autumn (Figure S4f). The majority of releases in SEBS were recaptured within 100 days 339 of release while days at liberty were more evenly distributed in Gulf of Finland within the 340 341 first 500 days (Figure 9).

Overall, both case studies suggested a higher degree of resident behaviour in the inshore ecotypes in contrast to a more mobile behaviour in offshore ecotypes regardless of which stock they belonged to. Additionally, the offshore component in SBS resembled the inshore component in the Gulf of Finland (median = 7.32 km month⁻¹ and 6.26 km month⁻¹, respectively), although Gulf of Finland cod were slightly more resident (**Figure 9**).

347 3.3.3 Decadal variation in movement patterns within ecotypes

Clear variation in decadal movement patterns were observed within Aabenraa Fjord, SBS, 348 and Gulf of Finland. The bootstrap test on the median differences showed that tagged cod in 349 Aabenraa Fjord moved significantly farther in 1960-1970 compared to <1960 (Figure 10a), 350 despite the recapture lengths and months being similar between the two time periods (median 351 = 400 and 410 mm, and June and May in <1960 and 1960-1970, respectively). Similar 352 patterns were observed in SBS (Figure 10b), although the recapture lengths seemed to differ 353 slightly more (median = 365 and 440 mm in <1960 and 1960-1970, respectively) with 354 recaptures mainly occurring in early spring (median = March for both decades). In contrast, 355 the true median difference for Gulf of Finland was negative and below the bootstrapped 356

distribution, suggesting cod moved slightly less in 1981-1999 vs. 1970-1980 (Figure 10c).
Recapture lengths were slightly higher in 1981-1999 vs. 1970-1980 (median = 570 and 650 mm) and cod were recaptured slightly earlier in the year in 1981-1999 (median = July vs.
May). No clear variation was observed across decades for SEBS as the true median differences fell within the distribution of the bootstrapped decadal median differences across all decades.

363 Overall, these patterns show clear decadal variation in movement patterns across both364 ecotypes.

365 4. Discussion

In the present study, we successfully applied the advection-diffusion methodology to study 366 movement patterns in Atlantic cod in the North Sea and Baltic Sea for the first time. We 367 surmised that the North Sea is most likely dominated by the more migratory offshore ecotype 368 and the combination of an expansive coastal area and offshore areas in the Baltic region 369 370 suggested a mix of both the offshore and resident ecotypes. These patterns were evident from our data; however, in order to evaluate the usefulness of this method to analyse movement in 371 cod, it is essential to compare our results to previous analyses of tagging data while also 372 373 acknowledging the limitations of this methodology.

In the North Sea, tagging data has shown mixing between the southern and central North Sea, with limited mixing between these areas and the northern North Sea (e.g. see Bedford 1966, Righton et al. 2007). Indeed, cod in the northern North Sea appear to be relatively stationary (e.g., see Wright et al. 2006, Nedreaas et al. 2008, Neat et al. 2014). In contrast, recent studies in the Baltic Sea by Mion et al. (in press) using kernel density distributions and GAMs showed greater movement distances in northern and central Baltic cod compared to cod in the southern Baltic (Mion et al. in press, Mion et al. 2020). In addition, other studies

have identified the migration routes between spawning and feeding areas in the Baltic Sea using tagging data (e.g., see Otterlind 1985, Aro 1989, Bagge and Steffensen 1989, Bagge and Thurow 1994). In general, these studies all agree that eastern Baltic cod migrate to the southern Baltic to spawn, whereas cod in the western part of the Baltic use spawning areas that cover most of the Belt Sea (Otterlind 1985, Hüssy 2011). Furthermore, Mion et al. (in press) showed seasonal patterns in movements with variations in home ranges during the spawning and feeding seasons and suggested a potential link to ecotype behaviour.

