



ALMA MATER STUDIORUM
UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA

ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE
DELLA RICERCA

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Characterizing magma fragmentation and its relationship with eruptive styles of Somma-Vesuvius volcano (Naples, Italy)

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Poret, M., Di Donato, M., Costa, A., Sulpizio, R., Mele, D., Lucchi, F. (2020). Characterizing magma fragmentation and its relationship with eruptive styles of Somma-Vesuvius volcano (Naples, Italy). *JOURNAL OF VOLCANOLOGY AND GEOTHERMAL RESEARCH*, 393, 1-17 [10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2019.106683].

Availability:

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/799817> since: 2021-02-16

Published:

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2019.106683>

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

Manuscript Details

Manuscript number	VOLGEO_2019_161
Title	Characterizing magma fragmentation and its relationship with eruptive styles of Somma-Vesuvius volcano (Naples, Italy)
Article type	Research Paper

Abstract

Among the active volcanoes worldwide, Somma-Vesuvius, in Italy, is one with the highest volcanic risk as the surrounding areas are highly populated. Somma-Vesuvius is quiescent since 1944, but geological and historical records revealed a frequent violent explosive activity in the last 4000 years, representing a severe risk for the actual 700000 inhabitants living in the red zone (the area having a high probability for being impacted by pyroclastic density currents) and more than one million people who can be potentially affected by tephra fallout. This study aims at analysing the distribution of tephra fallout deposits and grain-size data from several Somma-Vesuvius eruptions of different styles, ranging from Violent Strombolian to sub-Plinian and Plinian, for characterizing the associated magmatic fragmentation through the assessment of the total grain-size distribution (TGSD). Chronologically, we focus on the Avellino (4365 BP) and Pompeii (A.D. 79) Plinian eruptions, Pollena (A.D. 472) sub-Plinian eruption, and the 1906 and 1944 Violent Strombolian eruptions. The related TGSDs were estimated by means of the Voronoi tessellation method, which, beside a suitable number of local grain-size distributions, requires the delimitation of the minimum tephra loading (zero-line contour). TGSDs for the different eruptive styles are needed by tephra dispersal models for reconstructing or predicting both tephra loading and airborne ash dispersal. However, due to the typical paucity of available field outcrops, field-derived TGSDs can be biased towards the coarse and fine populations. To encompass this issue, we performed a sensitivity study on the assumption behind TGSD reconstruction and described TGSD through analytical distributions, which best fit the field TGSDs. Our main objective is a more robust estimation of the TGSDs associated with the different eruptive styles. Characterizing such TGSDs, and the other eruption source parameters, is crucial for robustly predicting tephra loading and airborne ash dispersal of future eruptions at Somma-Vesuvius.

Keywords	Total grain-size distribution; Bulk granulometry; Eruption source parameters; Tephra fallout; Volcanic hazards assessment
Corresponding Author	Matthieu Poret
Corresponding Author's Institution	Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia
Order of Authors	Matthieu Poret, Miriana Di Donato, Antonio Costa, Roberto Sulpizio, Daniela Mele, Federico Lucchi
Suggested reviewers	Daniele Andronico, mauro antonio di vito, Guido Giordano, Bruce Houghton

Submission Files Included in this PDF

File Name [File Type]

Cover Letter.pdf [Cover Letter]

Highlights.docx [Highlights]

Poret_et_al_v3.2.docx [Manuscript File]

Submission Files Not Included in this PDF

File Name [File Type]

Fig. 1 - Context.png [Figure]

Fig. 2 - GSD - Avellino.png [Figure]

Fig. 3 - GSD - Pollena.png [Figure]

Fig. 4 - GSD - 1906.png [Figure]

Fig. 5 - GSD - 1944.png [Figure]

Fig. 6 - TGSD.png [Figure]

Table S1.xlsx [Table]

Table S2.xlsx [Table]

Table S3.xlsx [Table]

Table S4.xlsx [Table]

To view all the submission files, including those not included in the PDF, click on the manuscript title on your EVISE Homepage, then click 'Download zip file'.

Dr. Matthieu Poret
Laboratoire Magmas et Volcans
63000 Clermont-Ferrand
France
matthieu.poret@gmail.com

Dear Executive Editor,

Please find enclosed the manuscript entitled “Characterizing magma fragmentation and its relationship with eruptive styles of Somma-Vesuvius volcano (Naples, Italy)” by Matthieu Poret, Miriana Di Donato, Antonio Costa, Roberto Sulpizio, Daniela Mele, and Federico Lucchi to be considered for publication in Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research. Our work provides an assessment of the total grain size distributions (TGSD) on the basis of field data analysis for different eruptions of Somma-Vesuvius, helping to characterize magma fragmentation for the different eruptive styles analysed (i.e. from Violent Strombolian to Plinian). We focused on the Avellino and Pompeii Plinian eruptions, the Pollena sub-Plinian eruption, and the 1906 and 1944 Violent Strombolian eruptions.

For achieving this aim, we analysed field-data from the literature together with new data for assessing georeferenced grain size distributions at sites representative of the proximal, medial, and distal areas. By means of this dataset, we estimated the TGSDs for the Avellino, Pollena, 1906, and 1944 eruptions. We also studied the sensitivity of the Voronoi tessellation method used for such reconstructions. Although the number of tephra samples is limited, it covers proximal, medial, and distal areas, providing the current best approximations of the TGSDs relative to each eruption. TGSDs obtained through the Voronoi tessellation method were also estimated by means of analytical distributions (i.e. as sum of i) two lognormal and ii) two Weibull distributions), which allow for extrapolating field TGSDs. By comparing the TGSDs associated with the different eruptive styles, our results indicate that increasing the eruption intensity, i.e. going from Violent Strombolian to Plinian eruptive style, and the efficiency of magma fragmentation also increases. Moreover, they also show the significance of magma-water interaction on the amount of fines of the reconstructed TGSDs.

We hope you will find this study interesting and we believe that our results stimulate further works focussing on better characterizing TGSDs of other volcanic eruptions worldwide. In particular, the estimates of the TGSD for each eruption, representative of Violent Strombolian, sub-Plinian and Plinian styles, can be used as input for numerical models aimed at reconstructing past eruptions or tephra hazard assessment for similar scenarios.


On behalf of all co-authors, we declare no competing financial interests.

We hope that the content of this article will be of a broad interest to the Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research.

We are looking forward to your editorial decision.

Yours sincerely,

Matthieu Poret

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Matthieu Poret". The signature is written in a cursive style and is underlined with a single horizontal line.

Highlights

- 1 Tephra deposits of 4 different eruptions of Vesuvius were analyzed
- 2 Deposit volumes and bulk granulometries were reconstructed from field data analysis
- 3 Performed comparative study for different eruption and magma fragmentation styles
- 4 Results are important for tephra dispersal modelling and hazard assessment purposes

Characterizing magma fragmentation and its relationship with eruptive styles of Somma-Vesuvius volcano (Naples, Italy)

Matthieu Poret ^{1*}, Miriana Di Donato ², Antonio Costa ¹, Roberto Sulpizio ³,
Daniela Mele ³, and Federico Lucchi ²

¹ Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS, IRD, OPGC, Laboratoire Magmas et Volcans, Clermont-Ferrand, France

² Università di Bologna, Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Bologna, Italy

³ Università di Bari, Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra e Geoambientali, Bari, Italy

* Corresponding author e-mail: matthieu.poret@gmail.com

Abstract

Among the active volcanoes worldwide, Somma-Vesuvius, in Italy, is one with the highest volcanic risk as the surrounding areas are highly populated. Somma-Vesuvius is quiescent since 1944, but geological and historical records revealed a frequent violent explosive activity in the last 4000 years, representing a severe risk for the actual 700000 inhabitants living in the red zone (the area having a high probability for being impacted by pyroclastic density currents) and more than one million people who can be potentially affected by tephra fallout. This study aims at analysing the distribution of tephra fallout deposits and grain-size data from several Somma-Vesuvius eruptions of different styles, ranging from Violent Strombolian to sub-Plinian and Plinian, for characterizing the associated magmatic fragmentation through the assessment of the total grain-size distribution (TGSD). Chronologically, we focus on the Avellino (4365 BP) and Pompeii (A.D. 79) Plinian eruptions, Pollena (A.D. 472) sub-Plinian eruption, and the 1906 and 1944 Violent Strombolian eruptions. The related TGSDs were estimated by means of the Voronoi tessellation method, which, beside a suitable number of local grain-size distributions, requires the delimitation of the minimum tephra loading (zero-line contour). TGSDs for the different eruptive styles are needed by tephra dispersal models for reconstructing or predicting both tephra loading and airborne ash dispersal. However, due to the typical paucity of available field outcrops, field-derived TGSDs can be biased towards the coarse and fine populations. To encompass this issue, we performed a sensitivity study on the assumption behind TGSD reconstruction and described TGSD through analytical distributions, which best fit the field TGSDs. Our main objective is a more robust estimation of the TGSDs associated with the different eruptive styles. Characterizing such TGSDs, and the other eruption source parameters, is crucial for robustly predicting tephra loading and airborne ash dispersal of future eruptions at Somma-Vesuvius.

