ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE
DELLA RICERCA

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna
Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

ON HYPERBOLIC MIXED PROBLEMS WITH DYNAMIC AND WENTZELL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:
Guidetti, D. (2020). ON HYPERBOLIC MIXED PROBLEMS WITH DYNAMIC AND WENTZELL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS. DISCRETE AND CONTINUOUS DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS. SERIES S, 13(12), 3461-3471 [10.3934/dcdss.2020239].

Availability:
This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/807182 since: 2021-02-26

Published:
DOI: http://doi.org/10.3934/dcdss.2020239

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/).
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

07 October 2026

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

Davide Guidetti. On hyperbolic mixed problems with dynamic and Wentzell boundary conditions. Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems - S, 2020, 13(12): 3461-3471

The final published version is available online at: ***https://dx.doi.org/10.3934/dcdss.2020239***

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/)

When citing, please refer to the published version.

On hyperbolic mixed problems with dynamic and Wentzell boundary conditions

Davide Guidetti*

Dipartimento di Matematica,
Università di Bologna
Piazza di Porta S. Donato 5,
40126 Bologna, Italy.
E-mail: davide.guidetti@unibo.it

Abstract

We study mixed hyperbolic systems with dynamic and Wentzell boundary conditions. The boundary condition contains a tangential operator which is strongly elliptic on the boundary. We prove results of generation of strongly continuous groups and well-posedness.

Keywords: Hyperbolic problems, dynamic boundary conditions, Wentzell boundary conditions
2010 MSC: 35L53, 47D06.

1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is the study of a problem in the form

$$\begin{cases} D_t^2 u(t,x) = A(x,D_x)u(t,x) + f(t,x), \quad (t,x) \in (0,T)\times\Omega, \\ D_t^2 \gamma u(t,x') = \nabla_\tau \cdot (B(x')\nabla_\tau \gamma u)(t,x') + F(x',D_x)u(t,x') + h(t,x'), \\ (t,x') \in (0,T)\times\partial\Omega, \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x), \quad x\in\Omega, \\ D_t u(0,x) = u_1(x), \quad x\in\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

eq3.1

Roughly speaking (precise assumptions will be given in the following), $A(x,D_x)$ is a strongly elliptic differential operator in divergence form in the bounded domain Ω with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$; γf is the trace of f on $\partial\Omega$; ∇_τ is the tangential gradient in $\partial\Omega$, $\nabla_\tau\cdot$ is the divergence operator in $\partial\Omega$, $B(x')$ is a positive definite symmetric operator in the tangent space $T_{x'}(\partial\Omega)$, with $x'\in\partial\Omega$, $F(x',D_x)$ is a linear differential operator of order not exceeding one (not necessarily tangential) and coefficients defined in $\partial\Omega$.

(1.1) is strictly connected with the problem

*The author is member of GNAMPA of Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica

$$\begin{cases} D_t^2 u(t,x) = A(x,D_x)u(t,x) + f(t,x), \quad (t,x) \in (0,T)\times\Omega, \\ A(x',D_x)u(t,x') = \nabla_\tau \cdot (B(x')\nabla_\tau u)(t,x') + F(x',D_x)u(t,x') + h(t,x'), \quad (t,x') \in (0,T)\times\partial\Omega, \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \\ D_t u(0,x) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

eq0.2

formally obtained replacing in (1.1) $D_t^2\gamma u(t,x')$ in the second equation with the trace of the second term in the first equation. In case (1.2) one usually speaks of Wentzell boundary conditions.

A physical interpretation of (1.2) is given in [10], Chapter 6.

In our knowledge, problems (1.1) and (1.2) have been always considered in the particular case that

$$F(x',D_x) = -\beta(x')\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu_A} - c(x'), \tag{1.3}$$

eq0.3

where we indicate with $\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu_A}$ the conormal derivative associated with $A(x,D_x)$. See, for example, [1], [9], [12], often connected with problems of control.

The most general results are contained in [2], where $F(x',D_x)$ is in the form (1.3) with $\beta(x') > 0$ which is allowed (to some extent) to be unbounded and with infimum equal to 0. The authors do not even assume that the coefficients of $A(x,D_x)$ and $B(x')$ are continuous; they need to be just measurable and bounded. They work in the basic space $L^2(\overline{\Omega},d\mu) := L^2(\Omega)\times L^2(\partial\Omega, dS/\beta)$. with $F(x',D_x)$ as in (1.3). They show that a certain operator connected with (1.1) and (1.2) is self-adjoint and upper bounded. This allows to formulate theorems of well-posedness in a certain generalized sense. They consider also the case when D_t^2 is replaced by $D_t^2 + aD_t$ (this is the telegraph equation).

Roughly speaking, in this paper we want to show that, at least in case of "regular coefficients" for $A(x,D_x)$ and $B(x')$, (1.1) and (1.2) are well posed whenever the operator $F(x',D_x)$ has bounded and measurable coefficients in $\partial\Omega$.

