



Article

Assessment of a Diterpene-Rich Rosemary (*Rosmarinus officinalis* L.) Extract as a Natural Antioxidant for Salmon Pâté Formulated with Linseed

Cristina Cedeño-Pinos ¹, Magdalena Martínez-Tomé ^{1,2}, Dario Mercatante ³, María Teresa Rodríguez-Estrada ³ and Sancho Bañón ^{1,*}

- ¹ Department of Food Technology and Science and Nutrition, Veterinary Faculty, Regional Campus of International Excellence “Campus Mare Nostrum”, University of Murcia, 30100 Murcia, Spain; cristinacarmen.cedenop@um.es (C.C.-P.); mmtome@um.es (M.M.-T.)
- ² CIBER: CB12/03/30038 Pathophysiology of Obesity and Nutrition, CIBERobn, Carlos III Health Institute (ISCIII), 28013 Madrid, Spain
- ³ Department of Agricultural and Food Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum-Università di Bologna, 40127 Bologna, Italy; dario.mercatante2@unibo.it (D.M.); maria.rodriguez@unibo.it (M.T.R.-E.)
- * Correspondence: sanchoba@um.es; Tel.: +34-868-888-265

Abstract: The use of natural plant extracts with standardised antioxidant properties is a growing strategy to stabilise food products. The use of a rosemary lipophilic extract (RLE), obtained from the by-product of high-yield selected plants and rich in polyphenols (334 mg/g, with diterpenes such as carnosic acid and carnosol as main compounds), is here proposed. Four RLE doses (0, 0.21, 0.42 and 0.63 g/kg) were tested in a salmon pâté formulated with sunflower oil and linseed, which was pasteurised (70 °C for 30 min) and subjected to storage at 4 °C and 600 lux for 42 days. Rosemary diterpenes resisted pasteurisation without degrading and showed antioxidant activities during the shelf-life of pasteurised pâté. RLE addition led to increased peroxide value (from 3.9 to 5.4 meq O₂/kg), but inhibited formation of secondary oxidised lipids such as malondialdehyde (from 1.55 to 0.89 mg/g) and cholesterol oxidation products (from 286 to 102 µg/100 g) and avoided discolouration (slight brownness) in the refrigerated pâté. However, this did not entail relevant changes in fatty acid content or in the abundance of volatile organic compounds from oxidised lipids. Increasing the RLE dose only improved its antioxidant efficacy for some oxidation indexes. Thus, the oxidative deterioration of these types of fish emulsion can be naturally controlled with rosemary extracts rich in diterpenes.

Keywords: polyphenols; diterpenes; carnosic acid; carnosol; natural antioxidants; fish products



Citation: Cedeño-Pinos, C.; Martínez-Tomé, M.; Mercatante, D.; Rodríguez-Estrada, M.T.; Bañón, S. Assessment of a Diterpene-Rich Rosemary (*Rosmarinus officinalis* L.) Extract as a Natural Antioxidant for Salmon Pâté Formulated with Linseed. *Antioxidants* **2022**, *11*, 1057. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox11061057>

Academic Editors: Sara Jaramillo-Carmona, Rafael Guillén Bejarano and Sergio López

Received: 29 April 2022

Accepted: 23 May 2022

Published: 26 May 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Fish emulsions, such as salmon pâté, represent a valuable dietary source of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), in particular, of some essential long-chain n-3 PUFA, such as eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) [1]. The intake of these two PUFA has been correlated with a lesser risk of cardiovascular diseases [2], neurodegenerative diseases and inflammatory disorders [3]. However, PUFA are particularly prone to oxidation and can be degraded during manufacturing and storage of fish pâté due to oxidising factors such as oxygen, iron, salt, heating and mechanical treatments [4,5]. Lipid oxidation can generate secondary compounds, such as malondialdehyde (MDA) and cholesterol oxidation products (COP), together with volatile organic compounds (VOC), including hydrocarbons, aldehydes, ketones and alcohols, among others, responsible for flavour and rancidity [6]. An excessive intake of oxidised lipids may increase the risk of some pathologies such as metabolic and neurodegenerative diseases and some cancers [7,8]. Oxidation reactions involving other pâté components may also lead to some changes in colour and pH. Therefore, it is justified that fish pâté, a product rich in unsaturated fat,

is stabilised with antioxidants. Synthetic antioxidants, including tertiary butylated hydroquinone, butylated hydroxy anisole, propyl gallate and butylated hydroxy toluene (BHT), are used in the food industry to stabilise food lipids due to their low cost and high efficiency [9]. Nevertheless, some of these antioxidants are being questioned due to their technological limitations (low dosage, thermal sensibility and high volatility) [10], and, in particular, to their possible role as toxicological and carcinogenic agents in humans [11,12].

Nowadays, “green label” formulations for fish products often include “generally recognised-as-safe” (GRAS) natural preservatives. Among these, phenolic compounds present in fruits, vegetables, beverages (tea, wine, juices), plants, seaweed and some herbs show antioxidant and antimicrobial activities, considered as emerging natural additives for fish products [13]. Phenolic compounds would mainly have an antioxidant role in fish products where microbiological quality is ensured by heating treatments. In recent studies, oxidative stability could be improved in different fish products (burgers, cooked and frozen cuts) using different phenolic extracts (rosemary, sage, lavender, moringa, pomegranate and olive) [14–18]. These studies reveal the importance of using active ingredients with suitable properties (e.g., solubility and antioxidant power) to obtain good results in fish matrixes.

Rosemary (*Rosmarinus officinalis* L.) is considered a source of phenolic antioxidants, being particularly rich in some hydrophobic diterpenes such as carnosic acid and carnosol, which can act as free radical scavengers in lipid matrixes [19]. The rosemary plant is subjected to successive distillation and extraction processes: (i) essential oil containing volatile phenols (e.g., carvacrol and eucalyptol) is removed with water steam, (ii) oil-free by-product is extracted with water to obtain the rosemary water extract (RWE), which is rich in hydrophilic polyphenols (e.g., rosmarinic acid) and (iii) the resulting by-product is extracted again with an acetone and water mix to obtain the rosemary lipophilic extract (RLE) [11,20,21]. RLE has been authorised by the European Union (E392) as an antioxidant for fish products at maximum doses of 150 mg/kg (carnosic acid + carnosol) (European Union Regulation 1129/2011/EU) [22]. The antioxidant effectiveness of different rosemary extracts has been checked in food products such as chicken and beef burgers [11,23], pork and chicken liver pâté [5,24,25], frozen salmon [18], fish patties [16], sea bream [17] and sardines [26]. In general, rosemary extracts showed good antioxidant properties in muscle-based products, although their use has some technological limitations. Rosemary extracts may not reach a suitable balance between dosage, polyphenols stability, preservative effects and sensory impact [27]. This might be due to various reasons: (i) polyphenol content of rosemary extract may vary depending on the raw material, which is related to genetic and agronomical variations, and the extraction procedure used; (ii) once the extract is added, rosemary polyphenols may degrade to a greater or lesser degree during food processing, particularly during thermal treatments and further storage; and (iii) rosemary extracts provide an intense herbal flavour that may negatively affect the acceptance of food products. Therefore, it is recommended to use typified rosemary extracts obtained from selected plants to attempt to standardise their preservative, sensory and nutritional properties [19].

Consumers increasingly demand healthier food products. Salmon pâté, a fatty product with a high caloric value, is often prepared with vegetable oils (e.g., sunflower oil) that provides a spreadable texture and a good flavour to the product. In less-caloric formulations, vegetable oils can be partially replaced by linseed [28], an ingredient with a neutral flavour and good thickening properties that can be used as a fat substitute and emulsion stabiliser, while also being a good source of n-6 PUFA and dietary fibre [29,30]. The working hypothesis of the present study was that RLE, applied at authorised doses, can stabilise lipids against oxidation in a PUFA-enriched fish pâté. The objective was therefore to test RLE (rich in carnosic acid and carnosol and obtained from selected plants) as a lipid antioxidant in a pasteurised-chilled salmon pâté made with linseed.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Experimental Design

A randomised statistical design was performed for the experiment. Three doses of RLE were tested in a PUFA-enriched salmon pâté formulated with linseed: (i) Low (R21): 0.21 g/kg, (ii) Medium (R42): 0.42 g/kg and (iii) High (R63): 0.63 g/kg. R63 treatment provided 150 mg carnosic acid + carnosol per kg pâté, the maximum dose authorised by EC [22]. Lipid oxidation (primary and secondary) and some related parameters (colour and pH) were assessed in salmon pâté just after pasteurisation and further displayed for 42 days. Sample size was $n = 9$ (3 pâté jars \times 3 fabrication batches). A two-way ANOVA (Repeated Measures Design) was performed to determine the effects of treatments (RLE and storage time) on the dependent variables. The Tukey's range test was used. Data were analysed with the Statistix 8.0 software for Windows (Analytical Software, Tallahassee, FL, USA).

2.2. Obtention of Rosemary Lipophilic Extract (RLE)

Selected high-yielding rosemary plants from a germplasm bank were cultivated and harvested in the experimental farm of the Institute of Agricultural and Food Research and Development (IMIDA, Murcia, Spain). RLE was obtained from the leaf by-product that had been previously distilled with water steam and extracted with water to obtain the essential oil and the RWE, respectively [27]. Then, 3 g of rosemary by-product were mixed with 450 mL acetone:water (1:1, *v/v*) and kept under constant stirring (30 °C for 90 min). The mix was centrifuged in a Digecen 21 R centrifuge (Orto Alresa, Madrid, Spain) (4560 \times g and 5 °C for 10 min) and the supernatant was then filtered (Whatman No. 4). The acetone fraction was removed by applying a vacuum at 40 °C in a Syncore Polyvap R-96 evaporation system (Buchi, Flawil, Switzerland), and the water fraction was removed using a lyophilisation system (Lyobeta 15, Telstar, Terrassa, Spain) (100 mbar and -80 °C for 24 h). Lyophilized RLE, a yellow-greenish dry powder with an intense herbal flavour, was stored at -80 °C until further use.