Our findings in the North Sea and the Baltic Sea aligned well with these earlier results, 388 highlighting the usefulness of this method. We expanded upon these results by presenting 389 tools to separate movement into direction-driven and dispersed movement and assigning a 390 measure of accuracy, providing more detailed analyses of movement. As an example, while 391 northern North Sea cod have been shown to be relatively stationary, we showed that this 392 movement was characterized by relatively high dispersal rather than being direction-driven. 393 Similarly, combined with the kernel density distributions as presented in Mion et al. (in 394 press), we further showed that movements in the eastern Baltic cod were overall 395 characterized by both strong southerly advection and diffusion. 396

Inshore ecotypes in Aabenraa Fjord and Gulf of Finland had remarkably restricted movement 397 patterns compared to offshore ecotypes in the southern Belt Sea and south-eastern Baltic Sea, 398 respectively. This aligns with earlier studies where inshore cod have been found to be 399 relatively resident (Jakobsen 1987, Salvanes et al. 2004, Espeland et al. 2008) while offshore 400 ecotypes appear to be more migratory (Robichaud and Rose 2004). For example, a study over 401 two years on Icelandic cod using data storage tags showed consistent behavioural patterns 402 across inshore and offshore cod, with offshore cod migrating up to four times the depth of 403 inshore cod to feed at thermal fronts (Thorsteinsson et al. 2012). Additionally, ecotypes can 404 vary even within a single spawning ground (Thorsteinsson et al. 2012), as has been observed 405

in Norwegian and Skagerrak cod (Godø and Michalsen 2000, Espeland et al. 2008). Our 406 findings highlight the diversification of cod behaviour within even single stocks. 407 Furthermore, the additional possibility of looking at shorter time scales and areas (as shown 408 here in the ecotype case studies) could offer insights into movement within spawning and 409 feeding seasons, respectively, although this requires a lot of data for bootstrapping to estimate 410 accuracy. However, it should be noted that the movement behaviour of cod in Gulf of Finland 411 may not have been properly captured, as the nearest spawning ground is further away than the 412 local spawning grounds in the Belt Sea, possibly suggesting more migratory behaviour (Bleil 413 414 et al. 2009).

The existence of different ecotypes within stock components at even small scales, as 415 illustrated by the Aabenraa case study, poses challenges for the stocks in light of climate 416 change. More resident cod in fjords and inlets (e.g., Aabenraa Fjord and Gulf of Finland) 417 have largely disappeared over the years and whether it is due to an inability to adapt to more 418 migratory behaviour or whether it is due to failure of reproduction caused by changes in 419 biotic and abiotic factors is currently unknown. Cod are very sensitive to temperature changes 420 and an increase of only 2.5°C in water temperature has been shown to cause an increase of 421 15-30% in metabolic rate (Claireaux et al. 1995). As Atlantic cod prefer to stay in colder 422 deeper water during the day and warmer shallower water at night (Claireaux et al. 1995), it is 423 424 possible that environmental changes in fjords have inferred a great metabolic cost to resident cod populations and affected reproduction. While low oxygen levels have a negative effect on 425 egg survival in Baltic cod, lower oxygen levels are tolerated at low temperatures (Wieland et 426 al. 1994) which has also been shown in Pacific cod where eggs tolerate low levels of oxygen 427 as long as temperatures are between 3-5°C (Alderdice and Forrester 1971). Warm conditions 428 caused by a marine heatwave have been shown to persist for at least 4 years in the deeper 429 layers of a fjord (Jackson et al. 2018) and with the historical warming of the Baltic Sea 430

(Siegel et al. 2006, Hinrichsen et al. 2007) and an increase in the extent of hypoxic coastal
areas (Conley et al. 2011), it is likely that temperature and hypoxia have been factors in the
disappearance of resident ecotypes. In comparison, stocks with highly migratory stock
components are more likely to survive when conditions change, although range shifts will
cause local socio-economic consequences. Indeed, future studies into cod population
dynamics should take behavioural ecotypes into consideration, possibly using genetics and
otolith analyses.

The results presented here indicate clear differences in movement behaviour within ICES 438 areas and across ecotypes. However, it should be noted that some of the observed variation 439 may be attributed to other factors to a certain degree, especially across decades. The 440 environment in the Baltic Sea and North Sea has greatly changed between 1955 and 2020, 441 such as a historical rise in sea surface and bottom temperature (Carstensen et al. 2014) and an 442 expansion in hypoxic and anoxic areas (Kabel et al. 2012, Casini et al. 2016), which could 443 have influenced the movement patterns. Fishing effort was also not accounted for in this 444 study, and some of the observed movement patterns may not properly represent the true 445 migratory behaviour of the population as fishing boats focus on areas with a higher 446 abundance. This has been shown to be informative to the analysis of mark-recapture data 447 (Solmundsson et al. 2005, Wright et al. 2006a), and, when coupled with differences in the 448 449 reporting and return rates of tags (Taylor et al. 2011, Konrad et al. 2016), may influence our 450 understanding of movement patterns. Additionally, the main fishing grounds for Atlantic cod are not evenly distributed over the habitat range and have likely changed through time 451 (Engelhard et al. 2014). For instance, in the central North Sea the observed patterns in the 452 1970s are likely affected by the strong presence of fisheries on the western coast of the 453 Danish Jutland peninsula during this time period. Furthermore, the analyses suggested that a 454 high proportion of cod did not move far, if at all, between release and recapture. This 455

