



ALMA MATER STUDIORUM
UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA

ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE
DELLA RICERCA

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

The effect of diabetic retinopathy on standing posture during optic flow stimulation

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

The effect of diabetic retinopathy on standing posture during optic flow stimulation / Piras, Alessandro; Perazzolo, Monica; Scalinci, Sergio Zaccaria; Raffi, Milena. - In: GAIT & POSTURE. - ISSN 0966-6362. - ELETTRONICO. - 95:(2022), pp. 242-248. [10.1016/j.gaitpost.2020.10.020]

Availability:

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/856543> since: 2024-04-12

Published:

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2020.10.020>

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

Gait & Posture

The effect of diabetic retinopathy on standing posture during optic flow stimulation

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	GAIPOS-D-20-00438R2
Article Type:	Full Length Article
Keywords:	Neuropathy; laser photocoagulation; Body Sway; Centre of Pressure; Diabetes; electromyography
Corresponding Author:	Alessandro Piras, Ph.D. Universita di Bologna ITALY
First Author:	Alessandro Piras, Ph.D.
Order of Authors:	Alessandro Piras, Ph.D. Monica Perazzolo Sergio Zaccaria Scalinci Milena Raffi
Abstract:	<p>Background</p> <p>Diabetic retinopathy is a principal cause of visual damage and blindness, in which laser treatment offers proven therapy. The progressive degeneration of the retina, secondary to diabetes, is believed to cause postural instability although this is not well documented. The aim of this research was to assess how optic flow stimuli contribute to the control of stance in people with impaired retinal functions.</p> <p>Research question</p> <p>Does the different retinal functionality correspond to different specific patterns of movements and muscles recruitment?</p> <p>Methods</p> <p>Postural mechanisms and motor strategies were measured by testing subjects in quiet stance on a force platform with surface electromyography under different optic flow stimulations. Root mean square values of the center of pressure time-varying signals and normalized EMG values were used to evaluate the postural sway.</p> <p>Results</p> <p>People with diabetic retinopathy, and to a greater extent laser group, were more unstable than healthy subjects. The greater amplitude of the body sway observed in the retinopathy group, and especially in the laser group, could be an expression of the difficulty for this population in processing this kind of visual information.</p> <p>Significance</p> <p>The increase in muscle activity indicates that there are musculoskeletal and postural changes in the lower limb musculature with increasing severity of diabetic retinopathy. An impaired retinal function might negatively affect postural control in a way that is dependent on the severity of retinal damage.</p>
Suggested Reviewers:	
Response to Reviewers:	

Conflict of interest statement

All authors disclose any financial and personal relationships with other people or organisations that could inappropriately influence their work.

Title: The effect of diabetic retinopathy on standing posture during optic flow stimulation

Abstract

Background: Diabetic retinopathy is a principal cause of visual damage and blindness, in which laser treatment offers proven therapy. The progressive degeneration of the retina, secondary to diabetes, is believed to cause postural instability although this is not well documented. The aim of this research was to assess how optic flow stimuli contribute to the control of stance in people with impaired retinal functions.

Research question: Does the different retinal functionality correspond to different specific patterns of movements and muscles recruitment?

Methods: Postural mechanisms and motor strategies were measured by testing subjects in quiet stance on a force platform with surface electromyography under different optic flow stimulations. Root mean square values of the center of pressure time-varying signals and normalized EMG values were used to evaluate the postural sway.

Results: People with diabetic retinopathy, and to a greater extent laser group, were more unstable than healthy subjects. The greater amplitude of the body sway observed in the retinopathy group, and especially in the laser group, could be an expression of the difficulty for this population in processing this kind of visual information.

Significance: The increase in muscle activity indicates that there are musculoskeletal and postural changes in the lower limb musculature with increasing severity of diabetic retinopathy. An impaired retinal function might negatively affect postural control in a way that is dependent on the severity of retinal damage.

Keywords: neuropathy; laser photocoagulation; body sway; centre of pressure; diabetes; electromyography

Introduction

Diabetes is a chronic illness produced by elevated levels of blood glucose, accompanied by disturbed metabolism of fats and proteins. Uncontrolled diabetes leads to complications in many organs, including impaired vision (diabetic retinopathy) and nerve damages (diabetic neuropathy) [1].

Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is a microvascular complication that increases with duration of pathology. The DR is characterized by a progressive degeneration of the retina, which at first may cause no symptoms or only mild vision problems. Eventually, it can cause loss of the visual function [2]. Primary interventions, such as intensive glycemic and blood pressure control, can reduce the incidence of DR, while secondary interventions, such as laser photocoagulation, may prevent further progression of DR and vision loss [3].