2.3. Determination of Rosemary Polyphenols

Polyphenols were determined in both RLE and treated pâté (carnosic acid and carnosol) according to Jordán et al. (2013) [20]. For RLE, 0.03 g dry extract was reconstituted with 5 mL methanol and filtered (0.22 μ m nylon filter). For pâté, a 1 g sample was homogenised (ice covered) with 75 mL methanol at 10,000 rpm for 1 min in a Silent Crusher homogeniser (Heidolph, Schwabach, Germany), sonicated (ice-covered for 30 min) in a KM-UCI-150 system (R Espinar S.L., Barcelona, Spain) and centrifuged at 3900 \times g and 5 °C for 10 min). Methanol was evaporated under vacuum at 40 °C and the resulting dried extract was reconstituted again with methanol to 2 mL. Next, 20 μ L of the methanolic sample solution (RE and pâté) were injected into an HPLC-1200 Series (Agilent, Waldbronn, Germany) equipped with a G1311A binary pump and a G1315A UV/Vis PDA detector. A Zorbax SB-C18 reversed-phase column (4.6 \times 250 mm \times 0.25 μ m), with a Zorbax SB-C18 precolumn (4.6 \times 125 mm \times 0.25 μ m) (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA), was used. The flow rate was 1.0 mL/min and the mobile phases were acidified (0.05% formic acid) water (A) and acetonitrile (B). The elution gradient was: (i) min 0: 95% A/5% B; (ii) min 10: 85% A/15% B; (iii) min 30: 75% A/25% B; (iv) min 35: 70% A/30% B; (v) min 50: 45% A/55% B; (vi) min 55: 10% A/90% B; and (vii) min 70: 100% B, maintained for 10 min before returning to the initial conditions. Identification of polyphenols was performed by comparing retention times and spectra of different commercial standards. Detection wavelengths were 280 and 330 nm. Quantification was carried out using linear regression models based on standard dilution techniques. The method precision was determined according to the International Conference on Harmonisation guidelines [31]. Results were expressed as mg/g.

2.4. Salmon Pâté Manufacturing

Salmon pâté ingredients are shown in Table 1. Norwegian fresh salmon (*Salmo salar*) loins were purchased from Nordlaks Produkter AS (Murcia, Spain) and stored at $-20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 48 h. Thawed loins (at $4\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}/24\text{ h}$) without skin and bones were cut in cubes (2 cm^3) using a knife and cooked in water (2:1; *w:v*) at $90\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 10 min under stirring in a cooking robot (Taurus Mycook 1.6, Lérida, Spain). Before homogenising, cooked salmon cubes were minced for 10 min, skimmed milk was dissolved in water at $50\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ and linseed was hydrated at 1:2 (*w:v*) in a Silent Crusher homogeniser at 9500 rpm for 1 min. All ingredients (including flavouring, thickening, dye and RLE) were homogenised together for 10 min. Once paste was obtained, sterile glass jars (33-mL capacity) were manually filled and pasteurised in a water bath at $70\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 30 min. The jars were cooled in a water bath at $5\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 30 min and then kept for up to 42 days in a refrigerated display cabinet (Mod. 103899, Difri, Xirivella, Valencia, Spain) at $4\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, 30% RH and white continuous illumination (600 lux). The antimicrobial efficiency of these pasteurisation conditions was checked in a previous trial [28]. Samples were stored at $-80\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ before analyses.

Table 1. Ingredients of salmon pâté.

| | g/100 g |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| Salmon loin | 50.00 |
| Water | 32.45 |
| Sunflower oil | 9.30 |
| Linseed grain way | 3.00 |
| HP Inulin | 0.20 |
| Milk powder/sodium caseinate | 4.00 |
| Salt | 1.00 |
| Paprika orange dye | 0.05 |
| Rosemary lipophilic extract (RLE) * | ≤ 0.063 |

* Added at 0.021 (R21), 0.042 (R42) and 0.063 g/100 g (R63); 150 mg/kg carnosic acid + carnosol).

2.5. Proximate Composition

Crude protein content was determined by the Kjeldahl method (reference 955.04; AOAC, 2000) [32]. Crude ash content was estimated by incineration (at $550\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}/24\text{ h}$) in a furnace (reference 923.03; AOAC, 2000) [32]. Moisture content was determined with an infrared thermobalance (Model MA 50.R; Radwag, Radom, Poland). Available carbohydrates were estimated by weight difference. The energy value was calculated using standard conversion factors: 4 kcal/g for protein and carbohydrate and 9 kcal/g for fat, respectively [33].

2.6. pH and CIELab Colour

pH was determined with a MicropH 2001 pH meter (Crison, Barcelona, Spain) and a Cat. 52-22 combined electrode (Ingold Electrodes, Wilmington, DE, USA). CIELab colour was measured using a CR-200/08 Chroma Meter II (Minolta Ltd., Milton Keynes, UK) with a D65 illuminant, 2° observer angle and 50-mm aperture size. The results were expressed as CIELab values: lightness (L^*), redness (a^*) and yellowness (b^*).

2.7. Lipid Extraction for Analyses

Pâté fat was extracted by the Folch method with some modifications [34]. First, 15 g of sample were mixed with chloroform:methanol (2:1, *v/v*) and 0.003% (*w/v*) BHT and then mixed with 1 M KCl. The organic phase was separated and dried in a Hei-vap rotavapor (Heidolph, Schwabach, Germany) at $30\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ and 337–470 mm Hg pressure. Fat content was gravimetrically determined (total lipids) and stored at $-80\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ until analyses.

2.8. Peroxide Value (PV)

PV indicated the amount of hydroperoxides of fat pâté (AOCS Cd 8-53) [35]. In brief, 0.5 g fat was homogenised for 1 min with 10 mL chloroform, 15 mL of glacial acetic acid

and 1 mL saturated potassium iodide water solution. The sample was kept in darkness for 5 min, mixed with 75 mL water and titrated with 0.002 N sodium thiosulphate solution in an automatic Titrino equipped with a 0160451100 PT WOC combined electrode (Metrohm Hispania, Madrid, Spain). Results were expressed as meq O₂/kg fat.

2.9. Conjugated Dienes (CD) and Trienes (CT)

CD and TC were determined according to Pegg (2001) [36], with some modifications. A 0.1 g sample was dissolved in 10 mL isooctane and absorbance was measured at 233 nm (CD) and 268 nm (TC) in a UV/Vis spectrophotometer (Spectronic Unicam, New York, NY, USA). Results were expressed as µmol/g.

2.10. Thiobarbituric Acid Reactive Substances (TBARS)

TBARS were measured according to Botsoglou et al. (1994) [37] and calculated with a calibration curve ($y = 1299.8x + 0.0033$; $R^2 = 0.999$) of malonaldehyde (MDA) prepared with 1,1,3,3-tetraethoxypropane. Absorbance was measured at 532 nm (Unicam Spectrophotometer). Results were expressed as mg MDA/kg.

2.11. Fatty Acids (FA)

FA were identified and quantified as described by Cardenia et al. (2015) [34]. Twenty mg of lipid extract were treated with 200 µL of diazomethane before adding undecanoate methyl ester (CAS 1731-86-8, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) (internal standard, 1 mg/mL) and transmethylating with 40 µL of 2 N KOH in methanol. A 6890N series gas chromatograph (Agilent Technologies, Madrid, Spain) equipped with a flame ionization detector (GC-FID) and an Agilent HP88 capillary column (60 m × 250 µm × 0.20 µm) was used for analysis. The operating conditions were: (i) injection volume: 1 mL; (ii) flow rate: 1.4 mL He/min and a 1:1 split; (iii) injector/detector temperatures: 250/260 °C; (iv) oven temperature program: 125 °C to 145 °C at 8 °C/min; hold at 145 °C for 26 min; from 145 °C to 220 °C at 2 °C/min; hold at 220 °C for 1 min. A fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) Mix C4–C24 (Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA) was used as standard. Results were expressed as g FAME /100 g fat.

2.12. Sterols and Cholesterol Oxidation Products (COP)

Sterols were extracted and purified according to Cardenia et al. (2015) [34]. About 200 mg of lipid extract containing internal standards for quantification of cholesterol and COP (141.12 µg of betulinol (Sigma Chemical, St. Louis, MO, USA) and 13.38 µg of 19-hydroxycholesterol (Steraloids, Newport, RI, USA), respectively) were cold saponified. Then, 100 µL of the unsaponifiable fraction were used for determining sterol composition, while the rest (900 µL) was subjected to SPE-NH₂ for COP purification. Both sterol and COP fractions were derivatized with 1 mL of a silylating mixture (pyridine:hexamethyldisilazane:trimethylchlorosilane, 5:2:1, v/v/v), left standing at 40 °C for 20 min, dried under a nitrogen stream, re-dissolved in *n*-hexane (300 µL and 20 µL for sterols and COP, respectively) and injected into a Fast gas-chromatograph/mass-spectrometer (Fast GC/MS) as reported by Cardenia et al. (2012) [38], with slight modifications. For COP analysis, the split ratio was 1:15. Mass spectra and retention times of chemical standards (Sigma Chemical; Steraloids (Newport, RI, USA); Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL, USA)) were compared with the chromatographic peaks of fish pâté for correct identification of sterols and COP. Both sterols and COP were quantified in the SIM acquisition mode, using calibration curves for each chemical compound, and their results were expressed as mg/100 g of pâté and µg/100 g of pâté, respectively. The proportion of total cholesterol oxidation (OR) was calculated as follows: $OR = [(Total\ COP / Total\ cholesterol) \times 100] / 1000$ [34]. Nine independent replicates were made for each sample.

2.13. Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC)

VOC were determined by headspace solid phase-micro-extraction (HS-SPME) according to Ortuño et al. (2021) [39], with some modifications. First, 5 g pâté were placed in amber 20-mL screw-capped vials (Agilent Technologies, Frankfurt, Germany) and flushed with nitrogen (at 275 kPa for 5 s). Before HS-SPME, the vials were kept in a water bath (at 40 °C for 10 min). VOC extraction was carried out in a water bath MPS 2XL autosampler (at 40 °C for 45 min) with continuous shaking (250 rpm) (Gerstel, Mülheim an der Ruhr, Germany). Analyses were performed with a 7890B Agilent gas chromatograph coupled to a 5977 A MSD mass spectrometer (GC-MS) using a VF-WAXms capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm i.d. × 0.5 µm f.t.). Operating conditions were: needle immersion depth: 2.5 cm; vaporisation chamber diameter: 0.75 mm; desorption time inside the injector: 5 min; injection port and ionisation source temperature: 250 and 280 °C, respectively. The oven program was: 40 °C to 150 °C at 2.5 °C/min and then taken to 250 °C at 10 °C/min. Identification of individual compounds was performed by comparison of the spectra with the NIST 98 mass spectrometry library (NIST, Gaithersburg, MDN) and information obtained in previous trials [39]. Results (relative abundance) were expressed as Arbitrary Units (AU) × 10⁶.

