

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Food structure, function and artificial intelligence

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version: Mengucci, C., Ferranti, P., Romano, A., Masi, P., Picone, G., Capozzi, F. (2022). Food structure, function and artificial intelligence. TRENDS IN FOOD SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY, 123(May 2022), 251-263 [10.1016/j.tifs.2022.03.015].

Availability: This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/878869 since: 2022-04-04

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2022.03.015

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

Food structure, function and Artificial Intelligence

Carlo Mengucci ^{a,b}, Pasquale Ferranti ^c, Annalisa Romano ^c, Paolo Masi ^c, Gianfranco Picone ^a, Francesco Capozzi * ^{a,d}

^a Department of Agricultural and Food Sciences DISTAL, Alma Mater Studiorum University of Bologna, Cesena, 47521, Italy.

^b Inter-University Consortium for Magnetic Resonance of Metalloproteins CIRMMP, Sesto Fiorentino, 50019, Italy.

^c Department of Agricultural Sciences, University of Naples Federico II, Portici, 80055, Italy. ^d Interdepartmental Centre for Industrial Agrofood Research - CIRI Agrofood, Alma Mater Studiorum University of Bologna, Cesena, 47521, Italy.

*Correspondence: francesco.capozzi@unibo.it

ORCID:

- C. Mengucci: 0000-0003-0602-3003
- P. Ferranti: 0000-0002-6250-6512
- A. Romano: 0000-0001-6628-2563
- P. Masi: 0000-0003-2338-0651
- G. Picone: 0000-0001-7932-6692
- F. Capozzi: 0000-0002-5543-2359

1 Abstract

2 **Background:** The complexity of food structure is such as to hinder its inclusion in mathematical models predicting food properties and transformations, although a considerable impulse is 3 being determined by using artificial intelligence. As a matter of fact, food definition currently 4 neglects the structural description, even in those fields for which structure is demonstrated to 5 have a decisive role, such as nutrition. Scope and approach: This review aims to analyse the 6 7 current knowledge about the structure of foods and its potential use to numerically define the sensory and nutritional quality, as well as the stability properties. Starting from this information, 8 a possible methodology is explored to build, even in an automated way, mathematical models 9 for simulating and predicting the properties of food. A model pipeline has been proposed and 10 applied to pasta, in particular exploiting the description of the structural changes occurring upon 11 cooking. Key findings and conclusions: Foods may be designed in silico, based on automated 12 pipelines for direct extraction of information on rheological and sensory properties as derived 13 14 from structure images and from data on the dynamic state of the water. The ultimate goal of these approaches is to make more limited use of expensive and time-consuming experiments 15 16 on physically prepared foods to get to use digital twins of foods designed in the laboratory.

17

18 Key words: food structure; bio-accessibility; bioavailability; functional food; digital twin; *in*19 *silico* food; digestion.

20

21 **1. Introduction**

22 Although the description of food has traditionally been based on analytical chemical 23 composition, many of the important properties of food are determined by structural elements. 24 This limitation in the descriptive capacity of a food is also reflected in many mathematical models that currently aim to predict the sensory, functional, and nutritional properties, including 25 26 for example digestibility. For this reason, the contribution of the structure of a food is often 27 overlooked including when studying the effect of diet on health. In fact, the nutritionist tends 28 to consult compositional databases when the correctness of a diet must be evaluated, having no indication on how to use any structural data even when available. Nevertheless, before 29 collecting structural information, it would be necessary to establish how to use them to build 30 predictive models for nutritional functions that depend on it. Understanding how the ingredients 31 and each unit operation of food processes make up the structure of the foods and how this 32 33 structure changes during its life or on eating will play a main role in the development and management of the food science and industry. For this reason, a tailored collection of scientific 34 work described in the literature has been examined to pave the way for a future approach using 35 36 matrix structural data to predict food functions, also exploiting artificial intelligence (AI).

37 2. What is the structure of a food?

Most foods are complex, heterogeneous materials composed of structural elements or domains 38 (co-) existing as solids, liquids and/or gases, where length scales span nanometres to millimetres 39 40 (Guo, Ye, Bellissimo, Singh, & Rousseau, 2017). Many of the important properties of foods are determined by structural elements of micro-scale and above, such as bubbles, drops, strings 41 and particles (Ubbink, Burbidge, & Mezzenga, 2008). Food products consist largely of 42 carbohydrates, proteins, and lipids, forming clusters that behave as pseudo-molecules of higher 43 molecular weight than the individual constituent molecules (Ubbink, et al., 2008). These 44 45 interactions are primarily hydrogen-bonding interactions between the hydroxyl groups or Van der Waals interactions between nonpolar molecules, but also ionic or covalent bonds, such as 46 disulphide or isopeptide, may be very important. The supramolecular organization of foods 47 gives rise to their structure. Complex food structures are formed, not because of the abundance 48 of elemental components, but because of the multiple interactions that proteins, lipids and 49 polysaccharides undergo at different conditions in an aqueous medium. 50

In natural and processed foods, the structure (or matrix) of a food is defined as the organization
of its constituent molecules at multiple spatial length scales (Guo, et al., 2017). At one extreme,
a food product is macroscopic, and at the other extreme, it is composed of molecules and atoms

characterized by molecular length scales (Ubbink, et al., 2008). The matrix of a food is in fact
scale-sensitive, i.e., interactions may take place at several scales in the same food as shown in
Figure 1.

For example, the matrix in a bakery product responsible for the textural properties of the porous 57 crumb are the protein-starch walls surrounding the air cells (Aguilera, 2019), and the relevant 58 scale is on the order of a few hundred microns (Liu & Scanlon, 2003). Starch granules 59 60 undergoing gelatinization may be regarded as inclusions in the continuous gluten matrix at a scale of approximately 10 µm (Maeda, et al., 2013). At the nanoscale, gelatinized starch 61 62 granules are the matrix onto which a-amylases exert their action during digestion to release glucose molecules (Li, Yu, Dhital, Gidley, & Gilbert, 2019). By and large, foods are systems 63 of dispersed phases, such as mesoscale particulate structures (colloids) derived from natural 64 food products constructed by self-assembly (e.g., granules, micelles, globules, and fibres) or 65 are created artificially via food processing (R. Van der Sman & Van der Goot, 2009). Next to 66 67 these mesoscale structures, food contains smaller molecular species, like salts, sugars, polyols and phospholipids, which moderate the properties of the continuous or dispersed phases, or 68 69 their interfaces. The structure of a given food depends, however, enormously on the product, its constituents and which of the many length scales are dominant in establishing the product 70 71 properties (Ubbink, et al., 2008). For an emulsion-based food such as mayonnaise, it is the 72 droplet size of around 1µm which is the relevant length scale, whereas for dairy products it is typically the size of a casein micelle (~50-100 nm) (de Kruif & Huppertz, 2012) and the size 73 of the individual case in subunits (~ 2 nm) that matter. The relevant length scale of food powders 74 is typically between 10 and 500 µm, and the structure of starch is described at length scales 75 76 between the macromolecular (~ 1 nm) and the size of the starch granules (~ 1 mm). Even length scales substantially smaller than 1 nm matter in foods, as diffusion and the interaction of water 77 78 with the food matrix occur at these distances.

Food structure is important at all dimensional scales for texture, sensory properties, shelf life and stability and can alter the kinetics and extent of food digestion (Guo, et al., 2017; H. Singh, Ye, & Horne, 2009). It plays a vital role in how food interacts with the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) (e.g., bodily fluids and receptors) and the resulting release and uptake of nutrients (Guo, et al., 2017) and post-prandial outcomes (Turgeon & Rioux, 2011) In addition, the breakdown of the food matrix is a major controlling factor for the perception of texture and flavour in the mouth (Harjinder Singh, Ye, & Ferrua, 2015).

3. How to quantitatively measure food structure

Several techniques can be applied to measure the structure of food materials either directly 87 (optical and confocal microscopy, tomography, scanning and electron microscopy) or indirectly 88 from measurements of the mechanical response or spectroscopy (Table 1). Some challenging 89 techniques such as Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) (Tester & Debon, 2000; Zhu, 90 Zhou, & Sun, 2019), Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) (Tavares, Santos, & Noreña, 2021), 91 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy and relaxometry (Kirtil & Oztop, 2016), 92 Near-Infrared Reflectance spectroscopy (NIR) (Shi, Lei, Louzada Prates, & Yu, 2019), 93 Attenuated Total Reflectance (ATR) spectroscopy (Cebi, Durak, Toker, Sagdic, & Arici, 2016) 94 95 and FT-Raman spectroscopy provide quantitative parameters that are related to the interactions among molecules, thus making measurable physical-chemical properties that depend on the 96 97 supramolecular structure of the food matter. However, imaging techniques are essentially dedicated to the investigation of the real 3D structure (Falcone, et al., 2006). Static Bragg-type 98 99 diffraction of neutrons and X-rays has been applied to either fluid or viscous food systems to reveal the structure in the 10–100 nm length scale range (Ubbink, et al., 2008). Insight into lipid 100 101 polymorphism, liquid crystallinity, protein folding, etc. can typically be gained by using these techniques. Because most common food properties are, however, directly related to the µm 102 length scale, light scattering techniques are primarily exploited. The application of the dynamic 103 light scattering (DLS) experiment to foods yields information on the diffusion coefficient of the 104 105 scattering objects (Ubbink, et al., 2008). Tomographic techniques such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and X-ray tomography are extremely powerful since they allow a full 3D 106 reconstruction of the sample structure but tend to be limited in resolution and/or slow in 107 acquisition times. Optical or Light Microscopy (LM) suffers from a similar limitation in 108 resolution, in this case due to the wavelength of visible light, even though structures of the order 109 of 1 µm can still be imaged using confocal microscopy. A further limitation of optical 110 techniques is that the food sample should be sufficiently transparent. Conversely, a major 111 advantage of optical microscopy is that dynamic processes on time scales larger than about 10 112 ms can easily be followed (Ubbink, et al., 2008). In the imaging of samples using transmission 113 114 electron microscopy (TEM), special staining, embedding and cutting techniques are indispensable, whereas the use of scanning electron microscopy (SEM) is much more 115 116 straightforward (García-García, Cambero, Castejón, Escudero, & Fernández-Valle, 2019). An interesting development is the progress in so called environmental scanning electron 117 microscopy (ESEM), which allows the analysis of samples at a desired relative humidity and 118 thus avoids artifacts due to the dehydration of foodstuffs (Ubbink, et al., 2008). 119