apparent lack of movement is most likely a combination of true movement behaviour and the
nature of mark-recapture experiments missing information between release and recapture,
particularly for individuals with long time intervals between release and recapture. The
presence of seasonal movements in Atlantic cod will also influence the observed movement
patterns, as will differences in body size and life stage (Mion et al. in press, Righton et al.
2007, Griffiths et al. 2018).

462 Conclusions

The concepts of advection and diffusion have been demonstrated to be simple and useful 463 464 tools for analysing dynamics of cod population movements. In conjunction with other methods, such as bootstrapping for accuracy analysis, movement can be described in greater 465 detail by addressing both directional and dispersed movement at a predetermined time scale. 466 Indeed, this approach can easily be applied to the majority of available tagging data sets for 467 other studies to give a dynamic and in-depth description of movement patterns in fish at even 468 finer time scales. We demonstrated this in the present study and our results agreed with 469 previous analyses of tagging data, demonstrating the value of this simple method. 470

More advanced applications of the advection-diffusion approach may incorporate estimates 471 472 of mortality or habitat indices to study how environmental conditions (e.g. temperature, salinity, and oxygen) affect movement patterns on a much finer spatiotemporal scale than the 473 preliminary results shown in the present study. Applications of this linked methodology 474 approach have been utilized greatly in population dynamics models such as the Spatial 475 Ecosystem And Population Dynamics Model (SEAPODYM, Lehodey et al. 2008). In order 476 to expand the methodology used in this study, efforts are currently ongoing to examine the 477 link between changes in movement patterns through time and changes in environmental 478 factors by incorporating hydrographic data. These will provide useful information on how 479

480 Atlantic cod will react to the changes in environmental conditions entrained by climate481 change.

482 5. Acknowledgements

We thank everyone involved with the tagging projects utilized in this study for their hard work in carrying out the projects. We thank all fishers who have submitted recapture data throughout the decades. This study was funded by the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund (EMFF) and the Danish Fisheries Agency through the project "Management of mixed cod stocks in the transition zone between the North Sea and the Baltic Sea: How can this be achieved most efficiently?" (FABBIO). Funding was also provided by BalticSea2020 (http://balti csea2 020.org) through the project "Tagging Baltic Cod" (TABACOD).

490 6. References

Alderdice DF, Forrester CR (1971) Effects of Salinity, Temperature, and Dissolved Oxygen
on Early Development of the Pacific Cod (*Gadus macrocephalus*). J Fish Res Board
Canada 28:883–902.

494 Aro E (1989) A review of fish migration patterns in the Baltic. Rap Proc-Verb Ré Cons Int
495 Explor Mer 190:72–96.

- Bagge O, Steffensen E (1989) Stock identification of demersal fish in the Baltic. Rapp P-v
 Réun Cons int Explor Mer 190:16.
- 498 Bagge O, Thurow F (1994) The Baltic cod stock, fluctuations and possible causes. In: ICES
- *Marine Science Symposia*. International Council for the Exploration of the Sea,
 Copenhagen, p 254–268
- 501 Baranova T (1995) The structure of spawning cod stock in the eastern Baltic during 1972-

502 1995.

503	Bedford B.	(1966)	English	cod tagging	experiments	in the	North Sea	. Int Counc	Explor S	Sea.
-----	------------	--------	---------	-------------	-------------	--------	-----------	-------------	----------	------

- 504 Bivand R, Keitt T, Rowlingson B (2020) Rgdal: Bindings for the 'Geospatial' Data
- 505 Abstraction Library. R package version 1.5-12. https://cran.r-project.org/package=rgdal
- 506 Bivand R, Rundel C (2020) Rgeos: Interface to Geometry Engine Open Source ('GEOS'). R

507 package version 0.5-3. https://cran.r-project.org/package=rgeos

508 Bleil M, Oeberst R, Urrutia P (2009) Seasonal maturity development of Baltic cod in

509 different spawning areas: importance of the Arkona Sea for the summer spawning stock.

