



ALMA MATER STUDIORUM  
UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA

ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE  
DELLA RICERCA

## Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Biological treatment of Hydrothermal Liquefaction (HTL) wastewater: Analytical evaluation of continuous process streams

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

*Published Version:*

Biological treatment of Hydrothermal Liquefaction (HTL) wastewater: Analytical evaluation of continuous process streams / Torri C.; Kiwan A.; Cavallo M.; Pascalicchio P.; Fabbri D.; Vassura I.; Rombola A.G.; Chiaberge S.; Carbone R.; Paglino R.; Miglio R.. - In: JOURNAL OF WATER PROCESS ENGINEERING. - ISSN 2214-7144. - STAMPA. - 40:(2021), pp. 101798.1-101798.12. [10.1016/j.jwpe.2020.101798]

*Availability:*

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/801135> since: 2021-02-18

*Published:*

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2020.101798>

*Terms of use:*

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).  
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

**Torri, C., Kiwan, A., Cavallo, M., Pascalicchio, P., Fabbri, D., Vassura, I., Rombolà, A.G., Chiaberge, S., Carbone, R., Paglino, R., Miglio, R., 2021. Biological treatment of Hydrothermal Liquefaction (HTL) wastewater: Analytical evaluation of continuous process streams. Journal of Water Process Engineering 40, 101798.**

The final published version is available online at:  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2020.101798>.

#### Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

*This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>)*

***When citing, please refer to the published version.***

# Biological treatment of Hydrothermal Liquefaction (HTL) Wastewater: analytical evaluation of continuous process streams

Cristian Torri,<sup>a,b\*</sup> Alisar Kiwan,<sup>a</sup> Martina Cavallo,<sup>b</sup> Paolina Pascalicchio<sup>b</sup>, Daniele Fabbri,<sup>a,b</sup> Ivano Vassura,<sup>b,c</sup>  
Alessandro Girolamo Rombolà,<sup>a,b</sup> Stefano Carlo Chiaberge,<sup>d</sup> Rosa Carbone,<sup>d</sup> Roberto Paglino,<sup>d</sup> Roberta  
Miglio<sup>d,\*\*</sup>

\*Corresponding author: [cristian.torri@unibo.it](mailto:cristian.torri@unibo.it), Laboratori "R. Sartori", Dipartimento di Chimica "Giacomo  
Ciamician", Via Sant'Alberto 163, 48123 Ravenna.

<sup>a</sup>Dipartimento di Chimica "Giacomo Ciamician", Università di Bologna, Ravenna, Italy.

<sup>b</sup>Centro Interdipartimentale di Ricerca Industriale FRAME, Università di Bologna, Italy.

<sup>c</sup> Dipartimento di Chimica Industriale "Toso Montanari", Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy.

<sup>d</sup> Eni s.p.a. Renewable Energy & Environmental R&D, Novara, Italy.

**\*\* This paper is dedicated to the memory of Eng. Roberta Miglio who passed away on January 2020**

## Abstract

In order to deal with hydrothermal liquefaction wastewater (HTWW), a new anaerobic-aerobic continuous process was developed. The process, which included a sequence of Up-Flow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket (UASB) and downstream aerobic Continuously Stirred Tank Reactor (CSTR), was tested on the HTWW obtained from Waste to Fuel<sup>®</sup> demo plant developed by ENI s.p.a. [1]. Performance of the system was evaluated in term of methane yield and chemical oxygen demand (COD) abatement capability. Detailed fate of organic compounds was evaluated through different analytical techniques, highlighting main issues and potential of HTWW biological treatment. The system was fed with neat HTWW (189 gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>) for 2.5 y, with variable organic loading rate (OLR) and minimal external inputs. UASB reactors converted most of HTWW organics into volatile fatty acids (VFA) and methane with concurrent precipitation of oily like insoluble, whereas aerobic CSTR removed VFA from anaerobic effluent. Under regime conditions (ORL equal to 0.5 gCOD L<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) COD decreased from 189 to 6.6 gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>, showing 97% COD abatement with the coupled anaerobic-aerobic treatment. Such a COD abatement was obtained by means of multiple effects, namely biomethanation, precipitation of organic matter and aerobic oxidation of fermentation products (VFA produced in anaerobic digestion) and subsequent aerobic oxidation (in downstream aerobic reactor). These effects accounted for 43, 40 and 17% of the total COD decrease, respectively. Inhibition phenomena were the key challenge for improving methane yields and system productivities. The overall results confirmed that valorization of HTWW is a feasible task, albeit rather challenging.

## 33 1. Introduction

34 Food Waste and, more in general, wet biomass resources are considered to be a pivotal in providing  
35 renewable and carbon neutral alternatives to fossil fuels. Hydrothermal liquefaction (HTL), being operated  
36 at moderate temperatures (250-375°C) and residence times between 15-120 min, represents a versatile  
37 thermochemical method for conversion of biomass and waste into a biocrude, solid residue and aqueous  
38 byproduct [2,3]. This technology has received significant attention in the last decades and recently  
39 outcropped into process demonstration [4] and early commercialization of interesting applications. In this  
40 context, ENI s.p.a. recently scaled-up a complete Waste to Fuel® process able to deal with sorted organic  
41 fraction of municipal solid waste (OMSW), which allows the production and co-processing (e.g. ENI slurry  
42 technology®) of biocrude in existing refineries. Byproduct valorization or disposal strategy still represents  
43 an important issue worth of investigation. Whereas solid residue (somewhat called hydrochar) is  
44 characterized by minor mass yield and can be used as solid fuel, aqueous byproduct (HTWW) is the most  
45 relevant product in terms of mass yield, and usually retain 30-40% of chemical energy of the feedstock [5].  
46 Due to its chemical properties, namely high Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) and inherent toxicity, it  
47 cannot be discharged in wastewater treatment systems and requires reliable recovery strategy [6]. HTWW  
48 organics are a complex mixture of organics, whose concentration, investigated by several authors, is a  
49 function of feedstock composition and process conditions [7–11]. In order to valorize soluble organics and  
50 to decrease COD to acceptable levels, biological treatments, such as anaerobic digestion (AD) and related  
51 process, have been proposed [12] and tested by several authors [13–27]. Optimal biocrude yields are  
52 typically obtained using with 280-375°C temperature range and 30-60 min residence time [26,28,29].  
53 However, at these conditions HTWW are generated with significant levels of non-biodegradable organics  
54 and high relative toxicity [30,31] that hamper biological conversion pathways. Several authors investigated  
55 biological processing of HTWW obtained with different feedstock or HTL parameters. Most studies tested  
56 AD of HTWW, providing a preliminary insight about key concerns of anaerobic biological processing. To the  
57 end of addressing toxicity issues, different approaches were adopted, among which simple dilution and  
58 pretreatment of HTWW. Most of the above mentioned studies were concerned with batch tests conducted  
59 on diluted HTWW. At best of our knowledge, there is a paucity of studies on continuous biological  
60 processing of this type of effluents [32]., The present work aimed at investigating a continuous biological  
61 treatment of HTWW in order to evaluate positive aspects and critical points. The treatment of HTWW  
62 consisted in anaerobic-aerobic multistage process, based on ENI-INSTM patent [33], conducted for an  
63 overall timespan of three years. In particular, a detailed monitoring of the system was performed for six  
64 months under steady conditions. As a term of comparison, all data were expressed using COD as a unit of  
65 measured quantity. Besides being a parameter that defines wastewater quality, COD (or theoretical oxygen  
66 demand) is a direct measurement of chemical energy. Both COD (from stoichiometry) and higher heating  
67 value (HHV) (empirically) are linearly correlated to elemental compositions [34]. Hence, 1 kg of natural

68 occurring COD typically contains 15 MJ of chemical energy and can be transformed into heat, work (with a  
69 certain efficiency) or, through anaerobic process into maximum 1 kg of COD of chemicals or materials. The  
70 COD of common feedstock and fermentation products range between roughly 1 kgO<sub>2</sub>/kg (carbohydrates)  
71 and 4 kgO<sub>2</sub>/kg (methane). Therefore, establishing COD balance and conversion rate allows to compare  
72 largely different conditions. Detailed fate of organic compounds was established performing a COD balance  
73 among different compounds and compounds groups, highlighting main issues and potential of HTWW  
74 biological treatment.

75

## 76 2. Materials and methods

### 77 2.1 HTL wastewater obtainment

78 The Waste to Fuel<sup>®</sup> pilot plant has a bio-oil production capacity of approximately 70 kg per day and is  
79 supplied with a maximum of 700 kg of sorted organic waste per day. The plant is a continuous one able to  
80 work 24 h a day and it is composed by two main sections, the first one named as homogenization and the  
81 second one liquefaction section. In the first section, the sorted organic fraction of municipal solid waste  
82 (OMSW) is introduced as such, without any kind of pretreatment. The goal of this first section is only to  
83 homogenize the waste in order to achieve a constant chemical composition in the continuous feed. In the  
84 following liquefaction section, the hydrothermal liquefaction transformation was performed introducing  
85 hot homogenized stream into a plug flow reactor. Average operative conditions are the following: 260-300  
86 °C, pressure up to 90 bar with a residence time of about two hours [35]. After reaction, the hot product  
87 stream is sent to a separation step in order to recover bio-oil and the other byproducts, such as gas phase,  
88 a solid fraction and water phase (thereafter named HTWW).


