



ALMA MATER STUDIORUM  
UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA

ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE  
DELLA RICERCA

## Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Influence of fiber degradability of corn silage in diets with lower and higher fiber content on lactational performance, nutrient digestibility, and ruminal characteristics in lactating Holstein cows

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

*Published Version:*

Miller M.D., Kokko C., Ballard C.S., Dann H.M., Fustini M., Palmonari A., et al. (2021). Influence of fiber degradability of corn silage in diets with lower and higher fiber content on lactational performance, nutrient digestibility, and ruminal characteristics in lactating Holstein cows. *JOURNAL OF DAIRY SCIENCE*, 104(2), 1728-1743 [10.3168/jds.2020-19088].

*Availability:*

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/804038> since: 2021-02-23

*Published:*

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2020-19088>

*Terms of use:*

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).  
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

1 **Influence of fiber degradability of corn silage in diets with lower and higher fiber content**  
2 **on lactational performance, nutrient digestibility, and ruminal characteristics in lactating**  
3 **Holstein cows.** *By Miller et al., page xx.* Brown midrib-corn silage has greater fiber  
4 degradability and may allow for faster turnover of fiber from the rumen. We compared brown  
5 midrib-3 corn silage to conventional corn silage in diets with lower and higher fiber  
6 concentrations. In higher fiber diets, corn silage with lower fiber degradability limited dry matter  
7 intake and milk yield. In lower fiber diets, the fiber degradability of the corn silage had no effect  
8 on intake or milk yield. Lower fiber degradability corn silage fed at higher dietary levels has a  
9 rumen-filling effect and limits dry matter intake and milk production.

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41

**Influence of fiber degradability of corn silage in diets with lower and higher fiber content on lactational performance, nutrient digestibility, and ruminal characteristics in lactating Holstein cows**

M. D. Miller<sup>1</sup>, C. Kokko<sup>1</sup>, C. S. Ballard<sup>1</sup>, H. M. Dann<sup>1</sup>, M. Fustini<sup>2</sup>, A. Palmonari<sup>2</sup>, A. Formigoni<sup>2</sup>, K. W. Cotanch<sup>1¶</sup>, and R. J. Grant<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>William H. Miner Agricultural Research Institute, Chazy, NY 12921

<sup>2</sup>Department of Veterinary Medicine, Università di Bologna, 40064 Bologna, Italy

¶Current address: Barn Swallow Consulting, LLC, Underhill, VT 05489

\*Corresponding Author:

Richard Grant  
William H. Miner Agricultural Research Institute  
1034 Miner Farm Road  
Chazy, NY 12921  
Phone: 518-846-7121 x116  
Fax: 518-846-8445  
Email: [grant@whminer.com](mailto:grant@whminer.com)

## ABSTRACT

42

43 The effect of neutral detergent fiber (NDF) **degradability** of corn silage in diets

44 containing lower and higher NDF concentrations on lactational performance, nutrient

45 digestibility, and ruminal characteristics in lactating Holstein cows was measured. Eight

46 ruminally cannulated Holstein cows averaging  $91 \pm 4$  (standard error) days in milk were used in

47 a replicated 4 x 4 Latin square design with 21-d periods (7-d collection periods). Dietary

48 treatments were formulated to contain either conventional (CON; **48.6% 24-h NDF**

49 **degradability**) or brown midrib-3 (BM3; **61.1% 24-h NDF degradability**) corn silage and either

50 lower NDF (LNDF) or higher NDF (HNDF) concentration (32.0 and 35.8% of ration dry matter,

51 DM) by adjusting the **dietary** forage content (52 and 67% forage, DM basis). The dietary

52 treatments were: 1) CON-LNDF, 2) CON-HNDF, 3) BM3-LNDF, and 4) BM3-HNDF. **Data**

53 **were analyzed as a factorial arrangement of diets within a replicated Latin square with the**

54 **MIXED procedure of SAS with fixed effects of diet, period, and replicate. Cow within square**

55 **was the random effect<sub>RG1</sub>. Cows fed the BM3 corn silage had higher dry matter intake (DMI) than**

56 **cows fed the CON corn silage whereas cows fed the HNDF diet consumed less DM than cows**

57 **fed the LNDF diet. An interaction between NDF degradability and NDF content resulted in the**

58 **HNDF diet decreasing DMI with CON corn silage but not with BM3 silage.** Cows fed the BM3

59 diets had greater energy-corrected milk yield, higher milk true protein content, and lower milk

60 urea nitrogen concentration than cows fed CON diets. Additionally, cows fed the BM3 diets had

61 greater total tract digestibility of organic matter and NDF than cows fed the CON diets.

62 Compared to CON diets, the BMR diets accelerated ruminal NDF turnover. When incorporated

63 into higher NDF diets, corn silage with greater in vitro **24-h NDF degradability** and lower

64 **undegradable NDF** at 240 h of in vitro fermentation (uNDF240) allowed for greater DMI intake

65 than CON. In contrast, for lower NDF diets, NDF **degradability** of corn silage did not affect DMI  
66 which suggests that a threshold level of inclusion of higher **NDF degradability** corn silage is  
67 necessary to observe enhanced lactational performance. Results suggest that there is a maximum  
68 gut fill of dietary uNDF240 and that higher NDF **degradability** corn silage can be fed at greater  
69 dietary concentrations.

70 **Key words:** brown midrib-3 corn silage, fiber content, undigested fiber, dairy cattle

## 71 INTRODUCTION

72 Forages are the foundation of the dairy cow diet, and specifically corn silage has become  
73 the primary forage source for lactating dairy cows in North America (Martin et al., 2017). Forage  
74 fiber influences ruminal fermentation, nutrient digestibility, and turnover due to its physical and  
75 chemical characteristics. The physical and chemical properties of fiber become especially  
76 important as dietary forage inclusion increases (Allen and Mertens, 1988). Forage fiber is  
77 commonly quantified as NDF, and the **degradability** of NDF influences the animal response to  
78 any given level of dietary NDF (Oba and Allen, 2000a). In vitro or situ fermentation for 24 or 30  
79 h is a common, single-point measure of NDF **degradability** (NDFD) that has been related to DMI  
80 and milk production in lactating dairy cows (Oba and Allen, 1999b). A meta-analysis by  
81 Nousiainen et al. (2009) comprising 92 studies found that forage NDF and NDFD were the two  
82 most important dietary factors when predicting OM digestibility in dairy cows.

83 Recently, a laboratory measure of **undegradable NDF**, termed **undegradable** NDF at 240  
84 h of in vitro fermentation (uNDF240), has been found to be related to NDF degradation and  
85 passage kinetics, gut fill and DMI, and physical effectiveness of fiber (Nousiainen et al., 2003;  
86 Cotanch et al., 2014; Raffrenato et al., 2018). However, due to its novelty, few published studies

87 have used the measure in relation to dairy cow performance (Fustini et al., 2017; Miller et al.,  
88 2020). Recently, Miller et al. (2020) showed that corn silage with a lower uNDF240 fraction  
89 allowed for greater DMI and milk yield. The corn silage used was brown midrib (BMR) which  
90 has a gene mutation that results in less lignin in the plant cell wall, lower uNDF240 content, and  
91 greater NDF **degradability** (Oba and Allen, 1999a; Hassanat et al., 2017; Miller et al., 2020).  
92 Generally, substituting a corn silage hybrid with higher NDFD for a hybrid with lower NDFD,  
93 on a DM basis, leads to greater DMI, faster fiber turnover from the rumen, greater total tract  
94 NDFD, and increased milk production (Oba and Allen, 1999; Hassanat et al., 2017). However,  
95 responses have been more variable when corn silage substitution occurs on an NDF basis due in  
96 part to variation in NDFD at similar NDF content (Oba and Allen, 2000abc; Hristov et al., 2020).

97 Oba and Allen (2000abc) remains the only published study to investigate the effects of  
98 conventional or brown midrib-3 corn silage in low or high NDF content diets. Their study was  
99 comprehensive in relating the brown midrib-3 mutation to animal response although it pre-dated  
100 the use of uNDF240 as a measure of **undegradable** NDF. Consequently, it would be useful to  
101 assess cow responses to higher and lower forage diets, containing conventional or brown midrib  
102 corn silage, using the newer measures of NDF **undegradability** in addition to more common  
103 measures of NDFD.

104 Therefore, the objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of lower or higher NDF  
105 **degradability** of corn silage (i.e., conventional or brown midrib-3), when substituted on an NDF  
106 basis, in diets containing lower and higher NDF concentration (i.e., forage percentage) **and their**  
107 **interaction** on lactational performance, nutrient digestibility, and ruminal fermentation and  
108 turnover characteristics in Holstein cows. Our hypothesis was that **greater** NDFD and lower

109 uNDF240 content of brown midrib-3 corn silage would increase DMI and milk yield, nutrient  
110 digestibility, and ruminal fiber turnover similarly in lower and higher NDF diets compared to  
111 conventional corn silage with lower NDFD and higher uNDF240.

112

## 113 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### 114 *Experimental Design, Diets, and Management of Cows*

115 All experimental procedures involving animals were approved by the William H. Miner  
116 Agricultural Research Institute Animal Care and Use Committee. Eight ruminally fistulated  
117 multiparous Holstein cows averaging  $91 \pm 4$  (standard error) DIM were housed in individual tie  
118 stalls at the William H. Miner Agricultural Research Institute (Chazy, NY). Cows were stratified  
119 by parity, milk production, and DIM and used in a replicated 4 x 4 Latin square design to  
120 evaluate the interaction of lower and higher NDFD of corn silage with lower and higher NDF  
121 concentrations. Each square consisted of four 21-d periods with 14 d of adjustment to treatment  
122 followed by 7 d of data collection. This design and length of experimental period has been  
123 shown previously to allow for measurement of animal response to corn silage NDFD and dietary  
124 NDF content (Oba and Allen, 2000a).

### 125 *Dietary Formulation and Feeding Strategy*

126 Dietary treatments (Table 1) were formulated to contain either conventional (CON;?) or  
127 brown midrib-3 corn silage (BM3?) and either lower or higher NDF concentration (32.0 and  
128 35.8% of DM) by adjusting the forage percentage (52 and 67% forage, DM basis). The four  
129 dietary treatments were: 1) CON and lower NDF (CON-LNDF), 2) CON and higher NDF

130 (CON-HNDF), 3) BM3 and lower NDF (BM3-LNDF), and 4) BM3 and higher NDF (BM3-  
131 HNDF). Diets were formulated using the CPM-Dairy nutritional model (version 3.0; Cornell  
132 University, Ithaca, NY; University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA; and William H. Miner  
133 Agricultural Research Institute, Chazy, NY) to supply the required nutrients for a lactating  
134 Holstein cow weighing 685 kg with a BCS of 2.75, at 88 DIM, consuming 29 kg/d of DM, and  
135 producing 54.5 kg/d milk containing 3.8% fat and 3.2% true protein.