This is the plan of this paper: Section 2 is dedicated to the proof of Theorem 2.1. We begin by considering a particular case, with $F(x',D_x) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu_A} - \gamma$. In this situation the result is essentially known (see for this also [3]), but we have decided to give a complete proof in order to make the paper more or less self-contained. The general statement is obtained by combining an estimate of the conormal derivative of the solution to a hyperbolic Cauchy-Dirichlet system (see Theorem 2.10) with a perturbation theorem of Miyadera type (Theorem 2.12). The estimate is inspired by a nice result due to I. Lasiecka, J. L. Lions, R. Triggiani (see [8])

The final Section 3 contains developments and applications of Theorem 2.1 to a generalization of (1.1), and to (1.2).

To conclude this preliminary section, we describe some notations we are going to use.

If Ω is a domain with smooth boundary and $x' \in \partial\Omega$, we shall indicate with $\nu(x')$ the unit normal vector to $\partial\Omega$ in x', pointing outside Ω, with $\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}$ the corresponding normal derivative. $T_{x'}(\partial\Omega)$ will be the tangent space to $\partial\Omega$ in x' and $T(\partial\Omega)$ the tangent bundle. If A is the differential operator

$$\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^n D_{x_i}(a_{ij}(x)D_{x_j}\cdot) + \sum_{j=1}^n a_j(x)D_{x_j} + a_0(x),$$

and $x' \in \partial\Omega$, we set

$$D_{\nu_A}u(x') = \frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu_A}(x') = \sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(x')D_{x_j}u(x')\nu_i(x').$$

C will indicate a positive constant we are not interested to precise. In a sequence of formulas we shall write C_1, C_2, ... If the constants depend on T, we shall write $C(T)$, $C_1(T)$, ...

If X and Y are normed spaces, we shall indicate with $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ the space of linear bounded operators from X to Y. If $X = Y$, we shall write $\mathcal{L}(X)$. If V is a Hilbert space, we shall indicate with V^* the space of antilinear bounded functionals in V.

2 The main theorem

se2

As we said, in this section we shall study a simplified version of (1.1). We begin by stating our assumptions.

(A1) Ω is an open bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ lying on one side of its boundary $\partial\Omega$, which is a submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^n$ of dimension $n-1$ and class C^2.

(A2) $A_0(x,D_x)=\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^n D_{x_i}[a_{ij}(x)D_{x_j}\cdot]$,
with $a_{ij}\in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ $(1\le i,j\le n)$, real valued, $a_{ij}=a_{ji}$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j\ge\nu|\xi|^2,$$

for any $x\in\overline{\Omega}$, $\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n$, for some ν positive.

(A3) $\forall x'\in\partial\Omega$ $B(x')$ is is symmetric and positive definite element of $\mathcal{L}(T_{x'}(\partial\Omega))$.

(A4) $B(x')$ depends smoothly on x', in the sense that, if u is a C^1 section with values in $T(\partial\Omega)$, $B(\cdot)u(\cdot)$ is a C^1 section.

(A5) $F(x',D_x)u(x')=\sum_{j=1}^n f_j(x')D_{x_j}u(x')+f_0(x')u(x')$, *with $f_j\in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ $(0\le j\le n)$.*

We set

$$H=L^2(\Omega)\times L^2(\partial\Omega),\tag{2.1}$$

Of course, H is a Hilbert space with the usual scalar product

$$((f_0,h_0),(f_1,h_1))_H=\int_\Omega f_0(x)\overline{f_1(x)}dx+\int_{\partial\Omega}h_0(x')\overline{h_1(x')}d\sigma,$$

where σ is the standard Riemannian measure in $\partial\Omega$. We set also

$$V=\{(\phi,\psi)\in H^1(\Omega)\times H^1(\partial\Omega):\gamma\phi=\psi\}.\tag{2.2}$$

We equip V with the scalar product

$$((\phi_0,\psi_0),(\phi_1,\psi_1))_V$$

$$:=\textstyle\int_\Omega\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)D_{x_j}\phi_0(x)\overline{D_{x_i}\phi_1(x)}dx+\int_{\partial\Omega}[B(x')\nabla_\tau\psi_0(x')\cdot\overline{\nabla_\tau\psi_1(x')}+\psi_0(x)\overline{\psi_1(x')}]d\sigma.\tag{2.3}$$

We introduce the following operator A_2 in $H\times H$:

$$\begin{cases}D(A_2)=W:=\{(\phi,\psi)\in H^2(\Omega)\times H^2(\partial\Omega):\psi=\gamma\phi\},\\ A_2(\phi,\psi)=(A_0(\cdot,D_x)\phi,\nabla_\tau\cdot(B(\cdot)\nabla_\tau\psi)+F(\cdot,D_x)\phi).\end{cases}$$

The main result if this section is the following

th0.1

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that (A1)-(A5) are fulfilled. We introduce the following operator M:*

$$\begin{cases}D(M)=W\times V,\\ M((\phi,\psi),(f,h))=((f,h),A_2(\phi,\psi))\end{cases}$$

Then M is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous group in $V\times H$.

