Capturing dual AGN activity and kiloparsec-scale outflows in IRAS 20210+1121
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ABSTRACT

The most standard scenario for the evolution of massive galaxies across cosmic time assumes a correspondence based on the interplay between active galactic nuclei (AGN) feedback, which injects large amounts of energy into the host environment, and galaxy mergers, with their ability to trigger massive star formation events and accretion onto supermassive black holes. Interacting systems hosting AGN are useful laboratories for obtaining key insights into both phenomena. In this context, we present an analysis of the optical spectral properties of IRAS 20210+1121 (I20210), a merging system at $z = 0.056$. According to X-ray data, this object comprises two interacting galaxies, each hosting an obscured AGN. The optical spectra confirm the presence of AGN features in both galaxies. In particular, we are able to provide a Seyfert classification for I20210 North. The spectrum of I20210 South shows broad blueshifted components associated with the most intense emission lines that indicate the presence of an ionized outflow, for which we derive a maximum velocity of $\sim 2000$ km s$^{-1}$, an extension of $\sim 2$ kpc, and a mass rate of $\sim 0.6$ $M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$. We also report the existence of an ionized nebular component with $v \sim 1000$ km s$^{-1}$ at $\sim 6.5$ kpc southwards of I20210 South, which can be interpreted as disrupted gas ejected from the host galaxy by the action of the outflow. I20210 therefore exhibits a dual obscured AGN, with one of them showing evidence of ongoing events for AGN-powered outflows. Future spatially resolved spectroscopy will allow for an accurate mapping of the gas kinematics in this AGN pair and evaluate the impact of the outflow on both the interstellar medium and the galaxy environment.
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1. Introduction

The past history of formation and evolution of present-day massive galaxies is a key point to consider on the path to obtaining a fuller understanding of the functioning of the Universe. In this context, the study of processes operating on galaxy-wide scales, such as the presence of active galactic nuclei (AGN; e.g., Lynden-Bell 1969) or events related to galaxy mergers (e.g., Hernquist 1989), is crucial to improving our knowledge of the mechanisms that are able to boot, maintain, enhance, and quench star formation in galaxies – thereby shaping the entire environment in the process.

It is now widely accepted that AGN activity and galaxy mergers are among the most effective phenomena regulating star formation in massive galaxies at nearly all redshifts; namely, the first injects large amounts of energy that are able to originate powerful gas winds in the surrounding environment (e.g., Di Matteo et al. 2005; Cattaneo et al. 2009; Fabian 2012), while the second takes place by triggering massive star formation and starburst events in molecular gas-rich clouds (e.g., Sanders & Mirabel 1996). Both simulations of the evolutionary history of the Universe in the framework of the ΛCDM model (e.g., Davis et al. 1985; Springel et al. 2005; Croton et al. 2006) and observations that confirm their contribution to simultaneously shaping galactic environments (e.g., Sanders et al. 1988; Kormendy & Ho 2013; Ellison et al. 2019) have confirmed the major role that such processes play in galaxy formation and evolution.

Within this general picture, however, several values related to how exactly AGN energetics and mergers directly impact the star formation history of galaxies are still missing. For instance, it is still unknown whether radiation-powered gas outflows are ubiquitous to all AGN (e.g., Elvis 2000) or whether they affect only a fraction of the AGN lifetime (e.g., Farrah et al. 2007), along with what their effectiveness is with regard to altering the physical and dynamical status of gas reservoirs on several spatial scales (e.g., Scannapieco & Oh 2004; Cicone et al. 2018). In addition, the relative dominance of one process onto the other for moving large gas masses and triggering or quenching star formation has been found to be dependent on the details of the AGN emission...
mode, the galaxy’s surrounding environment and its star formation history (e.g., Hopkins et al. 2006; Heckman & Best 2014). Therefore, the study of interacting galactic systems with the presence of multiple AGN (e.g., Veilleux et al. 2002) offers an extremely interesting possibility for understanding the properties and links between such competing mechanisms. The most common objects of this kind are dual AGN, in which an active nucleus is hosted in both members of a pair of interacting galaxies with separation on the scale of 5–20 kpc (see e.g., De Rosa et al. 2018, and references therein).

In this work, we present the results of the optical spectroscopic analysis of the \( z = 0.056 \) dual AGN IRAS 20210+1121 (I20210 hereafter; Perez et al. 1990, P90 hereafter), which is composed of two interacting galaxies oriented in the N–S direction and separated by 12′′ (i.e. \( \sim 13.3 \) kpc; Davies et al. 2002; Arribas et al. 2004). Considered at first as being composed of a Seyfert 2 with asymmetric emission lines (the southern component) and a normal galaxy (the northern component), X-ray observations performed with XMM-Newton revealed that this system is actually a merger between two obscured AGN hosts (Piconcelli et al. 2010), in which the southern member is an ultraluminous infrared galaxy (ULIRG; e.g., Sanders et al. 1988). Additionally, despite having access to spectroscopic data in the near-infrared (Burston et al. 2001), the optical spectrum of the northern member was still unobserved, due to its faintness compared to the southern galaxy (Heisler & Vader 1995). The image of the I20210 system, obtained by combining the grizy exposures from the Pan-STARRS1 survey (PS1; Chambers 2016) centered on the sky coordinates of I20210S (\( \alpha_{2000} = 20 23 25.4, \delta_{2000} = +11 31 34.7 \)). The isophotes of the XMM-Newton Optical Monitor (OM) UVW1 mosaic exposure (green solid lines) taken simultaneously to the X-ray data analyzed by Piconcelli et al. (2010) – along with some of the associated CCD count levels – are drawn onto the PS1 image to highlight weak features. The TNG slit direction and position (magenta dot-dashed lines) are also indicated along with the positions and directions of the trace centers (white dashed lines) identified to extract the 1D spectrum of each object.

2. Observations and data reduction

Observations of the I20210 optical spectra were carried out on 2010 August 01 at the Telescopio Nazionale Galileo (TNG; Canarian Islands, Spain). The spectra were simultaneously obtained with the B-band grism (wavelength range \( \lambda \lambda 3000–8430 \) Å, dispersion of 2.52 Å px\(^{-1} \), \( \lambda / \Delta \lambda = 585 \), implying a resolution of 9.8 Å that corresponds to \( \sim 510 \) km s\(^{-1} \)) of the DOLoRes instrument (point-spread function PSF \( \sim 0′′85 \)), coupled to the 1′′5 slit. To this end, the instrument configuration was rotated to a position angle of 166′′ in order to align the slit along the system axis connecting the two nuclei. The two exposures of 600 s each (total exposure time of 1200 s) were then reduced with standard IRAF procedures to extract and calibrate the one-dimensional spectra. We show the slit position and orientation (PA = 166′′ east of north) along with the directions of the apertures used to extract the spectra of each object in Fig. 1, superimposed to the PS1 image of the system. The resulting spectra have signal-to-noise ratios of \( S/N \sim 23.6 \) (I20210N) and \( \sim 33.2 \) (I20210S), respectively, as computed in line-free continuum regions (Rosales-Ortega et al. 2012).