136 Diets were formulated such that, as corn silage content of the diet was increased, the corn  
137 grain inclusion was reduced resulting in lower (52% of DM) and higher (67% of DM) forage-to-  
138 concentrate ratios. Corn silages were substituted for each other on an NDF basis in an effort to  
139 achieve similar dietary NDF content within a level of dietary forage. Within the higher and lower  
140 NDF diets, the concentrate mix was adjusted to maintain similar concentrations of starch, other  
141 carbohydrate fractions, and protein fractions (Table 2). Diets were delivered as a TMR once  
142 daily (1500 h; Calan Data Ranger; American Calan Inc., Northwood, NH) allowing for ad  
143 libitum access to feed; free access to fresh water was provided.

#### 144 ***Data Collection, Sampling Procedures, and Analytical Methods***

145 ***Ingredient and diet sampling and analysis.*** Individual feed ingredients were collected  
146 weekly and dried in a forced-air oven at 105°C for 18 to 24 h (until weight remained unchanged)  
147 for DM determination to maintain consistent dietary DM content throughout the study. During  
148 the collection period (d 15 to 21), feed ingredients and diets were collected daily and a portion of  
149 each sample was dried in a forced-air oven at 105°C for 18 to 24 h for DM determination. The  
150 remaining portion of each sample collected was frozen at -20°C until composited by collection  
151 period and analyzed for chemical composition using AOAC International (2000; 2006) approved



152 methods (CPM Plus; Cumberland Valley Analytical Services, Inc., Waynesboro, PA). In vitro  
153 **NDFD** of forage composite and dietary composite samples (1-mm grind; Wiley mill; Arthur H.  
154 Thomas, Philadelphia, PA) at 24-h was determined using an in vitro fermentation (Daisy<sup>II</sup>  
155 Incubator; Ankom Technology Corp., Fairport, NY) with buffered media containing ruminal  
156 fluid (Goering and Van Soest, 1970). The uNDF240 for forages and dietary composite samples  
157 was assessed using a batch in vitro rumen fermentation system (Raffrenato et al., 2018).

158 Dietary ingredients and composite samples were used to determine particle size  
159 distributions and a physical effectiveness factor ( $pef_{1.18}$ ; DM basis) by dry vertical sieving (Ro-  
160 Tap testing sieve shaker model B; W. S. Tyler Combustion Engineering Inc., Mentor, OH) using  
161 a 1.18-mm sieve (Mertens, 1997). The resultant  $pef$  value was utilized to calculate  $peNDF$  by  
162 multiplication of NDF content and  $pef$  using the procedure described by Mertens (1997).

163 ***Cow lactational response measures.*** Individual DMI was determined by recording feed  
164 offered and refused daily. Samples of diets and orts were collected daily (d 15 to 21) during each  
165 period and a portion of each sample was dried in a forced-air oven at 105°C to constant weight  
166 for DM determination.

167 Body weight was measured (Allweigh computerized scale; Allweigh Scale System Inc.,  
168 Red Deer, AB, Canada) and BCS assigned in 0.25-unit increments on a 1 to 5 scale (Ferguson et  
169 al., 1994) for each cow 2 d prior to the beginning of the study and on d 18 of each period. Two  
170 trained individuals assigned BCS independently throughout the study.

171 Cows were milked 3 times daily (0430, 1230, and 2030 h). Milk yields were recorded  
172 electronically (ProVantage Information Management System; Bou-Matic, Madison, WI) on d 15  
173 to 21 of each period. Milk samples from 6 consecutive milkings for each cow were collected on

174 d 17 and 18 of each test period and were preserved (Bronolab-W II Liquid Preservative; D & F  
175 Control Systems, Inc., Dublin, CA). Samples were sent for analyses within two to three days of  
176 sampling and were analyzed for fat, true protein, lactose, solids nonfat, urea nitrogen, and  
177 somatic cells by mid-infrared procedures (Dairy One, Ithaca, NY; Foss 4000; Foss Technology,  
178 Eden Prairie, MN). After analysis, samples were composited mathematically by day in  
179 proportion to milk yield at each sampling within the day. Somatic cell count was transformed  
180 and analyzed as SCS according to Shook et al. (1993) using the equation:  $SCS = \log_2(SCC/100)$   
181  $+ 3$  where SCC is in units of 1,000 cells/mL. Energy-corrected milk was calculated using a  
182 formula modified to account for use of true protein instead of total protein (Tyrrell and Reid,  
183 1965; Mark Stephenson, University of Wisconsin;  
184 <https://dairymarkets.org/PubPod/Reference/Library/Energy%20Corrected%20Milk>):  $(0.327 \times \text{kg}$   
185  $\text{of milk} + 12.95 \times \text{kg of fat} + 7.65 \times \text{kg of true protein})$ .

186 ***Rumen VFA and pH.*** Ruminal pH was measured in 8 ruminally cannulated cows with an  
187 indwelling ruminal pH/ORP/REDOX measurement system (Penner et al., 2006; LRCpH;  
188 Dascor, Escondido, CA) at 30-s intervals over a 72-h period from 1500 h on d 16 to 1500 h on d  
189 19. Ruminal pH measurements were averaged over a 10-min period within day and summarized  
190 as mean pH, minimum pH, maximum pH, variation in pH, minutes under pH of 5.5 and 5.8, and  
191 area that the pH curve was below pH of 5.8 (AUC; Beauchemin and Yang, 2005).

192 Samples of ruminal fluid (approximately 300 mL) were collected from beneath the  
193 ruminal digesta mat at 4-h intervals for 24 h on d 17 (1430) and d 18 (1830, 2230, 0230, 0630,  
194 and 1030) of each period, and strained through 4 layers of cheesecloth; pH was determined  
195 immediately. A portion of each sample of ruminal fluid (approximately 40 mL) was frozen and

196 stored at -20°C until analysis for VFA concentration (Bulletin 856B; Supelco, Inc., Bellefonte,  
197 PA) by gas chromatography with use of a Varian CP-3800 gas chromatograph (Varian, Inc., Palo  
198 Alto, CA) equipped with a flame-ionization detector and an 80/120 Carbopack B-DA/4%  
199 Carbowax 20M column (Supelco, Inc., Bellefonte, PA). Ten mL of ruminal fluid was added to 1  
200 drop (approximately 100 µL) of concentrated HCl and frozen at -20°C for analysis of ruminal  
201 NH<sub>3</sub>-N concentration according to the procedure of Chaney and Marback (1962).

202 ***Eating and ruminating responses.*** Chewing activity (eating and ruminating) was  
203 monitored using a 5-min scanning interval over a 72-h period (from 1500 h on d 17 to 1500 h on  
204 d 20) by direct visual observation. Total time (min) spent on each activity for each day was  
205 quantified by multiplying the total number of observations for that activity by 5 min. Number of  
206 bouts and the length of bout of eating were calculated. A bout was defined as at least two  
207 consecutive observations of eating behavior not interrupted by more than two observations of a  
208 different behavior using the procedure of Black et al. (2016).

209 ***Nutrient digestibility.*** Total tract digestibility of DM, OM, ADF, NDF, and potentially  
210 digestible NDF (pdNDF) was determined on d 16 to 20 of each test period. Fecal grab samples  
211 were collected on d 17 to 20 for each period so that every 3 h in a 24-h period were represented.  
212 Fecal samples from each cow were composited by combining approximately 100 g of feces from  
213 each time point (n = 8). Samples of diets and orts were collected on d 15 to 21. Samples of diets,  
214 orts, and feces were frozen at -20°C, dried in a forced air oven at 55°C for 48 h, and ground to  
215 pass through a 1-mm screen (Wiley mill; Arthur H. Thomas, Philadelphia, PA). Composite  
216 samples of diets (by period), orts (by cow by period), and feces (by cow by period) were  
217 analyzed for DM, ash, ADF, NDF, and lignin (Cumberland Valley Analytical Services, Inc.,

218 Waynesboro, PA). Undegraded NDF at 120 h (uNDF120) was used as an internal marker and  
219 was quantified as NDF content of diets, orts, and feces samples following an in vitro  
220 fermentation (Ankom Technology Corp., Fairport, NY) in buffered ruminal media (Goering and  
221 Van Soest, 1970) for 120 h. Total tract digestibility was calculated by the ratio technique using  
222 the concentrations of the nutrients and uNDF120 in the diet and feces (Maynard et al., 1979).  
223 The nutrient content of the diet used in the digestibility calculation was adjusted for each cow  
224 based on the nutrient composition of the diet offered and refused.

225 *Rumen digesta measures and NDF and OM turnover.* Ruminal contents were manually  
226 evacuated through the ruminal cannula after daily feeding on d 20 and prior to feeding on d 21  
227 for determination of ruminal content mass and volume. To ensure that cows experienced the  
228 same interval of time between rumen evacuations, cows were divided into two groups of four  
229 cows, each based on square assignment. The first group was evacuated 3.5 h after feeding on d  
230 20 (1830 h) and 3.5 h prior to feeding on d 21 (1130 h). The second group of cows was  
231 evacuated 4.5 h after feeding on d 20 (1930 h) and 4.5 h prior to feeding on d 21 (1030 h).  
232 During evacuation, approximately 10% of ruminal contents were subsampled and squeezed  
233 through a nylon screen (1-mm pore size) to separate solid and liquid phases (Voelker Linton and  
234 Allen, 2008). Each phase was weighed, and aliquots (300 g) from solid and liquid phases were  
235 then stored at -20°C for further analysis. Solid and liquid phase samples were subsequently dried  
236 at 55°C, ground (solids: 1-mm screen; Wiley mill; Arthur H. Thomas, Philadelphia, PA; liquid:  
237 1-mm screen, UDY Cyclone Sample Mill; UDY Corp., Fort Collins, CO), and recombined based  
238 on DM proportion of each phase for analysis of ash (modified method 942.05; AOAC, 1990; 4 h  
239 at 600°C) and NDF.



260 Chemical composition, in vitro NDFD, and particle size distribution of the ingredients are  
261 presented in Tables 2 and 3. The amylase-modified NDF (OM basis; aNDFom) content averaged  
262 36.1 and 34.8% (DM basis) for CON and BM3 corn silage, respectively. The BM3 corn silage  
263 had a 13.5%-unit greater NDFD at 24 h and a 3.4%-unit lower uNDF240om compared to the  
264 CON corn silage (62.1 vs. 48.6% of aNDFom; 7.6 vs. 11.0 % of DM, respectively).