We begin the proof of Theorem 2.1 by recalling the well known procedure of identifying the element (f,h) of H with the element $J(f,h)$ of V^* defined as

$$(J(f,h),(\phi,\psi))=((f,h),(\phi,\psi))_H=\int_\Omega f(x)\overline{\phi(x)}dx+\int_{\partial\Omega}h(x')\overline{\psi(x')}d\sigma,\quad(\phi,\psi)\in V.$$

From Poincaré inequality, we deduce

$$|(J(f,h),(\phi,\psi))| \leq \|(f,h)\|_H \|(\phi,\psi)\|_H \leq C_0 \|(f,h)\|_H \|(\phi,\psi)\|_V,$$

for any (ϕ,ψ) in V. We deduce that $\|J(f,h)\|_{V^*} \leq C_0\|(f,h)\|_H$. So the identification of (f,h) with $J(f,h)$ carries to $\|(f,h)\|_{V^*} \leq C_0\|(f,h)\|_H$ and $H \hookrightarrow V^*$. We introduce the operator A_0, defined as follows:

$$\begin{cases} D(A_0) = \{(u,v) \in V : \exists (f,h) \in H : ((u,v),(\psi,\psi))_V = ((f,h),(\phi,\psi))_H \quad \forall (\phi,\psi) \in V\}, \\ \\ A_0(u,v) = (f,h). \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

eq0.9

The following result is well known (for a proof, see [11], Chapter 2.2).

le2.2 **Lemma 2.2.** *If A_0 is the linear operator defined in (2.4), $D(A_0)$ is dense in H, A_0 is self-adjoint and positive and $D(A_0^{1/2}) = V$.*

Concerning $D(A_0)$, we have:

le0.2 **Lemma 2.3.** *Suppose that (A1)-(A4) hold. Then*

$$D(A_0) = W$$

and $\forall (u,v) \in W$

$$A_0(u,v) = (-A_0(\cdot, D_x)u, -\nabla_\tau \cdot (B(\cdot)\nabla_\tau v) + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_A} + v).$$

Proof. We consider the operator $A_1 : W \to H$,

$$A_1(u,v) = (-A_0(\cdot, D_x)u, -\nabla_\tau \cdot (B(\cdot)\nabla_\tau v) + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_A} + v).$$

It is immediately seen, employing Green's formula, that

$$A_1 \subseteq A_0. \tag{2.5}$$

eq0.10

On the other hand, as A_0 is self adjoint and positive, $-A_0$ is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup in H. By Theorem 4.1 in [6], the same happens for A_1. So $\rho(A_0) \cap \rho(A_1) \neq \emptyset$. This, together with (2.5), implies the conclusion.

□

Remark 2.4. By Lemma 2.3, in case $F(\cdot, D_x) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_A} - \gamma$, $A_2 = -A_0$.

Now we are able to employ the following result (see [7], Theorem 7.2):

th0.3 **Theorem 2.5.** *Let B be the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous group in the Banach space X. Assume that $0 \in \rho(B)$. Define*

$$\begin{cases} D(M_0) = D(B^2) \times D(B), \\ \\ M_0(u,v) = (v, B^2 u). \end{cases}$$

Then M_0 is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous group in the Banach space $D(B) \times X$.

co0.4 **Corollary 2.6.** *Suppose that (A1)-(A4) hold. We introduce the following operator M_0:*

$$\begin{cases} D(M_0) = W \times V, \\ \\ M_0((\phi,\psi),(f,h)) = ((f,h), -A_0(\phi,\psi)). \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

eq0.11A

Then M_0 is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous group in $V \times H$.

Proof. We set $B := iA_0^{1/2}$. Then B is skew-adjoint and $D(B) = V$. By Stone's theorem, B is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous group of isometries in H. We have $B^2 = -A_0$. So the conclusion follows from Theorem 2.5. □

We shall indicate with $(e^{tM_0})_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ the group generated by M_0 in $V \times H$.

Remark 2.7. If $(\phi, \psi) \in V$ and $(\alpha, \beta) \in H$, we shall often write $(\phi, \psi, \alpha, \beta)$ instead of $((\phi, \psi), (\alpha, \beta))$

eq2.8A **Remark 2.8.** If $(\phi, \psi, \alpha, \beta)$ belongs to $V \times H$ and its components are real valued, then the components of $e^{tM_0}(\phi, \psi, \alpha, \beta)$ are real valued. In case $(\phi, \psi, \alpha, \beta) \in W \times V$, This can be easily deduced from the uniqueness of the solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} D_t^2 u(t,x) = A_0(x, D_x)u(t,x), \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Omega, \\ D_t^2 \gamma u(t,x') = \nabla_\tau \cdot (B(x')\nabla_\tau \gamma u)(t,x') - \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_A}(t,x') - \gamma u(t,x'), \\ (t,x') \in \mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega, \\ u(0,x) = \phi(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \\ D_t u(0,x) = \alpha(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \end{cases}$$

which follows from Corollary 2.6. The general case follows by continuity.