3. Results

Twenty-two polyphenols were determined in RLE (Table 2), including phenolic acids (salvianic, caffeic, isoferulic, rosmarinic, salvianolic), flavonoids (lithospermic acid, luteolin-4-glucoside, cirsimaritin, genkwanin, hesperidin, salvigenin and protocateic acid) and diterpenes (carnosol, carnosic acid, 7-methyl-rosmanol, 12-methyl-carnosic acid, rosmanol and different derivatives from carnosol and methyl-rosmanol). Carnosol and carnosic acid were the most abundant compounds (125 and 98 mg/g, respectively), representing 67% of total polyphenols in RLE (334 mg/g). Degradation of carnosic acid and carnosol was studied in salmon pâté (Figure 1). According to the polyphenol content of RLE, the initial quantities (mg/kg) of carnosic acid + carnosol added to raw pâté were: 21 + 26 (R21), 41 + 53 (R42) and 62 + 79 (R63). RLE also contained relevant levels of methyl-carnosic acid, carnosol derivatives and other diterpenes. On day 0, the concentrations (mg/kg) of carnosic acid + carnosol determined in pâté were: 20 + 21 (R21), 40 + 39 (R42) and 61 + 56 (R63), while, on day 42, these concentrations were: 18 + 16 (R21), 35 + 24 (R42) and 49 + 35 (R63). Thus, both diterpenes were retained without degrading in the pasteurised salmon pâté, even though a part (10–18% of carnosic acid and 24–38% of carnosol) degraded during chill storage.

Salmon pâté contained 12 g/100 g total protein, 19 g/100 g total lipids and 61 g/100 g moisture, contributing with 270.6 kcal/100 g (Table 3). Data regarding general lipid oxidation, CIELab colour and pH are shown in Table 4. RLE addition did not affect PV on day 0, but R63 pâté presented the highest PV on day 42, while not impacting CD and CT values on day 0 and 42. Thus, PV discriminated the effects of RLE on primary lipid oxidation better than CD and CT, which provide similar information. Chill storage did not affect PV but actually decreased CD and CT values. The antioxidant effects of RLE addition on lipids were confirmed by TBARS. MDA levels were higher in the untreated pâté on day 0 and 42 than in the pâté with RLE (at any dose). No differences were found in the MDA levels among R21, R42 and R63 pâtés. Similarly, adding RLE did not affect colour on day 0, while pâtés with more RLE (R42 and R63) had lower values of L* and a* and higher values of b* and h* than the untreated pâté on day 42. Therefore, salmon pâté underwent some discolouration (slight browning) during chill storage that might be inhibited using RLE. Regardless of the dose used, RLE slightly decreased the pâté pH on days 0 and 42. Overall, the pH values hardly decreased by around 0.2 after chill storage.

Table 2. Polyphenol content (mg/g) of rosemary lipophilic extract.

| | Mean | RMSE |
|--------------------------------|--------|-------|
| Phenolic acids | | |
| Salvianic acid | 0.49 | 0.023 |
| Caffeic acid | 0.25 | 0.021 |
| Isoferulic acid | 0.22 | 0.033 |
| Rosmarinic acid | 9.16 | 0.161 |
| Salvianolic acid | 3.59 | 0.082 |
| Flavonoids | | |
| Lithospermic acid | 2.93 | 0.041 |
| Luteolin-4-glucoside | 0.45 | 0.034 |
| Cirsimaritin | 7.06 | 0.163 |
| Genkwanin | 5.55 | 0.180 |
| Genkwanin 4'-methyl ether | 7.08 | 0.253 |
| Hesperidin | 5.16 | 0.132 |
| Salvigenin | 6.22 | 0.150 |
| Protocateic acid | 0.03 | 0.012 |
| Diterpenes | | |
| Carnosol | 125.22 | 2.273 |
| Carnosic acid | 97.91 | 1.671 |
| 7-Methyl-rosmanol | 13.49 | 1.083 |
| 12-Methyl carnosic acid | 18.36 | 1.260 |
| Carnosol derivative (1) | 4.53 | 0.181 |
| Rosmanol | 13.57 | 1.022 |
| Methyl Rosmanol derivative (1) | 2.50 | 0.050 |
| Methyl Rosmanol derivative (2) | 4.55 | 0.082 |
| Carnosol derivative (2) | 5.20 | 0.041 |
| Total polyphenols | 333.50 | |

Abbreviations: RMSE: Root Mean Standard Error. Limit of Quantification (LoQ): 0.01 mg/g.

Table 3. Proximate composition (g/100 g) and caloric value (kcal/100 g) of salmon pâté.

| | Mean | RMSE |
|---------------------|--------|-------|
| Moisture | 61.36 | 0.102 |
| Total Protein | 11.80 | 0.113 |
| Total Lipids | 19.10 | 0.171 |
| Total carbohydrates | 5.72 | 0.182 |
| Ash | 2.04 | 0.021 |
| Caloric value | 270.58 | |

Abbreviations: M: Mean; RMSE: Root Mean Standard Error.

Table 4. Lipid oxidation indexes, CIELab colour (CIELab units) and pH of salmon pâté.

| | Day | Untreated | | | | R21 | | | | R42 | | | | R63 | | | | Effects (<i>p</i> -Values) | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----|-----------|---|--|--|-------|----|--|--|-------|---|--|--|-------|---|--|--|-----------------------------|-----|-----|----------|
| | | Mean | | | | Mean | | | | Mean | | | | Mean | | | | RMSE | RLE | ST | RLE × ST |
| PV (meq O ₂ /kg) | 0 | 4.71 | | | | 4.10 | | | | 4.02 | | | | 4.34 | | | | 0.261 | ns | ns | * |
| | 42 | 3.92 | b | | | 3.82 | b | | | 4.06 | b | | | 5.37 | a | | | 0.281 | | | |
| CD (μmol/g) | 0 | 1.82 | | | | 1.79 | | | | 1.83 | | | | 1.92 | | | | 0.100 | ns | *** | ns |
| | 42 | 1.46 | | | | 1.55 | | | | 1.55 | | | | 1.46 | | | | 0.111 | | | |
| CT (μmol/g) | 0 | 0.76 | | | | 0.81 | | | | 0.78 | | | | 0.83 | | | | 0.049 | ns | *** | ns |
| | 42 | 0.62 | | | | 0.52 | | | | 0.64 | | | | 0.58 | | | | 0.055 | | | |
| TBARS (mg MDA/kg) | 0 | 0.86 | a | | | 0.79 | b | | | 0.79 | b | | | 0.79 | b | | | 0.033 | *** | *** | *** |
| | 42 | 1.55 | a | | | 0.94 | b | | | 0.91 | b | | | 0.89 | b | | | 0.042 | | | |
| L * | 0 | 71.28 | | | | 71.01 | | | | 70.94 | | | | 70.72 | | | | 0.285 | ns | ns | ns |
| | 42 | 72.12 | a | | | 71.00 | b | | | 70.82 | b | | | 70.65 | b | | | 0.174 | | | |
| a * | 0 | 14.22 | | | | 14.35 | | | | 13.98 | | | | 13.87 | | | | 0.387 | ns | *** | ns |
| | 42 | 14.68 | b | | | 15.51 | ab | | | 15.91 | a | | | 15.98 | a | | | 0.352 | | | |
| b * | 0 | 40.23 | | | | 40.36 | | | | 40.32 | | | | 40.31 | | | | 0.588 | ns | ns | ns |
| | 42 | 39.30 | b | | | 40.47 | ab | | | 40.63 | a | | | 40.60 | a | | | 0.441 | | | |
| Hue angle | 0 | 70.58 | | | | 70.43 | | | | 70.89 | | | | 71.01 | | | | 0.326 | ns | *** | ns |
| | 42 | 69.51 | a | | | 69.05 | ab | | | 68.62 | b | | | 68.52 | b | | | 0.297 | | | |
| pH | 0 | 6.23 | a | | | 6.09 | b | | | 6.03 | b | | | 6.03 | b | | | 0.055 | ** | *** | ns |
| | 42 | 6.05 | a | | | 5.80 | b | | | 5.83 | b | | | 5.77 | b | | | 0.069 | | | |

Abbreviations—R21/42/63: rosemary lipophilic extract at different doses; ST: storage time; RMSE: Root Mean Standard Error; TBARS: Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances; MDA: malondialdehyde; PV: Peroxide Value; CD: Conjugated dienes; CT: Conjugated trienes. ^{a,b} RLE addition effects at the same storage time (One-way ANOVA). *p*-values: overall effects of RLE and ST (Two-way ANOVA; Repeated Measures Design). Significance levels: *** *p* < 0.001; ** *p* < 0.01; * *p* < 0.05; ns *p* > 0.05.




Figure 1. Carnosic acid (A) and carnosol (B) content (mg/kg) of salmon pâté. Abbreviations: R21/42/63: rosemary lipophilic extract at different doses.

Twenty-two FA were quantified (g FA/100 g fat) (Table 5). The most abundant class was MUFA (22.7–26.2 g/100 g fat) with C18:1*t* n-9 as main FA (18.3–22.2 g/100 g fat), followed by PUFA (17.3–20.2 g/100 g fat), with C18:2*c* n-6 (11.8–13.8 g/100 g fat) and C18:3 α n-3 (2.1–2.7 g/100 g fat) as major FA, and by SFA (9.2–10.6 g/100 g fat), with C16:0 as predominant FA (3.6–4.6 g/100 g fat). Levels of EPA and DHA were around 1 and 1.4 g/100 g fat, respectively. RLE addition did not affect the FA levels on day 0 and 42, while chill storage only led to some changes in minor FA. TFA content was similar for the untreated and the RLE pâtés on day 0 and 42. Consequently, nutritional FA ratios (n-6/n-3 and P/S) were unaffected by RLE addition or chill storage.