265 The chemical composition, in vitro NDFD, and particle size distribution of the treatment  
266 diets (based on analysis of individual ingredients collected over the course of the study) are  
267 shown in Tables 4, 5, and 6. As expected, the lower NDF diets had a lower NDF and peNDF  
268 concentration than the higher NDF diets. Likewise, the BM3 diets had greater NDFD at 24 h  
269 than the CON diets (61.1 vs. 55.2, % of aNDF). The BM3-LNDF diet had the lowest  
270 uNDF240om content (7.1% of DM) compared to the CON-HNDF diet (10.2% of DM) with the  
271 BM3-HNDF and CON-LNDF diets being intermediate (8.0 and 8.3% of DM, respectively). As a  
272 result of differences in NDF and uNDF240, the BM3 diets had higher pdNDF (i.e., aNDFom –  
273 uNDF240om) compared to the CON diets (77.5 vs. 73.0% of aNDFom). As expected, the starch  
274 content of the lower NDF diets was greater than the higher NDF diets (28.0 vs. 22.5% of DM).

### 275 ***DMI and Lactational Performance***

276 Dry matter intake, nutrient intake, and milk production and composition measures are  
277 presented in Table 7. Cows fed the BM3 corn silage had higher DMI than cows fed the CON  
278 corn silage ( $P = 0.005$ ) whereas cows fed the HNDF diet consumed less DM than cows fed the  
279 LNDF diet ( $P = 0.009$ ). A significant interaction ( $P = 0.02$ ) between NDFD and dietary NDF  
280 content resulted in the HNDF diet reducing DMI with CON corn silage but not with BM3 silage.  
281 Oba and Allen (2000a) had previously reported that cows fed brown midrib-3 corn silage had

282 greater DMI than cows fed conventional corn silage, whether in low (28.9% of DM) or high  
283 (38.0% of DM) NDF diets. Interestingly, in our study, the cows fed the BM3-LNDF diet did not  
284 have greater DMI than cows fed the CON-LNDF diet. The similar DMI for the two LNDF diets  
285 is most likely related to the relatively low uNDF240om content in the CON-LNDF and the BM3-  
286 LNDF diets (8.3 and 7.1% of DM, respectively). Undegradable NDF240om is an in vitro  
287 measure of undegradable NDF and has been related to digestion and passage kinetics, gut fill,  
288 and physical effectiveness (Nousiainen et al., 2003; Cotanch et al., 2014). In contrast, the BM3  
289 corn silage with greater NDFD and lower uNDF240 did allow for greater DMI than CON corn  
290 silage at the higher dietary NDF concentration. The CON-HNDF diet contained 10.2% uNDF240  
291 (% of DM) and this higher concentration in the diet clearly limited DMI. Similarly, with corn  
292 silage-based diets, Smith et al. (2018) observed a reduction in DMI for lactating dairy cows fed a  
293 ration containing 11.5 versus 8.9% uNDF240 (% of DM). With alfalfa-based diets, Fustini et al.  
294 (2017) observed that lower NDFD forage reduced DMI by 20% compared with a higher NDFD  
295 forage in both lower (9.5% of DM) and higher (10.9% of DM) uNDF240 diets. Legumes and  
296 grasses differ markedly in their content of uNDF240 and uNDF240/lignin (Palmonari et al.,  
297 2016; Raffrenato et al. (2018), and it would be expected that differences in DMI and other  
298 lactational responses would be observed when cows are fed diets comprised primarily of corn  
299 silage or alfalfa hay.

300 A new metric that combines dietary particle size (pef) and uNDF240 content has been  
301 related to DMI for corn-silage based diets fed to lactating dairy cows (Miller et al., 2020). This  
302 combination measure, termed peuNDF240, is calculated as the simple product of pef x uNDF240  
303 (Miller et al., 2020). In the present study, DMI tracked reasonably well with peuNDF240,  
304 increasing as peuNDF240 decreased. The peuNDF240 values for the diets were: CON-LNDF,

305 4.6% of DM; CON-HNDF, 6.5% of DM; BM3-LNDF, 4.2% of DM; and BM3-HNDF, 5.0% of  
306 DM.

307 Intake of aNDFom was not affected by source of corn silage ( $P = 0.07$ ), but it was  
308 increased as expected for cows fed the higher NDF diets ( $P = 0.001$ ). However, a significant  
309 interaction ( $P = 0.02$ ) between NDFD and dietary NDF content was observed whereby cows fed  
310 BM3 corn silage consumed more aNDFom on the HNDF versus LNDF diet compared with those  
311 cows fed the CON corn silage. The greater aNDFom intake was due most likely to the higher  
312 NDFD of the BM3 corn silage that allowed for greater DMI despite the higher ration aNDFom  
313 content (Oba and Allen, 2000a). Cows fed the BM3 corn silage consumed less uNDF240om than  
314 cows fed CON corn silage ( $P < 0.001$ ) at both dietary NDF concentrations. Lower dietary NDF  
315 concentration resulted in less uNDF240om intake than the higher dietary NDF content ( $P =$   
316  $0.004$ ). This response in uNDF240om intake reflected the greater uNDF240om and lower  
317 pdNDF content of the CON diets compared to the BM3 diets. Several recent studies suggest that,  
318 when uNDF240om intake exceeds approximately 2.35 kg/d (approximately 10% of ration DM or  
319 0.36% of BW), DMI may become restricted due to gut fill in corn silage-based diets fed to high  
320 producing Holstein cattle (Smith et al., 2018; Coons et al., 2019; Miller et al., 2020). With high  
321 NDFD alfalfa hay-based diets, Fustini et al. (2017) observed greater uNDF240 intake for cows  
322 fed higher uNDF240 (0.48% of BW) than lower uNDF240 diets (0.40% of BW). This  
323 relationship between uNDF240 and DMI warrants further investigation with a greater variety of  
324 diets, forage sources, and stages of lactation.

325 Cows fed the BM3 corn silage had greater milk and ECM production than cows fed the  
326 CON corn silage (Table 7;  $P = 0.005$ ,  $P = 0.02$ ). For either degradability of corn silage, the



327 HNDF diet decreased milk and ECM production ( $P = 0.02$ ,  $P = 0.05$ ). This pattern of milk yield  
328 response generally followed the responses observed in DMI (Table 7). Milk fat percentage was  
329 greater for cows fed the HNDF than LNDF diet ( $P = 0.008$ ), although there was no effect ( $P =$   
330  $0.27$ ) of corn silage NDFD. Output of milk fat was unaffected by either the NDFD ( $P = 0.08$ ) of  
331 the corn silage or the dietary NDF content ( $P = 0.17$ ). The lower milk fat content for cows fed  
332 the LNDF diets was most likely due to more fermentable carbohydrates supplied by both starch  
333 and **degradable** NDF compared with the other HNDF diets. Our results agree with Oba and Allen  
334 (2000a) who reported that cows fed brown midrib-3 corn silage in a lower NDF diet produced  
335 milk with lower fat content compared with cows fed a conventional corn silage in the same lower  
336 NDF diet. In contrast, milk fat content was unaffected by NDFD for high NDF diets (Oba and  
337 Allen, 2000a).

338 A significant interaction between NDFD of corn silage and dietary NDF content was  
339 observed for milk true protein percentage and yield ( $P = 0.05$ ;  $P = 0.04$ ). Cows fed BM3 corn  
340 silage had greater milk protein percentage ( $P < 0.001$ ) and output ( $P < 0.001$ ) than cows fed  
341 CON corn silage, and cows fed the HNDF diets produced less milk protein than cows fed the  
342 LNDF diets ( $P = 0.04$ ). Our results agree with Coons et al. (2019) who reported that cows fed  
343 brown midrib-3 corn silage had greater true protein content and yield compared to cows fed  
344 conventional corn silage. Cows fed the BM3 corn silage had greater milk lactose content ( $P =$   
345  $0.001$ ) and yield ( $P < 0.001$ ) versus cows fed CON corn silage. Likewise, cows fed the LNDF  
346 diets had greater lactose yield than cows fed the HNDF diets ( $P < 0.001$ ). A significant  
347 interaction occurred between NDFD of corn silage and dietary NDF content with the HDF diet  
348 reducing milk lactose more with the CON than BMR corn silage. This response in milk  
349 composition aligns with both Oba and Allen (2000a) and Coons et al. (2019) who reported that

350 cows fed brown midrib-3 corn silage had greater lactose content and yield compared to cows fed  
351 conventional corn silage. A previous study (Miller et al., 2020) with corn silage-based rations  
352 found no effect of uNDF240 on milk fat, true protein, or lactose yield at similar dietary  
353 uNDF240 concentrations (7.8 and 10.6% of DM).

354 Cows fed BM3 corn silage had lower MUN than cows fed CON corn silage ( $P = 0.008$ ).  
355 Similarly, cows fed the LNDF diets had lower MUN than cows fed HNDF diets ( $P = 0.03$ ). Our  
356 results agree with Hassanat et al. (2017) who reported that, when cows were fed brown midrib-3  
357 corn silage, they had lower MUN than cows fed conventional corn silage. The lower MUN and  
358 greater milk protein yield were thought to be related to an increase in AA supply as a result of  
359 enhanced rumen OM fermentability (Hassanat et al., 2017). Feed efficiency, whether expressed  
360 as milk yield per unit of DMI or ECM yield per unit of DMI, was not affected by type of corn  
361 silage or dietary NDF content ( $P > 0.10$ ). Similarly, Hassanat et al. (2017) and Coons et al.  
362 (2019) reported no difference in feed efficiency for cows fed brown midrib-3 or conventional  
363 corn silage. In contrast, Miller et al. (2020) observed greater milk/DMI when cows were fed corn  
364 silage-based rations containing 6.9% versus 8.6% uNDF240. As with DMI and milk yield, the  
365 relationship between uNDF240, NDFD, and feed efficiency requires further research with  
366 multiple sources of NDF.

### 367 *Rumen pH and VFA*

368 Rumen pH and VFA data are presented in Table 8. Cows fed the CON-HNDF diet had a  
369 greater ( $P_{\text{RG3}} = 0.02$ ) mean ruminal pH and maximum pH ( $P = 0.03$ ) compared with cows fed the  
370 BM3-LNDF diet, with CON-LNDF and BM3-HNDF being intermediate (6.17 vs. 6.00 vs. 6.08;  
371 6.68 vs. 6.52 vs. 6.59, respectively). The lower ruminal pH observed for cows fed the BM3 diets

372 was likely related to more fermentable corn silage for the BM3 versus CON. Similarly, Oba and  
373 Allen (2000a) reported that cows fed brown midrib corn silage had a lower mean ruminal pH  
374 than cows fed a conventional corn silage diet even when starch content was similar between the  
375 two diets. However, Hassanat et al. (2017) reported no difference in mean ruminal pH and  
376 maximum pH for cows fed brown midrib-3 or conventional corn silage although both diets had  
377 similar starch content. The reason for these differences among the studies is not clear, although it  
378 may be due to differences in dietary starch and NDF content. For example, the dietary starch  
379 content for Oba and Allen (2000a) averaged approximately 37.5% of ration DM whereas the  
380 starch content was only approximately 17% for Hassanat et al. (2017). The dietary starch content  
381 for our diets was intermediate relative to these two previous studies and ranged between 21.2 and  
382 28.0% of DM. Differences among the diets in ruminal pH were manifested primarily in mean  
383 and maximum pH since the standard deviation of pH, minimum pH, range, and measures of sub-  
384 acute rumen acidosis (SARA; AUC < 5.8, pH < 5.5, min/d, pH < 5.8, min/d) were not affected  
385 by type of corn silage or dietary NDF content ( $P > 0.05$ ).