During the extraction and calibration procedures of the 1D spectra, we found that a spectrum emitted from a third location...
was visible southwards of I20210S, at a projected distance of \(~6''\) (corresponding to \(~6.5\) kpc given the distance scale of \(1.087\) kpc at \(z = 0.056\)) from its trace center. This additional spectrum, already identified by P90 in their low-resolution data as extended emission in the I20210S host galaxy (South Nebula, hereafter), is shown in 2D form in Fig. 2: it exhibits the main transitions detected in I20210S ([O\textsc{ii}] \(\lambda \lambda 4959,5007, \text{H}\alpha\)) detached by \(~2.3\) kpc in the N–S direction and blueshifted by \(~450\) km s\(^{-1}\) with respect to the systemic rest frame. We thus extracted and calibrated it in the same way as we do for the spectra of the main components.

Since the I20210 system is viewed through the Galactic extinction curve by Pei (1992) and \(A_V = 0.6\) (P90), the final spectra obtained in this way are shown in Fig. 3. A visual inspection of the (so-far undetected) I20210N optical spectrum reveals prominent \([\text{O\textsc{ii}}] \lambda \lambda 4363, \text{H}\alpha\) \(+\text{[N\textsc{ii}}] \lambda \lambda 6548,6583\) and \([\text{S\textsc{ii}}] \lambda \lambda 6716,6731\) features. Such a spectrum shows strong similarities with those of typical Seyfert 2 galaxies, such as NGC 1667 (Ho et al. 1993, 1995; Jones et al. 2009) and Mrk 1018 (Osterbrock 1981).

### 3. Characterization of I20210 North

We first proceed to estimate the amount of intrinsic dust extinction in each object. To this end, we decided to measure the reddening \(E(B-V)\) of the AGN spectrum through the Balmer decrement \(F_{\text{H}\beta}/F_{\text{H}\alpha}\) (e.g., Miller & Mathews 1972):

\[
F_{\text{H}\beta}/F_{\text{H}\alpha} = I_{\text{H}\beta}/I_{\text{H}\alpha} \cdot 10^{0.4(E(B-V)+R_V)(A_V)}.
\]

with the intrinsic ratio \(I_{\text{H}\beta}/I_{\text{H}\alpha}\) depending on the physical conditions of the emitting gas only (see e.g., Gaskell & Ferland 1984, and refs. therein), and with \(R_V\) and \(A_V\) determined by the adopted extinction model. Since no evidence for narrow lines associated with H\beta is visible bluewards of the \([\text{O\textsc{ii}}]\) doublet in the I20210N spectrum, we first proceed to model the underlying continuum in order to recover the Balmer emission from the narrow-line region (NLR) of I20210N.

#### 3.1. I20210N continuum and emission-line fitting

We modeled the I20210N continuum under the assumption of a negligible AGN contribution to the continuum emission. This is justified by the fact that the I20210N central engine \(L_X = 4.7 \times 10^{42}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) is highly obscured by a column density \(N_H \sim 5 \times 10^{22}\) cm\(^{-2}\) (Piconcelli et al. 2010) and, therefore, no light from accretion activity is visible. We subtract the stellar continuum with absorption lines from the I20210N galaxy spectrum by using the penalized-pixel fitting public code pPXF (Cappellari & Emsellem 2004; Cappellari 2012, 2017). The spectrum is fitted with a linear combination of stellar spectra templates from the MILES library (Vazdekis et al. 2010), which contains single stellar population synthesis models covering the same wavelength range as the I20210N spectrum with a full width at half maximum (FWHM) resolution of 2.54 Å. This procedure also yields information about the kinematics status of the stellar population in the galaxy through the stellar velocity dispersion \(\sigma^r\).

We rebinned the MILES templates \((\lambda/\Delta \lambda \sim 2.5\) Å) to match the DOLoRes spectral resolution of \(-10\) Å. We include low-order additive (4th-degree) and multiplicative (1st-degree) Legendre polynomials to adjust the continuum shape of the templates to the observed spectrum. During the fitting procedure, strong emission features are masked out and the spectra are shifted to the rest frame. The pPXF best-fit model is chosen through \(\chi^2\) minimization. To estimate the uncertainty on the velocity dispersion, we produced \(10^3\) realizations of the I20210N spectrum by adding noise to the pPXF best-fit model; this noise is drawn from a Gaussian distribution with dispersion equal to the rms of the
Fig. 3. Optical spectra of the I20210 components. Top panel: I20210N. Middle panel: I20210S. Bottom panel: the spatially extended South Nebula. In all panels: (i) the detected signal is reported along with its rms uncertainty (cyan bands); (ii) the zero-flux level (dashed line) is indicated; and (iii) the positions of major emission (top) and absorption features (bottom) are labeled accordingly.

We then fit the relevant emission lines with Gaussian profiles through the IDL minimization package MPFIT. Input spectrum. We then iterate the pPXF fitting procedure over such mock spectra and compute the error associated with $\sigma_*^v$ as the standard deviation of the parameter posterior distribution. In doing so, we find a best-fit $\sigma_*^v = 390 \pm 50$ km s$^{-1}$. The residual spectrum obtained by subtracting off the best-fit stellar model from the spectrum is then used to derive emission-line properties. This procedure allowed us to recover the H$\beta$ narrow emission and therefore compute the Balmer decrement $F_{H\alpha}/F_{H\beta}$. Both the fitted starlight continuum and the residual emission-line spectrum of I20210N are shown in Fig. 4.

We note that the derived stellar-velocity dispersion value is very high compared to what is expected for typical galaxies: for example, a search in the catalogue of galactic dynamics by Forbes & Ponman (1999) yields only two elliptical/S0 objects with $\sigma_*^v > 300$ km s$^{-1}$. Similarly, the stellar velocity dispersions measured by Falcón-Barroso et al. (2017) in a large sample of galaxies from the CALIFA survey and by Perna et al. (2021) in a sample of nearby ULIRGs never exceed $\sim 200$ km s$^{-1}$. Nevertheless, objects exhibiting exceptional values of $\sigma_*^v$ exist: it is, for instance, the case of NGC 6240 ($\sigma_*^v \sim 360$ km s$^{-1}$; Doyon et al. 1994), which is indeed a final-state merging system. Therefore, the stellar velocity dispersion value found in I20210N may indicate that the internal kinematics of the galaxy is deeply altered by the gravitational interaction with I20210S.

