

# Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Global and local characterization explains the different mechanisms of failure of the human ribs

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Global and local characterization explains the different mechanisms of failure of the human ribs / Palanca M.; Liebsch C.; Hubner S.; Marras D.; Ruspi M.L.; Marconi F.; Cristofolini L.; Wilke H.-J.. - In: JOURNAL OF THE MECHANICAL BEHAVIOR OF BIOMEDICAL MATERIALS. - ISSN 1751-6161. - STAMPA. - 125:(2022), pp. 104931.1-104931.9. [10.1016/j.jmbbm.2021.104931]

Availability:

This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/841838 since: 2021-12-15

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmbbm.2021.104931

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version. This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

Global and local characterization explains the different mechanisms of failure of the human ribs.

Palanca M, Liebsch C, Hübner S, Marras D, Ruspi ML, Marconi F, Cristofolini L, Wilke HJ.

J Mech Behav Biomed Mater. 2022 Jan;125:104931.

doi: 10.1016/j.jmbbm.2021.104931. Epub 2021 Oct 28.

The final published version is available online at:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmbbm.2021.104931

https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1751616121005622

Rights / License:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<u>https://cris.unibo.it/</u>)

When citing, please refer to the published version.

# Global and local characterization explains the different mechanisms of failure of the human ribs

Marco Palanca<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Christian Liebsch<sup>4</sup>, Shamila Hübner<sup>4</sup>, Daniele Marras<sup>3</sup>, Maria Luisa Ruspi<sup>3</sup>, Francesco Marconi<sup>3</sup>, Luca Cristofolini<sup>3\*</sup>, Hans-Joachim Wilke<sup>4</sup>

LC and H-J W share the co-senior authorship

<sup>1</sup> Department of Oncology and Metabolism, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK

<sup>2</sup> INSIGNEO Institute for in silico medicine, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK

<sup>3</sup> Department of Industrial Engineering, Alma Mater Studiorum – Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

<sup>4</sup> Institute of Orthopaedic Research and Biomechanics, Trauma Research Center Ulm (ZTF), University Hospital Ulm, Ulm, Germany

\*Corresponding author: Email: luca.cristofolini@unibo.it

Department of Industrial Engineering, Alma Mater Studiorum – Università di Bologna, Via Umberto Terracini 24-28, 40131 Bologna, Italy

## 1 Abstract

2 Knowledge of the mechanics and mechanistic reasons inducing rib fracture is fundamental for 3 forensic investigations and for the design of implants and cardiopulmonary resuscitation devices. 4 A mechanical rationale to explain the different rib mechanisms of failure is still a challenge. The aim 5 of this work was to experimentally characterize human ribs to test the hypothesis that a correlation exists between the ribs properties and the mechanism of failure. 89 ribs were tested in antero-6 7 posterior compression. The full-field strain distribution was measured through Digital Image 8 Correlation. The fracture load ranged 7 - 132 N. Two main different mechanisms of failure were 9 observed: brittle and buckling. The strain analysis showed that the direction of principal strains was either aligned with the ribs, or oblique, around 45°, with a rather uniform direction in the most 10 11 strained area. The maximum principal strains were in the range between 1000 and 30000 12 microstrain and the minimum principal strain between -30000 and -800 microstrain. The ribs 13 undergoing brittle fracture had significantly thicker cortical bone than those undergoing buckling. 14 Also, larger tensile strains were observed in the specimens with brittle fracture than in the buckling 15 ones. These findings support the focus of cortical thickness modelling which could help in 16 sharpening computational models for the aforesaid purposes.

### 17 Keywords:

human ribs, in vitro biomechanical tests, mechanism of failure, fracture pattern, strain analysis,
digital image correlation

## 20 Introduction

21 Rib fractures occur within a wide range of accident types. These accidents include falls or collisions 22 which involve blunt chest trauma, or are the unwanted side-effect of emergency operations, (e.g. 23 cardiopulmonary resuscitation), or are the result of traffic accidents (Brasel et al., 2006; Duma et 24 al., 2011; Liebsch et al., 2019b; Nirula and Mayberry, 2010). Due to the high incidence of rib 25 fractures and the associated high rates of mortality and morbidity (Kent et al., 2008), deformability and fragility of the ribs is studied by the scientific community from various perspectives (Kang et al., 26 27 2021; Liebsch and Wilke, 2018; Pezowicz and Glowacki, 2021). A better understanding of the 28 mechanical behaviour of the ribs would be important for basic science (e.g.: improve the modelling 29 strategy) but also for clinical purposes. In fact, a deep knowledge of the mechanical behavior of the 30 ribs could aid in the design of better safety devices and improve the reconstruction of traumatic 31 events in the forensic field (Campbell et al., 2009; Daegling et al., 2008; Kang et al., 2021; Mohr et 32 al., 2007).

33 In vitro experiments were performed to characterize ribs mechanical behaviour and their fracture 34 mechanism. Three-point bending and antero-posterior compression tests were used to characterize 35 the material properties as well as the structural biomechanical response of ribs (Harden et al., 2019; 36 Iraeus et al., 2020; Li et al., 2010a; Liebsch et al., 2021; Love and Symes, 2004; Pezowicz and 37 Glowacki, 2021; Yoganandan and Pintar, 1998). Moreover, subject-specific anatomical and 38 morphological factors, such as the rib level, the cortical thickness and the bone mineral density, 39 were found to play a fundamental role for the fragility of the ribs, and thus for the prediction of 40 possible failures (Harden et al., 2019; Holcombe et al., 2019, 2018; Kemper et al., 2007; Liebsch et 41 al., 2021). By contrast, age, sex, and height were found to play minor roles in rib fragility (Harden 42 et al., 2017; Liebsch et al., 2021).

