

ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE DELLA RICERCA

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

'Seeing with one's own eyes' and speaking to the mind: a history of the Wilson cloud chamber in the teaching of physics'

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Eugenio Bertozzi (2021). 'Seeing with one's own eyes' and speaking to the mind: a history of the Wilson cloud chamber in the teaching of physics'. BRITISH JOURNAL FOR THE HISTORY OF SCIENCE, 54(2), 177-193 [10.1017/S0007087421000261].

Availability: This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/862007 since: 2022-02-21

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1017/S0007087421000261

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)



RESEARCH ARTICLE

'Seeing with one's own eyes' and speaking to the mind: a history of the Wilson cloud chamber in the teaching of physics

Eugenio Bertozzi*

Department of Physics and Astronomy and University Museum Network, University of Bologna *Corresponding author: Eugenio Bertozzi, Email: eugenio.bertozzi2@unibo.it

Abstract

In 1911 the Wilson cloud chamber opened new possibilities for physics pedagogy. The instrument, which visualized particles' tracks as trails of condensed vapour, was adopted by physicists to pursue frontier research on the Compton effect, the positron and the transmutation of atomic nuclei. But as the present paper will show, Wilson's instrument did not just open up new research opportunities, but the possibility of developing a different kind of teaching. Equipped with a powerful visualization tool, some physicists-teachers employed Wilson's instrument to introduce their students to a wide range of phenomena and concepts, ranging from the behaviour of clouds to Einstein's photon, the wave-particle duality and the understanding of the nucleus. This paper uses the notes, books and prototypes of these pioneering physicists-teachers to compose a pedagogical history of the Wilson cloud chamber, documenting an episode of immense ingenuity, creativity and scientific imagination.

Introduction

The fame of the cloud chamber is closely tied to the scientific activities of Charles Thomson Rees Wilson at the turn of the twentieth century. Over a period of almost twenty years, as a researcher at the Cavendish Laboratory in Cambridge, Wilson managed to transform a device used for the study of atmospheric clouds into an apparatus for the study of subatomic particles, in which radioactive emission was visualized as trails of condensed water vapour. Wilson's apparatus made it possible to take pictures of the emission in order to perform in-depth analysis of this phenomenon.¹ The two communications given by Wilson at the Royal Society in 1911 and 1912 provide both a full account of the apparatus and a total of nineteen pictures which constituted the first visual catalogue of particle events (Figure 1).²

When in 1927 Wilson was awarded the Nobel Prize, his experimental method was well known within the physics community. However, in many ways the most significant results

© The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of British Society for the History of Science

¹ Peter Galison and Alexi Assmus, 'Artificial clouds, real particles', in David Gooding, Trevor Pinch and Simon Schaffer (eds.), *The Uses of Experiment: Studies in the Natural Sciences*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989, pp. 225–73.

² Charles Thomson Rees Wilson, 'On a method of making visible the paths of ionising particles through a gas', *Proceedings of the Royal Society* (1911) 85, pp. 285–8; Wilson, 'On an expansion apparatus for making visible the tracks of ionising particles in gases and some results obtained by its use', *Proceedings of the Royal Society* (1912) 87, pp. 277–92.





53

54 55

63

64

65

66 67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88 89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

Figure 1. Tracks of beta particles from radium-226 obtained with a full-scale replica of Wilson's cloud chamber. Courtesy Wolfgang Engels.

were still to come.³ A report written nearly twenty years later in 1946, by N.N. Das Gupta and S.K. Ghosh in the Review of Modern Physics – a journal providing in-depth essays on current research trends signed by outstanding scientists - shows how the cloud chamber method was not only being used for the study of alpha, beta and gamma rays, but since the 1930s had also been developed for the study of antimatter, artificial disintegration and uranium fission, and was still expected to produce results on new topics, such as the unstable particles discovered in cosmic radiation.⁴

Understandably, the cloud chamber has been the subject of extensive studies within the history of science. With regard to twentith-century physics, Wilson's instrument has been described as the founder of the 'image tradition', an experimental practice based on the search for visual evidences called 'golden events', distinguished from the 'logic tradition' which was based on statistical analysis and traceable to Geiger-Mueller counters.⁵ Critique of this binary division has led historians to study the images produced by the cloud chamber in even greater depth.⁶ Historians have also related the particle events visualized by Wilson's method to other relevant scientific images in other fields (anatomy, physiology, botany, palaeontology and astronomy) as part of charting the emergence of modern conceptions of objectivity and subjectivity.⁷ In fact, the relationship between Wilson's experiments and 'objectivity' has been investigated by means of a full-scale replica of Wilson's original apparatus.⁸ More recent studies offer a biographical perspective on the instrument by relating the numerous discoveries made with the cloud chamber to the technical evolution of the instrument from the end of the nineteenth century to the 1960s.⁹

³ Charles Thomson Rees Wilson, 'On the cloud method of making visible ions and the tracks of ionizing particles', Nobel Lecture (1927), pp. 194-214.

⁴ Niraj Nath Das Gupta and Surya K. Ghosh, 'A report on the Wilson cloud chamber and its applications in physics', Reviews of Modern Physics (1946) 18, pp. 225-90.

⁵ Peter Galison, Image and Logic: A Material Culture of Microphysics, Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 1997.

⁶ Kent Staley, 'Golden events and statistics: what's wrong with Galison's image/logic distinction?', Perspectives on Science (1999) 7, pp. 196-230.

⁷ Lorraine Daston and Peter Galison, 'The image of objectivity', *Representations* (1992) 40, pp. 81-128.

⁸ Wolfgang Engels, 'Die Nebelkammeraufnahme: Das automatisch generierte Laborbuch?', in Martina Heßler (ed.), Konstruierte Sichtbarkeiten: Wissenschafts- und Technikbilder seit der Frühen Neuzeit, Munich: Wilhelm Fink, 2006, pp. 57-74. For an introduction to the replication method see P. Heering, 'An experimenter's gotta do what an experimenter's gotta do - but how?', Isis (2010) 101, pp. 794-805.

⁹ Eugenio Bertozzi, 'Establishing and consolidating a research field: the biography of the Wilson cloud chamber in the history of particle physics', Historical Studies in the Natural Sciences (2019) 49(2), pp. 117-50.

However, despite the richness of this scholarship, no extensive accounts of the pedagogical role played by the Wilson cloud chamber throughout its history has been provided yet. This is the goal of the present work.

To this purpose, this paper will draw on extant scholarship on the relationship between scientific instruments and pedagogy and will aim to extend their methodological significance. Scholars have shown how many nineteenth-century instruments had a second, didactical career once their research role was over.¹⁰ These studies now need Q2 to be extended to twentieth-century instruments, particularly in the light of the fact that many instruments have not been properly preserved in museums or collections.¹¹ It is vital to remember that instruments and equipment matter, not just because of the part they play in creating knowledge, but also because they were and are central to the teaching of the experimental sciences in a variety of educational systems.¹²

In relation to this, it is important to note also that many historical studies on science pedagogy have focused on the role of textbooks. Starting from a critical approach to Thomas Kuhn's view on textbooks as repositories of accepted paradigms, significant attention has been devoted to the role that pedagogy plays in defining and helping to shape new directions in research.¹³ Particularly relevant to the present study is the notion that textbooks encapsulate and organize an inherited body of knowledge, while at the same time, and sometimes at moments of turmoil, integrating that knowledge with emerging theories. This intrinsic tension characteristic of textbooks is relevant to retracing the cloud chamber pedagogical history: when used as complementary sources to the instrument, textbooks made it possible to situate the technical evolution within the broader development of a rapidly changing physics curriculum over the first half of the twentieth century.