re2.8 **Remark 2.9.** Suppose that the assumptions (A1)-(A4) are satisfied. Let $u_0 \in H^2(\Omega))$, $\gamma u_0 \in H^2(\partial\Omega)$, $u_1 \in H^1(\Omega)$, $\gamma u_1 \in H^1(\partial\Omega)$, so that $(u_0, \gamma u_0, u_1, \gamma u_1) \in W \times V$. Let

$$(\phi(t), \psi(t), \alpha(t), \beta(t)) = e^{tM_0}(u_0, \gamma u_0, u_1, \gamma u_1). \tag{2.7}$$ eq0.14

Then $\phi \in \cap_{j=0}^2 C^{2-j}(\mathbb{R}; H^j(\Omega))$, $\psi \in \cap_{j=0}^2 C^{2-j}(\mathbb{R}; H^j(\partial\Omega))$, $\psi = \gamma\phi$, $\alpha = D_t\phi$, $\beta = D_t\psi = \gamma\alpha$. Moreover,

$$D_t^2 \phi(t,x) = A_0(x, D_x)\phi(t,x), \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Omega.$$

So ϕ is also the solution of the mixed Cauchy-Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} D_t^2 \phi(t,x) = A_0(x, D_x)u(t,x), \quad (t,x) \in (a,b) \times \Omega, \\ \phi(t,x') = \psi(t,x'), \quad (t,x') \in (a,b) \times \partial\Omega, \\ \phi(0,x) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \\ D_t\phi(0,x) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$ eq2.8

Now we want to replace $-\frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial \nu_A} - \gamma$ with an essentially arbitrary differential operator of order not exceeding one. To this aim, the key fact is the following result, following the idea of [8], Theorem 2.1. For a slightly different situation, see also [5].

th2.10 **Theorem 2.10.** *Suppose that the conditions (A1)-(A4) are fulfilled. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, with $a < b$. Then there exists $C(a,b)$ positive such that, $\forall (u_0, \gamma u_0, u_1, \gamma u_1)$ belonging to $W \times V$,*

$$\|\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \nu}\|_{L^2((a,b)\times\partial\Omega)} \le C\|(u_0, \gamma u_0, u_1, \gamma u_1)\|_{V\times H},$$

with $\phi(t)$ first component of $e^{tM_0}(u_0, \gamma u_0, u_1, \gamma u_1)$ (see Remark 2.9).

Proof. We continue to employ the notation (2.7). Concerning the proof, it suffices to consider the case that the components of $(u_0, \gamma u_0, u_1, \gamma u_1)$ are real valued, so that, by Remark 2.8, ϕ is real valued.

We set

$$N := \|u_0\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\gamma u_0\|^2_{H^1(\partial\Omega)} + \|u_1\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\gamma u_1\|^2_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}.$$

By simplicity of notation, we shall write, given a certain expression E, that $E = O(N)$ if there exists C positive, possibly dependending on (a, b), but not $(u_0, \gamma u_0, u_1, \gamma u_1)$, such that

$$|E| \leq C\|(u_0, \gamma u_0, u_1, \gamma u_1)\|^2_{V\times H}.$$

For example,

$$\max_{t\in[a,b]} \{\phi(t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\psi(t)\|_{H^1(\partial\Omega)} + \|\alpha(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\beta(t)\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}\} = O(N).$$

We introduce a function $h \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that, for each $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, if $x' \in \partial\Omega$,

$$h_j(x') = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i,j}(x')\nu_i(x').$$

If $b \in H^1(\Omega)$, we have, by Green's formula,

$$\int_\Omega h(x) \cdot \nabla_x b(x)dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} A(x')b(x')d\sigma(x') - \int_\Omega div_x(h)(x)b(x)dx, \tag{2.9}$$ eq3.15

with

$$A(x') = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x')\nu_i(x')\nu_j(x'), \quad x' \in \partial\Omega.$$

We have also that in $(a, b) \times \partial\Omega$, for $j = 1, \ldots, n$, employing the notation (2.7),

$$D_{x_j}\phi(t, x') = \nu_j(x')\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\nu}(t, x') + T_j\psi(t, x'), \tag{2.10}$$ eq3.16A

with T_j differential operator of order one in $\partial\Omega$, with coefficients in $C^1(\partial\Omega)$. Then, by Remark 2.9,

$$\begin{aligned} &\textstyle\int_{(a,b)\times\Omega} D_t^2\phi(t, x)h(x) \cdot \nabla_x\phi(t, x)dtdx \\ &= \textstyle\int_{(a,b)\times\Omega} A_0(x, D_x)\phi(t, x)h(x) \cdot \nabla_x\phi(t, x)dtdx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$ eq3.16