Keywords: Total grain-size distribution; Bulk granulometry; Eruption source parameters; Tephra fallout; Volcanic hazards assessment

34 **1 Introduction**

35 The Somma-Vesuvius volcanic complex is one of the most studied volcanoes in the world, and is
36 included among the highest volcanic risks in the world (e.g. Macedonio et al., 2008). One of the
37 main goals of modern volcanology is a quantitative assessment of volcanic hazards (e.g. tephra
38 loading, airborne ash dispersal) in sensitive areas like those surrounding Somma-Vesuvius, where
39 they can heavily impact the metropolitan city of Naples (Italy) with potential severe consequences
40 for the central Mediterranean zone (Folch and Sulpizio, 2010; Sulpizio et al., 2014). For a robust
41 volcanic hazard assessment, we must know the eruptive history of the volcano and its past
42 behaviour (e.g. Cioni et al., 2008; Santacroce et al., 2008). Such information derives typically from
43 the analysis of geological records (e.g. Cioni et al., 1999; 2008; Santacroce and Sbrana, 2003;
44 Santacroce et al., 2008; Gurioli et al., 2010; Sulpizio et al., 2010a; 2010b; 2010c; 2010d; 2014). In
45 fact, analysis of geological data allows assessing the key eruption source parameters (ESP)
46 associated with an eruption, such as the total erupted mass (TEM; i.e. eruption magnitude), mass
47 eruption rate (MER; i.e. eruption intensity), eruptive column height, and total grain-size distribution
48 (TGSD), which depends on the intensity and behaviour of the initial magma fragmentation
49 (Bonadonna and Houghton, 2005; Bonadonna et al., 2015; Costa et al., 2016). To investigate the
50 effect of different magma compositions and eruption intensity on ESPs, we selected four eruptions
51 from the eruptive record of Somma-Vesuvius, representative of its most frequent eruptive styles. In
52 particular, we considered the Avellino Plinian eruption (c.a. 3900 years BP; Sevink et al., 2011), the
53 Pollena sub-Plinian eruption (A.D. 472; Sulpizio et al., 2005; Santacroce et al., 2008; Foch and
54 Sulpizio, 2010), and the Violent Strombolian eruptions of 1906 (Mercalli, 1906; Arrighi et al.,
55 2001) and 1944 (Imbò, 1949; Cubellis et al., 2013). Moreover, for comparison purposes, we also
56 considered the TGSD of the Pompeii Plinian eruption (A.D. 79) derived from Macedonio et al.
57 (1988; 2008). The literature reports petrochemical and lithological features of the tephra deposits
58 for these four eruptions (e.g. Imbò, 1949; Macedonio et al., 1988; Arrighi et al., 2001; Cioni et al.,
59 1999; 2003a; 2003b; 2004; 2008; Sulpizio et al., 2005; 2007; 2008; 2010a; 2010b; 2010c; 2010d;
60 2012; 2014; Cole and Scarpati, 2010; Cubellis et al., 2013; Barsotti et al., 2015).

61 This study aims at bringing together geological data from different eruptions of different styles at
62 Somma-Vesuvius to assess and compare the relative magma fragmentation processes, and
63 characterize their ESPs, which is pivotal for robustly predicting tephra loading and airborne ash
64 dispersal of future eruptions. Moreover, the quantification of TGSD, including ash, for each
65 eruption can be used as an indicator for comparing the magma fragmentation efficiency during the
66 different eruptions, and its effect on the eruptive style at Somma-Vesuvius.

67 Assessing TGSD is also very important for characterizing the eruptive style by associating particle
68 size distribution to the initial gas content and magma-water interaction processes (e.g. Kaminski
69 and Jaupart, 1998, Rust and Cashman, 2011, Costa et al., 2016). Commonly, TGSD is required as
70 key input parameter within tephra dispersal models for reconstructing or predicting the tephra
71 loading and airborne ash dispersal (e.g. Folch, 2012), and to produce risk mitigation strategies
72 (Folch et al., 2008; Scollo et al., 2008) for future eruptions (e.g. at Somma-Vesuvius). In this study,
73 the TGSDs of the Avellino, Pollena, 1906, and 1944 eruptions, from Plinian to sub-Plinian and
74 Violent Strombolian, are assessed by means of the Voronoi tessellation method (Bonadonna and

119
120
121 75 Houghton, 2005), which integrates individual georeferenced grain-size distributions (GSD) for each
122 76 eruption. We also focussed on estimating the fraction of particle matter finer than 10 μm
123 77 (hereinafter PM_{10}) numerically needed for assessing the content of airborne ash (Poret et al.,
124 78 2018b), which can potentially affect aviation (e.g., Folch and Sulpizio, 2010; Folch et al., 2012;
125 79 Sulpizio et al., 2012).

128 80 However, determining TGSD from field samples analysis only can have strong limitations due to
129 81 the sampling distance from the vent (Costa et al., 2016; Poret et al., 2018b) and the spatial and
130 82 density distribution of samples along the main axis of the tephra plume (Bonadonna and Houghton,
131 83 2005; Bonadonna et al., 2015; Spanu et al., 2016). In fact, field-derived TGSD typically tends to
132 84 underestimate the fraction of either or both the coarse and fine populations (Bonadonna and
133 85 Houghton, 2005; Rose and Durant, 2009; Scollo et al., 2014; Costa et al., 2016). For encompassing
134 86 these issues and assessing the related uncertainties we carried out a sensitivity analysis and also
135 87 described the TGSD as the sum of two lognormal (Gaussian in Φ) and then two Weibull
136 88 distributions by best fitting the field-based TGSDs (Costa et al., 2016, 2017; Poret et al., 2017;
137 89 2018b; Mueller et al., 2019; Pedrazzi et al., 2019).

141 90 This paper presents first a brief description of the eruptive history of the Somma-Vesuvius volcano,
142 91 summarizing the main features of each of the considered eruptions. Then, we report the data and
143 92 methodology used to estimate the relative TGSDs. Finally, we show the TGSD obtained for each
144 93 eruption together with the discussion of the main findings.


147 94 **2 Eruptive history summaries of Somma-Vesuvius and selected eruptions**

149 95 The Somma-Vesuvius eruptive history showed alternating effusive and explosive eruptions,
150 96 sometimes associated with destructive phases (caldera) of the volcanic edifice. In particular, four
151 97 Plinian eruptions with caldera collapses truncated the Mt. Somma volcano, forming the present-day
152 98 summit caldera (Cioni et al., 1999; 2008; Rolandi et al., 2004; Santacroce et al., 2008).
153 99 Chronologically, these are the Pomici di Base (22.03 ± 0.18 cal ky BP; Bertagnini et al., 1998;
154 100 Santacroce et al., 2008), Mercato (8.89 ± 0.09 cal ky BP; Santacroce et al., 2008; Mele et al., 2011),
155 101 Avellino (3.90 ± 0.04 cal ky BP; Sevink et al., 2011; Sulpizio et al., 2010), and Pompeii (A.D. 79;
156 102 Sigurdsson et al., 1985) eruptions. After the Pompeii eruption, the Vesuvius cone started to grow
157 103 within the Mt. Somma caldera reaching its present shape (Cioni et al., 2008). Nonetheless, among
158 104 the recorded post-Pompeii activities, several high-intensity explosive eruptions occurred at Somma-
159 105 Vesuvius partly modifying the structure of the cone. These are the sub-Plinian Pollena (A.D. 472;
160 106 Sulpizio et al., 2005) and A.D. 1631 (Poret et al., 2019) eruptions. The most recent period of
161 107 activity (between A.D. 1631–1944) was characterised by recurrent summit and lateral lava effusions
162 108 associated with semi-persistent and mild explosive activity, interrupted by pauses lasting from
163 109 months to a maximum of seven years (Santacroce, 1987; Cioni et al., 2008). During this period,
164 110 Vesuvius produced a few Violent Strombolian eruptions, such as in 1906 and 1944. In terms of
165 111 eruption magnitudes (i.e. erupted volume), the literature for the Somma-Vesuvius eruptions reports
166 112 volumes ranging from 1 to 10 km^3 for Plinian, 0.01 to 1 km^3 for sub-Plinian, and 0.001 to 0.01 km^3
167 113 for Violent Strombolian eruptions (Cioni et al., 2008).

178
179
180 114 Hereinafter, we report a synthetic description of the main features of the eruptions considered in the
181
182 115 present study.

184 116 **2.1 Avellino and Pompeii Plinian eruptions**

186 117 The Avellino eruption (3.90 ± 0.04 cal ky BP; Sevink et al., 2011), was subdivided in three eruptive
187 118 phases: opening, magmatic Plinian, and phreatomagmatic (Sulpizio et al., 2010b; 2010c; Massaro et
188 119 al., 2018). During the opening phase, a transient short-lived eruptive column reached 12-20 km,
190 120 followed by the formation of pyroclastic density currents (PDC) from partial or total collapse of the
191 121 eruptive column (Sulpizio et al., 2010c). The magmatic Plinian phase produced a sustained eruptive
192 122 column growing with time from 22 to 30 km. This phase ejected the main volume of tephra
193 123 estimated at 1.4 km^3 , which was dispersed mostly north-eastwards (Cioni et al., 2003a; Sulpizio et
195 124 al., 2008; 2010c). Finally, the phreatomagmatic phase was dominated by PDC deposits, which
196 125 significantly contributed to the total erupted volume with $\sim 1 \text{ km}^3$ (Sulpizio et al., 2008; 2010a;
197 126 2014; Gurioli et al., 2010). It is worth noting that tephra from the Plinian phase was recovered as far
199 127 as in Albania (Fig. 1), where they occur within the sedimentary succession of Shkodra lake (~ 430
200 128 km from the source; Sulpizio et al., 2010a).



217 129 **Fig. 1:** Map of the main outcrops of the Avellino, Pollena, 1906, and 1944 Somma-Vesuvius eruptions. The left-bottom inset zooms
218 130 onto the proximal area. Coloured lines refer to the dispersal area for each eruption, modified after Sulpizio et al., 2010a, 2010b;
219 131 2010c; 2014 for Avellino; Sulpizio et al., 2005; 2010a; 2010c; 2014 for Pollena; Arrighi et al., 2001; Barsotti et al., 2015 for 1906; and
220 132 Imbò, 1949; Cole and Scarpati, 2010; Cubellis et al., 2013 for 1944. SL, OL, and PL refer respectively to the Shkodra, Ohrid, and
221 133 Prespa lakes (Albania and FYROM). For the interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to
222 134 the web version of this article.

222 135 Concerning the Pompeii (A.D. 79) Plinian eruption of Somma-Vesuvius, very famous for the
223 136 destruction of the Roman towns of Pompeii and Herculaneum, the volcanological aspects have been
224 137 described by numerous authors (e.g. Sigurdsson et al., 1985, Carey and Sigurdsson, 1987,
226 138 Macedonio et al., 1988; Pfeiffer et al., 2005). After a short initial phreatomagmatic vent-opening
227 139 phase, the eruption was characterized by a purely magmatic phase with a high sustained eruption
228 140 column, which was subdivided into a lower layer of white phonolitic pumice (White Pumice) and
229 141 an upper tephritic-phonolitic pumice fall (Gray Pumice) deposit. This phase ended column collapse
231 142 and the emplacement of pyroclastic flows, which was followed by □phreatomagmatic activity and
232 143 the emplacement of lithic-rich breccia and pyroclastic surges, interpreted as the consequence of
233 144 decreasing pressure in the vent and magma-water interaction. For the White and the Gray units,

237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295

145 Sigurdsson et al. (1985) estimated, respectively, 2.5×10^{12} and 6.5×10^{12} kg of tephra, or 1 and 2.6
146 km³ DRE. Concerning the column height, they estimated that during the White Pumice phase it
147 increased from about 15 to 26 km, while during the Gray phase, it reached to a maximum of 32 km
148 and then decreased to about 27 km.