We then fit the relevant emission lines with Gaussian profiles through the IDL minimization package MPFIT.
Fig. 4. Model of the rest-frame spectrum of I20210N. Upper panel: full I20210N spectrum (black solid line), along with the best-fit starlight model adopted for continuum subtraction (yellow dot-dashed line), the best-fit reddened emission profiles (green short-dashed lines), the global spectral model (red solid line), and the masks applied to the telluric absorption lines (grey bands) shown superimposed to the data. Lower panels: zoom on the continuum-subtracted emission lines (black solid line), shown along with the global best fit (red solid line) and the best-fit single components (green short-dashed lines) for the blended [O\textsc{ii}] doublet, H$\alpha$+[N\textsc{ii}] and [S\textsc{ii}] transitions. The standardized residuals after the best-fit subtraction are also shown in separate windows below each spectral region. In all panels, the zero-level flux (black long-dashed line) is indicated; in the panel with H$\alpha$+[N\textsc{ii}] and [S\textsc{ii}], the masks applied to the telluric absorption lines (grey bands) are shown superimposed to the data.

(Markwardt 2009). All the narrow components are simultaneously fitted considering them as emitted at the same distance from the AGN, that is, with equal FWHM in the velocity space. In addition, we fix the intensities of the faint components of the [O\textsc{iii}] and [N\textsc{ii}] doublets to a ratio $1/3.06$ with the respective dominant component (e.g., Osterbrock & Ferland 2006). In order to compute meaningful uncertainties of measurement for the free parameters, we iterate this process over $10^3$ Monte-Carlo (MC) realizations of each line spectrum. Such realizations have fluxes at each wavelength altered by a random quantity extracted from a Gaussian distribution, which is centered at the specific flux value and wide as the corresponding 1$\sigma$ rms flux error.

The best fit of the I20210N emission lines is shown in Fig. 4, along with the corresponding standardized residuals. The value of the Balmer decrement derived from this procedure is $2.97 \pm 0.31$, compatible within errors to both the intrinsic ratio $I_{H\alpha}/I_{H\beta} \sim 2.85$ typical of [H\textsc{ii}] region-like objects and the AGN ratio of $3.1$ (Veilleux & Osterbrock 1987). Therefore, we can assume that the NLR of I20210N is viewed along a non-reddened line of sight, with $E(B-V) \sim 0$. The best-fit parameters of the narrow emission lines are reported in Table 1, with FWHM corrected for the instrumental broadening $\Delta v_{\text{inst}} \sim 510 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ corresponding to the DOLoRes resolution of $\sim 10 \text{ Å}$:

$$F \text{WHM}_{\text{corr}} = \sqrt{F \text{WHM}_{\text{obs}}^2 - \Delta v_{\text{inst}}^2}. \quad (2)$$

3.2. I20210N classification

To assess the nature of the AGN hosted in I20210N, we computed the [O\textsc{iii}]/H$\beta$, [N\textsc{ii}]/H$\alpha$, [S\textsc{ii}]/H$\alpha$, [O\textsc{i}]/H$\alpha$ and [O\textsc{ii}]/H$\beta$ logarithmic line ratios from the best-fit emission line parameters. The derived values are presented in Table 1, along with their uncertainties. For completeness, we also report the value of the [O\textsc{iii}]/[O\textsc{ii}] ratio that is used to further classify active galaxies (e.g., Heckman 1980; Kewley et al. 2006).

The values derived for I20210N are plotted in the BPT diagrams shown in Fig. 5, superimposed to the values for...
Table 1. Best-fit parameters of the dereddened diagnostic emission lines in the optical spectrum of I20210N, I20210S NLR ("N" components) and outflowing emission ("B" components), and emission from the South Nebula (top), and values of the diagnostic line ratios with the corresponding uncertainties (bottom).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transition</th>
<th>I20210N (χ^2/ν_dof = 427/405)</th>
<th>I20210S (χ^2/ν_dof = 442/405)</th>
<th>South Nebula (χ^2/ν_dof = 228/209)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flux (10^{-14} erg s^{-1} cm^{-2})</td>
<td>FWHM (km s^{-1})</td>
<td>Flux (10^{-14} erg s^{-1} cm^{-2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[O II] λ3726,3729</td>
<td>1.060 ± 0.055</td>
<td>690 ± 40</td>
<td>10.4 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[O II] λ4363</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.500 ± 0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hβ</td>
<td>0.214 ± 0.010</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>13.72 ± 0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[O III] λ5007</td>
<td>1.058 ± 0.047</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>85.2 ± 5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[O I] λ6300</td>
<td>0.193 ± 0.010</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>4.13 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He</td>
<td>0.623 ± 0.028</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>42.5 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[N II] λ6583</td>
<td>0.863 ± 0.039</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>33.7 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[S II] λ6717</td>
<td>0.436 ± 0.021</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>6.80 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[S II] λ6731</td>
<td>0.366 ± 0.018</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>7.21 ± 0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E(B − V)</td>
<td>~0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.271 ± 0.019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. The integrated fluxes presented here have been dereddened by the indicated amount of E(B − V) with the SMC extinction by Pei (1992). *Transition not detected in the spectrum. †Value fixed to be equal to the first non-null one upwards in the column. ‡Value anchored to the best fit of the [O III] λ5007 broad component.
SDSS-DR7 objects retrieved from the OSSY database (Oh et al. 2011, 2015). To discriminate between the different classes of emission-line galaxies (star-forming, Seyferts, LINERs), we adopt from the current literature the relations defining the boundaries between types of galactic activity: (i) the extreme-starburst relation and the Seyfert–LINER classification boundaries by Kewley et al. (2001) in the original diagrams by Baldwin et al. (1981); (ii) the star-forming, Seyfert–LINER and mixed-region boundaries by Lamareille (2010) in the [O III] emission system may o...
transitions the asymmetries would be redshifted with respect to the line center (see e.g., their Fig. 3). Therefore, we can conclude that I2021OS exhibits evidence of an ionized gas outflow.

To account for this additional emission, we include the broad components in the fit of the I2021OS line profiles anchoring the blueshift and FWHM values of the transitions affected by severe blending – namely, the [O ii] λ3726,3729 doublet, the Hγ+[O iii], λ4363, the Hα+[N ii] system and the [S ii] doublet – to those of the [O iii] λ5007 (see Table 1). This choice is motivated by the fact that the [O ii] emission has the highest S/N; in the cases where an anchoring to its parameters is adopted, only the line amplitude is left free to vary. In addition, we estimate the amount of intrinsic dust extinction for the NLR and the outflow separately since the two regions are, in principle, located at different amounts of reddening.