The most abundant sterol was cholesterol (33.7–41.7 mg/100 g pâté), followed by β -sitosterol (12.9–17.8 mg/100 g pâté), campesterol (2.3–3.9 mg/100 g pâté) and stigmasterol (1.6–2.2 mg/100 g pâté) (Table 6). Campesterol content was higher in the untreated than in the R21 and R63 pâtés on day 0, whereas on day 42 a reduction was observed for all sterols in all R21 pâtés with respect to untreated ones. Chill storage generally led to a decrease in sterol content, except for stigmasterol. Among the sterol oxidation products, only COP were determined, as cholesterol was the most abundant sterol in salmon pâté. The main COP was 7-ketocholesterol (7-KC), followed by 7 α -hydroxycholesterol (7 α -HC), 7 β -hydroxycholesterol (7 β -HC), cholestane-3 β ,5 α ,6 β -triol (triol), 5 β ,6 β -epoxycholesterol (β -EC) and 5 α ,6 α -epoxycholesterol (α -EC). RLE addition had an antioxidant effect on cholesterol, since the untreated pâté presented the highest COP contents on day 0 and 42. Consequently, the OR were clearly higher in untreated (0.49–0.70%) than in pâtés added

with RLE (0.22–0.32%). As observed for single and total COP, RLE addition, storage time and its interaction influenced this ratio. OR increased with chill storage in untreated and R21, while remaining stable in R42 and R63 pâtés.

Table 5. Fatty acid content (g FAME/100 g fat) and related nutritional indexes of salmon pâté.

| | T | Untreated | R21 | R42 | R63 | RMSE | Effects (<i>p</i> -Values) | | |
|----------------------|----|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------------------------|-----|----------|
| | | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | | RLE | ST | RLE × ST |
| C10:0 | 0 | 0.32 | 0.35 | 0.34 | 0.39 | 0.026 | ns | ns | ns |
| | 42 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.36 | 0.33 | 0.032 | | | |
| C14:0 | 0 | 0.81 | 0.77 | 0.89 | 0.78 | 0.057 | ns | ns | ns |
| | 42 | 0.82 | 0.90 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.083 | | | |
| C15:0 | 0 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.170 | ns | ** | ns |
| | 42 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.015 | | | |
| C16:0 | 0 | 4.18 | 3.97 | 4.55 | 3.94 | 0.327 | ns | ns | ns |
| | 42 | 4.13 | 4.17 | 4.45 | 4.60 | 0.477 | | | |
| C16:1 n-7 | 0 | 0.79 | 0.74 | 0.86 | 0.74 | 0.058 | ns | ns | ns |
| | 42 | 0.79 | 0.86 | 0.85 | 0.86 | 0.090 | | | |
| C17:0 | 0 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.020 | ns | *** | ns |
| | 42 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | | | | |
| C18:0 | 0 | 1.68 | 1.88 | 1.90 | 1.87 | 0.225 | ns | ns | ns |
| | 42 | 1.85 | 2.06 | 2.21 | 2.02 | 0.238 | | | |
| C18:1 <i>t</i> n-9 | 0 | 19.99 | 20.48 | 22.26 | 20.16 | 1.453 | ns | ns | ns |
| | 42 | 18.92 | 20.84 | 20.48 | 18.34 | 1.911 | | | |
| C18:1 <i>c</i> n-9 | 0 | 1.40 | 1.45 | 1.51 | 1.60 | 0.132 | ns | ns | ns |
| | 42 | 1.36 | 1.48 | 1.70 | 1.52 | 0.149 | | | |
| C18:2 <i>c</i> n-6 | 0 | 12.40 | 11.82 | 12.94 | 11.93 | 0.990 | ns | ns | ns |
| | 42 | 12.02 | 12.18 | 13.16 | 13.80 | 1.616 | | | |
| C20:0 | 0 | 0.64 | 0.69 | 0.70 | 0.71 | 0.045 | ns | * | ns |
| | 42 | 0.70 | 0.78 | 0.75 | 0.70 | 0.037 | | | |
| C18:3α n-3 (ALA) | 0 | 2.47 | 2.11 | 2.70 | 2.23 | 0.224 | ns | ns | ns |
| | 42 | 2.37 | 2.47 | 2.57 | 2.68 | 0.338 | | | |
| C20:1 n-9 | 0 | 1.04 | 0.94 | 1.14 | 0.98 | 0.080 | ns | ns | ns |
| | 42 | 1.05 | 1.14 | 1.12 | 1.13 | 0.112 | | | |
| C20:2 <i>c</i> n-6 | 0 | 0.49 | 0.47 | 0.53 | 0.49 | 0.033 | ns | ns | ns |
| | 42 | 0.52 | 0.56 | 0.54 | 0.53 | 0.036 | | | |
| C20:3 n-6 | 0 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.015 | ns | ns | ns |
| | 42 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.012 | | | |
| C20:3 n-3 | 0 | 0.41 | 0.44 | 0.46 | 0.48 | 0.029 | ns | * | * |
| | 42 | 0.48 | 0.51 | 0.47 | 0.45 | 0.024 | | | |
| C22:0 | 0 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.58 | 0.56 | 0.034 | ns | ns | ns |
| | 42 | 0.58 | 0.62 | 0.60 | 0.57 | 0.300 | | | |
| C22:1 n-9 | 0 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.42 | 0.027 | ns | * | ns |
| | 42 | 0.43 | 0.47 | 0.45 | 0.42 | 0.021 | | | |
| C20:5 n-3 (EPA) | 0 | 0.97 | 0.88 | 1.04 | 0.92 | 0.063 | ns | ns | ns |
| | 42 | 0.98 | 1.04 | 1.02 | 1.03 | 0.084 | | | |
| C24:0 | 0 | 0.81 | 0.93 | 0.79 | 0.94 | 0.071 | ns | ns | ns |
| | 42 | 0.85 | 0.93 | 0.81 | 0.77 | 0.077 | | | |
| C24:1 | 0 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.028 | ns | * | ns |
| | 42 | 0.42 | 0.46 | 0.44 | 0.41 | 0.021 | | | |
| C22:6 n-3 (DHA) | 0 | 1.42 | 1.31 | 1.50 | 1.36 | 0.081 | ns | ns | ns |
| | 42 | 1.43 | 1.49 | 1.46 | 1.47 | 0.095 | | | |
| ΣTFA | 0 | 51.88 | 51.37 | 56.34 | 51.75 | 3.027 | ns | ns | ns |
| | 42 | 50.59 | 53.90 | 54.83 | 53.05 | 4.769 | | | |
| ΣSFA | 0 | 9.50 | 9.68 | 10.30 | 9.76 | 0.593 | ns | ns | ns |
| | 42 | 9.59 | 10.14 | 10.32 | 10.14 | 0.683 | | | |
| ΣMUFA | 0 | 24.01 | 24.42 | 26.62 | 24.33 | 1.561 | ns | ns | ns |
| | 42 | 22.98 | 25.25 | 25.03 | 22.70 | 2.203 | | | |
| ΣPUFA | 0 | 18.38 | 17.27 | 19.41 | 17.66 | 1.333 | ns | ns | ns |
| | 42 | 18.02 | 18.51 | 19.53 | 20.21 | 2.171 | | | |
| ΣHP-PUFA | 0 | 5.50 | 4.98 | 5.95 | 5.24 | 0.387 | ns | ns | ns |
| | 42 | 5.48 | 5.78 | 5.77 | 5.87 | 0.535 | | | |
| n-6/n-3 ¹ | 0 | 2.47 | 2.71 | 2.40 | 2.54 | 0.161 | ns | ns | ns |
| | 42 | 2.40 | 2.34 | 2.39 | 2.56 | 0.098 | | | |
| P/S ² | 0 | 1.92 | 1.79 | 1.89 | 1.81 | 0.083 | ns | ns | ns |
| | 42 | 1.86 | 1.80 | 1.86 | 1.97 | 0.114 | | | |

Abbreviations—FAME: Fatty Acid Methyl Esters; ST: storage time; R21/42/63: rosemary lipophilic extract at different doses; RMSE: Root Mean Standard Error; FA: fatty acid; SFA: Saturated FA; MUFA: Monounsaturated FA; PUFA: Polyunsaturated FA. *c*: *cis*; *t*: *trans*; ALA: α-linolenic acid; LA: linoleic acid; EPA: eicosapentaenoic acid; DHA: docosahexaenoic acid. Σ SFA = Sum of C10:0, C14:0, C15:0, C16:0, C17:0, C18:0, C20:0, C22:0 and C24:0. Σ MUFA = Sum of *cis* and *trans* isomers of C16:1, C18:1, C20:1, C22:1 and C24:1. Σ PUFA = Sum of *cis* and *trans* isomers of C18:2, C18:3, C20:2, C20:3, C20:5 and C22:6. ΣHP-PUFA: Sum of PUFA with three or more unsaturated bonds. ¹ n-6/n-3 = Σn-6 PUFA/Σ n-3 PUFA. ² $\frac{P}{S}$ (P/S Index) = $\frac{\Sigma PUFA}{\Sigma SFA}$. *p*-values: overall effects of RE and ST (Two-way ANOVA; Repeated Measures Design). Significance levels: *** *p* < 0.001; ** *p* < 0.01; * *p* < 0.05; ns *p* > 0.05. Limit of Quantification (LoQ): 0.01 g FAME/100 g fat.