1 Vision plays an essential role in the multisensory control of postural balance [4–6]; it is the
2 system primarily involved in planning locomotion and in avoiding obstacles along the way [7].
3 Postural instability is increased when visual, proprioceptive or vestibular cues are absent or
4 degraded [8]. In elderly persons, standing balance deteriorates due to age-related physiologic
5 diminution of visual and vestibular function and lower extremity muscle strength; thus, this
6 deterioration increases the risk of falling [9]. DR reduces the autonomy and quality of life [10].
7 Postural instability, which causes limitations in the daily activity, is among the complications
8 associated with diabetes mellitus [11]. In diabetic patients with a long history of severe
9 retinopathy, the degree of instability is expected to be greater than in non-diabetic subjects
10 [12].
11
12
13

14 Therefore, it is thought that the concurrent effects of neuropathic symptoms and retinopathic
15 damage are associated with increased postural instability among patients with one or both
16 factors [13]. Additionally, the effects of neuropathy upon gait and posture appear strong when
17 retinopathy is considered [12]. Moreover, peripheral neuropathy has an effect on muscle
18 function, causing higher effort from the lower limb musculature to produce a simple action
19 during gait, with an earlier fatigue that can be demonstrated by the increase in EMG muscle
20 amplitude. This is probably due to the lack of blood being provided to the muscles and the
21 effect of glycosylation, with more muscle exertion and longer time in fibers contraction to
22 produce the action [14].
23
24
25
26
27

28 Retinopathy affects the retina with microaneurysms and haemorrhages, resulting in
29 visual acuity problems, loss of binocular vision, and increment in postural instability.
30 Diabetes alters endothelial function and permeability of the blood brain barrier, thus affecting
31 microcirculation and regional metabolism, with alteration (hypoperfusion) in cerebral blood
32 flow of the frontal, temporal, parietal, occipital, and cerebellar areas [15]. This altered
33 vasoreactivity, accompanied with white matter atrophy, was most prominent in the temporal
34 region, with consequences even in the postural control [16]. Moreover, white matter
35 hyperintensities (WMHs), a diffuse hyperintense areas, secondary to vascular
36 complications, are strongly associated with age, hypertension and diabetes [17]. In older
37 adults, Novak et al., [17] have found a correspondence between the WMHs of the fronto-
38 temporal and parieto-occipital regions with the increased postural instability in both
39 mediolateral and anteroposterior direction. The main function of the occipital area is to
40 process visual information and visual perceptions. Patients with DR show a greater postural
41 instability because visual perception is closely linked to postural control providing
42 afferent feedback regarding postural sway to the cerebellum. Therefore, diabetic
43 retinopathy results in impairments of visual perception, visual processing, and transfer of the
44 somatosensory information to the parietal and frontal areas with subsequent impairments of
45 attention, behavioural response and executive functions [18]. Common laser treatments
46 applied to patients with sight-threatening forms of diabetic retinopathy are effective in
47 preventing but not reversing visual loss. It is therefore imperative that patients with sight-
48 threatening disease are referred before visual loss occurs, and the most affected retinal
49 area was the peripheral visual field, with the extent of the loss depended from the size,
50 density and intensity of the coagulation [19].
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Postural control is a complex task which make considerable demands on the peripheral nervous
2 system and might therefore be affected by peripheral neuropathy. Since orientation information
3 from the various senses are not always accurate (diabetic retinopathy), the postural control
4 system must be regulated to maintain balance in a different environmental condition [8]. This
5 is the sensorimotor integration problem that we investigated by evoking body sway using optic
6 flow stimulation in both healthy and disease subjects. In the present study, we induced a self-
7 motion perception in a quiet standing using optic flow stimuli while simultaneously recording
8 the center of pressure (COP) displacement and the pattern of lower-limb muscular activity. We
9 performed the experiments in patients with retinopathy, patients with laser treatment and
10 healthy age-matched controls. Based on the literature cited above, and to the best of our
11 knowledge, no study has investigated the different postural sway and lower-limb muscle
12 activity between patients with retinopathy and retinopathic patients after laser treatment. We
13 hypothesised that specific patterns of movements and different muscles recruitment correspond
14 to different retinal functionality that is the functional part of the retina, which could be damaged
15 due to diabetic consequences.
16
17
18
19
20

21 **Methods**

22 **Participants**

23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
Thirty-six subjects gave their written informed consent to take part in this study and were subdivided into 3 groups: thirteen people with type II diabetes mellitus and in the early stage of retinopathy, eight people with type II diabetes mellitus submitted to laser treatment on the peripheral retina, and fifteen healthy subjects (Table 1). The experimental protocol was approved by the Bioethics Committee of our University. The experiments were performed in accordance with the ethical standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki. Exclusion criteria were the assumption of any drug that could have an effect on the central nervous system, the presence of any musculoskeletal problem and/or major complications of diabetes that could affect the standing posture. The hand and foot laterality of each subject was assessed by a laterality questionnaire [20] using the following formula:

$$[(\text{right preference} - \text{left preference}) / (\text{right preference} + \text{left preference})] \times 100$$

A positive laterality index was indicative of a right dominance, while a negative index was indicative of a left dominance.