43 More complex is the definition of the fracture patterns and of the mechanisms of failure. A first analysis and classification of the fracture patterns was performed in a retrospective study with 44 45 almost 500 ribs, mostly harvested during autopsy (Love and Symes, 2004). This study, with a forensic 46 motivation, described four patterns of fracture (transverse, oblique, butterfly, and buckle) and 47 showed the lack of systematic correlation with age, sex, and ancestry. Moreover, the authors clearly 48 underlined how the ribs' failure point seemed to contradict the bone biomechanics literature. 49 Indeed, it is well known that bone is stronger in compression and weaker in tension (Bayraktar et 50 al., 2004; Keaveny et al., 1994; Reilly and Burstein, 1975). However, (Love and Symes, 2004) 51 repeatedly observed failures at the points of compression prior to points of tension. The same

clinically relevant fracture patterns were replicated *in vitro* (Daegling et al., 2008; Harden et al.,
2019), where fracture loads and point-wise strains, using strain gauges, were measured. While the
tests simulated clinically relevant fracture of the ribs, a mechanistic rationale to explain the different
patterns of fracture was not outlined.

The work of Love and Symes (2004) also identified two possible mechanisms of failure: brittle and buckling. While elderly bones (more brittle) are less likely to exhibit plastic response to loading, a high-strain (buckling) failure is expected in young bones (Agnew et al., 2014). However, rib properties that preliminary indicate which mechanisms of failure could be expected have not yet been identified.

Finite element models were developed to better understand rib responses to different impact loads together with the growing experimental biomechanical knowledge. In fact, the great amount of experimental data allowed to generate more biofidelic models and to refine their prediction. Both in generic (Iraeus et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2016) and subject-specific (Iraeus et al., 2019; Li et al., 2010a, 2010b) finite element models, the predicted fracture load, stiffness, and strain values were validated against experimental data. However, the prediction of the fracture onset location and the mechanisms of failure remain a challenge.

In this context, a study that matches anatomical and morphometric details with a comprehensive
biomechanical characterization of the strain field to describe the possible cause-effect relations is
still missing.

This study provides a comprehensive characterization of human ribs in terms of global behaviour of the different ribs (e.g. failure load, rib level), and of local properties (e.g. strain pattern, and cortical thickness at the location of fracture onset). Therefore, the purpose of this study was to test the hypothesis that the global behaviour and local properties explain the mechanism of failure.

#### 75 Material and methods

76 Sample preparation

The same sample that was used in the study of (Liebsch et al., 2021) to identify the anthropometric factors that promote rib fragility was used in the present study. The sample consisted of 89 fresh frozen ribs from 13 donors, from levels 4 to 8 (Table 1). Specimens were obtained through an ethically-approved donation program; the entire study was approved by the ethics committee of the University of Ulm (vote no. 92/20).

82 The ribs were isolated from the spines (vertebral extremity) by carefully cutting the ligaments and 83 removing the muscles, and anteriorly (sternal extremity) by cutting the costal cartilage. The 84 periosteum was removed using fine sandpaper, taking care not to damage the periosteal cortical 85 shell. The vertebral and sternal extremities of the ribs were embedded in poly-methyl-methacrylate 86 (Technovit 3040, Heraeus Kulzer, Germany) for a length of about 40 mm to guarantee a stable 87 fixation with the testing setup. The ribs were frozen in sealed bags when not in use and thawed the 88 night before the test in physiological saline solution.

- 89 Before the tests, rib surfaces were sprayed with a thin layer of white water-based paint and then 90 with a black random speckle pattern (Lionello and Cristofolini, 2014; Palanca et al., 2015) to allow 91
- Digital Image Correlation (DIC) measuring the strains.

92 Table 1: Summary of the donors' details and ribs tested. The letter "C" indicates that the strain analysis was 93 performed on the cutaneous surface; "P" on the pleural surface.

			Rib level									
			Right				Left					
Donor	Age (y)	Sex	4	5	6	7	8	4	5	6	7	8
1	55	F					Ρ					С
2	62	М	С	Р	С	Р	С	Р	С	Р	С	Ρ
3	63	М	Р	С		Р	С	С	Ρ		С	Ρ
4	64	F				С	Ρ			С	Ρ	С
5	65	F							С	Р		С
6	68	М	Ρ	С	Р	С	Ρ	С	Ρ	С	Ρ	С
7	71	F		Р		Р	Ρ	Р	С		Ρ	С
8	80	М	Ρ	С	Ρ*	С	Ρ	С	Ρ	<b>C</b> *	Ρ	С
9	81	М	С*	Р		Р	Ρ	<b>C</b> *	Ρ		С	С
10	84	F	С	Р	С	Р	<b>C</b> *	Р	С	Р	С	
11	91	Μ	Р	С		С	Р	Р	С			
12	96	М	Ρ*	С		Р	С	С	Ρ		С	Ρ
13	99	F	Ρ			С					С	

<sup>94</sup> 

- \* no DIC data available
- **Biomechanical testing** 95

96 A uniaxial testing machine (Z010, Zwick Roell AG, Germany) equipped with a 200 N load cell was 97 used. The sternal and vertebral extremities were fixed, respectively, in an adjustable cardan joint to 98 the testing machine crosshead and in a ball-joint to the testing machine frame (Fig. 1). After the 99 alignment of the rib extremities to the loading axis of the testing machine, the ball-joint was locked 100 while the sternal extremity was left free for rotations around the transversal axis of the rib (cardan 101 joint). Each rib was preloaded at 5 N, to reduce the plays between the mechanical components of the loading system, and a monotonic antero-posterior compression was applied, with a speed of 1
 mm/s, up to failure. Load and displacement were recorded by the computer of the testing machine
 at 100 Hz.