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} &\textstyle\int_{(a,b)\times\Omega} D_t^2\phi(t, x)h(x) \cdot \nabla_x\phi(t, x)dtdx \\ &= \textstyle\int_\Omega \alpha(b, x)h(x) \cdot \nabla_x\phi(b, x)dx - \int_\Omega \alpha(a, x)h(x) \cdot \nabla_x\phi(a, x)dx \\ &\quad - \textstyle\int_{(a,b)\times\Omega} \alpha(t, x)h(x) \cdot \nabla_x\alpha(t, x)dtdx. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\textstyle\int_\Omega \alpha(b, x)h(x) \cdot \nabla_x\phi(b, x)dx - \int_\Omega \alpha(a, x)h(x) \cdot \nabla_x\phi(a, x)dx| \\ &\leq C(a, b)(\|\alpha(b, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\phi(b, \cdot)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\alpha(a, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\phi\|_{C([a,b];H^1(\Omega))}) \\ &= O(N). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by (2.9),

$$\begin{aligned} &|\textstyle\int_{(a,b)\times\Omega} \alpha(t, x)h(x) \cdot \nabla_x\alpha(t, x)dtdx| \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2}|\textstyle\int_{(a,b)\times\Omega} h(x) \cdot \nabla_x\alpha^2(t, x)dtdx| \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2}|\textstyle\int_a^b(\int_{\partial\Omega} A(x')\beta(t, x')^2d\sigma)dt - \int_a^b(\int_\Omega div_x h(x)\alpha(t, x)^2dx)dt| \\ &\leq C((\|\beta\|^2_{C([a,b];L^2(\partial\Omega))} + \|\alpha\|^2_{C([a,b];L^2(\Omega))}) \\ &= O(N). \end{aligned}$$

So, by (2.11),

$$\int_{(a,b)\times\Omega} A_0(x,D_x)\phi(t,x)h(x)\cdot\nabla_x\phi(t,x)dtdx = O(N).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\textstyle\int_{(a,b)\times\Omega} A_0(x,D_x)\phi(t,x)h(x)\cdot\nabla_x\phi(t,x)dtdx\\
&= \textstyle\int_{(a,b)\times\Omega}\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^n\sum_{k=1}^n D_{x_i}(a_{ij}(x)D_{x_j}\phi(t,x)h_k(x)D_{x_k}\phi(t,x))dtdx\\
&- \textstyle\int_{(a,b)\times\Omega}\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^n\sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}(x)D_{x_j}\phi(t,x)D_{x_i}(h_k(x)D_{x_k}\phi(t,x))dtdx\\
&= \textstyle\int_{(a,b)\times\Omega}\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^n\sum_{k=1}^n D_{x_i}(a_{ij}(x)D_{x_j}\phi(t,x)h_k(x)D_{x_k}\phi(t,x))dtdx\\
&- \textstyle\int_{(a,b)\times\Omega}\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^n\sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}(x)D_{x_j}\phi(t,x)h_k(x)D_{x_ix_k}\phi(t,x)h_k(x)dtdx\\
&- \textstyle\int_{(a,b)\times\Omega}\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^n\sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}(x)D_{x_j}\phi(t,x)D_{x_k}\phi(t,x)D_{x_i}h_k(x)dtdx\\
&:= I_1 - I_2 + O(N).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
-I_2 &= -\textstyle\int_{(a,b)\times\Omega}\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^n\sum_{k=1}^n D_{x_k}(a_{ij}(x)D_{x_j}\phi(t,x)D_{x_i}\phi(t,x)h_k(x))dtdx\\
&+\textstyle\int_{(a,b)\times\Omega}\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^n\sum_{k=1}^n D_{x_k}(a_{ij}(x)D_{x_j}\phi(t,x)h_k(x))D_{x_i}\phi(t,x)dtdx\\
&= -\textstyle\int_{(a,b)\times\Omega}\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^n\sum_{k=1}^n D_{x_k}(a_{ij}(x)D_{x_j}\phi(t,x)D_{x_i}\phi(t,x)h_k(x))dtdx\\
&+\textstyle\int_{(a,b)\times\Omega}\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^n\sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}(x)D_{x_kx_j}\phi(t,x)h_k(x)D_{x_i}\phi(t,x)dtdx\\
&+\textstyle\int_{(a,b)\times\Omega}\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^n\sum_{k=1}^n D_{x_j}\phi(t,x)D_{x_i}\phi(t,x)D_{x_k}(a_{ij}(x)h_k(x))dtdx\\
&:= -I_3 + I_2 + O(N).
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$I_2 = \frac{I_3}{2} + O(N).$$

We deduce that

$$I_1 - \frac{I_3}{2} = O(N). \tag{2.12}$$ eq3.18

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \textstyle\int_{(a,b)\times\Omega}\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^n\sum_{k=1}^n D_{x_i}(a_{ij}(x)D_{x_j}\phi(t,x)h_k(x)D_{x_k}\phi(t,x))dtdx\\
&= \textstyle\int_{(a,b)\times\partial\Omega}\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(x')D_{x_j}\phi(t,x')\nu_i(x')\sum_{k=1}^n h_k(x')D_{x_k}\phi(t,x')dtd\sigma\\
&= \textstyle\int_{(a,b)\times\partial\Omega}(D_{\nu_A}\phi(t,x'))^2dtd\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.10), we have

