LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Expanding the spectrum of EWSR1-PATZ1 rearranged CNS tumors: An infantile case with leptomeningeal dissemination

EWSR1-PATZ1 fusions, first described in small round or spindle cell sarcomas (1) have recently been reported in rare low- and high-grade CNS tumors (1–6). Among the cerebral cases, only three have been provided with a complete clinico-pathological description (4,6). We report a case of EWSR1-PATZ1 brain tumor displaying unique clinico-pathological and molecular features.

A 17-month old child was brought to the emergency room with drowsiness thought to be related to a tricycle bike trauma. MRI scan showed a large right intraventricular mass (10 × 6 × 7 cm), without evidence of perilesional edema, associated with midline shift (Figure 1A–C). The mass, hyperintense on T2 and FLAIR sequences, showed heterogeneous postcontrast enhancement with cystic, necrotic, and hemorrhagic areas (Figure 1A–C). Intra and peritumoral ectatic vessels were present (Figure 1A). Linear leptomeningeal enhancement involved the brain stem, the cranial nerves, and focally the spinal cord, consistent with leptomeningeal dissemination (Figure 1A).

CSF cytology was negative for malignant cells. A partial tumor resection was achieved at surgery with residual tumor involving the body and atrium of the lateral ventricle. Based on a histological diagnosis of infantile glioblastoma (WHO grade IV), the patient was treated with chemotherapy according to national guidelines (7), with primary tumor and leptomeningeal involvement showing a partial response. After four courses of high-dose chemotherapy, a second surgery was performed with gross total resection of the residual tumor. After surgical recovery, chemotherapy was started again. The patient has been currently receiving the fifth cycle of high-dose chemotherapy, with last MRI showing a residual leptomeningeal involvement limited to the brain stem. The first resection specimen showed a well demarcated, moderately cellular tumor composed of cells with round monomorphic nuclei and oligodendrogial-like morphology (Figure 1D,E). Focally cells showed abundant clear cytoplasm. A striking feature was the presence of a rich vascular network consisting of delicate branching capillaries (Figure 1E). Microvascular proliferation was focally present. Isolated microcalcifications and rare cysts were also seen (Figure 1F). While most of the tumor lacked mitotic activity, a small component (<5% of the tumor volume) showed high cellularity, high mitotic activity (7/10 HPF) and pseudo-palisading necrosis (Figure 1G,H). As for the immunophenotype, GFAP and synaptophysin expression was focal in both the low and the high-grade components (Figure 1I–L); OLIG2 was focally expressed in the low-grade component, whereas it was diffuse in the high-grade component (Figure 1M,N). Furthermore, only the high-grade area lacked H3K27me3 expression, which was instead retained in the dominant low grade component, likely representing a secondary event associated with clonal evolution (Figure 1O,P); p53, IDH1R132H, BRAFV600E, H3K27M, LICAM, and p65/RELA were negative and ATRX expression was maintained throughout the neoplasm. Ki67 was approximately 20% in the high-grade compared to 2% in the low-grade component. The second tumor specimen did not show high-grade areas.

The morphological features with high mitotic index and necrosis suggested the diagnosis of “infantile glioblastoma, grade IV”, a recently defined tumor category with a characteristic methylation profile, driven by kinase fusions in half of the cases (8). Methylation analysis of both tumor samples yielded a no match result (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE162537). CGH-array, SNP-array, and CNV analysis from methylation profiling exclusively showed an overly complex rearrangement of chromosome 22, with multiple gains and losses, suggesting the occurrence of an intrachromosomal gene fusion (Figure 1Q). No additional chromosomal abnormalities were observed. RNA sequencing analysis revealed a EWSR1-PATZ1 fusion (breakpoints: GRCh38 chr22:29285885-chr22:31345600) (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/PRJNA681741), which was confirmed by RT-PCR and Sanger sequencing (Supporting Information) in keeping with the complex chromosome 22 alterations, as both EWSR1 and PATZ1 map on this region (Figure 1Q,R). Additionally, a heterozygous mutation p.R393W (c.1177C > T) in ERBB4 receptor, involving a highly conserved motif of the L2 domain was found. This variant, reported in dbSNP (rs55671017) and COSMIC (COSV53532907) database, may sensitize the tumor to tyrosine kinase inhibitors (9). Secondary molecular alterations affecting cell cycle genes, for example,
FIGURE 1 T2w (A) and Gd T1w (B) axial MRI images showed a hyperintense right intraventricular mass with cystic and necrotic areas (A), intra and peritumoral ectasic vessels (arrows, A), heterogeneous postcontrast enhancement (B) and leptomeningal involvement (arrows, B). Perfusion-weighted images showed increased relative cerebral blood flow (C). The tumor featured pushing borders (D), a rich vascular network (E), and rare cysts (F). A high-grade area with hypercellularity, high mitotic index, and pseudo-palisading necrosis was present (G-H). GFAP and Synaptophysin were focally expressed in both low-grade (I, K) and high-grade (J, L) components. OLIG2 and H3K27me3 expression was respectively focal and retained in the low-grade component (M, O), whereas was diffuse and lost in the high-grade component (N, P). SNP-array analysis showed chromosome 22 chromothripsis. Parts of EWSR1 and PATZ1 genes were retained within a large lost region of chromosome 22 (Q). Nucleotide sequence of EWSR1-PATZ1 fusion transcript around the breakpoint including EWSR1 intron 6/7 and PATZ1 exon 1 (R, upper part). Cartoon of EWSR1-PATZ1 fusion protein with splicing of EWSR1 intron 6/7 (R, lower part).
CDKN2A, and possibly contributing to tumor aggressiveness, have been reported in EWSR1-PATZ1 rearranged tumors, with a higher frequency in sarcomas compared to CNS tumors (1). To our knowledge, this is the first EWSR1-PATZ1 rearranged tumor bearing an activating tyrosine kinase mutation.

Our case shows analogies with two previously reported cases (6). Remarkably, they were all endoventricular, involving either the lateral ventricles or the fourth ventricle. From a histological standpoint, they shared sharp borders, a monotonous cellularity with oligodendrocyte-like or clear cell morphology, and, most strikingly, a rich vascular network. The immunophenotype was also very similar, as all three cases displayed a glioneural phenotype with co-expression of OLIG2, GFAP, and synaptophysin (6). Beside such similarities, some characteristics of our case are unique, that is the onset in an infant, the occurrence of a high-grade area and the leptomeningeal involvement. No previous EWSR1-PATZ1 cerebral tumors have been reported in infants and the median age in this group of patients, in the current literature, is 21 years (range 7-50) (1–6).

This case confirms the previously reported characteristic clinico-pathological features of EWSR1-PATZ1 glioneuronal tumors, for example, endoventricular location, oligodendrocyte-like morphology and rich vascular network. At the same time, the current case expands the clinico-pathological spectrum of CNS tumors harboring this fusion to include infants. If EWSR1-PATZ1 glioneuronal tumors truly represent a distinct tumor entity remains to be determined.
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