105

Fig. 1: Illustration of the test setup. The ribs were compressed in antero-posterior direction using a uniaxial testing machine. The sternal extremity (anterior) of the ribs was fixed to an unconstrained cardan joint, whereas the vertebral extremity (posterior) was fixed to a locked ball-joint. A field of view of 100x30 mm was acquired by the two DIC cameras. Within the field of view, a region of interest (ROI) was defined at the 20% of the rib length from the sternal extremity. In the picture on the right, a region of interest (ROI) on the cutaneous surface is reported. A similar region of interest was defined on the pleural surface in those ribs with pleural field of view.

The strain fields of the ribs were evaluated with a 3D-DIC (Q400, Dantec-Dynamics, Skovlunde, Denmark), synchronized with the testing machine. The system consisted of 2 cameras (5MPixels, 2440x2050 pixels, 8-bit, black-and-white) with high-quality metrology-standard 35 mm lens (Xenoplan, Schneider-Kreuznach, Germany) and a directional system of LEDs (10'000 lumens in total). The hardware and software settings (Table 2) were optimized, similar to (Palanca et al., 2015, 2018).

119 Table 2: Summary of lens data and DIC parameters.

DIC settings	Value
Aperture	f/16
Shutter time	1/50 s
Frame rate	10 Hz
Pixel size	0.03 mm
Facet size	25-39 pixels
Grid spacing	11-17 pixels
Filter	Kernel 9x9

120 In order to provide a complete characterization of the strain patterns on the ribs, 45 ribs were 121 addressed for strain measurements on the cutaneous surface, while the other 44 were chosen for 122 measurement on the pleural surface. A field of view of 100x30 mm, with a consequent pixel size of 123 0.03 mm, was chosen to get a global quantitative description of the rib strain patterns. This field of 124 view was chosen as a compromise between the spatial resolution, small enough to catch the local 125 behavior of the rib (Palanca et al., 2015), and the framing, large enough to catch the rib fracture.

126 In addition, medians of the principal strains were computed on a squared region of interest (ROI) 127 within the DIC field of view for comparison between the different ribs. The ROI was localized at the 128 20% of the rib length from the sternal extremity, with a dimension like the outer/inner cortex on 129 the cutaneous/pleural field of view (Table 1), since fractures due to antero-posterior compression 130 mostly happen in the anterior one third (Yang et al., 2011).

#### 131 Assessment of bone quality and bone morphology

132 None of the specimens had signs of bony defects, fracture, or degeneration.

133 The bone quality of the ribs was indirectly assessed evaluating the bone mineral density (BMD) of the respective spine in L2, L3 and L4 vertebral bodies by means of a quantitative computed 134 135 tomography (Somatom Definition AS, Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany) with an integrated 136 density-reference phantom (Osteo Phantom, Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany). According 137 to the manufacturer's instructions, the spine was scanned with a voltage of 80 kVp and a current of 138 105 mAs, obtaining a voxel size of 0.87x0.87x0.6 mm. The standardized software protocol 139 segmented the trabecular bone of the L2, L3 and L4 vertebral bodies, averaged the attenuation 140 values in Hounsfield Unit (HU) over the three vertebrae, and estimated the volumetric BMD through 141 a HU-to-BMD conversion equation (Baum et al., 2011; Löffler et al., 2019).

142 Micro-CT scans (Skyscan 1172 Micro-CT, Skyscan, Kontich, Belgium) were performed to evaluate the 143 cortical thickness of each rib, in about 10 mm long samples cut posteriorly from the fracture site. 144 The ribs were scanned with the following energetic parameters: 100 kVp, 100  $\mu$ As, with an Al-Cu 145 filter, obtaining an isotropic voxel size of 5 µm. The scanner manufacturer software CTAn (v 1.17.7.2, Skyscan, Kontich, Belgien) was used for the microstructural analysis: the images were 146 147 segmented using a standard single threshold approach for grayscale values in combination with a 148 calibration phantom (Bruker MicroCT, Kontich, Belgium). The cortical thickness was measured on a 149 volume of interest of 50 adjacent sample slices. For each slice, the average radial thickness (distance 150 between the endosteum and periosteum surfaces) was measured. Then, the thickness value for the 151 each rib was defined as the minimum thickness of the volume of interest.

