

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

A roadmap for planetary caves science and exploration

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

A roadmap for planetary caves science and exploration / Titus, Timothy N.; Wynne, J. Judson; Malaska, Michael J.; Agha-Mohammadi, Ali-akbar; Buhler, Peter B.; Alexander, E. Calvin; Ashley, James W.; Azua-Bustos, Armando; Boston, Penelope J.; Buczkowski, Debra L.; Chiao, Leroy; Cushing, Glen E.; DeDecker, John; de León, Pablo; Demirel-Floyd, Cansu; De Waele, Jo; Fairén, Alberto G.; Frumkin, Amos; Harris, Gary L.; Jones, Heather; Kerber, Laura H.; Leonard, Erin J.; Léveillé, Richard J.; Manyapu, Kavya; Massironi, Mateori Mijler, Ana Z.; Mylroie, John E.; Onac, Bogdan P.; Parazynski, Scott; Phillips, Cynthia B.; Phillipstanders Chasiavallable attompen/httpanae.Het/sapas/s22209.06-agcesco; Schorghofer, Norbert; Schulze-Makuch, Dirk; Scully, Jennifer E.; Uckert, Kyle; Wagner, Robert V.; Whittaker, William L.; Williams, Kaj E.; Mong, Uland Y.. - In: NATURE ASTRONOMY. - ISSN 2397-3366. - STAMPA. - 5:6(2021), pp. 524-525. DOI: https://dail.ofg/0.02030/.248550-021-01385-1

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

Titus, Timothy N.; Wynne, J. Judson; Malaska, Michael J.; Agha-Mohammadi, Aliakbar; Buhler, Peter B.; Alexander, E. Calvin; Ashley, James W.; Azua-Bustos, Armando; Boston, Penelope J.; Buczkowski, Debra L.; Chiao, Leroy; Cushing, Glen E.; DeDecker, John; de León, Pablo; Demirel-Floyd, Cansu; De Waele, Jo; Fairén, Alberto G.; Frumkin, Amos; Harris, Gary L.; Jones, Heather; Kerber, Laura H.; Leonard, Erin J.; Léveillé, Richard J.; Manyapu, Kavya; Massironi, Matteo; Miller, Ana Z.; Mylroie, John E.; Onac, Bogdan P.; Parazynski, Scott; Phillips, Cynthia B.; Phillips-Lander, Charity M.; Prettyman, Thomas H.; Sapers, Haley M.; Sauro, Francesco; Schorghofer, Norbert; Schulze-Makuch, Dirk; Scully, Jennifer E.; Uckert, Kyle; Wagner, Robert V.; Whittaker, William L.; Williams, Kaj E.; Wong, Uland Y.: *A roadmap for planetary caves science and exploration*

NATURE ASTRONOMY VOL. 5 ISSN 2397-3366

DOI: 10.1038/s41550-021-01385-1

The final published version is available online at:

https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41550-021-01385-1

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<u>https://cris.unibo.it/</u>)

When citing, please refer to the published version.

A Roadmap for Planetary Caves Science and Exploration

Timothy N. Titus^{1*}, J. Judson Wynne^{2*}, Michael J. Malaska^{3*}, Ali-akbar Agha-Mohammadi³, Peter B. Buhler⁴, E. Calvin Alexander⁵, James W. Ashley³, Armando Azua-Bustos^{6,7}, Penelope J. Boston⁸, Debra L. Buczkowski⁹, Leroy Chiao¹⁰, Glen E. Cushing¹, John DeDecker¹¹, Pablo de León¹², Cansu Demirel-Floyd¹³, Jo De Waele¹⁴, Alberto G. Fairén^{6,15}, Amos Frumkin¹⁶, Gary L. Harris¹², Heather Jones¹⁷, Laura H. Kerber³, Erin J. Leonard³, Richard J. Léveillé¹⁸, Kavya Manyapu¹², Matteo Massironi¹⁹, Ana Z. Miller²⁰, John E. Mylroie²¹, Bogdan P. Onac²², Scott Parazynski²³, Cynthia B. Phillips³, Charity M. Phillips-Lander²⁴, Thomas H. Prettyman⁴, Haley M. Sapers²⁵, Francesco Sauro¹³, Norbert Schorghofer⁴, Dirk Schulze-Makuch²⁶, Jennifer E. Scully³, Kyle Uckert³, Robert V. Wagner²⁷, William L. Whittaker¹⁷, Kaj E. Williams¹, and Uland Y. Wong⁸.