****Table1****

50 **Procedure and apparatus**

51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
Participants were divided into three groups based on the ophthalmoscopic measurement operated by one of the authors (S.Z.S). People with non-proliferative retinopathy and presenting only a few micro-aneurysms were assigned to the “retinopathy group”. People with proliferative retinopathy who had already undergone laser photocoagulation treatment in the peripheral retina were included in the laser treated group “laser group”. All participants included in the laser group had an intact central area of about 30° of radius corresponding to

1 the entire macula and a portion of peripheral retina. “Control group” were healthy people with
2 normal retinal functionality.

3
4 All experiments were performed in a dark room. Participants were placed, in a standing posture,
5 in front of a translucent screen in which the optic flow visual stimuli were back projected. Feet
6 were placed at the same position for all subject. We have identified a line in which they had to
7 place the upper extremity of their halluces. They were instructed to fixate the center of the
8 screen for the entire duration of the stimulation meanwhile COP and electromyographic (EMG)
9 activity were simultaneously recorded.
10

11 **Optic flow stimuli**

12
13 Optic flow stimuli comprised white dots (1.3 cd/m², size 0.4°), in a black background,
14 presented with a retro video projector (Sony VPL EX3) positioned 415 cm away from a
15 translucent screen. The screen covered 135×107° of visual field and was placed 115 cm from
16 the subjects’ eyes. The dots speed was set at 5°/s [4].
17
18

19
20 We randomly presented seven optic flow stimuli (Fig.1). Fixation on a dark screen (baseline,
21 BASE) and random dots motion (RAN) were used as control stimuli. Two types of optic flow
22 motion: in the first condition the dots speed accelerated to the left to simulate left-heading
23 direction (direction left – fixation central; LEFT), while in the second condition the speed
24 accelerated to the right to simulate right-heading direction (direction right – fixation central;
25 RIGHT). Finally, three expanding optic flow stimuli were presented full field (FULL), in the
26 foveal region (FOV; the stimulated area had a radius of 7°) and in the peripheral region (PERIP;
27 the blank area in the centre had a radius of 20°) [4]. All stimuli had a fixation point placed to
28 the center of the screen, and participants had to maintain fixation there for the entire duration
29 of the stimulation. For each stimulus we recorded five trials lasting 30 s each. Optic flow stimuli
30 were made using Matlab psychophysical toolbox (The Mathworks Inc.).
31
32
33
34
35
36

37
38 *****Fig.1*****
39

40 **Stabilometric and surface electromyography**

41
42 Stabilometric data were recorded using two Kistler® force platforms (Kistler Instrument Corp.
43 NY, USA) placed side by side. Participants were instructed to place a foot on each platform
44 with both arms along the trunk. EMG data were acquired with PocketEMG (BTS
45 Bioengineering Inc.) using Ag/AgCl disposable electrodes 32x32 mm (RAM Apparecchi
46 Medicali s.r.l.). Electrodes had an active area of 0.8 cm² with an inter-electrode distance of
47 about 2 cm. At the beginning of the experiment, subjects were prepared for the
48 electromyographic recordings. Electrodes were positioned on the muscular belly of the
49 following muscles: left tibialis anterior (LTA), right tibialis anterior (RTA), left soleus (LSOL),
50 right soleus (RSOL). The reference electrode was placed on the malleolus bone (electrically
51 neutral tissue). After placing the electrodes, we acquired the maximum voluntary contraction
52 (MVC) of each muscle using isometric machines, with subjects seated in a chair, with knees at
53 90° and toes lies on a platform. They had to produce the maximum EMG levels via plantar
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 flexion (soleus) and dorsiflexion (tibialis anterior) movements against a heavy load. The peak
2 of the MVC was used for the normalization of EMG values [5,21].

3 **Data Analysis**

4
5 Both EMG and stabilometric signals were recorded at 1000 Hz. EMG signals were positively
6 rectified and band pass filtered (Butterworth, 20–450 Hz) using SMART Analyzer (BTS
7 Bioengineering Inc.), then data were resampled at 250 Hz and normalized to the maximum
8 voluntary contraction. The normalized root mean square (RMS) values were calculated in 100
9 ms bins.