386 Rumen VFA data are presented in Table 9. The interactions of time of rumen fluid  
387 collection relative to feeding among treatments were not statistically significant ( $P > 0.10$ ) for all  
388 measures. However, time was significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) and this response was expected because  
389 substrate availability and digestion fluctuate throughout the day and are influenced by meal bouts  
390 (Dijkstra et al., 2012<sup>[RG4]</sup>).

391 Cows fed the BM3 corn silage had greater total VFA concentration than cows fed CON  
392 corn silage ( $P = 0.008$ ). The greater VFA concentration reflected greater DMI and more  
393 fermentable corn silage for the cows fed the BM3 diets compared to the cows fed the CON diets

394 at either dietary NDF content. Likewise, Oba and Allen (2000a) reported that cows fed a higher  
395 NDF diet (i.e., 37.5% of dietary DM) containing brown midrib-3 corn silage had greater total  
396 ruminal VFA concentration than cows fed a higher NDF diet with conventional corn silage.

397 Acetate, butyrate, valerate, and isovalerate molar percentages were not affected by NDFD  
398 of corn silage or dietary NDF content ( $P > 0.05$ ). In contrast to molar percentage of acetate, cows  
399 fed the BM3 and LNDF diets had greater propionate percentage ( $P = 0.004$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ) than  
400 cows fed the CON corn silage or the LNDF diets. This response was most likely because cows  
401 fed the BM3 and LNDF diets had greater DMI and these diets contained more starch and  
402 fermentable OM than the CON or HNDF diets. Our results are in accordance with Oba and Allen  
403 (2000a) who reported greater propionate concentration for cows fed a low NDF diet with greater  
404 starch content compared to cows fed a higher NDF diet with lower starch content. As a result of  
405 the changes in propionate, cows fed the BM3 corn silage had lower acetate-to-propionate ratios  
406 than cows fed CON corn silage ( $P = 0.005$ ). Additionally, cows fed the HNDF diets had greater  
407 acetate-to-propionate ratios than cows fed the LNDF diets ( $P < 0.001$ ). The change in acetate-to-  
408 propionate ratio reflects the increased propionate percentage due to cows consuming more DM  
409 and fermentable carbohydrates, particularly starch. Oba and Allen (2000a) also reported lower  
410 acetate-to-propionate ratio for cows fed a lower NDF diet containing more starch.

#### 411 ***Chewing Behavior and Total Tract Nutrient Digestibility***

412 Chewing behavior data are presented in Table 10. There was no interaction between  
413 NDFD of corn silage and dietary NDF content for eating time or eating time per kilogram of DM  
414 or aNDFom intake ( $P = 0.73$ ,  $P = 0.10$ ,  $P = 0.18$ ). Cows fed the HNDF diet spent more time  
415 eating than cows fed the LNDF diet and cows fed the BM3 corn silage spent less time eating

416 than cows fed the CON corn silage. The increased eating time reflected the greater aNDFom,  
417 uNDF240om, and peNDF content for the HNDF diets versus the LNDF diets and the CON  
418 versus the BM3 corn silages. In fact, it took cows fed the CON-HNDF diet approximately 50  
419 min more per day to consume 2.5 kg/d less DM than cows fed the BM3-LNDF diet. Within a  
420 level of dietary NDF, cows fed BM3 versus CON corn silage spent approximately 20 to 30 min/d  
421 less time eating. Overall, it seems clear that dietary NDF content, and specifically the relative  
422 proportion of uNDF240 and degradable NDF, influences eating time for corn silage-based diets.  
423 Oba and Allen (2000b) reported that high NDF diets elicited longer eating times compared with  
424 low NDF diets, and the source of corn silage did not have an effect. Grant and Ferraretto (2018)  
425 published a review that concluded that diets with greater NDF, uNDF240, and peNDF can all  
426 result in cows spending more time to consume feed versus diets with lower and more degradable  
427 peNDF.

428 Similar to eating time, there was no interaction between NDFD of corn silage and dietary  
429 NDF content on rumination time or rumination time per kilogram of DM or aNDFom intake ( $P =$   
430  $0.17$ ,  $P = 0.89$ ,  $P = 0.66$ ). Cows fed the HNDF diets ruminated more than cows fed LNDF diets,  
431 although NDFD of corn silage did not have a significant effect on rumination time ( $P = 0.08$ ).  
432 Similarly, Oba and Allen (2000b) reported that cows fed high NDF diets spent more time  
433 ruminating than cows fed low NDF diets. Cows fed the HNDF diets had greater ( $P < 0.001$ )  
434 rumination time, expressed as minutes per kilogram of DM, compared to cows fed the LNDF  
435 diets. The greater rumination time was due to greater forage NDF in the high-NDF compared to  
436 the LNDF diet. Meal length and bouts were not different among treatments ( $P > 0.10$ ). Similarly,  
437 Miller et al. (2020) reported that meal length and bouts were unaffected by feeding diets  
438 containing either brown midrib-3 corn or conventional corn silage.

439 Total-tract digestibility of DM, OM, ADF, aNDFom, and pdNDF is presented in Table  
440 11. There was a significant interaction ( $P = 0.02$ ,  $P = 0.03$ ) between NDFD of corn silage and  
441 dietary NDF content for DM and OM total-tract digestibility. The reduction in total-tract DM  
442 and OM digestibility when HNDF diets were fed was greater for cows fed the CON corn silage  
443 than BM3 corn silage. This digestibility response may be attributed to the uNDF240om content  
444 of the diets because the CON-HNDF diet had 2.4%-unit greater uNDF240om content than the  
445 BM3 and CON-LNDF diets. Our results agree with Miller et al. (2020) who reported that cows  
446 fed diets containing brown midrib-3 corn silage had greater total tract degradability of DM and  
447 OM compared to cows fed diets containing conventional corn silage. They also reported a 1.7%-  
448 unit difference in uNDF240om between brown midrib-3 and conventional corn silage diets  
449 (Miller et al., 2020).

450 A significant interaction between type of corn silage and dietary NDF content was  
451 observed for ADF and aNDFom total-tract degradability ( $P = 0.03$ ,  $P = 0.02$ ). The reduction in  
452 total-tract degradability of ADF and aNDFom for cows fed HNDF diets was greater for CON  
453 than for BM3 corn silage. The increased total tract degradability of ADF and aNDFom was  
454 likely due to greater fiber degradability and lower uNDF240om content of the BM3 corn silage  
455 in high NDF diets. Similarly, Ebling and Kung (2004) reported that cows fed brown midrib-3  
456 corn silage-based diets had greater total tract degradability of ADF and NDF compared with  
457 cows fed conventional corn silage diets.

458 Oba and Allen (2000c) reported that cows fed high NDF diets had greater total tract  
459 degradability of NDF compared to cows fed low NDF concentration diets. The discrepancy  
460 between Oba and Allen (2000c) and our study could be due to differences in ruminal pH as our

461 lower NDF diets supported a mean ruminal pH of 6.05 and only 6.6 h/d with pH less than 5.8,  
462 whereas their low NDF diets elicited a mean ruminal pH of 5.70 and 13.6 h/d with pH less than  
463 5.8<sup>[RG5]</sup>. Grant and Mertens (1992) and Krajcarski-Hunt et al. (2002) reported that low ruminal pH  
464 increased the time for fiber degradation to initiate, slowed the rate of fiber degradation, and  
465 decreased the extent of fiber degradation. Total tract degradability of pdNDF was not affected ( $P$   
466  $> 0.05$ ) by NDFD of corn silage or dietary NDF content and averaged approximately 73%. In  
467 contrast to our study with corn silage-based diets, Fustini et al. (2017) observed a reduction in  
468 pdNDF degradability for cows fed high versus low uNDF240 diets based on alfalfa hay. It is  
469 possible that the difference in total-tract pdNDF degradability is related to the intrinsic  
470 differences between corn silage and alfalfa in uNDF240 and uNDF240/lignin content (Palmonari  
471 et al., 2016; Raffrenato et al., 2018).

#### 472 *Ruminal Digesta Pools and Turnover*

473 Ruminal digesta characteristics, pools, and turnover are presented in Table 12. There was  
474 no interaction ( $P > 0.05$ ) of NDFD of corn silage with dietary NDF content for any measure of  
475 rumen digesta characteristics, pool size, or turnover. Cows fed BM3 diets had lower ruminal  
476 digesta volume ( $P = 0.003$ ) and mass ( $P = 0.009$ ) than cows fed CON corn silage. Cows fed the  
477 HNDF diet had greater ruminal digesta mass than cows fed the LNDF diets ( $P = 0.04$ ). The cows  
478 fed the BM3 and LNDF diets were able to obtain the required nutrient supply from a smaller  
479 ruminal mass and this response was supported by greater total-tract digestibility of DM, OM, and  
480 NDF compared to cows fed the CON diet. Despite differences in digesta mass and volume,  
481 ruminal particulate digesta density was not different among treatments ( $P > 0.05$ ).

482 The rumen OM pool was not different among treatments ( $P > 0.05$ ). However, cows fed  
483 the higher NDFD corn silage had greater aNDFom pool size than cows fed lower NDFD corn  
484 silage ( $P = 0.05$ ). Additionally, HNDF diets resulted in greater pool size of aNDFom than LNDF  
485 diet ( $P = 0.03$ ). Cows fed the BM3 diets had faster turnover rate ( $P = 0.002$ ) and shorter ( $P$   
486  $=0.003$ ) turnover time of OM than cows fed the CON diets. The faster turnover rate observed for  
487 the BM3 diets was likely due to increased NDFD compared to CON corn silage. Likewise, Oba  
488 and Allen (2000b) reported that cows fed brown midrib-3 corn silage diets had faster OM  
489 turnover rate compared to cows fed conventional corn silage diets.

490 The cows fed the CON diet had a greater ( $P < 0.001$ ) ruminal aNDFom pool than cows  
491 fed the BM3 diet. Similarly, cows fed the BM3 diet had faster turnover rate ( $P < 0.001$ ) and  
492 shorter ( $P = 0.004$ ) turnover time of aNDFom than the cows fed the CON diets. Interestingly, the  
493 ruminal aNDFom pool size was similar between CON and BM3 corn silage in the high NDF  
494 diets, but the turnover rate and time were different. The BM3 corn silage in the higher NDF diet  
495 allowed for greater DMI, faster NDF turnover rate, and shorter turnover time relative to the CON  
496 corn silage in the other high NDF diet. Greater fiber degradability and lower undegradable fiber  
497 in the BM3 corn silage likely drove these results. Similarly, Oba and Allen (2000b) reported that  
498 cows fed brown midrib-3 corn silage diet had faster NDF turnover rate compared to cows fed a  
499 conventional corn silage diet. Despite having lower total tract digestibility of OM and NDF,  
500 cows fed the CON-HNDF diet had slower ruminal turnover rate and longer retention times.