89 HTWW was cooled down and stored at ambient temperature. Different samples of about 30 kg each were  
90 withdrawn and used in the present study. The OMSW and HTL products were routinely characterized in  
91 order to control inlet quality and to calculate operative yields. An average composition of OMSW was the  
92 following: water content 75-80 % w/w, inorganic content 10-22 % w/w (on dry basis), lipid content 10-15 %  
93 w/w (on dry basis), protein 10-14 % (on dry basis). The elemental average analysis of the OMSW is reported  
94 (on dry basis) as C 40 %, H 5.7 %, N 2.3 %, S 0.3 %.

### 95 2.2 Multistage Anaerobic-Aerobic Digestion

96 A biological multistage system was operating continuously for a period of 2.5 years in order to investigate  
97 the biological potential of converting HTWW in biogas (anaerobic phase) and reducing COD (aerobic step)  
98 in order to dispose HTWW into wastewater treatment plants. The process scheme was changed over time  
99 for the first 230 days of operations ending up with the final configuration shown in Figure 1. IN is the  
100 HTWW mixed with diluting stream (a portion of OUT) that enters the system, AA (after anaerobic digestion)

101 is the output of the anaerobic reactor and OUT is the final effluent after aerobic treatment used to dilute  
 102 HTWW.

103 The definitive configuration consisted in a multistage digester (400 mL working volume) and an aerobic  
 104 fermenter (200 mL working volume). The multistage anaerobic digester consisted in five sequential up-flow  
 105 anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) connected in series at thermophilic (UASB 1, 65°C) and mesophilic  
 106 conditions (UASB 2 to UASB 5, 40°C). Initial thermophilic condition was chosen for process compatibility  
 107 with the actual temperature of HTL outlet), and in order to speed up the hydrolysis phase. One of the basic  
 108 requirements of this multistage system is that the system should perform a complete acidogenesis in the  
 109 first thermophilic UASB. To guarantee this condition, product (VFA) inhibition should be avoided by means  
 110 of dilution of the inlet streams to a COD level less than 20-30 g L<sup>-1</sup> which is the maximum concentration of  
 111 VFA that can be obtained under anaerobic condition at almost neutral pH. Therefore, in accordance with  
 112 the tested chemical composition, HTWW was diluted through recirculation with the effluent from aerobic  
 113 stage (1:10 ratio). In routine operations, about 20-25 mL d<sup>-1</sup> of pre-diluted HTWW was pumped at the  
 114 bottom of UASB 1 through a peristaltic pump. Since all UASB reactors are connected in series, about 20  
 115 mL/day (HRT 20 days) were collected with the resulting biogas in a laminated gas bag, placed at the end of  
 116 the anaerobic system. The anaerobic effluent was then subjected to the aerobic fermenter (HRT 10 days).  
 117 Finally, the aerobic effluent was used to dilute HTWW before entering the system. All UASB reactors were  
 118 filled with a bacterial inoculum taken from an anaerobic digester treating stillage and wastewater sludge.  
 119 Total suspended solids (TSS), volatile suspended solids (VSS) and COD were 56 g L<sup>-1</sup>, 35 g L<sup>-1</sup> 45 gO<sub>2</sub> L<sup>-1</sup>  
 120 respectively.  
 121




122  
 123 *Figure 1: process scheme configuration of the Multistage Anaerobic-Aerobic Digestion*

124

### 125 2.3 Chemical characterization scheme and definition of chemical classes.

126 The samples feedstock, HTWW and all aqueous streams (IN, OUT, AA see figure 1) obtained during  
127 experiments were characterized following the analytical scheme shown in figure 2. Samples typically  
128 consisted in a brownish aqueous slurry and all the analyses were performed within one day from the  
129 obtainment. The whole sample including suspended solids was diluted with deionized water and analyzed  
130 for COD in triplicate (total COD of the sample, tCOD). Thereafter the sample was centrifuged at 5000 rpm to  
131 obtain the soluble fraction, a clear dark-reddish supernatant solution, that was analysed for COD (soluble  
132 COD, sCOD). The amount of the insoluble COD (iCOD) was calculated by difference ( $iCOD = tCOD - sCOD$ ). The  
133 insoluble fraction included suspended solids (e.g. bacterial biomass formed) and any organic precipitate  
134 (e.g. colloidal substances that precipitates upon HTWW aging). Samples of the soluble fraction were  
135 subjected to an array of analyses described in following sections. For the reasons highlighted in the  
136 introduction,



137

138

Figure 2: analytical scheme for the characterization of HTWW major components.

139

## 140 2.4 COD, Biogas, pH and total ammonia nitrogen (TAN)

141 COD was measured by thermal oxidation at 1200 °C with detection of the oxygen consumption using a COD  
142 analyzer QuickCODLab (LAR Process Analyzer AG) following the ASTM D6238-98 method. After proper  
143 dilution, the sample was injected directly into the reactor where it was completely oxidized at 1200 °C  
144 under air/nitrogen flow and continuously analyzed with an O<sub>2</sub> detector. The COD was calculated as g O<sub>2</sub> L<sup>-1</sup>  
145 by comparison of signal areas (O<sub>2</sub> consumption) with those of known standard solution of glucose. All  
146 analysis was performed in triplicate.

147 Biogas production was measured volumetrically at 25 °C after withdrawal from the sampling bag and  
148 analyzed in terms of CH<sub>4</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, and H<sub>2</sub> by means of gas chromatography coupled with thermal conductivity  
149 detector (GC-TCD, Agilent 78120A) [36]. pH was determined with a pH meter after calibration with buffer  
150 solutions. Ammonia concentration was determined potentiometrically by means of an ammonia selective  
151 probe after calibration with standard solutions of ammonium chloride.

152

## 153 2.5 Quantitative analysis of GC-MS detectable compounds

154 VFA were analysed by solvent extraction and GC-MS according Ghidotti et al. [37]. An aliquot of HTWW  
155 before and after biological treatments was added with 2-ethylbutyric acid solution in H<sub>2</sub>O (internal standard  
156 at 1000 ppm, 0.1 mL), saturated KHSO<sub>4</sub> solution (0.1 mL), NaCl brine (0.1 mL) and dimethyl carbonate (1  
157 mL). The biphasic solution was shaken and the upper phase, containing VFA dissolved in dimethyl  
158 carbonate, was analyzed by GC-MS. GC-MS analysis of VFA were performed using an Agilent 7820A gas  
159 chromatograph connected to an Agilent 5977E quadrupole mass spectrometer. The injection port  
160 temperature was 280 °C. Analytes were separated on a DB-FFAP polar column (30 m length, 0.25 µm i.d.,  
161 0.25 mm film thickness), with helium flow of 1 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. Mass spectra were recorded under electron  
162 ionization (70 eV) at a frequency of 1 scan s<sup>-1</sup> within the 29–450 *m/z* range. The temperature of the column  
163 was set to 50 °C (5 min) and increased to 250 °C (10 °C min<sup>-1</sup>). 2-Ethylbutyrate (0.1 mL of a solution 1000  
164 ppm) was used as internal standard for the quantitation; calibration was performed with commercial VFA  
165 solution.

166 The quantitation of GC-MS detectable organics in HTWW before and after biological treatments was  
167 performed after water evaporation and silylation as described in [38]. An aliquot of sample (0.1 mL) was  
168 spiked with 3-chlorobenzoic acid (50 µg) and dried under nitrogen flow. Subsequently, *bis*-trimethylsilyl-  
169 trifluoroacetamide with 1% trimethyltrichlorosilane (BSTFA+TMCS, 0.1 mL), acetonitrile (0.1 mL) and a drop  
170 of pyridine were added to the dried residue and heated at 60 °C for 2 h. The solution was finally spiked with  
171 recovery standard (methyl-nonandecanoate, 50 µg) diluted in ethyl acetate (0.5 mL) and analyzed by GC-  
172 MS. GC-MS analysis of silylated samples were performed using an Agilent HP 6850 gas chromatograph  
173 connected to an Agilent HP 5975 quadrupole mass spectrometer. The injection port temperature was 280



174 °C. Analytes were separated on a HP-5 fused-silica capillary column (stationary phase poly(5%  
175 diphenyl/95% dimethyl)siloxane, 30 m, 0.25 mm i.d., 0.25- $\mu$ m film thickness), with helium as the carrier gas  
176 (at constant pressure, 33 cm s<sup>-1</sup> linear velocity at 200 °C). Mass spectra were recorded under electron  
177 ionization (70 eV) at a frequency of 1 scan s<sup>-1</sup> within the 12–600 *m/z* range. The temperature of the column  
178 was increased from 50 to 180 °C at 50 °C min<sup>-1</sup> and then from 180 to 300 °C at 5 °C min<sup>-1</sup>. 3-chlorobenzoic  
179 acid (0.05 mL of a solution 1000 ppm) was used as internal standard for the quantitation, assuming a  
180 unitary response factor for all the detected analytes.