The first part of this paper is organized around three case studies focused on different moments of the cloud chamber's pedagogical history and marked by milestone publications in the field: the period before the publication of Wilson's catalogue of cloud chamber pictures (1911–12), the period between this publication and the appearance of the first cloud chamber atlas (1940), and the period between the publication of the first and the second atlases (1940 –52).¹⁴ The first case study focuses on the work of W.N. Shaw in Cambridge and the physics textbook written by J.H. Poynting and J.J. Thomson, and it will show how the cloud chamber was already in use as a demonstrative device for meteorology or gas condensation before the Wilson prototype of 1911. The second case study, dealing with the work of Robert Pohl at the University of Göttingen during the

¹⁰ P. Brenni, 'From workshop to factory: the evolution of the instrument-making industry, 1850–1930', in Jed Z. Buchwald and Robert Fox (eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of the History of Physics*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2013.

¹¹ Paolo Brenni, 'The Van de Graaff generator: an electrostatic machine for the 20th century', *Bulletin of the Scientific Instrument Society* (1999) 63, pp. 6–13.

¹² Peter Heering and Roland Wittje, *The History of Experimental Science Teaching, Thematic Issue, Science & Education* (2012) 21(2).

¹³ See, for example, Andrew Warwick, *Masters of Theory: Cambridge and the Rise of Mathematical Physics*, Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 2003; Karl Hall, "'Think less about foundations'': a short course on the course of theoretical physics of Landau and Lifshitz', in David Kaiser (ed.), *Pedagogy and the Practice of Science: Historical and Contemporary Perspectives*, Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press, 2006, pp. 253–86; Kathryn Olesko, *Physics as a Calling: Discipline and Practice in the Königsberg Seminar for Physics*, Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, 1991; Olesko, 'Science pedagogy as a category of historical analysis: past, present, and future', *Science & Education* (2006) 15, pp. 863–80; Massimiliano Badino and Jaume Navarro (eds.), *Research and Pedagogy: A History of Early Quantum Physics through Its Textbooks*, Edition Open Access, 2013.

¹⁴ Wolfgang Gentner, Heinz Maier-Leibnitz and Walther Bothe, Atlas typischer Nebelkammerbilder mit Einführung151in die Wilsonsche Methode, Berlin: Julius Springer, 1940; George Dixon Rochester and J.G. Wilson, Cloud Chamber152Photographs of the Cosmic Radiation, London: Pergamon Press, 1952.153

1930s, shows how the instrument became a major explanatory tool in physics, particularly with regard to conceptual work in atomic physics and quantum mechanics. In the third case study, the paper will examine how physics pedagogy began to reorganize itself around particle physics, the consolidation of which was symbolized by the publication of the atlases and supported by the overhaul of Wilson's cloud chamber by the Officine Galileo company in Florence. The paper will go on to discuss the didactical uses to which the cloud chamber was put after it had been largely retired from front-line research, particularly in the context of large-scale educational projects during the Cold War (for example, the Physical Science Study Committee in the United States). In this final section, the focus on Wilson's instrument illuminates the way in which historical experiments were redesigned in the light of the new teaching methods and paradigms. The implications of these studies for the history and historiography of physics pedagogy are discussed in the conclusion.

A matter of clouds and fog: condensation nuclei and the birth of the cloud chamber

Pedagogical debates are involved from the very beginning of the cloud chamber story. Scholars have argued that the cloud chamber should be seen as the material embodiment of two different approaches, an analytic approach to the study of matter pursued at the Cavendish Laboratory and the mimetic approach to nature developed in England in the late nineteenth century.¹⁵ According to this view, Wilson managed to transform a device conceived by John Aitken to replicate the formation of clouds into an instrument to probe an artificial phenomenon – the formation of droplets on ions and the visualization of particle tracks. However, an intermediary tradition already present at the Cavendish Laboratory – the meteorological studies carried out by William Napier Shaw – also appears to have played a central role for Wilson's elaboration.¹⁶

University lecturer and demonstrator William Napier Shaw (together with Richard Glazebrook) was a pioneer in developing laboratory classes for ever-growing numbers of students. They codified their practices in the textbook *Practical Physics*, which went through four editions between 1885 and 1893 and quickly became Britain's most important laboratory teaching text.¹⁷ However, it was not the book, but a lecture given by Shaw to the Royal Meteorological Society in March 1895, that first put Wilson in contact with Shaw's pedagogical activity and ideas. A week after the lecture, Wilson described in his notebook the core idea conveyed by Shaw in his lecture – that is, 'above the clouds the air will be supersaturated but devoid of nuclei'.¹⁸ In May of the same year, in a one-page paper, Wilson explored this notion with experiments showing that the presence of dust particles is not a necessary condition for the formation of clouds. Moreover, he indicated the ratio between the initial and final volume of the vapour at which the condensation, upon an adiabatic expansion, can be observed: 1.258 at 16.7 °C.¹⁹

¹⁵ Galison and Asmuss, op. cit. (1).

¹⁶ Richard Staley, 'Fog, dust and rising air: understanding cloud formation, cloud chambers, and the role of meteorology in Cambridge physics in the late 19th century', in James R. Fleming, Vladimir Jankovic and Deborah Coen (eds.), *Intimate Universality: Local and Global Themes in the History of Weather and Climate*, New York: Science History Publications, 2006, pp. 93–113, 103.

¹⁷ Richard Tetley Glazebrook and William Napier Shaw, *Practical Physics: Textbooks of Science*, London: Longmans Green, 1885.

¹⁸ Wilson Notebook A1, 27-8 March; Staley, op. cit. (16), ref. 10, p. 103.

¹⁹ Charles Thomson Rees Wilson, 'On the formation of cloud in the absence of dust', *Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, (1895) 8, p. 306.

At the time of these results – which didn't permit the visualization of particle tracks but clarified the nature of the condensation nuclei – the cloud chamber was already considered an interesting instrument by J.J. Thomson, then director of the Cavendish Laboratory. According to Thomson's view, electric ions would provide good candidates as condensation nuclei, once the dust particles had been removed. According to this line of thought, when electric ions were removed from the chamber, the condensation of the vapour should not take place at all. This observation was reported by Thomson in an 1898 paper, after using a cloud chamber crossed by Röntgen rays (which would create ions) kept under a strong electric field (which would remove the ions themselves):

If the ions produced by the Röntgen rays act as nuclei for the drops, then, since these ions can be withdrawn from the gas by applying to it a strong electric field, it follows that a cloud ought not to be formed when the air which is expanded is exposed to a strong electric field while the rays are passing through it. This was found to be the case, and the experiment is a striking one.²⁰

This observation became the final step of a teaching discourse developed in Poynting and Thomson's textbook around the cloud chamber.