## 501 CONCLUSIONS

502 The objective of the study was to determine the effects of NDFD of corn silage fed at  
503 lower or higher dietary inclusion. Higher forage diets (i.e.,  $>60\%$  of DM) require higher NDFD



504 (>60% of NDF) and lower uNDF240 (<10% of ration DM) to avoid reductions in DMI and milk  
505 yield. Higher NDF diet with lower NDFD resulted in depressed DMI and milk yield associated  
506 with greater levels of ruminal fill, longer ruminal OM and NDF retention time, and nearly an  
507 hour more eating time than a higher forage diet with greater NDFD. Higher forage NDFD and  
508 lower uNDF240 allowed for greater NDF intake. Because ECM yield was reduced with the  
509 higher NDF diets, but much less so for the BM3 corn silage, we concluded that forage NDF in  
510 higher forage diets may support similar milk production when forage NDFD is high (i.e., >60%  
511 of NDF). Gut fill limitations may occur for corn silage-based diets when dietary uNDF240  
512 content exceeds approximately 10% of ration DM.

513

514

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

515 We want to thank the farm and research staff at the William H. Miner Agricultural  
516 Research Institute for the care of the cows during this study.

517

#### **REFERENCES**

518 Allen, M. S., and D. R. Mertens. 1988. Evaluating constraints on fiber digestion by rumen  
519 microbes. *J. Nutr.* 118:261-270.

520 AOAC International. 1990. *Official Methods of Analysis*. 15th ed. AOAC International,  
521 Arlington, VA.

522 AOAC International. 2000. *Official Methods of Analysis*. 17th ed. AOAC International,  
523 Arlington, VA.

524 AOAC International. 2006. Official Methods of Analysis. 18th ed. AOAC International,  
525 Arlington, VA.

526 Beauchemin, K. A., and W. Z. Yang. 2005. Effects of physically effective fiber on intake,  
527 chewing activity, and ruminal acidosis for dairy cows fed diets based on corn silage. *J.*  
528 *Dairy Sci.* 88:2117-2129.

529 Black, R. A., R. J. Grant, and P. D. Krawczel. 2016. Short communication: Short-term changes  
530 in stocking density did not alter meal characteristics of lactating Holstein dairy cattle. *J.*  
531 *Dairy Sci.* 99:6572-6577.

532 Chaney, A. L., and E. P. Marbach. 1962. Modified reagents for determination of urea and  
533 ammonia. *Clin. Chem.* 8:130-132.

534 Coons, E. M., S. M. Fredin, K. W. Cotanch, H. M. Dann, C. S. Ballard, J. P. Brouillette, and R.  
535 J. Grant. 2019. Influence of a novel bm3 corn silage hybrid with floury kernel genetics on  
536 lactational performance and feed efficiency of Holstein cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 102:9814-  
537 9826.

538 Cotanch, K. W., R. J. Grant, M. E. Van Amburgh, A. Zontini, M. Fustini, A. Palmonari, and A.  
539 Formigoni. 2014. Applications of uNDF in ration modeling and formulation. Pages 114-  
540 131 in *Proc. 2014 Cornell Nutr. Conf. for Feed Manufacturers.* October 21-23. Syracuse,  
541 NY.

542 Dijkstra, J., J. L. Ellis, E. Kebreab, A. B. Strathe, S. Lopez, J. France, and A. Bannink. 2012.  
543 Ruminal pH regulation and nutritional consequences of low pH. *Anim. Feed Sci.*  
544 *Technol.* 172:22-33.

545 Ebling, T. L., and L. Kung Jr. 2004. A comparison of processed conventional corn silage to  
546 unprocessed and processed brown midrib corn silage on intake, digestion, and milk  
547 production by dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 87:2519-2527.

548 Ferguson, J. D., D. T. Galligan, and N. Thomsen. 1994. Principal descriptors of body condition  
549 score in Holstein cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 77:2695-2703.

550 Fustini, M., A. Palmonari, G. Canestrari, E. Bonfante, L. Mammi, M. T. Pacchioli, C. J. Sniffen,  
551 R. J. Grant, K. W. Cotanch, and A. Formigoni. 2017. Effect of undigested neutral  
552 detergent fiber content of alfalfa hay on lactating dairy cows: Feeding behavior, fiber  
553 digestibility, and lactation performance. *J. Dairy Sci.* 100:4475-4483.

554 Goering, H. K., and P. J. Van Soest. 1970. Forage Fiber Analysis: Apparatus, Reagents,  
555 Procedures, and Some Applications. Agric. Handbook No. 379. Agricultural Research  
556 Service, USDA, Washington. DC.

557 Grant, R. J., and L. F. Ferraretto. 2018. Silage feeding management: Silage characteristics and  
558 dairy cow feeding behavior. *J. Dairy Sci.* 101:4111-4121.

559 Grant, R. J., and D. R. Mertens. 1992. Influence of buffer pH and raw corn starch addition on in  
560 vitro fiber digestion kinetics. *J. Dairy Sci.* 75:2762-2768.

561 Hassanat, F., R. Gervais, and C. Benchaar. 2017. Methane production, ruminal fermentation  
562 characteristics, nutrient digestibility, nitrogen excretion, and milk production of dairy  
563 cows fed conventional or brown midrib corn silage. *J. Dairy Sci.* 100:2625-2636.

564 Hristov, A. N., M. T. Harper, G. Roth, C. Canale, P. Huhtanen, T. L. Richard, and K. DiMarco.  
565 2020. Effects of ensiling time on corn silage neutral detergent fiber degradability and

566 relationship between laboratory fiber analyses and in vivo digestibility. *J. Dairy Sci.*  
567 103:2333-2346.

568 Krajcarski-Hunt, H., J. C. Plaizier, J. P. Walton, R. Spratt, and B. W. McBride. 2002. Short  
569 communication: effect of subacute ruminal acidosis on in situ fiber digestion in lactating  
570 dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 85:570-573.

571 Martin, N. P., M. P. Russelle, J. M. Powell, C. J. Sniffen, S. I. Smith, J. M. Tricario, and R. J.  
572 Grant. 2017. Invited review: Sustainable forage and grain crop production for the US  
573 dairy industry. *J. Dairy Sci.* 100:9479-9494.

574 Maynard, L. A., J. K. Loosli, H. F. Hintz, and R. G. Warner. 1979. Digestive processes in different  
575 species. Pages 21-46 in *Animal Nutrition*. McGraw-Hill, Inc, New York, NY.

576 Mertens, D. R. 1997. Creating a system for meeting the fiber requirements of dairy cows. *J. Dairy*  
577 *Sci.* 80:1463-1481.

578 Miller, M. D., J. S. Lanier, S. K. Kvidera, H. M. Dann, C. S. Ballard, and R. J. Grant. 2020.  
579 Evaluation of source of corn silage and trace minerals on lactational performance and total  
580 tract nutrient digestibility of Holstein cows. *J. Dairy. Sci.* 103:3147-3160.

581 Miller, M. D., W. A. Smith, and R. J. Grant. 2020. Relationship of undigested and physically  
582 effective neutral detergent fiber with dry matter intake and energy-corrected milk yield of  
583 Holstein cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 103 (Suppl. 1):279(Abstr.)

584 Nousiainen, J., M. Rinne, and P. Huhtanen. 2009. A meta-analysis of feed digestion in dairy  
585 cows. 1. The effects of forage and concentrate factors on total diet digestibility. *J. Dairy*  
586 *Sci.* 92:5019-5030.

587 Nousiainen, J., M. Rinne, M. Hellamaki, and P. Huhtanen. 2003. Prediction of the digestibility of  
588 the primary growth of grass silages harvested at different stages of maturity from  
589 chemical composition and pepsin-cellulase solubility. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 103:97-  
590 111.

591 Oba, M., and M. S. Allen. 1999a. Effects of brown midrib 3 mutation in corn silage on dry  
592 matter intake and productivity of high yielding dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 82:135-142.

593 Oba, M., and M. S. Allen. 1999b. Evaluation of the importance of NDF digestibility: effects on  
594 dry matter intake and milk yield of dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 82:589–596.

595 Oba, M., and M. S. Allen. 2000a. Effects of brown midrib 3 mutation in corn silage on  
596 productivity of dairy cows fed two concentration of dietary neutral detergent fiber: 1.  
597 feeding behavior and nutrient utilization. *J. Dairy Sci.* 83:1333-1341.

598 Oba, M., and M. S. Allen. 2000b. Effects of brown midrib 3 mutation in corn silage on  
599 productivity of dairy cows fed two concentration of dietary neutral detergent fiber: 2.  
600 chewing activities. *J. Dairy Sci.* 83:1342-1349.

601 Oba, M., and M. S. Allen. 2000c. Effects of brown midrib 3 mutation in corn silage on  
602 productivity of dairy cows fed two concentration of dietary neutral detergent fiber: 3.  
603 digestibility and microbial efficiency. *J. Dairy Sci.* 83:1350-1358.

604 Palmonari, A., A. Gallo, M. Fustini, G. Canestrari, F. Masoero, C. J. Sniffen, and A.  
605 Formigoni. 2016. Estimation of the indigestible fiber in different forage types. *J. Anim.*  
606 *Sci.* 94:248-254.

607 Penner, G. B., K. A. Beauchemin, and T. Mutsvangwa. 2006. An evaluation of the accuracy and  
608 precision of a stand-alone submersible continuous ruminal pH measurement system. *J.*  
609 *Dairy Sci.* 89:2132-2140.

610 Raffrenato, E., D. A. Ross, and M. E. Van Amburgh. 2018. Development of an in vitro method to  
611 determine rumen undigested aNDFom for use in feed evaluation. *J. Dairy Sci.* 101:9888-  
612 9900.

613 Shook, G. E. 1993. Genetic improvement of mastitis through selection on somatic cell count.  
614 *Vet. Clin. North Am. Food Anim. Pract.* 3:563-581.

615 Smith, W. A., K. Ishida, J. W. Darrah, H. M. Dann, C. S. Ballard, M. D. Miller, and R. J. Grant.  
616 2018. Effects of dietary undigested and physically effective neutral detergent fiber on dry  
617 matter intake, milk yield and composition, and chewing behavior of lactating dairy cows.  
618 *J. Dairy Sci.* 101(Suppl. 2):350(Abstr.).

619 Tyrrell, H. F., and J. T. Reid. 1965. Prediction of the energy value of cow's milk. *J. Dairy Sci.*  
620 48:1215-1223.