10
11
12 Stabilometric data were low-pass filtered at 15 Hz and resampled at 250 Hz. Antero-posterior
13 (AP) and medio-lateral (ML) directions of COPs of each foot were analysed using either
14 SMART Analyzer and Matlab. Then, we obtained the global COP, computed from a weighted
15 average of the left and right COP, according to the following formula [13]:
16
17
18

$$19 \text{COP}_{\text{global}} = \text{COP}_L * R_{VL} / (R_{VL} + R_{VR}) + \text{COP}_R * R_{VR} / (R_{VL} + R_{VR}),$$

20
21 where RVL and RVR are the vertical reaction forces from left and right feet, respectively.
22

23
24 The COP velocity reflects the total distance travelled by the COP over time on each axis, while
25 the COP area represents the enclosed area covered by the COP as it oscillates within the base
26 of support [5].
27
28

29 **Statistical analysis**

30
31 Repeated-measures ANOVA was done on each COP parameters (COP_{AP} ; COP_{ML} ; COP_{Area} and
32 $\text{COP}_{\text{Velocity}}$) in which stimuli (BASE; RAN; LEFT; RIGHT; FULL; PERIP; FOV) was the
33 within-subjects factor, while group (control; retinopathy; laser) was the between-subjects
34 factor.
35
36

37
38 Repeated-measures ANOVA was also used for muscle activity, in which muscles (RTA; LTA;
39 RSOL; LSOL) and stimuli (BASE; RAN; LEFT; RIGHT; FULL; PERIP; FOV) were the
40 within-subjects factors, group (control, retinopathy, laser) the between-subjects factor.
41
42

43
44 Multiple comparisons were done with Bonferroni post-hoc test. Mauchly's test was used to
45 assess any violations of sphericity. Effect size of the repeated measure ANOVAs were
46 expressed using partial eta-squared (η_p^2), with values of 0.01, 0.06, and 0.14 representing small,
47 medium, and large effects respectively (Cohen, 1988). Statistical significance was set at $p <$
48 0.05.
49
50

51 **Results**

52
53 All subjects were right-handed. Responses from the laterality questionnaire resulted in values
54 ranging from 80 to 100. Twenty-four subjects showed a laterality index of 100, meaning that
55 they were completely right-handed. The rest of the participants showed values in the range
56 between “80-100” indicating a strong right laterality in all three body segments. No subject
57 turned out to be left-oriented.
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Stabilometric parameters

All COP parameters showed significant main effects for group ($F_{2, 30} = 7.34$; $p < 0.05$; Fig.2). Concerning ML direction, significant differences were observed for retinopathy and laser in comparison to control group (mean diff = 5.18; $F_{2, 30} = 4.14$; $p = 0.046$; 95% CI: 0.25-16.62 and mean diff = 6.27; $F_{2, 30} = 4.34$; $p = 0.043$; 95% CI: 0.16-12.38, respectively). Same result was obtained for the AP direction (mean diff = 7.74; $F_{2, 30} = 6.64$; $p = 0.026$; 95% CI: 0.74-14.75 and mean diff = 12.05; $F_{2, 30} = 8.34$; $p = 0.004$; 95% CI: 3.33-20.76 for retinopathy and laser group respectively). The COP area showed significant difference between laser and control group (mean diff = 62.50; $F_{2, 30} = 5.14$; $p = 0.031$; 95% CI: 4.63-120.37), meanwhile COP velocity between retinopathy and control group (mean diff = 8.18; $F_{2, 30} = 4.22$; $p = 0.046$; 95% CI: 0.25-16.62).

****Fig.2****

Stimuli x group ($F_{12, 180} = 2.03$; $p = 0.024$; $\eta_p^2 = 0.12$) interaction effects was found for the ML direction. This analysis demonstrated that retinopathic and laser groups were more unstable than control subjects on all visual stimuli, included baseline and random motion conditions (Fig.3).

****Fig.3****

Electromyographic activity

Repeated measures ANOVA was applied to the normalized RMS values. Results revealed a significant main effect for groups ($F_{2, 29} = 4.76$; $p = 0.016$; $\eta_p^2 = 0.25$), muscle ($F_{3, 87} = 3.60$; $p = 0.017$; $\eta_p^2 = 0.11$), stimuli ($F_{6, 174} = 2.40$; $p = 0.030$; $\eta_p^2 = 0.08$), and an interaction effect for muscle x stimuli x group ($F_{36, 522} = 1.80$; $p = 0.003$; $\eta_p^2 = 0.11$) (Fig.4).