Both Poynting and Thomson did their initial training at Owens College (today the University of Manchester) and specialized at the University of Cambridge, where the two met in 1876. Poynting was one of the second generation of the Maxwellians formed at the Cavendish under Maxwell's direct supervision, and he encouraged Thomson to learn more about the *Treatise on Electricity and Magnetism*, as well as Maxwell's earlier papers.²¹ Poynting and Thomson's collaboration resulted in a multi-volume undergraduate physics textbook, which covered a vast range of topics and was in print for more than fifty years.²² According to the authors, their book was meant 'for the use of students who lay most stress on the study of the experimental part of Physics, and who have not yet reached the stage at which the reading of advanced treaties on special subjects is desirable'.²³

Within Poynting and Thomson's didactical presentation of physics, the cloud chamber is used to convey notions of meteorology, condensation and analytical matter theory within a more general thermodynamic framework. In the third volume, for example, dedicated to the subject of 'Heat', three chapters out of twenty are dedicated to the topic of state changes. In this context, the cloud chamber is used to discuss condensation of water vapour and to draw attention to the sources of condensation, the 'condensation nuclei'. Since the authors were writing in the period in between Wilson's early research on condensation and his final work in 1911–12, the volume refers only to the first of Wilson's papers (1895, 1896 and 1899).²⁴ Within a dedicated section, condensation is introduced

²⁰ John Joseph Thomson, 'On the charge of electricity carried by the ions produced by Röntgen rays', *Philosophical Magazine* (1898) 46, pp. 528–45.

²¹ E.A. Davis and Isobel Falconer, *J.J. Thomson and the Discovery of the Electron*, London: Taylor and Francis, 1997; Dong-Won Kim, *Leadership and Creativity: A History of the Cavendish Laboratory*, 1871-1919, Springer Science & Business Media, 2002. For other relevant literature on Thomson and the electron see Jed Z. Buchwald and Andrew Warwick (eds.), *Histories of the Electron: The Birth of Microphysics*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2001; Nadia Robotti, 'J.J. Thomson and the Cavendish Laboratory: the history of an electric charge measurement', *Annals of Science* (1995) 52, pp. 265–84; Jaume Navarro, *A History of the Electron: J.J. and G.P. Thomson*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2012.

²² Michael Longair, Maxwell's Enduring Legacy: A Scientific History of the Cavendish Laboratory, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2016, Chapter 7, p. 576 n. 18.

²³ John Henry Poynting and Joseph John Thomson, A *Textbook of Physics: Properties of Matter*, 5th edn, London:C. Griffin, 1909, preface.

²⁴ John Henry Poynting and Joseph John Thomson, A *Textbook of Physics: Heat*, 2nd edn, London: C. Griffin, 1906, p. 168.



Figure 2. Cloud chamber apparatus for obtaining dust-free space in John Henry Poynting and Joseph John Thomson, *A Textbook of Physics: Heat*, 2nd edn, London: C. Griffin, 1906, p. 168.

as a surface phenomenon occurring on nuclei of dust or suspended matter other than air or vapour, first discovered by Coulier and later investigated by Aitken. Before any further elaboration, an experiment carried out with a cloud-chamber-like apparatus is described (Figure 2).

Without recourse to quantitative observation, the authors refer to a cloud-chamberlike apparatus as a means of obtaining a qualitative understanding of the relation between the number of dust particles, the dimensions of the drops and the density of the fog. Starting with a base situation in which dust particles contained in regular air are present in the spherical glass bulb whose inner surface is wet with water, the connection with the vacuum made on the bottle-like glass is opened (t_2) to induce the first expansion. With a high number of dust particles available, the drops are small, and the fog is dense. The opening of the connection on the left (t_1) allows new air (purified by the cotton wool filter) to enter the apparatus and to get it ready for a successive expansion. Proceeding with successive expansions, the dust particles become fewer and fewer in number so that the vapour condenses on fewer nuclei. Drops will be larger and the fog less dense, until the point drops are visible 'like those in a Scotch mist'.²⁵ The quantitative part of the section Q4starts with Aitken's method for counting the particles of dust, his apparatus of 1888 and his examinations of the numbers of dust particles in different settings: higher in dry town air than in the country, higher at lower altitudes than on mountains.²⁶ With reference to Aitken's work in 1890 the authors report the absolute numbers measured in specific localities: Kingairloch, on the shores of Loch Linnhe, where the number of dust particles ranged from 205 to 4,000 per cubic centimetre; at the top of Ben Navis, 335 to 473 per cubic centimetre. In London and Paris the number counted a hundred thousand.²⁷ Aitken's results are then extended to the data collected around the world, which showed that the number of dust particles at sea level can vary from 200 per cubic centimetre in the Indian Ocean to 4,000 in the Atlantic.

Wilson's work is discussed directly in the analysis of this procedure, where the possibility of condensing vapour in the absence of dust particles (and the hypothesis on the new types of condensation nuclei and their dimensions) is considered. Some elements of Wilson's work on the conditions for 'condensation without dust' are then examined

6

print

B/W in

B/W online,

5

°. ⊟

306

²⁵ Poynting and Thomson, op. cit. (24), p. 169.

²⁶ John Aitken, 'On the number of dust particles in the atmosphere', *Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh* (1888) 35(1), pp. 1–19.

²⁷ John Aitken, 'On the number of dust particles in the atmosphere of certain places in Great Britain and on the continent, with remarks on the relation between the amount of dust and meteorological phenomena', *Nature* (1890) 41, pp. 394–6.

by pointing out that condensation takes place according to three regimes bounded by two values of the expansion ratio: no condensation in dust-free air below 1.25, distinct raindrops between 1.25 and 1.37, dense fog above 1.37.²⁸ According to the authors, Wilson's of observations show that, when the air is completely empty of dust, condensation starts to take place around other condensation nuclei, and these 'new' condensation nuclei grow (in number) and diminish (in dimensions) as soon as the regimes are crossed. However, no hypothesis on ions or electrons is explicitly made. Poynting and Thomson limit themselves to the suggestion that '[w]e can hardly suppose that the nuclei are of foreign matter', meaning that, in this condition, condensation cannot be accounted for by the accidental entry of dust particles (p. 171). Wilson's observations that the effect Q6 of condensation was increased when ultraviolet (and Röntgen and uranium) rays crossed the 'dust-free' chamber are used as the closing remarks for the section.²⁹

In their account, starting from a meteorological perspective with the understanding of the different types of air and fog, Poynting and Thomson move to the physics of condensation established by Wilson's experiments and elaborate on the different size of drops and number of condensation nuclei through the different regimes of expansion. The exposition does two things – integrating Wilson's latest results with a solid foundation in meteorological studies, and using the cloud chamber as a means of extending and illustrating Shaw's account of the subject.