Different methods for image acquisition (light microscopy, transmission electron microscopy) 120 and scanning electron microscopy) are generally coupled to digital analysis to quantitatively 121 define, with structural parameters, food at different structural levels. This provides a 122 measurement of different aggregation descriptors. The gel network can be characterized by 123 structural parameters such as pore size, strand dimensions and how these are distributed in the 124 volume. In the case of particulate gels, the diameter size of the pore is large, up to hundreds of 125 microns, compared to the size of the particle, around microns (Langton & Hermansson, 1996). 126 127 At low magnifications LM is used to estimate the size of the large pores. At higher magnifications TEM estimates the size of the particles forming the strands of networks. The 128 pore size is more easily measured by digital image analysis than by evaluating the difference in 129 aggregation of particles in the network. In SEM the fracture plane is visualized, and the fracture 130 will follow the weakest structure, i.e., large pores. Thus, SEM micrographs tend to show larger 131 pores. and smaller pores could be embedded in clusters or conglomerates. Stereology is a tool 132 for measuring complex biopolymer gels, where no assumptions of the shape can be made. A 133 stereological approach was used to classify the mode of aggregation by a group of experienced 134 microscopists evaluating SEM-micrographs, to quantify pore size, particle size and amount of 135 threads within the pores in volume weighted mean volumes (Langton & Hermansson, 1996). 136 Five structural descriptors were quantified, namely porosity (number of pores), clusters (many 137 particles attached to each other like bunches of grapes), conglomerates (as if the particles were 138 joined together in non-linear, irregular, inhomogeneous order), strings of beads (as if the 139 particles were attached to each other in a linear order forming strings of beads) and hairiness 140 (as if small threads were attached to the surface of the particles and their outline is indistinct). 141 142 The three-dimensional gel network is responsible for bulk properties such as diffusion and rheological properties, sensory quality and liquid holding capacity (Langton & Hermansson, 143 1996). 144

145

4. The properties the food structure affects: sensory, stability, digestibility and bioaccessibility

The dimensions/size and shape/form of the particles, strands and pores create the different textural properties of the food products and expert panellists can detect differences between very small particles $<1 \,\mu\text{m}^3$ in volume (Langton, Åström, & Hermansson, 1997). In fact, texture is a multi-parameter attribute, that derives from the molecular, microscopic or macroscopic structure of a food and is detected by several senses, the most important ones being the senses of touch and pressure (Szczesniak, 2002). Food structure, food texture, nutrients digestibility and consumer product preferences and choices are intrinsically linked (Figure 1). Texture influences people's acceptance of food and may be more important than the flavour in some products (Clark, 1998). The sensory perception during food consumption depends not only on the concentrations of odour- and taste-active compounds but also on the texture of food matrix (Tournier, Sulmont-Rossé, & Guichard, 2007).

Multivariate techniques are used to create models to describe groups of the sensory descriptors 159 by some of the microstructural parameters (Janhøj, Frøst, & Ipsen, 2008; Pereira, Singh, Munro, 160 161 & Luckman, 2003). Correlations between the microstructure and sensory descriptors have been found: grainy appearance, gritty texture, creamy texture and tendency to fall apart have a 162 logarithmic dependence on the particle size, and size of small and large pores (Langton, et al., 163 1997). The soft and springy textures are influenced by combinations of microstructural 164 parameters, where the formation of strands into strings of beads or in clusters and 165 conglomerates seems to play an important role. Conversely, the sticky texture is negatively 166 correlated to the proportion of threads within the pores (Langton, et al., 1997). Stability can be 167 168 fully grasped only if food molecular dynamics and structure are taken into consideration, i.e., an appropriate understanding of the behaviour of food products requires knowledge of its 169 composition, structure and molecular dynamics, through the three-dimensional arrangement of 170 the various structural elements and their interactions (Wu, et al., 2020). In addition to water, 171 other structural elements can be identified in foods at a supramolecular structure level, such as 172 oil droplets, gas cells, fat crystals, strands, granules, micelles and interfaces. These structural 173 elements, composed of proteins, carbohydrates and lipids (in various combinations and 174 proportions), can exist in different states (glassy/rubbery/crystalline/liquid and solubilised) 175 176 even at uniform temperatures and water activity. This structural heterogeneity will necessarily affect the molecular dynamics in the system and consequently the macroscopic food quality 177 attributes and their behaviour along storage. Physically separating the reactants in 178 microstructural locations can control the biochemical activity by avoiding the reactants to be in 179 180 contact.

181 It is a matter of fact that the gastrointestinal fate of lipids depends on their level, type, and 182 structural organization in foods (McClements, 2018). Matrices could be formed by controlled 183 gelation of single or mixed biopolymer systems around lipid droplets, by dehydration of oil-in-184 water emulsions containing biopolymers or other wall materials, or by thermal treatment or 185 extrusion of starch matrices containing lipid droplets. Several studies have recently investigated 186 the impact of the food matrix on the digestibility of lipids using either *in vitro* or *in vivo* 187 digestion models (Corstens, et al., 2017; Dias, Zhu, Thompson, Singh, & Garg, 2019; J. Singh, 188 Dartois, & Kaur, 2010). When oil droplets are dispersed in a solid-like food matrix (e.g., cheese or strained-type yogurt), the structure of the surrounding food matrix becomes the dominant 189 factor controlling digestion. For instance, the size of lipid droplets dispersed of oil-in-water 190 emulsions and nano emulsions can affect, during digestion, oil-soluble vitamins (vitamins A, 191 D, E and K) bioavailability in fortified foods (Tan & McClements, 2021); increasing oil droplet 192 size reduces the bioaccessibility by inhibiting lipid digestion and reducing micelle solubilisation 193 (Tan, Zhang, Liu, Xiao, & McClements, 2020). The knowledge advances provided by these 194 195 studies are setting the foundation for modulating fat digestion through food structure design, as exhaustively reviewed by Guo, et al. (2017). In this sense, food structure design can be a tool 196 to develop foods that enable to control the body district as well as the extent and rate of release 197 198 of food lipids along the digestion process.

During digestion, the 3D network structure within a food matrix can obstruct the diffusion of enzymes towards the surface of dispersed oil droplets. That is the reason why bile salts are produced by the intestinal tract and released during food digestion to create an emulsion where the digestive enzymes can act onto the food lipids.

- Compared to interfacial films, the solid like-food matrix is potentially capable of providing 203 enhanced protection against lipolysis (Guo, et al., 2017). Evidence is accumulating that a 204 structured food with a high protein content may show slower lipid digestion (Salentinig, 2019). 205 206 An investigation on near forty food types, based on the harmonized INFOGEST digestion method (Brodkorb, et al., 2019), found that those with medium and low lipid content showed a 207 208 limited lipolysis extent when the content of protein or starch was high (Calvo-Lerma, Fornés-Ferrer, Heredia, & Andrés, 2018). In protein-rich foods such as cheese, the disintegration of the 209 protein network occurs mainly in gastric and intestinal steps, thus facilitating the subsequent 210 release of fat aggregates from the degraded matrix (Žolnere, Arnold, Hull, & Everett, 2019). 211 212 These results underline the importance of microstructure and the digestive environment on the release of cheese components. 213
- 214 The *in vitro* digestion rate of lipids and starch was also reduced due to the intact vegetal cell 215 walls (Dhital, Bhattarai, Gorham, & Gidley, 2016). The intact cell wall structure and protein matrices are impervious to amylase and can prevent or slow down enzyme diffusion to 216 217 substrate. In general, the intactness of cell walls is related to particle size, which is dependent on mastication habits and processing conditions, for example, milling and heating (Li, Gidley, 218 219 & Dhital, 2019). The hydrolysis of intracellular starch and protein in the essentially intact cells was 2–3%, whereas this increased to 40–45%, when the cells were mechanically broken and 220 221 digested, suggesting a barrier effect of intact cell walls to digestive enzyme access to starch and

proteins substrate (Ogawa, et al., 2018). In support to this hypothesis, it has been shown that 222 solubilisation of pectin cell walls, induced by thermal treatment of bean, exerted higher degrees 223 of cell wall permeability so that starch hydrolysis increased proportionally to the cell damage 224 (Pallares, et al., 2018). The morphology and the particle size of starch granules from different 225 plants is also considered an important factor affecting their digestion, as smaller granules have 226 greater enzymatic susceptibility regardless of botanical origin, due to their larger specific 227 surface area (Lehmann & Robin, 2007; Romano, et al., 2018; Romano, et al., 2016). Moreover 228 229 starch granules vary in the level of porosity and can have openings (pores) on the surface of the granule (Fannon, Hauber, & Bemiller, 1992). 230

During processing, starch granules swell and lose their crystallinity and molecular organization in a process commonly known as gelatinization. *In vitro* studies have demonstrated that the rate of enzyme breakdown of gelatinized starch is much higher than that of native starch; native wheat starches are degraded by only 10–15%, but after partial gelatinization the rate of enzymatic degradation increased three-fold (Tian, et al., 2019). Therefore, gelatinization may strongly influence the rate at which starch is digested and elicits the glycaemic response.

- Starch-protein interaction in white flours might account for a decrease in *in vivo* glycaemic 237 response as well as for a reduction in *in vitro* digestibility, so that the removal of gluten from 238 wheat flour induces a high GI value in 11 kinds of gluten-free bread. In addition to acting as an 239 enzyme barrier, proteins also affect the properties of starch (gelatinization, retrogradation, etc.) 240 which is then less digestible (de la Hera, Rosell, & Gomez, 2014). If proteins are present in a 241 242 structured matrix or a clot-like structure is formed in the gastric environment, gastric juice needs to penetrate this structured matrix to digest the protein. A 2–10 reduction factor for the diffusion 243 244 coefficient of pepsin has been measured in a structured matrix as compared to water. The diffusion of pepsin is one of the limiting factors in the digestion rate of a structured food matrix 245 (E. Capuano & A. E. M. Janssen, 2021). Different egg-white gel structures, with a similar 246 protein composition, induced different proteolysis kinetics and provoked the release of different 247 248 specific peptides (Nyemb, et al., 2016).
- Proteins can form supramolecular assemblies also because of thermal treatment. The formation of aggregates may hide peptide bonds from proteases compared to denatured but isolated molecules. The effect of cooking on the digestibility of meat proteins is a good example of such complex relationships. Meat digestibility of regular-cooked beef was higher (95% digested) than that of 'well-done' cooked beef (90% digested). Meat analogues are a class of food products that imitate the sensory attributes of meat products but are produced from protein from more sustainable sources, e.g., plant protein isolates, that are subjected to extrusion or shear-

cell technology. In these products, the presence of other food ingredients or components, such 256 as lipids and polyphenols, may affect protein digestibility. These effects are still poorly 257 understood for the lack of knowledge of the matrices and by the absence of predictive models. 258 Therefore, in the design of novel foods the effects of components on protein digestibility should 259 be carefully considered in the optimization of the processing parameters (E. Capuano & A. E. 260 Janssen, 2021). The process-induced modifications, in primis the Maillard reaction, could also 261 262 play a role in modulating the food digestibility and the bioavailability of protein amino acids, by altering the chemical structural of protein networks and in turn the food microstructure: this 263 is the case of bread, dairy and meat products. Not secondarily, these modifications can also 264 affect the food allergenicity, through the interactions of protein-bound advanced glycation end-265 products (AGE) with immune cells receptors, as evidenced for egg, dairy and peanut allergens 266 (Mueller, et al., 2013; Teodorowicz, Van Neerven, & Savelkoul, 2017; Toda, Hellwig, Henle, 267 268 & Vieths, 2019).

