



ALMA MATER STUDIORUM
UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA

ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE DELLA RICERCA

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Cultural innovative enterprises: not just philanthropy

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Silvia Guizzardi (2020). Cultural innovative enterprises: not just philanthropy. CHINA-EU LAW JOURNAL, September 2020, 3-18 [10.1007/s12689-020-00087-7].

Availability:

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/806869> since: 2021-02-25

Published:

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1007/s12689-020-00087-7>

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

China-EU Law Journal

Cultural innovative enterprises: not just philanthropy

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	CELJ-D-20-00003R1
Full Title:	Cultural innovative enterprises: not just philanthropy
Article Type:	Original Article
Corresponding Author:	Silvia Guizzardi, PhD Universita degli Studi di Bologna Bologna, ITALY
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Universita degli Studi di Bologna
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	
First Author:	Silvia Guizzardi, PhD
First Author Secondary Information:	
Order of Authors:	Silvia Guizzardi, PhD
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Funding Information:	
Abstract:	<p>This paper is focused on the “cultural innovative enterprises”, introduced into Italian law by Decree Law 179/2012 on “Further urgent measures for Italy’s economic growth”, converted into Law 221/2012. It is about new innovative enterprises that deal to develop, manufacture and distribute innovative goods and services of high technological value, operating exclusively in the fields of cultural heritage promotion and cultural services provision. These companies can contribute to reduce the Italian youth employment emergency thanks on one hand to the reduced entry barriers related to the technology developments needed to “begin doing business” and on the other hand to the widespread territorial distribution of the Italian cultural heritage to which services and processes will be applied. Furthermore, the increase of the GDP in regions undergoing a state of economic difficulty is a goal at hand. From a more general point of view, the cultural innovative start ups are an important element in stimulating new forms of collaboration between public entities responsible for the protection of the artistic heritage and private companies involved in its promotion. This type of partnership can contribute to the promotion and dissemination of new essential skills within the public administration aimed at a virtuous evolution of the way the overall economic system works.</p>
Response to Reviewers:	<p>Thanks for your comments. As of my knowledge, there seem to be no links between Decree Law 179/2012 and indications coming from UNESCO in the specific field of creation of cultural enterprises.</p>

Title: Cultural innovative enterprises: not just philanthropy

Author: Silvia Guizzardi

Cultural innovative enterprises: not just philanthropy

Abstract: This paper is focused on the “cultural innovative enterprises”, introduced into Italian law by Decree Law 179/2012 on “Further urgent measures for Italy’s economic growth”, converted into Law 221/2012. It is about new innovative enterprises that deal to develop, manufacture and distribute innovative goods and services of high technological value, operating exclusively in the fields of cultural heritage promotion and cultural services provision. These companies can contribute to reduce the Italian youth employment emergency thanks on one hand to the reduced entry barriers related to the technology developments needed to “begin doing business” and on the other hand to the widespread territorial distribution of the Italian cultural heritage to which services and processes will be applied. Furthermore, the increase of the GDP in regions undergoing a state of economic difficulty is a goal at hand. From a more general point of view, the cultural innovative start-ups are an important element in stimulating new forms of collaboration between public entities responsible for the protection of the artistic heritage and private companies involved in its promotion. This type of partnership can contribute to the promotion and dissemination of new essential skills within the public administration aimed at a virtuous evolution of the way the overall economic system works.

1. Introduction.

Italy is one of the countries with the highest concentration of cultural and artistic goods: monuments, archaeological and historical sites, museums. An artistic and monumental heritage often difficult to value in economic terms and the protection of which is a cost that in times of economic crisis and spending cuts the state unwillingly finds himself having to resize¹. The cultural heritage sector has undoubtedly great importance in a country like Italy, which has a vast wealth of goods that fall into that category. It may, indeed, be regarded as a key sector for our country, with a strong impact on other related sectors, such as tourism.

Yet, as demonstrated by numerous international examples where the artistic and monumental heritage is certainly not comparable to the Italian one, not only is it exploitable from an economic standpoint, but it can also act as a driving force for economic and social development.

In this context, without prejudice to more traditional incentives of public and private development of the artistic and cultural heritage, I consider it important to dwell on the role that can be played by the so-called “cultural innovative enterprises”, recently introduced into our law by

¹ Too often, political choices have affected the cultural heritage, to the benefit of the destination of public funding to other sectors of the economy, with the greatest impact on the electorate. For that reason and for others, such as the increasing organizational and managerial difficulties in the field of public administration, public intervention has proved insufficient

1 Decree Law 179/2012 on “Further urgent measures for Italy’s economic growth”, converted into
2 Law 221/2012².

3 It comes to new innovative enterprises (so called start ups) that deal to develop, produce and
4 commercialize innovative goods and services of high technological value, operating exclusively in
5 the areas of cultural heritage promotion and cultural services provision. They are counted according
6 to special legislation among innovative start-ups with a social goal³, because in addition to being
7 profit-oriented enterprises that respond to market demand⁴, they are also moved by the need to
8 satisfy interests of a general nature, generating a multitude of positive effects, direct and indirect, on
9 the quality of life of citizens and on economic and social growth of the country.

10 With the introduction of the cultural innovative start up we have in primis an opportunity to
11 create innovative goods, services and processes of high technological value that can allow new
12 ways of use, preservation and promotion of the artistic and cultural heritage and provision of
13 cultural services. At the same time, these companies can positively impact throughout the Italian
14 territory on the Italian youth employment emergency thanks on one hand to the reduced entry
15 barriers related to the technology developments needed to "begin doing business" and on the other
16 hand to the widespread distribution of the cultural heritage to which services and processes will be
17 applied. Furthermore, an additional positive effect is the one on the GDP of regions undergoing a
18 state of economic difficulty.

19 In the end, and from a more general point of view, the cultural innovative start ups are an
20 important element in stimulating new forms of collaboration between public entities responsible for
21 the protection of the artistic heritage and private companies involved in its promotion. This type of
22 partnership can contribute to the promotion and dissemination of new essential skills within the
23 public administration aimed at a virtuous evolution of the way the overall economic system works⁵.

24 With the purpose to create favourable conditions to the establishment and the development
25 of cultural innovative start ups, the Italian lawmakers improved and broadened a range of measures
26 which involve a series of relevant temporary exemptions from common law, aimed at reducing
27

28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43 ² Decree Law n.179 of 18 October 2012 (“*Decreto Crescita-bis*”) converted with amendments into Law no. 221 of 17
44 December 2012, introduced into our legislation provisions regulating the formation and development of “innovative
45 start-up companies”, with a view to promoting sustainable growth, technological development, new business ideas and
46 employment, furthering social mobility and attracting foreign talents, innovative enterprises and capital to Italy.

47 ³ Start-ups with social goals are also innovative start ups. Which must meet all the requirements of the innovative start
48 ups and must operate in sopecific areas as well as the social enterpricises: social work, health care and social care,
49 education and training, enviromental protection, promotion of cultural heritage, social turism, undergraduate and post
50 graduate edication, cultural services, non accademic training, services for the social enterpricises of entities of which 70
51 per cent is composed of social enterpricises.

52 ⁴ All the innovative start ups must not have distributed profits since their incorporation year nor must they distribute any
53 while the beneficial regime is in place. The prohibition regards not only innovative start ups with social goals and it
54 gameet the requirements of guaranteeing the alla the profits will be invested in the start ups activity.

55 ⁵ Investments in the cultural heritage sector, although do not give noticeable results in the short, but rather in the
56 medium term, produce positive effects on the entire chain linked to that heritage. First, it increases the territorial
57 attractiveness in terms of tourism promotion that is derived from innovation in the offer of cultural services or from the
58 possibility to increase to activily combact the decay of historical and artistic heritage thanks to new technologies that
59 reduce costs and expand the means of intervention. In addition to these investments benefit he publishing industry,
60 graphics and multimedia, publishing catalogs, guides, reproductions, videos, souvenirs, handicrafts, service companies
61 and multimedia installations, etc.

1 costs, particularly those arising from employment and taxes⁶, designed to support fund raising
2 (equity and bank loans) and spread over time the debt-related risks, as well as properly frame the
3 possibility of bankruptcy, given the higher than average chance of it happening for highly
4 innovative companies with a significant entrepreneurial risk⁷. The start up legislation is a composite
5 discipline that acts on multiple levels (corporate, tax, labor and bankruptcy), following a so called
6 systemic approach.
7
8
9

10 11 12 2. The cultural innovative start ups. 13 14

15
16 As above mentioned, the cultural innovative start ups are new enterprises of high
17 technological value with social goals that produce, develop and commercialize innovative goods
18 and services of high technological value, operating in specific domains: cultural heritage promotion
19 and cultural services provision.
20
21

22 Before going to the details of what requirements are to be laid down to benefit from the
23 favourable discipline, it is important to make it clear that the cultural innovative start up is not a
24 new type of company, but rather a qualification which may concern any type of company which, in
25 addition to submitting all the specific requirements as identified by the law, adopts an organization
26 model that may guarantee a limited liability to owners. This is especially relevant in case of
27 bankruptcy, so that the owners' personal assets will not be affected⁸.
28
29
30

31 In accordance of Article 25, paragraph 2 of D.L. 179/2012, indeed, the cultural innovative
32 start up may be incorporated in the form of joint-stock company (società per azioni), limited
33 liability company (società a responsabilità limitata), limited partnership (società in accomandita per
34 azioni), cooperative company (società cooperativa) and Italian-resident Societas Europaea.
35 Ministerial Decree 30 June 2014 includes non-resident companies among innovative start-up
36 companies, provided that they are resident in EU or EEA Member States, carry out a business
37 through a PE and meet the requirements for resident companies.
38
39
40
41

42 However, it should be stated that five years after the introduction of the special legislation
43 about innovative start ups, approximately 80% of these enterprises chose the form of limited
44 liability company (also s.r.l.).
45
46
47
48
49

50
51 ⁶ Article 29 of Decree Law no.179/2012 introduced also a tax incentive for entities which invest directly or indirectly
52 (through collective investment undertakings or other companies) in the capital of innovative start-up companies,
53 consisting in a reduction of the income tax liability as a percentage of the investment made.

54 ⁷ MALTONI –SPADA, *L'impresa start up innovativa costituita in società a responsabilità limitata*, in
55 www.cavererespondere.it, 2013, p. 1 and in *Riv. Not.*, 2013, 1113 e ss..

56 ⁸ Despite there is awareness that most of enterprises are still today organized in the form partnership and individual
57 enterprises, the aim of the legislator, confirmed by the discipline about innovative start-ups, is to steer and propel the
58 business projects of small and medium enterprises towards limited liability company model, ie towards more advanced
59 forms of companies but not too expensive, almost forgetting both partnership and individual enterprises and reserving
60 the stock model to the realities of larger and more structured companies. See, in this regard, CIAN, *Le società start up*
61 *innovative. Problemi definitivi e tipologici*, in *AIDA*, 2013, p. 425; MALTONI –SPADA, *L'impresa start up innovativa*
62 *costituita in società a responsabilità limitata*, cit., p. 2.
63
64
65

1 The limited liability company therefore became the chosen entity for the performance of
2 innovative activities of high technological value, cultural ones included. This happened for many
3 reasons among which, on one hand, the opportunity that these companies can be established with a
4 share capital as low as 1 euro, making this entity appealing for young entrepreneurs that generally
5 haven't huge financial resources, and, on the other hand, for the great freedom granted to the private
6 autonomy to conform the organization of this corporate type.
7
8

9 To be qualified as a cultural innovative start up, and consequently to be able to benefit from
10 exemptions and fiscal measures laid down by the special legislation, it is necessary that these
11 companies meet the requirements listed by Article 25, paragraph 2 of D.L. 179/2012, some of
12 which must be satisfied concurrently while others are mutually exclusive⁹. In detail, it is therefore
13 necessary that the start ups: *a)* have not shares or quotas listed on a regulated market nor on a
14 multilateral negotiation system (Art. 25, paragraph 2); *b)* have been operational for less than 60
15 months (Art. 25, paragraph 2, let. b)¹⁰; *c)* must not be incorporated as a result of a demerger or
16 merger or sale of business or division (note that the Ministerial Circular no. 16/E specified that the
17 status of innovative start-up company is not denied as a result of business transformations and that
18 any business combinations implemented by innovative start-up companies immediately after their
19 incorporation will be evaluated by the tax authorities to ensure that they were not put into place
20 solely to circumvent such prohibition¹¹) (art. 25, paragraph 2, let. g); *d)* their principal place of
21 business (PoEM) and centre of main interests must be in Italy (art. 25, paragraph 2, let. c); *e)*
22 starting from the second year of business, their total annual revenue per the latest financial
23 statements approved within 6 months from the year-end must not exceed 5 million Euro; *f)* must not
24 have distributed profits since their incorporation year nor must they distribute any while the
25 beneficial regime is in place¹².
26
27

28 An additional requirement concerns their exclusive or prevalent corporate object, that must
29 be "*the development, production and commercialization of innovative products or services of high
30 technological value*" (according to art. 25, paragraph 2, let. f), D.L. 179/2012).
31
32

33 Without any doubt, the requirement about the corporate object is the most difficult to define
34 because it has rather vague contours: indeed, neither is it easy to determine what are the innovative
35 products or services of high technological value nor by whom and how the subsistence requirement
36 should be assessed, given that the syntagma used by the special legislator has not a scientifically
37 certified content nor matches any pre-existing regulation.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

52 ⁹ The Article 25, paragraph 2, of D.L. 179/2012 was amended by Article 9 of D.L. 76/2013. See, in this regard
53 BENAZZO, *op cit.*, p. 110.