Table 6. Phytosterols (mg/100 g), cholesterol (mg/100 g), COP ($\mu\text{g}/100\text{ g}$) and cholesterol oxidation ratio (%) of salmon pâté.

| | Day | Untreated | | R21 | | R42 | | R63 | | Effects (<i>p</i> -Values) | | | |
|---------------------|-----|-----------|---|--------|---|--------|----|--------|----|-----------------------------|-----|-----|----------------|
| | | Mean | | Mean | | Mean | | Mean | | RMSE | RLE | ST | RLE \times T |
| Campesterol | 0 | 3.91 | a | 3.25 | b | 3.45 | ab | 3.09 | b | 0.230 | ** | *** | ns |
| | 42 | 3.59 | a | 2.33 | b | 2.73 | b | 2.57 | b | 0.256 | | | |
| Stigmasterol | 0 | 2.16 | | 1.91 | | 2.00 | | 1.86 | | 0.109 | ** | ns | ns |
| | 42 | 2.19 | a | 1.64 | b | 1.89 | ab | 1.75 | b | 0.125 | | | |
| β -Sitosterol | 0 | 17.77 | | 15.84 | | 16.39 | | 15.74 | | 0.541 | ns | * | ns |
| | 42 | 17.42 | a | 12.85 | b | 14.88 | ab | 14.58 | ab | 0.886 | | | |
| Cholesterol | 0 | 40.58 | | 39.97 | | 41.65 | | 40.00 | | 1.651 | ns | * | ns |
| | 42 | 41.42 | a | 33.73 | b | 36.99 | ab | 37.23 | ab | 1.541 | | | |
| 7 α -HC | 0 | 51.38 | a | 18.13 | b | 14.10 | b | 18.23 | b | 2.695 | *** | * | ns |
| | 42 | 54.00 | a | 20.49 | b | 16.24 | b | 21.57 | b | 3.501 | | | |
| 7 β -HC | 0 | 42.39 | a | 24.52 | b | 25.24 | b | 27.21 | b | 2.636 | *** | *** | *** |
| | 42 | 65.32 | a | 26.96 | b | 26.55 | b | 29.62 | b | 2.623 | | | |
| α -EC | 0 | 13.91 | a | <LoQ | b | <LoQ | b | <LoQ | b | 0.317 | *** | *** | *** |
| | 42 | 24.23 | a | <LoQ | b | <LoQ | b | <LoQ | b | 0.657 | | | |
| β -EC | 0 | 11.65 | a | 6.13 | b | 5.51 | b | 6.16 | b | 1.135 | *** | *** | *** |
| | 42 | 21.42 | a | 7.26 | b | 6.53 | b | 6.45 | b | 1.457 | | | |
| Triol | 0 | 26.21 | a | 16.59 | b | 16.09 | b | 17.34 | b | 2.092 | *** | *** | *** |
| | 42 | 45.61 | a | 18.33 | b | 17.85 | b | 18.60 | b | 2.681 | | | |
| 7-KC | 0 | 51.79 | a | 31.08 | b | 32.48 | b | 26.98 | b | 2.093 | *** | *** | *** |
| | 42 | 75.48 | a | 33.02 | b | 34.40 | b | 29.27 | b | 2.433 | | | |
| Total COP | 0 | 197.33 | a | 96.44 | b | 93.43 | b | 95.93 | b | 4.517 | *** | *** | *** |
| | 42 | 286.06 | a | 106.07 | b | 101.56 | b | 105.51 | b | 4.271 | | | |
| OR | 0 | 0.49 | a | 0.24 | b | 0.22 | b | 0.24 | b | 0.016 | *** | *** | ** |
| | 42 | 0.70 | a | 0.32 | b | 0.28 | b | 0.29 | b | 0.020 | | | |

Abbreviations—ST: storage time; L/M/H RLE: low/medium/high rosemary lipophilic extract; M: Mean; RMSE: Root Mean Standard Error; 7 α -HC, 7 α -hydroxycholesterol; 7 β -HC, 7 β -hydroxycholesterol; α -EC, 5 α ,6 α -epoxycholesterol; β -EC, 5 β ,6 β -epoxycholesterol; Triol, cholestane-3 β ,5 α ,6 β -triol; 7-KC, 7-ketocholesterol; COP: cholesterol oxidation products; OR: cholesterol oxidation ratio. ^{a, b} RLE addition effects at the same storage time (One-way ANOVA). *p*-values: overall effects of RLE and ST (Two-way ANOVA; Repeated Measures Design). Significance levels: *** *p* < 0.001; ** *p* < 0.01; * *p* < 0.05; ^{ns} *p* > 0.05. Limit of Quantification (LoQ): 0.25 $\mu\text{g}/100\text{ g}$ pâté.

A total of twenty VOC were identified in the headspace of salmon pâté including several hydrocarbons (3-methoxy-1-propene, toluene, butylated-hydroxytoluene, 1-ethyl-4-methyl-benzene, and 1H-trindene, 2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9-octahydro-1,1,4,4,9,9-hexamethyl), alcohols (1-pentanol, 1-hexanol, 1-penten-3-ol, (Z)-2-penten-1-ol, 1-octen-3-ol, eugenol, and benzyl alcohol), aldehydes (hexanal, 2-methyl-propanal, 2-(phenylmethylene)-octanal and 2,5-bis(trimethyl)-benzaldehyde), ketones (2',6'-dihydroxyacetophenone), organic acids (2-amino-4-methyl-benzoic acids) and terpenes (D-limonene and α -pinene). The relative abundance of most of these VOC was unaffected by the addition of RLE or chill storage, with some exceptions (Table 7). Of these, 1-pentanol was less abundant in the untreated than in the pâté with RLE (at any dose) on day 42. Overall, the abundance of 1-pentanol and 1-hexanol increased with chill storage, while, in contrast, the abundance of hexanal and 2-methyl-propanal decreased on day 42. The above four VOC were studied as possible lipid oxidation markers in salmon pâté.

Table 7. Relative abundance ($\text{AU} \times 10^6$) of four volatile organic compounds selected as possible lipid oxidation markers in salmon pâté.

| | Day | Untreated | | R21 | | R42 | | R63 | | Effects (<i>p</i> -Values) | | | |
|-------------------|-----|-----------|--|-------|--|-------|--|-------|--|-----------------------------|-----|-----|-----------------|
| | | Mean | | Mean | | Mean | | Mean | | RMSE | RLE | ST | RLE \times ST |
| Hexanal | 0 | 3.31 | | 2.52 | | 2.58 | | 2.00 | | 0.636 | ns | *** | ns |
| | 42 | 0.49 | | 0.27 | | 0.29 | | 0.10 | | 0.072 | | | |
| 2-Methyl-propanal | 0 | 35.30 | | 34.10 | | 31.80 | | 29.00 | | 3.428 | ns | ** | ns |
| | 42 | 29.10 | | 26.40 | | 28.80 | | 27.40 | | 2.976 | | | |

Table 7. Cont.

| | Day | Untreated | | R21 | | R42 | | R63 | | Effects (<i>p</i> -Values) | | | |
|------------|-----|-----------|---|-------|---|-------|---|-------|---|-----------------------------|-----|-----|----------|
| | | Mean | | Mean | | Mean | | Mean | | RMSE | RLE | ST | RLE × ST |
| 1-Pentanol | 0 | 0.27 | | 0.83 | | 0.95 | | 0.85 | | 0.254 | *** | *** | ns |
| | 42 | 1.19 | b | 2.38 | a | 3.13 | a | 3.00 | a | 0.338 | | | |
| 1-Hexanol | 0 | 10.10 | | 9.84 | | 11.80 | | 10.90 | | 1.519 | ns | *** | ns |
| | 42 | 13.80 | | 12.00 | | 12.80 | | 13.70 | | 1.593 | | | |

Abbreviations—R21/42/63: rosemary lipophilic extract at different doses; ST: Storage Time; M: Mean; RMSE: Root Mean Standard Error; AU: Arbitrary units. ^{a, b} RLE addition effects at the same storage time (One-way ANOVA). *p*-values: overall effects of RLE and ST (Two-way ANOVA; Repeated Measures Design). Significance levels: *** *p* < 0.001; ** *p* < 0.01; ns *p* > 0.05.

4. Discussion

Recovery of rosemary polyphenols depends on the raw materials, solvents and operating conditions applied to obtain the extracts [19,40]. Different studies agree that carnolic acid and carnolicol are the most abundant polyphenols present in RLE [19,21,40]. The reported concentration ranges are 47–179 mg/g for carnolic acid, 5–28 mg/g for carnolicol and 50–200 mg/g for total polyphenols [19,41]. Thus, the RLE tested in the present study was particularly rich in polyphenols (333 mg/g), which was one of the main objectives of the plant's selection. As a purity criterion, European Union Directive 2010/67/UE [42] establishes that the RLE for food application must contain at least 10% (*w:w*) of carnolic acid plus carnolicol, a percentage widely exceeded by the RLE used in this experiment. Assessment of the stability of carnolic acid and carnolicol provides an idea of how rosemary antioxidants behave in food matrices. Under oxidising conditions, carnolic acid is transformed into carnolicol [21,43], which can be regenerated or not by other antioxidants acting in the food matrix. As observed in the experimental data, both diterpenes were quite resistant to the pasteurisation conditions applied, as has also been reported for carnolic acid in pork liver pâté [24]. In general, rosemary diterpenes are quite resistant to the cooking procedures applied in food [24,41]. In the present study, the degradation of carnolic acid and carnolicol mainly occurred during chill storage. This was expected, since salmon pâté was aerobically homogenised, which favours the presence of occluded oxygen, and jars were kept under refrigeration and fluorescent lighting (600 lux) for 42 days. Doolaege et al. (2012) [24] found that a part (6–32 mg/kg) of the added carnolic acid (250–750 mg/kg) degraded in liver pâté kept at 4 °C for 48 h. There were no available data on the stability of rosemary polyphenols in other studies on the antioxidant properties of RE in meat and fish products [11,23].

The formulation used for salmon pâté in the present study enabled the reduction of fat content and caloric intake compared to commercial fish pâtés (30% fat and 330 kcal/100 g pâté) [44–46]. Salmon pâté reflected the FA and sterol profiles of the different fat sources used as ingredients (salmon muscle, sunflower oil and linseed). The FA profile was similar to those reported for other fish pâtés [45–47]. The relevance of the different FA classes (MUFA > PUFA > SFA) is coherent with the above-mentioned fat sources, since salmon flesh and linseed are rich in MUFA and PUFA [30,48], while sunflower oil is rich in PUFA [49]. Salmon muscle is rich in cholesterol, while β -sitosterol is the main phytosterol in sunflower oil and linseed [50,51]. Sterol content tended to decrease in all pâtés following chilled storage, likely due to the oxidation reactions involving lipids. The cholesterol content of the salmon pâté coincides with that reported by Echarte et al. (2004) [47]. From a nutritional standpoint, salmon pâté showed a n-6/n-3 ratio (2.3–2.6) below the recommended level of 4 [52], resulting in a balanced dietary source of n-3 FA. An adequate n-6/n-3 ratio in the diet contributes to optimising bioavailability, metabolism and incorporation of FA into membrane phospholipids [30]. Likewise, the P/S ratio (1.79–1.97) was above the minimum recommended (0.5–0.7) [52], which is considered a good nutritional trait. An increase in the P/S ratio can lead to reduced plasma total cholesterol [52], while, at the technological level, it is a useful indicator of fat oxidation susceptibility, which mainly affects PUFA. In the present study, pâté lipids, integrated by a high proportion of PUFA, were quite

stable during chill storage, perhaps partly due to linseed, a thickening agent containing hydrocolloids that might help stabilising the emulsion [53].