Magnifying and detailing: three-metre-long tracks for observing the electron

The second case study of this paper is drawn from the 'golden age' of the cloud chamber, when it was applied to the study of a wide range of physical topics. However, this period didn't start immediately on the publication of Wilson's 1911 and 1912 papers. Despite the enthusiasm with which Wilson's 1912 pictures were received, the technical method took some time to be appreciated and appropriated by the physics community. For about ten years, Wilson's pictures were the main (if not the only) visual material through which physicists 'projected' their understanding of atomic processes. In 1914, for example, Ernest Rutherford saw them as convincing evidence of the correctness of his view that large deflections do occasionally occur as a result of an encounter with a single atom, while in 1915 Kovarick and McKeehan in America found that Wilson's pictures confirmed their own results from electrical counting methods.³⁰ But from the 1920s, this changed as practical research with the cloud chamber method began in Germany, the United States, India and South Africa. Over the course of a few years, discoveries such as the first observation of the transmutation of nitrogen, the identification of the 'Compton effect' in 1925 and the discovery of the positron in 1932 all heralded the onset of the instrument's 'golden age'.

During this interwar period, large-scale experimental demonstrations were conducted by Robert Wichard Pohl, a professor of experimental physics at the University of ²⁸ Charles Thomson Rees Wilson, 'On the condensation nuclei produced in gases by Röntgen rays, uranium rays, ultra-violet light, and other agents', *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London* (1899) 64, pp. 127–9. Actually, Wilson's final observations point out four regimes for condensation bounded by three values of the expansion ratio (instead of two bounded by one): no condensation in dust-free air below 1.25, distinct raindrops between 1.25 and 1.31, sudden increase in the number of drops at 1.31, dense fog above 1.37. The textbook refers to previous observations.

²⁹ Charles Thomson Rees Wilson, 'The effect of Röntgen's rays on cloudy condensation', *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London* (1896) 59, pp. 338–9; Wilson, 'On the action of the uranium rays on the condensation of the water vapor', Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society (1897) 9, pp. 333–8.

³⁰ Clinton Chaloner, 'The most wonderful experiment in the world: a history of the cloud chamber', *BJHS* (1997) 30(3), pp. 357–74.

Göttingen in Germany. Pohl worked according to a specific teaching paradigm, where any explanation had to start from 'facts' and not 'theories'. Lectures and demonstrations were central elements of academic physics teaching in Germany in the early twentieth century. Carefully prepared and staged and heavily relying on the individual abilities of the dem-onstrator/professor, they were considered to be not just a teaching technique, but also a form of art. Within this framework, Pohl became famous for his approach, which was based on the epistemology of simplicity demonstrated through a set of specially designed instruments (for example, the rotating chair to show angular momentum and centrifugal forces), as well as a novel series of physics textbooks. By establishing a collaboration with instrument-making companies (such as Spindler & Hoyer and Leybold Nachfolger), Pohl's system became a highly influential rigorous modular standardized system in Germany.³¹

Particularly illustrative of Pohl's approach was a cloud chamber capable of projecting three-metre-long tracks on an auditorium's screen in front of four hundred students. The instrument was made of a circular chamber of twenty centimetres in diameter made of glass which stood in a vertical position and where the condensation of the vapour was induced by means of a membrane ('pressure-driven mechanism'). Furthermore, being entirely crossed by light, the chamber was placed between two lenses and transformed into the 'diapositive' of a modern projector. The apparatus, connected to a vacuum pump, could be activated every ten seconds and produced three-metre-long tracks projected on the auditorium's screen.³²

Notably, the technical adjustments made by Pohl to magnify and project the tracks on a screen were based on the same technical strategy ('the pressure-driven mechanism') used by Cambridge physicists to pursue one of their research goals – identifying the positron in cosmic rays. By the end of the 1920s, cosmic-ray researchers had started to revise the 1911 original version of the cloud chamber to allow for more and more sophisticated observations. The more significant adaptation in this respect was the 'Geiger-controlled cloud chamber' developed in 1932 by Patrick Blackett and Giuseppe Occhialini in Cambridge, where the chamber stands in a vertical position while two Geiger counters placed above and below the chamber signal the passage of a cosmic ray particle and trigger the light flash and the camera. This was, in fact, a method in which the cosmic particles are led 'to take their own photograph', as the two authors defined it in a letter to *Nature*.³³

Although the Geiger-controlled system improved the efficiency of taking the photograph, it didn't solve the problems of tracks being formed through a process of condensation induced by the falling of the heavy piston. The movement of the piston was easily subjected to mechanical friction, which could slow the fall and compromise the quality of visualization, especially when the chamber stood in a vertical position (to offer the cosmic rays the cylinder's entire volume) and consequently the piston moved in a horizontal direction. That was the reason why, in 1933, Wilson replaced the piston with a plastic membrane and induced the vapour's condensation by reducing the pressure within the chamber instead of increasing its volume ('pressure-driven mechanism').³⁴ The removal

³¹ Roland Wittje, 'Simplex sigillum veri: Robert Pohl and demonstration experiments in physics after the Great War', in Peter Heering and Roland Wittje (eds.), *Learning by Doing: Experiments and Instruments in the History of Science Teaching*, Stuttgart: Franz Steiner Verlag, 2011, pp. 317–48.

³² Rudolf Hilsch, 'Eine Nebelkammer für Vorlesungsversuche', Physicalische Zeitschrift (1939) 40, pp. 594-5.

³³ Patrick Maynard Stuart Blackett and Giuseppe Paolo Stanislao Occhialini, 'Some photographs of the tracks of penetrating radiation', *Proceedings of the Royal Society A* (1933) 139, pp. 699–719.

³⁴ Charles Thomson Rees Wilson, 'On a new type of expansion apparatus', *Proceedings of the Royal Society A* (1933) 142, pp. 88–91. In the 'pressure-driven mechanism' introduced by Wilson in 1933 the expansion and condensation of the vapour in tracks are not induced by expanding the volume of the chamber but by decreasing the pressure within it: the new apparatus still has a chamber in a horizontal position and a fixed floor made of a porous diaphragm covered with a dark velvet felt: the downward motion of a sheet of thin elastic membrane

of mechanical friction was the last step in the tuning of the method, which remained unaltered throughout the whole 'golden age'.