621 Voelker Linton, J. A., and M. S. Allen. 2008. Nutrient demand interacts with forage family to  
622 affect intake and digestion responses in dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 91:2694-2701.

623

624

625

626

627

628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636

637 **Table 1.** Ingredient composition of diets (% of DM) containing either conventional corn silage  
638 (CON) or brown midrib corn silage (BM3) with lower or higher NDF concentration fed to  
639 lactating Holstein cows

Item	CON		BM3	
	Low NDF	High NDF	Low NDF	High NDF
Conventional corn silage (CON)	39.25	54.95	-	-
Brown midrib corn silage (BM3)	-	-	36.11	50.24
Haycrop silage	13.35	13.35	13.34	13.34
Corn meal	17.27	1.57	20.41	6.28
Grain mix	30.13	30.13	30.14	30.14
Canola meal	6.21	6.21	6.32	6.32
Soybean meal	5.43	5.43	4.09	4.09
Soybean hulls	3.31	3.31	5.07	5.07
Corn germ meal	3.31	3.31	3.17	3.17
Distillers dried grains with solubles	1.55	1.55	0.00	0.00
Wheat red dog	0.00	0.00	1.43	1.43
Wheat middlings	0.83	0.83	0.63	0.63
Dextrose	1.15	1.15	0.99	0.99
Cane molasses	1.00	1.00	0.63	0.63
AminoPlus <sup>1</sup>	1.66	1.66	1.59	1.59
Blood meal	0.83	0.83	0.79	0.79

Urea	0.23	0.23	0.40	0.40
Optigen <sup>2</sup>	0.23	0.23	0.24	0.24
Smartamine M <sup>3</sup>	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
Rumen inert fat <sup>4</sup>	0.83	0.83	1.26	1.26
Fat mixer <sup>4</sup>	0.41	0.41	0.40	0.40
Calcium carbonate	1.27	1.27	1.21	1.21
Sodium sesquicarbonate	0.75	0.75	0.72	0.72
Sodium chloride	0.39	0.39	0.37	0.37
Magnesium oxide	0.18	0.18	0.14	0.14
Potassium CH5O <sup>4</sup>	0.17	0.17	0.34	0.34
Dicalcium phosphate	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.15
Potassium bicarbonate	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.00
Trace minerals <sup>5</sup>	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
Organic trace minerals <sup>6</sup>	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
Organic selenium <sup>7</sup>	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
Vitamins A, D, and E <sup>8</sup>	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
Vitamin E <sup>9</sup>	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Biotin, 1%	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Rumensin <sup>10</sup>	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01

640 <sup>1</sup>Ag Processing Inc., Omaha, NE.

641 <sup>2</sup>Alltech, Nicholasville, KY.

642 <sup>3</sup>Addissee USA, Inc., Alpharetta, GA.

643 <sup>4</sup>Cargill, Inc., Minneapolis, MN. Rumen inert fat is a blend of C16:0 and C18:0 fatty acids;  
644 mixer fat is tallow-based and used to aid grain mixing process.

645 <sup>5</sup>Contained 0.02% Ca, 18.82% S, 153,737 mg Zn/kg, 30,303 mg Cu/kg, 136,364 mg Mn/kg,  
646 3,384 mg Co/kg, and 3,030 mg I/kg.

647 <sup>6</sup>Contained 51,633 mg Zn/kg, 17,959 mg Cu/kg, 28,571 mg Mn/kg, and 3,673 mg Co/kg.

648 <sup>7</sup>Contained 2,041 mg Se/kg.

649 <sup>8</sup>Contained 30,492 kIU vitamin A/kg, 5,867 kIU vitamin D/kg, and 93,980 IU vitamin E/kg.

650 <sup>9</sup>Contained 44,639 IU vitamin E/kg.

651 <sup>10</sup>Elanco, Greenfield, IN.

652



653 **Table 2.** Data (mean  $\pm$  standard error<sub>REG</sub>) characterizing the analyzed chemical composition of ingredients used in diets containing  
654 either conventional corn silage (CON) or brown midrib corn silage (BM3) with lower or higher NDF concentration fed to lactating  
655 Holstein cows

Item	CON corn silage	BM3 corn silage	Haycrop silage	Corn meal	CON grain mix <sup>1</sup>	BM3 grain mix <sup>2</sup>
Composite samples, n	4	4	4	4	4	4
DM, %	37.1 $\pm$ 0.4	36.4 $\pm$ 1.0	36.5 $\pm$ 1.2	90.9 $\pm$ 0.7	91.6 $\pm$ 0.4	92.6 $\pm$ 1.0
CP, % of DM	7.2 $\pm$ 0.1	7.9 $\pm$ 0.2	18.2 $\pm$ 0.7	8.4 $\pm$ 0.1	36.4 $\pm$ 0.8	34.1 $\pm$ 0.7
Soluble protein, % of CP	59.9 $\pm$ 1.0	59.4 $\pm$ 0.9	65.0 $\pm$ 1.8	17.0 $\pm$ 1.6	24.6 $\pm$ 2.2	29.1 $\pm$ 1.9
Ammonia, % of CP	16.9 $\pm$ 0.5	17.7 $\pm$ 0.3	15.8 $\pm$ 0.7	-	-	-
ADF, % of DM	23.5 $\pm$ 1.1	24.4 $\pm$ 0.3	33.8 $\pm$ 0.5	4.2 $\pm$ 0.4	12.8 $\pm$ 0.4	14.6 $\pm$ 0.3
aNDFom <sup>3</sup> , % of DM	36.1 $\pm$ 1.2	34.8 $\pm$ 1.6	46.2 $\pm$ 0.6	-	-	-
24-h aNDFom <b>degradability</b> , % of aNDFom	48.6 $\pm$ 0.8	62.1 $\pm$ 1.0	57.7 $\pm$ 0.8	-	-	-
<b>Undegradable</b> NDFom at 240 h, % of DM	11.0 $\pm$ 0.3	7.6 $\pm$ 0.2	14.0 $\pm$ 0.5	-	-	-
Potentially <b>degradable</b> NDF, % of aNDFom	69.5 $\pm$ 0.9	78.1 $\pm$ 0.6	69.7 $\pm$ 1.1	-	-	-
ADL, % of DM	3.0 $\pm$ <0.1	2.4 $\pm$ 0.2	5.1 $\pm$ 0.3	1.3 $\pm$ <0.1	3.4 $\pm$ 0.4	3.6 $\pm$ 0.3
Starch, % of DM	36.0 $\pm$ 0.8	34.5 $\pm$ 1.0	1.3 $\pm$ 0.2	72.7 $\pm$ 0.5	8.1 $\pm$ 0.4	10.1 $\pm$ 0.7
Sugar (ESC <sup>4</sup> ), % of DM	1.0 $\pm$ 0.1	1.0 $\pm$ 0.1	2.2 $\pm$ 0.5	3.0 $\pm$ <0.1	11.4 $\pm$ 0.2	9.7 $\pm$ 0.5
Crude fat, % of DM	3.1 $\pm$ 0.1	3.3 $\pm$ 0.2	3.8 $\pm$ 0.2	3.3 $\pm$ 0.2	6.1 $\pm$ 0.3	6.7 $\pm$ 0.4
Ash, % of DM	4.4 $\pm$ 0.1	5.0 $\pm$ 0.1	10.1 $\pm$ 0.3	1.4 $\pm$ 0.1	14.5 $\pm$ 0.4	13.9 $\pm$ 0.6
Ca, % of DM	0.25 $\pm$ 0.02	0.29 $\pm$ 0.01	1.07 $\pm$ 0.04	0.03 $\pm$ 0.00	2.53 $\pm$ 0.11	2.46 $\pm$ 0.22
P, % of DM	0.21 $\pm$ 0.00	0.24 $\pm$ 0.00	0.36 $\pm$ 0.03	0.30 $\pm$ 0.02	0.76 $\pm$ 0.02	0.71 $\pm$ 0.01
Mg, % of DM	0.22 $\pm$ 0.00	0.17 $\pm$ 0.00	0.29 $\pm$ 0.01	0.12 $\pm$ 0.00	0.82 $\pm$ 0.03	0.81 $\pm$ 0.04
K, % of DM	0.74 $\pm$ 0.03	1.02 $\pm$ 0.05	2.92 $\pm$ 0.23	0.35 $\pm$ 0.01	1.67 $\pm$ 0.04	1.62 $\pm$ 0.05
S, % of DM	0.11 $\pm$ 0.01	0.15 $\pm$ 0.02	0.26 $\pm$ 0.02	0.10 $\pm$ 0.01	0.62 $\pm$ 0.02	0.76 $\pm$ 0.08
Na, % of DM	0.01 $\pm$ 0.00	0.01 $\pm$ 0.00	0.05 $\pm$ 0.01	0.02 $\pm$ 0.00	1.45 $\pm$ 0.05	1.37 $\pm$ 0.07
Cl ion, % of DM	0.23 $\pm$ 0.00	0.2 $\pm$ 0.01	0.6 $\pm$ 0.09	0.06 $\pm$ 0.00	1.06 $\pm$ 0.03	0.91 $\pm$ 0.01
Fe, mg/kg	256 $\pm$ 10	492 $\pm$ 45	195 $\pm$ 9	29 $\pm$ 2	493 $\pm$ 13	460 $\pm$ 5
Cu, mg/kg	8 $\pm$ 1	12 $\pm$ 1	12 $\pm$ 1	3 $\pm$ 1	50 $\pm$ 5	62 $\pm$ 4

Mn, mg/kg	43 ± 1	28 ± 1	41 ± 2	9 ± 1	162 ± 10	222 ± 10
Zn, mg/kg	34 ± 1	29 ± 3	31 ± 2	26 ± 3	241 ± 18	243 ± 17
Lactic acid, % of DM	4.10 ± 0.32	6.03 ± 0.23	9.00 ± 1.30	-	-	-
Acetic acid, % of DM	3.20 ± 0.29	3.37 ± 0.12	2.90 ± 0.10	-	-	-
Propionic acid, % of DM	0.16 ± 0.04	0.28 ± 0.01	0.20 ± 0.00	-	-	-
Butyric acid, % of DM	-	-	0.3 ± 0.0	-	-	-
Total VFA, % of DM	7.5 ± 0.1	9.7 ± 0.2	12.3 ± 1.3	-	-	-
pH	3.9 ± <0.1	3.8 ± <0.1	4.4 ± 0.1	-	-	-

656 <sup>1</sup>Grain mix utilized in diets containing conventional corn silage (CON).

657 <sup>2</sup>Grain mix utilized in diets containing BM3 corn silage (BM3).

658 <sup>3</sup>Amylase-modified NDF on an OM basis.

659 <sup>4</sup>Ethanol-soluble carbohydrates.