Rosemary polyphenols, containing benzene rings able to act as free radical scavengers, fulfilled their antioxidant role delaying lipid oxidation in the pâté; however, in quantitative terms, the addition of up to 210 mg/kg of rosemary polyphenols does not seem enough to protect a fatty fish emulsion (19 g fat/100 g pâté, of which, 4.7 g MUFA and 3.4 g PUFA) against oxidation. Lipid oxidation reactions involve three stages: initiation, propagation and termination. Some techniques measure the loss of initial reactants (such as oxygen, lipid and antioxidants), others the formation of primary oxidation products (such as hydroperoxides and conjugated dienes) and others the formation of secondary oxidation products (such as alcohols, aldehydes, hydrocarbons and ketones) [54]. Thermal exposure in the presence of oxygen induces lipid oxidation, which forms hydroperoxides and causes double bond displacement or isomerisation, resulting in an increased production of CD and CT from unsaturated FA [55]. As oxidation advances in pâté during the display period, secondary oxidised lipids are formed to the detriment of the early oxidised lipids, even though they may still be generated. This may explain why primary oxidation stabilised or decreased after chill storage, as indicated by the reduction observed in the CD and CT values. The reactive chemical species that gave rise to CT evolved towards other secondary compounds such as TBARS [56]. PV was the sole index that discriminated the antioxidant activity of rosemary polyphenols in the early stages. As observed in Table 4, R63 pâté clearly had more hydroperoxides than the other samples on day 42, suggesting that the formation of secondary oxidised lipids such as TBARS and VOC was inhibited. Rosemary polyphenols may inhibit the formation of oxidised lipids through hydrogen donation or preventing the cleavage of lipid hydroperoxides [55].

TBARS is a widely used index with technological (flavour and rancidity) and nutritional (toxicity) implications that measures the levels of aldehydes and other secondary oxidised lipids in the pâté. Lipid oxidation is enhanced by the thermal treatment and favoured by fat unsaturation and the presence of oxygen. Salmon pâté presented an incipient lipid oxidation just after pasteurisation. The antioxidant role of RLE during pâté preparation was modest, since it only slightly inhibited the formation of MDA and was not dose-dependent. Salmon was cooked before adding RLE, which would explain why there were no marked differences regarding MDA levels among the different freshly pasteurised pâtés. TBARS formation continued during further retail display. On day 42, MDA level practically doubled in the pâté formulated without RLE, reaching TBARS values near 2 mg MDA/kg, the threshold value from which rancidity is sensorially detected in cooked meat [57]. In contrast, RLE clearly inhibited MDA formation in the chill-stored pâté. However, increasing RLE dose did not improve results. In other studies, lipid oxidation was inhibited when using different RLE in pork liver pâté [24,58], chicken pâté [5] or RWE in frozen salmon [18] and refrigerated sardines [26], confirming the present findings.

The antioxidant effect of RLE was also confirmed for cholesterol. The COP profile found in this study is similar to that reported by Echarte et al. (2004) [47] for salmon pâté, where the most abundant COP originated from the monomolecular oxidation reaction pathway involving B ring (i.e., 7-oxysterols), while epoxy and triol derivatives, generated from a bimolecular reaction pathway, were found in smaller quantities (β -EC and triol) or even undetected (α -EC) in the pâtés with RLE. The amounts of total COP formed do not correspond to the observed decrease in cholesterol, which might be due to the reaction of COP with amino groups from amino acids, peptides and proteins leading to the formation of Schiff bases [59] and/or the formation of mid-polarity sterol oxidation products [60] that cannot be determined under the analytical conditions used. Total COP and OR showed the same trend as TBARS, with values in untreated samples that were about twice as much as those found in pâtés with RLE at 0 and 42 days of chilled storage. Cholesterol oxidation is known to be favoured in the presence of oxygen and during chilled display [61], but RLE addition was able to greatly hinder COP formation, without a dose-dependent effect.

RLE addition did not initially affect pâté colour, as also reported in pork liver pâté with RLE [25]. It is unlikely that a small amount of RE can alter the colour of an orange salmon pâté that was also coloured with capsanthin. Other studies on pork liver pâté made with tea and grape seed extracts [62] and with seaweed extracts [56] reported some changes in CIELab colour due to the contribution of pigments such as chlorophylls [63] or by the action of polyphenol oxidases able to condense quinones, forming dark compounds [62,64]. As these enzymes are inactivated by heating, it is unlikely that enzymes from a rosemary by-product (distilled with hot water steam) remain active in a thermally treated pâté. The untreated pâté showed some discolouration on day 42, as indicated by the increased Hue angle, a browning index, thus evidencing a general oxidation of product. Discolouration processes affecting the cooked–chilled muscle products may be ascribable to metmyoglobin formation, the denaturation of myofibrillar proteins producing colour changes when interacting with myoglobin [18,65] and lipid oxidation [25]. Similarly, colour could be stabilised in pork liver pâtés with RLE [24,25] or with beer residue, chestnut leaves and peanut skin [66], as well as in frozen salmon with rosemary whole extract [18].

The pH of pâté was slightly lower when RLE was incorporated into its formulation. Similar results were found in pork liver pâté made with RE [24] and with other vegetable extracts [62,66]. The natural acidity of plant extracts may decrease the pH of treated pâtés [62]. In the present study, this effect was not dose-dependent, which suggests that some components of RLE were implicated in chemical reactions involving small changes in pH. The pH dropped slightly more (by 0.2) after storage in all pâtés, formulated with and without RLE, likely due to oxidation phenomena, since microbial events often result in more pronounced changes in pH and, in addition, the efficacy of pasteurisation treatment had been checked previously [28]. Moreover, carnosol and carnosic acid may present bacteriostatic activities, as these can alter the lipidic order of phospholipidic membranes [67].

A relevant part of the VOC identified in headspace corresponded to C5–C8 aliphatic aldehydes and alcohols. Hexanal has been identified as the most abundant VOC in sunflower and linseed oil blends, together with other VOC (propanal, pentanal, 1-penten-3-one, 1-pentanol, octanal, 1-octen-3-one, 1-octen-3-ol and (E,Z)-2,4-heptadienal) that contribute to oil aroma [68]. In addition, saturated and unsaturated aliphatic VOC can be generated from the thermal degradation and oxidation of C18–C20 MUFA and C18–C22 PUFA present in fish lipids. In fact, propanal and hexanal are the most abundant VOC detected in cooked salmon aroma [69,70]. Benzene derivatives at different oxidation stages (e.g., toluene; benzaldehyde and benzoic acid) can also be formed in cooked salmon from aromatic precursors [69,70]. BHT can be used to stabilise carotenoid dyes, which might explain why BHT appeared in pâté headspace. α -Pinene and eugenol are volatile terpenes that can proceed from rosemary by-products [71] or can be directly formed in cooked fish [69,70]. Unlike the TBARS trend, the VOC levels, except for 1-pentanol, did not allow discrimination of the antioxidant effect of RLE on salmon pâté lipids. For instance, inhibition by RLE of hexanal, a well-known VOC generated by cooking, could not be proven. The VOC profile of salmon pâté suggests that vegetable VOC from sunflower and linseed predominated over VOC from fish in headspace under the conditions used for HS-SPME. The number of VOC identified in salmon pâté was actually modest compared to those reported for cooked salmon [69,70]. Moreover, many characteristic C9–C11 aliphatic aldehydes (e.g. nonanal and nonenal) of flesh origin were not detected. In general, the VOC detected in salmon pâté seems to provide little information about lipid oxidation and would thus be of little interest as lipid oxidation markers. In any case, sampling of different manufacturing batches might have increased intra-group variability in parameters (FA, sterols and others) related to the composition of pâté, making treatment effects less evident.

5. Conclusions

Food applications for rosemary extracts require standardising their polyphenol content. The crop of high-yielding selected rosemary plants can help in improving and standardising these extracts. As reported in the present study, the rosemary lipophilic extract used is

rich in polyphenol antioxidants, particularly diterpenes, and provides good results in salmon pâté, a product prone to oxidation. Rosemary diterpenes resist pasteurisation without degrading and can act as antioxidants during the shelf-life of pasteurised pâté. As a result, lipids are stabilised against oxidation and salmon pâté contains less secondary oxidation compounds, in all likelihood being healthier. However, this did not entail relevant changes in the fatty acid content or relative abundance of volatile organic compounds from lipids. Therefore, oxidative deterioration of these types of fish emulsions can be naturally controlled with rosemary extracts rich in diterpenes, thus representing a valid alternative for the formulation of clean label seafood products. It is not necessary to reach the maximum quantity of rosemary diterpenes (150 mg/kg) authorized for fish products to obtain a suitable antioxidant effect in this PUFA-enriched pâté. Most of the oxidation indices improved in the salmon pâté when a third of the aforementioned dose was used.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.B. and C.C.-P.; methodology, C.C.-P., D.M., M.T.R.-E. and S.B.; software, C.C.-P. and S.B.; validation, C.C.-P., M.M.-T., M.T.R.-E. and S.B.; formal analysis, C.C.-P. and D.M.; investigation, C.C.-P., M.M.-T., D.M., M.T.R.-E. and S.B.; resources, M.T.R.-E. and S.B.; data curation, C.C.-P. and S.B.; writing—original draft preparation, S.B. and C.C.-P.; writing—review and editing, C.C.-P., M.M.-T., M.T.R.-E. and S.B.; visualization, C.C.-P.; supervision, S.B.; project administration, S.B.; funding acquisition, S.B. and M.T.R.-E. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: Support for this study was provided by the Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología Agraria y Alimentaria (INIA), Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación de España, under the Project RTA2017-00031-C04-04.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data is contained within the article.