269

5. The importance of structure in food design: driver for functional foods?

The main objective of the food industry is to create products with specific properties and 271 272 characteristics which have a positive consumer impact. In recent years, the food industry, aware of resource scarcity, is looking for nutritional alternatives, including functional foods, that 273 274 promote optimal health and help reduce the risk of disease and are "tailored". Tailoring is a process whereby the provision of information, advice and support is individualized to the user 275 276 (Lustria, et al., 2013). Mimic foods to be substituted, include also new functional ingredients in formulation. The attempt to design new foods starting from more sustainable or more nutrient-277 278 rich ingredients, with optimal characteristics for target population groups with specific needs, 279 has always clashed with the need to make these new foods at least as palatable, if not preferable, 280 to traditional ones. The limit is often in the obtainment of a desirable structure. In fact, unlike some homogeneous foods, such as drinks, extracts or oils, most foods are heterogeneous 281 282 multiphase mixtures, having nutritional and sensory characteristics that strongly depend on the 283 placement with which the different phases are distributed in space, while forming the food matrix. For this reason, the food technologists make use of structure-targeted toolboxes to 284 285 mimic successful matrices or invent new ones with even more performing characteristics. This is usually carried out empirically in lab scale plants but, to avoid prolonged and expensive 286 287 physical research trials, the structure of the food could be preliminarily built *in-silico* also in the design phase. This effective approach could be realized using conceptual toolboxes 288 289 (simulating unit operations, order of sequential steps, formulations) assisted by mathematical

290 prediction models. The purpose of designing the most suitable structures is then fulfilled, through combinations of formulations and processes, to achieve the desired outcomes, like the 291 optimized durability, palatability, bioaccessibility and bioavailability of nutrients. This way, 292 food design considers not only composition, but also structure affecting chemical stability, 293 texture and dynamics of digestion and absorption of a food or its components. In this 294 perspective, tailored foods provide not only the necessary nutrients but also new functions, 295 linked to the matrix structure, targeted for specific populations groups such as the elderly, 296 297 babies, athletes, allergic peoples, vegans or for special diets such as low salt, sugars and fats, or lactose- and gluten-free, and to increase the quantity of proteins, vitamins, dietary fibres, and 298 bioactive phytochemicals. Designer-made supramolecular food materials may form the basis 299 300 for personalized, health-promoting diets of the future (Norton, Espinosa, Watson, Spyropoulos, & Norton, 2015). As already described in the previous section (Table 1), foods are made by 301 302 colloids toolboxes provided by nature, to which food technologists have added 'artificial' colloids, e.g., gas bubbles, oil droplets, ice crystals, fat crystals, and protein aggregates, created 303 304 by external forces (e.g., extrusion, compression, electric fields) or heating applied by food processing equipment (R. Van der Sman & Van der Goot, 2009). With these 'artificial' colloids, 305 foods adhere to the length scales dictated by our tasting senses, which are sensitive enough to 306 detect structures of millimetre down to micrometre size (R. Van der Sman & Van der Goot, 307 2009). In this sense, a palatable food must be designed by finely modulating these structures to 308 enhance their nutritional function as well. 309

The structure of all foods can be imagined as the result of combinations of structural elements 310 311 provided by nature or imparted during processing and preparation. Food structure design is the 312 dedicated conception and fabrication of foods in such a way as to attain specific structures, functions or properties (Guo, et al., 2017). Knowledge on how foods and beverages interact 313 with the digestive system, where they transform into supramolecular structures, can in fact have 314 a direct impact on the rational design of such advanced materials for functional food delivery 315 applications. For example, delivering a complete diet with a content of hydrophobic, 316 317 amphiphilic, and hydrophilic nutrients, which is personalized to the needs of the consumers, could be beneficial for clinical and infant nutrition (Salentinig, 2019). Otherwise as confirmed 318 319 by recent studies on the use in pasta formulation of alternative flour from different sources, 320 such as potato and pigeon pea flour (Sharma, Dar, Sharma, & Singh, 2021) or flours from 321 legumes such as chickpea (El-Sohaimy, Brennan, Darwish, & Brennan, 2020; Garcia-Valle, Bello-Pérez, Agama-Acevedo, & Alvarez-Ramirez, 2021) or bean (Romero & Zhang, 2019), 322 323 pasta nutritional profile is usually improved, leading to an increase in protein, ash, fibre contents, and antioxidant compounds together with a decrease in the starch content and of *in vitro* starch digestibility. What is missing in these approaches, solely accounting for the nutritional profile, based on the composition of the ingredients, is the input related to the target structural characteristics at different scale lengths. Although structure has been shown to have an equally important impact on nutritional quality, a novel food is designed with great care for its composition, stability and acceptability but, often, its structural optimization for nutrient accessibility is omitted in the preliminary conceptualisation phase and studied only *ex post*.

Ultimately, the food structure design has the potential to be personalized to digestive conditions and dietary nutrient requirements of the consumer or patient. From a nutritional perspective, the ability to control food digestion is extremely important to design food with desired characteristics: the key to control such process is to modulate the accessibility of digestive enzymes to their substrate. Recently, considerable interest has also arisen in the application of by-products of food processing with specific properties in food structure design, such as agar or locust bean gum substitutes.

338

6. Predictive models for designing the optimal structures: choice of parameters for artificial intelligence

As described in the previous section, stability, palatability, bioaccessibility and bioavailability 341 of nutrients are the target properties of food optimization. These properties must be expressed 342 using numerical descriptors, such as concentrations of degradation biomarkers, food sensory 343 scores, preferably assessed by instrumental devices (electronic nose or tongue), post-prandial 344 345 nutrients level in blood. Chemical and instrumental sensory analyses provide objective 346 parameters intrinsic to the food, that are independent from the individual interaction with it. Conversely, parameters related to the digestive functions are strongly linked to the subjects' 347 variability. For this reason, experiments simulating different individual physiological and 348 pathological conditions are necessary, even when characterizing the target properties of a single 349 350 food. Whereas in vivo experiments give a global indication of food nutrients digestibility in its 351 full biological context, and *in vitro* experiments provide more insight into the different chemical and physical mechanisms, the mathematical, or in silico modelling can connect these two 352 353 domains (E. Capuano & A. E. M. Janssen, 2021). The hydrolysis kinetics of the main macronutrients (proteins, starch, and lipids) are modelled to predict the concentration and their 354 355 degree of hydrolysis in one or more compartments of the digestive system, or to predict the transport of the food through the digestive system. The most popular approaches assume the 356 357 digestive tract as a series of bioreactors that can be described by mass balances, written as a set

of differential equations (Gim-Krumm, Donoso, Zuñiga, Estay, & Troncoso, 2018; Somaratne, 358 et al., 2020). In recent years, models that also consider the food matrix together with the reaction 359 and diffusion phenomena have been developed. Modelling of the swelling of protein gels by 360 using the Flory-Rehner theory has been combined with the Gibbs-Donnan theory to include the 361 distribution of ions between the gastric juice and the protein matrix to gain a better 362 understanding of the phenomena that are essential in the digestion of the food matrix (R. G. M. 363 van der Sman, Houlder, Cornet, & Janssen, 2020). Up to now, the role of modelling has been 364 365 that of linking and explaining in vivo and in vitro experiments. However, a further step is required to use modelling for food properties prediction as a function of food structure. Suitable 366 numerical descriptors of structure are required as inputs for AI systems, to predict properties 367 368 that can define food in a functional way.

369 In the next section, available emerging approaches and those foreseen for the next future are 370 described, emphasizing how structure descriptors have been employed to predict sensory 371 properties and stability toward chemical transformations.

372

373 <u>6.1 Describing the structure with imaging</u>

The most straightforward way one can think of to parametrize food structure is through 374 descriptors extracted from imaging. Given the number of existing imaging techniques 375 376 (microscopy, spectral and hyperspectral imaging, nuclear magnetic resonance imaging, ultrasound, microwave, etc.), many different aspects of food structure can be characterized and 377 digitalized. Furthermore, each imaging technique has its own array of analytics and descriptors, 378 capable of grasping and describing physical quantities tied to the physical nature of the specific 379 380 imaging technique. All these heterogeneous descriptors, together with general texture analysis and computer vision descriptors, that can be obtained from images under certain conditions, 381 382 constitutes interesting inputs for artificial intelligence (machine and deep learning) frameworks. As a matter of fact, the role of artificial intelligence in describing food structure from images, 383 384 is that of finding complex relationships between heterogeneous features describing different 385 aspects of the structure and the different structure-dependent properties of a food. Furthermore, researcher in the field of deep learning, will rightfully argue that in the next future, a general 386 387 characterization of structure directly from images without a-priori features and descriptors knowledge or assumptions could be possible. From an operative point of view, this means 388 389 feeding a neural network, as complex as needed, each pixel (or voxel in 3D) of an image as an input and let the network learn how to build the best features to describe the problem (in this 390 391 case, predict food properties from structure description). To reach this goal, huge quantities of 392 suitable training data are however required to avoid some known problems of deep learning architectures, such as overparameterization and overfitting. While some imaging techniques are 393 inherently suitable for the high-throughput standardized data production (such as magnetic 394 resonance imaging) required by deep learning architectures to achieve good prediction and 395 generalization, other imaging techniques (such as electronic microscopy) suffer from a series 396 of issues that make them less suitable for automation and high-throughput data production. 397 398 Overall, we are quite far from the data production required to have a huge amount of labelled 399 training data, especially regarding certain imaging techniques. In the next section, a high-400 throughput imaging technique (MRI) and a high-resolution imaging technique (electronic microscopy) are compared in terms of descriptors and suitability for automation. This is done 401 402 to outline possible directions to facilitate an efficient use of artificial intelligence at this stage of structure description. 403

404

405 <u>6.2 On the suitability of data production and imaging parameters for AI: a comparison</u>