149 **2.2 Pollena sub-Plinian eruption**

150 The Pollena eruption (A.D. 472) is the major sub-Plinian event of Somma-Vesuvius, and is
151 considered by Italian Civil Protection as one of the reference scenarios in case of renewal of
152 explosive activity. Sulpizio et al. (2005) described the eruption through three phases (i.e. opening,
153 magmatic and phreatomagmatic), with a similar eruptive evolution to that described for the Plinian
154 events. In particular, the Pollena eruption was characterized by a highly unstable MER during the
155 opening phase, resulting in eruptive column pulses with general dispersal of the tephra fallout
156 deposit towards north-east. Then, during the magmatic phase, at least two dominant pulses of high
157 eruptive intensity are recorded by the deposition of pyroclastic fall beds and by the signature of
158 dilute and dense PDCs (Sulpizio et al., 2005). The MER reached a peak intensity of $\sim 3.4 \times 10^7$ kg/s
159 during this phase, in particular during the emplacement of the L₈ fallout bed, which is the most
160 dispersed (Sulpizio et al., 2005). The final phreatomagmatic phase was characterized by a pulsating
161 column and emplacement of diluted to concentrated PDCs.

162 The tephra fallout deposits dispersed north-eastwards (Fig. 1; see also Sulpizio et al., 2005), while
163 the PDCs deposited mainly on the northern slopes of the volcano. It is worth noting that fine ash
164 reached the Balkans, being identified in the sedimentary succession of the Shkodra lake (~ 440 km
165 from the source; Sulpizio et al., 2010a), and as crypto-tephra in Ohrid lake (Sulpizio et al., 2010c).
166 Sulpizio et al. (2005) estimated the volume of the pyroclastic fall deposits around 0.44 km³ using
167 the proximal isopachs. Such a volume increases up to ~ 1.38 km³ and the maximum column height
168 around 28 km by adding data from distal sites (Sulpizio et al., 2005).

169 **2.3 The 1906 and 1944 Violent Strombolian eruptions**

170 The 1906 and 1944 eruptions are examples of Violent Strombolian eruptions at Somma-Vesuvius,
171 which are typically characterized by emplacement of lava flows in the early phases followed by
172 explosive activities (Imbò, 1949; Cioni et al., 2008). The latter phases of the eruptions typically
173 consist of intense lava fountaining episodes associated with eruptive columns rising up to several
174 kilometre heights. Sometimes, a phreatomagmatic phase closed the activity, accompanied by ash
175 emissions. The Violent Strombolian eruptive style is considered the most likely scenario in the case
176 of a possible future reactivation of Somma-Vesuvius (Marzocchi et al., 2004; Neri et al., 2008). In
177 particular, the eruptions of 1906 and 1944 produced tephra deposits of metric thickness in proximal
178 areas, with distal ash deposits recognized as far as in Albania (Cubellis et al., 2013).

179 Several overviews and details of the 18-day long 1906 eruption are available in the literature (e.g.
180 De Lorenzo, 1906; Mercalli, 1906; Sabatini, 1906; Perret, 1924; Bertagnini et al., 1991; Scandone
181 et al., 1993; Barsotti et al., 2015). The eruption started with a 4-day effusive-explosive phase; then
182 an intense lava fountaining episode occurred and the Violent Strombolian phase started, lasting 2
183 days (Mercalli, 1906; Barsotti et al., 2015 – Phase I) and producing a column that reached ~ 13 km

296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354

184 above the vent (Perret, 1924; Scandone et al., 1993). Ash emissions dispersed towards the Adriatic
185 Sea (Arrighi et al., 2001) and Montenegro (~400 km from the vent; De Lorenzo, 1906; Barsotti et
186 al., 2015), as shown by the tephra fallout extent displayed in Fig. 1. Finally, a 12-day long phases of
187 ash emission (Barsotti et al., 2015 – Phase II) occurred releasing abundant reddish-grey fine ash
188 together with millimetre-sized accretionary lapilli (De Lorenzo, 1906; Mercalli, 1906). Wind
189 conditions alternatively dispersed ash over the city of Naples and surroundings (Hobbs 1906).
190 Sabatini (1906) estimated a minimum tephra fallout volume of ~0.21 km³ and Barsotti et al. (2015)
191 reported a TEM of 1.34×10^{11} kg. Furthermore, they mention a MER of 10^6 and 10^5 kg/s for Phase
192 I and II respectively, i.e. a bulk MER of 10^6 kg/s. Sulpizio et al. (2012) estimated a TEM at $9.3 \times$
193 10^{10} kg and a fallout mass of 3.7×10^{10} kg. For a duration of 18 days, the average MER is estimated
194 at 6×10^4 kg/s. In contrast, Cioni et al. (2008; references therein) report a peak MER of 3.4×10^5
195 kg/s for the basal lapilli bed and 5.4×10^6 kg/s for the paroxysmal phase.

196 The 17-day long 1944 eruption started with the emplacement of lava flows mainly towards the
197 north slopes of the actual Vesuvius cone. The subsequent explosive phase comprises a series of 8
198 lava fountains over a time interval of ~8 h (Arrighi et al., 2001). Scandone et al. (1993) report for
199 this phase a steady eruptive column that reached ~5 km above the vent and ejected ash into the
200 atmosphere (Macedonio et al., 2008). In particular, Imbò (1949) provided information relative to
201 the meteorological conditions. The tephra was transported towards south-east (Fig. 1), reaching
202 Albania where ash fallout has been observed in Devoli (Cubellis et al., 2013). Cioni et al. (2008)
203 estimated the erupted volume at ~0.066 km³ from the isopachs map produced by Pesce and Rolandi
204 (2000). Based on the TEM estimated at 2.2×10^{12} kg and the eruption duration (17 days), the
205 average MER is estimated at 1.5×10^6 kg/s (Cioni et al., 2008). Differently, Scandone et al. (1986)
206 report a MER of 4×10^5 kg/s, whereas Macedonio et al. (2008) estimated the MER at 5×10^5 kg/s
207 for modelling tephra fallout.

208 **3 Data and Methodology**

209 **3.1 Field data**

210 To reconstruct the dispersal of tephra deposits of the studied eruptions (Fig. 1), we used geological
211 data from literature (e.g. Imbò, 1949; Arrighi et al., 2001; Cioni et al., 2003a; Sulpizio et al., 2005;
212 2007; 2010a; 2010b; 2010c; 2010d; 2012; 2014; Cole and Scarpati, 2010; Cubellis et al., 2013;
213 Barsotti et al., 2015). Throughout the manuscript, we will use the terms proximal, medial, and distal
214 for indicating the areas affected by tephra at different distances. Nonetheless, these zones reflect the
215 distance from the vent and strongly depend on the eruption intensity (i.e. the column height)
216 together with the atmospheric conditions (e.g. wind fields). Costa et al. (2016) proposed to consider
217 distances from 1/10 to 10 times the eruptive column height to define the proximal, medial, and
218 distal deposits, for adequately sampling the whole tephra fallout up to 125 µm (although such
219 distances depend on wind conditions as discussed in their work). In this study, for the sake of
220 simplicity, we use the term proximal to indicate distances from the source up to 30 km, medial for
221 distances of 30-200 km, and distal for distances > 200 km.

222 Field data comprise tephra samples collected for the Avellino, Pollena, 1906, and 1944 eruptions
223 (Fig. 1; Table 1). All the details are available as Supplementary Material (Tables S1, S2, S3, and S4

224 respectively for the Avellino, Pollena, 1906, and 1944 eruptions). Regarding the Avellino eruption,
 225 we used 9 samples distributed from proximal (samples 1-2), to medial areas (samples 3-8) derived
 226 from Sulpizio et al. (2010b), and a distal one in the Shkodra lake (sample 9; Sulpizio et al., 2010a).
 227 The Pollena fallout deposits were characterized using 8 samples distributed in proximal (samples 1-
 228 5), medial (samples 6-7), and distal areas (sample 8 in the Shkodra lake, Albania; Sulpizio et al.,
 229 2010a). Recently, ash related to the Pollena eruption was also reported as crypto-tephra in the Ohrid
 230 lake (Albania and FYROM border; Vogel et al., 2009; Sulpizio et al., 2010d), expanding
 231 significantly the tephra deposit eastwards (Fig. 1). However, the lack of grain-size analysis prevents
 232 from integrating data for this outcrop.

233 **Table 1:** Field measurements (i.e. location, distance from the vent, main mode, and loading) used for the grain-size analysis and
 234 TGSD estimations of the Avellino, Pollena, 1906, and 1944 eruptions at Somma-Vesuvius. Sample locations are shown in Fig. 1.
 235 Details of the field data are available as Supplementary Material (Tables S1, S2, S3, and S4).