$$D_{\nu_A}\phi(t,x') = A(x')\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\nu}(t,x') + \sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(x')\nu_i(x')T_j\psi(t,x')$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \textstyle\int_{(a,b)\times\partial\Omega} A(x')^2\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\nu}(t,x')^2dtd\sigma\\
&+\textstyle\int_{(a,b)\times\partial\Omega}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\nu}(t,x')S_1\psi(t,x')dtd\sigma + O(N),
\end{aligned}$$

with S_1 differential operator of order one in $\partial\Omega$, while

$$I_3 = \int_{(a,b)\times\partial\Omega} A(x') \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(x') D_{x_j}\phi(t,x') D_{x_i}\phi(t,x') dt d\sigma$$

$$= \int_{(a,b)\times\partial\Omega} A(x') \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(x') (\nu_j(x') \tfrac{\partial\phi}{\partial\nu}(t,x') + T_j\psi(t,x'))$$

$$\times (\nu_i(x') \tfrac{\partial\phi}{\partial\nu}(t,x') + T_i\psi(t,x')) dt d\sigma$$

$$= \int_{(a,b)\times\partial\Omega} A(x')^2 \tfrac{\partial\phi}{\partial\nu}(t,x')^2 dt d\sigma$$

$$+ \int_{(a,b)\times\partial\Omega} \tfrac{\partial\phi}{\partial\nu}(t,x') S_2\psi(t,x') dt d\sigma + O(N),$$

with S_2 differential operator of order one in $\partial\Omega$. From (2.12) we deduce

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{(a,b)\times\partial\Omega} A(x')^2 \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\nu}(t,x')^2 dt d\sigma + \int_{(a,b)\times\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\nu}(t,x')(S_1 - \frac{1}{2}S_2)\psi(t,x') dt d\sigma = O(N),$$

and, as $A(x')^2$ is lower bounded by a positive constant, for some C_0 positive independent of (u_0, ξ, v_0, η_0), s,

$$\int_{(a,b)\times\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu}(t,x')^2 dt d\sigma \le C_0[N + N^{1/2}(\int_{(s,T)\times\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu}(t,x')^2 dt d\sigma)^{1/2}],$$

implying

$$\int_{(a,b)\times\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu}(t,x')^2 dt d\sigma \le \frac{(C_0 + \sqrt{C_0^2 + 4C_0})^2}{4} N.$$

□

co0.9 **Corollary 2.11.** *Suppose (A1)-(A4) hold. Let $T \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $u_0 \in H^2(\Omega)$ with $\gamma u_0 \in H^2(\partial\Omega)$, $u_1 \in H^1(\Omega)$ with $\gamma u_1 \in H^1(\partial\Omega)$, so that $(u_0, \gamma u_0, u_1, \gamma u_1) \in W \times V$. Let*

$$(\phi(t), \psi(t), \alpha(t), \beta(t)) = e^{tM_0}(u_0, \gamma u_0, u_1, \gamma u_1) \tag{2.13}$$ eq2.9

Moreover, if $x' \in \partial\Omega$, let $G(x', D_x)u(x') = \sum_{j=1}^n g_j(x') D_{x_j} u(x') + g_0(x')u(x')$, with $g_j \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$. Then there exists $C(T)$ positive, independent of u_0 and u_1, such that

$$\|G(\cdot, D_x)\phi\|_{L^2((-T,T)\times\partial\Omega)} \le C(T)\|(u_0, \gamma u_0, u_1, \gamma u_1)\|_{V\times H}.$$

Proof. Let

$$g(x') = (g_1(x'), \dots, g_n(x')).$$

If we set

$$k(x') := g(x') \cdot \nu(x'),$$

then $t(x') := g(x') - k(x')\nu(x')$ is tangential to $\partial\Omega$ in x'. So

$$G(x', D_x)\phi(t,x') = k(x') \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\nu}(t,x') + t(x') \cdot \nabla_\tau \psi(t,x') + g_0(x')\psi(t,x').$$

and

$$\|G(\cdot, D_x)\phi(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \le C_0(\|\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\nu}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} + \|\psi(t,\cdot)\|_{H^1(\partial\Omega)}) \tag{2.14}$$ eq0.16A

So the conclusion follows from Theorem 2.10. □

Now we recall the following perturbation result of Miyadera type (see [4], Corollary 3.16):

th0.10 **Theorem 2.12.** *Let A be the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup $(T(t))_{t\ge0}$ on a Banach space X and let $B \in \mathcal{L}(D(A), X)$ satisfy, for some $t_0 > 0$, $q \in [0,1)$,*

$$\int_0^{t_0} \|BT(t)x\| dt \le q\|x\|, \quad \forall x \in D(A).$$

Then $A + B$, with domain $D(A)$, is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup in X.

co2.13 **Corollary 2.13.** *Let A be the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous group $(T(t))_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ on a Banach space X and let $B \in \mathcal{L}(D(A), X)$ satisfy, for some $t_0 > 0$, $q \in [0, 1)$,*

$$\int_{-t_0}^{t_0} \|BT(t)x\|dt \leq q\|x\|, \quad \forall x \in D(A).$$

Then $A + B$, with domain $D(A)$, is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous group in X.