- 510 J Appl Ichthyol 25:10–17.
- 511 Carstensen J, Andersen JH, Gustafsson BG, Conley DJ (2014) Deoxygenation of the Baltic
 512 Sea during the last century. Proc Natl Acad Sci 111:5628–5633.
- 513 Casini M, Käll F, Hansson M, Plikshs M, Baranova T, Karlsson O, Lundström K, Neuenfeldt

514 S, Gårdmark A, Hjelm J (2016) Hypoxic areas, density-dependence and food limitation

- drive the body condition of a heavily exploited marine fish predator. R Soc Open Sci3:160416.
- 517 Claireaux G, Webber D, Kerr S, Boutilier R (1995) Physiology and behaviour of free-

swimming Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) facing fluctuating temperature conditions. J
Exp Biol 198:49–60.

- 520 Conley DJ, Carstensen J, Aigars J, Axe P, Bonsdorff E, Eremina T, Haahti BM, Humborg C,
- Jonsson P, Kotta J, Lannegren C (2011) Hypoxia Is Increasing in the Coastal Zone of
 the Baltic Sea. Environ Sci Technol 45:6777–6783.
- 523 Eero M, Hjelm J, Behrens J, Buchmann K, Cardinale M, Casini M, Gasyukov P, Holmgren
- 524 N, Horbowy J, Hüssy K, Kirkegaard E (2015) Eastern Baltic cod in distress: biological

525	changes and challenges for stock assessment. ICES J Mar Sci 72:2180–2186.
526	Engelhard GH, Righton DA, Pinnegar JK (2014) Climate change and fishing: A century of
527	shifting distribution in North Sea cod. Glob Chang Biol 20:2473–2483.
528	Espeland SH, Olsen EM, Knutsen H, Gjøsæter J, Danielssen D, Stenseth NC (2008) New
529	perspectives on fish movement: Kernel and GAM smoothers applied to a century of
530	tagging data on coastal Atlantic cod. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 372:231-241.
531	Faugeras B, Maury O (2005) An advection-diffusion-reaction size-structured fish population
532	dynamics model combined with a statistical parameter estimation procedure:
533	Application to the Indian Ocean skipjack tuna fishery. Math Biosci Eng 2:719–741.
534	Fretwell SD (1969) On territorial behavior and other factors influencing habitat distribution
535	in birds - III. Breeding success in a local population of Field Sparrows (Spiza americana
536	Gmel). Acta Biotheor 19:45–52.
537	Godø OR, Michalsen K (2000) Migratory behaviour of north-east Arctic cod, studied by use
538	of data storage tags. Fish Res 48:127–140.
539	Griffiths CA, Patterson TA, Blanchard JL, Righton DA, Wright SR, Pitchford JW, Blackwell
540	PG (2018) Scaling marine fish movement behavior from individuals to populations. Ecol
541	Evol 8:7031–7043.
542	Haugen TO, Winfield IJ, Vøllestad LA, Fletcher JM, James JB, Stenseth NC (2006) The
543	ideal free pike: 50 Years of fitness-maximizing dispersal in Windermere. Proc R Soc B
544	Biol Sci 273:2917–2924.
545	Heath MR, Culling MA, Crozier WW, Fox CJ, Gurney WS, Hutchinson WF, Nielsen EE,
546	O'Sullivan M, Preedy KF, Righton DA, Speirs DC (2014) Combination of genetics and
547	spatial modelling highlights the sensitivity of cod (Gadus morhua) population diversity

548 In the North Sea to distributions of fishing. ICES J Mar Sci /1:/94–8	548	istributions of fishing. ICES J Mar Sci 71:794–807.
---	-----	---

- 549 Hemmer-Hansen J, Hüssy K, Vinther M, Albertsen C, Storr-Paulsen M, Eero M (2020)
- 550 Sustainable management of Kattegat cod; better know-ledge of stock components and
- 551 migration. DTU Aqua Rep 357–2020.
- 552 Hinrichsen HH, Lehmann A, Petereit C, Schmidt J (2007) Correlation analyses of Baltic Sea

winter water mass formation and its impact on secondary and tertiary production.Oceanologia 49.