406 To grasp the meaning of what has been said in the previous section about data production and generality of descriptors, it may be useful to focus on a comparison between electronic 407 microscopy (high-resolution, non-high-throughput) and magnetic resonance imaging (low 408 resolution, high-throughput). Table 2 sums up the main categories of descriptors that can be 409 extracted from images coming from these two different techniques, followed by a synopsis 410 highlighting the upsides and downsides of each technique as far as automation and 411 generalization are concerned. While MRI has many upsides when it comes to data production, 412 generalization, automation of analysis and feature extraction for classification, a trade off exists 413 414 in terms of spatial resolution. On the other hand, advocating the importance of high-resolution aspects in terms of food structure description implies the necessity of high-resolution imaging 415 techniques. Electronic microscopy can fill in the role provided it becomes suitable for high-416 throughput data production and data-driven modelling. At present, microscopic image 417 418 production is not optimized for automatic extraction of general features and descriptions, which 419 are at the core of frameworks using integrated data and automated workflows based on machine learning. The first issue comes from image acquisitions inherently suffering from parameter 420 421 dependency. Lighting conditions and magnification which are obviously related to experimental purposes, tend to shift microscopic imaging production toward less generalizable 422 423 datasets. Moreover, most canonical morphological and structural descriptors that are quantified from this type of imaging, while being directly related to physical and easily interpreted 424 425 quantities, require specific assumptions (i.e., presence/absence of pores, spheres, shapes, fibers

etc.). Characterizing portions of images with ad-hoc assumptions is ill-suited for automation 426 and generalized parameter extraction. On the other hand, the power in terms of spatial resolution 427 of electronic microscopy cannot be overlooked when trying to characterize food structure. The 428 solution may lie in shifting microscopy data production toward a more pipeline-oriented way. 429 The creation of a consensus for data harmonization of microscopic images in the field, could 430 lead to parameter and feature extraction based upon low level and more general operators, 431 analogous to the ones used for MR images. This shift of paradigm in data production and 432 descriptor extraction, may contribute to boost modelling by facilitating the linking of the many 433 levels of complexity characterizing real life foods, using general parameters. A shift in data 434 production is also needed to pave the way for efficient deep learning approaches. 435

436

437 <u>6.3 Structure images and sensory quality</u>

Some scientific research, considered as an original reference works for these aspects, have laid 438 the foundations for the way a set of fundamental or derived parameters X, defining the food 439 440 structure, can be linked to a functional property Y through a mathematical function (Langton, 441 et al., 1997). For instance, the microstructural parameters may be presented as the estimated model parameters A and B necessary to solve a correlating equation, e.g., Y=A+B log X, where 442 Y is a sensory vector descriptor, X the model matrix for microstructural parameter. The 443 exemplary work by Langton et al. (1997), carried out on whey protein gels, defined nine 444 quantified microstructural parameters constituting the X vector feeding the model: four 445 parameters were the output of the digital image analysis (i.e., pore size at x20 magnitude; pore 446 size at x40 magnitude; particle size; amount of threads), and five parameters were mode of 447 aggregation as perceived by the test panel and already explained at the end of section 3 448 (Porosity; Clusters; Conglomerates; String of beads; Hairiness). Principal component analysis 449 450 (PCA) of the textural sensory data identifies two groups: (i) grainy appearance, gritty, creamy and falling apart; and (ii) soft, springy, surface moisture and sticky. To find trends in groups of 451 variables (microstructural and sensory variables), PCA on the whole data set was performed. 452 453 The PCA had the purpose of creating, for each orthogonal component, linear combinations of variables characterized by a high degree of co-variance, thus evidencing their interdependence, 454 455 by collecting them in different groups. One group of variables, defined by the large and small 456 star volume of pores, the star volume of particles, porosity, clusters, gritty, falling apart and 457 creamy (and acid) was found to take part in the systematic variation. Two groups of microstructural parameters and sensory descriptors were found: one group depending on the 458

dimensions of the overall network and the other depending on the shape of the strands andfilling of the pores. This kind of data analysis made the model building a realizable approach.

461

462 <u>6.4 Structure images, water dynamics and chemical transformations</u>

Food systems behaviour is strongly dependent on water. Besides water content in a food 463 material, it is important to understand the water state and dynamics for a proper comprehension 464 of properties and stability of food structure. Understanding changes in location and mobility of 465 water represents a significant step in food stability knowledge, since water "availability" within 466 467 the matrix profoundly influences the chemical, physical and microbiological quality of foods. Water mobility/dynamics can be described as a manifestation how "freely" water molecules 468 469 can participate in reactions or how easily water molecules diffuse to participate in reactions occurring in different sites (Fundo, Quintas, & Silva, 2015). 470

Nuclear magnetic resonance is a powerful technique to investigate water dynamics and physical 471 structures of foods, through analysis of nuclear magnetisation relaxation times, because it 472 473 provides information on molecular dynamics of different components in dense complex systems. The application of this technique may be very useful in predicting food systems 474 physicochemical changes, namely texture, viscosity or water migration (Fundo, et al., 2015). 475 Finding correlations amongst parameters based on time domain (TD)-NMR T2 decays, 476 describing water dynamics, and texture-derived features based on SEM images is a challenging 477 issue, when the aim is the quantitative characterization and parametrization of porous food 478 matrices and the transformation that food undergoes due to processing (such as cooking). A 479 comprehensive pipeline for parameter extraction, describing the porous food at different 480 481 cooking time, must be set accurately. TD-NMR raw data are preferable to classical exponential fitting parameters, for building a general model accounting for the water status, as different 482 phenomena participate in the modulation of the relaxation times of the water population in the 483 compartmentalized porous matrix. For this reason, when matrix effects are investigated with 484 TD-NMR, a probabilistic PCA with Radial Base Function (RBF) kernel may constitute the 485 486 solution to find a latent space explaining differences in data tied to different matrices (pasta type) and cooking times. The RBF kernel can take the non-linearity of decays into account, 487 projecting data into a suitable latent space, as shown in section 8. 488

The next section outlines the necessity to take another level of complexity into account when trying to predict bioavailability and bioaccessibility: the physiological interaction with the human organism.

492

493 **7. Digital twin of a food must include its structure**

Recently, a standardized food model (SFM) representing a typical US diet has been developed 494 to facilitate these investigations. This model consists of caseinate-stabilized fat droplets, free 495 casein, pectin, starch, sucrose, and sodium chloride. The SFM was stable to creaming for 2 496 days, contained small particles ($d \approx 180$ nm), and had a narrow particle size distribution (Zhang, 497 Zhang, & McClements, 2019). It would, therefore, be beneficial to have an SFM with a 498 harmonized composition and structure that could be used by researchers in different 499 laboratories to test food matrix effects. This model would allow researchers to obtain 500 501 reproducible results under standardized conditions, thereby leading to an improved systematic 502 understanding of the influence of the food matrix on oral bioavailability of different bioactive 503 agents. It may then be possible to establish general trends between bioactive type and the magnitude of food matrix effects (Zhang, et al., 2019). However, gathering an almost infinite 504 505 set of model foods covering each possible category is a difficult, if not impossible, goal to achieve. For this reason, having an exemplary set of model foods available, the next step could 506 507 be to create *in silico* models, derived from the mathematical combination of the basic models, 508 to simulate each existing real food. In other words, starting from physical model foods, virtual 509 simulator of foods can be generated.

As previously stressed, in silico simulations of food as complex particle based soft matter, are 510 strictly bound to the various length scales in the structure and occurring phenomena. As such, 511 different properties must be simultaneously investigated at different scales, from mesoscale to 512 nanoscale. While mesoscale properties (i.e. for emulsions and fat droplets) can be investigated 513 using coarse-grained particle-based simulations (Morris & Groves, 2013), at finer length scales 514 515 quantum-mechanical effects might occur. While hybrid multiscale models, capable of joining coarse and fine level descriptions, are already available (Bolnykh, et al., 2019), making 516 517 predictive multiscale simulation approaches seemingly viable, the true complexity of food as a system is still unaddressed. A complete review of available simulation tools, with a breakdown 518 519 of all the levels of complexity that must be addressed while trying to predict food properties 520 and functionalities from its structure and molecular-level interactions, is provided by Barroso da Silva, et al. (2020). Amongst other issues, a predictive model relying solely upon multiscale 521 522 simulation, can suffer from high computational complexity. Simulating systems consisting of 523 extremely high number of particles, for which free-energy properties and kinetic properties 524 must be computed for several time-steps, can easily lead to unrealistic computational time, even for specialized high-end hardware. However, machine and deep learning can prove useful in 525 526 decoupling multiscale descriptions from approaches based exclusively on simulation.

Quantitative structure-activity relationship (QSAR) based approaches are, in example, very 527 useful in predicting bio-chemical properties of compounds, including biological activity 528 (Neves, et al., 2018). These approaches are based on linking sets of molecular descriptors to a 529 given response variable; essentially the goal is to find a solution to a supervised learning 530 problem by coming up with an optimal set of user-defined molecular descriptors and a suitable 531 532 model to link them to the outcomes (response variable). A recent development of such a 533 framework involves the use of deep learning architectures, using recurrent and convolutional 534 neural networks (Chakravarti & Alla, 2019). The use of such neural networks allows for a generalization of the learning problem, by eliminating the necessity of an a priori definition of 535 the molecular descriptors, at the cost of a very high pool of training data. Approaches of these 536 537 types, when the interpretability of the network-extracted descriptors is ensured, can minimize the bias introduced by the users when choosing the descriptors and the difficulty of interpreting 538 539 descriptors that are not directly related to chemical structures. Results from these types of framework, can furthermore be linked with outcomes from physiological experiments (i.e., 540 541 experiments involving digestibility or involving health effects of certain compounds). In this way, the molecular scale and the macroscale of physiological effects are encased in a multiscale 542 data-driven description. In a similar and more general fashion, many levels and scales of 543 complexity can be linked through machine and deep learning, by finding ways of extracting 544 general descriptors to be related to a response variable. Given the sheer complexity of food, 545 data-driven description of the various levels of complexity of food structure and food-human 546 547 interactions seems to be a promising way of predicting properties and health effects.

548 In the next section, an example of how to extract joint general descriptors from different scales 549 of complexity (water-matrix interaction and morphology) of a real-life food, that can be 550 ultimately related to outcomes from physiological experiments, is presented.

The example, set up by the authors, shows how to use SEM images in a more general way, by extracting texture analysis descriptors, when the acquisition experimental design is suitable. An example of how to correlate such structure descriptors to properties such as water mobility, using raw data and machine learning, is also proposed.