181

## 182 2.6 Size exclusion chromatography (SEC)

183 Molecular size distributions were determined by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) – size  
184 exclusion chromatography (SEC) analysis. The analyses were performed using a HPLC 1200-series system  
185 (Agilent Technologies, USA) equipped with a diode array detector (DAD, G1315D Agilent Technologies, USA)  
186 and a refractive index detector (RID, G1362A Agilent Technologies, USA). Separation was carried out at 35  
187 °C and maximum of pressure of 400 bar on a PL aquagel-OH 20 column (300 x 7.5 mm, particle size 8  $\mu$ m),  
188 obtained from Agilent Technologies. Ultrapure water was used as eluent at a flowrate of 1 mL min<sup>-1</sup> and  
189 the injection volume was 20  $\mu$ L. The parameter of DAD detector was a wavelength range from 190 to 400  
190 nm. The RID detector was set at a temperature of 30 °C and operated under positive mode with zero offset  
191 of 5% and attenuation of 500.000 nRIU. A peak > 0.2 mm with response time 4 s and frequency of 2.31 Hz  
192 were used. The HTWW samples were filtered through PTFE syringe filters (0.45  $\mu$ m) prior to analysis. The  
193 columns were equilibrated overnight before use. Data acquisition and analysis were performed with Agilent  
194 OpenLAB CDS Version 2.4.

## 195 2.7 Data presentation

196 Concentration and yields were expressed in term of COD. For every compound or group of compounds  
197 analyzed by means of GC-MS or silylation/GC-MS, COD concentrations (gCOD<sub>x</sub> L<sup>-1</sup>) was then calculated by  
198 multiplying the mass concentration (g<sub>x</sub> L<sup>-1</sup>) for the specific COD obtained from chemical formula (gCOD g<sub>x</sub><sup>-1</sup>).  
199 To obtain the signal of unknown GC detectable constituents the area of identified compounds and blank  
200 was subtracted to the entire GC-MS area detected. This signal of unknown GC detectable compounds was  
201 then used to obtain the corresponding COD concentration (gCOD<sub>GC unk</sub> L<sup>-1</sup>) assuming a specific COD (gCOD g<sub>x</sub><sup>-1</sup>)  
202 <sup>1</sup>) equal to the average value of identified compounds. COD concentration of non-detectable compounds  
203 (e.g. HMW) was calculated by subtraction of all COD concentration from tCOD of HTWW (gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>).

204

205

## 206 3. Results and discussion

### 207 3.1 Chemical characterization of HTWW.

208 For the scope of this investigation, one HTWW batch was fully characterized and processed in a 2.5-year  
209 time span. This sample was obtained by HTL of the OMSW as described in section 2.1. The reddish-brown  
210 clear solution obtained by centrifugation is characterized by chemical oxygen demand equal to  $189\pm 10$   
211  $\text{gCOD L}^{-1}$  and complex chemical composition, outlined in Table 1. The sample mostly consisted in water,  
212 concentration of non-volatile constituents (evaporation residue at  $105^\circ\text{C}$ ) equal to  $101\pm 12$   $\text{g/L}$ , which  
213 includes  $20\pm 1$   $\text{g L}^{-1}$  of inorganics (residue at  $600^\circ\text{C}$  for 10 h). Inorganics composition is close to that expected  
214 from OMWS, with moderate salinity, presence of most micro and macro-nutrients needed for biomass  
215 growth and without significant amount of toxic metals. Total nitrogen (TN) concentration was  $8.4$   $\text{g L}^{-1}$  of  
216 which  $3.3$   $\text{gN L}^{-1}$  was the total ammonia nitrogen (TAN). These results are in line with the literature about  
217 hydrothermal liquefaction of food waste [8] with multicomponent characteristics [7]. Beyond standard  
218 characterization techniques, which includes COD, TN, TAN, VFA, metals, HTWW was subjected to additional  
219 complementary analyses. Main GC-MS detectable constituents included organic acids (principally VFA),  
220 short chain hydroxy acids (e.g. lactic and hydroxyacetic acid) and sugar derivatives (pentoses,  
221 anhydrohexoses and deoxy-gluconic acids). It is interesting to notice the presence of a relatively large  
222 amount of sorbitol and inositol, which are food specific organics that probable survived the HTL process  
223 and end up in the aqueous phase (e.g. fruit peel). Minor but relevant amount of low molecular weight N-  
224 containing aromatic heterocycles, here abbreviated as NAH (e.g. hydroxypyridines and pyrazines) were  
225 found. These NAH may represent a concern for the anaerobic/aerobic treatment of the solution due to  
226 their toxicity. From the quantitative point of view, HTWW composition was dominated by sugars and sugars  
227 derivatives, which accounted for 26% of the total COD. Other common biological intermediates, namely  
228 lactic acid, glycerol, VFA and long chain fatty acids accounted for 9%, 7%, 5% and 4% of COD respectively.  
229 Some specific HTL derivate organic compounds contributed significantly to the COD. For example,  
230 pyroglutamic acid and other hydroxy acids were found in 10 and 7.9  $\text{gCOD L}^{-1}$  concentration, corresponding  
231 to 5 and 4% of the total COD. Finally, total concentration of toxicity concerning NAH was  $9.9$   $\text{gCOD L}^{-1}$ ,  
232 which means a non-negligible 5% contribution to total COD of the sample.

233 A large number of semivolatile compounds (about 10% of COD detected by GC could not be identified.  
234 Moreover, a significant (about 25%) fraction of COD was not detectable after silylation/GC-MS, being  
235 probably formed by extremely polar or high molecular weight organic compounds (HMW). To obtain  
236 additional information about this fraction, SEC-RID analysis of HTWW was performed (Figure 3), proving the  
237 molecular distribution of polar (compounds which affect the refraction index) dissolved organic matter.  
238 Blank subtracted SEC-RID graph (Figure 3) showed 4 broad peaks in the 0-1000 Da range. First two peaks, in  
239 the 60-90 Da and 180-300 Da ranges could be attributed to small polar compounds, sugars and fatty acids

240 (detected also by silylation/GC-MS). Beyond the GC-amenable fraction, two bimodal distributions centered  
 241 530 and 830 Da and tailing band 2-10 kDa confirms the presence of a higher amount of high molecular  
 242 weight compounds (HMW). Performing quantitative analysis of HMW and assuming 1.2 gCOD g<sub>substances</sub><sup>-1</sup> (as  
 243 for polysaccharides) SEC-RID detected HMW accounted for 56 ± 12 gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>. This figure was close to that  
 244 calculated (by difference) for non-GC-detectable compounds in table 1, namely 41±5 gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>, suggesting  
 245 that most of this GC eluding fraction consisted in strongly polar (detectable through RID) HMW which were  
 246 not volatile or thermally unstable under GC injection conditions.

247 *Table 1: detailed chemical characterization of HTWW used in the study.*

	Concentration	± Sd. Dev. (n=8)
<b>COD (gO<sub>2</sub> L<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	189	±12
<b>TOC (gC L<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	78	±15
<b>TN (gN g<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	8.4	±2.5
<b>TAN (gN g<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	3.3	±1.3
<b>VFA (gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	9.2	±2.5
<i>acetic acid</i>	6.9	±2.5
<i>propionic acid</i>	1.2	±0.3
<i>isobutyric acid</i>	0.1	±0.1
<i>butyric acid</i>	0.5	±0.01
<i>isovaleric acid</i>	0.3	±0.1
<i>valeric acid</i>	0.0	±0
<i>hexanoic acid</i>	0.2	±0.3
<b>Sugars (gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	49.4	±17.5
<b>Long chain fatty acids (gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	7.0	±2.5
<b>Glycerol (gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	14.0	±5.6
<b>Adipic acid (gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	0.0	±0.0
<b>Other hydroxy-acids/ketons (gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	7.9	±2.6
<b>Lactic acid (gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	16.1	6.3
<b>NAH<sup>c</sup> (gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	9.9	2.8
<b>Pyroglutamic acid (gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	10.1	3.7
<b>GC non-ID (gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	18.8	5
<b>Suspended solids (gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	0	0
<b>Non-detectable organics (gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	40.7	5.5

248 <sup>c</sup>N-containing aromatic heterocycles

249




Figure 3: molecular distribution of polar dissolved organic matter in HTWW through SEC-RID analysis.