- Huserbråten MBO, Moland E, Albretsen J (2018) Cod at drift in the North Sea. Prog
 Oceanogr 167:116–124.
- Hüssy K (2011) Review of western Baltic cod (*Gadus morhua*) recruitment dynamics. ICES J
 Mar Sci 68:1459–1471.
- 559 Hüssy K, Casini M, Haase S, Hilvarsson A, Horbowy J, Krüger-Johnsen, M. Krumme U,
- 560 Limburg KE, McQueen K, Mion M, Olesen HJ (2020) Tagging Baltic Cod –
- 561 TABACOD: Eastern Baltic cod: Solving the ageing and stock assessment problems with
- combined state-of-the-art tagging methods. DTU Aqua Rep 368–2020.
- 563 ICES (2021a) Cod (*Gadus morhua*) in Subdivision 21 (Kattegat). Rep ICES Advis Comm.
- 564 ICES (2021b) Cod (Gadus morhua) in subdivisions 22-24, western Baltic stock (western
- 565 Baltic Sea). Rep ICES Advis Comm.
- ICES (2020) Workshop on Stock Identification of North Sea Cod (WKNSCodID). ICES Sci
 Reports 2:82.
- 568 Ingvaldsen RB, Gjøsæter H (2013) Responses in spatial distribution of Barents Sea capelin to
- 569 changes in stock size, ocean temperature and ice cover. Mar Biol Res 9:867–877.

570	Jackson JM, Johnson GC, Dosser H V., Ross T (2018) Warming From Recent Marine
571	Heatwave Lingers in Deep British Columbia Fjord. Geophys Res Lett 45:9757–9764.
572	Jakobsen T (1987) Coastal cod in Northern Norway. Fish Res 5:223–234.
573	Kabel K, Moros M, Porsche C, Neumann T, Adolphi F, Andersen TJ, Siegel H, Gerth M,
574	Leipe T, Jansen E, Sinninghe Damsté JS (2012) Impact of climate change on the Baltic
575	Sea ecosystem over the past 1,000 years. Nat Clim Chang 2:871–874.
576	Kampstra P (2008) Beanplot: A boxplot alternative for visual comparison of distributions. J
577	Stat Softw 28:1–9.
578	Karlsen BO, Klingan K, Emblem Å, Jørgensen TE, Jueterbock A, Furmanek T, Hoarau G,
579	Johansen SD, Nordeide JT, Moum T. (2013) Genomic divergence between the
580	migratory and stationary ecotypes of Atlantic cod. Mol Ecol 22:5098-5111.
581	Knutsen H, Jorde PE, Hutchings JA, Hemmer-Hansen J, Grønkjær P, Jørgensen KEM, André
582	C, Sodeland M, Albretsen J, Olsen EM (2018) Stable coexistence of genetically
583	divergent Atlantic cod ecotypes at multiple spatial scales. Evol Appl 11:1527-1539.
584	Konrad C, Brattey J, Cadigan NG (2016) Modelling temporal and spatial variability in tag
585	reporting-rates for Newfoundland cod (Gadus morhua). Environ Ecol Stat 23:387-403.
586	van der Kooij J, Righton D, Strand E, Michalsen K, Thorsteinsson V, Svedäng H, Neat FC,
587	Neuenfeldt S (2007) Life under pressure: insights from electronic data-storage tags into
588	cod swimbladder function. ICES J Mar Sci 64:1293–1301.
589	Lear WH, Green JM (1984) Migration of the "Northern" Atlantic Cod and the Mechanisms
590	Involved. In: Mechanisms of Migration in Fishes. Springer, Boston, MA, p 309-315
591	Lehodey P, Senina I, Murtugudde R (2008) A spatial ecosystem and populations dynamics
592	model (SEAPODYM) – Modeling of tuna and tuna-like populations. Prog Oceanogr

593 78:304–318.