555

556 8. A case study: spaghetti pasta

557 <u>8.1 Designing food structure for food shaping</u>

The structure is responsible for the sensorial, textural and nutritional properties of pasta, and its formation relates to the characteristics of the raw ingredients and to several unit operations of the manufacturing process (Scanlon, Edwards, & Dexter, 2005). In particular pasta structure

and quality depend on gluten and starch properties (Desai, Brennan, & Brennan, 2018; Witczak 561 & Gałkowska, 2021) and on their physical-chemical modifications (protein denaturation, starch 562 gelatinization and swelling, etc.), occurring during pasta production process as well as the time 563 of cooking. Traditionally, dried spaghetti pasta is produced by mixing durum wheat (Triticum 564 turgidum, subsp. Durum) semolina and water (generally ~30 g /100 g), followed by a series of 565 unit operations such as extrusion, drying and packaging. The appropriate selection of 566 567 ingredients and technological parameters is fundamental, since it directly influences pasta 568 quality and structural features but, in turn, also affect content, digestibility and ultimately the 569 bioavailability of macro-nutrients (starch, proteins) and micro-nutrients (minerals, phytochemicals). Since customers currently prefer pasta with uniform amber colour, firm 570 571 texture ("al dente") and shape retention when cooked, it is of commercial importance to analyse the cooking characteristics of pasta to design and develop a high-quality pasta that satisfy 572 573 consumer demands. Furthermore the increasing demand for innovative pasta products is encouraging research on novel raw and processed materials such as dietary fibres, legume 574 575 flours, rice, corn, emmer, cricket flour - to meet the consumer demand in terms of nutritional, sensory and technological value of pasta (Romano, Ferranti, Gallo, & Masi, 2021). In this 576 577 regard, cooking properties such as texture parameters (e.g. firmness and elasticity and shape retention), cooking time, cooking loss, water absorption index, swelling index (Ficco, et al., 578 579 2016; Susanna & Prabhasankar, 2013) are very important indicators of pasta quality. The texture of pasta is the most important consumer attribute of pasta that influences consumer 580 acceptance (Susanna & Prabhasankar, 2013). In particular, firmness can be related to protein 581 content as well as the starch composition and it is a reflection of the bond strength and the 582 583 integrity of the protein matrix present in the pasta after the cooking process (Dexter & Matsuo, 1979). Microstructural changes of starch and proteins during cooking depend on water 584 585 availability, and the kinetics of solvation of each biopolymer have a major role on the final texture of cooked pasta (Bonomi, et al., 2012). In order to control the cooking quality of pasta, 586 587 it is necessary to understand structural changes during the boiling process that affect textural 588 and sensorial properties of pasta. Primarily made up of carbohydrates (70 g/100 g) and proteins (11.5 g / 100 g), cooked pasta is ingested as a solid food with a compact and "al dente" texture 589 590 and requires a low degree of mastication before swallowing, after which the pasta arrives in the stomach in the form of large solid particles. It is considered to be a slowly digestible starchy 591 592 food with a low or medium Glycaemic Index (GI) (Gallo, Romano, & Masi, 2020; Granfeldt & Björck, 1991). Generally, a compact and dense microstructure is attributed to the pasta, which: 593 594 i) limits water absorption and thus starch swelling and gelatinization, during cooking; ii) entraps

the starch granules reducing the accessibility of α -amylase (Jenkins, et al., 1983) and (iii) 595 releases α -amylase inhibitors during cooking that can immobilize the enzyme into the gluten 596 network (Zou, et al., 2019; Zou, Sissons, Gidley, Gilbert, & Warren, 2015). The major 597 challenges for pasta industry are now to increase food healthiness and customized nutrition 598 content and compositions but keeping high sensory attributes and technological performances. 599 Multiphysics simulations approaches could improve the efficiency of certain food 600 manufacturing processes and facilitate the sustainable packaging of food, for instance, by 601 602 creating morphing pasta that can be flat-packed, to reduce the air space in the packaging. It is possible to induce temporary asynchronous swelling or deswelling that can transform flat 603 604 objects into designed, three-dimensional shapes (Tao, et al., 2021). How does it work with a 605 different microstructure associated to a functional pasta? Does the pasta morphing affect the water-matrix interaction upon cooking? 606

607

608 <u>8.2 Cooking and water-matrix interaction</u>

609 To date, the structure of cooked pasta has been analysed at various microscopic and mesoscopic levels by means of different methods, such as MRI. In fact it can be used to evaluate water 610 distribution and mobility in dry pasta, and in pasta at various cooking time (Bernin, et al., 2014). 611 Even these studies revealed that water penetration, distribution, and mobility during cooking 612 were highly dependent on the degree of protein reticulation, which in turns is greatly affected 613 by process conditions and food formulation (Tao, et al., 2021) MRI represents a non-invasive 614 method that spatially resolves the amount and dynamics of water and macromolecules-protons. 615 For this reason, Bernin, et al. (2014) used MRI to make a real time assessment of the effect of 616 starch-gluten ratio on water distribution in dry spaghetti during cooking. Therefore, 617 investigating such properties can help to understand how pasta components (water, gluten, 618 619 starch, fibre, etc.) interact with each other defining its structure, quality, acceptability, and stability. In this respect, Gallo, et al. (2020) investigated the impact of pasta composition 620 621 (semolina and durum whole-wheat semolina) on water mobility in spaghetti before and after 622 cooking by low-resolution 1H NMR experiments. In detail T1 and T2 proton relaxation times as indicators of the molecular water mobility, have been determined (Gonçalves & Cardarelli, 623 624 2019). The uncooked spaghetti had T1 and T2 values much lower than the cooked ones suggesting a very low water mobility in the dry pasta. With increasing cooking time, it was 625 626 observed a significant increase of both T1 and T2 relaxation times, either for semolina or whole wheat spaghetti, suggesting that molecular water mobility within the pasta structure increases 627 628 as protein coagulation and starch gelatinization proceed (Gallo, et al., 2020). According to

Bosmans, Lagrain, Ooms, Fierens, and Delcour (2013), this behaviour could be explained in 629 term of three phenomena: i) water uptake in pasta structure; ii) starch gelatinization with the 630 subsequent destruction of the original structure; iii) gluten polymerization accompanied by 631 water expulsion from the gluten network. By comparing the behaviour of the two samples, one 632 observes that the presence of fibre led to a reduction in water mobility, since they can keep a 633 substantial excess of water during the cooking process (Serial, et al., 2016). The intermediate 634 zone was characterized by swollen starch granules embedded in a coagulated but dense protein 635 636 network; the presence of fibre resulted in an irregular structure in which there were a small number of still intact and therefore non-gelatinized starch granules. As reported by Manthey 637 and Schorno (2002), in whole-wheat pasta bran particles cause a dilution of the gluten proteins, 638 639 interfering with proper gluten development. This results in a highly porous structure in which starch granules are more accessible to water molecules. Starch granules in the surface region 640 641 were fully gelatinized and thus completely disintegrated in amylose and amylopectin. In the intermediate zone, starch granules were highly hydrated increasing in size 642

Concerning the analysis of surface roughness, laser microscopy stressed an irregular surface
structure for dry pasta (due to the presence of intact starch granules) which became more
homogeneous after 1 min of cooking, due to the starch gelatinization.

646

647 <u>8.3 Toward the automatization of water-matrix interactions and structure characterization</u>

Joining measurement of NMR T1 and T2 proton relaxation time with SEM images, seems a 648 promising way of intertwining water mobility related phenomena with morphological 649 variations, thus including structure into food characterization. Parameters extracted with these 650 techniques, can furthermore be modelled using machine and deep learning architectures. 651 However, both methodologies require a fair amount of expertise in acquisition and processing 652 653 of the data, making standardization and automation of modelling pipelines challenging. Extracting parameters and quantities from SEM images, is especially challenging as it requires 654 the use of dedicated software (e.g., when measuring particle size) to extract the distributions of 655 656 nanostructures and microstructures in an image. Accurate particle size distributions can be difficult to obtain, as they require images with highly detectable particles and morphologies to 657 658 build a suitable statistic. Furthermore, the observable size of particles and structures depends 659 drastically on the viewing angle, while measures such as porosity and surface roughness are 660 affected by lighting and zooming. A complete list of issues and standardization of measures for SEM image analysis is provided by the ISO (International Organization for Standardization)¹. On the other hand, NMR relaxometry, while being a high-throughput technique with relatively low acquisition times and high reproducibility, requires expertise in sample preparation and acquisition sequence engineering. Furthermore, studying T1 and T2 distributions with inversion software such as the UPEN algorithm² requires a deep understanding of the physical and mathematical nature of the inversion problem, making this kind of analyses extremely variable and elaboration parameters dependent.

668

669 <u>8.4 Is learning from raw data and general descriptors promising?</u>

A possible way to bypass some of these issues and make automatization and learning easier, 670 671 moving toward a more general framework, is to analyse raw TD-NMR decays and study SEM images by extracting general texture analysis features and learning latent components in the 672 data, instead of specific measurements and physical quantities. In this qualitative example, a 673 way to correlate water mobility phenomena and morphology related features using machine 674 675 learning is proposed. SEM images of different zones of semola spaghetti, acquired at different cooking time points, are processed and segmented using various filtering techniques and 676 morphological operators. A set of minimum image acquisition parameter can be chosen (i.e., 677 zoom, lighting, well defined morphological regions of the pasta to acquire), to minimize 678 variability in the final dataset related to possible acquisition biases. 679

The 13 Haralick descriptors (Haralick, Shanmugam, & Dinstein, 1973) are computed from the 680 images of the complete cooking profile of the pasta. These general descriptors, widely used in 681 texture analysis and computer vision, are moments computed from the segmented image 682 683 cooccurrence matrix. These moments are intended to describe the characteristics of the patterns of the textures of the image, in term of the probability of occurrence of grey levels. As such, 684 they serve as general morphological descriptors, whose relationships with descriptors extracted 685 from TD-NMR can be estimated. These descriptors can be studied as a function of time-686 dependent latent components extracted from TD-NMR raw decays, with a process summarized 687 688 in Figure 2, to find links with water mobility related phenomena.