Samples		Field observations			
Avellino	Longitude	Latitude	Distance (km from source)	Mode (Φ)	Loading (kg/m ²)
Av-1	14.447	40.867	5	-3	1.80×10^2
Av-2	14.611	40.971	23	-2	1.40×10^2
Av-3	14.245	41.223	47	3	3.00×10^1
Av-4	15.091	41.151	67	1	1.20×10^2
Av-5	14.661	41.654	94	2	4.00×10^1
Av-6	14.305	42.001	131	5	3.00×10^1
Av-7	13.385	41.974	155	4	3.00×10^1
Av-8	16.619	40.388	191	5	3.00×10^1
Av-9	19.232	42.228	430	5	1.00×10^1
Pollena					
Po-1	14.454	40.849	4	-3	3.84×10^2
Po-2	14.477	40.879	8	-2	1.30×10^2
Po-3	14.524	40.839	9	-3	1.40×10^2
Po-4	40.848	14.538	10	-3	1.30×10^2
Po-5	14.708	40.899	25	-1	1.68×10^2
Po-6	15.605	40.930	100	2	3.50×10^1
Po-7	14.305	42.001	130	3	1.00×10^1
Po-8	19.440	42.070	440	6	5.00×10^1
1906					
1906-1	14.473	40.823	4	-2	5.50×10^2
1906-2	14.464	40.856	5	-2	5.50×10^2
1906-3	14.506	40.833	7	0	2.75×10^2
1906-4	14.526	40.859	10	-2	1.10×10^2
1906-5	14.567	40.891	14	-3	1.10×10^2
1906-6	14.946	40.887	44	1	1.10×10^1
1906-7	15.258	41.019	72	2	5.50×10^0
1906-8	15.605	40.931	100	3	2.20×10^0
1906-9	17.388	41.173	252	3	4.40×10^0
1944					
1944-1	14.473	40.823	4	-2	6.00×10^2
1944-2	14.464	40.856	5	-2	6.00×10^2
1944-3	14.506	40.833	7	-1	3.00×10^2
1944-4	14.526	40.859	10	-1	1.20×10^2
1944-5	14.567	40.891	14	-3	1.00×10^1
1944-6	14.946	40.887	44	1	1.20×10^1
1944-7	15.258	41.019	72	2	6.00×10^0
1944-8	19.883	40.814	460	5	1.20×10^0

414
415
416 237 For the 1906 and 1944 Violent Strombolian eruptions, 7 samples were collected at the same
417 238 locations (Table 1), representing the proximal (samples 1-5) and medial areas (samples 6-7). Then,
418 239 the samples 8 and 9 of the 1906 eruption were collected in the Monticchio lake and in a marine core
420 240 offshore the city of Bari (Fig. 1), located at ~100 and ~252 km from the source respectively. The
421 241 data related to these samples are reported in Fig. 1 and Table 1. For the 1944 eruption, the farthest
422 242 sample (sample 8) was collected in Devoli (Albania; Cubellis et al., 2013), which is ~460 km from
423 243 the vent.

424 244 **3.2 Estimating the TGSDs**

425
426 245 Sampling data include measurements of the tephra loading at several sites (Fig. 1 and Table 1),
427 246 which were sieved for assessing the GSDs relative to the affected areas. The sieving method gives
428 247 GSD from -5 to 5 Φ (Poret et al., 2018a), where $\Phi = -\log_2 d$ with d is the particle diameter in
429 248 millimetre (Krumbein, 1934). Meanwhile, fine ash was analysed through the Beckman Coulter
430 249 Counter Multisizer™ 4 at the University of Bari, Italy. The latter method gives GSD from 3 to 7 Φ ,
431 250 extending the grain-size analysis towards the tail of the distribution relative to fine ash. The
432 251 resulting normalized GSD is obtained by integrating the two partial GSDs taking the sieving
433 252 method as reference. A similar procedure was successfully used in Poret et al. (2018b) for grain-
434 253 size purposes integrating complementary methods. Each GSD includes tephra information relative
435 254 to the bombs (or blocks; diameter $d \geq 64$ mm), lapilli ($2 \text{ mm} \leq d < 64$ mm), and ash ($d < 2$ mm).
436 255 We further distinguish coarse ash, $64 \mu\text{m} \leq d < 2$ mm, and fine ash, $d < 64 \mu\text{m}$ (e.g., Folch, 2012).

437 256 Among the several integration methods existing for estimating TGSD (e.g. weighted average,
438 257 Walker et al., 1981; sectorization of the deposit, Carey and Sigurdsson, 1982; isomass maps,
439 258 Murrow et al., 1980), in this study we use the Voronoi tessellation method (Bonadonna and
440 259 Houghton, 2005), considering its advantages and limitations (Bonadonna and Houghton, 2005;
441 260 Bonadonna et al., 2015; Costa et al., 2016; Spanu et al., 2016; Poret et al., 2018a; 2018b). The
442 261 method consists in dividing the pyroclastic deposit into Voronoi polygons associated with each
443 262 georeferenced GSD (i.e. each sample). Then, TGSD is obtained as the weighted average of the mass
444 263 distribution over the Voronoi cells, which refer to the entire deposit. Prior to apply this method, it is
445 264 fundamental to define the areal extent of the tephra deposit through assessment of the zero-line
446 265 contour, which is the line at which the deposit thickness can be assumed negligible (literally equal
447 266 to zero; Bonadonna and Houghton, 2005). In the studied cases, we used the data from the literature
448 267 to assess the zero contours (see Fig. 1). Starting from the field-based TGSDs of the different
449 268 eruptions, we also inferred the TGSDs by means of general analytical distributions (Costa et al.,
450 269 2016; 2017). First, we considered the sum of two lognormal distributions (hereinafter bi-Gaussian
451 270 in Φ -units):

$$452 \text{ 271 } f_{bi-Gaussian}(\Phi) = p \frac{1}{\sigma_1 \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(\Phi - \mu_1)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}} + (1 - p) \frac{1}{\sigma_2 \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(\Phi - \mu_2)^2}{2\sigma_2^2}}$$

453 272 where Φ denotes particle diameter, p and $(1-p)$ are, respectively, the coarse and fine sub-population
454 273 weights, and μ_1 , μ_2 and σ_1 , σ_2 denote the mean and standard deviation of the two Gaussian
455 274 distributions in Φ -units. Then, we used two Weibull distributions (Costa et al., 2016; 2017):

$$f_{bi-Weibull}(d) = q \frac{1}{n_1 \frac{1}{n_1} \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{n_1}\right)} \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \left[\frac{d}{\lambda_1}\right]^{n_1} e^{-\frac{1}{\lambda_1} \left(\frac{d}{\lambda_1}\right)^{n_1}} + (1-q) \frac{1}{n_2 \frac{1}{n_2} \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)} \frac{1}{\lambda_2} \left[\frac{d}{\lambda_2}\right]^{n_2} e^{-\frac{1}{\lambda_2} \left(\frac{d}{\lambda_2}\right)^{n_2}}$$

where d denotes particles diameter, q and $(1-q)$ are, respectively, the coarse and fine sub-population weights, and λ_1, λ_2 and n_1, n_2 are the scale and shape parameters of the two distributions.

4 Results and discussions

4.1 Individual grain-size distributions

The results for the individual GSDs are displayed in Figs. 2, 3, 4 and 5, respectively for the Avellino, Pollena, 1906, and 1944 eruptions. First of all, it is worth noting that all the TGSD reconstructions (Fig. 6) reflect the limitations associated with the available tephra samples in terms of number and spatial distribution. Moreover, such a reconstruction suffers from the fact that all kinds of tephra particles are considered together, without distinguishing for the different lithologies, which can have different settling behaviours. However, the reconstructed TGSDs represent the best approximations of the initial magma fragmentation for each of the studied eruptions.

Regardless of the eruption, the GSDs at each location show a unimodal distribution with a clear shift of the mode from proximal to distal areas. Such features have been typically observed for several tephra fallout deposits (e.g. Durant et al., 2009; 2010 for the 1980 Mt. St. Helens eruption; Watt et al., 2015 for the Chaiten eruption). Considering the proximal samples (Fig. 1; Table 1), regardless of the eruption, the modes range from -3 to 0 Φ (Table 1), with similar size and proportions, indicating a dominance of lapilli and coarse ash up to 30 km from the source, typically having relatively high terminal velocities, and thus depositing near the volcano (Bonadonna and Costa, 2013). Regarding the medial areas, the modes range from 1 to 6 Φ (Table 1), covering a larger grain-size range than in the proximal area due to a larger sampling distance range (i.e. ~44-191 km from the source), different eruptive column heights (3-30 km), and wind intensities (Costa et al., 2016). In particular, for the Pollena, 1906, and 1944 eruptions, the modes vary from 1 to 3 Φ (see Figs. 3, 4, 5, and Table 1), whereas the Avellino eruption has medial modes up to 6 Φ (Fig. 2 and Table 1). Indeed, only the Avellino eruption deposits have medial samples up to ~191 km from the vent. Furthermore, samples at similar distances from the source tend to indicate the same modes, being consistent with the main findings of Spanu et al. (2016). Considering the distal samples, modes range between 5 to 6 Φ , except for the 1906 distal sample (i.e. 3 Φ ; Fig. 4 and Table 1), which is closer to the vent than for the other eruptions (i.e. ~252 km from the source instead of ~430-460 km). It is worth noting that the relative GSDs indicate a good preservation of the tephra fallout for the studied eruptions, mostly made of fine ash (including PM₁₀) collected beyond the Apennines and Adriatic Sea up to Albania and Montenegro (Fig. 1; Sulpizio et al., 2010a; 2010d).

532
 533
 534
 535
 536
 537
 538
 539
 540
 541
 542
 543
 544
 545
 546
 547
 548
 549
 550
 551
 552
 553
 554
 555
 556
 557
 558
 559 308
 560 309
 561
 562
 563
 564
 565
 566
 567
 568
 569
 570
 571
 572
 573
 574
 575
 576
 577
 578
 579
 580
 581
 582
 583
 584
 585
 586 310
 587 311
 588
 589
 590




Fig. 2 Individual field-derived grain-size distributions (samples Av-*x*) for the Avellino Plinian eruption. Sample locations are shown in Fig. 1 and the relative details reported in Table 1. Details of the GSDs are available as Supplementary Material (Table S1).




Fig. 3: Individual field-derived grain-size distributions (samples Po-*x*) for the Pollena sub-Plinian eruption. Sample locations are shown in Fig. 1 and the relative details reported in Table 1. Details of the GSDs are available as Supplementary Material (Table S2).