****Fig.4****

Bonferroni post hoc analysis showed a significant difference between retinopathy and control group (mean diff = 2.60; $F_{2, 30} = 7.38$; $p = 0.014$; 95% CI: 0.44-4.75). All participants activated mostly both left and right soleus muscles, with the greatest values shown by retinopathy group, meanwhile, left and right tibialis anterior were mainly activated by laser in comparison to control group (mean diff = 1.42; $F_{2, 30} = 7.08$; $p = 0.018$; 95% CI: 0.44-3.65).

Discussion

The aim of this research was to assess how optic flow stimuli contribute to the control of stance in people with different retinal functionality. Our results showed that people with diabetic retinopathy, and to a greater extent people who have received laser treatment, were more unstable than healthy control subjects. The velocity, range and distribution of postural sway during stance have been shown to be greater in patients with diabetic peripheral neuropathy than in age-matched control subjects [22]. The postural unbalance, as a consequences of neuropathy, is related to electrophysiological measures of nerve conduction, but it does not occur in diabetic patients without neuropathy [23]. Laser treatment leads to a loss of retinal

1 receptors, mainly in the peripheral visual field [24]. The literature has widely demonstrated the
2 functional importance of the peripheral retina in postural stabilization [4,25], and its preference
3 for processing forward motion [26]. To better understanding, we have tried to investigate the
4 relative contribution of visual receptors in the control of posture during eccentric optic flow
5 stimulation.
6

7
8 The slightly but not significantly higher values of the COP parameters (ML; AP; Area) found
9 in the laser with respect to retinopathy group, as well as the significantly differences in
10 comparison to the control subjects, make us consider the functional importance of the
11 peripheral retina in the postural stabilization. We can speculate that the damage of
12 the peripheral visual field of the laser group may have played the most important role
13 during standing posture [for a review see 27], leading us to understand the functional
14 significance of different retinal damage in modulating the self-motion perception, and
15 consequently the associated muscular responses and COP dynamics. The lack of the
16 peripheral retina seems to induce a significant wider COP sway in the medio-lateral
17 and antero-posterior direction, and mainly in the COP area when compared to the control
18 group (Fig.2). Indeed, the COP area defines the overall postural instability, and the
19 literature demonstrates how unsteadiness tends to be related to the availability of the
20 sensorimotor information [28]. Complications associated with diabetes lead to a lack of
21 one or more sensory information in entrance to the postural system, a condition that
22 predisposes this population to a risk of fall 15 times greater than age-matched healthy
23 subjects [1]. In the same way, data of the present study suggest that postural instability is
24 proportionate to the retinal damage and to the visual information available.
25 Regarding the COP velocity, it is proportional to the postural unsteadiness, and people
26 with high risk of falling present high values of COP velocity [29]. COP velocity was the
27 only parameter in which we found the highest value on the retinopathy group. It seems that,
28 within a certain range, higher COP area is correlated with lower COP velocity. Retinopathy
29 showed higher velocity and lower area, whereas laser group showed higher area and lower
30 velocity. Higher COP velocity, exhibited by the retinopathy group, could have helped them in
31 maintain posture with respect to laser group, who showed greatest values in the
32 remaining COP parameters (AP; ML; and Area). Indeed, the effectiveness of the
33 postural control system is generally related to the magnitude of the COP displacement,
34 while COP velocity is associated with activity necessary to maintain postural stability [30].
35 Importantly, we can suppose that the unbalanced sample size between groups could have
36 influenced the statistical analysis, with no significant differences between retinopathy
37 and laser groups.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50
51 The optic flow stimuli used in this study had a characteristic pattern of expansion
52 that simulated a self-motion perception in a forward motion. This could have
53 determined the greatest value of laser group on both left and right tibialis anterior in order
54 to react to visual stimuli. The tonic activity of both tibialis anterior and soleus muscles
55 may contribute to the intrinsic ankle stiffness to keep stability. Indeed, the ankle
56 activation while maintaining posture causes a continuous oscillation in antero-posterior
57 direction requiring the generation of a stronger vertical force to keep postural stability
58 and to avoid falls. In addition to this, a previous study affirms that elderly decrease
59 their body sway by co-activating their muscles around the ankle joint, probably due to
60 postural instability [31]. It can perhaps be assumed that increased muscle
61
62
63
64
65