152

#### 153 Metrics and statistical analysis

- 154 Each rib was mechanically characterized at fracture evaluating:
- the fracture load: the maximum force measured during the destructive test;
- the median of the maximum principal strain above the cutaneous/pleural ROI;
- the median of the minimum principal strain above the cutaneous/pleural ROI;
- the principal strain alignment above the field of view (Fig. 2): as the principal strains were
   either aligned close to the ribs axis, or approximately at 45°, the principal strain direction
   evaluated in the ROI was categorized either as "aligned" (when the average angle was
   smaller than 20° from the axis) or as "oblique" (when the average angle was in the range 20°
   45°);
- the mechanism of failure (Fig. 3), which was categorized into:
- Brittle: the fracture was complete and sharp, with failure starting from the cutaneous
  surface due to tensile strain,
- Buckling: the fracture was incomplete, and the rib behaved as a hollow pipe, with
   failure starting from the pleural surface due to compressive strain.



168

- 169 Fig. 2: Principal strain direction was oriented in two distinct ways: aligned with the ribs or oblique,
- 170 around 45°, with respect to the rib.



- 172 Fig. 3: The two mechanisms of failure observed: brittle (A) and buckling (B).
- 173 The correlations of the ribs levels with respect to the maximum principal strains and the minimum
- 174 principal strains were explored. Normality of the distributions was assessed with the Shapiro-Wilk
- test. If data met the normality criterion (p>0.05), a parametric one-way ANOVA was performed,
- 176 followed by a Tukey's multiple comparison. Otherwise, a non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test with a
- 177 Dunn's multiple comparison was applied.
- 178 The characterization was extended to the mechanism of failure mode and to the strain direction. To
- evaluate if a mechanism of failure and a strain alignment were typical for a specific rib level, the Chi-

square test was used. In addition, as the ribs were categorized in terms of mechanism of failure
(buckling or brittle) and of principal strain alignment (aligned or oblique), the possible association
between mechanism of failure and strain alignment was assessed with the Fisher's exact test.

183 Finally, the possible association of the mechanism of failure with the failure load, with the maximum principal strains, with the minimum principal strains, and with the cortical thickness in the 184 185 retrospective failure section were explored. In the last case, due to the physiological differences in 186 terms of ribs dimensions among the different levels of the ribs, a normalization of the rib cortical 187 thickness was performed. The mean cortical thickness for each rib level was evaluated as the average of the cortical thickness in the closeness of the fracture among the ribs of such level. Then, 188 189 the normalized cortical thickness was computed for each rib, as the ratio between the measured 190 cortical thickness of that rib, and the mean cortical thickness at that level. The normality of 191 distributions was tested with the Shapiro-Wilk test. If the sample passed the normality test, the 192 association were tested with an unpaired t-test, otherwise a Mann-Whitney test was used to 193 compare the ranks.

All statistical analyses were performed with Prism 8 (GraphPad Software, USA), using a level of statistical significance at 0.05.

#### 196 Results

197 All ribs showed a qualitatively similar load-displacement trend: quasi-linear in the initial phase and

198 followed by a non-linear phase with decreasing slope, ending with an abrupt force drop (Fig. 4).



199

Fig. 4: Typical load-displacement curves of cranial and caudal ribs with a brittle and buckling
mechanism of failure. The rib was pre-loaded at 5 N (initial point), then a monotonic compression
up to failure (force drop) was applied. In blue data from donor 3, rib 4 left; in orange data from donor
6, rib 4 left; in grey data from donor 3, rib 7 right; and in yellow data from donor 2, rib 7 left.

The majority of the ribs showed simple fracture patterns (85/89), in particular 60 transverse and 25 oblique fractures, while the remaining 4 ribs showed multi-fragmentary fracture pattern, according to the AO/OTA fracture classification (Meinberg et al., 2018). The onset fracture location in 88 cases out of 89 cases was in the 25% of the rib length from the sternal extremity, while just one specimen fractured close to the vertebral extremity.

The fracture load ranged between 7.3 N (donor: 13, rib: 7 right) and 132 N (donor: 3, rib: 7 right),
with a coefficient of variation equal to 40% (SD/mean), as reported also in (Liebsch et al., 2021).

211 83 ribs (41 analyzed on the cutaneous surface and 42 analyzed on the pleural surface) were 212 considered for the strain analysis, 6 out of 89 ribs were excluded due to poor DIC correlation. The 213 analysis of the DIC-measured strains showed a systematic error of 20 microstrain and a random 214 error of 60 microstrain. The global quantitative characterization of the ribs showed a homogeneous 215 strain distribution, with no obvious strain concentrations, for both the cutaneous and pleural ROI, 216 for both the maximum and the minimum principal strain (Fig. 5). The direction of the principal 217 strains was aligned in 50 ribs and oblique in 31 ribs (Fig. S2). The principal strain direction was not 218 identified in two cases (donor: 10, rib: 4 right; donor: 12, rib: 5 right) which were excluded.



219

Fig. 5: Maximum ( $\varepsilon_1$ ) and minimum ( $\varepsilon_2$ ) principal strains measured by the DIC on the field of view of the cutaneous surface (top green boxes) and pleural surface (bottom purple boxes) of the ribs immediately before failure. Each box represents a different rib. The dashes overlayed on the strain maps show the direction of the principal strains: they were either roughly aligned with the rib ("aligned"), or at 45° ("oblique").