Observed composition of HTWW fall in broad range which are similar (if expressed in chemical classes) to that of HTWW found in literature for comparable substrates, namely organic waste HTL, microalgae HTL and sewage sludge HTL [7-9].

### 3.2 Long term reactor performance and steady state operations

The multistage biological system was continuously operated for 966 days. During this time period several changes to process configuration and conditions occurred which can be summarized into 8 main time spans (Figure 4):


- 1) the startup stage: two UASB reactors connected in series and fed with diluted HTWW from day 0 to 81 at an OLR at  $0.1 \text{ gCOD L}^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1}$  and an HRT of 80 days (yields: 55% biogas, 10% VFA);
- 2) day 81 to 133: due to sudden increase of VFA concentration (over  $5 \text{ gCOD L}^{-1}$  VFA), HTWW input was stopped, recirculating the effluent liquid to maintains UASB hydrodynamics, until concentration of decreased to around  $1 \text{ g L}^{-1}$ ;
- 3) day 134 to 206: addition of a third UASB reactor, restart of regime operations and continuous monitoring of system (OLR  $\approx 0.1 \text{ gCOD L}^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1}$ , HRT 120 days; yields 80% biogas, 10% VFA);
- 4) day 207 to 230: addition of the fourth UASB reactor (OLR  $\approx 0.6 \text{ gCOD L}^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1}$ , HRT 16 days; yields 20% biogas, 35% VFA);
- 5) Day 231: after first evaluation of trends, some key system modification was performed. New system with a fifth UASB reactor, aerobic reactor and inlet dilution through recirculation (as in figure 1) was implemented. HTWW was diluted using recirculation of aerobic stage (AR) effluents (1:10 ratio) and provided to the anaerobic system.
- 6) day 232 to 708: steady state condition was achieved operating the system with constant conditions and variable ORL, HRT was set to about 20 and 10 days in anaerobic and aerobic reactors respectively, corresponding to a total organic residence time (in the whole system considering the looping due to OUT recirculation) equal to 300 days; (average yields equal to 10% biogas and 35%

276 for VFA); during this stage, analytical monitoring of the effluents was undertaken between day 654  
 277 and day 684.

278 7) day 708 to 880: tentative feed of more diluted HTWW without recirculation of OUT, further  
 279 increase in VFA production (from 28% to 70%) decrease in biogas production (from 10% to 0%).

280 8) Day 881 to 966: following poor methanogenic performance, the system was switched back to  
 281 HTWW with 1:10 dilution with OUT (as from day 361 and 707), OLR was brought to 0.8-1 gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>.  
 282 Consequentially, a reduction in VFA production (from 70 to 20%), and an increase in biogas  
 283 production (from 0 to 80%) was observed.

284



285

286 *Figure 4: Long term reactor performance of multistage anaerobic digester, biogas and VFA yields, pH trend and OLR variations.*  
 287 *OUT-R: outlet effluent used for dilution of HTWW*


288 The methanogenic (methane yield) and acidogenic (VFA yield) performance of the multistage system during  
 289 long term experiment is shown in Figure 4. During the first 200 days, a quite good biological conversion of  
 290 COD was observed with maximum yields of 80% and 35% for biogas and VFA, respectively. With the  
 291 increase in the OLR (day 206), the system underwent a shift with an increase in VFA production (from 10 to  
 292 50% yields) and a drop in pH (which reached 5.9 at day 280) kept constant for more than 200 days, at the  
 293 same time a reduction in biogas production was observed with constant yields around 10%. The  
 294 subsequent increase in the OLR (day 500) with neat HTWW halted methane production and induced a

295 significant decrease in VFA yield. This probably suggests that such a steep change in ORL with neat HTWW  
296 (189 gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>) stream entering first UASB heavily inhibited all biological activity. To recover at least  
297 acidogenic activity, ORL was slightly decreased obtaining back an increased acidogenesis which provided an  
298 apparently quantitative yield of VFA for about one month. The further reduction of the OLR (day 574) has  
299 led to a decrease in VFA production (20% yields) and a slight increase in biogas production (20% yields for  
300 50 days). Given the recovery in biogas production, the OLR has been increased in conjunction with the  
301 transition to more diluted HTWW (day 707). Without internal recirculation, the administration of diluted  
302 HTWW has led to an acidification of the system with constant yields of VFA between 30 and 70% and a  
303 total reset in the production of biogas. Finally, the transition back to HTWW with OUT recirculation (OUT-R)  
304 has led to the reduction in VFA production (from 60 to 20% yields) and a rapid increase in biogas  
305 production (from 10 to 80% yields), which was similar to that observed at the beginning of the study.  
306 Looking at the entire Anaerobic-Aerobic system, a large variability of anaerobic digestion performance in  
307 term of VFA and methane yields was observed. Nonetheless, for all the duration of the study, VFA were the  
308 main conversion products, due to acidification and methanogenesis inhibition (after day 200). VFA were  
309 easily converted under the aerobic step with production of CO<sub>2</sub> and bacterial biomass.

### 310 3.3 Detailed study on Fate of HTWW organics

311 As soon as a relatively steady state was obtained, the fate of HTWW organics was evaluated by means of  
312 detailed analysis of aqueous streams in three sampling point of the system (Figure 1). GC-MS (for VFA)  
313 silylation-GC-MS (for small polar organics and sugars) and SEC (for quantitative evaluation of HMW  
314 compounds) were performed, on weekly basis, before (IN) and after anaerobic digestion (AA) and after  
315 aerobic treatment (OUT). These analyses provided a description on how organics are affected or  
316 transformed by multistage anaerobic reactor and aerobic biological treatment. Qualitatively, IN was just a  
317 diluted solution of HTWW, showing same HTL derivatives. These derivatives typically decreased in AA in  
318 which few HTL derivatives persisted, while some newly formed additional compounds arose (e.g. VFA and  
319 adipic acid). Finally, OUT was largely depleted in GC-MS identifiable constituents. SEC-RID analysis of  
320 soluble organics provided a clear and simplified picture on the changes in amount and molecular weight  
321 distribution of compounds. To the purpose of evaluating the actual effect of biological degradation, it is  
322 useful to compare the composition of IN, AA and OUT with a time lag roughly equal to HRT in between  
323 sampling points. This comparison is shown in Figure 5, that reports SEC-RID data relative to IN sampled at  
324 day 654, AA sampled at day 672 and OUT sampled at day 686. SEC-RID chromatogram on IN shows a  
325 molecular weight distribution which is similar to that observed for HTWW with additional HMW in the 3-12  
326 kDa range. Chromatogram of AA shows an almost total disappearance of low molecular weight (<1 kDa)  
327 compounds, a significant decrease of HMW compounds in the 2-10 kDa range. Finally, OUT chromatogram  
328 shows further decrease of polar organics with molecular weight less than 7.5 kDa, and almost negligible  
329 changes above that ceiling. The overall picture shown by SEC-RID results suggests a significant


330 biodegradation/removal of polar organic compounds. In particular, the decrease involved mainly the  
331 compounds with lower molecular weight, whereas HMW fractions were less affected by both aerobic and  
332 anaerobic treatment and showed a significant accumulation in the system.



333

334 *Figure 5: molecular distribution through SEC-RID analysis of polar dissolved organic matter in HTWW before and after biological*  
335 *treatments.*

336 From a quantitative point of view, Figure 6 (A, B, C) details the time trends of some relevant organic  
337 compounds involved in HTWW treatment that were detected through silylation/GC-MS. Concentrations  
338 expressed as  $\text{gCOD L}^{-1}$ , are referred to the anaerobic digestion inlet (IN) and outlet (AA) and to the final  
339 aerobic stage (OUT). Most of the water-soluble substances with common natural analogs, for instance  
340 sugar derivatives, lactic acid or pyroglutamic acid were readily degraded in the anaerobic stage, whereas N-  
341 aromatic heterocycles showed a certain recalcitrance to biological degradation. In particular,  
342 hydroxypyridines, 2-pyrrolidinone and small hydroxy acids were scarcely degraded by anaerobic treatment,  
343 but were effectively degraded by aerobic treatment. However, 5-hydroxy-2-methylpyridine persisted in  
344 both anaerobic and aerobic treatment (AA and OUT). It is worth remarking that the final upward  
345 concentrations of 5-hydroxy-2-methylpyridine in AA was well above the toxicity ceilings for bacteria, [39]  
346 suggesting a significant concern for accumulation of this compounds in the system over long term.



347

348

349


350

Figure 6: time trend of relevant organics in HTWW before and after biological treatment through silylation/GC-MS. A: sugars, N-aromatic heterocycles, lipid derivatives and short chain fatty acids; B: glycerol, lactic acid, VFA and adipic acid; C: focus on N-aromatic heterocycles.