54 ¹⁰ The Article was amended by Article 4 of d.l. n 3/2015.

55 ¹¹ This prohibition finds its rationale in order to to avoid abuse of the favorable prescribed discipline, avoiding that an
56 existing company may form an innovative start up only transferring to itself for consideration or for merger or demerger
57 a portion of its business complexit. It seems permissible, according to the interpretation of the provision in question
58 made by Mise, with note prot. 0164029 8 October 2013, that also individual entrepreneur can access to the benefits
59 provided for start-up innovative, giving life to a new company in the form of single-member company.

60 ¹² The rule prohibiting a five-year distribution of profits requires an obligation without exception to self-financing, even
61 if the company met its objectives. In licterature many authors believe too patronizing this attitude of the legislature.
62
63
64
65

1 From a careful analysis of the above-mentioned regulation, it seems that the adjective
2 “innovative” must be considered together with the “high technological value”, for the absence of
3 any link that divides the two components. In others words, I believe that the expression used by the
4 regulation must be read in the sense of considering that the corporate object of the innovative start
5 ups, cultural ones included, must consist of development, production and commercialization of
6 products and services qualified as innovative because they are characterized by high technological
7 value¹³. It follows that for a correct interpretation of the rule it is necessary to focus on the notion of
8 high technological value.
9

10
11
12
13 First of all, it is interesting to note that the special legislation requires the presence of a high
14 technological value and not of high technological content, thus focusing on the qualitative and not
15 purely quantitative element.
16

17
18 The clarification is not insignificant, because also products and services characterized by
19 low-technological content can meet the requirement as long as the technological component is
20 inherently of high value, leaving unresolved doubts about the minimum level required and how to
21 assess the presence of it.
22

23
24 Last, but not least, is the analysis of the adjective “technological”. The definition of
25 technology on the Treccani vocabulary says:
26

27 *“Wide field of research [...], composed of different disciplines [...], which has as its object*
28 *the application and the use of technical means in the broad sense [...], that is, all that can be*
29 *applied to the solution of practical problems, to the optimization of the procedures, to the decision-*
30 *making, to the choice of strategies aimed at certain targets. [...] it refers to the optimal use, also*
31 *and above all from an economic point of view, of the set of different techniques and procedures*
32 *used in a given sector, and of technical and more advanced scientific knowledge [...] and, more*
33 *generally, to a set of theoretical and systematic elaborations, applicable across the planning and*
34 *realization of productive intervention [...]”.*

35
36
37
38
39
40 In this sense, and coherently with the purpose of the special legislation, it might be thought
41 that the start-up activities could be aimed not only to the creation of new goods and services in
42 absolute terms but also to the creation of good and services already marketed.
43

44
45 An additional degree of uncertainty still concerns the corporate object, whereas Article 25,
46 paragraph 2, let. f), requires that it is exclusively or prevalently *“the development, production and*
47 *commercialization of innovative products or services of high technological value”*. The question is
48 whether the innovative start-up activities can be constrained to one of the activities covered by the
49 rule, and therefore consist in the conception and realization of a single industrial property, as well
50 as in the mere marketing of products or services, albeit with a high technological value.
51

52
53
54 In this regard, I would prefer the negative solution, because the three activities set out in the
55 rule - development, production and commercialization - are bound together by the conjunction
56 "and" in place of the otherwise disjunctive "or".
57
58

59
60
61 ¹³ The opinion in text contrasts with the view expressed by CIAN, *op. cit.*, p. 416, who believes that the activities of
62 innovative start-ups should also be innovative and have a high technological content.
63

1 The wording of the provision in exam suggests, therefore, that study, research and design
2 are linked by a logical progression and each one represents just a phase that comprises the business
3 activities carried out by innovative start-ups.
4

5 In my opinion, research and development can not be considered an exclusive activity, but
6 rather an intermediate and prodromal step to the following implementation and marketing of
7 innovative products or services. And again, a legitimate corporate object cannot be limited to the
8 stage of commercialization of innovative products or services of high technological value.
9

10 In favor of this interpretation it militates also the fact that the predominant work force in a
11 innovative start up structure must be made up of PhDs, PhD students, researchers, which – should
12 the contrary be true - would be required to work as mere resellers of products of high technological
13 value.
14

15 However, what emerges with certainty from the special rule is that the innovative nature of
16 the activity of a innovative start up, in order to allow access to the expected benefits, should be
17 established at the outset, according to a prognostic evaluation. For this assessment, there is not an
18 external verification: the existence of the requirement needed is self-certified by the legal
19 representative.
20

21 Also, the rule also does not require that the planned activity be exercised exclusively; it is
22 sufficient that it be prevalent. This means that the corporate object could be formed not only by
23 technological innovative activities, but also by activities belonging to traditionally more mature
24 sectors.
25

26 In addition, Article 25 requires the presence of one of these following conditions:
27

28 The first requirement concerns the quality of expenses incurred during the business activity.
29 In particular, it is required that corporate costs can be attributed to R&D activities in measure equal
30 to or greater than 15%.
31

32 The second requirement provides that either at least 1/3 of the total work force must be
33 personnel with a Ph.D. or studying for a Ph.D. at an Italian or foreign University or with a
34 university degree and certified three-year research experience at public or private research
35 institutions, or 2/3 or more of the staff have a Master's Degree.
36

37 In the end, the rule requires that the innovative start up be the “owner (..) or licensee of at
38 least one industrial property right in relation to an industrial or biotechnology invention or to
39 topographies of semi-conductor products or the owner of the rights in a software registered with
40 the special public software registry¹⁴. Such property rights must directly related to the company's
41 corporate objects and business”.
42

43 As mentioned above, the innovative start ups to be qualified also as cultural innovative start
44 ups must meet a further requirement: they must operate exclusively in the domain of cultural
45

46 ¹⁴ On this point, it is important to stress that it is not clear the meaning of the phrase according to which “*property rights*
47 *must directly relate to the company's corporate objects and business*”: in particular, it is not clear if this ratio is to be
48 understood in an instrumental or teleological sense. Furthermore, in D.L. 179/2012 miss any parameter for evaluating
49 how the property rights must directly relate to the company's corporate or teleological sense. Indeed, the presence of
50 such a requirement, like the others in Article 25, is attested by the legal representative by means of its self-certification.
51
52
53
54
55
56

1 heritage promotion, as defined by the Code of Cultural Heritage and Landscape,¹⁵, and of cultural
2 services provision, domain that the special legislation reserves to the innovative start up with social
3 goals. It comes to start ups that apply the technological innovation to the activities of cultural
4 heritage promotion and of cultural services research and provision, meaning the former as those
5 activities aimed at promoting knowledge and dissemination of cultural heritage, at ensuring the best
6 conditions of use, public use and conservation of cultural heritage; and the latter as the activities of
7 cultural services research and provision as broadly defined, including both the so-called basic
8 services, that are connected to the enterprise main activity, and the additional services, which
9 contribute to the provision of basic services, offering to the user a plurality of performances that can
10 facilitate the process of consumption, and the ancillary services, aimed at providing enhanced
11 offerings.

12 From all of the foregoing, descends that the qualification of cultural innovative start up
13 might be granted to a much more limited number of companies that can be called *lato sensu* cultural
14 enterprises because for the exercised activity.

15 More precisely, the cultural and creative industries comprise a large and disparate group of
16 economic realities: literary enterprises, publishing, music, theater, cinema, museums and the
17 national artistic heritage, information technology, architecture, fashion and design. Cultural
18 innovative companies are, within this large genus, a smaller species limited to those companies that
19 apply technological innovation to the specific sectors of promotion of cultural goods and of
20 provision of cultural services.

21 As you can imagine, the entire chain of cultural heritage can benefit greatly from the
22 introduction of innovative technologies. Not only - as is obvious - the scope of creative production,
23 but also that of cultural heritage, which is based today from the point of view of the monitoring and
24 the cataloguing, on the use of computers and new computing technologies, graphics, and storage,
25 study and research. The innovative technologies are also helping for dissemination of artistic and
26 cultural heritage, multiplying access both in qualitative and quantitative terms compared to
27 traditional systems¹⁶. Moreover, the application of new technologies is increasingly involved in
28 museum activities: there are numerous museums that are acquiring applications for mobile devices
29 (tablets, smartphones) to enrich the content of the visit for users. Through these applications, it is
30 possible to intensify the experience in exhibition and museum scenarios, involving visitors in new
31 experiences of enjoyment of cultural heritage and also approaching increasingly diverse audience
32 segments.

33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55 ¹⁵ The Code of Cultural Heritage and Landscape was approved with Legislative decree January 22nd, 2004, no. 42.

56
57 ¹⁶ New technologies can help the cultural heritage to talk about himself recontextualizing and providing, to those who
58 had need, ability to study. One example is the recent initiative of the spectacle of the Ducal Palace in Urbino where four
59 gallery spaces have been called to host multivisions of works of art contained in the Galleria with special sound effects:
60 it is a path of images and comments meant for the understanding of the fundamental episodes of Renaissance culture of
61 Urbino and Marche, with particular reference to the figure of Federico da Montefeltro, analyzed through the works of
62 Piero della Francesca and the great painters of the Renaissance, until Raphael.

1 Similarly affected by technological innovations are the libraries that implement their
2 transformation by implementing digitalizing documents and allowing access through electronic
3 channels, together with computerized tools for cataloguing and searching for information.
4

5 Given the above, the definition of cultural innovative start ups encompass a wide variety of
6 companies, including but not limited to those newly established firms that perform outreach
7 activities and enhancement of cultural heritage by computer and multimedia communication tools
8 (for example: 3D scanning, three-dimensional digitalization and interactive visualization, virtual
9 reality, virtual reality for the blind people, automatic recognition of images, digital archival
10 systems, software for automatic processing of texts in ancient languages and manuscripts, etc.);
11 companies that perform diagnostic activities, conservation and restoration of cultural heritage (for
12 example: measurement and monitoring of temperature and humidity, production and marketing of
13 technology for the cleaning of works of art through biotechnology, etc.); again, companies that
14 manage construction activities and museum services through new technologies, including additional
15 services (lighting technology, virtual reality, multimedia compositions, virtual tours, reproductions
16 and models, but also management of automated booking and ticketing).
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 3. Equity crowdfunding 28 29

30 The speciale legislation regarding the cultural innovative start up contained in D.L.
31 179/2012 introduces also the discipline of the equity crowdfunding, an alternative financing method
32 of corporate projects, that in recent times had a great development globally in any sector of the
33 economy. It comes to a new phenomenon that has become of strategic importance specifically for
34 smaller and strongly innovative enterprises, which have more difficulties to resort to traditional
35 lenders as banks, business angels, venture capitalists, public administrations. This method actually
36 obtains a great consensus precisely because it operates overcoming the traditional intermediators
37 like banks and lenders. We're going through a time in which banks almost completely stopped
38 lending, and there is a growing sense of distrust towards financial institutions, considered
39 responsible for the economic crisis. On the other hand, venture capitalists and angel investors,
40 whose presence is much more felt than in the past, keep supporting start-ups, but started asking for
41 increasingly severer requirements and warranties.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 More specifically, crowdfunding is a financing mode that involves a large number of people
50 willing to invest money via Internet in business activity projects (including cultural and or social).
51 The elements that differentiate crowdfunding from traditional channels to access credit can be
52 identified, on one hand, in the prevailing participation of non-professional investors, and on the
53 other hand, in the instrument chosen, i.e. the use of online platforms where demand and supply
54 meet.
55
56
57
58

59 These platforms operate as a real intermediary supporting, on one hand, investors in the
60 selection of the sectors and companies of interest and in the quick location of information about the
61
62
63
64
65

1 project to be financed; on the other hand, start-ups in promoting their growth by encouraging
2 contact with individuals interested in an investment.