As an example, typical raw decays of pasta at different cooking time points, are shown as
projection into a latent variable space using a probabilistic KPCA (Kernel Principal Component
Analysis). Using an RBF kernel in a self-optimizing learning pipeline, each decay curve is

¹<u>https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/fr/#iso:std:iso:19749:ed-1:v1:en</u>

² https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1361-6420/33/1/015003

projected into a lower dimensional space with the aim of detecting differences tied to 692 phenomena occurring during cooking (Figure 3a). Some of the Haralick descriptors appear to 693 have strong linear and non-linear correlations with the time dependent latent variables extracted 694 from TD-NMR raw decays (Figure 3b). Moreover, correlations seem to be different from zone 695 to zone, highlighting the expected behaviour of TD-NMR to discriminate information about 696 697 different characteristics of water populations at different cooking times in different pasta zones. Some texture analysis descriptors, such as texture Sum Average (HF6, y axis of Figure 3b) 698 which is tied to "homogeneity" of the texture, describing the central zone images, show an 699 700 exclusively monotonous relationship with cooking time and PC scores (both PC0 and PC1) 701 after a certain cooking time (Morphologic Phase, Figure 3b). Looking at the KPC space, this 702 phenomenon corresponds to a steep variation in PC1 score and a low variation in PC0 scores. 703 On the contrary, below this time (orange to yellow points, Figure 3a), steep variations along 704 PC0 and slow variations of PC1 scores are encountered, until PC1 score variation minimum is reached (red points, Figure 3a). After this, variation on PC1 scores starts to rise again 705 706 (Activation, Figure 3b) while PC0 scores variation starts to reach its minimum. Above this 707 threshold of cooking time, both PC1 and many HF descriptors, such as HF6 in Figure 3b, start 708 a trend with a strict monotonous dependence with time. This time point may represent the threshold for which changes in the texture of the matrix start to be exclusively dependent on 709 710 cooking time, maybe due to the irreversible rupture of structures in the food matrix and the consequent variation of the timescale of water exchanges. Looking at Figure 3, one can argue 711 712 that the description of the morphological changes emerging from these preliminary results, is in agreement with findings from Manthey and Schorno (2002). If in the early moments of 713 cooking starch gelatinization prevails, the resulting SEM images tend to show more 714 homogeneous surfaces, with little differences from a morphological point of view. However, 715 716 with raising cooking time the observed increase in the inhomogeneity of pasta surface and the changes in water mobility become a monotonous function of cooking time, as the partial 717 718 detachment of solid materials such as starch and starch-attached proteins probably becomes the 719 prevalent phenomena. Haralick descriptors for SEM images, together with self-learned latent components extracted from TD-NMR raw decays, are capable of picking up this sort of 720 721 threshold behaviour and successfully merging description of the morphology and water-matrix 722 interaction. Learning latent features and parameters from raw NMR data and images processed 723 to a bare minimum, studying and understanding the correlation amongst the extracted descriptors can help building digital twins of food with an included structural characterization 724 725 of the matrix. In the example, water mobility and morphology are investigated with a general

data-driven framework, using machine learning and canonical texture analysis to find suitable 726 727 features and descriptors. The main advantages of this approach are the generality and the lack of assumptions needed for the description of structural elements from images. Using raw data 728 (such as T2 decays in the example) and letting AI methods learn the best way to represent them 729 is optimal when dealing with many heterogeneous datasets, in terms of automation and feature 730 discovery. Moreover, bypassing the necessity of assumptions when describing structure from 731 732 images, becomes an advantage when parametrizing real-life foods in which matrix structures 733 can be extremely heterogenous along the different length scales. Consequently, different types of images and raw data from experiments regarding digestibility, stability and bioaccessibility 734 can be explored to shed light on their relationship with structural properties, even with complex 735 736 real-life food.

737

738 9. Conclusions

Understanding how formulations of ingredients and unitary operations of food processes make 739 740 up the structure of food and how this structure changes during its shelf - life or eating will play 741 an important role in the development and management of food science and industry. Much of the information that defines the structure of a food is currently neglected when entering the 742 domain of nutrition, as the structural dimension is too complicated to be quantitatively 743 measured and related to sensorial properties, stability, digestibility and bioaccessibility of 744 nutrients. Not even the momentum given by the considerable progress achieved in the design 745 746 of functional foods has so far been sufficient to assign the correct importance to the structural nature of food. Certainly, the complexity of the information is such as to hinder the creation of 747 748 predictive-based models based on analysis of a limited amount of available data. For this reason, it is certainly conceivable a considerable impulse determined using artificial intelligence 749 750 capable of handling certain quantities of heterogeneous data. It would be useful to be able to predict the sensory quality and stability of food designed to become carriers of healthy nutrients 751 752 through images that shoot their supramolecular structure. It would be also desirable for these 753 same foods designed *in silico*, to predict the duration as a function of the dynamic state of the water capable of modulating the chemical transformations underlying physiological or 754 755 anomalous phenomena, also to include the aspect of sustainability in the conception phase. A 756 model food such as pasta, widely consumed all over the world, object of studies for possible 757 functionalization as a vehicle for bioactive substances useful for health, can serve as a case study to build a pipeline of an automated approach. The endpoint of such a pipeline is a direct 758 759 extraction of information on rheological and sensory properties starting from images of the

structure and from raw data of the dynamic state of the water. The main advantages of such a 760 761 framework are: i) an efficient automatization of parameter extraction useful for building suitable inputs for AI architectures, which require high-throughput data for proper training ii) 762 a more efficient and general way of extracting parameters especially from imaging; using 763 general parameters for image analysis instead of measured technique-dependent parameters or 764 measured quantities that requires ad-hoc assumptions on structures (i.e. presence/absence of 765 766 pores, fibres etc.), can prove more useful given the high heterogeneity of structural elements at 767 different length scales iii) a more efficient way of linking different levels of complexity of structure description and properties to be predicted, through the use of general parameters and 768 769 features learned directly from data with machine learning; this step is crucial to avoid 770 oversimplification generated by canonical interpretative models. However, extending this framework to all the aspects of food modelling for properties prediction, poses quite a few 771 772 challenges. The first one is a required shift of paradigm of imaging data production. Certain techniques (such as SEM) suffer from a lack of a consensus of acquisition standards, hindering 773 774 data harmonization which is essential for high-throughput input production. Another major 775 challenge is the complexity of modelling and parametrizing properties such as bioaccessibility 776 and bioavailability. These properties not only require a comprehensive parametrization of the structure to be predicted but are also linked to the interaction with digestive functions. The 777 778 interaction with the human organism, especially with GIT functions, adds a whole new level of complexity that must be addressed. The compartments of the GIT and their functions are 779 interlinked and impacted by food structure, while also being subjected to interindividual 780 781 variability. Hybrid approaches linking structure at molecular level and physiological outcomes, 782 based on deep learning architectures, are however gaining popularity (section 7) due to their computational performances. 783

The ultimate goal of AI oriented frameworks is to be able to make more limited use of expensive and time-consuming experiments on physically prepared foods, by using digital twins of foods designed in the laboratory. This, in turn, could lead to a more efficient data production for studies of physiological outcomes of functional foods.

Further advances for future applications of AI in food science and technology may arise, as in medical sciences, from the enormous expanse of data resulting from the exploitation of different types of heterogeneous information (images, chemical analysis results, physical measurements, etc.) in the same system, for example a single neural network, integrating food data from different scales and sources. The challenge, in this case, is to give the right importance to one type of information over the others. 794

- 795 Declaration of competing interests: None of the authors has any conflict of interest relevant
 796 to the content of this article.
- 797 Acknowledgments: None.
- 798 **Funding:** None.

10. References

- Aguilera, J. M. (2019). The food matrix: implications in processing, nutrition and health. *Critical reviews in food science and nutrition, 59*, 3612-3629.
- Barroso da Silva, F. L., Carloni, P., Cheung, D., Cottone, G., Donnini, S., Foegeding, E. A., Gulzar, M., Jacquier, J. C., Lobaskin, V., & MacKernan, D. (2020). Understanding and controlling food protein structure and function in foods: perspectives from experiments and computer simulations. *Annual Review of Food Science and Technology*, *11*, 365-387.
- Bernin, D., Steglich, T., Röding, M., Moldin, A., Topgaard, D., & Langton, M. (2014). Multi-scale characterization of pasta during cooking using microscopy and real-time magnetic resonance imaging. *Food research international, 66*, 132-139.
- Bolnykh, V., Olsen, J. M. H., Meloni, S., Bircher, M. P., Ippoliti, E., Carloni, P., & Rothlisberger, U.
 (2019). Extreme scalability of DFT-based QM/MM MD simulations using MiMiC. *Journal of chemical theory and computation*, 15, 5601-5613.
- Bonomi, F., D'Egidio, M. G., Iametti, S., Marengo, M., Marti, A., Pagani, M. A., & Ragg, E. M. (2012). Structure–quality relationship in commercial pasta: A molecular glimpse. *Food chemistry*, *135*, 348-355.
- Bosmans, G. M., Lagrain, B., Ooms, N., Fierens, E., & Delcour, J. A. (2013). Biopolymer interactions, water dynamics, and bread crumb firming. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, *61*, 4646-4654.
- Brodkorb, A., Egger, L., Alminger, M., Alvito, P., Assunção, R., Ballance, S., Bohn, T., Bourlieu-Lacanal,
 C., Boutrou, R., & Carrière, F. (2019). INFOGEST static in vitro simulation of gastrointestinal
 food digestion. *Nature protocols, 14*, 991-1014.
- Calvo-Lerma, J., Fornés-Ferrer, V., Heredia, A., & Andrés, A. (2018). In vitro digestion of lipids in real foods: influence of lipid organization within the food matrix and interactions with nonlipid components. *Journal of food science*, *83*, 2629-2637.
- Capuano, E., & Janssen, A. E. (2021). Food Matrix and Macronutrient Digestion. *Annual Review of Food Science and Technology*, *12*, 193-212.
- Capuano, E., & Janssen, A. E. M. (2021). Food Matrix and Macronutrient Digestion. *Annual Review of Food Science and Technology*, *12*, 193-212.
- Cebi, N., Durak, M. Z., Toker, O. S., Sagdic, O., & Arici, M. (2016). An evaluation of Fourier transforms infrared spectroscopy method for the classification and discrimination of bovine, porcine and fish gelatins. *Food Chem, 190*, 1109-1115.
- Chakravarti, S. K., & Alla, S. R. M. (2019). Descriptor Free QSAR Modeling Using Deep Learning With Long Short-Term Memory Neural Networks. *Front Artif Intell, 2*, 17.
- Clark, J. E. (1998). Taste and flavour: their importance in food choice and acceptance. *Proceedings of the nutrition society, 57*, 639-643.
- Corstens, M. N., Berton-Carabin, C. C., Kester, A., Fokkink, R., van den Broek, J. M., de Vries, R., Troost, F. J., Masclee, A. A., & Schroën, K. (2017). Destabilization of multilayered interfaces in digestive conditions limits their ability to prevent lipolysis in emulsions. *Food Structure*, *12*, 54-63.
- de Kruif, C. G., & Huppertz, T. (2012). Casein Micelles: Size Distribution in Milks from Individual Cows. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 60, 4649-4655.
- de la Hera, E., Rosell, C. M., & Gomez, M. (2014). Effect of water content and flour particle size on gluten-free bread quality and digestibility. *Food Chem*, *151*, 526-531.
- Desai, A., Brennan, M. A., & Brennan, C. S. (2018). The effect of semolina replacement with protein powder from fish (Pseudophycis bachus) on the physicochemical characteristics of pasta. *LWT*, *89*, 52-57.
- Dexter, J., & Matsuo, R. (1979). Changes in spaghetti protein solubility during cooking. *Cereal Chemistry*, *56*, 394-398.