351 To provide a general picture of biodegradation, Figure 7 summarizes the overall weighted average of major  
352 classes observed during the study in the three sampling points. IN solution consisted in HTWW organics  
353 (accounting for 19 gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>) plus COD contribution from OUT used for HTWW dilution, mostly consisting in  
354 HMW compounds and SSV, accounting for remaining 4 gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>. Entering COD was then 23 gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>. After  
355 anaerobic treatment, the sum of soluble compounds in effluent of anaerobic reactor (AA) and methane  
356 generated was equal to 17 gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>. Looking at the chemical composition in AA, about half of COD (9.1  
357 gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>) were found as fermentation products, namely methane (4 gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>), VFA (3.7 gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>), ammonia  
358 (1.1 gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>) and adipic acid (0.6 gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>), whereas the remaining COD was formed by identified  
359 unreacted HTWW organics (1.9 gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>), suspended solids (1.8 gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>), HMW (2.5 gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>) and non-  
360 identified GC detectable constituents (2.1 gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>). It is important to point out that, being the UASB  
361 completely anaerobic and under steady state, the overall decrease of COD observed between IN and AA,  
362 equal to 21%, is noticeable. The decrease could be almost entirely attributed to the precipitation of some  
363 organic constituents inside reactors and pipes surfaces. This hypothesis was assessed by means of  
364 inspections of some sections of anaerobic reactors, which shows a significant amount of bitumen like  
365 water-insoluble and dichloromethane soluble matter attached on the wall of reactor surfaces. Although  
366 precise assessment of the extent of this phenomenon was impossible without stopping the reactors,  
367 amount of precipitated matter was comparable to the COD loss observed between IN and AA. Moving to  
368 aerobic treatment, average COD of final effluent was equal to 7 gCOD L<sup>-1</sup> which corresponds to a 60%  
369 decrease of total COD through aerobic respiration.

370



371


372 *Figure 7: distribution of major chemical classes of HTWW before and after biological treatments. IN: average concentration of*  
 373 *organics in HTWW diluted with effluent of aerobic stage; AA: average concentration of organics after anaerobic digestion; OUT:*  
 374 *average concentration after aerobic stage.*

375 Few identified unreacted HTWW organics ( $0.6 \text{ gCOD L}^{-1}$ ), mostly N-aromatic heterocycles, were detected in  
 376 OUT, suggesting a general effectiveness of the process on the GC-MS amenable fraction. Most of  
 377 compounds identified in HTWW were effectively degraded by combination of anaerobic and aerobic  
 378 processes. OUT organic matter consisted in suspended solids  $1.2 \text{ gCOD L}^{-1}$  (mostly bacterial biomass, as  
 379 assessed by microscope evaluation),  $1.8 \text{ gCOD L}^{-1}$  unconverted HMW and  $2.4 \text{ gCOD L}^{-1}$  of non-identified GC  
 380 detectable matter that breakthrough the aerobic treatment. Such results are in accordance with SEC-RID  
 381 analysis, which shows a negligible biodegradation of HMW.

### 382 3.4 Overall COD balance and process considerations

383 Figure 8 shows the COD balance between days 654-686 obtained by multiplying the observed COD  
 384 concentrations by the volume of each stream flowed during monitoring, namely HTWW (about  $2.8 \text{ mL/d}$ ),  
 385 recirculation flow (R-OUT, about  $23 \text{ mL/day}$ ) flow through AD (AA, about  $26 \text{ mL/day}$ ) and treated aerobic  
 386 effluent flow (OUT, about  $2.6 \text{ mL/day}$ ). This balance allows to correctly evaluate the effectiveness of COD  
 387 abatement of the system. The evaluation, performed on a time span equal to 32 days, being more than  
 388 total HRT, can be considered quite adequate due to the fact that the system was already saturated and  
 389 therefore the amount of organics that remain in the system at the end of the 32 days is fairly balanced by  
 390 the organic matter already present in the system at the beginning. It is important to note that the balance  
 391 strongly differs from concentration data especially for OUT, mainly due to the fact that internal  
 392 recirculation, which brings back most of COD in OUT, is considered. Between day 654 and day 686,  $16 \text{ gCOD}$

393 were added to the entire system as HTWW. Main constituents of this input were 4.4 gCOD sugars 1.4 gCOD  
 394 lactic acid, 1.2 gCOD glycerol, 0.9 gCOD pyroglutamic acid, 0.9 gCOD as NAH, 1.6 gCOD unidentified GC-MS  
 395 detectable compounds and 2.9 gCOD HMW compounds. Such COD merged with 4 gCOD from R-OUT,  
 396 mostly formed by complex undefined residual organic matter and VSS (0.8 gCOD) prior to AD, which then  
 397 received 20 gCOD in total. COD output measured in AA reveals that almost all identified organics but NAH  
 398 were transformed in methane (2.6 gCOD, 0.91 L<sub>CH4</sub>) and soluble fermentation products, namely VFA and  
 399 adipic acid, whose amount was respectively 3.1, and 0.4 gCOD. VSS increase through AD which received 0.8  
 400 gCOD and produced 1.5 gCOD, suggesting bacterial growth or organics precipitation. Moreover, half the  
 401 amount of unknown organic matter (non-identified GC-MS detectable and HMW) which enters in AD was  
 402 not converted or was converted to other undefined organic matter. Aerobic treatment, which received AA  
 403 as it is, was able to oxidize 65% of COD, producing a residual 5 gCOD, mainly formed by undefined organic  
 404 matter, VSS and minor amount of NAH (0.1 gCOD). Such performance is in line with the typical oxidation  
 405 yield observed for aerobic treatment with high HRT (10 days) and long residence time of sludge (equal to  
 406 HRT). 35% of COD of AA, which survives the aerobic treatment, was mostly recirculated to AD (4.4 gCOD)  
 407 and discharged as process effluent (0.5 gCOD). Mainly due to recirculation of OUT, the COD removal  
 408 performance of the system could be considered excellent with 97% abatement observed during the time  
 409 span of the study. Nonetheless most of this COD reduction is achieved by aerobic treatment at long  
 410 residence time, with minimal methane production.



411

412 *Figure 8: COD balance of major components of HTWW before and after biological treatments. HTWW: hydrothermal liquefaction*  
 413 *wastewater organics; R-OUT: recirculated outlet organics; AA: output of organics after anaerobic digestion; OUT: output of organics*  
 414 *after complete treatment*

415

416 To evaluate the absolute performance of the system as functional unit for HTWW treatments, overall yields  
417 and productivities could be calculated. In 32 days of regime operation, 0.5 gCOD d<sup>-1</sup> were provided to the  
418 system (400 mL AD and 200 mL aerobic reactor), which corresponds to an average organic loading rate  
419 equal to 0.83 gCOD L<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>. Looking at net balance, combined anaerobic and aerobic treatment processing of  
420 such input yielded to 0.08 gCOD d<sup>-1</sup> of methane, 0.21 gCOD d<sup>-1</sup> of insoluble matter (precipitated as oily  
421 substances in the AD) and, in output stream, minor amount of soluble organic matter and excess VSS. Most  
422 of COD, namely 0.20 gCOD d<sup>-1</sup> is oxidized to CO<sub>2</sub> in the aerobic stage. Calculating the volumetric  
423 productivities, this means that the system was able to remove 0.8 gCOD L<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> with a volumetric  
424 productivity of methane 0.13 gCOD L<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> which corresponds to 45 L<sup>-1</sup>CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-3</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>. Assuming (as suggested by  
425 microscope evaluation) that most of suspended solids (1.8 gCOD L<sup>-1</sup> and 1.2 gCOD L<sup>-1</sup> ) are in fact  
426 microorganism, specific activity was equal to 0.08 gCOD<sub>CH<sub>4</sub></sub> gCOD<sub>VSS</sub><sup>-1</sup> or 0.5 gCOD gCOD<sub>VSS</sub><sup>-1</sup>.