Acknowledgments: We thank Bianca Gubellini (University of Bologna), María José Jordán and Maria Quilez (Insituto Murciano de Investigacion y Desarrollo Agroalimentario) for their support in this project.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Ribeiro Palmeira, K.; Mársico, E.T.; Monteiro, M.L.G.; Lemos, M.; Conte Junior, C.A. Ready-to-eat products elaborated with mechanically separated fish meat from waste processing: Challenges and chemical quality. *CYTA—J. Food* **2016**, *14*, 227–238. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Calder, P.C. n-3 Polyunsaturated fatty acids, inflammation, and inflammatory diseases. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2006**, *83*, 1505S–1519S. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
3. Thomas, J.; Thomas, C.J.; Radcliffe, J.; Itsiopoulos, C. Omega-3 Fatty Acids in Early Prevention of Inflammatory Neurodegenerative Disease: A Focus on Alzheimer’s Disease. *Biomed. Res. Int.* **2015**, *2015*, 172801. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
4. Leung, K.S.; Leung, H.H.; Wu, C.Y.; Galano, J.M.; Durand, T.; Lee, J.C.Y. Limited antioxidant effect of rosemary in lipid oxidation of pan-fried salmon. *Biomolecules* **2019**, *9*, 313. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Bianchin, M.; Pereira, D.; Almeida, J.; Moura, C.; Pinheiro, R.; Heldt, L.F.S.; Haminiuk, C.; Carpes, S. Antioxidant Properties of Lyophilized Rosemary and Sage Extracts and its Effect to Prevent Lipid Oxidation in Poultry Pâté. *Molecules* **2020**, *25*, 5160. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Ortuño, J.; Serrano, R.; Jordán, M.J.; Bañón, S. Relationship between antioxidant status and oxidative stability in lamb meat reinforced with dietary rosemary diterpenes. *Food Chem.* **2016**, *190*, 1056–1063. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Steppeler, C.; Haugen, J.-E.; Rødbotten, R.; Kirkhus, B. Formation of Malondialdehyde, 4-Hydroxynonenal, and 4-Hydroxyhexenal during in Vitro Digestion of Cooked Beef, Pork, Chicken, and Salmon. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2015**, *64*, 487–496. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Long, E.K.; Murphy, T.C.; Leiphon, L.J.; Watt, J.; Morrow, J.D.; Milne, G.L.; Howard, J.R.H.; Picklo, M.J. Trans-4-hydroxy-2-hexenal is a neurotoxic product of docosahexaenoic (22:6; n-3) acid oxidation. *J. Neurochem.* **2008**, *105*, 714–724. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Pateiro, M.; Lorenzo, J.M.; Amado, I.R.; Franco, D. Effect of addition of green tea, chestnut and grape extract on the shelf-life of pig liver pâté. *Food Chem.* **2014**, *147*, 386–394. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Ahn, J.; Grün, I.U.; Mustapha, A. Effects of plant extracts on microbial growth, color change, and lipid oxidation in cooked beef. *Food Microbiol.* **2007**, *24*, 7–14. [[CrossRef](#)]

11. Borella, T.G.; Peccin, M.M.; Mazon, J.M.; Roman, S.S.; Cansian, R.L.; Soares, M.B.A. Effect of rosemary (*Rosmarinus officinalis*) antioxidant in industrial processing of frozen-mixed hamburger during shelf life. *J. Food Process. Preserv.* **2019**, *43*, e14092. [CrossRef]
12. Pires, M.A.; Munekata, P.E.S.; Villanueva, N.D.M.; Tonin, F.G.; Baldin, J.C.; Rocha, Y.J.P.; Carvalho, L.T.; Rodrigues, I.; Trindade, M.A. The Antioxidant Capacity of Rosemary and Green Tea Extracts to Replace the Carcinogenic Antioxidant (BHA) in Chicken Burgers. *J. Food Qual.* **2017**, *2017*, 2409527. [CrossRef]
13. Maqsood, S.; Benjakul, S.; Shahidi, F. Emerging Role of Phenolic Compounds as Natural Food Additives in Fish and Fish Products. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2013**, *53*, 162–179. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
14. Delfino, L.A.; Mattje, L.G.B.; Silva, M.; Araujo, M.C.; Tormen, L.; Bainy, E.M. Evaluation of Moringa and Lavandula extracts as natural antioxidants in tilapia fish burger. *J. Culin. Sci. Technol.* **2021**. [CrossRef]
15. Ripke Ferreira, C.S.; Figueiredo Saqueti, B.H.; Silva dos Santos, P.D.; Martins da Silva, J.; Matiucci, M.A.; Feihmann, A.C.; Graton Mikcha, J.M.; Santos, O.O. Effect of *Salvia* (*Salvia officinalis*) on the oxidative stability of salmon hamburgers. *LWT* **2022**, *154*, 112867. [CrossRef]
16. Martínez, L.; Castillo, J.; Ros, G.; Nieto, G. Antioxidant and antimicrobial activity of rosemary, pomegranate and olive extracts in fish patties. *Antioxidants* **2019**, *8*, 86. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
17. Ozogul, Y.; Ozyurt, G.; Boga, E.K. Effects of cooking and reheating methods on the fatty acid profile of sea bream treated with rosemary extract. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2009**, *89*, 1481–1489. [CrossRef]
18. Tironi, V.; Tomás, M.; Añón, M. Lipid and protein changes in chilled sea salmon (*Pseudoperca semifasciata*): Effect of previous rosemary extract (*Rosmarinus officinalis* L.) application. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2009**, *44*, 1254–1262. [CrossRef]
19. Jordán, M.J.; Lax, V.; Rota, M.C.; Lorán, S.; Sotomayor, J.A. Relevance of carnosic acid, carnosol, and rosmarinic acid concentrations in the in vitro antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of *Rosmarinus officinalis* (L.) methanolic extracts. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2012**, *60*, 9603–9608. [CrossRef]
20. Jordán, M.J.; Lax, V.; Rota, M.C.; Lorán, S.; Sotomayor, J.A. Effect of the phenological stage on the chemical composition, and antimicrobial and antioxidant properties of *Rosmarinus officinalis* L. essential oil and its polyphenolic extract. *Ind. Crops Prod.* **2013**, *48*, 144–152. [CrossRef]
21. Loussouarn, M.; Krieger-Liszkay, A.; Svilar, L.; Bily, A.; Birtić, S.; Havaux, M. Carnosic Acid and Carnosol, Two Major Antioxidants of Rosemary, Act through Different Mechanisms. *Plant Physiol.* **2017**, *175*, 1381. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
22. European Union. Commission Regulation (EU) No 1129/2011 of 11 November 2011 Amending Annex II to Regulation (EC) No 1333/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council by Establishing a Union List of Food Additives. *OJEU* **2011**, *L295*, 1–177.
23. Pereira, D.; Pinheiro, R.S.; Heldt, L.F.S.; de Moura, C.; Bianchin, M.; de Almeida, J.F.; dos Reis, A.S.; Ribeiro, I.S.; Haminiuk, C.W.I.; Carpes, S.T. Rosemary as natural antioxidant to prevent oxidation in chicken burgers. *Food Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *37*, 17–23. [CrossRef]
24. Doolaege, E.H.A.; Vossen, E.; Raes, K.; De Meulenaer, B.; Verhée, R.; Paelinck, H.; De Smet, S. Effect of rosemary extract dose on lipid oxidation, colour stability and antioxidant concentrations, in reduced nitrite liver patés. *Meat Sci.* **2012**, *90*, 925–931. [CrossRef]
25. Haile, D.M. A comparative study on the effect of rosemary extract and sodium ascorbate on lipid and pigment oxidative stability of liver pate. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *52*, 992–999. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
26. Ozogul, Y.; Ayas, D.; Yazgan, H.; Ozogul, F.; Boga, E.K.; Ozyurt, G. The capability of rosemary extract in preventing oxidation of fish lipid. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *45*, 1717–1723. [CrossRef]
27. Cedeño-Pinos, C.; Martínez-Tomé, M.; Murcia, M.A.; Jordán, M.J.; Bañón, S. Assessment of Rosemary (*Rosmarinus officinalis* L.) Extract as Antioxidant in Jelly Candies Made with Fructan Fibres and Stevia. *Antioxidants* **2020**, *9*, 1289. [CrossRef]
28. Pagan, I.; Cedeño-Pinos, C.; Bañón, S. Desarrollo de un Paté de Salmón Mejorado Nutricionalmente con Semilla de Lino y Extracto de Romero. In Proceedings of the Conference: II Congreso de Jóvenes Investigadores en Ciencias Agroalimentarias, Almería, Spain, 17 October 2019.
29. Rodríguez Furlán, L.T.; Campderrós, M.E. The combined effects of Stevia and sucralose as sugar substitute and inulin as fat mimetic on the physicochemical properties of sugar-free reduced-fat dairy dessert. *Int. J. Gastron. Food Sci.* **2017**, *10*, 16–23. [CrossRef]
30. Valencia, I.; O’Grady, M.N.; Ansorena, D.; Astiasarán, I.; Kerry, J.P. Enhancement of the nutritional status and quality of fresh pork sausages following the addition of linseed oil, fish oil and natural antioxidants. *Meat Sci.* **2008**, *80*, 1046–1054. [CrossRef]
31. International Conference on Harmonisation (ICH). Harmonised Tripartite Guideline. Validation of Analytical Procedures: Text and Methodology Q2(R1). 2006. Available online: <https://www.gmp-compliance.org/%0Aguidelines/gmp-guideline/ich-q2r1-validation-of-analytical-procedures-text-and-methodology> (accessed on 15 February 2019).
32. AOAC Association of Official Agricultural Chemists (AOAC). *Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International*, 17th ed.; AOAC International: Gaithersburg, MD, USA, 2000.
33. Hegsted, D.M. 1985 W. O. Atwater Memorial Lecture. *Nutr. Rev.* **1985**, *43*, 357–367. [CrossRef]
34. Cardenia, V.; Massimini, M.; Poerio, A.; Venturini, M.C.; Rodríguez-Estrada, M.T.; Vecchia, P.; Lercker, G. Effect of dietary supplementation on lipid photooxidation in beef meat, during storage under commercial retail conditions. *Meat Sci.* **2015**, *105*, 126–135. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