The maximum principal strains above the ROI on the cutaneous surface ranged between 1000 microstrain (donor: 10, rib: 4 right) and 30000 microstrain (donor: 8, rib: 5 right), while on the pleural surface it ranged between -3900 microstrain (donor: 12, rib: 7 right) and 2300 microstrain (donor: 6, rib: 7 left). The minimum principal strains above the ROI on the cutaneous surface ranged between -6200 microstrain (donor: 12, rib: 8 right) and -100 microstrain (donor: 9, rib: 7 left), while on the pleural surface, it ranged between -30000 microstrain (donor: 9, rib: 7 right) and -800 microstrain (donor: 6, rib: 5 left)(Fig. 6).



232

Fig. 6: Maximum and minimum principal strain measured by the DIC on the ROI of the cutaneous surface (top, green) and on the pleural surface (bottom, purple) of the ribs immediately before failure. The mean and standard deviations among the ribs at each level are plotted.

Two mechanisms of failure were observed: the buckling mechanism (n=35/89), where collapse initiated by inwards folding on the pleural cortex under compressive strains and the brittle mechanism (n=42/89), where the collapse was clear-cut (Fig. 3). In twelve cases, the mechanism of failure was not clear, because it resulted in a mix of the two principals.

No significant differences were observed for the principal strains at the different rib levels (Fig. 6)
(Kruskal-Wallis, see table 3 for the p-values).

No correlation existed between the mechanism of failure and the rib level (Chi-square test, p-value

243 = 0.492) as well as between the strain direction and the rib level (Chi-square test, p-value = 0.866).

No dichotomy existed between the mechanism of failure and the principal strain direction (Fig. S3,

245 Fisher's exact test, p-value = 0.639).

The fracture loads were similar in case of brittle or buckling mechanism of failure (Mann-Whitney
test, p-value = 0.136). The maximum principal strains on the cutaneous surface were significantly

larger (Mann-Whitney test, p-value = 0.005) in the specimens failed with a brittle mechanism than those with a buckle mechanism. By contrast, no trend was observed between the mechanism of failure and the minimum principal strain (Mann-Whitney test, p-value = 0.700). No trends were observed also between the mechanism of failure and the maximum and minimum principal strains (Mann-Whitney test; respectively, p-value = 0.700 and p-value=0.140) on the pleural surface. The specimens that fractured in brittle mechanism systematically showed thicker cortical layer (unpaired t-test, p-value = 0.042) (Fig. 7 and Table 3).

Table 3: Overview of the significance of the effects and correlations. The physical entities compared are listed in the first column. The statistical test and the p-values are reported in the following columns. The last column points to the illustration in the main paper or in the Supplementary Material (SM) where each comparison can be found. The data for the statistical tests were collected in the Supplementary Material (Table S1). Each sheet contains the data for each test reported in Table 3.

Analysis	Statistical test	p-value	Figure
Rib level vs eps max (cutaneous surface)	Kruskal-Wallis	0.431	Fig. 5
Rib level vs eps max (pleural surface)	Kruskal-Wallis	0.728	Fig. 5
Rib level vs eps min (cutaneous surface)	Kruskal-Wallis	0.705	Fig. 5
Rib level vs eps min (pleural surface)	Kruskal-Wallis	0.460	Fig. 5
Rib level vs mechanism of failure	Chi-square	0.492	SM, Fig. S1
Rib level vs strain direction	Chi-square	0.866	SM, Fig. S2
Mechanism of failure vs strain direction	Fisher's exact test	0.639	SM, Fig. S3
Mechanism of failure vs load	Mann-Whitney	0.136	Fig. 7
Mechanism of failure vs eps max (cutaneous surface)	Mann-Whitney	0.005 *	Fig. 7
Mechanism of failure vs eps max (pleural surface)	Mann-Whitney	0.700	Fig. 7
Mechanism of failure vs eps min (cutaneous surface)	Mann-Whitney	0.127	Fig. 7
Mechanism of failure vs eps min (pleural surface)	Mann-Whitney	0.140	Fig. 7
Mechanism of failure vs normalized cortical thickness	Unpaired t-test	0.042 *	Fig. 7

261 \* indicates statistical significance.



262

263 Fig. 7: Failure load, maximum and minimum principal strain on the ROI of the cutaneous and

264 pleural surface, and normalized cortical thickness for the two mechanisms of failure (brittle and

265 buckling). The maximum principal strain on the cutaneous surface and the normalized cortical

266 thickness were systematically larger in those specimens that fractured in brittle mechanism.

#### 267 Discussion

The aim of this work was to provide a comprehensive characterization of the ribs in terms of failure load, mechanism of failure, and strain field at failure. We tested the hypothesis that correlations exist between global and local rib properties and the mechanism of failure.

A series of 89 ribs from 13 cadavers were tested in antero-posterior compression to replicate a fundamental component of the complex load which the ribs usually experience in case of severe thoracic compression (e.g. in cardio-pulmonary resuscitation or loading by occupant restraint systems).

275 Fracture patterns, load/displacement curves, and measured fracture loads were consistent with the 276 ones showed by (Daegling et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2011), confirming the validity of this in vitro 277 experiment. The fracture location, close to the sternal extremities, and the simple fracture pattern, 278 with transverse and oblique fractures, replicated the fracture appearance observed in actual cases 279 by (Harden et al., 2019). High variability in terms of fracture loads was found as a consequence of 280 the different factors (i.e. rib morphology, bone mineral density) that (Liebsch et al., 2021) found 281 leading to fracture. Nevertheless, homogeneous strain patterns with similar strain magnitudes 282 were observed both in the cutaneous and pleural sides of the ribs. The strain patterns confirm the 283 optimization of the rib structure for this loading scenario, as (Cristofolini, 2015) showed for other 284 bones loaded in physiological conditions.

