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## Boc-AUV-OH (A)



Scheme S1. Preparation of compound A.

## Synthesis of compound A.

900 mg of Boc-Aib-OH, (4.43 mmol) are dissolved in 60 mL of dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and 2 g of $\mathrm{HBTU}(5.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ are added under inert atmosphere at room temperature. A solution containing 782 mg of $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Val}-\mathrm{OMe} * \mathrm{HCl}$ ( 4.43 mmol ), 20 mL of dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and 2.34 mL of DIPEA ( 13.73 mmol ) is added dropwise to the first one. The reaction is left under stirring for 3 h , then the solvent is removed under vacuum, the residue suspended in 20 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and washed with $\mathrm{HCl} 1 \mathrm{M}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer is dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvent evaporated under vacuum. The product, Boc-Aib-L-Val-OMe was obtained as a white solid with $95 \%$ yield ( $4.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 1,34 \mathrm{~g}$ ).
Boc-Aib-L-Val-OMe ( $4.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.34 \mathrm{~g}$ ) is dissolved in 40 mL of dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, then 5.9 mL of trifluoroacetic acid ( 75.96 mmol ) are added under inert atmosphere. The reaction is left under vigorous stirring for 2 h at room temperature, then the solvent is removed under reduced pressure. The whole residue, made of the remaining TFA and the desired intermediate $\left[\mathrm{F}_{3} \mathrm{CCO}_{2}{ }^{-}{ }^{+} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right.$-Aib-L-Val-OMe], is used for the next step of the reaction, considering a quantitative yield for this one.

799 mg of Boc-L-Ala-OH, 10 ( 4.22 mmol ) are dissolved in 60 mL of dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and 1.76 g of HBTU (4.64 mmol ) are added under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere at room temperature. A solution containing the residue of the former step, 20 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and 3.7 mL of DIPEA ( 22.0 mmol ) is added dropwise to the first one. The reaction is left under stirring for 3 h , then the solvent is removed under vacuum, the residue suspended in 10 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with $\mathrm{HCl} 1 \mathrm{M}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, Brine ( 15 mL ), saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine once again $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer is dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvent evaporated under vacuum. The product is eventually purified through a flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 100 \%, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{EtOAc} 80: 20, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{EtOAc} 70: 30\right)$. Boc-L-Ala-Aib-L-Val-OMe is obtained as a white solid with a yield of $84 \%(3.54 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.37 \mathrm{~g})$.
1.37 g of Boc-L-Ala-Aib-L-Val-OMe in 6 mL of MeOH and 12 mL of THF was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with 4.4 mL of NaOH 1 M . The ice bath was removed. The mixture warmed to room temperature with stirring for 18 hours. A solution of 5.3 ml of HCl 1 M was added to the reaction mixture, which was concentrated in vacuo to remove the volatiles. The reduced volume was then extracted with three portions of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 30$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The organic phase was combined, washed with water $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated in vacuo, to afford pure Boc-L-Ala-Aib-L-Val-OH (95\%) as a white solid.
m.p. : $175-182{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 5}} \boldsymbol{:}-20(\mathrm{c}=5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in MeOH$)$; IR (ATR-IR): $v 3507,3412,3395,3330,3294$, $3279,3072,2978,2932,1701,1685,1670,1645,1558,1524$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 0.93\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right.$ Val $), 1.27\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH} H_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right.$ Ala), $1.42(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, t-\mathrm{Bu}), 1.44\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CNH} \mathrm{Aib}\right), 1.46\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CNH} \mathrm{Aib}\right), 2.23-2.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{CHCH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Val}\right), 3.93-4.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6,72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{N} H \mathrm{Boc}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{NHCH}$ Val, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.04\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NHC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Aib}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 16.62,17.27,18.18,23.27,24.76,27.31,30.43,50.25,56.53,57.77,79.17,156.24$, 173.17, 173.87, 175.24.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of Boc-L-Ala-Aib-L-Val-OH (A) in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of Boc-L-Ala-Aib-L-Val-OH (A) in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$.


HSQC NMR spectrum of Boc-L-Ala-Aib-L-Val-OH (A) in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$.


IR-ATR spectrum of Boc-L-Ala-Aib-L-Val-OH (A)

## Boc-VUV-OH (B)





Scheme S2. Synthesis of Boc-VUV-OH (B).

Synthesis of gelator B. $\mathrm{F}_{3} \mathrm{CCO}_{2}{ }^{-+} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$-Aib-L-Val-OMe was synthesised as described above.
917 mg of Boc-L-Val-OH (4,22 mmol) are dissolved in 60 mL of dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and $1,76 \mathrm{~g}$ of HBTU (4,64 mmol ) are added under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. A solution containing $\mathrm{F}_{3} \mathrm{CCO}_{2}{ }^{-+} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$-Aib-L-Val-OMe, 20 mL of dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and $3,7 \mathrm{~mL}$ of DIPEA $(22,0 \mathrm{mmol})$ is added dropwise to the first one. The reaction is left under stirring for 3 h at room temperature, then the solvent is removed under vacuum, the residue suspended in 10 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and washed with $\mathrm{HCl} 1 \mathrm{M}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, Brine ( 15 mL ), saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine once again $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer is dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvent evaporated under vacuum. The product is eventually purified through a flash chromatography (Cyclohexane:Ethyl acetate 80:20, Cyclohexane:Ethyl acetate 70:30). Boc-L-Val-Aib-L-Val-OMe is obtained as a white solid with a yield of $80 \%$. m.p. : $97-103^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 5}} \boldsymbol{:}-10(\mathrm{c}=5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in MeOH ); IR (ATR-IR): $v$ 3447, 3347, 3259, 3220, 3065, 2970, 2928, 2877, 2853, 1721, 1702, 1666, 1547, $1519 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 0.92\left(12 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right), 1.42(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, t-\mathrm{Bu}), 1.48\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CNH}\right), 1.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=$ $\left.6.8,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 2.14\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=5.6,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 3.80\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\alpha} H\right), 4.26\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{\alpha} H\right)$, $7.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{N} H \mathrm{Boc}), 8.17\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}_{\alpha} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 17.21,17.26,18.20,18.32$, $22.86,25.10,27.32,30.43,30.58,56.67,57.74,60.06,79.13,156.60,172.54,173.18,175.13$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of Boc-L-Val-Aib-L-Val-OH (B) in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of Boc-L-Val-Aib-L-Val-OH (B) in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$.