The Balmer ratio derived from the MC fit for the narrow components is $F_{\text{HI}}/F_{\text{Hβ}} = 4.108 \pm 0.079$, corresponding to $E(B-V)_{\text{NLR}} = 0.271 \pm 0.019$ mag for the AGN intrinsic ratio $I_{\text{HI}}/I_{\text{Hβ}} = 3.1$ (Veilleux & Osterbrock 1987) and the SMC extinction by Pei (1992), whereas a ratio $F_{\text{HI}}/F_{\text{Hβ}} = 3.80 \pm 0.34$ for the outflow yields $E(B-V)_{\text{out}} = 0.195 \pm 0.091$. Finally, we applied the extinctions derived in this way to deredden the corresponding emission-line amplitudes. The best fit of the reddened I2021OS spectrum is shown in Fig. 6, whereas the best-fit parameters of both its NLR and outflow emission are reported in Table 1. On average, the I2021OS wind has an outflow velocity $\Delta v = 330 \pm 170$ km s$^{-1}$ and $FWHM = 2000 \pm 390$ km s$^{-1}$; such values are a factor of $\sim 2.4$ higher than the corresponding mean parameters found by Arribas et al. (2014) in ULIRGs hosting AGN (see their Table 2), and more in line with those found by Rodríguez Zaurín et al. (2013) for ionized outflows in nearby ULIRGs (see their Table 2) and by Zakamska et al. (2016) in high-$z$ reddened quasars (see their Table 1) where the emission-line profiles are modeled using multiple Gaussians.

4.2. South Nebula spectrum

Next we estimated the intrinsic reddening of the South Nebula. The detection of both Hβ and Hα narrow transitions allows us to apply the MC line-fitting procedure described in the case of I20210N (see Fig. 7 and Sect. 3.1). Lacking any trace of an underlying continuum that could have been used in the determination of the reddening law, we adopted the SMC extinction by Pei (1992), as in the case of the parent nucleus. This in turn yields $I_{\text{HI}}/I_{\text{Hβ}} = 2.05 \pm 0.10$, which is lower than the intrinsic
ratio of 2.85 valid for [H II] regions. Also, the low associated error of measurement potentially indicates a poorly determined estimate of the Balmer ratio, likely due to the uncertainties in extracting a continuum-less spectrum that is high enough to include the single components of the Hα+[N II] and [S II] blended profiles (green short-dashed lines), and the zero-flux level (black long-dashed line) is indicated. The standardized residuals after the best-fit subtraction are also shown in separate windows below each spectral region.

We then fit the parameters of the five emission features that are clearly identified, namely Hβ, [O III], Hα, [N II] and [S II], without applying any dereddening (see Table 1). Interestingly, the South Nebula exhibits a blueshift of 550 ± 150 km s$^{-1}$ with respect to the systemic redshift and a FWHM of 710 ± 330 km s$^{-1}$. Such features are a clear indication of highly disrupted gas (Bellocchi et al. 2013), similar to that found by Ramos Almeida et al. (2017) in the Teacup Galaxy (L$_{[OIII]}$ < $5 \times 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$) according to Reyes et al. 2008, to be compared with $L_{[OIII]}$ ~ $6.5 \times 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$ for I20210S) at comparable distances (~5.6 kpc) from the central engine.

### 4.3. I20210S classification

As done in Sect. 3.2 for I20210N, we computed the line ratios for all the regions decomposed from the spectrum of I20210S, namely, the NLR, the outflow, and the South Nebula, and we placed them in the relevant BPT diagrams to obtain a first discrimination between an AGN or star-formation powered emission. A visual inspection confirms that the NLR properties are fully consistent with their AGN nature, as well as with the outflow emission falling well inside the AGN region shown to be in agreement with the scenario of an ionized wind driven by the nuclear activity.

The South Nebula sits close enough to the boundary between AGN and star-forming galaxies to prevent its straightforward inclusion among the AGN-powered processes. However, the kinematic properties of this region (velocity blueshift of ~500 km s$^{-1}$, FWHM of ~700 km s$^{-1}$) may actually be interpreted as being due to the I20210S outflow, which has stripped out ionized gas from the I20210S nucleus. The possibility that the South Nebula is an extended NLR component blown out of the central engine by radiation pressure is in principle supported by studies that ubiquitously find NLRs extended over ~10 kpc from the central engine in both Type 1 and Type 2 quasars (see e.g., Husemann et al. 2013, and refs. therein); in the case of I20210S, this is less likely and it is expected, rather, to be extended, non-outflowing gas associated with extreme mergers that is due to the fact that blown-out NLRs typically show FWHM < 250 km s$^{-1}$ (Bellocchi et al. 2013).

Our data do not allow a deeper exploration of the spectral properties of the South Nebula. Therefore, we point out that due to the intermediate values of its diagnostic line ratios between AGN and star-forming galaxies, this detached emitting region is a very interesting environment in which the effects of AGN feedback may be at work in pushing the gas outside the central region of the host galaxy (negative feedback) while also triggering some amount of star formation into it (positive feedback; see e.g., Maiolino et al. 2017). Thus, it is worthy of further investigation with high-quality spatially resolved spectroscopy.

### 5. Physical properties of the outflow in I20210S

Next we were able to characterize the physics of the I20120S ionized wind. To this aim, we estimated the outflowing mass $M_{\text{out}}$ and the mass loss rate $M$ of the ionized gas following the method presented in Kakkad et al. (2016) and Bischetti et al. (2017). Under the assumptions that (i) the AGN wind is free, spherically or biconically symmetric, and mass-conserving; (ii) the AGN wind has mass-outflow rate and velocity

---

Fig. 7. Best-fit profiles of the emission lines from the South Nebula in the rest frame. Left panel: the Hβ+[O III] spectral region. Right panel: the Hα+[N II] and [S II] spectral region. In both panels, the global emission profile (red solid line) is shown superimposed to the line spectrum (black histogram) along with the single components of the Hα+[N II] and [S II] blended profiles (green short-dashed lines), and the zero-flux level (black long-dashed line) is indicated. The standardized residuals after the best-fit subtraction are also shown in separate windows below each spectral region.
independent on the outflow radius (Rupke et al. 2002, 2005); and (iii) most of the oxygen consists of O\(^{+2}\) ions, we can use the relation by Carniani et al. (2015):

\[
\log \left( \frac{M_{\text{out}}}{M_\odot} \right) = 7.6 + \log \left( \frac{C}{10^{[\text{O/H}-1]} \text{[O/H]}_\odot} \right) + \log \left( \frac{L_{\text{out}}}{L_{\text{OIII}}^\odot} \right) - \log \left( \frac{(n_e)}{10^3 \text{cm}^{-3}} \right),
\]

where \(C = \langle n_e \rangle^2/\langle n_e \rangle, \) \([\text{O/H}] - [\text{O/H}]_\odot\) is the gas metallicity relative to the solar value, \(L_{\text{out}}/L_{\text{OIII}}^\odot\) is the outflowing [O\(\text{iii}\)] luminosity, and \(\langle n_e \rangle\) is the average electron density. The latter is, in turn, related to the electron temperature, \(T_e\), which can be derived from the line ratios \((I_{9599} + I_{8007})/I_{3363}\) of the outflow emission (Osterbrock & Ferland 2006):

\[
\frac{I_{9599} + I_{8007}}{I_{3363}} = 7.90 \cdot \exp \left( \frac{32900 \text{ K}}{T_e} \right).
\]

From the decomposition of the emission lines in the spectrum of I20210S through the fit with multiple Gaussian components described in Sect. 3 (see Fig. 6), we compute \((I_{9599} + I_{8007})/I_{3363} = 100 \pm 70\), which corresponds to \(T_e = 12900 \pm 3600 \text{ K}\) and is in agreement with the value of \(\sim 10^4 \text{ K}\) generally assumed for AGN outflows (see e.g., Perna et al. 2017, and refs. therein).