3 In practice there are many different forms of crowdfunding: equity based crowdfunding, that
4 consists in capital raising by an online platform, through the acquisition of a company's shares. The
5 main feature of equity crowdfunding is that those who want to sustain projects giving resources
6 acquire a part of the capital stock¹⁷. Donation-based crowdfunding – used generally for non-profit
7 initiatives with philanthropic motives - does not provide refunds or returns neither in cash nor in
8 kind to the participants¹⁸ in exchange for their contribution. *Reward-based crowdfunding* allows for
9 capital raising by an online platform with a reward for a donation. Often the reward consists of a
10 product made by the company with the funding¹⁹ received. *Social lending crowdfunding* –
11 structured in a similar way to the online micro-credit - is the exchange of a certain amount of
12 money given in the form of a loan, from a lender to a borrower using a crowdfunding platform²⁰.

13 The choice about the type of crowdfunding depends to a significant extent both from the
14 underlying project, and from the alternative to finance an entire enterprise or an individual business
15 initiative, industrial, service-based or cultural.

16 The cultural and creative enterprises also show a growing familiarity with such financing
17 and seem to prefer both the form of reward-based crowdfunding - when there is an interest on the
18 part of donors for the final product or a creative project (ie. creation of a CD, a movie) – and the
19 form of equity crowdfunding, in which the lenders are made participants of the entrepreneurial
20 initiative and thus of future gains realized by this.

21 In any case, whatever the type of crowdfunding chosen, it should be emphasized that this
22 form of financing, having a participative character, promotes the interaction between an interested
23 and committed audience and the promoters of a cultural business project, allowing interested parties
24 to also broadly take part in the financing of culture, to actively support the development of a
25 cultural project, and to be more aware of the context in which culture arises.

26 ¹⁷ One of the fundamental characteristics of equity crowdfunding is that it finances not a single project, but rather an
27 entire enterprise. The financing shall be in the form of acquisition of a portion of share or quotas. In fact, the equity
28 crowdfunding allows in a stage of early development smaller investors to access the investment in a company. In
29 exchange investors receive shares or quotas.

30 ¹⁸ This is probably the most used and developed crowdfunding model. This type of crowdfunding funds entirely on
31 people's donations, and do not give back any economical return for its supporters. This model is the most used by non-
32 profit organizations and charities, which rely on patronage for their projects. Donation-based crowdfunding is also
33 called "charity crowdfunding" just because it is founded entirely on charitable donations. Donors give help because they
34 share the values of the campaign and they want to give some type of support to particular action or idea.

35 In practice, the difference between donation based and reward-based crowdfunding can be hard to find, because
36 in many projects there is the option for the lenders to give up a quid pro quo. Depending on whether a lender forgoes a
37 quid pro quo, a project may therefore fall into the category of donation based or reward-based crowdfunding.

38 ¹⁹ Through reward-based crowdfunding it is possible to finance many different projects, both non-profit projects and business
39 projects. It is possible to finance also projects in sport, culture or social sectors. The compensatory measures may
40 consist of simple symbolic objects or goods produced with the money raised. For example, the creator of an innovative
41 product can give the right to purchase it first, or at a lower price.

42 ²⁰ Lending-based crowdfunding is the exchange of a certain amount of money given in the form of a loan using a
43 crowdfunding platform. The lender publishes the request on a platform, asking for a precise amount of money, and
44 when they raise enough money, the platform originates the loan and paid it to the borrower. Usually (but not always)
45 the petitioner pays an interest on the debt. The set interest rate is usually higher than the average saving rate a lender
46 can have, and lower respect to that of a traditional loan, for the borrower.

1 Italy, with d.l. 179/2012, became the first European country to implement a specific and
2 comprehensive legislation on equity crowdfunding²¹, which among the various types available is
3 the one that most requires a specific legislation, because it promotes public offers involving the
4 acquisition of shares or quotas, prompting the investor to make high risk illiquid investments²². It is
5 technically an investment solicitation regulated by the legislation of each country in relatively
6 different ways which have a significant influence on the success of operations.
7

8
9 The critical issue which the Italian special discipline wants to address is the lack of channels
10 to access funding for newly established business entities, characterized by a high mortality rate but
11 also by the possibility of generating high returns should their business be successful, especially in
12 relation to initiatives based on innovative products and technologies. Because traditional
13 intermediaries, indeed, often have no incentive to direct loans to such risky forms of investment,
14 mainly because of constraints and more stringent rules than in the past, crowdfunding could be an
15 important solution, as it offers alternative means of promoting business initiatives, directly aimed at
16 savers-investors, which also helps to reduce intermediation costs.
17

18 Furthermore, crowdfunding, by using the web, allows greater visibility to start-ups and more
19 widespread capillarity in the audience, useful for the funding of impact and innovative projects as it
20 translates into a higher chance of membership from investors.
21

22 One of the most effective novelties of the special legislation is represented by the provision
23 of equity crowdfunding for all the innovative start ups regardless both of the activity exercised and
24 – more important - of the chosen legal form. In particular, with regards to the limited liability
25 company (s.r.l.), the D.L 179/2012 prescribes an express derogation from the provisions of Article
26 2468 of civil code, on the basis of which “the participation of partners can not be represented by
27 shares nor constitute object of public offerings of financial products”.

28 Article 25, paragraph 5, of D.L. 179/2012, indeed, prescribes that “the participation quotas
29 in innovative start ups incorporated in the form of limited liability company can be object of public
30 offerings of financial products”, specifying that such assistance could take place also "through on
31 line portals for the raising of capital".
32

33 Beyond the civil law exception, the D.L.179/2012 had a significant impact, as well as on the
34 statutory regulations, also on elements related to purely financial aspects, revising the Legislative
35 Decree 58/1998 to regulate the raising of capital through special online portals.
36

37 The subsequent intervention of D.L. 3/2015, in addition to expanding the scope of
38 application of the crowdfunding discipline, allowed to sell online not only fractions of capital
39
40
41
42

43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53 ²¹ Italy was the first country to issue a specific regulation for equity crowdfunding, and this discipline, in our country
54 seems very lively, notwithstanding the difficulties in providing financial resources. The European Commission itself
55 has never hidden its interest for this form of funding that was defined as “fundamental”. The E.C. goal is to promote a
56 harmonisation of European legislations, to overpass the limits encountered by platforms that want to operate abroad.
57 The reasons are that is helpful not only in crisis periods, but also to create an “alternative banking system”, overcoming
58 the limits of traditional banking processes.

59 ²² Equity based crowdfunding consists in capital raising by an online platform, through the acquisition of a company’s
60 shares. The users of this model are those who wants to sustain projects giving resources to acquire a part of the capital
61 stock. The funders became stockholders of the society, so it is easy to understand how this is the model with the highest
62 percentage of risk. .
63
64
65

1 belonging to innovative start ups, but also to specialized investment vehicles (OICR, Venture
2 capital), whose main asset is represented by investments in start-ups and innovative SMEs.

3 The participation in a crowdfunding campaign means for the saver-investor to acquire a part
4 of the capital stock of the offeree company, namely risk capital instruments, thus becoming
5 shareholder and owner of the connected corporate rights.
6
7

8 Based on the approach used by the Italian legislator, indeed, the equity crowdfunding
9 campaigns are configured as paid capital increases²³.
10

11 This means that, following the success of the operation, the investor can participate in
12 corporate affairs by exercising the statutory rights, such as the voting rights exercisable at
13 shareholders' meeting, the right of approving the financial statements and, in case of profits, the
14 right to receive dividends.
15
16

17 The various rounds of financing are all single divisible paid capital increases, thus allowing
18 the share capital to be increased by an amount corresponding to the subscriptions collected.
19 As it is not possible to know in advance the outcome of the collection, the contribution already
20 made by the crowders could *be* considered such as payments for future share capital increases.
21 Especially in case of resources acquired from third parties rather than by existing shareholders, this
22 solution seems more appropriate, primarily because for those capital injections the company has a
23 repayment obligation in case of non-completion of the process: the payments made in anticipation
24 of a specific future share capital increase shall be considered subject to the condition subsequent to
25 the fact that the deal execution is not completed in the way and by the deadlines proposed by the
26 company
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 Consequently, the presence of a condition precedent imposes the initial allocation of such
34 sums as debt: depending on the outcome of the operation, the amounts will be consolidated under
35 the heading "equity" or, otherwise, as debt (because in such instance the subscriber has a right to
36 reimbursement).
37
38
39

40 Since the offer may also fail, third parties do not assume member status immediately after
41 the subscription and consequent payment, but only when the operation is closed and the decision
42 about the capital increase recorded in the Companies Register.
43
44
45

46 ²³ The crowdfunding phenomenon is a reality that cannot be ignored: the rapid growth and spread, in a historical
47 moment characterized by the increasingly tight credit of the traditional financing channels has enabled a relevant and
48 intensive development beyond a volume of five billion dollars. The equity crowdfunding statement as a credible and
49 reliable instrument on the market as an alternative financing poses the conditions for a change of broader global
50 economical breath. The potentialities of a tool, that if properly structured could represent a revolution in the processes
51 involved in setting up a business, are evident. The validity of a project's company no longer needs the consent of a bank
52 or financial institution and the "power" to declare the development of a business venture will not be a monopoly, in the
53 hands of the great financial masters of the world. Thus with crowdfunding there is a democratization of the economy,
54 meant as the possibility to easily access the capital needed for businesses, but also as users' freedom of access different
55 investment opportunities. A system in which merit is the true source of success and where the application and
56 innovation can rise to real drivers of the engine. As a result this model could develop a greater maturity of the
57 entrepreneurial class that will have to pay greater attention to the market needs and to prove more sensitive in terms of
58 transparency. Surely we cannot expect crowdfunding to conceive these mechanisms in the near future and certainly will
59 not supplant the essential activities of traditional financial markets, but the feeling is that it can actually be a form of
60 innovation that can rejuvenate the way of doing business and can adapt the concept of the era of the entrepreneur world
61 - wide -web.
62
63
64
65

1 For the offer to be completed, it is necessary that at least 5% of all financial instruments
2 offered be subscribed by professionals investors or by other special categories of investors (such as
3 foundations, incubators, investors supporting innovation, professionals – public and private –
4 clients). These investors, for their professionalism and characteristics, were considered by the
5 legislator as trustworthy entities, whose participation in the issuer's share capital and whose
6 evaluation about the operation can represent a further guarantee for the other investors. The 5%
7 threshold above mentioned is a condition of effectiveness of the offer. Therefore, in the event that
8 the threshold is not reached, the crowdfunding campaign will not be completed.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

16 5. Investors' special rights in cultural innovative start-ups. 17 18

19 Since, as mentioned above, the highest number of innovative start ups, even cultural, chose
20 to be incorporated in the form of limited liability company (s.r.l.), the provision contained in Article
21 26, paragraph 2, of D.L. 179/2012 is extremely interesting. The reason for this is that it allows the
22 possibility for the statute of innovative start-ups in the form of limited liability company to create
23 classes of quotas conferring different rights and, within the limits imposed by law, to freely
24 determine the contents of the various classes, even in derogation from the provisions of Article
25 2468, paragraphes 2 and 3, c.c..
26
27
28
29

30 It is a legislative provision of extreme importance which makes it possible for the cultural
31 start ups in form of limited liability company to issue quotas with special rights, different from
32 those pursued by common discipline, that can be applied also to fields other than the administration
33 of the company and of the profit distribution.
34
35

36 It is also expressly regulates the possibility of creating multiple kinds of unitary,
37 standardized, indivisible and cumulable quotas in the hands of the same shareholder, bearing
38 uniform rights other than those covered by the ordinary regime.
39
40

41 These regulatory provisions are consistent with the possibility of soliciting investments and
42 of obtaining risk capital among the public for quotas of innovative start ups in form of limited
43 liability company, also through on line portals.
44
45

46 In fact, the provisions mentioned allow the start up to tailor equity participation to the needs
47 of different categories of owners, setting up different roles among owners and facilitating the
48 research of financing in risk capital.
49
50

51 With respect to limited liability company trying to raise capital by crowdfunding, the
52 options offered by the special legislation allow the company on one hand to consolidate the position
53 of the founding quotaholders, possibly giving them special personal rights concerning the
54 management of the company or the election of Directors and, on the other hand, to create also
55 additional categories of quotas with different rights, most suited to need of investors, exclusively
56 interested in the economic return of their investment and not in the management of the company.
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 In the same sense, given that the Consob Regulation no. 18592, as last amended, subjects
2 the success of the offer on the on line portals to the condition that 5% or more of the subscriptions
3 happen by professional investors (such as banking foundations, start ups incubators, investors
4 supporting innovation) the rules above mentioned allow also to fine-tune the content of these
5 participations according to the interests and characteristics of these investors, which are certainly
6 interested in the economic return of the investment without willing to sacrifice the right to have a
7 say in the management of the company.
8
9