¹U.S. Geological Survey, Astrogeology Science Center, Flagstaff, AZ, U.S.A.

²Department of Biological Sciences, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, AZ, U.S.A.

³NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, U.S.A.

⁴Planetary Science Institute, Tucson, AZ, U.S.A.

⁵Earth & Environmental Sciences Department University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, U.S.A.

⁶Centro de Astrobiología, CSIC-INTA, Madrid, Spain

⁷Instituto de Ciencias Biomédicas, Facultad de Ciencias de la Salud, Universidad Autónoma de Chile, Santiago, Chile

⁸NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA, U.S.A.

⁹Planetary Exploration Group, Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, Laurel, MD, U.S.A.

¹⁰Department of Mechanical Engineering, Rice University, Houston, TX, U.S.A.

¹¹Center for Mineral Resources Science, Colorado School of Mines, Golden, CO, U.S.A.

¹²Human Spaceflight Laboratory, Department of Space Studies, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, ND, U.S.A.

¹³School of Geosciences, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK, U.S.A.

¹⁴Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

¹⁵Department of Astronomy, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, U.S.A.

¹⁶Institute of Earth Sciences, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel

¹⁷Robotics Institute, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, U.S.A.

¹⁸Department of Earth & Planetary Sciences, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada and Geosciences Department, John Abbott College, Ste-Anne-de-Bellevue, Quebec, Canada

¹⁹Dipartimento di Geoscienze, Università degli Studi di Padova, Padova, Italy

²⁰Laboratório HERCULES, University of Évora, Évora, Portugal and Instituto de Recursos Naturales y Agrobiología, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Seville, Spain

²¹Department of Geosciences, Mississippi State University, Starkville, MS, U.S.A.

²²School of Geosciences, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL, U.S.A. and Emil G. Racoviță Institute, Babes-Bolyai University, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

²³Fluidity Technologies, Inc., Houston, TX, U.S.A.

²⁴Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, TX, U.S.A.

²⁵Department of Earth and Space Science and Engineering, York University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

²⁶Astrobiology Research Group, Center for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Technische Universität Berlin, Hardenbergstr. 36, 10623 Berlin, Germany; German Research Centre for Geosciences, Section Geomicrobiology, 14473 Potsdam, Germany; Department of Experimental Limnology, Leibniz-Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries, 12587 Stechlin, Germany

²⁷School of Earth and Space Exploration, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, U.S.A.

*Corresponding authors: <u>ttitus@usgs.gov; jut.wynne@nau.edu; michael.j.malaska@jpl.nasa.gov</u>

Article type: Correspondence

N.º of words: 800

N.º of references: 10

N.º of figures: 1

To the Editor—2021 is the <u>International Year of Caves and Karst</u> (IYCK). To honor this occasion, we wish to emphasize the vast potential embodied in planetary subsurfaces. While researchers have pondered the possibility of extraterrestrial caves for more than 50 years, we have entered the incipient phase of planetary caves exploration. Caves are important because they provide records of a planetary body's history. On Mars, this may include evidence of past or even present microbial life. For the Moon and Mars, caves could protect human explorers from the harmful and inhospitable surface environment.

Our knowledge of planetary caves varies from body to body. Earth represents the most advanced level of exploration, but many unanswered questions remain. Beyond Earth, identification of possible caves is most advanced for the Moon and Mars¹, with hundreds of documented candidate cave entrances and several proposed cave mission concepts. For other

planetary bodies, potential subsurface access points (SAPs) have been identified, although confirmation of cave entrances has been hampered by our inability to sufficiently resolve SAP interiors (i.e., the lack of off-nadir viewing platforms). To date, the community has cataloged 2,645 SAPs on eight planetary bodies (excluding Earth) across our solar system (Fig. 1). Additionally, numerous icy satellites contain unresolved features associated with tectonism and cryovolcanism that were not included.

To systematically advance planetary caves exploration, we propose this roadmap composed of three conceptual phases: (1) identification (orbital assets), (2) characterization (surface operations), and (3) exploration (subsurface operations).

1. Identification: Thus far, most planetary cave entrances, skylights, and collapse pits have been found by using standard remote context imaging². On Earth, cave entrances are often identified via a combined thermal, visible, and lidar approach. Such strategies should be further refined and expanded to detect caves on other planetary bodies. A combination of these techniques with orbital subsurface geophysical methods including radar and gravimetrics³ could provide optimal advances in cave identification. However, to conduct a broader, solar system wide inventory of cave candidate entrances, additional orbiting spacecraft with sensors capable of accurately resolving these features is needed.