1 activation of the tibialis anterior results in greater demand for increased ankle dorsi-
2 flexion/extension during standing posture. The greater COP displacement perceived by the
3 laser group might result in a compensatory leg muscle co-contraction in order to reduce COP
4 displacement. The retinopathy group responded to postural perturbation activating
5 predominantly both left and right soleus, whereas the laser group activated both tibialis anterior
6 and soleus muscles. These postural strategies seem to correspond to different levels of retinal
7 functionality evolving into a progressively lower level of adaptability and increased rigidity,
8 with the activation of agonist and antagonist muscles of both legs equally. A disabling
9 consequence of diabetic complication is the progressive reduction of the fine motor control at
10 the ankle level, presumably due to the continuous loss of motor axons with insufficient
11 reinnervation, responsible for both atrophy and muscle weakness [32,33]. The pathophysiology
12 of muscle weakness in the presence of hyperglycaemia may bring to postural alterations.
13 Indeed, due to the lack of blood being supplied to the muscles and the effect of glycosylation,
14 there is more muscle exertion and the fibres take longer to contract to produce the movement
15 [14]. The significant increase in EMG values in patients with retinopathy indicates that higher
16 exertion is required from the lower-limb musculature to produce the appropriate activation to
17 maintain posture, which may result in earlier fatigue. Consequently, identifying muscle
18 characteristics, such as reduced tolerability to fatigue and strength, during the clinical
19 management of the diabetic complications to body extremities is vital for the provision of more
20 effective therapies to improve muscle area and function. Moreover, proprioceptive feedback
21 from the leg and foot will be degraded in patients with such complications. In healthy subjects,
22 restoration of balance following perturbation in quiet standing has been demonstrated to result
23 primarily from movements at the ankle [12]. Moreover, the slightly higher BMI observed for
24 the disease participants is unlikely to affect the neuromuscular balance responses, given that
25 only a BMI higher than 35 kg/m² has been associated with higher COP parameters [30].

26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36 The postural strategies did not change under different optic flow stimulations, but we found
37 specific postural stabilization strategies related to retinal functional conditions. The absence of
38 a different muscular response to different visual stimuli suggests that the availability of an optic
39 flow stimulation seems not to play a role in triggering the preparatory muscle action; once a
40 structured plan has been acquired, the relevant muscles respond relative to the task of
41 maintaining posture. Previous studies did not find any stimulus effect in changing COP
42 parameters and/or postural muscles activation, so the main role of cortical mechanisms in the
43 maintenance of stance has become increasingly evident [4–6]. Therefore, the total number of
44 neurons in the visual cortex, stimulated by either central or peripheral retinal areas, determined
45 the visual contribution to postural balance [34]. The present findings of an increased in postural
46 body sway with decreasing area of the visual field supports this interpretation [35].

47
48
49
50
51
52 An important point to discuss is the lack of significant differences between retinopathy and
53 laser groups. This could be due to the unbalanced sample size, with lower number of lasers
54 treated in comparison to retinopathic and healthy participants. Another limitation of our study
55 is the lack of a precise quantification of the dimensions of the residual visual field of both study
56 groups. However, the two groups did not have the same degree of severity of peripheral
57 involvement, with participants in the laser group having the greatest peripheral damage.
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Nonetheless, their outcome results on postural stability were comparable. These differed significantly from the results obtained from the control group. This implies that even a mild peripheral retinal damage had a negative impact on postural control. Subjects with a lack of peripheral retinal receptors demonstrated greater postural instability as well as specific patterns of muscle activation, with adaptive motor programs based on the characteristics of visual perception. Quiet standing requires the combination of various body segments, joints, and sensory system integrations to control balance and avoid falls. These results shed light on the motor control system that influences postural responses.