10
11 It is important to remind that, unlike in the spa, the categorization of limited liability
12 company quotas may be also partial, and concern only that part of the quota capital dedicated to
13 crowdfunders and / or professional investors.
14
15

16 As emphasised by literature, no regulation prevents a start-up with limited liability to insert
17 in the statute a clause enabling the categorization of only a part of the quotas. In this case, both
18 standardized quotas and ordinary quotas may coexist in the same company, as well as special rights
19 attributed directly to individual members and categories of quotas with different rights.
20
21

22 As for the content of the various classes of quotas, the paragraph 2, Article 26 of D.L.
23 179/2012, according to which the start up may freely determine the content of various classes of
24 quotas, within the limits imposed by law, suggests that the start up in form of limited liability
25 company has wide discretion in determining the content of the rights attached to them. More
26 precisely, this means that the statute may impact both on economic and administrative rights,
27 allowing the modification of both also simultaneously.
28
29

30 Along the same lines is the provision in the following paragraph 3 of art. 26 of D.L.
31 179/2012 which provides that the Statute of the innovative limited liability company may create
32 also classes of quotas without voting rights or that attributes to the owner voting rights not
33 proportional to its participation to the capital or voting rights limited to particular matters or subject
34 to the satisfaction of conditions not merely potestative.
35
36

37 The rule above mentioned assimilates the structure of the limited liability company to that of
38 the joint-stock company.
39
40

41 The quotas without voting rights or with limited voting rights are tailored to subjects
42 interested just in the economic aspect of their investment, whose presence does not compromise the
43 sovereignty of quotaholders that are involved in the management of the company. The owner of this
44 quotas is comparable to the owner of savings shares in the joint-stock company, physiologically
45 uninterested in the exercise of administrative rights connected to the participation.
46
47

48 In contrast, the possibility to issue multiple-vote quotas allows to meet the needs of
49 quotaholders more interested to make their voice heard, such as venture capitalist or the innovative
50 start ups incubators or, alternatively, to allow founders to retain control of key aspects of the
51 management of the company while raising funds that could potentially dilute their grip on such
52 aspects.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

6. Innovative cultural startup incubators

The creation of a regulatory framework and an ecosystem favourable to the birth and growth of so-called innovative cultural startups also involves the provision of certified incubators, meaning by this the enterprises which “offer, including on a non-exclusive basis, services to sustain the birth and growth of innovative startups” and which are in possession of the requisites indicated by Article 5 of the Decree of the Italian Ministry of Economic Development dated 21 February 2013 (so-called Incubators Decree).

The purpose of these incubators is to accommodate, support and accompany the growth of the innovative startups from the conception of the entrepreneurial idea through to initial development, providing training activities, operating and managerial support, work tools and places and favour contact between investors and entrepreneurial ideas thought to have strong potential in terms of economic return, but not yet appealing for the capital market²⁴. This way, the certified incubators enable the innovative enterprises to launch their businesses onto the market effectively and above all quickly. For the services they provide, the incubators are remunerated in various ways, through the consideration paid by the incubated companies, so-called fees, i.e., shares of corporate capital in return for investments in risk capital or, again, a combination of both models.

It must be emphasized that the carrying on, including in a professional and systematic way, of innovative startup incubation activities does not represent an activity restricted to so-called certified incubators and can, therefore, be performed by any entity, public or private. In fact, the certification of the incubator is simply a condition for registration in the relative section of the Companies Register and to access corporate and tax subsidies.

In the system outlined by D.L. 179/2012, only incubators established as corporations, cooperatives or *societas europaea*, fiscally resident in Italy pursuant to Article 73 of Presidential Decree 917/1986, can register in the special section. On the other hand, companies set up under the laws of another country cannot register. Now, while the prohibition in effect with respect to companies set up under the laws of a non-community state does not seem to create particular perplexities, the same is not true instead with reference to the provision which does not allow the

²⁴ The physical concentration of these new enterprises in these spaces favours encounter and discussion between the various start-uppers allowed into the incubator and fosters an extensive exchange of know-how. The organisation of these systems is based on the interception of the real needs of the startups in order to make the startup phase less complex and increase chances of success. The incubators must therefore be clearly aware of the life cycle and supply chain for the birth and growth of the innovative startups. This means that structural services must be placed at the disposal of the startups, such as spaces and facilities able to accommodate the startups, equipped premises, optical fibre cable installations, meeting rooms and auditoriums. Adequate spaces in themselves are not however enough to sustain the enterprise. Qualified services are required to provide the new class of entrepreneurs with advanced training together with coordinated promotion and marketing initiatives, shared databases, common projects and integrated agreements with the credit system, or for the use of special laboratories and technological platforms. In order for the incubation activity to be successfully conducted, other characteristics are also needed such as the presence of start-ups in the organizations, an indication of already acquired experience, and the start of collaboration with various entities, which can be of both a public and a private nature, e.g., universities, local authorities, banks, chambers of commerce, venture capital and business angel networks, corporates e other already-launched startups. It must be shown that an effective ecosystem has been created which surrounds the startups and offers greater possibilities during the various development phases.

1 incubators set up according to the laws of a member state of the European Union and which have
2 set up a sub-office in Italy to register in the special section.

3 This is in fact a provision which, from the point of view of community law, appears to be
4 strongly discriminatory with respect to community incubators, probably supplementing an
5 illegitimate restriction of the freedom of establishment guaranteed by Articles 49 and 54 of the
6 Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, as interpreted by the Court of Justice in Centros,
7 Uberseering, Inspire Art, Sevic, Cartesio jurisprudence.
8

9
10 It must therefore be considered that the Companies Register could not deny registration in
11 the special section to an incubator set up according to the law of another Country of the European
12 Union which has set up a sub-office in Italy.
13
14
15

16 The status of certified incubator is acquired through the registration of the incubator in the
17 special section of the Companies Register. Such qualification not only brings with it a reputational
18 advantage for the incubator but also the application of special regulations and numerous benefits,
19 substantially corresponding to those provided for innovative startups, with the basic difference that
20 such benefits do not have a limited duration, but continue to be applied until the incubator has all
21 the requisites required by law.
22 To obtain the status of certified incubator, the company must have all the following requisites
23 together:
24

25 a) have facilities, including immovable, suitable for accommodating innovative startups,
26 such as dedicated spaces for installing test, auditing or research equipment;
27

28 b) have equipment suitable for the business activity of the innovative startups, such as
29 Internet access systems, meeting rooms, test machinery or prototypes;
30

31 c) be managed or directed by people of acknowledged expertise in enterprise and innovation
32 and have a permanent technical and managerial consultancy organisation;
33

34 d) have regular relations of collaboration with universities, research centres, public
35 institutions and financial partners which perform business activities and projects related to
36 innovative startups;
37

38 e) have adequate and proven experience as regards support activities for innovative startups.
39

40 The first two requisites are of a structural nature, while those at the letters c) and e) focus on
41 manager duties. The requisite at let. d), furthermore, calls for the demonstration of a network of
42 contacts with stakeholder as a crucial condition for building an eco-system suitable for the birth and
43 growth of the innovative startups.
44

45 The Incubators Decree also specifies, as regards requisites, that a certified innovative startup
46 incubator can provide services in support of startups “also on a non-exclusive basis”. This same
47 decree also puts in-depth focus on the requisite of the experience of whoever manages the incubator
48 and specifies that this must be identified “in the shareholders, in the directors of the company and in
49 the work units, collaborators or professional persons who work with continuity, equivalent to full
50 time (FTE)” in activities “specifically dedicated to the support and advisory services to innovative
51 startups”.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62

1 Since the coming into effect of D.L. 179/2012 to date, even though – as has already been
2 said- such provision does not allow so-called certified incubators to perform innovative startup
3 incubation, including in a professional and systematic way, the number of incubators operating in
4 Italy has considerably increased.
5

6 In practice, innovative startup incubators show a very determinate specialization in terms of
7 subject matter and geographic area. The reason for this seems to be rather easy to appreciate,
8 considering the incubator must not only have adequate structural capacities, but also a managerial
9 skill in the specific activity performed by the innovative startup as well as a network of contacts
10 with stakeholders of specific usefulness for the incubated enterprises.
11

12 On the Italian scene, over recent years, the number of cultural incubators has grown
13 considerably, i.e., those incubators which assist the birth and growth of cultural and creative
14 enterprises, of artistic and design incubators, as well as enterprises which apply technological
15 innovation to cultural assets²⁵. Mention is made, by way of example, of the experiences of
16 Artimede, #SMART, CultLab, Rioni Sassi, Herion.
17

18 Many of these incubators are public bodies, i.e., business entities established and owned by
19 local public entities (councils, provinces, regions) and/or universities, and therefore not profit-
20 oriented. Such types of incubators provide their services at lower prices than those of the market,
21 and even in some case free of charge, and access to their services is also by means of public notices.
22 Many examples nevertheless exist of private-entity incubators focused on enterprises of social
23 and/or cultural value and which manage to achieve an economic equilibrium of their own (Make a
24 Cube).
25

26 7. Exceptions to crisis law. 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36

37 The special law on innovative startups indicates a number of major exceptions to common
38 law on the reduction of corporate capital due to losses, both with reference to the case of losses of
39 over one third, and in relation to a loss of over the minimum (art. 26 1 leg. decree 179/2012) and
40 loss making companies (art. 31, paragraph 1, D.L. 179/2012), even though these rules are intended
41 to be applied for limited periods of time, like all special rules regarding innovative startups.
42

43 With reference to losses above one third of the capital, Article 26, sub-section 1, of the
44 decree Crescita bis establishes that for innovative startups the term within which the loss shall have
45 dropped to less than one third is postponed to the second subsequent business period, i.e., one year
46 with respect to the ordinary term set by Articles 2446, sub-section 2, Italian civil code and 2482 bis,
47 sub-section 4, Italian civil code. Furthermore, in case of a loss that reduces the capital to below the
48 minimum, the shareholders' meeting, promptly convened by the directors, can, as an alternative to
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57

58
59 ²⁵ Cultural innovative startups, like any other cultural and creative enterprise, in most cases consist of entrepreneurs and
60 persons with professional backgrounds which differ considerably from the managerial approach and because of this,
61 perhaps more than other categories of enterprises, they require the support of incubators.
62
63
64
65

1 the immediate reduction of the share capital and the simultaneous increase above the minimum,
2 decide to postpone such decision until the closing of the subsequent business period, without the
3 company being wound up due to reduction or loss of share capital. If the capital has not been
4 reintegrated above the legal minimum by the subsequent business period, the shareholders' meeting
5 which approves the financial statements of such business period shall, alternatively, reduce and
6 increase the capital to at least the minimum or transform the company or wind it up.
7
8
9

10 Time deferment in case of reintegration of equity or recapitalization represents a concession
11 which the legislator makes with respect to an innovative startup, for the purpose of giving it time
12 enough to minimize the initial losses determined, it is thought, not so much because of a situation of
13 sufferance of the economic initiative undertaken, rather than because of the weight of the debt in the
14 initial stage of the business activity. Innovative enterprises, above all in an initial stage which the
15 legislator quantifies in five years from setup, may have to make heavy investments which have an
16 effect on net equity. This is undoubtedly a rule which privileges the arguments of the shareholders
17 compared to those of company creditors, enabling the company to continue operating despite losses,
18 with the concrete risk of the financial difficulties becoming worse. It nevertheless finds a precise
19 justification, in a perspective of policy of law, in the positive consequences in terms of public well-
20 being and economic growth for the market as a whole and for the public in general deriving from
21 the carrying out of an economic activity centred on the production of innovative assets and services.
22 In other words, the innovative startup enterprise is obliged to manufacture and market innovative
23 products and services of high technological value, which can present a higher rate of intrinsic risk
24 due to the possible non-viability of the products being developed or to their lack of market success.
25 Consistent therefore with the benefit which the market and the public can derive from it is the
26 exception to those rules which impose a minimum level of financial stability.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 In the same vein is the legislation provided in case of a crisis by art. 31, sub-section 1, of
38 decree 179/2012, which permits extending to innovative start-ups the benefit of the legislation for
39 managing an over-indebtedness crisis, provided by law 3/2012. For the entire period during which
40 such enterprises maintain the qualification of innovative start-ups, irrespective of the type of
41 business activity performed or of the exceeding of the dimensional limits indicated in Article 1 of
42 the bankruptcy law, none of the bankruptcy procedures shall apply to such enterprises indicated in
43 R.D. no. 267 dated 16 March 1942: institutions do not therefore apply such as bankruptcy,
44 arrangement with creditors and administrative compulsory liquidation.
45
46
47
48
49

50 Consequently, those innovative start-ups which are in a state of “lasting instability between
51 obligations assumed and readily redeemable assets to address them, which determines the
52 considerable difficulty of fulfilling their obligations” or “the definitive inability to fulfil them
53 regularly”, can present their creditors with a debt restructuring agreement or, alternatively, the
54 liquidation of the equity.
55
56