Proof. As $((T(t))_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ is a group, if we set $T_-(t) := T(-t)$, with $t \geq 0$, $(T_-(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is a strongly continuous semigroup with infinitesimal generator $-A$. By Theorem 2.12, $-A - B$, with domain $D(A)$, is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semiproup. As both $\pm(A+B)$ are infinitesimal generators of strongly continuous semigroups, $A + B$ is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous group. □

Now we are able to prove Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1 We set $X = V \times H$, $A = M_0$ and we introduce the following operator B:

$$\begin{cases} B : W \times V \to V \times H, \\ \\ B(u_0, \gamma u_0, u_1, \gamma u_1) = (0, 0, 0, F(\cdot, D_x)u_0 + \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \nu_A} + \gamma u_0). \end{cases}$$

Setting $G(\cdot, D_x) = F(\cdot, D_x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_A} + \gamma$, we have, taking $t_0 \in (0, 1]$, with the position (2.13),

$$\int_{-t_0}^{t_0} \|Be^{tM_0}(u_0, \gamma u_0, u_1, \gamma u_1)\|_{V\times H} dt$$

$$\leq (2t_0)^{1/2}(\int_{-t_0}^{t_0} \|G(\cdot, D_x)\phi(t)\|^2_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}dt)^{1/2} \leq C(1)(2t_0)^{1/2}\|(u_0, \gamma u_0, u_1, \gamma u_1)\|_{V\times H}.$$

So the assumptions of Corollary 2.13 are satisfied and the conclusion follows from the fact that $M = M_0 + B$.

3 Developments of Theorem 2.1

se3

We shall employ the following well known fact, concerning strongly continuous semigroups:

pr3.1A **Proposition 3.1.** *Let A be the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuos semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ in the Banach space X. Let $x \in D(A)$ and $f \in W^{1,1}(0, T; X) + C([0, T]; X) \cap L^1(0, T; D(A))$. Then the Cauchy problem*

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) = Au(t) + f(t), \quad t \in [0, T], \\ \\ u(0) = x \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution u in $C^1([0, T]; X) \cap C([0, T]; D(A))$ given by the variation of parameter formula

$$u(t) = T(t)x + \int_0^t T(t - s)f(s)ds.$$

We consider the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} D_t^2 u(t, x) + a(x)D_t u(t, x) = A(x, D_x)u(t, x) + f(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ \\ D_t^2 \gamma u(t, x') + b(x')D_t \gamma u(t, x') = \nabla_\tau \cdot (B(x')\nabla_\tau \gamma u)(t, x') + F(x', D_x)u(t, x') + h(t, x'), \\ \\ (t, x') \in (0, T) \times \partial\Omega, \\ \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \\ \\ D_t u(0, x) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$ eq3.1A

We introduce the following assumptions:

(B1) (A1), (A3), (A4), (A5) hold;

$$A(x,D_x)=A_0(x,D_x)+\sum_{j=1}^{n}a_j(x)D_{x_j}+a_0(x),$$

with A_0 as in (A2), $a_j\in L^\infty(\Omega)$ $(0\le j\le n)$;
(B2) $a\in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $b\in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$.

Then we have:

pr3.1 **Proposition 3.2.** *Suppose that (B1)-(B2) hold. We introduce the following operator M_1:*

$$\begin{cases} M_1: V\times H\to V\times H,\\ \\ M_1(v_0,v_1,w_0,w_1)=(0,0,\sum_{j=1}^n a_j(\cdot)D_{x_j}v_0+a_0(\cdot)v_0-a(\cdot)w_0,-b(\cdot)w_1). \end{cases}$$

Then
(I) $M+M_1$, with domain $W\times V$, is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous group in $V\times H$;
(II) consider the problem (3.1), with $T\in\mathbb{R}^+$. Suppose, moreover, that:
(a) $u_0\in H^2(\Omega)$, $\gamma u_0\in H^2(\partial\Omega)$, $u_1\in H^1(\Omega)$, $\gamma u_1\in H^1(\partial\Omega)$;
(b) $f(t,x)=f_1(t,x)+f_2(t,x)$, with $f_1\in C([0,T];L^2(\Omega))\cap L^1(0,T;H^1(\Omega))$, $\gamma f_1\in C([0,T];L^2(\partial\Omega))\cap L^1(0,T;H^1(\partial\Omega))$, $f_2\in W^{1,1}(0,T;L^2(\Omega))$;
(c) $h(t,x')=\gamma f_1(t,x')+h_1(t,x')$, with $h_1\in W^{1,1}(0,T;L^2(\partial\Omega))$.
Then (3.1) has a unique solution u belonging to $\cap_{j=0}^2C^{2-j}([0,T];H^j(\Omega))$, with γu belonging to $\cap_{j=0}^2 C^{2-j}([0,T];H^j(\partial\Omega))$.