591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649

312 Considering the similarities observed in the evolution of the Plinian and sub-Plinian eruptions (see
313 Sect. 2), we compare the GSDs of the Avellino (Plinian) and Pollena (sub-Plinian) eruptions (i.e.
314 Figs. 2 and 3). The proximal areas show similar modes (i.e. -3Φ at ~ 5 km from the source and
315 between -2 and -1Φ at ~ 25 km), proportions and sizes. Furthermore, we compare the proximal
316 GSDs of these eruptions with those of the Pompeii Plinian eruption reported in Macedonio et al.
317 (1988) and collected in Pompeii (~ 11 km), Castellammare (~ 15 km), and Maiori (~ 25 km)
318 respectively. GSDs have modes at -3Φ , except for Maiori which peaks at -2Φ , being consistent
319 with the results associated with the Avellino and Pollena eruptions. In the medial zone, samples for
320 the two eruptions located at ~ 100 km from the source peak at 2Φ , and those at ~ 130 km have
321 modes at 5 and 3Φ (Table 1), respectively for Avellino and Pollena eruptions. According to
322 Sulpizio et al. (2008), this feature indicates that a more intense magma fragmentation with high
323 proportions in fines occurred during the Avellino eruption, especially during the magmatic Plinian
324 phase. The distal modes for the two eruptions peak between 5 and 6Φ for samples located in the
325 Shkodra lake (Albania; Sulpizio et al., 2010a), indicating relatively the same atmospheric
326 conditions and intensity of magma fragmentation leading to a tephra dispersal towards north-east. It
327 is worth noting that interpreting grain-size relative to fine ash in terms of intensity of fragmentation
328 is complex as the energy released at fragmentation depends on the sum of different contributions
329 and the mechanical strength of the magma (Büttner et al., 2006).

330 Then we compared the GSDs of the 1906 and 1944 Violent Strombolian eruptions (Figs. 4 and 5),
331 noting that for the proximal and medial areas the tephra fallout appears to be similar in size and
332 proportion for the same sampling sites (i.e. samples 1-7). Nonetheless, the presence of fine ash at
333 very proximal distance from the vent (i.e. < 4 km) suggests the likely occurrence of ash aggregation
334 (Costa et al., 2010; Folch et al., 2010), which appears as disaggregated fine ash at the ground
335 (Mueller et al., 2017). This observation is supported by Arrighi et al. (2001). The modes change in
336 distal areas peaking at 3 and 5Φ respectively for the 1906 and 1944 eruptions (Table 1), due to
337 different distances from the vent, respectively ~ 252 and ~ 460 km. The literature reports the tephra
338 fallout of the 1906 eruption in Montenegro (De Lorenzo, 1906; Barsotti et al., 2015; Fig. 1), but
339 without the possibility of assessing the grain-size distribution due to very thin deposits.




Fig. 4: Individual field grain-size distributions (samples 1906-*x*) for the 1906 Violent Strombolian eruption. Sample locations are shown in Fig. 1 and the relative details reported in Table 1. Details of the GSDs are available as Supplementary Material (Table S3).




Fig. 5: Individual field grain-size distributions (samples 1944-*x*) for the 1944 Violent Strombolian eruption. Sample locations are shown in Fig. 1 and the relative details reported in Table 1. Details of the GSDs are available as Supplementary Material (Table S4).

4.2 Total grain-size distributions

In this section, we describe the TGSDs estimated for the studied eruptions by using different methods, such as the Voronoi tessellation method (Bonadonna and Houghton, 2005) or the equivalent bulk grain-size distribution derived from massive PDC deposit (Macedonio et al., 1988; Folch and Sulpizio, 2010), and the analytical parameterizations of the distributions (Costa et al., 2016; 2017). The results give insights into the initial magma fragmentation corresponding to different eruptive styles at Somma-Vesuvius. Although our TGSD estimations are not based on a large number of data in terms of spatial distribution, the dataset covers proximal, medial and distal outcrops for all the studied eruptions. This is quite important as, irrespectively of the eruption style, the lack of distal grain-size data can introduce a significant bias in the TGSD underestimating the fines (e.g. PM_{10}) and, hence, preventing the assessment of the airborne ash, which can pose severe hazards to the air traffic (Guffanti et al., 2005; Folch and Sulpizio, 2010; Sulpizio et al., 2014) and public health (Tomašek et al., 2016; 2018).

As already mentioned, TGSDs are first estimated from field data analysis only (Fig. 6). They indicate an overall bimodality pattern, except for the 1944 eruption. In particular, the Avellino field-derived TGSD peaks at 1 and 4 Φ , respectively for the coarse and fine sub-populations, the Pollena TGSD shows modes at -1 and 6 Φ , and the 1906 TGSD has modes at 0 and 3 Φ . For comparison, the TGSD of the Pompeii Plinian eruption (from Macedonio et al., 2008) peaks at 1 and 5 Φ , in agreement with the TGSDs derived for the Avellino Plinian and Pollena sub-Plinian eruptions. For comparison, the Pollena TGSD estimated assuming an equivalent bulk grain-size distribution derived from massive PDC deposits by Folch and Sulpizio (2010) peaks at 1 and 4-5 Φ , consistently with the estimations made for the Avellino and Pompeii TGSDs. Differently from the other eruptions, the 1944 TGSD yields a unique mode at -2 Φ , more similar to the lower intensity and lower magma viscosity eruptions (Costa et al., 2016). Considering the different eruptive styles of the studied cases, the resulting field-based TGSDs indicate modes shifting towards the fines when the eruption intensity increases, in agreement with the analysis performed in Costa et al. (2016).

The TGSDs estimated through the Voronoi tessellation method were obtained after a careful investigation of the effects of the zero-line contour. In fact, TGSD assessment depends on several factors, such as a suitable number of samples well dispersed along the main tephra dispersal (i.e. proximal, medial, and distal areas), but also on the tephra edge defined as the zero-line (Bonadonna and Houghton, 2005; Bonadonna et al., 2015). For optimizing the TGSD estimate, we used the dispersals of the eruptions available in the literature (see Sect. 2) for constraining the tephra extents for each studied eruption. Volentik et al. (2010) studied the uncertainty related to the position of the zero-line, yielding uncertainties on the standard deviation of the modes and the fine ash contained within the TGSD. Nonetheless, Bonadonna et al. (2015) highlighted that these uncertainties are much higher when tephra deposits are not sampled correctly, i.e. including sites up to distal area.




Fig. 6: Field-based TGSDs (bars) for the Avellino, Pollena, 1906, and 1944 eruptions. Red lines show the bi-Gaussian distributions best fitting the field TGSDs, and the blue lines the bi-Weibull distributions. The dotted lines refer to the corresponding sub-populations of the relative distributions. Further details on the distributions in Sect. 3.2, and Tables 2, 3 and 4. The field-based TGSD for the Pompeii eruption is from Macedonio et al. (2008) and is used for comparison with the TGSD of the Avellino eruption. The second field-based TGSD for the Pollena eruption is derived from massive PDC deposits by Folch and Sulpizio (2010) and is used for comparison purpose with the Avellino and Pollena eruptions. Grey colours are consistent with Tables 3 and A (see Appendix).

Among tephra, very fine ash (e.g. PM_{10}) is released into the atmosphere where it can remain for days to weeks dispersing towards distal areas (Rose and Durant, 2009). Such fine material, which escapes to aggregation processes, is very difficult to sample due to the very long residence time in the atmosphere. It follows that PM_{10} are typically under-sampled, biasing the field-derived TGSDs towards the fines and preventing the correct assessment of the airborne ash mass and the relative concentration (Poret et al., 2018a, 2018b), with implications for the assessment of hazards to the air traffic (e.g., Guffanti et al., 2005). In particular, the present study shows that Plinian eruptions (e.g. Avellino and Pompeii) may produce around 80 wt. % of ash with a PM_{10} content of few percent (Table 3), which can have a strong impact on the air traffic safety producing extended areas with ash concentration above the threshold of 4 mg/m^3 (Gouhier et al., 2019 and references therein) delimiting the no-fly zones. It is worth noting that Gouhier et al. (2019) recently demonstrated that the more intense eruptions (i.e. Plinian) are the least efficient in transporting the airborne PM_{10} , due to early en masse fallout. This explains why we regularly observe ash in proximal and medial areas but also suggests that measured PM_{10} fractions are the minimum amount to consider. A few studies have attempted to assess such fraction at Etna (Sicily, Italy) integrating field and remote sensing

data (i.e. satellite and/or X-band radar retrievals; Poret et al., 2018a, 2018b). Although PM₁₀ fraction is negligible compared to the bulk tephra, it is critical for operational models (e.g. those used by the Volcanic Ash Advisory Centers) when using the tephra dispersal models.

Considering the time occurrence of the studied eruptions, and the consequent absence of remote sensing data, for trying to better capture the tails of the field-based TGSDs, i.e. fine and coarse, we described the TGSDs by means of bi-Gaussian and bi-Weibull distributions, which allow extrapolations. Overall, all the distributions best fitting the field TGSDs are estimated by assuming two main tephra populations (i.e. a coarse and a fine) as described in Costa et al. (2016; 2017). Indeed, Costa et al. (2016) show that bimodality of TGSDs is a common feature for several tephra fallout deposits. This is also the case of this study, showing asymmetric and clear bimodal signature for all the TGSDs (Fig. 6), except for the 1944 eruption that, although asymmetric, has a more unimodal pattern. However, the obtained TGSDs suggest that bimodality can be due to magma heterogeneity, secondary fragmentation or phreatomagmatism (Jones and Russell, 2017). For better classifying whether TGSDs are bimodal or unimodal, Costa et al. (2016) used a bimodality index (*BI*) for bi-Gaussian distributions as following:

$$BI = \sqrt{2} \frac{|\mu_1 - \mu_2|}{\sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}} \sqrt{p(1-p)}$$

where the parameters μ_i and σ_i refer to modes and standard deviations of the Gaussian distributions (Sect. 3.2). The best fitting parameters are reported in Table 2 together with the associated *BI* values.