57 The reasons at the bottom of such decision can be traced back to the fact that whosoever
58 decides to go into business and invest in activities with a high level of innovation shoulders a
59 greater economic risk compared to the rest of the market. The special legislator intends offering
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 such entrepreneurs the chance to benefit from a simplified procedure compared to that provided by
2 R.D. no. 267 dated 16 March 1942, which cuts the liquidation times of startups in crisis and reduces
3 the involvement of the judge to marginal aspects of the procedure, and at the same time limits the
4 negative effects of same tied to a bankruptcy sentence, enabling it to start again with a new and
5 different business project (fresh start). Worded in this sense is the illustrative Report to D.L.
6 179/2012, the Article 25 of which carefully points out how being subject to a simplified liquidation
7 procedure helps encourage many new potential entrepreneurs to start an innovative startup, and to
8 change the prevalent culture which still sees the lack of achievement of a business idea as a failure
9 rather than an accumulation of experience.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Cultural innovative enterprises: not just philanthropy

Abstract: This paper is focused on the “cultural innovative enterprises”, introduced into Italian law by Decree Law 179/2012 on “Further urgent measures for Italy’s economic growth”, converted into Law 221/2012. It is about new innovative enterprises that deal to develop, manufacture and distribute innovative goods and services of high technological value, operating exclusively in the fields of cultural heritage promotion and cultural services provision. These companies can contribute to reduce the Italian youth employment emergency thanks on one hand to the reduced entry barriers related to the technology developments needed to “begin doing business” and on the other hand to the widespread territorial distribution of the Italian cultural heritage to which services and processes will be applied. Furthermore, the increase of the GDP in regions undergoing a state of economic difficulty is a goal at hand. From a more general point of view, the cultural innovative start-ups are an important element in stimulating new forms of collaboration between public entities responsible for the protection of the artistic heritage and private companies involved in its promotion. This type of partnership can contribute to the promotion and dissemination of new essential skills within the public administration aimed at a virtuous evolution of the way the overall economic system works.

1. Introduction.

Italy is one of the countries with the highest concentration of cultural and artistic goods: monuments, archaeological and historical sites, museums. An artistic and monumental heritage often difficult to value in economic terms and the protection of which is a cost that in times of economic crisis and spending cuts the state unwillingly finds himself having to resize¹. The cultural heritage sector has undoubtedly great importance in a country like Italy, which has a vast wealth of goods that fall into that category. It may, indeed, be regarded as a key sector for our country, with a strong impact on other related sectors, such as tourism.

Yet, as demonstrated by numerous international examples where the artistic and monumental heritage is certainly not comparable to the Italian one, not only is it exploitable from an economic standpoint, but it can also act as a driving force for economic and social development.

In this context, without prejudice to more traditional incentives of public and private development of the artistic and cultural heritage, I consider it important to dwell on the role that can be played by the so called “cultural innovative enterprises”, recently introduced into our law by Decree Law 179/2012 on “Further urgent measures for Italy’s economic growth”, converted into Law 221/2012².

¹ Too often, political choices have affected the cultural heritage, to the benefit of the destination of public funding to other sectors of the economy, with the greatest impact on the electorate. For that reason and for others, such as the increasing organizational and managerial difficulties in the field of public administration, public intervention has proved insufficient

² Decree Law n.179 of 18 October 2012 (“*Decreto Crescita-bis*”) converted with amendments into Law no. 221 of 17 December 2012, introduced into our legislation provisions regulating the formation and development of “innovative start-

1 It comes to new innovative enterprises (so called start ups) that deal to develop, produce and
2 commercialize innovative goods and services of high technological value, operating exclusively in
3 the areas of cultural heritage promotion and cultural services provision. They are counted according
4 to special legislation among innovative start-ups with a social goal³, because in addition to being
5 profit-oriented enterprises that respond to market demand⁴, they are also moved by the need to satisfy
6 interests of a general nature, generating a multitude of positive effects, direct and indirect, on the
7 quality of life of citizens and on economic and social growth of the country.
8

9
10
11 With the introduction of the cultural innovative start up we have in primis an opportunity to
12 create innovative goods, services and processes of high technological value that can allow new ways
13 of use, preservation and promotion of the artistic and cultural heritage and provision of cultural
14 services. At the same time, these companies can positively impact throughout the Italian territory on
15 the Italian youth employment emergency thanks on one hand to the reduced entry barriers related to
16 the technology developments needed to "begin doing business" and on the other hand to the
17 widespread distribution of the cultural heritage to which services and processes will be applied.
18 Furthermore, an additional positive effect is the one on the GDP of regions undergoing a state of
19 economic difficulty.
20

21
22 In the end, and from a more general point of view, the cultural innovative start ups are an
23 important element in stimulating new forms of collaboration between public entities responsible for
24 the protection of the artistic heritage and private companies involved in its promotion. This type of
25 partnership can contribute to the promotion and dissemination of new essential skills within the public
26 administration aimed at a virtuous evolution of the way the overall economic system works⁵.
27

28
29 With the purpose to create favourable conditions to the establishment and the development of
30 cultural innovative start ups, the Italian lawmakers improved and broadened a range of measures
31 which involve a series of relevant temporary exemptions from common law, aimed at reducing costs,
32 particularly those arising from employment and taxes ⁶, designed to support fund raising (equity and
33

34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42 up companies", with a view to promoting sustainable growth, technological development, new business ideas and
43 employment, furthering social mobility and attracting foreign talents, innovative enterprises and capital to Italy.

44 ³ Start-ups with social goals are also innovative start ups. Which must meet all the requirements of the innovative start
45 ups and must operate in sopecific areas as well as the social enterpricises: social work, health care and social care,
46 education and training, enviromental protection, promotion of cultural heritage, social turism, undergraduate and post
47 graduate edication, cultural services, non accademic training, services for the social enterprises of entities of which 70
48 per cent is composed of social enterprises.

49 ⁴ All the innovative start ups must not have distributed profits since their incorporation year nor must they distribute any
50 while the beneficial regime is in place. The prohibition regards not only innovative start ups with social goals and it
51 gameet the requirements of guaranteeing the alla the profits will be invested in the start ups activity.

52 ⁵ Investments in the cultural heritage sector, although do not give noticeable results in the short, but rather in the medium
53 term, produce positive effects on the entire chain linked to that heritage. First, it increases the territorial attractiveness in
54 terms of tourism promotion that is derived from innovation in the offer of cultural services or from the possibility to
55 increase to actively combact the decay of historical and artistic heritage thanks to new technologies that reduce costs and
56 expand the means of intervention. In addition to these investments benefit he publishing industry, graphics and
57 multimedia, publishing catalogs, guides, reproductions, videos, souvenirs, handicrafts, service companies and multimedia
58 installations, etc.

59 ⁶ Article 29 of Decree Law no.179/2012 introduced also a tax incentive for entities which invest directly or indirectly
60 (through collective investment undertakings or other companies) in the capital of innovative start-up companies,
61 consisting in a reduction of the income tax liability as a percentage of the investment made.
62
63
64
65

1 bank loans) and spread over time the debt-related risks, as well as properly frame the possibility of
2 bankruptcy, given the higher than average chance of it happening for highly innovative companies
3 with a significant entrepreneurial risk⁷. The start up legislation is a composite discipline that acts on
4 multiple levels (corporate, tax, labor and bankruptcy), following a so called systemic approach.
5
6

9 2. The cultural innovative start ups.

10
11
12 As above mentioned, the cultural innovative start ups are new enterprises of high
13 technological value with social goals that produce, develop and commercialize innovative goods and
14 services of high technological value, operating in specific domains: cultural heritage promotion and
15 cultural services provision.
16
17

18
19 Before going to the details of what requirements are to be laid down to benefit from the
20 favourable discipline, it is important to make it clear that the cultural innovative start up is not a new
21 type of company, but rather a qualification which may concern any type of company which, in
22 addition to submitting all the specific requirements as identified by the law, adopts an organization
23 model that may guarantee a limited liability to owners. This is especially relevant in case of
24 bankruptcy, so that the owners' personal assets will not be affected⁸.
25
26

27
28 In accordance of Article 25, paragraph 2 of D.L. 179/2012, indeed, the cultural innovative
29 start up may be incorporated in the form of joint-stock company (*società per azioni*), limited liability
30 company (*società a responsabilità limitata*), limited partnership (*società in accomandita per azioni*),
31 cooperative company (*società cooperativa*) and Italian-resident *Societas Europaea*. Ministerial
32 Decree 30 June 2014 includes non-resident companies among innovative start-up companies,
33 provided that they are resident in EU or EEA Member States, carry out a business through a PE and
34 meet the requirements for resident companies.
35
36

37
38 However, it should be stated that five years after the introduction of the special legislation
39 about innovative start ups, approximately 80% of these enterprises chose the form of limited liability
40 company (also s.r.l.).
41
42

43
44 The limited liability company therefore became the chosen entity for the performance of
45 innovative activities of high technological value, cultural ones included. This happened for many
46 reasons among which, on one hand, the opportunity that these companies can be established with a
47 share capital as low as 1 euro, making this entity appealing for young entrepreneurs that generally
48
49
50
51
52

53
54 ⁷ MALTONI –SPADA, *L'impresa start up innovativa costituita in società a responsabilità limitata*, in
55 www.cavererespondere.it, 2013, p. 1 and in *Riv. Not.*, 2013, 1113 e ss..

56 ⁸ Despite there is awareness that most of enterprises are still today organized in the form partnership and individual
57 enterprises, the aim of the legislator, confirmed by the discipline about innovative start-ups, is to steer and propel the
58 business projects of small and medium enterprises towards limited liability company model, ie towards more advanced
59 forms of companies but not too expensive, almost forgetting both partnership and individual enterprises and reserving the
60 stock model to the realities of larger and more structured companies. See, in this regard, CIAN, *Le società start up*
61 *innovative. Problemi definitivi e tipologici*, in *AIDA*, 2013, p. 425; MALTONI –SPADA, *L'impresa start up innovativa*
62 *costituita in società a responsabilità limitata*, cit., p. 2.
63
64
65

1 haven't huge financial resources, and, on the other hand, for the great freedom granted to the private
2 autonomy to conform the organization of this corporate type.

3 To be qualified as a cultural innovative start up, and consequently to be able to benefit from
4 exemptions and fiscal measures laid down by the special legislation, it is necessary that these
5 companies meet the requirements listed by Article 25, paragraph 2 of D.L. 179/2012, some of which
6 must be satisfied concurrently while others are mutually exclusive⁹. In detail, it is therefore necessary
7 that the start ups: *a*) have not shares or quotas listed on a regulated market nor on a multilateral
8 negotiation system (Art. 25, paragraph 2); *b*) have been operational for less than 60 months (Art. 25,
9 paragraph 2, let. b)¹⁰; *c*) must not be incorporated as a result of a demerger or merger or sale of
10 business or division (note that the Ministerial Circular no. 16/E specified that the status of innovative
11 start-up company is not denied as a result of business transformations and that any business
12 combinations implemented by innovative start-up companies immediately after their incorporation
13 will be evaluated by the tax authorities to ensure that they were not put into place solely to circumvent
14 such prohibition¹¹) (art. 25, paragraph 2, let. g); *d*) their principal place of business (PoEM) and centre
15 of main interests must be in Italy (art. 25, paragraph 2, let. c); *e*) starting from the second year of
16 business, their total annual revenue per the latest financial statements approved within 6 months from
17 the year-end must not exceed 5 million Euro; *f*) must not have distributed profits since their
18 incorporation year nor must they distribute any while the beneficial regime is in place¹².

19 An additional requirement concerns their exclusive or prevalent corporate object, that must
20 be “*the development, production and commercialization of innovative products or services of high*
21 *technological value*” (according to art. 25, paragraph 2, let. f), D.L. 179/2012).

22 Without any doubt, the requirement about the corporate object is the most difficult to define
23 because it has rather vague contours: indeed, neither is it easy to determine what are the innovative
24 products or services of high technological value nor by whom and how the subsistence requirement
25 should be assessed, given that the syntagma used by the special legislator has not a scientifically
26 certified content nor matches any pre-existing regulation.

27 From a careful analysis of the above-mentioned regulation, it seems that the adjective
28 “innovative” must be considered together with the “high technological value”, for the absence of any
29 link that divides the two components. In others words, I believe that the expression used by the
30 regulation must be read in the sense of considering that the corporate object of the innovative start
31 ups, cultural ones included, must consist of development, production and commercialization of
32 products and services qualified as innovative because they are characterized by high technological
33

34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

⁹ The Article 25, paragraph 2, of D.L. 179/2012 was amended by Article 9 of D.L. 76/2013. See, in this regard BENAZZO, *op cit.*, p. 110.