2. Characterization: Prior to the selection of an exploration target, candidate cave entrances must be thoroughly evaluated. High resolution imagery should be acquired for promising lunar and martian cave candidates, those imagery systematically examined, and the features rank ordered by scientific importance. Additionally, current and future assets such as the Mars Ingenuity Helicopter and Titan's Dragonfly (planned for 2034) and other proposed missions (e.g., NASA Moon Diver and ESA Lunar Caves) could be used to confirm and/or examine scientifically interesting SAPs in situ. Surface missions can map cave geometries around the entrance and potentially define cave extent and volume if equipped with ground-penetrating sensors⁴. Resulting mapped cave architectures and hazards will inform mission planning and help reduce mission risk.

3. Exploration: Investment in the long lead-time robotic technologies is required to ultimately explore planetary caves. Various mission concepts have been proposed including limbed robots⁵, flying robot swarms⁶, tethered rovers⁷, microbot swarms⁸, and deployable stationary payloads⁹. Each platform has unique capabilities and limitations, and selection will depend on cave structure and scientific objectives.

Robotic and artificial intelligence (AI) technologies for cave exploration have matured significantly over the last decade¹⁰. These include mobility in cave terrains, autonomous navigation, node-to-node communication, and sample site selection (for life detection and habitability assessments) in aphotic conditions. While significant technological advancements have been made, several additional engineering challenges remain—especially power, access, and high-altitude entry descent and landing (EDL). Power will entail bundling within a tether, alternative internal power sources (e.g., fuel cells), or recharging by returning to the surface. Navigating complex cave architectures will involve further AI development. On Mars, most caves detected so far occur at high altitudes. Landing at altitude will require either new pinpoint EDL methods or the capability to conduct a long-distance traverse from low to high altitude regions.

Planetary caves science has the potential to significantly expand over the next decade. On Earth, analog studies and technological research and development will be imperative. The advent of aerial drones for bodies with atmospheres is a potential game-changer; these systems could be used for both detection and entrance characterization. For rovers, spaceflight-qualified instruments capable of resolving (and characterizing) cave entrances and internal structure will be indispensable.

For the Moon and Mars, a mission over the next few decades is achievable, given appropriate investment in robotic development. Specifically, to reach the technological maturity required, the platforms discussed here should be developed to flight-qualified status. By applying this roadmap and advancing these key technologies (as well as site characterizations), we will be able to investigate the planetary subsurface—one of the most promising potentially habitable environments to search for evidence of life. This, in turn, will help foster the technological developments required for human exploration and habitation of caves on the Moon and Mars.

References

- 1. Sauro, F. et al. Earth-Sci. Rev. 103288 (2020).
- 2. Malaska, M. J. et al. Icarus 344, 113764 (2020).
- 3. Chappaz et al. Geophys. Res. Lett., 44, 105 (2016).
- 4. Torrese, P. et al. Icarus 357, 114244 (2021).
- 5. Parness, A., et al. IEEE 5467–5473 (2017).
- 6. Dubowsky, S. et al. AIP Conf. Proceed. 746, 1449–1458 (2005).
- 7. Nesnas, I.A., et al. J. Field Robot. 29, 663 (2012).

Kesner, S.B. *et al. IEEE* 4893–4898 (2007).
Dille, M. *et al. IEEE* 1–12 (2020).
Agha-Mohammadi, A. *et al. J. Field Robot.* (2021).

Author Contributions: TNT, JJW, and MJM share senior authorship. AA and PJB developed the robotics section, while PBB assisted with figure development. All other authors contributed equally.

Acknowledgements: Part of this research was conducted at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (80NM0018D0004).



Figure 1. Planetary bodies are positioned within their respective research stage. Numbers in parentheses indicates total number of potential subsurface access points (SAPs). Barring the Moon and Mars, most planetary bodies remain within the identification stage. Given the number of potential SAPs, Titan and Enceladus fall between investigation and characterization. Orbiter and sub-orbital balloon (for bodies with atmospheres) identification and aerial drone characterization (and possibly entrance examination) advances from top to bottom. Robotic and ultimately in situ human exploration of planetary caves occurs principally along a continuum from left to right. Hopping microbots, single-axle tethered and limbed rovers are clustered together. While these robotic platforms are expected to perform similarly, robotics will be driven by mission requirements and objectives.