The electron density \(\langle n_e \rangle\) is then related to the ratio \(I_{6317}/I_{6373}\) between the components of the [S\(\text{ii}\)] doublet through:

\[
\frac{I_{6317}}{I_{6373}} = 1.49 \cdot \frac{x + 3.77}{1 + 12.8x},
\]

with \(x = 10^{-3}\langle n_e \rangle T_e^{-1/2}\) (Weedman 1968; Osterbrock & Ferland 2006; Sanders et al. 2016). We compute a ratio \(I_{6317}/I_{6373} = 0.60 \pm 0.25\) for the ionized wind which is on the saturating side of Eq. (5), and it only allows us to establish a lower limit at 95% probability of \(\langle n_e \rangle \gtrsim 4000 \text{ cm}^{-3}\) to the outflow electron density. This might either be an indication of a high electron density or just a consequence of the severe blending that affects the [S\(\text{ii}\)] region at the low spectral resolution of DOLoRes, preventing us from deriving a reliable estimate of \(\langle n_e \rangle\). The same issue holds for the [O\(\text{iii}\)] doublet, which could have been used in place of the [S\(\text{ii}\)] for such a measurement (Osterbrock & Ferland 2006) but is even more blended because of its peak separation of \(\sim 3 \text{ Å}\) only.

As an alternative possibility for deriving solid estimates of \(\langle n_e \rangle\) for the I20210S outflow, we also consider estimates of the trans-auroral ratio (TR) method by Rose et al. (2018). This method, based on the evaluation of the line ratios \(- [\text{S}\(\text{ii}\)]\lambda6366,6374/[\text{S}\(\text{ii}\)]\lambda6317,6373 and [O\(\text{ii}\)]\lambda7257,729/[O\(\text{ii}\)]\lambda7319,7331 \) allows us to obtain at once both \(\langle n_e \rangle\) and the intrinsic reddening \(E(B-V)\) of the emitting gas. We thus fit the trans-auroral doublets [S\(\text{ii}\)]\lambda4068,4076 and [O\(\text{ii}\)]\lambda7319,7331 through the MC procedure with two narrow and two broad components each, fixing their widths to the corresponding values for the [O\(\text{iii}\)]\lambda4959,5007 (see Table 1). This yields \(\text{TR}(\text{[S\(\text{ii}\)]})_{\text{out}} = 0.192 \pm 0.051\) and \(\text{TR}(\text{[O\(\text{ii}\)])_{\text{out}} = 1.72 \pm 0.94\). Having derived for the outflow a ionization parameters \(\log L_{\text{out}} = -3.09 \pm 0.47\) from its relation to the [O\(\text{iii}\)]/H\(\beta\) and [N\(\text{ii}\)]/H\(\alpha\) ratios (Baron & Ménard 2019, BM19 hereafter), we can finally compare its TRs to the simulations presented in Davies et al. (2020, see their Fig. 7), obtaining \(\langle n_e \rangle \approx 10 \pm 0.40 \text{ cm}^{-3}\) and \(E(B-V)_{\text{out}} = 0.34^{+0.24}_{-0.15}\).

As pointed out in the literature (Rose et al. 2018; Spence et al. 2018; Davies et al. 2020), the TR method allows us to probe denser gas with respect to the use of the “traditional” [S\(\text{ii}\)] doublet, whose emission is likely produced at the ionization front where the electron density significantly decreases. This issue is probably at the base of the high values of ionized gas mass and mass outflow rate recently found in AGN winds (e.g., Carniani et al. 2015; Kakakd et al. 2016; Bischetti et al. 2017; Perna et al. 2017), for which values of \(10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3} \lesssim \langle n_e \rangle \lesssim 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}\) are usually assumed. Such an assumption is justified from measurements of the outflow electron density based on the [S\(\text{ii}\)] method: for example, Arribas et al. (2014) get \(\langle n_e \rangle \sim 400 \text{ cm}^{-3}\) for the outflowing emission in ULIRGs, whereas Perna et al. (2020) find \(\sim 200 \text{ cm}^{-3}\) in the archetypal ULIRG Arp 220. For comparison, the values of \(\langle n_e \rangle\) found by Rose et al. (2018) for AGN-driven outflows in ULIRGs fall in the range \(3000 \pm 56000 \text{ cm}^{-3}\), with a median value of \(\sim 5000 \text{ cm}^{-3}\). Also, Kakakd et al. (2018) obtained spatially resolved values of \(\langle n_e \rangle\) up to \(\sim 2000 \text{ cm}^{-3}\) for ionized winds in nearby radio-selected Seyfert galaxies. Due to the limited DOLoRes spectral resolution and the severe blending that affects the I20210S trans-auroral emission lines with nearby features (e.g., the H\(\alpha\) close to the [S\(\text{ii}\)]\lambda6468,6676, the H\(\delta\) blueward and the [N\(\text{ii}\)] redward of the [O\(\text{iii}\)]\lambda7319,7331), we cannot draw any firm conclusion on the reliability of the I20210S outflow electron density derived with the TR method. Therefore, in the following discussion of the physical properties of the I20210S ionized wind, we adopted \(\langle n_e \rangle \sim 5000 \text{ cm}^{-3}\) (Rose et al. 2018) as our main reference when computing all the related quantities.

With \(L_{\text{out}}/L_{\text{OIII}}^\odot = (2.44 \pm 0.74) \times 10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\) obtained from the outflow [O\(\text{iii}\)] flux reported in Table 1, and the further assumptions of \(C = 1\) and \([\text{O/H}] \sim [\text{O/H}]_\odot\) (i.e., solar metallicity), Eq. (3) yields \(M_{\text{out}} = (1.94^{+0.59}_{-0.31}) \times 10^3 M_\odot\). Clearly, this value and those based on it are affected by the assumption on \(\langle n_e \rangle\). We then derive the expression of the outflowing mass rate \(M\) from the fluid-field continuity equation as done in Bischetti et al. (2017), in order to provide a local estimate of this quantity at the outflow termination radius \(R_{\text{out}}\) (e.g., Feruglio et al. 2015):

\[
M = 3 \cdot \frac{M_{\text{out}}}{R_{\text{out}}}. \tag{6}
\]