¹⁰ The Article was amended by Article 4 of d.l. n 3/2015.

¹¹ This prohibition finds its rationale in order to to avoid abuse of the favorable prescribed discipline, avoiding that an existing company may form an innovative start up only transferring to itself for consideration or for merger or demerger a portion of its business complexit. It seems permissible, according to the interpretation of the provision in question made by Mise, with note prot. 0164029 8 October 2013, that also individual entrepreneur can access to the benefits provided for start-up innovative, giving life to a new company in the form of single-member company.

¹² The rule prohibiting a five-year distribution of profits requires an obligation without exception to self-financing, even if the company met its objectives. In licterature many authors believe too patronizing this attitude of the legislature.

1 value¹³. It follows that for a correct interpretation of the rule it is necessary to focus on the notion of
2 high technological value.

3 First of all, it is interesting to note that the special legislation requires the presence of a high
4 technological value and not of high technological content, thus focusing on the qualitative and not
5 purely quantitative element.
6

7
8 The clarification is not insignificant, because also products and services characterized by low-
9 technological content can meet the requirement as long as the technological component is inherently
10 of high value, leaving unresolved doubts about the minimum level required and how to assess the
11 presence of it.
12

13
14 Last, but not least, is the analysis of the adjective “technological”. The definition of
15 technology on the Treccani vocabulary says:
16

17 “Wide field of research [...], composed of different disciplines [...], which has as its object the
18 application and the use of technical means in the broad sense [...], that is, all that can be applied to
19 the solution of practical problems, to the optimization of the procedures, to the decision-making, to
20 the choice of strategies aimed at certain targets. [...] it refers to the optimal use, also and above all
21 from an economic point of view, of the set of different techniques and procedures used in a given
22 sector, and of technical and more advanced scientific knowledge [...] and, more generally, to a set
23 of theoretical and systematic elaborations, applicable across the planning and realization of
24 productive intervention [...]”.

25
26 In this sense, and coherently with the purpose of the special legislation, it might be thought
27 that the start-up activities could be aimed not only to the creation of new goods and services in
28 absolute terms but also to the creation of good and services already marketed.
29

30
31 An additional degree of uncertainty still concerns the corporate object, whereas Article 25,
32 paragraph 2, let. f), requires that it is exclusively or prevalently “*the development, production and
33 commercialization of innovative products or services of high technological value*”. The question is
34 whether the innovative start-up activities can be constrained to one of the activities covered by the
35 rule, and therefore consist in the conception and realization of a single industrial property, as well as
36 in the mere marketing of products or services, albeit with a high technological value.
37

38
39 In this regard, I would prefer the negative solution, because the three activities set out in the
40 rule - development, production and commercialization - are bound together by the conjunction "and"
41 in place of the otherwise disjunctive "or".
42

43
44 The wording of the provision in exam suggests, therefore, that study, research and design are
45 linked by a logical progression and each one represents just a phase that comprises the business
46 activities carried out by innovative start-ups.
47

48
49 In my opinion, research and development can not be considered an exclusive activity, but
50 rather an intermediate and prodromal step to the following implementation and marketing of
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59

60
61 ¹³ The opinion in text contrasts with the view expressed by CIAN, *op. cit.*, p. 416, who believes that the activities of
62 innovative start-ups should also be innovative and have a high technological content.
63
64
65

1 innovative products or services. And again, a legitimate corporate object cannot be limited to the
2 stage of commercialization of innovative products or services of high technological value.

3 In favor of this interpretation it militates also the fact that the predominant work force in a
4 innovative start up structure must be made up of PhDs, PhD students, researchers, which – should the
5 contrary be true - would be required to work as mere resellers of products of high technological value.
6

7 However, what emerges with certainty from the special rule is that the innovative nature of
8 the activity of a innovative start up, in order to allow access to the expected benefits, should be
9 established at the outset, according to a prognostic evaluation. For this assessment, there is not an
10 external verification: the existence of the requirement needed is self-certified by the legal
11 representative.
12

13 Also, the rule also does not require that the planned activity be exercised exclusively; it is
14 sufficient that it be prevalent. This means that the corporate object could be formed not only by
15 technological innovative activities, but also by activities belonging to traditionally more mature
16 sectors.
17

18 In addition, Article 25 requires the presence of one of these following conditions:

19 The first requirement concerns the quality of expenses incurred during the business activity.
20 In particular, it is required that corporate costs can be attributed to R&D activities in measure equal
21 to or greater than 15%.
22

23 The second requirement provides that either at least 1/3 of the total work force must be
24 personnel with a Ph.D. or studying for a Ph.D. at an Italian or foreign University or with a university
25 degree and certified three-year research experience at public or private research institutions, or 2/3 or
26 more of the staff have a Master's Degree.
27

28 In the end, the rule requires that the innovative start up be the “*owner (..) or licensee of at
29 least one industrial property right in relation to an industrial or biotechnology invention or to
30 topographies of semi-conductor products or the owner of the rights in a software registered with the
31 special public software registry*”¹⁴. *Such property rights must directly related to the company's
32 corporate objects and business*”.
33

34 As mentioned above, the innovative start ups to be qualified also as cultural innovative start
35 ups must meet a further requirement: they must operate exclusively in the domain of cultural heritage
36 promotion, as defined by the Code of Cultural Heritage and Landscape,¹⁵, and of cultural services
37 provision, domain that the special legislation reserves to the innovative start up with social goals. It
38 comes to start ups that apply the technological innovation to the activities of cultural heritage
39 promotion and of cultural services research and provision, meaning the former as those activities
40 aimed at promoting knowledge and dissemination of cultural heritage, at ensuring the best conditions
41
42

43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

¹⁴ On this point, it is important to stress that it is not clear the meaning of the phrase according to which “*property rights must directly relate to the company's corporate objects and business*”: in particular, it is not clear if this ratio is to be understood in an instrumental or teleological sense. Furthermore, in D.L. 179/2012 miss any parameter for evaluating how the property rights must directly relate to the company's corporate or teleological sense. Indeed, the presence of such a requirement, like the others in Article 25, is attested by the legal representative by means of its self-certification.

¹⁵ The Code of Cultural Heritage and Landscape was approved with Legislative decree January 22nd, 2004, no. 42.

1 of use, public use and conservation of cultural heritage; and the latter as the activities of cultural
2 services research and provision as broadly defined, including both the so-called basic services, that
3 are connected to the enterprise main activity, and the additional services, which contribute to the
4 provision of basic services, offering to the user a plurality of performances that can facilitate the
5 process of consumption, and the ancillary services, aimed at providing enhanced offerings.
6
7

8 From all of the foregoing, descends that the qualification of cultural innovative start up might
9 be granted to a much more limited number of companies that can be called *lato sensu* cultural
10 enterprises because for the exercised activity.
11

12 More precisely, the cultural and creative industries comprise a large and disparate group of
13 economic realities: literary enterprises, publishing, music, theater, cinema, museums and the national
14 artistic heritage, information technology, architecture, fashion and design. Cultural innovative
15 companies are, within this large genus, a smaller species limited to those companies that apply
16 technological innovation to the specific sectors of promotion of cultural goods and of provision of
17 cultural services.
18
19

20 As you can imagine, the entire chain of cultural heritage can benefit greatly from the
21 introduction of innovative technologies. Not only - as is obvious - the scope of creative production,
22 but also that of cultural heritage, which is based today from the point of view of the monitoring and
23 the cataloguing, on the use of computers and new computing technologies, graphics, and storage,
24 study and research. The innovative technologies are also helping for dissemination of artistic and
25 cultural heritage, multiplying access both in qualitative and quantitative terms compared to traditional
26 systems¹⁶. Moreover, the application of new technologies is increasingly involved in museum
27 activities: there are numerous museums that are acquiring applications for mobile devices (tablets,
28 smartphones) to enrich the content of the visit for users. Through these applications, it is possible to
29 intensify the experience in exhibition and museum scenarios, involving visitors in new experiences
30 of enjoyment of cultural heritage and also approaching increasingly diverse audience segments.
31
32

33 Similarly affected by technological innovations are the libraries that implement their
34 transformation by implementing digitalizing documents and allowing access through electronic
35 channels, together with computerized tools for cataloguing and searching for information.
36
37

38 Given the above, the definition of cultural innovative start ups encompass a wide variety of
39 companies, including but not limited to those newly established firms that perform outreach activities
40 and enhancement of cultural heritage by computer and multimedia communication tools (for
41 example: 3D scanning, three-dimensional digitalization and interactive visualization, virtual reality,
42 virtual reality for the blind people, automatic recognition of images, digital archival systems, software
43 for automatic processing of texts in ancient languages and manuscripts, etc.); companies that perform
44 diagnostic activities, conservation and restoration of cultural heritage (for example: measurement and
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

56
57 ¹⁶ New technologies can help the cultural heritage to talk about himself recontextualizing and providing, to those who had
58 need, ability to study. One example is the recent initiative of the spectacle of the Ducal Palace in Urbino where four
59 gallery spaces have been called to host multivisions of works of art contained in the Galleria with special sound effects:
60 it is a path of images and comments meant for the understanding of the fundamental episodes of Renaissance culture of
61 Urbino and Marche, with particular reference to the figure of Federico da Montefeltro, analyzed through the works of
62 Piero della Francesca and the great painters of the Renaissance, until Raphael.
63
64
65

1 monitoring of temperature and humidity, production and marketing of technology for the cleaning of
2 works of art through biotechnology, etc.); again, companies that manage construction activities and
3 museum services through new technologies, including additional services (lighting technology,
4 virtual reality, multimedia compositions, virtual tours, reproductions and models, but also
5 management of automated booking and ticketing).
6
7
8
9

10 11 3. Equity crowdfunding 12 13

14 The speciale legislation regarding the cultural innovative start up contained in D.L. 179/2012
15 introduces also the discipline of the equity crowdfunding, an alternative financing method of
16 corporate projects, that in recent times had a great development globally in any sector of the economy.
17 It comes to a new phenomenon that has become of strategic importance specifically for smaller and
18 strongly innovative enterprises, which have more difficulties to resort to traditional lenders as banks,
19 business angels, venture capitalists, public administrations. This method actually obtains a great
20 consensus precisely because it operates overcoming the traditional intermediators like banks and
21 lenders. We're going through a time in which banks almost completely stopped lending, and there is
22 a growing sense of distrust towards financial institutions, considered responsible for the economic
23 crisis. On the other hand, venture capitalists and angel investors, whose presence is much more felt
24 than in the past, keep supporting start-ups, but started asking for increasingly severer requirements
25 and warranties.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 More specifically, crowdfunding is a financing mode that involves a large number of people
34 willing to invest money via Internet in business activity projects (including cultural and or social).
35 The elements that differentiate crowdfunding from traditional channels to access credit can be
36 identified, on one hand, in the prevailing participation of non-professional investors, and on the other
37 hand, in the instrument chosen, i.e. the use of online platforms where demand and supply meet.
38
39
40

41 These platforms operate as a real intermediary supporting, on one hand, investors in the
42 selection of the sectors and companies of interest and in the quick location of information about the
43 project to be financed; on the other hand, start-ups in promoting their growth by encouraging contact
44 with individuals interested in an investment.
45
46
47

48 In practice they are many different forms of crowdfunding: equity based crowdfunding, that
49 consists in capital raising by an online platform, through the acquisition of a company's shares. The
50 main feature of equity crowdfunding is that those who wants to sustain projects giving resources
51 acquire a part of the capital stock¹⁷. Donation-based crowdfunding – used generally for non-profit
52 initiatives with philanthropic motives - does not provide refunds or returns neither in cash nor in kind
53
54
55
56
57

58 ¹⁷ One of the fundamental characteristics of equity crowdfunding is that it finances not a single project, but rather an
59 entire enterprises. The financing shall be in the form of acquisition of a portion of share or quotas. In fact, the equity
60 crowdfunding allows in a stage of early development smaller investors to access the investment in a company. In exchange
61 investors receive shares or quotas.
62
63
64
65

1 to the participants¹⁸ in exchange for their contribution. *Reward-based crowdfunding* allows for
2 capital raising by on line platform with a reward for a donation. Often the reward consists of a product
3 made by the company with the funding¹⁹ received. *Social lending crowdfunding* – structured in a
4 similar way to the online micro-credit - is the exchange of a certain amount of money given in the
5 form of a loan, from a lender to a borrower using a crowdfunding platform²⁰.
6
7

8 The choice about the type of crowdfunding depends to a significant extent both from the
9 underlying project, and from the alternative to finance an entire enterprise or an individual business
10 initiative, industrial, service-based or cultural.
11

12 The cultural and creative enterprises also show a growing familiarity with such financing and
13 seem to prefer both the form of reward-based crowdfunding - when there is an interest on the part of
14 donors for the final product or a creative project (ie. creation of a CD, a movie) – and the form of
15 equity crowdfunding, in which the lenders are made participants of the entrepreneurial initiative and
16 thus of future gains realized by this.
17
18
19

20 In any case, whatever the type of crowdfunding chosen, it should be emphasized that this form
21 of financing, having a participative character, promotes the interaction between an interested and
22 committed audience and the promoters of a cultural business project, allowing interested parties to
23 also broadly take part in the financing of culture, to actively support the development of a cultural
24 project, and to be more aware of the context in which culture arises.
25
26
27

28 Italy, with d.l. 179/2012, became the first European country to implement a specific and
29 comprehensive legislation on equity crowdfunding²¹, which among the various types available is the
30 one that most requires a specific legislation, because it promotes public offers involving the
31 acquisition of shares or quotas, prompting the investor to make high risk illiquid investments²². It is
32
33
34
35
36

37 ¹⁸ This is probably the most used and developed crowdfunding model. This type of crowdfunding founds entirely on
38 people's donations, and do not give back any economical return for its supporters. This model is the most used by non-
39 profit organizations and charities, which rely on patronage for their projects. Donation-based crowdfunding is also called
40 "charity crowdfunding" just because is founded entirely on charitable donations. Donors give help because they share the
41 values of the campaign and they want to give some type of support to particular action or idea.