- Dhital, S., Bhattarai, R. R., Gorham, J., & Gidley, M. J. (2016). Intactness of cell wall structure controls the in vitro digestion of starch in legumes. *Food & function*, *7*, 1367-1379.
- Dias, C. B., Zhu, X., Thompson, A. K., Singh, H., & Garg, M. L. (2019). Effect of the food form and structure on lipid digestion and postprandial lipaemic response. *Food & function, 10,* 112-124.
- El-Sohaimy, S. A., Brennan, M., Darwish, A. M., & Brennan, C. (2020). Physicochemical, texture and sensorial evaluation of pasta enriched with chickpea flour and protein isolate. *Annals of Agricultural Sciences*, *65*, 28-34.
- Falcone, P. M., Baiano, A., Conte, A., Mancini, L., Tromba, G., Zanini, F., & Del Nobile, M. A. (2006). Imaging techniques for the study of food microstructure: a review. Advances in food and nutrition research, 51, 205-263.
- Fannon, J. E., Hauber, R. J., & Bemiller, J. N. (1992). Surface pores of starch granules. *Cereal Chem, 69*, 284-288.
- Ficco, D. B. M., De Simone, V., De Leonardis, A. M., Giovanniello, V., Del Nobile, M. A., Padalino, L., Lecce, L., Borrelli, G. M., & De Vita, P. (2016). Use of purple durum wheat to produce naturally functional fresh and dry pasta. *Food chemistry*, 205, 187-195.
- Fundo, J. F., Quintas, M. A., & Silva, C. L. (2015). Molecular dynamics and structure in physical properties and stability of food systems. *Food Engineering Reviews*, *7*, 384-392.
- Gallo, V., Romano, A., & Masi, P. (2020). Does the presence of fibres affect the microstructure and in vitro starch digestibility of commercial Italian pasta? *Food Structure, 24*, 100139.
- García-García, A., Cambero, M., Castejón, D., Escudero, R., & Fernández-Valle, M. (2019). Dry curedham microestructure: A T2 NMR relaxometry, SEM and uniaxial tensile test combined study. *Food Structure, 19*, 100104.
- Garcia-Valle, D. E., Bello-Pérez, L. A., Agama-Acevedo, E., & Alvarez-Ramirez, J. (2021). Structural characteristics and in vitro starch digestibility of pasta made with durum wheat semolina and chickpea flour. *LWT*, *145*, 111347.
- Gim-Krumm, M., Donoso, P., Zuñiga, R. N., Estay, H., & Troncoso, E. (2018). A comprehensive study of glucose transfer in the human small intestine using an in vitro intestinal digestion system (i-IDS) based on a dialysis membrane process. *Journal of Membrane Science, 564*, 700-711.
- Gonçalves, M. C., & Cardarelli, H. R. (2019). Changes in water mobility and protein stabilization of Mozzarella cheese made under different stretching temperatures. *LWT*, *104*, 16-23.
- Granfeldt, Y., & Björck, I. (1991). Glycemic response to starch in pasta: a study of mechanisms of limited enzyme availability. *Journal of Cereal Science*, 14, 47-61.
- Guo, Q., Ye, A., Bellissimo, N., Singh, H., & Rousseau, D. (2017). Modulating fat digestion through food structure design. *Prog Lipid Res, 68*, 109-118.
- Haralick, R. M., Shanmugam, K., & Dinstein, I. H. (1973). Textural features for image classification. *IEEE Transactions on systems, man, and cybernetics*, 610-621.
- Janhøj, T., Frøst, M. B., & Ipsen, R. (2008). Sensory and rheological characterization of acidified milk drinks. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 22, 798-806.
- Jenkins, D. J., Wolever, T. M., Jenkins, A. L., Lee, R., Wong, G. S., & Josse, R. (1983). Glycemic response to wheat products: reduced response to pasta but no effect of fiber. *Diabetes Care, 6*, 155-159.
- Kirtil, E., & Oztop, M. H. (2016). 1 H nuclear magnetic resonance relaxometry and magnetic resonance imaging and applications in food science and processing. *Food Engineering Reviews*, 8, 1-22.
- Langton, M., Åström, A., & Hermansson, A.-M. (1997). Influence of the microstructure on the sensory quality of whey protein gels. *Food Hydrocolloids, 11*, 217-230.
- Langton, M., & Hermansson, A.-M. (1996). Image analysis of particulate whey protein gels. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 10, 179-191.
- Lehmann, U., & Robin, F. (2007). Slowly digestible starch–its structure and health implications: a review. *Trends in Food Science & Technology, 18*, 346-355.

- Li, H., Gidley, M. J., & Dhital, S. (2019). High-amylose starches to bridge the "Fiber Gap": development, structure, and nutritional functionality. *Comprehensive reviews in food science and food safety, 18*, 362-379.
- Li, H., Yu, W., Dhital, S., Gidley, M. J., & Gilbert, R. G. (2019). Starch branching enzymes contributing to amylose and amylopectin fine structure in wheat. *Carbohydrate polymers, 224*, 115185.
- Liu, Z., & Scanlon, M. (2003). Predicting mechanical properties of bread crumb. *Food and Bioproducts processing*, *8*1, 224-238.
- Lustria, M. L. A., Noar, S. M., Cortese, J., Van Stee, S. K., Glueckauf, R. L., & Lee, J. (2013). A metaanalysis of web-delivered tailored health behavior change interventions. *Journal of health communication*, *18*, 1039-1069.
- Maeda, T., Kokawa, M., Miura, M., Araki, T., Yamada, M., Takeya, K., & Sagara, Y. (2013). Development of a novel staining procedure for visualizing the gluten–starch matrix in bread dough and cereal products. *Cereal Chemistry, 90*, 175-180.
- Manthey, F. A., & Schorno, A. L. (2002). Physical and cooking quality of spaghetti made from whole wheat durum. *Cereal Chemistry, 79*, 504-510.
- McClements, D. J. (2018). The biophysics of digestion: lipids. Current opinion in food science, 21, 1-6.
- Morris, V., & Groves, K. (2013). *Food microstructures: Microscopy, measurement and modelling*: Elsevier.
- Mueller, G. A., Maleki, S. J., Johnson, K., Hurlburt, B. K., Cheng, H., Ruan, S., Nesbit, J. B., Pomés, A., Edwards, L. L., & Schorzman, A. (2013). Identification of Maillard reaction products on peanut allergens that influence binding to the receptor for advanced glycation end products. *Allergy*, *68*, 1546-1554.
- Neves, B. J., Braga, R. C., Melo-Filho, C. C., Moreira-Filho, J. T., Muratov, E. N., & Andrade, C. H. (2018). QSAR-based virtual screening: advances and applications in drug discovery. *Frontiers in pharmacology*, *9*, 1275.
- Norton, J., Espinosa, Y. G., Watson, R., Spyropoulos, F., & Norton, I. (2015). Functional food microstructures for macronutrient release and delivery. *Food & function, 6*, 663-678.
- Nyemb, K., Guérin-Dubiard, C., Pézennec, S., Jardin, J., Briard-Bion, V., Cauty, C., Rutherfurd, S. M., Dupont, D., & Nau, F. (2016). The structural properties of egg white gels impact the extent of in vitro protein digestion and the nature of peptides generated. *Food Hydrocolloids*, *54*, 315-327.
- Ogawa, Y., Donlao, N., Thuengtung, S., Tian, J., Cai, Y., Reginio Jr, F. C., Ketnawa, S., Yamamoto, N., & Tamura, M. (2018). Impact of food structure and cell matrix on digestibility of plant-based food. *Current opinion in food science, 19*, 36-41.
- Pallares, A. P., Miranda, B. A., Truong, N. Q. A., Kyomugasho, C., Chigwedere, C. M., Hendrickx, M., & Grauwet, T. (2018). Process-induced cell wall permeability modulates the in vitro starch digestion kinetics of common bean cotyledon cells. *Food & function*, 9, 6544-6554.
- Pereira, R., Singh, H., Munro, P., & Luckman, M. (2003). Sensory and instrumental textural characteristics of acid milk gels. *International Dairy Journal*, *13*, 655-667.
- Romano, A., D'Amelia, V., Gallo, V., Palomba, S., Carputo, D., & Masi, P. (2018). Relationships between composition, microstructure and cooking performances of six potato varieties. *Food research international, 114*, 10-19.
- Romano, A., Ferranti, P., Gallo, V., & Masi, P. (2021). New ingredients and alternatives to durum wheat semolina for a high quality dried pasta. *Current opinion in food science, 41, 249-259*.
- Romano, A., Mackie, A., Farina, F., Aponte, M., Sarghini, F., & Masi, P. (2016). Characterisation, in vitro digestibility and expected glycemic index of commercial starches as uncooked ingredients. *Journal of food science and technology*, *53*, 4126-4134.
- Romero, H. M., & Zhang, Y. (2019). Physicochemical properties and rheological behavior of flours and starches from four bean varieties for gluten-free pasta formulation. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Research*, 1, 100001.
- Salentinig, S. (2019). Supramolecular structures in lipid digestion and implications for functional food delivery. *Current Opinion in Colloid & Interface Science, 39*, 190-201.

Scanlon, M., Edwards, N., & Dexter, J. (2005). Pasta: Strength and structure. New Food, 8, 10.