594	Mion M, Griffiths C, Bartolino V, Haase S, Hilvarsson A, Hüssy K, Krüger-Johnsen M,
595	Krumme U, Lundgreen R, Lövgren J, McQueen K, Plikshs M, Radtke K, Raitaniemi J,
596	Casini M (no date) New perspectives on Eastern Baltic cod movement patterns from
597	historical and contemporary tagging data. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. In press.
598	Mion M, Haase S, Hemmer-Hansen J, Hilvarsson A, Hüssy K, Krüger-Johnsen M, Krumme
599	U, McQueen K, Plikshs M, Radtke K, Schade FM, Vitale F, Casini M (2021)
600	Multidecadal changes in fish growth rates estimated from tagging data: A case study
601	from the Eastern Baltic cod (Gadus morhua, Gadidae). Fish Fish 22:413-427.
602	Mion M, Hilvarsson A, Hüssy K, Krumme U, Krüger-Johnsen M, McQueen K, Mohamed E,
603	Motyka R, Orio A, Plikshs M, Radtke K, Casini M (2020) Historical growth of Eastern
604	Baltic cod (Gadus morhua): setting a baseline with international tagging data. Fish Res
605	223:105442.
606	Neat FC, Bendall V, Berx B, Wright PJ, Ó Cuaig M, Townhill B, Schön PJ, Lee J, Righton D
607	(2014) Movement of Atlantic cod around the British Isles: implications for finer scale
608	stock management. J Appl Ecol 51:1564–1574.
609	Nedreaas K, Aglen A, Gjøsaeter J, Jorstad K, Knutsen H, Smedstad O, Svasand T, Agotnes P
610	(2008) Management of cod in western Norway and on the Skagerrak coast-stock status
611	and possible management measures. Fisk og havet 5:1–106.
612	Neuenfeldt S, Köster FW (2000) Trophodynamic control on recruitment success in Baltic
613	cod: the influence of cannibalism. ICES J Mar Sci 57:300-309.
614	Nielsen A (2004) Estimating fish movement. Royal Veterinary and Agricultural University.
615	Orio A, Karpushevskaia A, Nielsen A, Sundelöf A, Berg CW, Albertsen CM, Stralka C,

616	Vitale F, Schade F, Köster F, Olesen HJ, Strehlow H V., Sande H, Mosegaard H,
617	Horbowy J, Behrens J, Hjelm J, Lövgren J, Tomkiewicz J, Heimbrand Y (2019)
618	Benchmark Workshop on Baltic Cod Stocks (WKBALTCOD2). ICES Sci Rep 1.
619	Otterlind G (1985) Cod migration and transplantation experiments in the Baltic. J Appl
620	Ichthyol 1:3–16.
621	Pebesma E, Bivand RS (2005) Classes and methods for spatial data in R. R News 5:9–13.
622	R Core Team (2020) R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
623	https://www.r-project.org/
624	Righton D, Metcalfe J, McCloghrie P, Hetherington S, Mills C, Kooij J, Michalsen K, Fernö
625	A, Huse G, Ådlandsvik B, Subbey S, Quayle V, Aldridge J, Little A, Heffernan O,
626	Neuenfeldt S, Mosegaard H, Beyer J, Andersen N, Andersen K, Worsøe Clausen L,
627	Hüssy K, Nielsen B, Wright P, Neat F, Gibb I, Gibb F, Zuur A, Thorsteinsson V,
628	Valdimarsson H, Palsson O, Sæmundsson K, Strand E, Hinrichsen H, Kraus G,
629	Lehmann A, Schaber M, Steingrund P, Svedäng H, Jonsson P (2009) Cod spatial
630	dynamics and vertical movements in European waters and implications for fishery
631	management (CODYSSEY). (Available at: https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/Q5RS-
632	2002-00813/results)
633	Righton D, Quayle VA, Hetherington S, Burt G (2007) Movements and distribution of cod
634	(Gadus morhua) in the southern North Sea and English Channel: Results from
635	conventional and electronic tagging experiments. J Mar Biol Assoc United Kingdom
636	87:599–613.
637	Robichaud D, Rose GA (2004) Migratory behaviour and range in Atlantic cod: Inference

from a century of tagging. Fish Fish 5:185–214.

639	Salvanes AGV, Skjæraasen JE, Nilsen T (2004) Sub-populations of coastal cod with different
640	behaviour and life-history strategies. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 267:241–251.

- 641 Sibert JR, Hampton J, Fournier DA, Bills PJ (1999) An advection–diffusion–reaction model
- 642 for the estimation of fish movement parameters from tagging data, with application to
- 643 skipjack tuna (*Katsuwonus pelamis*). Can J Fish Aquat Sci 56:925–938.
- Siegel H, Gerth M, Tschersich G (2006) Sea surface temperature development of the Baltic
 Sea in the period 1990-2004. Oceanologia 48.
- 646 Solmundsson J, Palsson J, Karlsson H (2005) Fidelity of mature Icelandic plaice
- 647 (*Pleuronectes platessa*) to spawning and feeding grounds. ICES J Mar Sci 62:189–200.
- 648 Svedäng H, André C, Jonsson P, Elfman M, Limburg KE (2010) Migratory behaviour and
- otolith chemistry suggest fine-scale sub-population structure within a genetically
- homogenous Atlantic Cod population. Environ Biol Fishes 89:383–397.
- Taylor RG, Whittington JA, III WEP, Pollock KH (2011) Effect of Different Reward Levels
- on Tag Reporting Rates and Behavior of Common Snook Anglers in Southeast Florida.
 Chang Publ Wiley 26:645–651.
- 654 Thorsteinsson V, Pálsson Ó, Tómasson G, Jónsdóttir I, Pampoulie C (2012) Consistency in