35. Mehlenbacher, V.C.; Hopper, T.H.; Sallee, E.M.; Link, W.E.; Walker, R.O.; Walker, R.C.; Firestone, D. (Eds.) Champaign: American Oil Chemists' Society. In *Official Methods and Recommended Practices of the American Oil Chemists' Society*, 6th ed.; Method Cd 8–5; AOCS: Urbana, IL, USA, 2020.
36. Pegg, R.B. Measurement of Primary Lipid Oxidation Products. *Curr. Protoc. Food Anal. Chem.* **2001**, D2.1.1–D2.1.15. [CrossRef]
37. Botsoglou, N.A.; Fletouris, D.J.; Papageorgiou, G.E.; Vassilopoulos, V.N.; Mantis, A.J.; Trakatellis, A.G. Rapid, Sensitive, and Specific Thiobarbituric Acid Method for Measuring Lipid Peroxidation in Animal Tissue, Food, and Feedstuff Samples. *Food Chem.* **1994**, *42*, 1931–1937. [CrossRef]
38. Cardenia, V.; Rodriguez-Estrada, M.T.; Baldacci, E.; Savioli, S.; Lercker, G. Analysis of cholesterol oxidation products by Fast gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. *J. Sep. Sci.* **2012**, *35*, 424–430. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
39. Ortuño, J.; Mateo, L.; Rodríguez-Estrada, M.T.; Bañón, S. Effects of sous vide vs grilling methods on lamb meat colour and lipid stability during cooking and heated display. *Meat Sci.* **2021**, *171*, 108287. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
40. del Baño, M.J.; Lorente, J.; Castillo, J.; Benavente-García, O.; del Río, J.A.; Ortuño, A.; Quirin, K.-W.; Gerard, D. Phenolic Diterpenes, Flavones, and Rosmarinic Acid Distribution during the Development of Leaves, Flowers, Stems, and Roots of *Rosmarinus officinalis*. Antioxidant Activity. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2003**, *51*, 4247–4253. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
41. Teruel, R.; Garrido, M.D.; Espinosa, M.C.; Linares, M.B. Effect of different format-solvent rosemary extracts (*Rosmarinus officinalis*) on frozen chicken nuggets quality. *Food Chem.* **2015**, *172*, 40–46. [CrossRef]
42. Directiva 2010/67/UE de la Comisión, de 20 de Octubre de 2010, que Modifica la Directiva 2008/84/CE, por la que se Establecen criterios Específicos de Pureza de los Aditivos Alimentarios Distintos de los Colorantes y Edulcorantes. Available online: <https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/3054a6aa-1811-43c1-a40c-8f95fb6ed754/language-es> (accessed on 13 April 2022).
43. Jordán, M.J.; Castillo, J.; Bañón, S.; Martínez-Conesa, C.; Sotomayor, J.A. Relevance of the carnosic acid/carnosol ratio for the level of rosemary diterpene transfer and for improving lamb meat antioxidant status. *Food Chem.* **2014**, *151*, 212–218. [CrossRef]
44. Aquerreta, Y.; Astiasarán, I.; Mohino, A.; Bello, J. Composition of patés elaborated with mackerel flesh (*Scomber scombrus*) and tuna liver (*Thunnus thynnus*): Comparison with commercial fish patés. *Food Chem.* **2002**, *77*, 147–153. [CrossRef]
45. Nielsen, T.; Mihnea, M.; Băth, K.; Cunha, S.C.; Ferreira, R.; Fernandes, J.O.; Gonçalves, A.; Nunes, M.L.; Oliveira, H. New formulation for producing salmon pâté with reduced sodium content. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **2020**, *143*, 111546. [CrossRef]
46. De Oliveira, C.; Torrezan, R.; de Furtado, A.A.L.; Antoniassi, R.; de Freitas, D.D.G.C.; de Freitas, S.C.; Penteado, A.L.; de Oliveira, C.S.; Junior, C.A.C.; Marsico, E.T. Development and nutritional and sensory evaluation of cachapinta (*Pseudoplatystoma* sp) paté. *Food Sci. Nutr.* **2015**, *3*, 10–16. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
47. Echarte, M.; Conchillo, A.; Ansorena, D.; Astiasarán, I. Evaluation of the nutritional aspects and cholesterol oxidation products of pork liver and fish patés. *Food Chem.* **2004**, *86*, 47–53. [CrossRef]
48. Fidalgo, L.G.; Simões, M.M.Q.; Casal, S.; Lopes-da-Silva, J.A.; Carta, A.M.S.; Delgadillo, I.; Saraiva, J.A. Physicochemical parameters, lipids stability, and volatiles profile of vacuum-packaged fresh Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) loins preserved by hyperbaric storage at 10 °C. *Food Res. Int.* **2020**, *127*, 108740. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
49. Kalo, P.; Kemppinen, A. TRIGLYCERIDES | Structures and Properties. In *Encyclopedia of Food Sciences and Nutrition*; Academic Press: San Diego, CA, USA, 2003; pp. 5857–5868. [CrossRef]
50. Purcaro, G.; Barp, L.; Beccaria, M.; Conte, L.S. Characterisation of minor components in vegetable oil by comprehensive gas chromatography with dual detection. *Food Chem.* **2016**, *212*, 730–738. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
51. Vecka, M.; Staňková, B.; Kutová, S.; Tomášová, P.; Tvrzická, E.; Žák, A. Comprehensive sterol and fatty acid analysis in nineteen nuts, seeds, and kernel. *SN Appl. Sci.* **2019**, *1*, 1531. [CrossRef]
52. FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). Fat and fatty acid requirements for adults. In *Fats and Fatty Acids in Human Nutrition*; FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations): Rome, Italy, 2010.
53. Nikbakht Nasrabadi, M.; Goli, S.A.H.; Sedaghat Doost, A.; Van der Meer, P. Characterization and enhanced functionality of nanoparticles based on linseed protein and linseed gum biocomplexes. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2020**, *151*, 116–123. [CrossRef]
54. McClements, D.J.; Decker, E.A. Lipid oxidation in oil-in-water emulsions: Impact of molecular environment on chemical reactions in heterogeneous food systems. *J. Food Sci.* **2000**, *65*, 1270–1282. [CrossRef]
55. Zeb, A.; Haq, I. Polyphenolic composition, lipid peroxidation and antioxidant properties of chapli kebab during repeated frying process. *J. Food Meas. Charact.* **2018**, *12*, 555–563. [CrossRef]
56. Agregán, R.; Franco, D.; Carballo, J.; Tomasevic, I.; Barba, F.J.; Gómez, B.; Muchenje, V.; Lorenzo, J.M. Shelf life study of healthy pork liver pâté with added seaweed extracts from *Ascophyllum nodosum*, *Fucus vesiculosus* and *Bifurcaria bifurcata*. *Food Res. Int.* **2018**, *112*, 400–411. [CrossRef]
57. Campo, M.M.; Nute, G.R.; Hughes, S.I.; Enser, M.; Wood, J.D.; Richardson, R.I. Flavour perception of oxidation in beef. *Meat Sci.* **2006**, *72*, 303–311. [CrossRef]
58. Bilska, A.; Kobus-Cisowska, J.; Kmiecik, D.; Danyluk, B.; Kowalski, R.; Szymanowska, D.; Gramza-Michałowska, A.; Szczepaniak, O. Cholinesterase inhibitory activity, antioxidative potential and microbial stability of innovative liver pâté fortified with rosemary extract (*Rosmarinus officinalis*). *Electron. J. Biotechnol.* **2019**, *40*, 22–29. [CrossRef]
59. Rodríguez-Estrada, M.T.; García-Llatas, G.; Lagarda, M.J. 7-Ketocholesterol as marker of cholesterol oxidation in model and food systems: When and how. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2014**, *446*, 792–797. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

60. Menéndez-Carreño, M.; Ansorena, D.; Astiasarán, I.; Piironen, V.; Lampi, A.M. Determination of non-polar and mid-polar monomeric oxidation products of stigmasterol during thermo-oxidation. *Food Chem.* **2010**, *122*, 277–284. [[CrossRef](#)]
61. Boselli, E.; Cardenia, V.; Rodriguez-Estrada, M.T. Cholesterol photosensitized oxidation in muscle foods. *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *114*, 644–655. [[CrossRef](#)]
62. Pateiro, M.; Lorenzo, J.M.; Vázquez, J.A.; Franco, D. Oxidation stability of pig liver Pâté with increasing levels of natural antioxidants (grape and tea). *Antioxidants* **2015**, *4*, 102–123. [[CrossRef](#)]
63. Li-wen, Z.; Guo-cheng, Z.; Li, Z.; Rui-wu, Y.; Chun-bang, D.; Yong-hong, Z. A study on photosynthesis and photo-response characteristics of three *Salvia* species. *Acta Prataculturae Sin.* **2012**, *21*, 70–76.
64. Liu, D.C.; Tsau, R.T.; Lin, Y.C.; Jan, S.S.; Tan, F.J. Effect of various levels of rosemary or Chinese mahogany on the quality of fresh chicken sausage during refrigerated storage. *Food Chem.* **2009**, *117*, 106–113. [[CrossRef](#)]
65. Lara, M.S.; Gutierrez, J.I.; Timón, M.; Andrés, A.I. Evaluation of two natural extracts (*Rosmarinus officinalis* L. and *Melissa officinalis* L.) as antioxidants in cooked pork patties packed in MAP. *Meat Sci.* **2011**, *88*, 481–488. [[CrossRef](#)]
66. Sichert Munekata, P.E.; Domínguez, R.; Campagnol Bastianello, P.C.; Franco, D.; Trindade, M.A.; Lorenzo, J.M. Effect of natural antioxidants on physicochemical properties and lipid stability of pork liver pâté manufactured with healthy oils during refrigerated storage. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *54*, 4324–4334. [[CrossRef](#)]
67. Moreno, S.; Scheyer, T.; Romano, C.S.; Vojnov, A.A. Antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of rosemary extracts linked to their polyphenol composition. *Free Radic. Res.* **2006**, *40*, 223–231. [[CrossRef](#)]
68. Van Ruth, S.M.; Roozen, J.P.; Jansen, F.J.H.M. Aroma profiles of vegetable oils varying in fatty acid composition vs. concentrations of primary and secondary lipid oxidation products. *Nahr./Food* **2000**, *44*, 318–322. [[CrossRef](#)]
69. Methven, L.; Tsoukka, M.; Oruna-Concha, M.J.; Parker, J.K.; Mottram, D.S. Influence of sulfur amino acids on the volatile and nonvolatile components of cooked salmon (*Salmo salar*). *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2007**, *55*, 1427–1436. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
70. Girard, B.; Durance, T. Headspace volatiles of sockeye and pink salmon as affected by retort process. *J. Food Sci.* **2000**, *65*, 34–39. [[CrossRef](#)]
71. Mena, P.; Cirlini, M.; Tassotti, M.; Herrlinger, K.A.; Dall'Asta, C.; Del Rio, D. Phytochemical profiling of flavonoids, phenolic acids, terpenoids, and volatile fraction of a rosemary (*Rosmarinus officinalis* L.) extract. *Molecules* **2016**, *21*, 1576. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]