Table 2: Parameterization of the distributions in best fit of the field-based TGSDs for the Avellino, Pompeii, Pollena, 1906, and 1944 eruptions. *p* and (1-*p*) are, respectively, the coarse and fine sub-population weights, and μ_1 , μ_2 and σ_1 , σ_2 are the mean and standard deviation of the two Gaussian distributions in Φ -units. *q* and (1-*q*) are, respectively, the coarse and fine sub-population weights, and λ_1 , λ_2 and n_1 , n_2 are the scale and shape parameters of the two distributions, respectively. The bimodality is investigated for the Gaussian distributions only through the *BI* (Costa et al., 2016), which is assumed bimodal for *BI* > 1.1. * TGSD is that used from Macedonio et al. (2008) for comparing with the Avellino eruption. ** TGSD is that used by Folch and Sulpizio (2010).

bi-Gaussian						
	Avellino	Pompeii *	Pollena	Pollena **	1906	1944
μ_1 (Φ)	0.29 ± 0.07	0.14 ± 0.26	-0.78 ± 0.46	0.28 ± 0.16	-1.52 ± 0.17	-2.74 ± 0.10
σ_1 (Φ)	1.16 ± 0.06	2.48 ± 0.21	2.60 ± 0.38	2.86 ± 0.13	1.64 ± 0.14	1.34 ± 0.08
μ_2 (Φ)	4.12 ± 0.23	5.32 ± 0.25	4.99 ± 0.17	4.87 ± 0.16	3.08 ± 0.11	0.08 ± 0.24
σ_2 (Φ)	1.10 ± 0.19	1.04 ± 0.20	0.92 ± 0.14	1.22 ± 0.13	1.10 ± 0.09	2.53 ± 0.20
<i>p</i>	0.77 ± 0.02	0.78 ± 0.03	0.63 ± 0.04	0.79 ± 0.02	0.53 ± 0.03	0.48 ± 0.03
<i>BI</i>	1.41	1.13	1.43	0.85	1.65	0.70
bi-Weibull						
λ_1 (in mm)	0.41 ± 0.04	0.43 ± 0.07	1.28 ± 0.31	0.54 ± 0.08	1.27 ± 0.12	4.82 ± 0.28
n_1	0.65 ± 0.03	0.38 ± 0.01	0.42 ± 0.03	0.37 ± 0.01	0.40 ± 0.01	0.95 ± 0.05
λ_2 (in mm)	0.03 ± 0.01	0.02 ± 0.01	0.02 ± 0.01	0.02 ± 0.01	0.07 ± 0.01	0.84 ± 0.07
n_2	1.16 ± 0.40	0.88 ± 0.15	0.98 ± 0.12	0.75 ± 0.07	1.16 ± 0.06	0.30 ± 0.01
<i>q</i>	0.86 ± 0.04	0.74 ± 0.04	0.56 ± 0.05	0.68 ± 0.04	0.60 ± 0.02	0.32 ± 0.02

First of all, the results associated with the bimodal index (*BI*) reported in Table 2 tends to indicate bimodal distributions for all the TGSDs, except for that of the Pollena eruption estimated from Folch and Sulpizio (2010) and the 1944 distribution. Indeed, their values are below 1.1, suggesting a more unimodal distribution, although these distributions are made by coarse and fine populations, which are close enough for showing a unimodal-like shape of the distributions (Fig. 6).

886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944

432 Considering that TGSD is needed as input parameter for tephra dispersal models, typically in the
433 form of discrete size bins, we report the TGSD bins obtained from the field measurements analysis
434 (i.e. Field TGSD) and the corresponding bi-Gaussian and bi-Weibull distributions for the studied
435 eruptions in the Appendix (Tables A1-6). Moreover, we discuss below the magma fragmentation
436 features inferred for each eruption. For the sake of simplicity, Table 3 reports the mass fractions
437 associated with each grain-size class (i.e. bomb, lapilli, coarse, and fine ash).

438 Putting together the field-based TGSDs and the relative analytical distributions (i.e. bi-Gaussian
439 and bi-Weibull), results for the Avellino eruption suggest a first mode between 0 and 1 Φ and a
440 second mode at 4 Φ , respectively for the coarse and fine sub-populations (Fig. 6; Table A1). The
441 Pollena TGSD shows a first mode from -2 to -1 Φ and a second mode at 5-6 Φ . As described in
442 Sect. 2, the Avellino and Pollena eruptions have similar eruptive trends, even if they are classified
443 as Plinian and sub-Plinian eruptions, respectively. Comparing the relative sub-populations
444 displayed in Fig. 6, the Pollena TGSD indicates a tephra deposit relatively coarser than for
445 Avellino, with a greater production of lapilli (~30 and ~18 wt. % respectively; Tables A1 and A2).
446 In contrast, the Avellino eruption produced substantially more ash than the Pollena one (~80 against
447 ~60 wt. % of ash respectively; Tables A1 and A3), being an indicator of the efficiency of the
448 magma fragmentation. Going further in detail, the Avellino eruption produced more coarse ash with
449 respect to Pollena (~70 vs. ~35 wt. % respectively), whereas the Pollena eruption has more fine ash
450 (~8 vs. ~30 wt. %). Furthermore, the PM₁₀ content is greater for the Pollena eruption compared to
451 Avellino (~4 and ~1 wt. % respectively; Tables A1 and A3), indicating either a more efficient
452 magma fragmentation for Pollena, although the eruption showed very unstable eruptive conditions,
453 or a more efficient transport towards distal region, as suggested by Gouhier et al. (2019). It is worth
454 noting that the magmatic phase of the Avellino eruption was accompanied by an energy drop
455 affecting magma fragmentation, representing the phreatomagmatic phase (Sulpizio et al., 2008;
456 2010b) with a lower fraction of fine ash compare to the Pollena eruption. Sulpizio et al. (2005)
457 explained this as due to magma fragmentation efficiency but also mentioned the occurrence of an
458 extensive magma-water interaction, which occurred during the final phase, carrying out a strong
459 control on the eruption dynamics and increasing the fragmentation of magma during the Pollena
460 eruption.

461 Going further in the comparative analysis, we compared the Avellino field-derived TGSD with the
462 one of the Pompeii eruption, being both Plinian events. For these purposes, we used the TGSD
463 estimations reported by Macedonio et al. (1988; 2008) for the Pompeii eruption. Macedonio et al.
464 (1988; see Fig. 2b therein) estimated the TGSD from an individual GSD obtained from an outcrop
465 of PDC deposits representative of the collapse of the eruptive column. Concerning the fine ash
466 content, they considered all the bin fractions corresponding to $\Phi \geq 5$ all together as fine residual at
467 $\Phi = 5$. It follows that we consider only the coarse mode that peaks at 1 Φ , being consistent with the
468 Avellino results. Regarding the class fractions, we can see that this TGSD shows a substantial
469 enrichment in lapilli (~37 wt. % against ~18 wt. % respectively; Table 3), and thus, a depletion in
470 ash compared to the Avellino eruption (~63 wt. % against ~82 wt. % respectively). Moreover, it
471 also shows a slight enrichment in fine ash (~14 wt. % against ~10 wt. % respectively). Then,
472 Macedonio et al. (2008) reported the bulk Pompeii TGSD that they assumed representative of the
473 Plinian/Sub-Plinian granulometry at Somma-Vesuvius. We also reconstructed such field-based

945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000
1001
1002
1003

474 TGSD through a bi-Gaussian and bi-Weibull distribution and they are displayed in Fig. 6 for
475 comparing them with the Avellino TGSD. It shows a mode at 0-1 Φ for the coarse population, and
476 at 5 Φ for the fine population (Tables A1-2). Although the paucity of field data implies large
477 uncertainties on the TGSD assessment, the relative TGSDs indicate comparable values for lapilli
478 and ash (Table 3), suggesting acceptable results. Looking at the class fractions, the Pompeii TGSD
479 from Macedonio et al. (2008) shows comparable values with respect to the other TGSDs, with ~24
480 and ~76 wt. % of lapilli and ash, respectively. Among ash, the TGSD indicates ~52 wt. %, 24 wt.
481 %, and ~6 wt. % of coarse and fine ash, and PM₁₀. It follows that all the three estimates for the
482 Plinian events (i.e. Avellino and Pompeii) are in agreement with a dominance of ash between 60
483 and 80 wt. % of the magma fragmentation, being consistent with Rose and Durant (2009) who
484 discussed how silicic eruptions can contain substantial fractions of ash.

485 Similarly to the previous paragraph, we used the TGSD of the Pollena eruption used by Folch and
486 Sulpizio (2010) for a comparison with our TGSD estimates (see Fig. 6). They obtained the TGSD
487 on the basis of an individual GSD obtained from an outcrop of PDC deposits representative of the
488 collapse of the eruptive column. We also best-fitted their field-based TGSD through the bi-
489 Gaussian and bi-Weibull distributions. The TGSD peaks at 0-1 Φ and 4 Φ for the coarse and fine
490 populations respectively. This is slightly different from our estimate for the Pollena eruption (see
491 Tables A3-4), which can be attributed to a different method for assessing the TGSD. In fact, based
492 on our analysis of the field samples, we obtained a TGSD composed of two more marked grain size
493 populations than for the TGSD of Folch and Sulpizio (2010). In particular, our TGSD suggest a
494 coarser proximal deposit with ~31 wt. % of lapilli instead of ~25 wt. % by Folch and Sulpizio
495 (2010). Regarding the class fractions (Table 3), we can see that Folch and Sulpizio (2010) obtained
496 ~75 wt. % of ash, whereas our TGSD indicates ~69 wt. %. Furthermore, they suggest ~52 wt. %, 23
497 wt. %, and ~5 wt. % of coarse and fine ash, and PM₁₀, while we have ~37 wt. %, 33 wt. %, and ~3
498 wt. %, respectively. These results are comparable showing an agreement in favour of saying that
499 during the Pollena eruption magma fragmentation produced around 30 wt. % of lapilli and 70 wt. %
500 of ash, with ~45 wt. % of coarse ash, ~30 wt. % of fine ash, and ~4 wt. % of PM₁₀. In addition,
501 these results are very similar to those of the Plinian eruptions and reinforce the similarity between
502 the Plinian and sub-Plinian eruptive styles.

Table 3: Fractions of the different classes used in this study: bombs ($\Phi \leq -6$), lapilli ($-5 \leq \Phi \leq -1$), and ash ($0 \leq \Phi$). We further distinguish between coarse ($4 \leq \Phi \leq 0$) and fine ash ($\Phi \leq 5$) as described in Folch (2012). We also reported the PM_{10} fraction (diameter below 10 μm) as in Poret et al. (2018b). The fractions are expressed in weight percentage (wt. %) and refer to the field-TGSDs, bi-Gaussian, and bi-Weibull distributions for the Avellino, Pollena, 1906, and 1944 Somma-Vesuvius eruptions. Colours are consistent with Tables A (see Appendix) and Fig. 6. * Pompeii data are extracted from Macedonio et al. (2008) for comparing with the Avellino eruption. ** Pollena data are extracted from Folch and Sulpizio (2010) for comparing with Plinian and sub-Plinian eruptions.