In 1935 Wilson described a cloud chamber of radial form standing in a vertical position 411 working according to a pressure-driven mechanism, whose shape was particularly suitable 412 for inserting the chamber within the two poles of a magnet.³⁵ This 'second' Wilson instru-413 ment is almost identical to the one that - according to to Pohl's assistant Rudolf Hilsch in 414 1939 – had been in pedagogical use for the past five years at the University of Göttingen.³⁶ 415

Robert Pohl, who, together with Max Born and James Franck, had been one of the most 416 influential figures in physics at Göttingen University and would go on to be a leader in solid-state physics, remained in his position at the University of Göttingen throughout the period of the Third Reich.³⁷ Immediately after the war, required by the military forces 419 to justify his position, he claimed that his role as consultant to the Luftwaffe's research leadership had allowed him to keep science alive in Göttingen, continuing his didactical projects and the writing of his pedagogical textbooks while maintaining his distance from political events.³⁸ He tried to manage a similar distance from the politically motivated debate over the Anschaulichkeit which began with Philipp Lenard's attacks on Albert Einstein. As is well known, at the eighty-sixth meeting of the German Society of Scientists and Physicians held in Bad Nauheim, on 23 September 1920, Lenard argued that the principle of relativity had destroyed an intuitively vivid - anschaulich - picture 427 of nature which should be rooted in experimental observations and not descend from theoretical principles.³⁹ Einstein's robust response - that what is considered anschaulich changes over time, and that physics should be considered more conceptual than anschau*lich* – did not end the debate, which was motivated by the racist ideology that was to become deutsche Physik. 432

While Pohl accepted the primacy of experiments over theories, his concept of Anschaulichkeit resonated beyond the ordinary understanding of deutsche Physik, for two reasons at least. The first lies in his educational focus and his belief that an impressive scientific demonstration (like the projection of particle tracks across an auditorium) was vital for enhancing student understanding. In this regard, in 1974, Pohl's former doctoral student and assistant Heinz Pick recalled another dramatic demonstration performed in the Göttingen auditorium, the visualization of the diffraction patterns of crystals (today known as 'Laue diagrams'). Pick's account of the demonstration focused on the underlying philosophy, where 'to show' something meant to make it possible for the audience to see and judge phenomena with their own eyes as they occurred in real time. Pictures simply weren't good enough.⁴⁰

409 410

417

418

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

428

429

430

431

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443 444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

placed underneath the floor sucked away an amount of gas, reducing the pressure and inducing the condensation.

³⁵ Charles Thomson Rees Wilson and J.G. Wilson, 'On the falling cloud-chamber and on a radial-expansion chamber', Proceedings of the Royal Society (1935) 148, pp. 523-33.

³⁶ Hilsch, op. cit. (32).

³⁷ Jürgen Teichmann, Zur Geschichte der Festkörperphysik: Farbzentrenforschung bis 1940, Stuttgart: Steiner Verlag, 1988.

³⁸ 'Biographische Notizen von Robert Wichard Pohl: Erinnerungen an die Anfänge der Festkörperphysik in Göttingen und Lebenslauf und politische Haltung von R.W. Pohl / zusammengestellt und bearbeitet von Robert Otto Pohl Göttingen', GOEDOC, Dokumenten- und Publikationsserver der Georg-August-Universität, 2013, at http://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl/?webdoc-3896. Pohl's textbooks cover the subjects of mechanics, acoustic and thermodynamics (vol. 1), electricity (vol. 2) and optics (vol. 3), then extend to atomic physics. They have been published in different editions, from the 1930s until today.

³⁹ Milena Wazeck, Einstein's Opponents: The Public Controversy about the Theory of Relativity in the 1920s, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2014; Alan Beyerchen, Scientists under Hitler: Politics and the Physics Community in the Third Reich, New Haven: Yale University Press, 1977.

⁴⁰ 'Erinnerungen an die Anfänge der Festkörperphysik in Göttingen', op. cit. (38), p. 14.

The second thing which characterized Pohl's *Anschaulichkeit* was the belief that theoretical concepts must emerge from empirical experiment, and that theorizing was an activity to be undertaken only with caution. However, it is also clear from the textbooks that Pohl's dictum that 'theories come and go, but facts remain' should not be understood as an exclusion of theoretical elements from his teaching. Classical experimental topics such as radioactivity and cosmic rays, as well as highly theoretical concepts such as particlewave dualism, were not avoided, but actively introduced on an experimental basis in Pohl's textbooks. As will be shown in the next section, Pohl's complex interrelation of theorical and experimental elements is similar to that shown by other scientific figures of the time, like Patrick Blackett, and can be illustrated by two examples.

The corpuscular view of matter: observing electrons and ions

In Pohl's account of radioactivity provided in Chapter 10 of the volume on electricity, the ionic theory hinted at by Poynting and Thomson is developed in detail.⁴¹ Starting from a generic radioactive emission, Pohl shows how three different components (alpha, beta and gamma) can be separated and detected with electrical methods. The experiment consisted in placing a radium source covered with a two-millimetre aluminum foil at two metres distance from an ionization chamber with a 0.1 millimetre hole as a unique point of access. Nonetheless, Pohl points out that an electroscope placed inside the chamber itself would signal the presence of some radiation and argues that only gamma rays can travel such a distance. The detection of beta rays proceeds in a similar manner. In this case, when the radium source approaches to within twenty centimetres the current circulates, indicating that another kind of ray is present, travelling a shorter distance through air. The cloud chamber is then introduced to resolve the rays in single particles. Here a direct comparison between alpha particles (ions) and electrons is carried out starting from the unmistakable signature which they leave in the chamber and following the line of reasoning developed by Wilson in his 1912 paper: tracks of the alpha particles are straight, continual and thick, and they can be observed emitted directly from the source. Electrons can be observed as a secondary product: once X-rays pass through the chamber volume, the tracks of the electrons emitted by the atoms of the chamber become visible as irregular, curved and ornate twines. The difference in thickness is due to the difference of mass, which for the electron is 7,200 times smaller than the one of the alpha particles.

The corpuscular view of light: the 'quanta' of energy

The corpuscular view of light as 'photons' is discussed by Pohl in Chapter 13 of his textbook on optics, dedicated to the wave-particle dualism.⁴² After a brief historical introduction focusing on the corpuscular view of Newton, Pohl stresses the importance of the hypothesis introduced by Planck on the minimum quanta of energy. This explanation of the existence of light quanta – photons – starts from a fundamental observation performable with the cloud chamber which leads to the abandonment of the wave-like hypothesis. When the chamber is crossed by X-rays emitted from a Röntgen lamp and provoking the emission of electrons from the atoms, one can calculate that the electrons' energy varies between 10^4 and 10^5 eV. Moving from the experimental to the theoretical level, Pohl remarks that, should this energy be emitted by the Röntgen lamp as a uniform distribution in space, it would take months for the electrons of the atoms to accumulate

505

506

507 508

509

510

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469 470 471

472 473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

⁴¹ Robert Wichard Pohl, *Einführung in die Physik*, vol. 2: *Elektrizitätslehre*, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1931, pp. 221–6.

⁴² Robert Wichard Pohl, *Einführung in die Physik*, vol. 3: *Optik*, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1948, p. 306.

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530 531

532

533

534

535

536

537 538

539 540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

556

557 558

559

560

561

such an amount of energy (Pohl discussed the point by taking into account the power of a normal Röntgen lamp, the dimensions of the molecules and the volume of the irradiated chamber).Then he remarks how, in order to explain these and other phenomena, a localized distribution of energy (the corpuscular view) must be considered.