the behaviour types of the Atlantic cod: repeatability, timing of migration and geo-

location. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 462:251–260.

- Wieland K, Waller U, Schnack D (1994) Development of Baltic cod eggs at different levels
 of temperature and oxygen content. Dana 10:163–177.
- Wright PJ, Galley E, Gibb IM, Neat FC (2006a) Fidelity of adult cod to spawning grounds in
 Scottish waters. Fish Res 77:148–158.
- 661 Wright PJ, Neat FC, Gibb FM, Gibb IM, Thordarson H (2006b) Evidence for metapopulation

- structuring in cod from the west of Scotland and North Sea. J Fish Biol 69:181–199.
- 663 Zemeckis DR, Martins D, Kerr LA, Cadrin SX (2014) Stock identification of Atlantic cod
- 664 (*Gadus morhua*) in US waters: an interdisciplinary approach. ICES J Mar Sci 71:1490–
- 665 1506.
- 666

668 7. Appendix



669

Fig. 1. Map of the North Sea and the Baltic Sea showing ICES areas. ICES area indicated by 670 numbers: 4.a-c: The North Sea, 20: Skagerrak, 21: Kattegat, 22: Belt Sea, 23: The 671 Sound/Øresund, 24: Arkona Sea, 25: Bornholm Sea, 26: south-eastern Baltic Sea (SEBS), 27: 672 The Western Gotland Basin, 28.1: Gulf of Riga, 28.2: Gotland Sea, 29: The Archipelago Sea, 673 30: Bothnian Sea, 31: Bothnian Bay, 32: Gulf of Finland. The relevant stocks are as follows: 674 North Sea stock (ICEs area 4.a-c, 7.d, 20), the Kattegat stock (21), the western Baltic stock 675 (22-24), and the eastern Baltic stock (24-32). Map inset shows noteworthy local locations. \triangle 676 677 = Aabenraa Fjord. \Box = Bagenkop. \circ = Bornholm.



Fig. 2. Semi-annual advection patterns for each area for all years combined. Each arrow represents the advection in individual areas calculated from the raw datasets. The length of the arrow denotes the strength of the advection based on the difference between release and recapture positions. The start point of the arrow is the mean of the release coordinates. The small points denote the end points of 10,000 advection arrows calculated for each area from bootstrapped datasets to show accuracy.



Fig. 3. Semi-annual diffusion patterns for each area for all years combined. The size of the circles indicates the relative strength and degree of diffusion in individual areas. The centre of the circle is the mean of the release coordinates. The middle line in each circle indicates the diffusion calculated from the raw data and the outer limits the 95% confidence intervals calculated from the bootstrapped datasets.



Fig. 4. Semi-annual advection and diffusion patterns across decades in the central and
southern North Sea and Kattegat-Skagerrak. a-b) Advection and diffusion in the central North
Sea (ICES area 4.b), c-d) in the southern North Sea (ICES area 4.c), and e-f) in KattegatSkagerrak (ICES area 20+21). Each arrow and circle represent the advection and diffusion in
individual areas calculated from the raw datasets, respectively. The start point of the arrow
and centre of the circles do not represent release locations. The length of the arrow denotes
the strength of the advection based on the difference between release and recapture positions

- and the size of the circles indicates the relative strength and degree of diffusion in individual
- areas. The small points denote the end points of 10,000 advection arrows calculated for each
- area from bootstrapped datasets to show accuracy. The middle line in each circle indicates the
- diffusion calculated from the raw data and the outer limits the 95% confidence intervals
- calculated from the bootstrapped datasets.