References

- [1] Roglic G. WHO Global report on diabetes: A summary. *Int J Noncommunicable Dis* 2016;1:3. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2468-8827.184853>.
- [2] Ghamdi AH Al. Clinical Predictors of Diabetic Retinopathy Progression; A Systematic Review. *Curr Diabetes Rev* 2019;16:242–7. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1573399815666190215120435>.
- [3] Mohamed Q, Gillies MC, Wong TY. Management of diabetic retinopathy: A systematic review. *J Am Med Assoc* 2007;298:902–16. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.298.8.902>.
- [4] Raffi M, Piras A, Persiani M, Squatrito S. Importance of optic flow for postural stability of male and female young adults. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2014;114:71–83. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-013-2750-4>.
- [5] Raffi M, Piras A, Persiani M, Perazzolo M, Squatrito S. Angle of gaze and optic flow direction modulate body sway. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol* 2017;35:61–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelekin.2017.05.008>.
- [6] Persiani M, Piras A, Squatrito S, Raffi M. Laterality of Stance during Optic Flow Stimulation in Male and Female Young Adults. *Biomed Res Int* 2015;2015. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/542645>.
- [7] Winter DA. Human balance and posture control during standing and walking. *Gait Posture* 1995;3:193–214. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0966-6362\(96\)82849-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0966-6362(96)82849-9).
- [8] Peterka RJ. Sensorimotor integration in human postural control. *J Neurophysiol* 2002;88:1097–118. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.2002.88.3.1097>.
- [9] Orr R. Contribution of muscle weakness to postural instability in the elderly A systematic review. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med* 2010;46:183–220.
- [10] Shrestha GS, Kaiti R. Visual functions and disability in diabetic retinopathy patients. *J Optom* 2014;7:37–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.optom.2013.03.003>.
- [11] Bonnet C, Carello C, Turvey MT. Diabetes and Postural Stability: Review and Hypotheses. *Taylor Fr* 2010;41:172–92. <https://doi.org/10.3200/JMBR.41.2.172-192>.
- [12] Cavanagh PR, Derr JA, Ulbrecht JS, Maser RE, Orchard TJ. Problems with Gait and Posture in Neuropathic Patients with Insulin- Dependent Diabetes Mellitus. *Diabet Med* 1992;9:469–74. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-5491.1992.tb01819.x>.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- [13] Winter DA, Patla AE, Ishac M, Gage WH. Motor mechanisms of balance during quiet standing. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol* 2003;13:49–56. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1050-6411\(02\)00085-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1050-6411(02)00085-8).
 - [14] Bartolo E, Thorne CS, Gatt A, Formosa C. The influence of peripheral arterial disease on lower limb surface myoelectric signals in patients living with type II diabetes mellitus. *Gait Posture* 2019;73:228–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2019.07.254>.
 - [15] Vázquez LA, Amado JA, García-Unzueta MT, Quirce R, Jiménez-Bonilla JF, Pazos F, et al. Decreased plasma endothelin-1 levels in asymptomatic type I diabetic patients with regional cerebral hypoperfusion assessed by SPECT. *J Diabetes Complications* 1999;13:325–31. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1056-8727\(99\)00064-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1056-8727(99)00064-1).
 - [16] Last D, Alsop DC, Abduljalil AM, Marquis RP, De Bazelaire C, Hu K, et al. Global and regional effects of type 2 diabetes on brain tissue volumes and cerebral vasoreactivity. *Diabetes Care* 2007;30:1193–9. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc06-2052>.
 - [17] Novak V, Haertle M, Zhao P, Hu K, Munshi M, Novak P, et al. White matter hyperintensities and dynamics of postural control. *Magn Reson Imaging* 2009;27:752–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mri.2009.01.010>.
 - [18] Zherdiova N, ... NM-J of, 2018 undefined. Relationship between diabetic retinopathy and cerebral perfusion in type 2 diabetes mellitus. n.d.
 - [19] Dowler JGF. Laser management of diabetic retinopathy. *J. R. Soc. Med.*, vol. 96, Royal Society of Medicine Press Ltd; 2003, p. 277–9. <https://doi.org/10.1258/jrsm.96.6.277>.
 - [20] Elias LJ, Bryden MP, Bulman-Fleming MB. Footedness is a better predictor than is handedness of emotional lateralization. *Neuropsychologia* 1998;36:37–43. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0028-3932\(97\)00107-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0028-3932(97)00107-3).
 - [21] Piras A, Raffi M, Perazzolo M, Squatrito S. Influence of heading perception in the control of posture. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol* 2018;39:89–94. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelekin.2018.02.001>.
 - [22] Dickstein R, Shupert CL, Horak FB. Fingertip touch improves postural stability in patients with peripheral neuropathy. *Gait Posture* 2001;14:238–47. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0966-6362\(01\)00161-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0966-6362(01)00161-8).
 - [23] Giacomini PG, Bruno E, Monticone G, Di Girolamo S, Magrini A, Parisi L, et al. Postural rearrangement in IDDM patients with peripheral neuropathy. *Diabetes Care* 1996;19:372–4. <https://doi.org/10.2337/diacare.19.4.372>.
 - [24] Subash M, Comyn O, Samy A, Qatarneh D, Antonakis S, Mehat M, et al. The effect of multispot laser panretinal photocoagulation on retinal sensitivity and driving eligibility in patients with diabetic retinopathy. *JAMA Ophthalmol* 2016;134:666–72. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2016.0629>.
 - [25] Amblard B, Carblanc a. Role of foveal and peripheral visual information in maintenance of postural equilibrium in man. *Percept Mot Ski* 1980;51:903–12. <https://doi.org/10.2466/pms.1980.51.3.903>.
 - [26] Berencsi A, Ishihara M, Imanaka K. The functional role of central and peripheral

vision in the control of posture. *Hum Mov Sci* 2005;24:689–709.
[https://doi.org/S0167-9457\(05\)00091-6](https://doi.org/S0167-9457(05)00091-6) [pii]\n10.1016/j.humov.2005.10.014.