42 In practice, the difference between donation based and reward-based crowdfunding can be can be hard to find, because
43 in many projects there is the option for the lenders to give up a quid pro quo. Depending on whether a lender forgoes a
44 quid pro quo, a project may therefore fall into the category of donation based or reward-based crowdfunding.

45 ¹⁹ Through reward-based crowdfunding is possible ti financemany different projects, both no profit project and business
46 projects. it is possible to finance also pojects in sport, cultur or social sectorsors. The compensatory measures may consist
47 of simple symbolic objects or good produced with the money raised. For example, the creator of an innovative product
48 can give the right to purchase it first, or at a lower price. .

49 ²⁰ Lending- based crowdfunding is the exchange of a certain amount of money given in the form of a loan using a
50 crowdfunding platform. The lender publishes the request on a platform, asking for a precise amount of money, and when
51 they raise enough money, the platforms originates the loan and paid it to the borrower. Usually (but not always) the
52 petitioner pays an interest on the debt. The set interest rate is usually higher than the average saving rate a lender can
53 have, and lower respect to that of a traditional loan, for the borrower.
54

55 ²¹ Italy was the first country to issue a specific regulation for equity crowdfunding, and this discipline, in our country
56 seems very lively, notwithstanding the difficulties in providing financial resources. The European Commission itself has
57 never hidden its interest for this form of funding that was defined as "fundamental". The E.C. goal is to promote a
58 harmonisation of European legislations, to overpass the limits encountered by platforms that want to operate abroad. The
59 reasons are that is helpful not only in crisis periods, but also to create an "alternative banking system", overcoming
60 the limits of traditional banking processes.

61 ²² Equity based crowdfunding consists in capital raising by an online platform, through the acquisition of a company's
62 shares. The users of this model are those who wants to sustain projects giving resources to acquire a part of the capital
63
64
65

1 technically an investment solicitation regulated by the legislation of each country in relatively
2 different ways which have a significant influence on the success of operations.

3 The critical issue which the Italian special discipline wants to address is the lack of channels
4 to access funding for newly established business entities, characterized by a high mortality rate but
5 also by the possibility of generating high returns should their business be successful, especially in
6 relation to initiatives based on innovative products and technologies. Because traditional
7 intermediaries, indeed, often have no incentive to direct loans to such risky forms of investment,
8 mainly because of constraints and more stringent rules than in the past, crowdfunding could be an
9 important solution, as it offers alternative means of promoting business initiatives, directly aimed at
10 savers-investors, which also helps to reduce intermediation costs.

11 Furthermore, crowdfunding, by using the web, allows greater visibility to start-ups and more
12 widespread capillarity in the audience, useful for the funding of impact and innovative projects as it
13 translates into a higher chance of membership from investors,.

14 One of the most effective novelties of the special legislation is represented by the provision
15 of equity crowdfunding for all the innovative start ups regardless both of the activity exercised and –
16 more important - of the chosen legal form. In particular, with regards to the limited liability company
17 (s.r.l.), the D.L 179/2012 prescribes an express derogation from the provisions of Article 2468 of civil
18 code, on the basis of which “the participation of partners can not be represented by shares nor
19 constitute object of public offerings of financial products”.

20 Article 25, paragraph 5, of D.L. 179/2012, indeed, prescribes that “the participation quotas in
21 innovative start ups incorporated in the form of limited liability company can be object of public
22 offerings of financial products”, specifying that such assistance could take place also "through on line
23 portals for the raising of capital".

24 Beyond the civil law exception, the D.L.179/2012 had a significant impact, as well as on the
25 statutory regulations, also on elements related to purely financial aspects, revising the Legislative
26 Decree 58/1998 to regulate the raising of capital through special online portals.

27 The subsequent intervention of D.L. 3/2015, in addition to expanding the scope of application
28 of the crowdfunding discipline, allowed to sell online not only fractions of capital belonging to
29 innovative start ups, but also to specialized investment vehicles (OICR, Venture capital), whose main
30 asset is represented by investments in start-ups and innovative SMEs.

31 The participation in a crowdfunding campaign means for the saver-investor to acquire a part
32 of the capital stock of the offeree company, namely risk capital instruments, thus becoming
33 shareholder and owner of the connected corporate rights.

34 Based on the approach used by the Italian legislator, indeed, the equity crowdfunding
35 campaigns are configured as paid capital increases²³.

36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

stock. The funders became stockholders of the society, so it is easy to understand how this is the model with the highest percentage of risk. .

²³ The crowdfunding phenomenon is a reality that cannot be ignored: the rapid growth and spread, in a historical moment characterized by the increasingly tight credit of the traditional financing channels has enabled a relevant and intensive development beyond a volume of five billion dollars. The equity crowdfunding statement as a credible and reliable instrument on the market as an alternative financing poses the conditions for a change of broader global economical

1 This means that, following the success of the operation, the investor can participate in
2 corporate affairs by exercising the statutory rights, such as the voting rights exercisable at
3 shareholders' meeting, the right of approving the financial statements and, in case of profits, the right
4 to receive dividends.
5

6 The various rounds of financing are all single divisible paid capital increases, thus allowing
7 the share capital to be increased by an amount corresponding to the subscriptions collected.
8

9 As it is not possible to know in advance the outcome of the collection, the contribution already made
10 by the crowders could *be* considered such as payments for future share capital increases. Especially
11 in case of resources acquired from third parties rather than by existing shareholders, this solution
12 seems more appropriate, primarily because for those capital injections the company has a repayment
13 obligation in case of non-completion of the process: the payments made in anticipation of a specific
14 future share capital increase shall be considered subject to the condition subsequent to the fact that
15 the deal execution is not completed in the way and by the deadlines proposed by the company
16

17 Consequently, the presence of a condition precedent imposes the initial allocation of such
18 sums as debt: depending on the outcome of the operation, the amounts will be consolidated under the
19 heading "equity" or, otherwise, as debt (because in such instance the subscriber has a right to
20 reimbursement).
21

22 Since the offer may also fail, third parties do not assume member status immediately after the
23 subscription and consequent payment, but only when the operation is closed and the decision about
24 the capital increase recorded in the Companies Register.
25

26 For the offer to be completed, it is necessary that at least 5% of all financial instruments
27 offered be subscribed by professional investors or by other special categories of investors (such as
28 foundations, incubators, investors supporting innovation, professionals – public and private – clients).
29 These investors, for their professionalism and characteristics, were considered by the legislator as
30 trustworthy entities, whose participation in the issuer's share capital and whose evaluation about the
31 operation can represent a further guarantee for the other investors. The 5% threshold above mentioned
32 is a condition of effectiveness of the offer. Therefore, in the event that the threshold is not reached,
33 the crowdfunding campaign will not be completed.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 5. Investors' special rights in cultural innovative start-ups. 49

50
51 breath. The potentialities of a tool, that if properly structured could represent a revolution in the processes involved in
52 setting up a business, are evident. The validity of a project's company no longer needs the consent of a bank or financial
53 institution and the "power" to declare the development of a business venture will not be a monopoly, in the hands of the
54 great financial masters of the world. Thus with crowdfunding there is a democratization of the economy, meant as the
55 possibility to easily access the capital needed for businesses, but also as users' freedom of access different investment
56 opportunities. A system in which merit is the true source of success and where the application and innovation can rise to
57 real drivers of the engine. As a result this model could develop a greater maturity of the entrepreneurial class that will
58 have to pay greater attention to the market needs and to prove more sensitive in terms of transparency. Surely we cannot
59 expect crowdfunding to conceive these mechanisms in the near future and certainly will not supplant the essential
60 activities of traditional financial markets, but the feeling is that it can actually be a form of innovation that can rejuvenate
61 the way of doing business and can adapt the concept of the era of the entrepreneur world
62 - wide –web.
63
64
65

1
2 Since, as mentioned above, the highest number of innovative start ups, even cultural, chose to
3 be incorporated in the form of limited liability company (s.r.l.), the provision contained in Article 26,
4 paragraph 2, of D.L. 179/2012 is extremely interesting. The reason for this is that it allows the
5 possibility for the statute of innovative start-ups in the form of limited liability company to create
6 classes of quotas conferring different rights and, within the limits imposed by law, to freely determine
7 the contents of the various classes, even in derogation from the provisions of Article 2468,
8 paragraphes 2 and 3, c.c..
9

10
11
12 It is a legislative provision of extreme importance which makes it possible for the cultural
13 start ups in form of limited liability company to issue quotas with special rights, different from those
14 pursued by common discipline, that can be applied also to fields other than the administration of the
15 company and of the profit distribution.
16
17

18
19 It is also expressly regulates the possibility of creating multiple kinds of unitary, standardized,
20 indivisible and cumulable quotas in the hands of the same shareholder, bearing uniform rights other
21 than those covered by the ordinary regime.
22
23

24 These regulatory provisions are consistent with the possibility of soliciting investments and
25 of obtaining risk capital among the public for quotas of innovative start ups in form of limited liability
26 company, also through on line portals.
27
28

29 In fact, the provisions mentioned allow the start up to tailor equity participation to the needs
30 of different categories of owners, setting up different roles among owners and facilitating the research
31 of financing in risk capital.
32
33

34 With respect to limited liability company trying to raise capital by crowdfunding, the options
35 offered by the special legislation allow the company on one hand to consolidate the position of the
36 founding quotaholders, possibly giving them special personal rights concerning the management of
37 the company or the election of Directors and, on the other hand, to create also additional categories
38 of quotas with different rights, most suited to need of investors, exclusively interested in the economic
39 return of their investment and not in the management of the company.
40
41
42

43 In the same sense, given that the Consob Regulation no. 18592, as last amended, subjects the
44 success of the offer on the on line portals to the condition that 5% or more of the subscriptions happen
45 by professional investors (such as banking foundations, start ups incubators, investors supporting
46 innovation) the rules above mentioned allow also to fine-tune the content of these partecipations
47 according to the interests and characteristics of these investors, which are certainly interested in the
48 economic return of the investment without willing to sacrifice the right to have a say in the
49 management of the company.
50
51
52
53

54 It is important to remind that, unlike in the spa, the categorization of limited liability company
55 quotas may be also partial, and concern only that part of the quota capital dedicated to crowdfunders
56 and / or professional investors.
57
58

59 As emphasised by literature, no regulation prevents a start-up with limited liability to insert
60 in the statute a clause enabling the categorization of only a part of the quotas. In this case, both
61
62
63

1 standardized quotas and ordinary quotas may coexist in the same company, as well as special rights
2 attributed directly to individual members and categories of quotas with different rights.