Two different strain directions (either aligned with the rib, or oblique around 45°) were observed on the surface of the ribs, while a clear correlation with other parameters was not found in the present study. We hypothesize that a torsion component was introduced in some ribs by the intrinsic shape of the ribs itself that leans the direction of the principal strains measured on the cutaneous or pleural surface.

290 Regardless of the fracture pattern, two different mechanisms of failure were observed. Statistically 291 significant differences were found between the two mechanisms of failure in relation to the 292 maximum principal strain on the cutaneous surface: the maximum principal strains on the 293 cutaneous surface, immediately before failure, were significantly higher in the specimens that 294 underwent brittle failure than in those with buckle. This confirmed the existence of the brittle and 295 buckling mechanisms driven by two different mechanical events (Love and Symes, 2004). A possible 296 explanation could be attributed to the combined effect of the cortical thickness and of the loading 297 scenario which the ribs undergo. The brittle failure of the ribs seems to be regulated by the 298 maximum principal strain, which typically happens in thick cortical bones (i.e. the medial and

299 medial-posterior sides of the femoral neck in physiological loading direction fail in tension like a 300 brittle material) (Tang et al., 2018). By contrast, the buckling mechanism observed in thin cortical 301 bones (i.e. the lateral cortex of the femoral neck, which is similar to the ribs' cortical thickness, in 302 sideways fall condition fails in compression) seemed to be driven by cortical instability due to 303 compressive stress. Analyses of the microcracking patterns could confirm at the microscale what 304 we observed at the macroscale (Tang et al., 2018).

The failures of the ribs in tension region confirmed the different behaviour of the ribs with respect to other bones, as observed by (Love and Symes, 2004). This is highlighted also by the study of (Albert et al., 2021) on the ribs cortical tissue, which showed yield strains larger in compression than in tension, but ultimate strains smaller in compression than in tension, both for slow (i.e. 0.005 strain/s) and fast (i.e. 0.5 strain/s) loading rate.

310 No significant difference in the fracture load was found between the groups with brittle and with 311 buckling fracture. As explained in previous works, the cortical thickness plays a fundamental role in 312 promoting rib fragility (Holcombe et al., 2019; Liebsch et al., 2021). In the present study, thin cortical 313 thickness of the ribs loaded in antero-posterior direction induced buckling. This effect was 314 confirmed by the significant difference between the cortical thickness of the ribs fractured in brittle 315 mechanism (thicker) and the ones fractured in buckling mechanism (significantly thinner). In this 316 respect, different loading conditions (i.e. the loads induced by automotive belt) may induce 317 different mechanisms of failure.

318 To the authors' knowledge, a rationale to explain the two different mechanisms of failure of the rib 319 was not developed yet and could be an interesting parameter to focus on in case of numerical 320 modelling for forensic purposes. Indeed, several computational works reported the difficulty in 321 accounting for the mechanism of failure (Iraeus et al., 2020; Li et al., 2010b, 2010a). The 322 experimental evidence obtained in this work, as well as in (Liebsch et al., 2021), stresses the 323 importance of the cortical thickness in the rib mechanism of failure. This supports the application 324 of dedicated numerical tools (Iraeus et al., 2020, 2019), as the cortical bone mapping (Holcombe et 325 al., 2018), to better discriminate the cortical thickness also from clinical CT images. A simplified 326 evaluation of the cortical thickness may be sufficient, instead, if the aim is to estimate the fracture 327 load or the strain field (Li et al., 2010a).

The loading condition implemented in the present study was a simplification compared with the complex loading conditions experienced during a high-energy trauma or a fall. However, although antero-posterior compression is not the one and only load component acting on the ribs, it is one

of the most relevant components (Liebsch et al., 2019a). Furthermore, as traumatic events are 331 332 highly variable and scarcely predictable, it would be impossible to define a unique complex loading 333 scenario. For this reason, a simplified one, yet based on the predominant component of load was 334 chosen, as it is most reproducible. Another limitation of this study could be the relatively low loading 335 rate compared to the loading rate of an actual trauma (Katzenberger et al., 2020). However, the 336 loading rate was chosen to enable accurate strain measurement with DIC. Larger strains could be 337 expected in case of increased loading rate (Albert et al., 2021). However, the loading rate is not 338 likely to have affected the overall findings of the study.

#### 339 Conclusions

The experimental tests performed on a large sample of ribs allowed to replicate clinically relevant fracture patterns. In particular, the tests confirmed the existence of two specific mechanisms of failure, brittle and buckling. The maximum principal strain field associated with the two mechanisms of failure were significantly different. We showed evidence of the importance of the cortical thickness driving either a brittle or buckling mechanism of failure in the human ribs.

# Acknowledgements

This study was funded by the German Research Foundation (DFG, project WI 1352/20-2).