The notion is then examined through an experiment carried out by Walter Bothe and Hans Geiger in 1924. This experiment confirmed the Compton scattering observed of 1922 and so Einstein's hypothesis of light quanta. An X-ray radiation is emitted from the centre in the left and right direction of a cloud chamber containing argon. At the opposite wall two counters are ready to signal receiving the radiation. As the radiation propagates, its interaction with the atoms of the chamber becomes visible. Pohl's analysis draws students' attention to the two 'experimental facts' which lead to the photon's introduction: as the experiment proceeds and the radiation is emitted, the two counters never coincide. This fact demonstrates that the emission doesn't follow wave-light behavior, it is not isotropic, but it does have a preferential direction.⁴³ Preferential direction in emission is only **Q8** one step toward the identification of the photon, so Pohl turns to the observation of the tracks which form during the propagation: they are not a continuous line, but have a granular structure and are made by dots. Moreover, their vividness decreases while they extend from the centre to one of the sides. The interaction is then discrete, and the loss of vividness testifies the exchange of energy between the electron of the gas and the photons of the radiation.

Pohl's interweaving of experimental and theoretical information, and his approach of combining pedagogy with cutting-edge research, were maintained throughout the successive editions of his textbooks. New discoveries, such as the pair productions of electrons and positrons, were integrated and discussed with extant knowledge. A few years later, the capacity to link physics pedagogy to milestone discoveries would be even more enhanced by the two atlases that emerged from the cloud chamber research community.

Recognizing tracks and scrutinizing processes: the atlases of the cloud chamber

The first atlas, published in Germany in 1940, was a compendium of the most relevant pictures associated with the cloud chamber, such as the transmutation of nitrogen observed by Blackett in 1925 and the first track of the positron obtained by Anderson in 1932.⁴⁴ The second volume, published in 1952, was dedicated to cosmic-ray research and reported the first picture of a cosmic ray taken at sea level (Leningrad, 1929) and the highest-energy ray ever seen, taken at an altitude of 3.027 metres (Echo Lake, 1949).

The fact that the first atlas was published in Germany at the beginning of the Second World War may seem strange in light of the fact that it drew very heavily on the work of British physicists. But this reveals the strength of the scientific network which had formed around cloud chamber studies in the interwar period. Through this network of people and laboratories, a variety of methodological strategies had circulated, including the coincidence method, introduced in 1927 by Walther Bothe in Germany, and developed further in 1929 by Bothe, Werner Kolhörster and the Italian physics Bruno Rossi. This last innovation involved including Geiger counters in the coincidence system in order to make it suitable for studying the penetrating charged particles of cosmic rays. The coincidence method was then applied to the cloud chamber by Patrick Blackett in Cambridge and Rossi's student Giuseppe Occhialini for the previously mentioned positron studies.

⁴³ Pohl, op. cit. (43), p. 308.

⁴⁴ Patrick Maynard Stuart Blackett, 'The ejection of protons from nitrogen nuclei, photographed by the Wilson method', *Proceedings of the Royal Society A* (1925) 107, pp. 349–61; Carl Anderson, 'Positives', *Science* (1932) 76, pp. 238–9; Anderson, 'The positive electron', *Physical Review* (1933) 43, pp. 491–4.

German studies on the cloud chambers continued to develop systematically in the 1930s alongside Bothe's interest in cosmic rays. Thanks to Bothe's assistant Maier-Leibnitz, Bothe's research group, which included Wolfgang Gentner, set up a cloud chamber research programme at the Institute of Physics in Heidelberg.

Both the atlases were conceived with a particular pedagogical aim: by carefully scrutinizing the thickness, curvature and length of the tracks and applying the conservation laws of physics, young researchers were to learn how to distinguish the 'already known' from potential new discoveries. The pedagogical value of the atlases is made explicit by P.M.S. Blackett, who received the 1948 Nobel Prize in physics for the development of the cloud chamber method. In the preface to the second volume, Blackett states explicitly that the atlas

must surely help us to make clear that this world of subatomic events is one which can be easily visualized and understood without the aid of complicated mathematics or mastery of deep theories. If one asks why these complicated events happen, one may be led into the subtle intricacies and uncertainties of modern fundamental theoretical physics, but if the experimenter contents himself with asking how they happen, then these pictures, and the attached commentaries, are an ideal guide to the world of elementary particles.⁴⁵

One may note an echo of Robert Pohl and his 'epistemology of simplicity' here in Blackett's preface. Still, just as Pohl's textbook revealed a complex interrelation of experimental and theoretical elements, so Blackett's attitude toward theories wasn't as simplistic as it might seem. In 1948, Blackett was just at the beginning of his study of the Earth's magnetism, an endeavour which demonstrated his willingness, and his capacity, to pursue bold theoretical hypotheses.⁴⁶ While at Cambridge and after the establishment of Bohr's institute in Copenhagen in 1920, Blackett had seen theoretical physics beginning to break down the walls of the fortress of experimental physics - aka the Cavendish Laboratory directed by Rutherford. Theoretical work gained legitimation thanks to Gamow's mathematical theory of the atomic nucleus based on wave mechanics, and steadily began to increase its importance and identity as a research field.⁴⁷ In 1933, however, Blackett had drawn attention to the fact that the increasing abstraction of physical theories was making it more and more difficult for the experimentalist to follow developments in theoretical physics. This fact had remarkable consequences: 'Today', he concluded, 'an experimenter cannot always be expected to understand fully the theoretical implications of his work, and must hand over half his job, and that the most fascinating to his intellect, to the mathematical physicist'.⁴⁸

Among the physicists who certainly took Blackett's perspective and considered the atlases a central pedagogical tool for physics teaching was Carlo Ballario, at the time professor of experimental physics at the University of Bologna. In fact, Ballario was asked by the Florentine scientific instrument company Officine Galileo to design a revision of the Wilson cloud chamber specifically for the school market, and it is this instrument which is the focus of this paper's third case study.⁴⁹ What makes this apparatus particularly

⁴⁹ As well as his teaching, Ballario was a cosmic-ray physicist who had developed an expertise in the Wilson method and participated in numerous innovations. In 1947, for example, he participated in the construction of a

Q9

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571 572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579 580 581

582

583

584 585

586

587 588

589

590

591 592

593

594

595

596

⁴⁵ George Dixon Rochester and J.G. Wilson, *Cloud Chamber Photographs of the Cosmic Radiation*, London: Pergamon Press, Ltd, 1952, p. vii.

⁴⁶ Mary Joe Nye, 'Temptations of theory, strategies of evidence: P.M.S. Blackett and the Earth's magnetism, 1947–52', *BJHS* (1999) 32(1), pp. 69–92.

⁴⁷ Jeff Hughes, "'Modernists with a vengeance": changing cultures of theory in nuclear science, 1920–1930', *Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics* (1998) 29, pp. 339–67.

⁴⁸ Blackett, 1933, pp. 87–8, 91, reported in Blackett, op. cit. (49).

meaningful is that, on the one hand, as with all the other pedagogical apparatus for introducing school students to particle physics, it was simple to operate. On the other hand, unlike any other didactical apparatus, it was extremely precise and high-performing. It could show the students the atomic processes as they were portrayed in the atlases.