Proof. (I) follows from Theorem 2.1 and the fact that M_1 belongs to $\mathcal{L}(V\times H)$.
(II) We set $\phi:=u$, $\psi:=\gamma u$, $\alpha:=D_tu$, $\beta:=\gamma\alpha=D_t\psi$. Then (3.1) can be written in the equivalent form

$$\begin{cases} (\phi'(t),\psi'(t),\alpha'(t),\beta'(t))=(M+M_1)(\phi(t),\psi(t),\alpha(t),\beta(t))+(0,0,f(t),h(t)),\quad t\in[0,T],\\ \\ (\phi(0),\psi(0),\alpha(0),\beta(0))=(u_0,\gamma u_0,u_1,\gamma u_1). \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

eq3.2

Then $(u_0,\gamma u_0,u_1,\gamma u_1)$ belongs to $W\times V$, while

$$(0,0,f(t),h(t))=(0,0,f_1(t),\gamma f_1(t))+(0,0,f_2(t),h_1(t)),$$

with the first summand in

$$C([0,T];V\times H)\cap L^1(0,T;W\times V)=C([0,T];V\times H)\cap L^1(0,T;D(M+M_1)),$$

the second summand in $W^{1,1}(0,T;V\times H)$. By Proposition 3.1, (3.2) has a unique solution in $C^1([0,T];V\times H)\cap C([0,T];W\times V))$. □

We conclude with an application to (1.2).

Proposition 3.3. *Consider the problem (1.2), with the assumption (B1) and $T\in\mathbb{R}^+$. Suppose, moreover, that:*
(a) $u_0\in H^2(\Omega)$, $\gamma u_0\in H^2(\partial\Omega)$, $u_1\in H^1(\Omega)$, $\gamma u_1\in H^1(\partial\Omega)$;
(b) $f\in C([0,T];L^2(\Omega))\cap L^1(0,T;H^1(\Omega))$, $\gamma f\in C([0,T];L^2(\partial\Omega))\cap L^1(0,T;H^1(\partial\Omega))$;
(c) $h\in W^{1,1}(0,T;L^2(\partial\Omega))$.
Then (1.2) has a unique solution u belonging to $\cap_{j=0}^2C^{2-j}([0,T];H^j(\Omega))$, with γu belonging to $\cap_{j=0}^2 C^{2-j}([0,T];H^j(\partial\Omega))$. Here $A(x',D_x)u(t,x')$ is intended as $D_t^2\gamma u-\gamma f$.

Proof. The problem is equivalent to (3.1) with $a\equiv 0$, $b\equiv 0$ and h replaced by $\gamma f+h$. So the conclusion follows from Proposition 3.2. □

References

CaKhMe1 [1] M. M. Cavalcanti, A. Khemmoudj, M. Medjden, "Uniform stabilisation of the damped Cauchy-Ventcel problem with variable coefficients and dynamic boundary conditions", J. Math. Anal. Appl. **328** (2007), 900-930.

ClGoGo1 [2] R. Clendenen, G. R. Goldstein, J. A. Goldstein, "Degenerate flux for dynamic boundary conditions in parabolic and hyperbolic equations", Discr. Cont. Dynam. Syst. Ser. S 9 (2016), 651-660.

CoFaGoGoRo1 [3] G. M. Coclite, A. Favini, G. R. Goldstein, J. A. Goldstein, S. Romanelli, "Continuous dependence in hyperbolic problems with Wentzell boundary conditions", Commun. Pure Applied Anal. **13** (2004), 419-433.

EnNa1 [4] K.-J. Engel, R. Nagel, *One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 194, Springer (2000).

GiGu1 [5] C. Giorgi, D. Guidetti, Reconstruction of kernel depending also on a space variable, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **41** (2018), 4560-4588.

GoGoGuRo1 [6] G. R. Goldstein, J. A. Goldstein, D. Guidetti, S. Romanelli, "Maximal regularity, analytic semigroups, and dynamic and general Wentzell boundary conditions with a diffusion term on the boundary", Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10231-019-00868-3.

Go1 [7] J. A. Goldstein, *Semigroups of Linear Operators & Applications*, Dover Publications, Inc. (Second Edition) (2017).

LaLiTr1 [8] I. Lasiecka, J. L. Lions, R. Triggiani, "Non homogeneous boundary value problems for second order hyperbolic operators", J Math Pures et Appl. **65** (1986), 149 -192.

NiLa1 [9] S. Nicaise, K. Laoubi, "Polynomial stabilization of the wave equation with Ventcel's boundary conditions", Math. Nachr. **283** (2010), 1428-1438.

Ru1 [10] G. Ruiz Goldstein, "Derivation and physical interpretation of general boundary conditions", Adv. Diff. Eq. **11** (2006), 457-480.

Ta1 [11] H. Tanabe, *Equations of evolution*, Pitman (1975).

Vi1 [12] E. Vitillaro, "On the wave equation with hyperbolic dynamical boundary conditions, interior and boundary damping and supercritical sources", Journ. Diff. Eq. **265** (2018), 4873-4941.