	Class	Field-TGSD	bi-Gaussian	bi-Weibull
Avellino	Bombs	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Lapilli	17.62	18.70	19.71
	Ash	82.38	81.29	80.28
	Coarse ash	72.65	73.14	72.15
	Fine ash	9.73	8.15	8.13
	PM_{10}	0.35	0.29	0.81
	Total	100	100	100
Pompeii *	Bombs	0.00	0.59	0.00
	Lapilli	23.98	30.29	24.80
	Ash	78.28	69.12	75.20
	Coarse ash	52.11	48.77	52.17
	Fine ash	24.17	20.35	23.03
	PM_{10}	6.05	2.95	5.21
	Total	100	100	100
Pollena	Bombs	0.00	1.31	0.12
	Lapilli	30.58	32.53	36.10
	Ash	69.44	66.17	63.79
	Coarse ash	36.71	38.48	35.12
	Fine ash	32.73	27.69	28.67
	PM_{10}	3.26	1.71	4.58
	Total	100	100	100
Pollena **	Bombs	0.00	0.99	0.01
	Lapilli	24.53	29.34	26.89
	Ash	75.12	69.67	73.09
	Coarse ash	51.84	50.80	53.12
	Fine ash	23.28	18.87	19.97
	PM_{10}	5.10	2.93	4.08
	Total	100	100	100
1906	Bombs	0.00	0.31	0.19
	Lapilli	30.78	38.73	38.10
	Ash	69.22	60.95	61.70
	Coarse ash	55.78	56.72	56.48
	Fine ash	13.44	4.23	5.22
	PM_{10}	2.07	0.03	0.40
	Total	100	100	100
1944	Bombs	0.00	1.21	0.42
	Lapilli	58.73	65.92	65.77
	Ash	41.28	32.86	33.80
	Coarse ash	35.66	30.83	31.54
	Fine ash	5.62	2.03	2.26
	PM_{10}	0.02	0.27	0.52
	Total	100	100	100

1063
1064
1065 511 Regarding the Violent Strombolian eruptions at Somma-Vesuvius, the TGSD reconstructed for the
1066 512 1906 eruption has a first mode from -2 to 0 Φ for the coarse sub-population, and a second mode at 3
1068 513 Φ for the fine sub-population (Table 3; Fig. 6). The 1944 TGSD presents significant differences
1069 514 with respect to the 1906 one, showing a unimodal pattern with a main mode between -3 and -2 Φ .
1070 515 Indeed, the second mode is not clearly visible from the Field TGSD, characterizing the fine sub-
1072 516 population and peaking between -1 and 0 Φ (respectively for the bi-Weibull and bi-Gaussian
1073 517 distributions), partially overlapping the coarse sub-population (see Fig. 6). Such discrepancy
1074 518 between the 1906 and 1944 TGSDs can be interpreted as due to the different processes controlling
1075 519 magma fragmentation, such as the higher intensity and the major phreatomagmatic phase of the
1077 520 1906 eruption (Costa et al., 2016). In fact, these results, together with the features of the Pollena
1078 521 TGSD, support the importance of the phreatomagmatic phase in controlling magma fragmentation
1079 522 (Sulpizio et al., 2010b). As described in Sect. 2.3, the 1906 and 1944 eruptions are similar in terms
1081 523 of eruptive style. Comparing the class fractions, the 1906 TGSD is substantially depleted in lapilli
1082 524 than the 1944 one (~31 and ~59 wt. % respectively; Table 3), but enriched in ash (respectively ~69
1083 525 and ~41 wt. %; Table 3). Accordingly, the literature (Arrighi et al., 2001) reports that the 1944
1084 526 event produced a large quantity of lapilli. Another feature reported for the 1944 eruption concerns
1086 527 the production of ash aggregates up to centimetric size (Arrighi et al., 2001). Although aggregation
1087 528 implies a premature tephra fallout (Durant et al., 2009; Mastin et al., 2016; Poret et al., 2018c), it
1088 529 also depletes the TGSD in fines (Poret et al., 2017), affecting the grain-size distribution towards the
1090 530 fine ash. This also depends on the sampling distance from the source as highlighted by Spanu et al.
1091 531 (2016). Comparing coarse and fine ash, the results indicate a greater production for the 1906
1092 532 compared to the 1944 eruption (respectively ~56 against ~36 wt. % for the coarse ash, and ~13
1093 533 against ~6 wt. % for the fine ash; Table 3). Furthermore, the PM₁₀ fractions yield ~2 wt. % and <1
1095 534 wt. % for the 1906 and 1944 eruptions, respectively.

1096 535 Summarizing the TGSD analyses, the results allow identifying distinctive grain-size features for the
1098 536 different eruptive styles at Somma-Vesuvius, although the paucity of field data prevents assuming
1099 537 the reconstructed TGSDs as fully representative of the initial magma fragmentation conditions.
1100 538 Indeed, results generally indicate that increasing in intensity (i.e. from Violent Strombolian to
1101 539 Plinian eruptions) tends to move the main modes of the TGSDs towards the fines (Fig. 6 and Tables
1103 540 A). Such feature was also reported by Costa et al. (2016), who proposed a model for estimating the
1104 541 TGSD through the bulk magma viscosity and column height. They observed the mode shifting
1105 542 towards the fines when increasing the magma viscosity and intensity values. In particular, the
1107 543 Plinian eruptions (e.g. Avellino and Pompeii at Somma-Vesuvius) appear to be the richest in ash
1108 544 with up to ~82 wt. % (Table 3). In addition, the Pollena sub-Plinian eruption with ~70 wt. % of ash
1109 545 (PM₁₀ of ~4 wt. %) and the 1906 Violent Strombolian eruption with ~69 wt. % of ash (PM₁₀ of ~2
1110 546 wt. %) show exceptional magma fragmentation efficiency in contrast with the Avellino, Pompeii,
1112 547 and 1944 eruptions.

1113 1114 548 **5 Conclusions** 1115

1116 549 This study presents grain-size analyses obtained from several tephra samples associated with four
1117 550 reference eruptions of Somma-Vesuvius, covering different eruptive styles (from Violent
1118 551 Strombolian to Plinian), aimed to assess the relative TGSD and the impact of magma fragmentation
1120
1121

1122
1123
1124
1125
1126
1127
1128
1129
1130
1131
1132
1133
1134
1135
1136
1137
1138
1139
1140
1141
1142
1143
1144
1145
1146
1147
1148
1149
1150
1151
1152
1153
1154
1155
1156
1157
1158
1159
1160
1161
1162
1163
1164
1165
1166
1167
1168
1169
1170
1171
1172
1173
1174
1175
1176
1177
1178
1179
1180

552 on the eruptive styles. Chronologically, we focus on the Avellino (3900 yr BP) and the Pompeii
553 (A.D. 79) Plinian eruptions, the Pollena sub-Plinian eruption (A.D. 472), and the 1906 and 1944
554 Violent Strombolian eruptions. Previous estimations of the Pompeii and Pollena eruptions were
555 used for comparison purposes in terms of magma fragmentation for a given eruptive style.
556 Individual field-based grain-size analyses were integrated using the Voronoi tessellation method for
557 assessing the TGSD relative to each eruption. Besides the estimation of the field-derived TGSDs,
558 we parameterized the TGSDs using the analytical bi-Gaussian and bi-Weibull distributions. By
559 comparing the TGSDs associated with the different eruptive styles, our results indicate that
560 increasing the eruption intensity, i.e. going from Violent Strombolian to Plinian eruptive style, and
561 the efficiency of magma-water interaction, i.e. from magmatic to phreatomagmatic eruptions,
562 TGSD modes move towards the fines, enhancing magma fragmentation. This study brings together
563 similar conclusions in terms of magma fragmentation stated in the literature, reinforcing their
564 findings and reopening the interest of studying the fragmentation from field data but not limited to.
565 We believe this study will serve further works focussing on characterizing the tephra distribution
566 produced by volcanic eruptions worldwide from Violent Strombolian to Sub-Plinian and Plinian
567 styles. In particular, the main findings of this study can be used for numerically reconstructing past
568 eruptions or forecasting similar eruptive scenarios at Somma-Vesuvius, and assessing tephra
569 loading and/or airborne ash mass from the source towards distal regions.

1148-570 **Acknowledgements**

1150
1151
1152
1153
1154
1155
1156
1157
1158
1159
1160
1161
1162
1163
1164
1165
1166
1167
1168
1169
1170
1171
1172
1173
1174
1175
1176
1177
1178
1179
1180

571 This work has been partially supported by the FP 7 Marie Curie Actions Framework (FP7-
572 PEOPLE-2013- ITN), volcanic ash: field, experimental, and numerical investigations of processes
573 during its lifecycles (VERTIGO project; grant agreement number 607905). A.C. acknowledges the
574 European project EUROVOLC (grant agreement number 731070) and the Ministero dell'Istruzione,
575 dell'Università e della ricerca (MIUR, Roma, Italy) Ash-RESILIENCE project (grant agreement
576 number 805 FOE 2015).

Appendix

Table A1: Field-derived TGSD together with the corresponding bi-Gaussian and bi-Weibull distributions for the Avellino Plinian. TGSDs are expressed in weight percentage (wt. %) and displayed in Fig. 6. The related grain-size class fractions are reported in Table 3. Colours are consistent with Fig. 6 and Table 3.

Diameter (Φ)	Field TGSD	bi-Gaussian	bi-Weibull
-6	0.00	0.00	0.00
-5	0.35	0.00	0.00
-4	1.61	0.03	0.00
-3	3.31	0.49	0.26
-2	5.09	3.83	4.07
-1	7.26	14.35	15.38
0	18.00	25.72	23.69
1	27.66	22.17	20.60
2	13.53	10.31	12.46
3	6.13	6.65	7.42
4	7.33	8.29	7.98
5	6.31	5.95	5.28
6	3.07	1.91	2.04
7	0.35	0.27	0.60
8	0.00	0.02	0.16
9	0.00	0.00	0.04
10	0.00	0.00	0.01

Table A2: Field-derived TGSD from Macedonio et al. (2008) together with the corresponding bi-Gaussian and bi-Weibull distributions for the Pompeii Plinian eruption. TGSDs are expressed in weight percentage (wt. %) and displayed in Fig. 6. The related grain-size class fractions are reported in Table 3. Colours are consistent with Fig. 6 and Table 3.