HSQC spectrum of Boc-L-Val-Aib-L-Val-OH (B) in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$.


IR-ATR spectrum of Boc-L-Val-Aib-L-Val-OH (B).

Table S1. Gelation properties of compounds $\mathbf{A}(10 \mathrm{mg})$ or $\mathbf{B}(10 \mathrm{mg})$ in different mixtures of $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}$ or PrOH and water ( $1 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ final concentration).

| Solvent 1 <br> $(\mu \mathrm{L})$ | Water <br> $(\mu \mathrm{L})$ | Sample | Peptide | Outcome | Sample | Peptide | Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MeOH <br> $(330)$ | 660 | $\mathbf{1}$ | A | PG | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | B | C |
| MeOH <br> $(500)$ | 500 | $\mathbf{2}$ | A | C | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | B | C |
| MeOH <br> $(660)$ | 330 | $\mathbf{3}$ | A | P | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | B | S |
| EtOH <br> $(330)$ | 660 | $\mathbf{4}$ | A | G | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | B | C |
| EtOH <br> $(500)$ | 500 | $\mathbf{5}$ | A | C | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | B | S |
| EtOH <br> $(660)$ | 330 | $\mathbf{6}$ | A | S | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | B | S |
| iProOH <br> $(330)$ | 660 | $\mathbf{7}$ | A | G | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | B | C |
| iProOH <br> $(500)$ | 500 | $\mathbf{8}$ | A | P | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | B | S |
| ProOH <br> $(660)$ | 330 | $\mathbf{9}$ | A | S | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | B | S |

$\mathrm{G}=$ gel $; \mathrm{PG}=$ partial gel; $\mathrm{S}=$ solution; $\mathrm{C}=$ crystals; $\mathrm{P}=$ precipitate

XRD Analysis.
Table S2. Crystal data and refinement details for crystalline AUV (A) and VUV (B).

|  | A | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ |
| FW | 391.46 | 447.57 |
| Temperature | RT | RT |
| Cryst. System | Triclinic | Orthorhombic |
| Space group | P1 | $\mathrm{P} 2{ }_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ |
| Z | 1 | 4 |
| a (Å) | 6.0267(6) | 9.816(1) |
| b (Å) | 9.3387(9) | 10.360(2) |
| c (Å) | 20.099(2) | 26.572(5) |
| $\alpha$ (deg) | 89.281(7) | 90 |
| $\beta$ (deg) | 84.010(7) | 90 |
| $\gamma$ (deg) | 82.622(8) | 90 |
| $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{A}^{3}\right)$ | 1115.7(1) | 2773.0(7) |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\text {calc }}\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$ | 0.583 | 1.072 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.045 | 0.080 |
| Measd reflns | 13096 | 7915 |
| Indep reflns | 8985 | 4697 |
| Largest diff. peak/hole (e/Å) | 0.75/-0.63 | 0.13/-0.12 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ [on $\mathrm{F}_{0}{ }^{2}, 1>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ] | 0.2294 | 0.0745 |
| wR2 (all data) | 0.5682 | 0.1479 |



Figure S1. Overlay of the molecular structures of crystalline $\mathbf{B}$ (blue) and $\mathbf{A}$ (orange) highlighting the subtle differences among folded conformations deriving from the intramolecular hydrogen bonds (dashed red line).

Table S3. Selected backbone torsion angles for the compounds AUV (A) and VUV (B).

|  | $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{1}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\phi}_{1}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{1}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{2}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\phi}_{2}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{2}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{3}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\phi}_{3}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\mathbf{3}}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 179 | -50 | 144 | 161 | 62 | 34 | 176 | -62 | 142 |
| B | 177 | -76 | 141 | 172 | 58 | 34 | 172 | -73 | 157 |

## (2)




Figure S2. Comparison between XRD diffraction patterns for crystalline B(1) and A (2): experimental (blue-line) and calculated on the basis of single-crystal structure (black-line).

Table S4. $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{G}^{\prime \prime}$ moduli from amplitude sweep ( $\gamma=0.0214 \%$ ) for compounds $\mathbf{4 , 7}$ and 19. The measurements were repeated three times and mean values and standard deviation calculated and plotted.

| Sample | $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}(\mathrm{KPa})$ | $\mathrm{G}^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{KPa})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $40,66 \pm 9,70$ | $9,61 \pm 1,74$ |
| 7 | $31,55 \pm 3,47$ | $8,46 \pm 0,73$ |
| 19 | $247,34 \pm 18,53$ | $47,53 \pm 5,15$ |

## Pollutant adsorption.

Calibration curve of Eosine $Y$ obtained with a Uv-vis spectrophotometer, absorbance reading at $\lambda_{\max }=515 \mathrm{~nm}$.



Calibration curve of Diclofenac sodium obtained with a HPLC (DAD $=280 \mathrm{~nm})$.
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Figure S3. Epifluorescence microscope image of a sample of $\mathbf{7}$ after absorption of Eosine Y .