- Serial, M. R., Canalis, M. B., Carpinella, M., Valentinuzzi, M. C., León, A., Ribotta, P. D., & Acosta, R. H. (2016). Influence of the incorporation of fibers in biscuit dough on proton mobility characterized by time domain NMR. *Food chemistry*, *192*, 950-957.
- Sharma, R., Dar, B., Sharma, S., & Singh, B. (2021). In vitro digestibility, cooking quality, bio-functional composition, and sensory properties of pasta incorporated with potato and pigeonpea flour. *International Journal of Gastronomy and Food Science, 23*, 100300.
- Shi, H., Lei, Y., Louzada Prates, L., & Yu, P. (2019). Evaluation of near-infrared (NIR) and Fourier transform mid-infrared (ATR-FT/MIR) spectroscopy techniques combined with chemometrics for the determination of crude protein and intestinal protein digestibility of wheat. *Food Chem*, 272, 507-513.
- Singh, H., Ye, A., & Ferrua, M. J. (2015). Aspects of food structures in the digestive tract. *Current opinion in food science*, *3*, 85-93.
- Singh, H., Ye, A., & Horne, D. (2009). Structuring food emulsions in the gastrointestinal tract to modify lipid digestion. *Prog Lipid Res, 48*, 92-100.
- Singh, J., Dartois, A., & Kaur, L. (2010). Starch digestibility in food matrix: a review. *Trends in Food Science & Technology, 21*, 168-180.
- Somaratne, G., Ferrua, M. J., Ye, A., Nau, F., Floury, J., Dupont, D., & Singh, J. (2020). Food material properties as determining factors in nutrient release during human gastric digestion: A review. *Critical reviews in food science and nutrition, 60*, 3753-3769.
- Susanna, S., & Prabhasankar, P. (2013). A study on development of Gluten free pasta and its biochemical and immunological validation. *LWT-Food Science and Technology*, *50*, 613-621.
- Szczesniak, A. S. (2002). Texture is a sensory property. Food quality and preference, 13, 215-225.
- Tan, Y., & McClements, D. J. (2021). Improving the bioavailability of oil-soluble vitamins by optimizing food matrix effects: A review. *Food Chem, 348*, 129148.
- Tan, Y., Zhang, Z., Liu, J., Xiao, H., & McClements, D. J. (2020). Factors impacting lipid digestion and nutraceutical bioaccessibility assessed by standardized gastrointestinal model (INFOGEST): oil. Food Funct, 11, 9936-9946.
- Tao, Y., Lee, Y. C., Liu, H., Zhang, X., Cui, J., Mondoa, C., Babaei, M., Santillan, J., Wang, G., Luo, D., Liu, D., Yang, H., Do, Y., Sun, L., Wang, W., Zhang, T., & Yao, L. (2021). Morphing pasta and beyond. *Sci Adv, 7,* eabf4098.
- Tavares, L., Santos, L., & Noreña, C. P. Z. (2021). Bioactive compounds of garlic: A comprehensive review of encapsulation technologies, characterization of the encapsulated garlic compounds and their industrial applicability. *Trends in Food Science & Technology, 114, 232-244*.
- Teodorowicz, M., Van Neerven, J., & Savelkoul, H. (2017). Food processing: The influence of the maillard reaction on immunogenicity and allergenicity of food proteins. *Nutrients, 9*, 835.
- Tester, R. F., & Debon, S. J. (2000). Annealing of starch—a review. *International journal of biological* macromolecules, 27, 1-12.
- Tian, J., Ogawa, Y., Shi, J., Chen, S., Zhang, H., Liu, D., & Ye, X. (2019). The microstructure of starchy food modulates its digestibility. *Critical reviews in food science and nutrition*, *59*, 3117-3128.
- Toda, M., Hellwig, M., Henle, T., & Vieths, S. (2019). Influence of the Maillard reaction on the allergenicity of food proteins and the development of allergic inflammation. *Current allergy and asthma reports*, 19, 4.
- Tournier, C., Sulmont-Rossé, C., & Guichard, E. (2007). Flavour perception: aroma, taste and texture interactions. *Food*, 1, 246-257.
- Turgeon, S. L., & Rioux, L.-E. (2011). Food matrix impact on macronutrients nutritional properties. *Food Hydrocolloids, 25*, 1915-1924.
- Ubbink, J., Burbidge, A., & Mezzenga, R. (2008). Food structure and functionality: a soft matter perspective. *Soft Matter*, *4*, 1569-1581.
- Van der Sman, R., & Van der Goot, A. (2009). The science of food structuring. Soft Matter, 5, 501-510.
- van der Sman, R. G. M., Houlder, S., Cornet, S., & Janssen, A. (2020). Physical chemistry of gastric digestion of proteins gels. *Curr Res Food Sci, 2*, 45-60.

- Witczak, T., & Gałkowska, D. (2021). Sorption and thermal characteristics of ancient grain pasta of various compositions. *LWT*, *137*, 110433.
- Wu, Y., Okesola, B. O., Xu, J., Korotkin, I., Berardo, A., Corridori, I., di Brocchetti, F. L. P., Kanczler, J., Feng, J., Li, W., Shi, Y., Farafonov, V., Wang, Y., Thompson, R. F., Titirici, M. M., Nerukh, D., Karabasov, S., Oreffo, R. O. C., Carlos Rodriguez-Cabello, J., Vozzi, G., Azevedo, H. S., Pugno, N. M., Wang, W., & Mata, A. (2020). Disordered protein-graphene oxide co-assembly and supramolecular biofabrication of functional fluidic devices. *Nat Commun*, *11*, 1182.
- Zhang, Z., Zhang, R., & McClements, D. J. (2019). Establishing the impact of food matrix effects on the bioaccessibility of nutraceuticals and pesticides using a standardized food model. *Food & function, 10*, 1375-1385.
- Zhu, Z., Zhou, Q., & Sun, D.-W. (2019). Measuring and controlling ice crystallization in frozen foods: A review of recent developments. *Trends in Food Science & Technology, 90*, 13-25.
- Žolnere, K., Arnold, M., Hull, B., & Everett, D. W. (2019). Cheese proteolysis and matrix disintegration during in vitro digestion. *Food Structure*, *21*, 100114.
- Zou, W., Schulz, B. L., Tan, X., Sissons, M., Warren, F. J., Gidley, M. J., & Gilbert, R. G. (2019). The role of thermostable proteinaceous α-amylase inhibitors in slowing starch digestion in pasta. *Food Hydrocolloids*, *90*, 241-247.
- Zou, W., Sissons, M., Gidley, M. J., Gilbert, R. G., & Warren, F. J. (2015). Combined techniques for characterising pasta structure reveals how the gluten network slows enzymic digestion rate. *Food chemistry*, *188*, 559-568.

Caption to Figures

Figure 1. Food matrix is defined by structures at different length scales consisting of elements spanning nanometres to millimetres and above. Many of the important properties of foods are determined by structural elements at microscale. Molecules such as carbohydrates, proteins, and lipids, indeed form supramolecular clusters that behave as pseudo-molecules of higher molecular weight. Linking organised structural elements to food properties through imaging may be feasible by means of artificial intelligence applications.

Figure 2. The process behind the decomposition of T2 decays raw data into a lower dimensional space. Each time point of each decay is interpreted as variable and fed to a probabilistic PCA with an RBF kernel. Data are transformed according to coefficients which are dependent on the kernel parameters, optimized through machine learning. An example of resulting latent space is showed in the following Figure 3a, where each T2 decay, measured for each different cooking time, is represented as a point in a two-dimensional space.

Figure 3. *a*) Resulting lower dimensional latent space, computed according to Figure 2. In this space, each T2 decay measured at different cooking times (indicated by the colour gradient) is represented as a point. The points are the projection in the 2-d latent features space, learned by the kernel, of each T2 decay. In this space, differences tied to effects of cooking on water mobility are the most detectable. b) Scatter plot of PC0 vs HF6 (Sum Average, computed from SEM images of the central zones). A qualitative interpretation of the relationship between these two variables can be given as follows: in the functionality phase, water mobility is mainly related with starch gelatinization phenomena, resulting in little morphological changes. After an activation phase, where the rupture of structures in the food matrix begin to arise, the morphological changes detected in images start a strictly monotonous trend related to cooking time (morphology phase).

Table 1. Principal methods for structural analyses at characteristic length scales in foods, appearance of food matrix and structural elements

SCALE LENGTH	METHODS	PHYSICAL STATE / STRUCTURAL ELEMENTS	INFORMATION ON:
> 1 cm	 Texture analysis Image analysis Sensory panel 	liquid, gel, solid, porous solid	 -properties of network at large deformation - size and shape macrostructural elements -sensorial attributes (e.g., appearance, colour, firmness, overall acceptability)
1 mm – 1 cm	Texture analysisMicroscopy	liquid -aqueous matrix (aqueous phase in fruit juices), liquid -emulsion matrix (mayonnaise), gels (desserts, processed meats), porous matrix (bread, extruded snacks), viscoelastic matrix (dough), etc.	-properties of network at large deformation related to eating properties -microstructure
1 - 500µm	 Confocal microscopy Light microscopy Rheology 	micelles (<i>casein micelles</i>), droplets, air cells (<i>bread</i> <i>bubbles</i>), crystals (<i>salt</i>), fibres, granules (<i>starch granules</i>), etc.	-size and shape of structures -properties of network at small deformation -ingredient interaction
10 -500 nm	 Light scattering Electron microscopy 	micelles (<i>casein micelles</i>), droplets, air cells (<i>bread</i> <i>bubbles</i>), crystals (<i>salt</i>), fibres, granules (<i>starch granules</i>), etc.	-aggregation, density, arrangement -size of structures
< 10nm	 Raman Chromatography Thermal analysis SDS Page NIR 	carbohydrates (<i>starch</i>), proteins (<i>gluten, caseins</i>), lipids, water, etc.	-molecular structure -proportion of elementary parts -unfolding vs. native -denaturation /transition temperature

Table	2.	Main	descriptors	and	(dis)advantages	for	electronic	microscopy	and	magnetic
resona	nce	e imagi	ng							

	<u>SEM</u>	MRI
Descriptors	 Particle size and morphology Pore size and morphology Size distribution and morphology Shape orientation (e.g., fibres) and diameter distribution (e.g., beads) 	 First order grey level statistics (e.g., Histogram of grey levels statistics, symmetry of grey levels centred about the mean, entropy of the image) Roughness of textures Degree of linearity Co-occurrence matrix statistics (e.g., Haralick moments) Structural or morphological features of ROIs (e.g., Bounding ellipsoid volume ratios) Transform features (features extracted in frequency domains)
Pros & Cons	 Not immediately suitable for high- throughput production (parameter dependent acquisitions: lighting, magnification etc.) No data harmonization standard due to heterogeneous necessities of application fields and experiments Widely applied in many fields Canonical descriptors immediately linkable with physical quantities Very high resolution Requires specific assumptions for image analysis (i.e., presence/absence of certain geometrical structures, pores, shapes etc.) 	 Inherently suitable for high- throughput data production Data harmonization standards are widely supported in many biomedical fields (neuro imaging, imaging for oncology) Descriptors comes from low- level, general texture analysis and morphological studies alike Low resolution Does not require specific assumptions for image analysis, due to canonical analysis based upon general first order statistics of grey levels and moments of cooccurrence matrix.







- Supramolecular structure is important for in-silico design of functional foods
- Models based on artificial intelligence may predict optimal food structures
- Water-matrix interactions and structure must be included in digital twin of food