In order to estimate the spatial extension of the outflow, we performed a series of adjacent, 1-px wide (i.e., \(-0.27 \text{ kpc}\), owing to the DOLoRes angular scale of 0.252 arcsec px\(^{-1}\) and the scale distance of 1.087 kpc arcsec\(^{-1}\) at \(z = 0.056\)) extractions of the I20210S spectrum along its 2D trace in the high-S/N region of H\(\beta + [\text{O\(\text{iii}\)]}\)
which yield $\dot{E}_{\text{kin}} = (0.86^{+0.27}_{-0.54}) \times 10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, $t_{\text{dyn}} = 0.99 \pm 0.27 \text{ Myr}$ and $P_{\text{out}} = (0.80^{+0.36}_{-0.44}) \times 10^{34} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively.
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Fig. 8. Continuum-subtracted I20210S off-axis Hβ[O iii] spectra extracted at a 5 px offset in the northern direction (left panel) and at an 8 px offset in the southern direction (right panel). In each panel, the best-fit model is shown (red solid line) along with the profiles of the narrow (green short-dashed line) and broad components (blue dotted line), and the zero-flux level is indicated (black long-dashed line). The standardized residuals after the best-fit subtraction are also shown in separate windows below each spectral region. The fit to the Hβ emission is not accounted for the calculations of $\chi^2$ and $p_F$, which are performed on the [O iii] doublet only (see text), and is shown here for visual purposes only.

respect to the NLR emission: specifically, we define the significance threshold of the outflow by requesting an $F$-test probability $p_F > 0.90$.

The statistical analysis yields significant emission associated with the outflow up to 5 px (~1.3 kpc) in the northern direction, with $p_F \gtrsim 0.93$ ($\chi^2/\nu_{\text{dof}} = 76/73$); at larger distances along this direction, the signal emitted from the ionized wind quickly becomes indistinguishable from the noise, and thus has no impact on the best fit ($p_F = 0$). Instead, the outflow emission in the southern direction remains significant out to 8 px (~2 kpc, $p_F \gtrsim 0.93$, $\chi^2/\nu_{\text{dof}} = 78/73$). The “terminal” line spectra extracted at 5 px northward and 8 px southward offset are shown in Fig. 8. From this point on, we therefore adopt the distance $R_{\text{out}} = 2.20 \pm 0.14$ kpc (i.e. 8.0 ± 0.5 px) as our fiducial value for the termination radius of the I20210S ionized wind within the applicability limits of the $F$-test statistics. This choice is motivated by the observation that an 8-px offset produces an extraction lying beyond 3σ pixels from the trace center, and thus fiducially outside the 2.5 px PSF radius.

Then we calculate $v_{\text{max}} = |\Delta v|_{\text{OIII}} + 2c\sigma_{\text{OIII}} = 2160 \pm 380 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (see Bischetti et al. 2017, and refs. therein) from the outflowing [O iii] λ5007 parameters reported in Table 1. In this way, from Eq. (6), we obtain $M = 0.59^{+0.06}_{-0.05} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. Finally, we derive the outflow kinetic power $E_{\text{kin}}$, the dynamical time scale $t_{\text{dyn}}$ and the outflow momentum rate $P_{\text{out}}$ as:

$$E_{\text{kin}} = \frac{1}{2}M_{\text{out}}^2v_{\text{max}},$$

$$t_{\text{dyn}} = \frac{R_{\text{out}}}{v_{\text{max}}}$$

$$P_{\text{out}} = Mv_{\text{max}},$$

Table 2. Summary of the relevant physical properties of the ionized outflow discovered in the I20210S optical spectrum and of the South Nebula.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Outflow</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>South Nebula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T_e$</td>
<td>12 900 ± 3600</td>
<td>~10 000</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\langle n_e \rangle$</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>~100</td>
<td>cm$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{\text{out}}^{[\text{OIII}]}$</td>
<td>(2.44 ± 0.74) × 10$^{52}$</td>
<td>(9.05 ± 0.55) × 10$^{39}$</td>
<td>erg s$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>2160 ± 380</td>
<td>1100 ± 430</td>
<td>km s$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{\text{out}}$</td>
<td>2.20 ± 0.14</td>
<td>6.52 ± 0.43</td>
<td>kpc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{\text{dyn}}$</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.27</td>
<td>5.8 ± 2.6</td>
<td>Myr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{\text{out}}$</td>
<td>(1.94^{+0.45}_{-0.46}) × 10$^3$</td>
<td>~3 × 10$^4$</td>
<td>$M_\odot$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M$</td>
<td>0.59^{+0.06}_{-0.05}</td>
<td>~6 × 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>$M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{\text{kin}}$</td>
<td>(0.80^{+0.36}_{-0.44}) × 10$^{42}$</td>
<td>~2 × 10$^{39}$</td>
<td>erg s$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{\text{out}}$</td>
<td>(0.80^{+0.36}_{-0.44}) × 10$^{34}$</td>
<td>~4 × 10$^{31}$</td>
<td>erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. Upper section: quantities that are independent of the electron density. Lower section: quantities dependent on the electron density, for which a value of $\langle n_e \rangle = 5000 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ (Rose et al. 2018) is assumed in the case of the outflow. Note that the quoted errors of measurement are only indicative of the magnitude of the statistical uncertainties, not the systematics, affecting the computed values (see Sect. 5).

We report all these quantities in Table 2, highlighting that, given the high reference value of ~5000 cm$^{-3}$ adopted for the outflow ($n_e$), the electron-density dependent parameters might be underestimated by a factor of ~10 ± 50.

It should also be noted that although the quantities presented in Table 2 are given along with the errors, these should actually be interpreted as rough estimates, since the systematic uncertainties insisting on Eq. (3) exert a bias on them at the level of 1 ± 2 orders of magnitude (Bischetti et al. 2017). Given this caveat, the values of $M_{\text{out}}$, $M$ and $E_{\text{kin}}$ obtained for the outflow of I20210S are in line with those found by Rupke & Veilleux (2013) for a sample of nearby galaxy mergers.
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In order to definitively assess the AGN nature of the I20210S outflow, we compare its kinetic power to the expected ejected mass rate, $M_{SN}$, energy output, $E_{SN}$, and momentum injection, $P_{SN}$, of starbursts associated with supernova (SN) explosions (Brusa et al. 2015). According to Veilleux et al. (2005), such quantities are related to the host galaxy’s star formation rate (SFR) by:

$$M_{SN} \lesssim 0.26 \left( \frac{\text{SFR}}{M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}} \right),$$

$$E_{SN} \lesssim 7 \times 10^{41} \left( \frac{\text{SFR}}{M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}} \right),$$

$$P_{SN} \lesssim 5 \times 10^{43} \left( \frac{\text{SFR}}{M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}} \right),$$

whereas the SFR is linked to the host-galaxy IR $(8 \div 1000 \mu m)$ luminosity $L_{\text{IR}}$ by (Kennicutt 1998; Kennicutt & Evans 2012; Kennicutt & De Los Reyes 2021):

$$\frac{\text{SFR}}{M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}} = 3.9 \times 10^{-44} \left( \frac{L_{\text{IR}}^*}{\text{erg s}^{-1}} \right).$$