427 Table 2 summarizes the studies performed in this field to the objective of allowing a consistent comparison  
428 with literature. Data were obtained from back calculation of published experimental results from various  
429 configurations, highlighting the key AD performances obtained from biological treatment (with or without  
430 pretreatment), namely biomethane yields, volumetric productivities (in term of COD abatement or  
431 biomethane productivity) and, whereas available, specific activity of microbial consortia. Data concerning  
432 methane productivity are in line with results obtained by diluting the HTWW to the same concentration of  
433 this work (20 gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>), which shows a volumetric productivity less than 1 gCOD L<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>. From the point of  
434 view of COD removal, the order of magnitude of the performance is comparable with that obtainable on  
435 highly diluted HTWW but without the need of dilution. Looking at specific activity of microorganism, this is  
436 0.08 gCOD<sub>CH<sub>4</sub></sub> gCOD<sub>VSS</sub><sup>-1</sup> for methane production or 0.5 gCOD gCOD<sub>VSS</sub><sup>-1</sup> for COD abatement. Methanogenic  
437 activity is one order of magnitude less than the values observed for commercial anaerobic digester  
438 operating on non-inhibiting streams, and very close to that obtained for optimized biomethanation of  
439 diluted HTWW. On the other hand, although there are scarce data on aerobic degradation of HTWW, we  
440 can point out that overall COD abatement rate is in the lower boundary of aerobic wastewater system,  
441 suggesting that non-methane pathway (HTWW to VFA then to CO<sub>2</sub>) could be less sensitive to HTWW toxic  
442 constituents. As a whole, the comparison with the literature suggests that internal recirculation of  
443 aerobically treated effluent can be used instead of water to obtain a lower concentration of COD without  
444 additional adverse impact. According to this it is interesting to notice that, a higher recirculation rate (e.g.  
445 1:20, to bring IN COD to 10 gCOD L<sup>-1</sup>) could improve the methane productivity. Nonetheless, this option  
446 should not necessarily improve the COD removal rate and could bring additional issues. In particular,  
447 aerobic system should work at room temperature (due to low solubility of oxygen at high temperature) and  
448 AD should work at thermophilic or mesophilic temperature. Therefore, the increase of recirculation rate  
449 increases the heat need of the system or the size of heat exchanger (to heat up the recirculation flow to AD  
450 temperature). Another possible improvement is related to bacterial concentration in AA, which was

451 relatively low ( $1.8 \text{ gCOD L}^{-1}$ ), at least in comparison with other conventional UASB configuration [40]. Since  
 452 the system was at equilibrium (growth is balanced by the washout) and given the nutrients profile of  
 453 HTWW, this phenomenon should not be related to bacterial growth or linked to the feedstock provided  
 454 but, more probably, to the configuration used in this work. Therefore, a promising strategy could be to  
 455 increase the amount of bacterial biomass in the anaerobic digester by means of different reaction  
 456 configurations or filling materials. This strategy could potentially improve the volumetric productivity of AD  
 457 by one order of magnitude without adverse effects, meanwhile determining a further reduction of COD of  
 458 effluent after aerobic treatment. Finally, detailed analysis performed in this work clearly shows that, even  
 459 when methanogenesis is heavily inhibited, high concentration of VFA can be obtained by acidogenesis.  
 460 Although this study was not optimized to VFA production, overall yields were significant, close to  $80\%$   
 461  $\text{gCOD}_{\text{VFA}} \text{ gCOD}^{-1}$  in certain stages of the experiments. Final concentrations of VFA were in the self-inhibition  
 462 range for acidogenesis ( $14\text{-}12 \text{ gCOD L}^{-1}$  and slightly acidic pH) for most of the study, which means that  
 463 observed VFA yields can be less than the maximum achievable yields. As a proof of concept, pre-diluted  
 464 HTWW was fed to the system after day 708 in order to assess the maximum yield of VFA. Under these  
 465 conditions, yields of VFA increased up to  $68\%$  suggesting that self-inhibition of acidogenesis could be  
 466 removed by continuous recovery of VFA (instead of dilution). This finding is also in agreement with  
 467 observations from other authors who found a quite relevant VFA production even under conditions able to  
 468 inhibit methane production [20,21]. VFA, being a common chemical or biological intermediate, can be  
 469 separated and used for several purposes [41,42]. VFA enriched solution can be provided to other  
 470 microorganisms to obtain polyhydroxyalkanoates or microbial oils [43]. VFA can be chemically converted to  
 471 drop-in chemicals like hydrocarbons, [44] ketones [45] and alcohols [46]. All these evidences suggest that in  
 472 place of exploiting the slow growing and sensitive Archaea to obtain methane, different approach targeted  
 473 to VFA through non-methanogenic routes could represent a challenging but promising research area.

474

475 *Table 2: typical performances of biological treatment according to previous literature studies about HTWW valorization. SE: solvent*  
 476 *extraction; AC: activated carbon; PUF: polyurethane foam. \*this study.*

feedstock	PRT	HTWW	COD	VFA	Yield	VP	HRT	Reactor	Ref
		$\frac{\text{gCOD}}{\text{L}}$	$\frac{\text{gCOD}}{\text{L}}$	$\frac{\text{gCOD}}{\text{L}}$	$\frac{\text{gCOD}}{\text{gCOD}_{\text{in}}}$	$\frac{\text{gCOD}}{\text{L} \cdot \text{d}}$	d		
rice straw			0.8		51%	0.04	27	batch	[14]
rice straw	SE		0.8		65%	0.10	27	batch	[14]
rice straw			16		44%	1.40	5	ASBR	[14]
rice straw	SE		16		62%	1.99	5	ASBR	[14]
swine manure			3.4		64%	0.05	65	batch	[15]
swine manure			27		22%	0.10	65	batch	[15]

swine manure	AC		34		64%	0.53	65	batch	[15]
mixed microalgae			3.3		56%	0.13	45	BMP	[16]
mixed microalgae			3.2		44%	0.10	45	BMP	[16]
mixed microalgae			3.3		61%	0.55	45	BMP	[16]
nannochloropsis sp.		62	1.0		15%	0.01	13	BMP	[17]
nannochloropsis sp.	MgCl <sub>2</sub>	51	1.0		52%	0.06	13	BMP	[17]
nannochloropsis sp.		91	1.0		12%	0.03	5	BMP	[17]
nannochloropsis sp.	AC	43	1.0		30%	0.07	5	BMP	[17]
spirulina		89	17		33%	0.05	140	2xBatch	[18]
spirulina	GAC	89	17		31%	0.05	140	2xBatch	[18]
spirulina	Zeolite	89	17		38%	0.06	140	2xBatch	[18]
spirulina	PUf	89	17		41%	0.08	120	2xBatch	[18]
Chlorella 1067		76	4.0		50%	0.18	50	BMP	[19]
Chlorella 1067		76	7.0		12%	0.06	45	BMP	[19]
Chlorella 1067	Zeolite	71	4.0		60%	0.40	50	BMP	[19]
Chlorella 1067	Zeolite	71	7.0		14%	0.17	45	BMP	[19]
Tetraselmis AGT		87	19		89%	0.74	18	CSTR	[20]
Tetraselmis AGT		87	61	8.6	0%	0.37	23	CSTR	[20]
Chlorella AGC		75	20		69%	0.56	18	CSTR	[20]
Chlorella AGC		75	53	9.6	4%	0.55	18	CSTR	[20]
swine manure			10	1.6	39%	0.07	50	BMP	[21]
swine manure	AC		10		65%	0.17	50	BMP	[21]
swine manure	O <sub>3</sub>		10	0.2	53%	0.17	50	BMP	[21]
swine manure			10	4.5	7%	0.33	14	BMP	[21]
sewage sludge		60	10		58%	1.44	4	ASBR	[22]
sewage sludge	AC	60	10		74%	1.85	4	ASBR	[22]
sewage sludge		80	0.8		49%	0.05	10	BMP	[23]
sewage sludge		77	0.8		53%	0.06	10	BMP	[23]
spirulina		143	4.7		76%	0.01	35	BMP	[24]
spirulina	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	143	3.8		70%	0.01	35	BMP	[24]
swine manure		40	5.0	0.7	63%	0.22	35	BMP	[25]

swine manure		40	20	9.6	15%	0.06	100	BMP	[25]
swine manure	O <sub>3</sub>	40	20	6.6	32%	0.14	100	BMP	[25]
swine manure	AC	40	20	2.5	61%	0.33	100	BMP	[25]
swine manure	O <sub>3</sub> +AC	40	20	2.5	58%	0.36	100	BMP	[25]
food waste		4.0	1.0		48%	0.06	30	BMP	[26]
food waste		4.0	1.0		43%	0.01	30	BMP	[26]
sewage sludge			10	1.2	60%	1.49	4	UASB	[27]
OFSW		189	189	0	16%	0.8	20	UASB	*
OFSW		189	189	0	97%	0.8	220	UASB+AR	*

477

#### 478 4. Conclusions

479 Continuous biological processing of hydrothermal liquefaction wastewater was demonstrated for the first  
480 time, without any external input and for a long time of almost 3 years. Such a configuration allowed firstly  
481 to convert most of HTWW organics into VFA and methane and, after aerobic treatment, to reduce  
482 significantly the HTWW COD. Anaerobic treatment yielded mostly VFA and other fermentation  
483 intermediates, with minor methane yield. The overall yield of fermentation products in the last part of the  
484 study was about 50%, which suggests a significant biodegradability of the HTWW. A total COD reduction  
485 equal to 97% was achieved through an anaerobic fermentation coupled to aerobic treatment of effluent.  
486 The presence of recalcitrant nitrogen containing aromatic compounds, confirmed to be the key challenge  
487 for improving methane yields and system productivities. In moving from wastewater disposal (achievable  
488 with anaerobic-aerobic systems) to wastewater valorization into biogas, the effort should be focused to  
489 process intensification as the selective removal of biological inhibitors by pretreatment of HTWW.  
490 Pathways alternative to biogas generation, such as the production/purification of VFA is worth of  
491 consideration in future studies

#### 492 Acknowledgments

493 We gratefully acknowledge the scientific support of Aldo Bosetti (Eni s.p.a. Renewable Energy &  
494 Environmental R&D, Novara, Italy) for the provision of the data related to the HTL Waste to Fuel pilot plant.