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681 **Table 3.** Particle size distribution, physical effectiveness factor (pef), and physically effective NDF (peNDF) of ingredients (mean ±  
 682 standard deviation) used in diets containing either conventional corn silage (CON) or brown midrib corn silage (BM3) with lower or  
 683 higher NDF concentration fed to lactating Holstein cows

Item	CON corn silage	BM3 corn silage	Haycrop silage	Corn meal	CON grain mix <sup>1</sup>	BM3 grain mix <sup>2</sup>
Composite samples, n	4	4	4	4	4	4
Particle size distribution, % of DM						
>19.00 mm	0.3 ± 0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	0.7 ± 0.1	-	-	-
13.20 to 19.00 mm	1.1 ± 0.2	0.8 ± 0.1	1.4 ± 0.5	-	-	-
9.50 to 13.20 mm	4.6 ± 0.4	3.9 ± 0.5	2.1 ± 0.7	-	-	-
6.70 to 9.50 mm	17.6 ± 0.2	14.1 ± 1.3	4.7 ± 0.5	0.1 ± <0.1	-	0.1 ± <0.1
4.75 to 6.70 mm	22.1 ± 0.7	22.3 ± 0.3	5.9 ± 0.5	0.1 ± <0.1	0.1 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.1
3.35 to 4.75 mm	22.4 ± 0.6	23.0 ± 0.6	9.9 ± 0.7	0.1 ± <0.1	0.2 ± <0.1	0.2 ± 0.1
2.36 to 3.35 mm	13.0 ± 0.5	13.9 ± 1.0	15.7 ± 0.9	0.7 ± 0.4	0.8 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.2
1.18 to 2.36 mm	10.6 ± 0.3	12.7 ± 0.6	38.3 ± 3.2	15.1 ± 6.3	15.6 ± 0.6	15.1 ± 1.6
0.60 to 1.18 mm	4.8 ± 0.2	5.4 ± <0.1	15.3 ± 0.6	31.2 ± 1.4	34.2 ± 0.2	32.1 ± 0.3
0.30 to 0.60 mm	2.3 ± 0.1	2.6 ± <0.1	4.2 ± 0.3	31.7 ± 2.9	33.2 ± 0.2	32.3 ± 0.9
<0.30 mm	1.5 ± 0.2	1.4 ± 0.1	1.8 ± 0.1	20.9 ± 3.9	16.0 ± 0.6	19.1 ± 1.6
pef <sup>3</sup>	0.92 ± <0.01	0.91 ± <0.01	0.79 ± 0.01	0.16 ± 0.07	0.17 ± <0.01	0.16 ± 0.02
peNDF <sup>4</sup> , % of DM	35.8 ± 0.8	36.4 ± 0.4	36.6 ± 0.1	1.8 ± 0.8	3.8 ± 0.0	3.9 ± 0.4

684 <sup>1</sup>Grain mix utilized in diets containing conventional corn silage (CON).

685 <sup>2</sup>Grain mix utilized in diets containing BM3 corn silage (BM3).

686 <sup>3</sup>physical effectiveness factor, % of DM retained on ≥1.18 mm with dry sieving; based on methods and assumptions in Mertens  
 687 (1997).

688 <sup>4</sup>pef x NDF (Mertens, 1997).

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696 **Table 4.** Calculated composition (**mean ± standard deviation**) based on chemical analysis of  
 697 individual ingredients of diets containing either conventional corn silage (CON) or brown midrib  
 698 corn silage (BM3) with lower or higher NDF concentration fed to lactating Holstein cows

Item	CON		BM3	
	Low NDF	High NDF	Low NDF	High NDF
Composite samples, n	4	4	4	4
DM, %	52.2 ± 0.8	45.8 ± 0.5	52.8 ± 1.3	47.0 ± 0.8
CP, % of DM	17.0 ± 0.1	17.0 ± 0.1	16.7 ± 0.3	16.7 ± 0.1
Soluble protein, % of CP	34.5 ± 1.0	37.6 ± 1.8	37.6 ± 2.1	40.0 ± 1.1
ADF, % of DM	19.4 ± 0.4	23.0 ± 0.6	19.3 ± 0.8	22.0 ± 0.6
aNDFom <sup>1</sup> , % of DM	32.4 ± 1.2	35.9 ± 0.6	31.5 ± 0.5	35.6 ± 0.3
ADL, % of DM	3.1 ± 0.1	3.6 ± 0.2	2.7 ± 0.1	2.9 ± 0.1
Starch, % of DM	28.0 ± 0.9	21.2 ± 0.5	27.8 ± 0.9	23.8 ± 0.7
Sugar (ESC <sup>2</sup> ), % of DM	4.4 ± 0.2	3.9 ± 0.3	4.3 ± 0.2	4.3 ± 0.4
Crude fat, % of DM	4.0 ± 0.1	3.9 ± 0.1	4.4 ± 0.2	4.5 ± 0.2
Ash, % of DM	7.2 ± 0.1	7.6 ± 0.1	8.2 ± 0.5	7.8 ± 0.1
Ca, % of DM	1.02 ± 0.05	1.04 ± 0.04	1.03 ± 0.04	1.05 ± 0.04
P, % of DM	0.41 ± 0.01	0.40 ± 0.01	0.41 ± 0.02	0.40 ± 0.01
Mg, % of DM	0.39 ± 0.01	0.42 ± 0.01	0.36 ± 0.01	0.37 ± 0.01
K, % of DM	1.31 ± 0.07	1.43 ± 0.08	1.41 ± 0.07	1.49 ± 0.09
S, % of DM	0.31 ± 0.04	0.28 ± 0.01	0.33 ± 0.02	0.31 ± 0.01
Na, % of DM	0.48 ± 0.01	0.48 ± 0.01	0.43 ± 0.03	0.44 ± 0.01
Cl ion, % of DM	0.53 ± 0.02	0.56 ± 0.03	0.45 ± 0.02	0.48 ± 0.02
Fe, mg/kg	331 ± 25	324 ± 8	476 ± 34	396 ± 17
Cu, mg/kg	22 ± 2	24 ± 2	28 ± 3	28 ± 1
Mn, mg/kg	82 ± 4	90 ± 3	91 ± 5	92 ± 4
Zn, mg/kg	91 ± 5	96 ± 4	99 ± 8	103 ± 6

699 <sup>1</sup>Amylase-modified NDF on an OM basis.

700 <sup>2</sup>Ethanol-soluble carbohydrates.

701  
702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711 **Table 5.** Data (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation) characterizing the fiber fractions of diets containing  
 712 either conventional corn silage (CON) or brown midrib corn silage (BM3) with lower or higher  
 713 NDF concentration fed to lactating Holstein cows

Item	CON		BM3	
	Low NDF	High NDF	Low NDF	High NDF
Composite samples, n	4	4	4	4
aNDFom <sup>1</sup> , % of DM	32.4 $\pm$ 1.2	35.9 $\pm$ 0.6	31.5 $\pm$ 0.5	35.6 $\pm$ 0.3
24-h aNDF degradability, % of aNDF	56.3 $\pm$ 1.5	54.0 $\pm$ 1.4	62.0 $\pm$ 1.8	60.3 $\pm$ 1.4
Undegradable NDFom at 240 h, % of DM	8.3 $\pm$ 0.4	10.2 $\pm$ 0.2	7.1 $\pm$ 0.4	8.0 $\pm$ 0.0
Potentially degradable NDF, % of aNDFom	74.4 $\pm$ 0.7	71.6 $\pm$ 0.3	77.5 $\pm$ 0.2	77.5 $\pm$ 0.9

714 <sup>1</sup>Amylase-modified NDF on an OM basis.

715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725

726 **Table 6.** Particle size distribution, physical effectiveness factor (pef), and physically effective NDF (peNDF) of diets (mean ±  
 727 standard deviation) containing either conventional corn silage (CON) or brown midrib corn silage (BM3) with lower or higher NDF  
 728 concentration fed to lactating Holstein cows

Item	CON		BM3	
	Low NDF	High NDF	Low NDF	High NDF
Composite samples, n	4	4	4	4
Particle size distribution, % of DM				
>19.00 mm	0.2 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.2
13.20 to 19.00 mm	0.6 ± 0.1	0.7 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.1
9.50 to 13.20 mm	1.5 ± 0.1	2.4 ± 0.9	1.5 ± 0.5	1.9 ± 0.2
6.70 to 9.50 mm	6.6 ± 0.7	8.4 ± 1.5	6.9 ± 1.1	7.3 ± 1.0
4.75 to 6.70 mm	8.9 ± 0.6	11.1 ± 1.6	10.3 ± 1.7	11.7 ± 1.1
3.35 to 4.75 mm	10.3 ± 0.5	12.5 ± 1.3	11.8 ± 1.5	12.9 ± 0.0
2.36 to 3.35 mm	9.1 ± 0.3	10.2 ± 0.4	9.4 ± 0.7	10.3 ± 0.2
1.18 to 2.36 mm	18.7 ± 1.4	18.0 ± 0.5	19.1 ± 1.8	18.5 ± 0.9
0.60 to 1.18 mm	20.7 ± 0.5	18.8 ± 1.5	19.8 ± 1.7	19.5 ± 0.1
0.30 to 0.60 mm	15.5 ± 1.1	12.4 ± 2.2	13.8 ± 1.8	12.4 ± 0.8
<0.30 mm	7.9 ± 0.7	5.3 ± 1.6	6.9 ± 1.4	4.9 ± 0.6
pef <sup>1</sup>	0.56 ± 0.02	0.64 ± 0.05	0.59 ± 0.05	0.63 ± 0.01
peNDF <sup>2</sup> , % of DM	17.3 ± 0.8	23.1 ± 2.0	18.5 ± 2.0	21.5 ± 1.2

729 <sup>1</sup>physical effectiveness factor, % of DM retained on ≥1.18 mm with dry sieving; based on methods and assumptions in Mertens  
 730 (1997).

731 <sup>2</sup>pef x NDF (Mertens, 1997).