# References

- Agnew, A., Moorhouse, K., Murach, M., White, S., Kang, Y.-S., 2014. Tensile stress in human ribs throughout the lifespan. IRCOBI conference 2014.
- Albert, D.L., Katzenberger, M.J., Agnew, A.M., Kemper, A.R., 2021. A comparison of rib cortical bone compressive and tensile material properties: Trends with age, sex, and loading rate. Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials 122, 104668. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmbbm.2021.104668
- Baum, T., Müller, D., Dobritz, M., Rummeny, E.J., Link, T.M., Bauer, J.S., 2011. BMD measurements of the spine derived from sagittal reformations of contrast-enhanced MDCT without dedicated software. European Journal of Radiology 80, e140–e145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrad.2010.08.034
- Bayraktar, H.H., Morgan, E.F., Niebur, G.L., Morris, G.E., Wong, E.K., Keaveny, T.M., 2004.
   Comparison of the elastic and yield properties of human femoral trabecular and cortical bone tissue. Journal of Biomechanics 37, 27–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9290(03)00257-4
- Brasel, K.J., Guse, C.E., Layde, P., Weigelt, J.A., 2006. Rib fractures: Relationship with pneumonia and mortality\*: Critical Care Medicine 34, 1642–1646. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.CCM.0000217926.40975.4B
- Campbell, N., Conaglen, P., Martin, K., Antippa, P., 2009. Surgical Stabilization of Rib Fractures Using Inion OTPS Wraps—Techniques and Quality of Life Follow-Up. Journal of Trauma: Injury, Infection & Critical Care 67, 596–601.
  - https://doi.org/10.1097/TA.0b013e3181ad8cb7
- Cristofolini, L., 2015. In vitro evidence of the structural optimization of the human skeletal bones. Journal of Biomechanics 48, 787–796. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2014.12.010
- Daegling, D.J., Warren, M.W., Hotzman, J.L., Self, C.J., 2008. Structural Analysis of Human Rib Fracture and Implications for Forensic Interpretation\*. Journal of Forensic Sciences. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1556-4029.2008.00876.x
- Duma, S.M., Kemper, A.R., Stitzel, J.D., Mcnally, C., Kennedy, E.A., Matsuoka, F., 2011. Rib fracture timing in dynamic belt tests with human cadavers. Clin. Anat. 24, 327–338. https://doi.org/10.1002/ca.21130
- Harden, A., Kang, Y.-S., Agnew, A., 2019. Rib Fractures: Validation of an Interdisciplinary Classification System. fa 2, 158–167. https://doi.org/10.5744/fa.2019.1032
- Harden, A., Kang, Y.-S., Moorhouse, K., Agnew, A., 2017. Variance in Fracture Location of Human Ribs Subjected to Dynamic Antero-Posterior Bending. IRCOBI conference 2017.
- Holcombe, S.A., Hwang, E., Derstine, B.A., Wang, S.C., 2018. Measuring rib cortical bone thickness and cross section from CT. Medical Image Analysis 49, 27–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.media.2018.07.003
- Holcombe, S.A., Kang, Y., Derstine, B.A., Wang, S.C., Agnew, A.M., 2019. Regional maps of rib cortical bone thickness and cross-sectional geometry. J. Anat. 235, 883–891. https://doi.org/10.1111/joa.13045
- Iraeus, J., Brolin, K., Pipkorn, B., 2020. Generic finite element models of human ribs, developed and validated for stiffness and strain prediction – To be used in rib fracture risk evaluation for the human population in vehicle crashes. Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials 106, 103742. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmbbm.2020.103742
- Iraeus, J., Lundin, L., Storm, S., Agnew, A., Kang, Y.-S., Kemper, A., Albert, D., Holcombe, S., Pipkorn, B., 2019. Detailed subject-specific FE rib modeling for fracture prediction. Traffic Injury Prevention 20, S88–S95. https://doi.org/10.1080/15389588.2019.1665649