#### 495 List of Abbreviations

496 AA: Sampling point after the anaerobic digestion (Figure 1)

497 AC: Activated carbon

498 AD: Anaerobic digestion

499 AR: Aerobic Reactor

500 COD: Chemical Oxygen Demand

501 CSTR: Continuously Stirred Tank Reactor  
502 DAD: Diode Array Detector  
503 GAC: Granulated activated carbon  
504 GC-MS: Gas chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry  
505 HHV: Higher Heating Value  
506 HMW: High Molecular Weight substances  
507 HPLC: High Pressure Liquid Chromatography  
508 HRT: Hydraulic Residence Time  
509 HTL: Hydrothermal Liquefaction  
510 HTWW: Hydrothermal Treatment Wastewater  
511 iCOD: Insoluble Chemical Oxygen Demand  
512 IN: Sampling point before the anaerobic digestion (Figure 1)  
513 NAH: nitrogen containing aromatic hydrocarbons.  
514 OLR: Organic Loading Rate  
515 OMSW: Organic Fraction of Municipal Solid Waste  
516 OUT: Sampling point after the aerobic stage (Figure 1)  
517 PUF: Polyurethane foam  
518 RID: Refraction Index Detector  
519 R-OUT: amount of recirculated organics (as gCOD) from OUT  
520 sCOD: water soluble COD  
521 SE: Solvent Extraction  
522 silylation/GC-MS: silylation and analysis with GC-MS  
523 tCOD: Total COD  
524 TN: Total Nitrogen  
525 TSS: Total Suspended Solids  
526 UASB: Upflow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket  
527 VFA: Volatile Fatty Acids  
528 VSS: Volatile Suspended Solids  
529  
530 **References**  
531



- 532 [1] Eni: Syndial pilot plant in Gela begins transforming organic municipal waste into bio-oil, (n.d.).  
533 [https://www.eni.com/en-IT/media/press-release/2018/12/eni-syndial-pilot-plant-in-gela-begins-](https://www.eni.com/en-IT/media/press-release/2018/12/eni-syndial-pilot-plant-in-gela-begins-transforming-organic-municipal-waste-into-bio-oil.html)  
534 [transforming-organic-municipal-waste-into-bio-oil.html](https://www.eni.com/en-IT/media/press-release/2018/12/eni-syndial-pilot-plant-in-gela-begins-transforming-organic-municipal-waste-into-bio-oil.html) (accessed May 12, 2020).
- 535 [2] L. Zhan, L. Jiang, Y. Zhang, B. Gao, Z. Xu, Reduction, Detoxification and Recycling of Solid Waste by  
536 Hydrothermal Technology: A Review, *Chem. Eng. J.* 390 (2020) 124651.  
537 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.124651>.
- 538 [3] Y. Gu, X. Zhang, B. Deal, L. Han, J. Zheng, H. Ben, Advances in energy systems for valorization of  
539 aqueous byproducts generated from hydrothermal processing of biomass and systems thinking,  
540 *Green Chem.* 21 (2019) 2518–2543. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c8gc03611j>.
- 541 [4] D.C. Elliott, T.R. Hart, A.J. Schmidt, G.G. Neuenschwander, L.J. Rotness, M. V. Olarte, A.H. Zacher,  
542 K.O. Albrecht, R.T. Hallen, J.E. Holladay, Process development for hydrothermal liquefaction of algae  
543 feedstocks in a continuous-flow reactor, *Algal Res.* 2 (2013) 445–454.  
544 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2013.08.005>.
- 545 [5] M. Usman, H. Chen, K. Chen, S. Ren, J.H. Clark, J. Fan, G. Luo, S. Zhang, Characterization and  
546 utilization of aqueous products from hydrothermal conversion of biomass for bio-oil and hydro-char  
547 production: A review, *Green Chem.* 21 (2019) 1553–1572. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c8gc03957g>.
- 548 [6] S.D. Davidson, J.A. Lopez-Ruiz, Y. Zhu, A.R. Cooper, K.O. Albrecht, R.A. Dagle, Strategies to Valorize  
549 the Hydrothermal Liquefaction-Derived Aqueous Phase into Fuels and Chemicals, *ACS Sustain.*  
550 *Chem. Eng.* (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b05308>.
- 551 [7] R.B. Madsen, P. Biller, M.M. Jensen, J. Becker, B.B. Iversen, M. Glasius, Predicting the Chemical  
552 Composition of Aqueous Phase from Hydrothermal Liquefaction of Model Compounds and  
553 Biomasses, *Energy and Fuels.* 30 (2016) 10470–10483.  
554 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.6b02007>.
- 555 [8] B. Maddi, E. Panisko, T. Wietsma, T. Lemmon, M. Swita, K. Albrecht, D. Howe, Quantitative  
556 Characterization of Aqueous Byproducts from Hydrothermal Liquefaction of Municipal Wastes, Food  
557 Industry Wastes, and Biomass Grown on Waste, *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* 5 (2017) 2205–2214.  
558 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.6b02367>.
- 559 [9] B. Maddi, E. Panisko, T. Wietsma, T. Lemmon, M. Swita, K. Albrecht, D. Howe, Quantitative  
560 characterization of the aqueous fraction from hydrothermal liquefaction of algae, *Biomass and*  
561 *Bioenergy.* 93 (2016) 122–130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2016.07.010>.
- 562 [10] C. Gai, Y. Zhang, W.T. Chen, Y. Zhou, L. Schideman, P. Zhang, G. Tommaso, C.T. Kuo, Y. Dong,

- 563 Characterization of aqueous phase from the hydrothermal liquefaction of *Chlorella pyrenoidosa*,  
564 *Bioresour. Technol.* 184 (2015) 328–335. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.10.118>.
- 565 [11] D.C. Hietala, C.M. Godwin, B.J. Cardinale, P.E. Savage, The individual and synergistic impacts of  
566 feedstock characteristics and reaction conditions on the aqueous co-product from hydrothermal  
567 liquefaction, *Algal Res.* 42 (2019) 101568. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2019.101568>.
- 568 [12] R. Posmanik, R.A. Labatut, A.H. Kim, J.G. Usack, J.W. Tester, L.T. Angenent, Coupling hydrothermal  
569 liquefaction and anaerobic digestion for energy valorization from model biomass feedstocks,  
570 *Bioresour. Technol.* 233 (2017) 134–143. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.02.095>.
- 571 [13] Y. Gu, X. Zhang, B. Deal, L. Han, Biological systems for treatment and valorization of wastewater  
572 generated from hydrothermal liquefaction of biomass and systems thinking: A review, *Bioresour.*  
573 *Technol.* 278 (2019) 329–345. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.01.127>.
- 574 [14] H. Chen, J. Wan, K. Chen, G. Luo, J. Fan, J. Clark, S. Zhang, Biogas production from hydrothermal  
575 liquefaction wastewater (HTLWW): Focusing on the microbial communities as revealed by high-  
576 throughput sequencing of full-length 16S rRNA genes, *Water Res.* 106 (2016) 98–107.  
577 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.09.052>.
- 578 [15] Y. Zhou, L. Schideman, M. Zheng, A. Martin-Ryals, P. Li, G. Tommaso, Y. Zhang, Anaerobic digestion  
579 of post-hydrothermal liquefaction wastewater for improved energy efficiency of hydrothermal  
580 bioenergy processes, *Water Sci. Technol.* 72 (2015) 2139–2147.  
581 <https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2015.435>.
- 582 [16] G. Tommaso, W.T. Chen, P. Li, L. Schideman, Y. Zhang, Chemical characterization and anaerobic  
583 biodegradability of hydrothermal liquefaction aqueous products from mixed-culture wastewater  
584 algae, *Bioresour. Technol.* 178 (2015) 139–146. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.10.011>.
- 585 [17] S.R. Shanmugam, S. Adhikari, Z. Wang, R. Shakya, Treatment of aqueous phase of bio-oil by granular  
586 activated carbon and evaluation of biogas production, *Bioresour. Technol.* 223 (2017) 115–120.  
587 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.10.008>.
- 588 [18] M. Zheng, L.C. Schideman, G. Tommaso, W.T. Chen, Y. Zhou, K. Nair, W. Qian, Y. Zhang, K. Wang,  
589 Anaerobic digestion of wastewater generated from the hydrothermal liquefaction of *Spirulina*:  
590 Toxicity assessment and minimization, *Energy Convers. Manag.* 141 (2017) 420–428.  
591 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2016.10.034>.
- 592 [19] R. Li, D. Liu, Y. Zhang, N. Duan, J. Zhou, Z. Liu, Y. Zhang, Improved methane production and energy  
593 recovery of post-hydrothermal liquefaction waste water via integration of zeolite adsorption and