3 As for the content of the various classes of quotas, the paragraph 2, Article 26 of D.L.
4 179/2012, according to which the start up may freely determine the content of various classes of
5 quotas, within the limits imposed by law, suggests that the start up in form of limited liability
6 company has wide discretion in determining the content of the rights attached to them. More
7 precisely, this means that the statute may impact both on economic and administrative rights, allowing
8 the modification of both also simultaneously.
9

10 Along the same lines is the provision in the following paragraph 3 of art. 26 of D.L. 179/2012
11 which provides that the Statute of the innovative limited liability company may create also classes of
12 quotas without voting rights or that attributes to the owner voting rights not proportional to its
13 participation to the capital or voting rights limited to particular matters or subject to the satisfaction
14 of conditions not merely potestative.
15

16 The rule above mentioned assimilates the structure of the limited liability company to that of
17 the joint-stock company.
18

19 The quotas without voting rights or with limited voting rights are tailored to subjects interested
20 just in the economic aspect of their investment, whose presence does not compromise the sovereignty
21 of quotaholders that are involved in the management of the company. The owner of this quotas is
22 comparable to the owner of savings shares in the joint-stock company, physiologically uninterested
23 in the exercise of administrative rights connected to the participation.
24

25 In contrast, the possibility to issue multiple-vote quotas allows to meet the needs of
26 quotaholders more interested to make their voice heard, such as venture capitalist or the innovative
27 start ups incubators or, alternatively, to allow founders to retain control of key aspects of the
28 management of the company while raising funds that could potentially dilute their grip on such
29 aspects.
30

31 6. Innovative cultural startup incubators 32

33 The creation of a regulatory framework and an ecosystem favourable to the birth and growth
34 of so-called innovative cultural startups also involves the provision of certified incubators, meaning
35 by this the enterprises which “offer, including on a non-exclusive basis, services to sustain the birth
36 and growth of innovative startups” and which are in possession of the requisites indicated by Article
37 5 of the Decree of the Italian Ministry of Economic Development dated 21 February 2013 (so-called
38 Incubators Decree).
39

40 The purpose of these incubators is to accommodate, support and accompany the growth of the
41 innovative startups from the conception of the entrepreneurial idea through to initial development,
42 providing training activities, operating and managerial support, work tools and places and favour
43 contact between investors and entrepreneurial ideas thought to have strong potential in terms of
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 economic return, but not yet appealing for the capital market²⁴. This way, the certified incubators
2 enable the innovative enterprises to launch their businesses onto the market effectively and above all
3 quickly. For the services they provide, the incubators are remunerated in various ways, through the
4 consideration paid by the incubated companies, so-called fees, i.e., shares of corporate capital in
5 return for investments in risk capital or, again, a combination of both models.
6

7
8 It must be emphasized that the carrying on, including in a professional and systematic way,
9 of innovative startup incubation activities does not represent an activity restricted to so-called
10 certified incubators and can, therefore, be performed by any entity, public or private. In fact, the
11 certification of the incubator is simply a condition for registration in the relative section of the
12 Companies Register and to access corporate and tax subsidies.
13
14

15
16 In the system outlined by D.L. 179/2012, only incubators established as corporations,
17 cooperatives or *societas europaea*, fiscally resident in Italy pursuant to Article 73 of Presidential
18 Decree 917/1986, can register in the special section. On the other hand, companies set up under the
19 laws of another country cannot register. Now, while the prohibition in effect with respect to
20 companies set up under the laws of a non-community state does not seem to create particular
21 perplexities, the same is not true instead with reference to the provision which does not allow the
22 incubators set up according to the laws of a member state of the European Union and which have set
23 up a sub-office in Italy to register in the special section.
24
25

26
27 This is in fact a provision which, from the point of view of community law, appears to be
28 strongly discriminatory with respect to community incubators, probably supplementing an
29 illegitimate restriction of the freedom of establishment guaranteed by Articles 49 and 54 of the Treaty
30 on the Functioning of the European Union, as interpreted by the Court of Justice in *Centros*,
31 *Uberseering*, *Inspire Art*, *Sevic*, *Cartesio* jurisprudence.
32
33

34
35 It must therefore be considered that the Companies Register could not deny registration in
36 the special section to an incubator set up according to the law of another Country of the European
37 Union which has set up a sub-office in Italy.
38
39

40
41 The status of certified incubator is acquired through the registration of the incubator in the
42 special section of the Companies Register. Such qualification not only brings with it a reputational
43
44

45
46 ²⁴ The physical concentration of these new enterprises in these spaces favours encounter and discussion between the
47 various start-uppers allowed into the incubator and fosters an extensive exchange of know-how. The organisation of these
48 systems is based on the interception of the real needs of the startups in order to make the startup phase less complex and
49 increase chances of success. The incubators must therefore be clearly aware of the life cycle and supply chain for the birth
50 and growth of the innovative startups. This means that structural services must be placed at the disposal of the startups,
51 such as spaces and facilities able to accommodate the startups, equipped premises, optical fibre cable installations,
52 meeting rooms and auditoriums. Adequate spaces in themselves are not however enough to sustain the enterprise.
53 Qualified services are required to provide the new class of entrepreneurs with advanced training together with coordinated
54 promotion and marketing initiatives, shared databases, common projects and integrated agreements with the credit system,
55 or for the use of special laboratories and technological platforms. In order for the incubation activity to be successfully
56 conducted, other characteristics are also needed such as the presence of start-ups in the organizations, an indication of
57 already acquired experience, and the start of collaboration with various entities, which can be of both a public and a
58 private nature, e.g., universities, local authorities, banks, chambers of commerce, venture capital and business angel
59 networks, corporates e other already-launched startups. It must be shown that an effective ecosystem has been created
60 which surrounds the startups and offers greater possibilities during the various development phases.
61
62

1 advantage for the incubator but also the application of special regulations and numerous benefits,
2 substantially corresponding to those provided for innovative startups, with the basic difference that
3 such benefits do not have a limited duration, but continue to be applied until the incubator has all the
4 requisites required by law.

5 To obtain the status of certified incubator, the company must have all the following requisites
6 together:
7

8
9 a) have facilities, including immovable, suitable for accommodating innovative startups, such
10 as dedicated spaces for installing test, auditing or research equipment;

11
12 b) have equipment suitable for the business activity of the innovative startups, such as Internet
13 access systems, meeting rooms, test machinery or prototypes;

14
15 c) be managed or directed by people of acknowledged expertise in enterprise and innovation
16 and have a permanent technical and managerial consultancy organisation;

17
18 d) have regular relations of collaboration with universities, research centres, public institutions
19 and financial partners which perform business activities and projects related to innovative startups;

20
21 e) have adequate and proven experience as regards support activities for innovative startups.

22
23 The first two requisites are of a structural nature, while those at the letters c) and e) focus on
24 manager duties. The requisite at let. d), furthermore, calls for the demonstration of a network of
25 contacts with stakeholder as a crucial condition for building an eco-system suitable for the birth and
26 growth of the innovative startups.
27

28
29 The Incubators Decree also specifies, as regards requisites, that a certified innovative startup
30 incubator can provide services in support of startups “also on a non-exclusive basis”. This same
31 decree also puts in-depth focus on the requisite of the experience of whoever manages the incubator
32 and specifies that this must be identified “in the shareholders, in the directors of the company and in
33 the work units, collaborators or professional persons who work with continuity, equivalent to full
34 time (FTE)” in activities “specifically dedicated to the support and advisory services to innovative
35 startups”.
36

37
38 Since the coming into effect of D.L. 179/2012 to date, even though – as has already been said-
39 such provision does not allow so-called certified incubators to perform innovative startup incubation,
40 including in a professional and systematic way, the number of incubators operating in Italy has
41 considerably increased.
42

43
44 In practice, innovative startup incubators show a very determinate specialization in terms of
45 subject matter and geographic area. The reason for this seems to be rather easy to appreciate,
46 considering the incubator must not only have adequate structural capacities, but also a managerial
47 skill in the specific activity performed by the innovative startup as well as a network of contacts with
48 stakeholders of specific usefulness for the incubated enterprises.
49

50
51 On the Italian scene, over recent years, the number of cultural incubators has grown
52 considerably, i.e., those incubators which assist the birth and growth of cultural and creative
53 enterprises, of artistic and design incubators, as well as enterprises which apply technological
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 innovation to cultural assets²⁵. Mention is made, by way of example, of the experiences of Artimedea,
2 #SMART, CultLab, Rioni Sassi, Herion.

3 Many of these incubators are public bodies, i.e., business entities established and owned by
4 local public entities (councils, provinces, regions) and/or universities, and therefore not profit-
5 oriented. Such types of incubators provide their services at lower prices than those of the market, and
6 even in some case free of charge, and access to their services is also by means of public notices. Many
7 examples nevertheless exist of private-entity incubators focused on enterprises of social and/or
8 cultural value and which manage to achieve an economic equilibrium of their own (Make a Cube).
9

10 7. Exceptions to crisis law.

11 The special law on innovative startups indicates a number of major exceptions to common
12 law on the reduction of corporate capital due to losses, both with reference to the case of losses of
13 over one third, and in relation to a loss of over the minimum (art. 26 1 leg. decree 179/2012) and loss
14 making companies (art. 31, paragraph 1, D.L. 179/2012), even though these rules are intended to be
15 applied for limited periods of time, like all special rules regarding innovative startups.

16 With reference to losses above one third of the capital, Article 26, sub-section 1, of the decree
17 Crescita bis establishes that for innovative startups the term within which the loss shall have dropped
18 to less than one third is postponed to the second subsequent business period, i.e., one year with respect
19 to the ordinary term set by Articles 2446, sub-section 2, Italian civil code and 2482 bis, sub-section
20 4, Italian civil code. Furthermore, in case of a loss that reduces the capital to below the minimum, the
21 shareholders' meeting, promptly convened by the directors, can, as an alternative to the immediate
22 reduction of the share capital and the simultaneous increase above the minimum, decide to postpone
23 such decision until the closing of the subsequent business period, without the company being wound
24 up due to reduction or loss of share capital. If the capital has not been reintegrated above the legal
25 minimum by the subsequent business period, the shareholders' meeting which approves the financial
26 statements of such business period shall, alternatively, reduce and increase the capital to at least the
27 minimum or transform the company or wind it up.

28 Time deferment in case of reintegration of equity or recapitalization represents a concession
29 which the legislator makes with respect to an innovative startup, for the purpose of giving it time
30 enough to minimize the initial losses determined, it is thought, not so much because of a situation of
31 sufferance of the economic initiative undertaken, rather than because of the weight of the debt in the
32 initial stage of the business activity. Innovative enterprises, above all in an initial stage which the
33 legislator quantifies in five years from setup, may have to make heavy investments which have an
34 effect on net equity. This is undoubtedly a rule which privileges the arguments of the shareholders
35

36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
²⁵ Cultural innovative startups, like any other cultural and creative enterprise, in most cases consist of entrepreneurs and persons with professional backgrounds which differ considerably from the managerial approach and because of this, perhaps more than other categories of enterprises, they require the support of incubators.

1 compared to those of company creditors, enabling the company to continue operating despite losses,
2 with the concrete risk of the financial difficulties becoming worse. It nevertheless finds a precise
3 justification, in a perspective of policy of law, in the positive consequences in terms of public well-
4 being and economic growth for the market as a whole and for the public in general deriving from the
5 carrying out of an economic activity centred on the production of innovative assets and services. In
6 other words, the innovative startup enterprise is obliged to manufacture and market innovative
7 products and services of high technological value, which can present a higher rate of intrinsic risk
8 due to the possible non-viability of the products being developed or to their lack of market success.
9 Consistent therefore with the benefit which the market and the public can derive from it is the
10 exception to those rules which impose a minimum level of financial stability.

11
12 In the same vein is the legislation provided in case of a crisis by art. 31, sub-section 1, of
13 decree 179/2012, which permits extending to innovative start-ups the benefit of the legislation for
14 managing an over-indebtedness crisis, provided by law 3/2012. For the entire period during which
15 such enterprises maintain the qualification of innovative start-ups, irrespective of the type of business
16 activity performed or of the exceeding of the dimensional limits indicated in Article 1 of the
17 bankruptcy law, none of the bankruptcy procedures shall apply to such enterprises indicated in R.D.
18 no. 267 dated 16 March 1942: institutions do not therefore apply such as bankruptcy, arrangement
19 with creditors and administrative compulsory liquidation.

20
21 Consequently, those innovative start-ups which are in a state of “lasting instability between
22 obligations assumed and readily redeemable assets to address them, which determines the
23 considerable difficulty of fulfilling their obligations” or “the definitive inability to fulfil them
24 regularly”, can present their creditors with a debt restructuring agreement or, alternatively, the
25 liquidation of the equity.

26
27 The reasons at the bottom of such decision can be traced back to the fact that whosoever
28 decides to go into business and invest in activities with a high level of innovation shoulders a greater
29 economic risk compared to the rest of the market. The special legislator intends offering such
30 entrepreneurs the chance to benefit from a simplified procedure compared to that provided by R.D.
31 no. 267 dated 16 March 1942, which cuts the liquidation times of startups in crisis and reduces the
32 involvement of the judge to marginal aspects of the procedure, and at the same time limits the negative
33 effects of same tied to a bankruptcy sentence, enabling it to start again with a new and different
34 business project (fresh start). Worded in this sense is the illustrative Report to D.L. 179/2012, the
35 Article 25 of which carefully points out how being subject to a simplified liquidation procedure helps
36 encourage many new potential entrepreneurs to start an innovative startup, and to change the
37 prevalent culture which still sees the lack of achievement of a business idea as a failure rather than
38 an accumulation of experience.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Silvia Guizzardi