Fig. 5. Semi-annual advection and diffusion patterns across decades in the Belt Sea, the
Sound, and the Arkona Sea. a-b) Advection and diffusion in the Belt Sea (ICES area 22), c-d)
in the Sound (ICES area 23), and e-f) in the Arkona Sea (ICES area 24). Each arrow and
circle represent the advection and diffusion in individual areas calculated from the raw
datasets, respectively. The start point of the arrow and centre of the circles do not represent
release locations. The length of the arrow denotes the strength of the advection based on the

- 715 difference between release and recapture positions and the size of the circles indicates the
- relative strength and degree of diffusion in individual areas. The small points denote the end
- points of 10,000 advection arrows calculated for each area from bootstrapped datasets to
- show accuracy. The middle line in each circle indicates the diffusion calculated from the raw
- data and the outer limits the 95% confidence intervals calculated from the bootstrapped
- 720 datasets.



Fig. 6. Semi-annual advection and diffusion patterns across decades in the Bornholm Sea,
south-eastern Baltic Sea (SEBS), and the Gotland Sea. a-b) Advection and diffusion in the
Bornholm Sea (ICES area 25), c-d) in SEBS (ICES area 26), and e-f) in the Gotland Sea
(ICES area 28.2). Each arrow and circle represent the advection and diffusion in individual
areas calculated from the raw datasets, respectively. The start point of the arrow and centre of
the circles do not represent release locations. The length of the arrow denotes the strength of

the advection based on the difference between release and recapture positions and the size of

the circles indicates the relative strength and degree of diffusion in individual areas. The

small points denote the end points of 10,000 advection arrows calculated for each area from

- bootstrapped datasets to show accuracy. The middle line in each circle indicates the diffusion
- calculated from the raw data and the outer limits the 95% confidence intervals calculated
- 733 from the bootstrapped datasets.



734

Fig. 7. Semi-annual advection and diffusion patterns across decades in the Archipelago Sea 735 and the Gulf of Finland. a-b) Advection and diffusion in the Archipelago Sea (ICES area 29), 736 and c-d) in the Gulf of Finland (ICES area 32). Each arrow and circle represent the advection 737 and diffusion in individual areas calculated from the raw datasets, respectively. The start 738 point of the arrow and centre of the circles do not represent release locations. The length of 739 740 the arrow denotes the strength of the advection based on the difference between release and recapture positions and the size of the circles indicates the relative strength and degree of 741 diffusion in individual areas. The small points denote the end points of 10,000 advection 742 arrows calculated for each area from bootstrapped datasets to show accuracy. The middle line 743

- in each circle indicates the diffusion calculated from the raw data and the outer limits the
- 745 95% confidence intervals calculated from the bootstrapped datasets.



Fig. 8. Monthly advection and diffusion patterns in the western Baltic Sea and eastern Baltic 747 Sea. a) Advection patterns in the western Baltic Sea. b) Diffusion patterns in the western 748 Baltic Sea. c) Advection patterns in the eastern Baltic Sea. d) Diffusion patterns in the eastern 749 Baltic Sea. Each arrow and circle represent the advection and diffusion in individual areas 750 calculated from the raw datasets, respectively. The start point of the arrow and centre of the 751 circles do not represent release locations. The length of the arrow denotes the strength of the 752 753 advection based on the difference between release and recapture positions and the size of the circles indicates the relative strength and degree of diffusion in individual areas. The small 754 points denote the end points of 10,000 advection arrows calculated for each area from 755 756 bootstrapped datasets to show accuracy. The middle line in each circle indicates the diffusion

- calculated from the raw data and the outer limits the 95% confidence intervals calculated
- from the bootstrapped datasets. SBS = southern Belt Sea; SEBS = south-eastern Baltic Sea.



Fig. 9. Movement distances and days at liberty for recaptures released in Aabenraa Fjord,

southern Belt Sea (SBS), Gulf of Finland, and south-eastern Baltic Sea (SEBS). Left column

762 = distance travelled (km month⁻¹); right column = days at liberty. Asterisks (*) indicate

values above 3 km and 2000 days, respectively.

764



766

Fig. 10. Distributions of bootstrapped decadal median differences for swimming distances (km month⁻¹) for recaptures released in Aabenraa Fjord, the southern Belt Sea (SBS), and the Gulf of Finland. a) Aabenraa Fjord (<1960 vs. 1960-1970), b) SBS (<1960 vs. 1960-1970), and c) Gulf of Finland (1970-1980 vs. 1981-1999). Median differences were calculated from bootstrapped datasets (n = 100,000). Thick black lines indicate true median values for respective populations. Due to a lack of differences across decades, southern Baltic Sea (SEBS) is not shown.