To achieve this double goal - simplicity and precision - the revision of the Wilson instrument carried out by Ballario relies on the heavy use of electronics as a means of simplifying the use of the device: one might say that the instrument reduces the whole technical evolution of the Wilson method to the pressing of a button and the turning of a knob (Figure 3). The chamber is crossed by an electric field to remove the dust particles and ensure that the vapour condenses only on the ions created by the radioactive source placed within, as with Wilson and Thomson in the 1896 experiments. The expansion of the vapour takes place according to the pressure-driven mechanics introduced by Wilson in 1933; the volume of the chamber is circular and surrounded by circular magnets to deviate charged particles, as done by cosmic-ray physicists since the 1930s. As with Wilson's prototype of 1912, the expansion is connected to a camera and a flash of light. When the expansion takes place, a magnetic field is switched on inside the chamber in order to deviate charged particles according to their sign. Thanks to electronics, all this is done by the press of a single button. The second element is a knob, the turning of which controls the expansion of vapour and allows the operator to tune the instrument to atmospheric conditions.

Ballario's revision of the Wilson instrument tried to put students and teachers on a par with laboratory researchers when it came to observing the details of particle processes, aiming for the highest fidelity it was possible to obtain without the need for individual expertise. But at the same time, the sophistication makes the instrument delicate and possibly difficult to handle. This is probably the reason why, even though it was produced and distributed between 1959 and 1962, it was relatively quickly taken off the market. In a 1964 catalogue of the company, the Ballario teaching cloud chamber – described as a 'Wilson chamber' – appears just after another teaching model, one where a condensation in tracks is obtained by pressing a lever, and which seems much easier to use.⁵⁰

But in order to understand the pedagogical principles which lay behind the construction of this specific instrument, particularly interesting are three documents on the instrument conserved among the Ballario papers and belonging to the correspondence between the physicist and the instrument company.⁵¹ Undated and incomplete (two of them are missing important figures), they can, however, be related to a request for explanatory material required by the company for marketing purposes. The first document contains an extremely detailed description of the different parts of the apparatus, on which the first part of this section is based. The second document provides a technical account of the instrument based on an historically oriented division: as a cosmic-ray physicist, Ballario distinguishes a Wilson cloud chamber 'for radioactivity' in which expansions occur at regular intervals of time from a 'Wilson chamber for cosmic rays' which are controlled by the Geiger counters and would expand only in the case of the passage of a cosmic-ray particle. The third document, however, is a didactic illustration

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654 655

656

657

658

659

660

sophisticated Wilson cloud chamber – a fully automatized, multiplate type, equipped with a system for the spatial reconstruction of the events – installed on the Testa Grigia Laboratory in the Italian–Swiss Alps, at 3,500 meters.

⁵⁰ Eugenio Bertozzi, 'Technology-embedding instruments and performative goals: the case of the fully automatized cloud chamber by the Officine Galileo in Florence', *Bulletin of the Scientific Instrument Society* (2016) 129, pp. 34–42.

⁵¹ The correspondence between Carlo Ballario and the Officine Galileo company is available within the Fondo Ballario held by the Archivio del Museo di Fisica, Department of Physics of the University of Rome 'La Sapienza', Italy. The mentioned material is in folder n. 2 at www.archividelnovecento.it/index.php?option=com_content& view=article&id=486&catid=3&lang=it.



Figure 3. Wilson cloud chamber according to Ballario and produced by Officine Galileo in 1948. The circular illumination surrounding the observation chamber is visible. Copyright © Fondazione Scienza e Tecnica. Florence.

of the use of the instrument. Ballario describes the way in which his goal behind the development of the instrument was to bring into the classroom 'the daily effort that experimental physics endures every day to provide evidences to the great theoretical frameworks'. He details the technical procedure for maximizing both the performance of the instrument and its capacity to display bright tracks of the phenomena. Then he lists a series of processes reported in the atlas of 1940 as possible outcomes of the experiments: the scattering of alpha particles with helium (p. 51, 6b) and hydrogen (p. 51, 7b), the passage of relativistic particles through two centimetres of copper (p. 99, 48b), the production of secondary electrons by relativistic particles in the gas (p. 105, 53b), and thin particle showers (p. 120, 68c).⁵²

In this way, it is possible to see how the publication of the atlases and the emergence of particle physics as a distinct research sector had an impact on the teaching of physics. In fact, a few years later, this area of physics would take a stable place in physics curricula and textbooks. However, the observation of more and more sophisticated phenomena would not be the main channel through which such concepts would be explained to students.

The last section of this paper will deal with the period in which the cloud chamber became 'history'; that is, the period when it no longer played an active role in research practice. The discovery of unstable particles in 1947 proved that ideas both about cosmic rays as particle sources and about the cloud chamber as detector should be revised: while unstable cosmic radiation was to be replaced by reliable particle accelerators, the bubble chamber became a much better candidate for the study of the nuclear phenomenology.⁵³ Wilson's idea of the condensation of vapor around the path of particles was then replaced by Donald Glaser's observation that a liquid could boil around the same path, both visualizing particle tracks and offering, at the same time, a higher probability of nuclear reactions.

From a specialized instrument to a school and mass instrument

The immense changes in science which followed the end of the Second World War and the escalation of the Cold War also had profound implications for scientific education and the associated market of scientific instruments. Escalations of big scientific events (like

⁵² Gentner, Maier-Leibnitz and Bothe, op. cit. (14).

⁵³ George Dixon Rochester and Clifford Charles Butler, 'Evidence for the existence of new unstable elementary particles', *Nature* (1947) 160, pp. 855–7.

the launching of the USSR satellite sputnik in 1957) galvanized the US government into launching an unprecedented programme to reform pre-college science education by involving top scientists.⁵⁴ New educational programmes - such as the Physical Science Study Committee inaugurated at MIT in 1956 - were expected to review introductory physics education and to design, implement and monitor improvements based around the production of new textbooks, instructional movies and classroom laboratory material. Accordingly, new didactical equipment was also designed to support the reformed curric-ulum. As previous historians have noted, by the late twentieth century, physics teaching in high schools and colleges had hardly anything to do with the phenomenological and descriptive science of the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries.⁵⁵

The new trend also saw a diffusion of restyled historical instruments, such as the cloud chamber. They were designed and marketed on a grand scale by instrument companies and not produced as limited (if not unique) exemplars, as in the cases discussed above.⁵⁶ In order to reach a wide range of users among teachers and students, the new apparatuses were based on the simplest cloud chamber principles, thought to be entirely known and no longer the object of research: the volume-driven mechanism introduced by Wilson in 1911 and the diffusion mechanism introduced by Alexander Langsdorf in 1939.⁵⁷ Q10 The new instruments look quite different from the sophisticated apparatus of the first half of the century, conceived by university professors and often operable only by themselves or by their inner research circle (Figure 4). Still, as this section will show, the simplification of devices did not necessarily mean a simplification of teaching.

The restyling of such instruments took place in a general revision of the teaching methods and conceptions and, more specifically, in an educational redesign of the major experiments, in order to explicate and emphasize critical details necessary for student understanding. In one of the many educational movies produced within the American Physical Science Study Committee (PSSC), the cloud chamber is used in this respect within a reconstruction of the Rutherford's gold foil experiment. This celebrated experiment, which put forward the foundational argument for the existence of the atomic nucleus, was performed by means of an alpha-particle gun, gold foil and a scintillation screen. By observing the deflections of the alpha particles as they passed through the foil and released their energy on the screen, Rutherford and collaborators could identify the existence of a very small region where the positive charge would have been concentrated. The 'restyled' version proposed by the PSSC exploited a cloud chamber which, posed between the gold foil and the screen itself, made it possible to 'directly see' the deflection of particles from the horizontal direction of propagation.