732

733

734

735

736 **Table 7.** Least squares means of intake, BW, BCS, and lactational performance for lactating Holstein cows fed a diet containing either  
 737 conventional corn silage (CON) or brown midrib corn silage (BM3) with lower or higher NDF concentration fed to lactating Holstein  
 738 cows

Item	CON		BM3		SE	P-value		
	Low NDF	High NDF	Low NDF	High NDF		NDFD <sup>1</sup>	NDF <sup>2</sup>	NDFD x NDF <sup>3</sup>
DMI, kg/d	29.0	26.5	29.3	29.2	0.7	0.005	0.009	0.02
DMI, % of BW/d	4.31	3.96	4.37	4.36	0.12	0.001	0.005	0.008
aNDFom <sup>4</sup> intake, kg/d	8.80	8.95	8.69	9.54	0.20	0.07	0.001	0.02
aNDFom intake, % of BW/d	1.31	1.33	1.30	1.43	0.04	0.03	<0.001	0.009
uNDF240om <sup>5</sup> intake, kg/d	2.33	2.53	1.93	2.14	0.07	<0.001	0.004	0.95
uNDF240om intake, % of BW/d	0.35	0.38	0.29	0.32	0.01	<0.001	0.003	0.97
BW, kg	676	673	673	673	19	0.61	0.53	0.70
BCS	2.92	2.88	2.89	2.89	0.13	0.83	0.67	0.75
Milk, kg/d	47.0	43.1	48.6	47.2	1.6	0.005	0.007	0.15
ECM, kg/d	49.5	45.9	50.8	50.1	1.2	0.02	0.05	0.16
Fat, %	3.82	4.02	3.76	3.94	0.14	0.27	0.008	0.84
Fat, kg/d	1.83	1.71	1.87	1.85	0.05	0.08	0.17	0.28
True protein, %	3.06	2.92	3.10	3.02	0.05	<0.001	<0.001	0.05
True protein, kg/d	1.48	1.25	1.55	1.43	0.04	<0.001	0.04	0.04
Lactose, %	4.73	4.65	4.75	4.73	0.05	0.001	0.002	0.04
Lactose, kg/d	2.28	2.00	2.38	2.25	0.09	<0.001	<0.001	0.05
SNF, %	8.67	8.47	8.73	8.62	0.07	<0.001	<0.001	0.09
SNF, kg/d	4.18	3.63	4.36	4.09	0.13	<0.001	<0.001	0.05
Urea nitrogen, mg/dL	14.3	15.5	13.7	14.1	0.6	0.008	0.03	0.25
SCS	0.52	0.75	0.53	0.57	0.34	0.43	0.19	0.37
Milk/DMI	1.62	1.62	1.66	1.61	0.04	0.49	0.33	0.29
ECM/DMI	1.71	1.73	1.73	1.72	0.03	0.87	0.84	0.25

739 <sup>1</sup>NDFD: Effect of NDF degradability of corn silage.

740 <sup>2</sup>NDF: Effect of dietary NDF content.

741 <sup>3</sup>NDFD x NDF: Interaction of NDF degradability of corn silage and dietary NDF content.

742 <sup>4</sup>Amylase-modified NDF on an OM basis.

743 <sup>5</sup>uNDF240om = undegradable NDFom at 240 h of in vitro fermentation.

744

745

746

747



748 **Table 8.** Least squares means of ruminal pH data for lactating Holstein cows fed a diet containing either conventional corn silage  
 749 (CON) or brown midrib corn silage (BM3) with lower or higher NDF concentration fed to lactating Holstein cows

Item	CON		BM3		SE	P-value			Time	Treatment Interactions with Time
	Low NDF	High NDF	Low NDF	High NDF		NDFD <sup>1</sup>	NDF <sup>2</sup>	NDFD x NDF <sup>3</sup>		
24-h mean pH	6.09	6.17	6.00	6.07	0.08					
24-h standard deviation	0.26	0.24	0.25	0.25	0.02	0.99	0.45	0.71	-	-
24-h minimum pH	5.51	5.63	5.42	5.50	0.11	0.13	0.17	0.78	-	-
24-h maximum pH	6.62	6.68	6.52	6.56	0.06	0.008	0.16	0.78	-	-
24-h range (max pH – min pH)	1.11	1.05	1.10	1.07	0.07	0.95	0.40	0.82	-	-
AUC < 5.8, pH units x min/d	1.07	0.71	1.96	1.13	0.44	0.11	0.15	0.56	-	-
pH < 5.5, min/d	81	52	168	93	43	0.12	0.20	0.57	-	-
pH < 5.8, min/d	312	205	475	319	89	0.08	0.10	0.74	-	-

750 <sup>1</sup>NDFD: Effect of NDF degradability of corn silage.

751 <sup>2</sup>NDF: Effect of dietary NDF content.

752 <sup>3</sup>NDFD x NDF: Interaction of NDF degradability of corn silage and dietary NDF content.

753

754

755

756

757 **Table 9.** Least squares means of VFA profiles for lactating Holstein cows fed a diet containing either conventional corn silage (CON)  
 758 or brown midrib corn silage (BM3) with lower or higher NDF concentration fed to lactating Holstein cows

Item	CON		BM3		SE	P-value			Time	Treatm ent Interact ions with Time
	Low NDF	High NDF	Low NDF	High NDF		NDFD <sup>1</sup>	NDF <sup>2</sup>	NDFD x NDF <sup>3</sup>		
Total VFA, mM	105	102	110	111	8	0.008	0.59	0.40	<0.001	-
VFA, molar %										-
Acetate (A)	65.2	64.8	65.3	67.0	5.3	0.45	0.67	0.49	<0.001	-
									<0.001	NDFa mtxtim e = 0.04
Propionate (P)	26.2	21.5	29.0	25.7	2.3	0.004	0.001	0.50		
Butyrate (B)	13.0	12.2	13.4	13.1	1.2	0.32	0.37	0.64	<0.001	-
Isobutyrate	0.77	0.83	0.72	0.68	0.05	<0.001	0.86	0.03	0.004	-
Valerate	2.45	2.45	2.67	2.28	0.28	0.92	0.45	0.45	0.04	-
Isovalerate	1.24	1.49	1.16	1.03	0.17	0.03	0.61	0.10	<0.001	-
									<0.001	NDFa mt x time = 0.09
A:P	2.56	3.08	2.31	2.69	0.15	0.005	<0.001	0.49		
									<0.001	NDFa mt x time = 0.04
(A+B):P	3.08	3.64	2.79	3.22	0.19	0.01	0.001	0.60		

										<0.001	NDFa mt x time = 0.09
	Ammonia-N, mg/dL	10.49	11.60	9.88	10.42	0.79	0.24	0.27	0.70		
759	<sup>1</sup> NDFD: Effect of NDF degradability of corn silage.										
760	<sup>2</sup> NDF: Effect of dietary NDF content.										
761	<sup>3</sup> NDFD x NDF: Interaction of NDF degradability of corn silage and dietary NDF content.										
762											
763											

764 **Table 10.** Least squares means of chewing data for lactating Holstein cows fed a diet containing either conventional corn silage  
 765 (CON) or brown midrib corn silage (BM3) with lower or higher NDF concentration fed to lactating Holstein cows

Item	CON		BM3		SE	P-value		
	Low NDF	High NDF	Low NDF	High NDF		NDFD <sup>1</sup>	NDF <sup>2</sup>	NDFD x NDF <sup>3</sup>
Eating time, min/d	273	301	250	273	14	0.003	0.003	0.73
Eating time per kg of DMI, min/kg DM	9.4	11.3	8.7	9.6	0.6	<0.001	<0.001	0.10
Eating time per kg of aNDFom <sup>4</sup> intake, min/kg aNDFom	31.2	33.6	29.2	29.2	1.8	0.002	0.20	0.18
Rumination time, min/d	514	543	463	536	17	0.08	0.004	0.17
Rumination time per kg of DMI, min/kg DM	17.8	20.4	16.1	18.8	0.8	0.004	<0.001	0.89
Rumination time per kg of aNDFom intake, min/kg aNDFom	58.9	60.4	54.2	57.4	2.6	0.05	0.22	0.66
Meal length, min/meal	29.2	31.3	27.5	28.4	2.5	0.11	0.28	0.64
Meal bout, bouts/d	11.8	12.1	11.5	11.9	0.7	0.45	0.33	0.95

766 <sup>1</sup>NDFD: Effect of NDF degradability of corn silage.

767 <sup>2</sup>NDF: Effect of dietary NDF content.

768 <sup>3</sup>NDFD x NDF: Interaction of NDF degradability of corn silage and dietary NDF content.

769 <sup>4</sup>Amylase-modified NDF on an OM basis.

770  
 771  
 772  
 773  
 774  
 775  
 776  
 777

778 **Table 11.** Least squares means of total-tract digestibility data for lactating Holstein cows fed a diet containing either conventional  
 779 corn silage (CON) or brown midrib corn silage (BM3) with lower or higher NDF concentration fed to lactating Holstein cows

Item <sup>1</sup>	CON		BM3		SE	P-value		
	Low NDF	High NDF	Low NDF	High NDF		NDFD2	NDF3	NDFD x NDF4
DM, %	73.5	68.0	73.4	72.3	0.9	0.03	0.001	0.02
OM, %	75.0	70.0	75.3	74.3	0.9	0.01	0.002	0.03
ADF, %	52.6	44.3	53.4	52.0	1.6	0.009	0.004	0.03
aNDFom <sup>5</sup> , %	55.7	48.9	57.8	56.8	1.5	<0.001	0.004	0.02
pdNDF <sup>6</sup> , %	74.1	70.9	72.2	72.8	1.6	0.94	0.26	0.11

780 <sup>1</sup>All values are ash-corrected.

781 <sup>2</sup>NDFD: Effect of NDF degradability of corn silage.

782 <sup>3</sup>NDF: Effect of dietary NDF content.

783 <sup>4</sup>NDFD x NDF: Interaction of NDF degradability of corn silage and dietary NDF content.

784 <sup>5</sup>Amylase-modified NDF on an OM basis.

785 <sup>6</sup>Potentially **degradable** NDF.

786

787

788 **Table 12.** Least squares means of rumen digesta characteristics, pool sizes, and turnover for lactating Holstein cows fed a diet  
 789 containing either conventional corn silage (CON) or brown midrib corn silage (BM3) with lower or higher NDF concentration fed to  
 790 lactating Holstein cows

Item	CON		BM3		SE	P-value		
	Low NDF	High NDF	Low NDF	High NDF		NDFD <sup>1</sup>	NDF <sup>2</sup>	NDFD x NDF <sup>3</sup>
Rumen digesta volume, L	123	128	113	119	3	0.003	0.06	0.73
Rumen digesta mass, kg	106	112	98	105	3	0.009	0.04	0.93
Rumen density, kg/L	0.86	0.87	0.88	0.88	0.01	0.19	0.32	0.52
Rumen pool, kg								
OM	13.0	12.5	12.1	12.6	0.6	0.32	0.99	0.17
aNDFom <sup>1</sup>	8.32	8.45	7.64	8.36	0.41	0.05	0.03	0.12
Rumen turnover rate, %/h								
OM	8.95	8.31	9.44	9.57	0.51	0.002	0.29	0.12
aNDFom <sup>4</sup>	4.84	4.76	5.12	5.52	0.30	<0.001	0.23	0.07
Rumen turnover time, h								
OM	11.4	12.2	11.0	10.9	0.5	0.003	0.13	0.09
aNDFom	21.1	21.4	20.3	19.0	1.1	0.004	0.28	0.10

791 <sup>1</sup>NDFD: Effect of NDF degradability of corn silage.

792 <sup>2</sup>NDF: Effect of dietary NDF content.

793 <sup>3</sup>NDFD x NDF: Interaction of NDF degradability of corn silage and dietary NDF content.

794 <sup>4</sup>Amylase-modified NDF on an OM basis.

795