- 594 anaerobic digestion, *Sci. Total Environ.* 651 (2019) 61–69.  
595 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.09.175>.
- 596 [20] S. Fernandez, K. Srinivas, A.J. Schmidt, M.S. Swita, B.K. Ahring, Anaerobic digestion of organic  
597 fraction from hydrothermal liquefied algae wastewater byproduct, *Bioresour. Technol.* 247 (2018)  
598 250–258. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.09.030>.
- 599 [21] L. Yang, B. Si, X. Tan, H. Chu, X. Zhou, Y. Zhang, Y. Zhang, F. Zhao, Integrated anaerobic digestion and  
600 algae cultivation for energy recovery and nutrient supply from post-hydrothermal liquefaction  
601 wastewater, *Bioresour. Technol.* 266 (2018) 349–356.  
602 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.06.083>.
- 603 [22] M. Usman, S. Hao, H. Chen, S. Ren, D.C.W. Tsang, S. O-Thong, G. Luo, S. Zhang, Molecular and  
604 microbial insights towards understanding the anaerobic digestion of the wastewater from  
605 hydrothermal liquefaction of sewage sludge facilitated by granular activated carbon (GAC), *Environ.*  
606 *Int.* 133 (2019) 105257. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.105257>.
- 607 [23] H. Chen, Y. Rao, L. Cao, Y. Shi, S. Hao, G. Luo, S. Zhang, Hydrothermal conversion of sewage sludge:  
608 Focusing on the characterization of liquid products and their methane yields, *Chem. Eng. J.* 357  
609 (2019) 367–375. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2018.09.180>.
- 610 [24] D. Quispe-Arpasi, R. de Souza, M. Stablein, Z. Liu, N. Duan, H. Lu, Y. Zhang, A.L. de Oliveira, R.  
611 Ribeiro, G. Tommaso, Anaerobic and photocatalytic treatments of post-hydrothermal liquefaction  
612 wastewater using H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, *Bioresour. Technol. Reports.* 3 (2018) 247–255.  
613 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biteb.2018.08.003>.
- 614 [25] B. Si, L. Yang, X. Zhou, J. Watson, G. Tommaso, W.T. Chen, Q. Liao, N. Duan, Z. Liu, Y. Zhang,  
615 Anaerobic conversion of the hydrothermal liquefaction aqueous phase: Fate of organics and  
616 intensification with granule activated carbon/ozone pretreatment, *Green Chem.* 21 (2019) 1305–  
617 1318. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c8gc02907e>.
- 618 [26] R. Posmanik, R.A. Labatut, A.H. Kim, J.G. Usack, J.W. Tester, L.T. Angenent, Coupling hydrothermal  
619 liquefaction and anaerobic digestion for energy valorization from model biomass feedstocks,  
620 *Bioresour. Technol.* 233 (2017) 134–143. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.02.095>.
- 621 [27] S. Hao, S. Ren, N. Zhou, H. Chen, M. Usman, C. He, Q. Shi, G. Luo, S. Zhang, Molecular composition of  
622 hydrothermal liquefaction wastewater from sewage sludge and its transformation during anaerobic  
623 digestion, *J. Hazard. Mater.* 383 (2020) 121163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2019.121163>.
- 624 [28] A.R.K. Gollakota, N. Kishore, S. Gu, A review on hydrothermal liquefaction of biomass, *Renew.*

- 625 Sustain. Energy Rev. 81 (2018) 1378–1392. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.05.178>.
- 626 [29] D. López Barreiro, W. Prins, F. Ronsse, W. Brilman, Hydrothermal liquefaction (HTL) of microalgae  
627 for biofuel production: State of the art review and future prospects, Biomass and Bioenergy. 53  
628 (2013) 113–127. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2012.12.029>.
- 629 [30] S. Alimoradi, H. Stohr, S. Stagg-Williams, B. Sturm, Effect of temperature on toxicity and  
630 biodegradability of dissolved organic nitrogen formed during hydrothermal liquefaction of biomass,  
631 Chemosphere. 238 (2020) 124573. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.124573>.
- 632 [31] M. Pham, L. Schideman, J. Scott, N. Rajagopalan, M.J. Plewa, Chemical and biological  
633 characterization of wastewater generated from hydrothermal liquefaction of Spirulina, Environ. Sci.  
634 Technol. 47 (2013) 2131–2138. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es304532c>.
- 635 [32] D.C. Elliott, P. Biller, A.B. Ross, A.J. Schmidt, S.B. Jones, Hydrothermal liquefaction of biomass:  
636 Developments from batch to continuous process, Bioresour. Technol. 178 (2015) 147–156.  
637 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.09.132>.
- 638 [33] R. Miglio, R. Paglino, C. Torri, A. Kiwan, D. Fabbri, Process for the production of bio-oil and biogas  
639 from biomass, WO2020/0021127 A8, 2020.
- 640 [34] S.A. Channiwala, P.P. Parikh, A unified correlation for estimating HHV of solid, liquid and gaseous  
641 fuels, Fuel. 81 (2002) 1051–1063. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-2361\(01\)00131-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-2361(01)00131-4).
- 642 [35] C. Perego, A. Bosetti, M. Ricci, R. Millini, Zeolite Materials for Biomass Conversion to Biofuel, Energy  
643 and Fuels. 31 (2017) 7721–7733. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.7b01057>.
- 644 [36] C. Torri, D. Fabbri, Biochar enables anaerobic digestion of aqueous phase from intermediate  
645 pyrolysis of biomass, Bioresour. Technol. 172 (2014) 335–341.  
646 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.09.021>.
- 647 [37] M. Ghidotti, D. Fabbri, C. Torri, S. Piccinini, Determination of volatile fatty acids in digestate by  
648 solvent extraction with dimethyl carbonate and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry, Anal.  
649 Chim. Acta. 1034 (2018) 92–101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2018.06.082>.
- 650 [38] L. Garcia Alba, C. Torri, D. Fabbri, S.R.A. Kersten, D.W.F. Wim Brilman, Microalgae growth on the  
651 aqueous phase from Hydrothermal Liquefaction of the same microalgae, Chem. Eng. J. 228 (2013)  
652 214–223. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2013.04.097>.
- 653 [39] H.-J.H.S.-M.L. Chin-Hung Wu, Toxicity And Anaerobic Biodegradability of Pyridine and its Derivatives  
654 Under Sulfidogenic Conditions, Chemosphere. 36 (1998) 2345–2357.  
655 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535\(97\)10203-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(97)10203-X).

- 656 [40] J.B. van Lier, F.P. van der Zee, C.T.M.J. Frijters, M.E. Ersahin, Celebrating 40 years anaerobic sludge  
657 bed reactors for industrial wastewater treatment, *Rev. Environ. Sci. Biotechnol.* 14 (2015) 681–702.  
658 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11157-015-9375-5>.
- 659 [41] X. Zhang, J. Scott, B.K. Sharma, N. Rajagopalan, Advanced treatment of hydrothermal liquefaction  
660 wastewater with nanofiltration to recover carboxylic acids, *Environ. Sci. Water Res. Technol.* 4  
661 (2018) 520–528. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c8ew00007g>.
- 662 [42] M.P. Zacharof, S.J. Mandale, P.M. Williams, R.W. Lovitt, Nanofiltration of treated digested  
663 agricultural wastewater for recovery of carboxylic acids, *J. Clean. Prod.* 112 (2016) 4749–4761.  
664 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.07.004>.
- 665 [43] M. Atasoy, I. Owusu-Agyeman, E. Plaza, Z. Cetecioglu, Bio-based volatile fatty acid production and  
666 recovery from waste streams: Current status and future challenges, *Bioresour. Technol.* 268 (2018)  
667 773–786. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.07.042>.
- 668 [44] H. Olcay, L. Xu, Y. Xu, G.W. Huber, Aqueous-phase hydrogenation of acetic acid over transition metal  
669 catalysts, *ChemCatChem.* 2 (2010) 1420–1424. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cctc.201000134>.
- 670 [45] J.A. Lopez-Ruiz, A.R. Cooper, G. Li, K.O. Albrecht, Enhanced hydrothermal stability and catalytic  
671 activity of LaxZryOz mixed oxides for the ketonization of acetic acid in the aqueous condensed  
672 phase, *ACS Catal.* 7 (2017) 6400–6412. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.7b01071>.
- 673 [46] M.T. Holtzapple, R.R. Davison, M.K. Ross, S. Aldrett-Lee, M. Nagwani, C.M. Lee, C. Lee, S. Adelson,  
674 W. Kaar, D. Gaskin, H. Shirage, N.S. Chang, V.S. Chang, M.E. Loescher, Biomass conversion to mixed  
675 alcohol fuels using the MixAlco process, *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol. - Part A Enzym. Eng. Biotechnol.*  
676 77–79 (1999) 609–631. <https://doi.org/10.1385/abab:79:1-3:609>.
- 677