Historical pictures which had been published in the atlases also became a pedagogical subject at school. In the Project Physics Course (PPC), active from 1962 in the United States, the historical analysis of Blackett's pictures of the transmutation of the nitrogen taken in 1925 and published in the 1940 atlas is developed into a full explanation.⁵⁸

⁵⁴ John L. Rudolph, *Scientists in the Classroom: The Cold War Reconstruction of American Science Education*, New York: Palgrave and St Martin's Press, 2002.

⁵⁵ Brenni, op. cit. (10), p. 223.

⁵⁶ Examples of instrument companies here are the Leybold and Phywe in Germany, as well as Nuclear Chicago and PASCO in the United States

⁵⁷ In a diffusion cloud chamber, the formation of the tracks is not induced by keeping a stable gradient of temperature between the floor and the ceiling of the chamber. The method was introduced by Alexander Langsdorf in 1939. See Alexander S. Langsdorf, 'The development of a thermally activated, continuously sensitive cloud chamber, and its use in nuclear physics research' (Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1939).

⁵⁸The Project Physics Course was active from 1962 to 1972 and centred at Harvard University. The directors of763this project were F. James Rutherford, Gerald Holton and Fletcher G. Watson. The Project Physics Course, 1st edn,764New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1970.765



Figure 4. Wilson cloud chamber produced by Leybold since 1959.

Following the line of Blackett's original argument, where four tracks in the picture mean 'disintegration' while three mean 'integration', the attention of students is drawn to the specific characteristics of the tracks that enable the identification of an alpha particle, a proton, as well as an 'unknown' nucleus in which the nitrogen has transmuted. These considerations are then formalized into a mathematical relation – an equation, expressing the balance between some quantities before and after the calculation. The knowledge of these quantities – atomic number, mass number and nuclear charge for three of the four entities involved – for the nitrogen, the alpha particle and the proton – allows the student to identify the nucleus in which the nitrogen transmuted: the third track on the picture is identified as an isotope of the oxygen with atomic number eight and mass number seventeen. A cartoon-style diagram in the margin of the textbook illustrates the entities tracked by the cloud chamber as a small and a big ball transforming into a big and small ball travelling in different directions.

A compendium on the teaching applications of the Wilson instrument published in Germany in 1976, besides giving practical advice on the construction of artisanal cloud chambers, presents a collection of very detailed pictures of alpha particles, protons, neutrons, electrons and Röntgen rays, and by means of forty-one guided exercises discloses the entire range of possibilities which are opened up for the teaching of particle physics by this collection.⁵⁹ Analogous with Das Gupta and Ghosh's 1946 *Review of Modern Physics* report, the 1976 publication indicates the extent to which the pedagogic capacities of Wilson's method have been explored over the course of the whole century. Nowadays, it is not difficult to find cloud chamber pictures in contemporary textbooks in an opening discussion of quantum mechanics, accompanied by comments – as with Pohl – on the dual nature of radiation. Despite Blackett's warning, they are really used to introduce students to 'the subtle intricacies and uncertainties of modern fundamental theoretical physics'.

Conclusions

This history of the pedagogical use of the Wilson cloud chamber has uncovered a dynamic relation between the research and pedagogical usage of the instrument, from the early 1900s to the 1960s. This dynamic has been investigated between the three main terms of the material culture of the cloud chamber: the apparatus, the cloud chamber pictures and pedagogical textbooks.

⁵⁹ Manfred Glaeser, Die Nebelkammer im experimentellen Unterricht, Cologne: Aulis Verlag, 1976.

850

851

852

863

864 865

866

867

As far as the apparatus is concerned, this paper has shown that the technical develop-817 ment of the Wilson method as particle detector coincided with a series of didactical 818 experiments and that for an extended period of time the two domains interacted with 819 each other. From the apparatus for clouds used by Thomson and Poynting – which 820 could hardly fall into one distinct category – and the standardized and simplified devices 821 marketed by the Leybold - easily to label as 'didactical' - Pohl's and Ballario's teaching 822 revisions of the Wilson instrument contained technical knowledge which competed 823 with, if it did not advance, developments in research. In this regard, the present paper 824 has suggested that the overlaps between traditionally separated historiographical categor-825 ies such as research and pedagogy may not be sustainable. Instead, perhaps the inter-826 action between the two should be looked at as a historiographical category in itself. 827

As for cloud chamber pictures, the paper has shown how this visual source displayed a 828 longevity which was independent of the apparatus that produced it, whether in research 829 or in didactical laboratory practice. It is not unusual to find Wilson's or the atlases' cloud 830 chamber pictures in modern school and university textbooks, sometimes symbolic of that 831 chapter in physics history, but sometimes also still used as an explanatory tool. The per-832 sistence of successful graphical representation in twentieth-century physics has been 833 investigated in relation to Feynman and Minkowski diagrams.⁶⁰ The cloud chamber pic-834 tures share many features with these visual tools, a certain 'ease of acquisition' and 835 the need for careful management of physical interpretation. The present paper has 836 shown how possible reasons for the longevity and persistence of cloud chamber pictures 837 in the teaching context lie in their versatility when used for teaching purposes. If Blackett 838 and the Cambridge physicists looked at the cloud chamber pictures to test new, evolving 839 theories (as it was for the positron in 1932 when cloud chamber pictures were determin-840 ant for confirming Dirac's theories on antimatter), the scientists-teachers looked at par-841 ticle tracks as an opportunity for crafting new explanations. The effect of the 842 condensation of vapour in tracks - the special capacity of the Wilson instrument - has 843 been exploited very much beyond its research scope and used for introducing the ion 844 hypothesis, discussing the electric charge of the electron and justifying the photon 845 hypothesis, as well as nuclear particles and processes. This capacity for extracting new 846 meanings from the same material emerges as a peculiar activity of the pedagogical labour 847 performed with the sole goal of making cognitive models meaningful to students. 848

Acknowledgments. The research has been supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation within the Research Fellowship for Postdoctoral Researchers programme and has been carried out at the Europa-Universität in Flensburg, Germany. My grateful thanks to Peter Heering, Paolo Brenni, Richard Staley, Wolfgang Engels and Roland Wittje for the precious discussions on the subject. Special thanks to the *BJHS* editorial team and the two anonymous referees for their knowledgeable advice and indispensable observations.

⁶⁰ David Kaiser, 'Stick-figure realism: conventions, reification, and the persistence of Feynman Diagrams, 1948–1964', Representations (2000) 70, pp. 49–86.

Cite this article: Bertozzi E (2021). 'Seeing with one's own eyes' and speaking to the mind: a history of the Wilson cloud chamber in the teaching of physics. *The British Journal for the History of Science* 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007087421000261