- [27] Raffi M, Piras A. Investigating the crucial role of optic flow in postural control: Central vs. peripheral visual field. *Appl Sci* 2019;9:934.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/app9050934>.
- [28] Abdul Razzak R, Hussein W. Postural visual dependence in asymptomatic type 2 diabetic patients without peripheral neuropathy during a postural challenging task. *J Diabetes Complications* 2016;30:501–6.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2015.12.016>.
- [29] Muir JW, Kiel DP, Hannan M, Magaziner J, Rubin CT. Dynamic Parameters of Balance Which Correlate to Elderly Persons with a History of Falls. *PLoS One* 2013;8.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0070566>.
- [30] Hue O, Simoneau M, Marcotte J, Berrigan F, Doré J, Marceau P, et al. Body weight is a strong predictor of postural stability. *Gait Posture* 2007;26:32–8.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2006.07.005>.
- [31] Nelson-Wong E, Appell R, McKay M, Nawaz H, Roth J, Sigler R, et al. Increased fall risk is associated with elevated co-contraction about the ankle during static balance challenges in older adults. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2012;112:1379–89.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-011-2094-x>.
- [32] Perazzolo M, Reeves ND, Bowling FL, Boulton AJM, Raffi M, Marple-Horvat DE. A new approach to identifying the effect of diabetic peripheral neuropathy on the ability to drive safely. *Transp Res Part F Traffic Psychol Behav* 2020;69:324–34.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2020.01.015>.
- [33] Perazzolo M, Reeves ND, Bowling FL, Boulton AJM, Raffi M, Marple-Horvat DE. Altered accelerator pedal control in a driving simulator in people with diabetic peripheral neuropathy. *Diabet Med* 2020;37:335–42.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/dme.13957>.
- [34] Straube A, Krafczyk S, Paulus W, Brandt T. Dependence of visual stabilization of postural sway on the cortical magnification factor of restricted visual fields. *Exp Brain Res* 1994;99:501–6. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00228986>.
- [35] Brandt T, Paulus W, Straube A. *Vision and Posture. Disord. posture gait.* Elsevier, Amsterdam: 1986, p. 157–75.

Legend to figure

Figure 1. Optic flow stimuli. **A.** Fixation on a dark screen (baseline - BASE). **B.** Random dots motion (random - RAN). **C.** Fixation point (FP) to the centre and dots accelerated to the left simulated heading to the left with fixation straight ahead (left direction - LEFT). **D.** FP to the centre and dots accelerated to the right simulated heading to the right with fixation straight ahead (right direction - RIGHT). **E.** Full field radial expansion with the FP simulated heading and fixation straight ahead (full - FULL). **F.** Peripheral stimulation, the blank area in the centre

1 had a radius of 20° (periphery - PERIP). **G.** Foveal stimulation, the stimulated area had a radius
2 of 7° (fovea - FOV). Arrows represent the velocity and direction vectors of moving dots.

3
4 **Figure 2.** Histograms represent the differences (mean±SEM) between groups (control: black
5 bars; retinopathy: white bars; laser: grey bars) across COP parameters.

6
7 **Figure 3.** Histogram represents the differences (mean±SEM) between groups (control: black
8 bars; retinopathy: white bars; laser: grey bars) across optic flow stimuli during ML direction.

9
10 *Legend.* BASE: baseline; FULL: full; RIGHT: right direction; LEFT: left direction; FOV:
11 fovea; PERIP: periphery; RAN: random; RMS: root mean square; MVC: maximum voluntary
12 contraction; LTA: left tibialis anterior; RTA: right tibialis anterior; LSOL: left soleus; RSOL:
13 right soleus.

14
15
16 **Figure 4.** Histograms show the muscle activation (% of MVC) between groups (control: black
17 bars; retinopathy: white bars; laser: grey bars) across optic flow stimuli.

18
19 *Legend.* BASE: baseline; FULL: full; RIGHT: right direction; LEFT: left direction; FOV:
20 fovea; PERIP: periphery; RAN: random; RMS: root mean square.


Table 1. Group characteristics

	Retinopathy	Laser	Control	<i>p</i> value
Subjects (N ^o)	13 (7 males; 6 females)	8 (2 males; 6 females)	15 (10 males; 5 females)	0.078
Age (years)	62±3	58±5	58±2	0.171
BMI (kg/m ²)	28±4	28±3	26±4	0.876
Age at diabetes onset (yrs.)	37±4	30±5	\	0.034*
Disease duration (yrs.)	25±3	29±2	\	0.029*
HbA _{1c} (%)	8.1±1.2	8.4±0.9	\	0.098

Data are means ± SD. Abbreviations: BMI: body mass index; HbA_{1c}: glycated hemoglobin.

* Significant at $p < 0.05$.

Figure 2






Figure 4