We estimate $L_{\text{IR}}^* \sim 3.4 \times 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$ for I20210S from the values for the total IR luminosity of the I20210 system and the AGN IR luminosity for the single members presented in Imanishi & Saito (2014), who estimated the contributions to the total galaxy IR emission coming from the active nucleus through photometric aperture size at high spatial resolution (see their Tables 1, 3, and 5). This in turn yields SFR $\sim 13 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$, and hence $M_{SN} \lesssim 3.4 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$, $E_{SN} \lesssim 9 \times 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$, and $P_{SN} \lesssim 6.5 \times 10^{43}$ erg cm$^{-1}$. Such values are $\sim$ 6 to $\sim$ 10 times higher than those listed in Table 2. Therefore, a starburst at work in I20210S is potentially able to produce the observed ionized outflow. However, Veilleux et al. (2005) note that Eqs. (10)–(12) give the limit values for a thermalization efficiency of 100% – that is, when none of the starburst-injected energy is radiated away. Since typical starburst thermalization efficiencies are of the order of $\sim$ 10% (see Veilleux et al., 2005, and refs. therein), the actual energy output from SNe is expected to be (at most) in line with the values listed in Table 2. This fact, in combination with an IR emission powered by the AGN (Imanishi & Saito 2014), leads us to conclude that the wind in I20210S is likely AGN-driven, although a non-negligible contribution from star formation cannot be ruled out. Establishing the main driving mechanism of the I20210S outflow is even further challenged by the uncertainty in its electron density, which biases the derivation of the physical properties that can be directly compared with the expected SN energetics; future spatially resolved observations of I20210S will therefore also be of paramount importance in precisely assessing the nature of its ionized wind.

Broadened emission lines in ULIRGs hosting Seyfert nuclei are a common feature. Rodríguez Zaurín et al. (2013) reported that up to 94% of nearby objects of this kind ($z < 0.175$) show strongly blueshifted ($\Delta v > 150 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) $[\text{O} III]$ broad emission components ($FWHM > 500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) that are emitted by near-nuclear ($R_{\text{out}} \lesssim 3.5 \text{ kpc}$) warm ionized outflows. At the same time, while they are fully detectable in optical and UV spectra, such outflows are usually not capable of injecting enough power into the surrounding environment of AGN to effectively affect the host-galaxy ISM and star formation. In the face of a required $E_{\text{kin}}$ of the order of 0.5% to 5% of the total AGN radiant energy (Di Matteo et al. 2005; Hopkins & Elvis 2010), Fiore et al. (2017) showed, in fact, that the majority of near-nuclear warm outflows clusters around $E_{\text{kin}} \sim 0.001 L_{\text{bol}}$ (see their Fig. 1). The I20210S outflow appears to be consistent with this scenario, given its $E_{\text{kin}}/L_{\text{bol}}$ ratio of $\sim 0.002$. However, its power can still be sufficient to locally affect the star formation rate in some host-galaxy regions, as demonstrated by the anti-correlation found between the distribution of star-forming clouds and wind zones in AGN hosts over resolved spatial regions that are $3 \times 7 \text{ kpc}$ wide (Cano-Díaz et al. 2012; Carniani et al. 2015; Cresci et al. 2015). Given its proximity, brightness, and spatial structure, I20210 therefore stands as an extremely peculiar laboratory in which the impact and interplay of ongoing galaxy merging on both star formation and AGN activity could be investigated in great detail.

## 6. Physical properties of the South Nebula

In this section, we briefly discuss the properties of the South Nebula. As described in Sect. 4.2, this region exhibits interesting intermediate ionization properties between AGN-powered ($FWHM \sim 700 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, velocity blueshift of $\sim 500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) and star-forming gas clouds. We present the schematic structure of I20210S in Fig. 9, overlapping the position and extension – within the spectrograph slit – of both the outflow and the South Nebula to the PS1 image of the galaxy. From this picture, it is evident that the outflow propagating southwards extends outside enough of the innermost nuclear region to touch the inner regions of the disk structure, potentially interacting with the I20210S baryonic reservoir. Furthermore, the South Nebula is located on both the extensions of the outflow and the I20210S Western spiral arm, which makes it additionally interesting.

According to Heckman et al. (2000), a galaxy with $L_{\text{IR}} \sim 3 \times 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$ as I20210S (Piconcelli et al. 2010) has an
average rotational velocity \(v_{\text{rot}}\) of \(\sim 250\ \text{km}\ \text{s}^{-1}\); at a distance of \(\sim 2.2\ \text{kpc}\) from the central engine, this translates to an escape velocity \(v_{\text{esc}} \sim 550\ \text{km}\ \text{s}^{-1}\) when assuming a galactic radius of \(\sim 6.5\ \text{kpc}\) (i.e., the distance of the South Nebula). For comparison, the wind has a maximum velocity that is about four times higher (see Table 2), and the South Nebula itself exhibits \(v_{\text{max}} = 1100 \pm 430\ \text{km}\ \text{s}^{-1}\) (about twice as high). Therefore, this implies that the nebula is being ejected outside the host galaxy by the ionized outflow, which also triggers possible star formation activity via quasar feedback as suggested by the placement of the South Nebula line ratios on the AGN-star formation boundary (see Sect. 4.3).

It is also interesting to consider its physical properties, as done in Sect. 5 for the main outflow. To this end, we assume \(T_e \sim 10^4\ \text{K}\), which according to Eq. (5) translates to \(n_e \sim 100\ \text{cm}^{-3}\) for the South Nebula \([\text{S}\ \text{ii}]\) ratio \(I_{6717}/I_{6731} = 1.36 \pm 0.10\) (see Table 1). This, in turn, yields \(M_{\text{out}} \sim 3 \times 10^4\ \text{M}_\odot\), given \(L_{\text{OIII}} = (9.05 \pm 0.55) \times 10^{39}\ \text{erg}\ \text{s}^{-1}\) from the \([\text{O}\ \text{iii}]\) flux listed in Table 1, and finally \(M = M_{\text{out}}/\max = 6 \times 10^{-3}\ \text{M}_\odot\ \text{yr}^{-1}\) (Bischetti et al. 2017) for \(R_{\text{out}} = 6.52 \pm 0.43\ \text{kpc}\) (see Fig. 2). We report all of these quantities in Table 2 along with the corresponding \(I_{\text{[OIII]}}, E_{\text{kin}}\) and \(P_{\text{out}}\), to allow for a direct comparison with the values that hold for the outflow.