- Kang, Y.-S., Kwon, H.J., Stammen, J., Moorhouse, K., Agnew, A.M., 2021. Biomechanical Response Targets of Adult Human Ribs in Frontal Impacts. Ann Biomed Eng 49, 900–911. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10439-020-02613-x
- Katzenberger, M.J., Albert, D.L., Agnew, A.M., Kemper, A.R., 2020. Effects of sex, age, and two loading rates on the tensile material properties of human rib cortical bone. Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials 102, 103410. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmbbm.2019.103410
- Keaveny, T.M., Guo, X.E., Wachtel, E.F., McMahon, T.A., Hayes, W.C., 1994. Trabecular bone exhibits fully linear elastic behavior and yields at low strains. Journal of Biomechanics 27, 1127–1136. https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9290(94)90053-1
- Kemper, A., McNally, C., Pullins, C., Freeman, L., Duma, S., Rouhana, S., 2007. The biomechanics of human ribs: Material and structural properties from dynamic tension and bending tests. Stapp car crash journal 51, 235–73.
- Kent, R., Woods, W., Bostrom, O., 2008. Fatality Risk and the Presence of Rib Fractures. Proceedings of advances in automotive medicine 52, 73.
- Li, Z., Kindig, M.W., Kerrigan, J.R., Untaroiu, C.D., Subit, D., Crandall, J.R., Kent, R.W., 2010a. Rib fractures under anterior–posterior dynamic loads: Experimental and finite-element study. Journal of Biomechanics 43, 228–234. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2009.08.040
- Li, Z., Kindig, M.W., Subit, D., Kent, R.W., 2010b. Influence of mesh density, cortical thickness and material properties on human rib fracture prediction. Medical Engineering & Physics 32, 998–1008. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medengphy.2010.06.015
- Liebsch, C., Graf, N., Wilke, H.-J., 2019a. In vitro analysis of kinematics and elastostatics of the human rib cage during thoracic spinal movement for the validation of numerical models. Journal of Biomechanics 94, 147–157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2019.07.041
- Liebsch, C., Hübner, S., Palanca, M., Cristofolini, L., Wilke, H.-J., 2021. Experimental study exploring the factors that promote rib fragility in the elderly. Sci Rep 11, 9307. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-88800-9
- Liebsch, C., Seiffert, T., Vlcek, M., Beer, M., Huber-Lang, M., Wilke, H.-J., 2019b. Patterns of serial rib fractures after blunt chest trauma: An analysis of 380 cases. PLoS ONE 14, e0224105. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0224105
- Liebsch, C., Wilke, H.-J., 2018. Chapter 3 Basic Biomechanics of the Thoracic Spine and Rib Cage, in: Galbusera, F., Wilke, H.-J. (Eds.), Biomechanics of the Spine. Academic Press, pp. 35–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-812851-0.00003-3
- Lionello, G., Cristofolini, L., 2014. A practical approach to optimizing the preparation of speckle patterns for digital-image correlation. Measurement Science and Technology 25, 107001. https://doi.org/10.1088/0957-0233/25/10/107001
- Löffler, M.T., Jacob, A., Valentinitsch, A., Rienmüller, A., Zimmer, C., Ryang, Y.-M., Baum, T., Kirschke, J.S., 2019. Improved prediction of incident vertebral fractures using opportunistic QCT compared to DXA. Eur Radiol 29, 4980–4989. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-019-06018-w
- Love, J.C., Symes, S.A., 2004. Understanding Rib Fracture Patterns: Incomplete and Buckle Fractures. J. Forensic Sci. 49, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1520/JFS2004175
- Meinberg, E., Agel, J., Roberts, C., Karam, M., Kellam, J., 2018. Fracture and Dislocation Classification Compendium—2018. Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma 32, S1–S10. https://doi.org/10.1097/BOT.000000000001063
- Mohr, M., Abrams, E., Engel, C., Long, W.B., Bottlang, M., 2007. Geometry of human ribs pertinent to orthopedic chest-wall reconstruction. Journal of Biomechanics 40, 1310–1317. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2006.05.017

- Nirula, R., Mayberry, J.C., 2010. Article Commentary: Rib Fracture Fixation: Controversies and Technical Challenges. The American Surgeon 76, 793–802. https://doi.org/10.1177/000313481007600820
- Palanca, M., Brugo, T.M.M., Cristofolini, L., 2015. Use of Digital Image Correlation to Understand the Biomechanics of the Vertebra. Journal of Mechanics in Medicine and Biology 15, 1540004–1540010. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219519415400047
- Palanca, M., Marco, M., Ruspi, M.L., Cristofolini, L., 2018. Full-field strain distribution in multivertebra spine segments: An in vitro application of digital image correlation. Medical Engineering & Physics 52, 76–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medengphy.2017.11.003
- Pezowicz, C., Glowacki, M., 2021. The mechanical properties of human ribs in young adult. Acta of Bioengineering and Biomechanics 14, 53–50.
- Reilly, D.T., Burstein, A.H., 1975. The elastic and ultimate properties of compact bone tissue. Journal of Biomechanics 8, 393–405. https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9290(75)90075-5
- Tang, T., Cripton, P.A., Guy, P., McKay, H.A., Wang, R., 2018. Clinical hip fracture is accompanied by compression induced failure in the superior cortex of the femoral neck. Bone 108, 121– 131. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2017.12.020
- Wang, F., Yang, J., Miller, K., Li, G., Joldes, G.R., Doyle, B., Wittek, A., 2016. Numerical investigations of rib fracture failure models in different dynamic loading conditions. Computer Methods in Biomechanics and Biomedical Engineering 19, 527–537. https://doi.org/10.1080/10255842.2015.1043905
- Yang, K., Lynch, M., O'Donnell, C., 2011. "Buckle" rib fracture: An artifact following cardiopulmonary resuscitation detected on postmortem CT. Legal Medicine 13, 233–239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.legalmed.2011.05.004
- Yoganandan, N., Pintar, F.A., 1998. Biomechanics of Human Thoracic Ribs. Journal of Biomechanical Engineering 120, 100–104. https://doi.org/10.1115/1.2834288

#### Supplementary materials:



**Fig. S1**. Different mechanisms of fracture (brittle vs buckling) for the single rib levels. The red columns represent the count of the ribs fractured in brittle mode while the blue columns represent the ribs fractured in buckle mode.



**Fig. S2**. Different principal strain directions (aligned vs oblique) for the single rib levels. The columns with the aligned pattern represent the ribs with aligned principal strain direction, while the columns with the oblique pattern represent the ribs with oblique principal strain direction.



**Fig. S3**. Binning of the mechanisms of failure with respect to the principal strain direction. The red column represents the ribs that fractured in brittle mode while the blue column represents the ribs that fractured in buckling mode. Each column was divided in the proportion of ribs with aligned principal strain direction (aligned pattern of the column) and the ribs with the oblique principal strain direction (oblique pattern of the column).

#### Table S1.

Data used for the statistical analysis reported in Table 3 of the main text.

Each sheet contains the data for the comparison between the reported physical entities (column 'Analysis' of Table 3 of the main text).