7. I20210 SMBH mass estimates

In order to evaluate the SMBH mass \(M_{\text{BH}}\) in both objects, we used the approach described in BM19 for Type II AGN, in which obscuration prevents us from detecting the broad components of permitted emission lines. In this case, the following single-epoch relation linking \(M_{\text{BH}}\) to a BLR virial shape factor \(\epsilon\) that summarizes the uncertainties on the real BLR geometry, the monochromatic AGN luminosity \(L_{\text{AGN}}(5100\ \text{Å})\) at 5100 \(\text{Å}\) and the broad H\(\alpha\) FWHM gives:

\[
\log \left( \frac{M_{\text{BH}}}{\text{M}_\odot} \right) = \log \epsilon + 6.90 + 0.54 \cdot \log \left( \frac{L_{\text{AGN}}(5100\ \text{Å})}{10^{44}\ \text{erg}\ \text{s}^{-1}} \right) + 2.06 \cdot \log \left( \frac{\text{FWHM}_{\text{BLR}}(H\alpha)}{10^3\ \text{km}\ \text{s}^{-1}} \right) \tag{14}
\]

The validity of this relation holds as long FWHM_{BLR}(H\alpha) and the [O\text{iii}]/H\beta\ ratio are measured for AGN-dominated systems and are therefore related by the following logarithmic linear relation:

\[
\log \left( \frac{[\text{O}\ \text{iii}]}{H\beta} \right) = (0.58 \pm 0.07) \cdot \log \left( \frac{\text{FWHM}_{\text{BLR}}(H\alpha)}{\text{km}\ \text{s}^{-1}} \right) - (1.38 \pm 0.38). \tag{15}
\]

According to Figs. 3 and 4 of BM19, this happens for AGN-dominated systems with \([\text{O}\ \text{iii}]/H\beta\ \gtrsim 0.55\), where the line intensities are not contaminated by star formation in the host galaxy. Since, based on Table 1, we have \(\log ([\text{O}\ \text{iii}]/H\beta) \sim 0.7\) for I20210N and \(\sim 0.8\) for I20210S, respectively, we can apply Eqs. (14) and (15) to both I20210 members. We do not quote any errors for the following estimations of physical quantities involved in the determination of \(M_{\text{BH}}\), since the measurement method is indirect and is therefore subject to uncertainties of at least \(-0.5\ \text{dex}\) (see BM19 and references therein).

For I20210N, we derive \(L_{\text{BLR}}(5100\ \text{Å})\) using its absorption-corrected hard X-ray luminosity \(L_{2-10\ \text{keV}} = 4.7 \times 10^{42}\ \text{erg}\ \text{s}^{-1}\) (Piconcelli et al. 2010) via Eq. (5) from Maiolino et al. (2007):

\[
\log L_{2-10\ \text{keV}} = 0.721 \cdot \log \left( \frac{L_{\text{BLR}}(5100\ \text{Å})}{\text{erg}\ \text{s}^{-1}} \right) + 11.78. \tag{16}
\]
The value of $M_*$ derived for I20210N is ~50 times larger than that typically expected from the $M_{\text{bulge}}$-to-$M_{\text{BH}}$ relation ($M_{\text{bulge}} \approx 10^7 M_{\odot}$; e.g., Magorrian et al. 1998; Häring & Rix 2004; Gültekin et al. 2009); however, we highlight that the I20210N kinematics is likely altered by its gravitational interac-
tion with I20210S, thus making its measured $\sigma_*$ unreliable for the purposes of estimating the stellar mass. Therefore, the value of $M_*$ derived in this way should only be treated as an (overesti-
mated) upper limit to the I20210N baryonic content.

### 8. Summary and conclusions

In this article, we present an optical spectroscopic analy-
sis of the AGN pair hosted in the interacting system IRAS 20210+1121 (I20210; P90; Heisler & Vader 1995; Burston et al. 2001; Davies et al. 2002; Arribas et al. 2004; Piconcelli et al. 2010) at $z = 0.056$. This study is based on spectroscopy taken through a slit aligned along the nuclear of the two inter-
acting galaxies. The high-quality data taken at the Telescopio
Nazionale Galileo allowed us to perform a detailed study of the light emis-
sion from both components and from their surrounding
environment in the rest-frame wavelength range of 3500–
7300 Å, with the possibility of a comprehensive characteriza-
tion of this interacting galaxy pair. Here we summarize our main
findings:

- I20210N, the northern member of the I20210 system, can be
definitively classified as a Seyfert 2 galaxy with an
exceptional stellar velocity dispersion of $\sigma_*$ ~ 400 km s$^{-1}$, hosting
an AGN powered by a black hole with $M_{\text{BH}} \sim 3 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$
that radiates at 1% of its Eddington limit.

- The environment around I20210S, the southern component,
is a powerful Type II quasar with $M_{\text{BH}} \sim 5 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$
radiating at 5% of its Eddington limit and revealed to be
highly structured, with an ionized outflow and a detached
gaseous nebula (the South Nebula) alongside the nuclear
emission.

- The physical properties of the ionized outflow derived from
the analysis of the broad emission-line components ($T_e \sim
10^4$ K, $n_e \gtrsim 5000$ cm$^{-3}$, $v_{\text{max}} \sim 2000$ km s$^{-1}$, $R_{\text{out}} \sim
2$ kpc, $M_{\text{out}} \sim 2 \times 10^5 M_{\odot}$, $M_\ast \sim 0.6 M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$) are in
line with those found in other powerful AGN hosted in
ULIRGs (Rodríguez Zaurín et al. 2013; Rupke & Veilleux
2013; Kakkad et al. 2018; Spence et al. 2018). This suggests
that the I20210S AGN activity has potentially a direct impact
on the host-galaxy environment through quasar feedback;
however, these results need to be further investigated with
higher resolution spectral observations in order to constrain
the value of the wind electron density and thus allow for
a better characterization of the feedback mechanism to
the star formation activity in I20210S (e.g., Carniani et al. 2015;
Fiore et al. 2017).

- The South Nebula exhibits dynamical properties consist-
tent with those of highly disrupted gas stripped out of the
I20210S nucleus (velocity blueshift of ~500 km s$^{-1}$, FWHM
of ~700 km s$^{-1}$) that is similar to the case of the Teacup
Galaxy (Ramos Almeida et al. 2017) and coupled to inter-
mediate ionization properties between AGN-powered and
star-forming gas. Such features qualify this region as a very
interesting target for a deeper investigation of the potential
feedback processes – either triggered by AGN activity or by
the galaxy merger – at work in I20210S.

Thanks to the above properties, the I20210 system can be
characterized as a very interesting target in the local Universe
that ought to be investigated with dedicated multi-wavelength
follow-ups aimed at a detailed study of the effects of AGN feed-
back coupled to host-galaxy interaction on the AGN surround-
ning environment. In particular, obtaining higher resolution spectr-
a of $\Delta(\lambda) \gtrsim 1500$ is crucial to improving the emission-line
diagnostics of the I20210S components (nucleus, outflow, South
Nebula) and to allow for a precise evaluation of the I20210S
outflow physical conditions. Furthermore, integral-field spectro-
scopic observations are required to accurately constrain both
the morphology and interplay of outflows and any off-nuclear emit-
ting region in I20210S.
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