Diameter (Φ)	Field TGSD	bi-Gaussian	bi-Weibull
-6	0.00	0.59	0.00
-5	1.00	1.47	0.10
-4	3.98	3.13	0.80
-3	7.00	5.65	3.32
-2	6.00	8.69	7.97
-1	6.01	11.35	12.61
0	12.03	12.59	14.43
1	17.03	11.88	12.88
2	10.04	9.58	9.49
3	6.01	7.19	6.71
4	7.00	7.53	8.66
5	9.06	9.87	10.54
6	9.07	7.53	7.28
7	5.03	2.53	3.39
8	1.02	0.38	1.27
9	0.00	0.04	0.42
10	0.00	0.00	0.13

Table A3: Field-derived TGSD together with the corresponding bi-Gaussian and bi-Weibull distributions for the Pollena sub-Plinian eruption. TGSDs are expressed in weight percentage (wt. %) and displayed in Fig. 6. The related grain-size class fractions are reported in Table 3. Colours are consistent with Fig. 6 and Table 3.

Diameter (Φ)	Field TGSD	bi-Gaussian	bi-Weibull
-6	0.00	1.31	0.12
-5	0.38	2.63	1.04
-4	2.08	4.56	3.95
-3	7.67	6.81	8.38
-2	9.97	8.77	11.45
-1	10.48	9.76	11.28
0	7.32	9.36	8.70
1	5.18	7.74	5.59
2	13.57	5.61	3.15
3	1.15	4.96	4.03
4	9.49	10.81	13.65
5	13.65	16.86	15.55
6	15.82	9.12	8.54
7	3.26	1.59	3.22
8	0.00	0.11	1.00
9	0.00	0.01	0.28
10	0.00	0.00	0.08

Table A4: Field-derived TGSD from Folch and Sulpizio (2010) together with the corresponding bi-Gaussian and bi-Weibull distributions for the Pollena sub-Plinian eruption. TGSDs are expressed in weight percentage (wt. %) and displayed in Fig. 6. The related grain-size class fractions are reported in Table 3. Colours are consistent with Fig. 6 and Table 3.

Diameter (Φ)	Field TGSD	bi-Gaussian	bi-Weibull
-6	0.00	0.99	0.01
-5	0.00	2.00	0.20
-4	4.14	3.60	1.27
-3	5.70	5.72	4.28
-2	6.92	8.03	8.79
-1	7.76	9.99	12.35
0	10.36	11.00	12.92
1	12.31	10.76	10.78
2	10.59	9.66	8.17
3	8.14	9.18	9.28
4	10.44	10.20	11.97
5	10.33	9.86	10.09
6	7.86	6.08	5.80
7	5.10	2.22	2.60
8	0.00	0.54	1.00
9	0.00	0.13	0.36
10	0.00	0.04	0.12

Table A5: Field-derived TGSD together with the corresponding bi-Gaussian and bi-Weibull distributions for the 1906 Violent Strombolian eruption. TGSDs are expressed in weight percentage (wt. %) and displayed in Fig. 6. The related grain-size class fractions are reported in Table 3. Colours are consistent with Fig. 6 and Table 3.

Diameter (Φ)	Field TGSD	bi-Gaussian	bi-Weibull
-6	0.00	0.31	0.19
-5	0.00	1.35	1.30
-4	2.90	4.11	4.42
-3	6.41	8.59	8.85
-2	9.91	12.38	11.84
-1	11.56	12.30	11.69
0	12.44	8.72	9.15
1	6.53	6.78	6.32
2	5.17	11.81	12.01
3	18.41	17.34	18.40
4	13.23	12.07	10.60
5	7.67	3.70	3.74
6	3.70	0.50	1.08
7	2.07	0.03	0.29
8	0.00	0.00	0.08
9	0.00	0.00	0.02
10	0.00	0.00	0.01

Table A6: Field-derived TGSD together with the corresponding bi-Gaussian and bi-Weibull distributions for the 1944 Violent Strombolian eruption. TGSDs are expressed in weight percentage (wt. %) and displayed in Fig. 6. The related grain-size class fractions are reported in Table 3. Colours are consistent with Fig. 6 and Table 3.

Diameter (Φ)	Field TGSD	bi-Gaussian	bi-Weibull
-6	0.00	1.21	0.42
-5	1.20	4.57	3.14
-4	6.96	11.48	12.88
-3	17.20	18.00	18.68
-2	17.66	18.18	16.98
-1	15.71	13.69	14.09
0	13.36	10.00	11.37
1	8.21	7.98	8.54
2	7.54	6.18	5.85
3	3.26	4.21	3.66
4	3.29	2.46	2.12
5	4.03	1.23	1.15
6	1.57	0.53	0.59
7	0.02	0.19	0.29
8	0.00	0.06	0.14
9	0.00	0.02	0.06
10	0.00	0.00	0.03

1299
1300
1301
1302
1303
1304
1305
1306
1307
1308
1309
1310
1311
1312
1313
1314
1315
1316
1317
1318
1319
1320
1321
1322
1323
1324
1325
1326
1327
1328
1329
1330
1331
1332
1333
1334
1335
1336
1337
1338
1339
1340
1341
1342
1343
1344
1345
1346
1347
1348
1349
1350
1351
1352
1353
1354
1355
1356
1357

References

1. Arrighi, S., Principe, C., and Rosi, M. Violent strombolian and sub-Plinian eruptions at Vesuvius during post-1631 activity. *Bull. Volcanol.* 63, 126–150, doi: 10.1007/s004450100130, 2001.
2. Barsotti, S., Neri, A., Bertagnini, A., Cioni, R., Mulas, M., and Mundula, F. Dynamics and tephra dispersal of Violent Strombolian eruptions at Vesuvius: insights from field data, wind reconstruction and numerical simulation of the 1906 event. *Bull. Volcanol.* 77, 58, doi: 10.1007/s00445-015-0939-6, 2015.
3. Bertagnini, A., Landi, P., Santacroce, R., and Sbrana, A. The 1906 eruption of Vesuvius: from magmatic to phreatomagmatic activity through the flashing of a shallow depth hydrothermal system. *Bull. Volcanol.*, 53, 517–532, 1991.
4. Bertagnini A., Landi P., Rosi M., and Vigliaragio A. The Pomici di Base Plinian eruption of Somma-Vesuvius. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, 83, 3, 219–239, 1998.
5. Bonadonna, C., and Houghton, B.F. Total grain-size distribution and volume of tephra-fall deposits. *Bull. Volcanol.* 67, 441–456, doi: 10.1007/s00445-004-0386-2, 2005.
6. Bonadonna, C., and Costa, A. Plume height, volume, and classification of explosive volcanic eruptions based on the Weibull function. *Bull. Volcanol.* 75, 742, doi: 10.1007/s00445-013-0742-1, 2013.
7. Bonadonna, C., Biass, S., and Costa, A. Physical characterization of explosive volcanic eruptions based on tephra deposits: Propagation of uncertainties and sensitivity analysis. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 296, 80–100, doi: 10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2015.03.009, 2015.
8. Büttner, R., Dellino, P., Raue, H., Sonder, I., and Zimanowski, B. Stress-induced brittle fragmentation of magmatic melts: Theory and experiments. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, B08204, doi:10.1029/2005JB003958, 2006.
9. Carey, S.N., and Sigurdsson, H. Influence of particle aggregation on deposition of distal tephra from the May 18, 1980, eruption of Mount St-Helens volcano. *J. Geophys. Res.* 87, B8, 7061–7072, doi: 10.1029/JB087iB08p07061, 1982.
10. Cioni, R., Santacroce, R., and Sbrana, A. Pyroclastic deposits as a guide for reconstructing the multi-stage evolution of the Somma-Vesuvius caldera. *Bull. Volcanol.* 60, 207–222, 1999.
11. Cioni, R., Longo, A., Macedonio, G., Santacroce, R., Sbrana, A., Sulpizio, R., and Andronico, D. Assessing pyroclastic fall hazard through field data and numerical simulations: example from Vesuvius. *J. Geophys. Res.* 108, B2, 2063. doi: 10.1029/2001JB000642, 2003a.
12. Cioni, R., Sulpizio, R., and Garruccio, N. Variability of the eruption dynamics during a Subplinian event: the Greenish Pumice eruption of Somma-Vesuvius (Italy). *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 124, 1–2, 89–114, doi: 10.1016/S0377-0273(03)00070-2, 2003b.
13. Cioni, R., Gurioli, L., Lanza, R., and Zanella, E. Temperature of the A.D. 79 pyroclastic density current deposits (Vesuvius, Italy). *J. Geophys. Res.* 109, B02207, doi: 10.1029/2002JB002251, 2004.
14. Cioni, R., Bertagnini, A., Santacroce, R., and Andronico, D. Explosive activity and eruption scenarios at Somma-Vesuvius (Italy): towards a new classification scheme. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 178, 331–346, doi: 10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2008.04.024, 2008.
15. Cole, P.D., and Scarpati, C. The 1944 eruption of Vesuvius, Italy: combining contemporary accounts and field studies for a new volcanological reconstruction. *Geol. Mag.* 147, 3, 391–415, doi: 10.1017/S0016756809990495, 2010.
16. Costa, A., Folch, A., and Macedonio, G. A model for wet aggregation of ash particles in volcanic plumes and clouds: 1. Theoretical formulation. *J. Geophys. Res.* 115, B09201. doi:10.1029/2009JB007175, 2010.
17. Costa, A., Pioli, L., and Bonadonna, C. Assessing tephra total grain-size distribution: Insights from field data analysis. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 443, 90–107, doi: 10.1016/j.epsl.2016.02.040, 2016.
18. Costa, A., Pioli, L., and Bonadonna, C. Corrigendum to “Assessing tephra total grain-size distribution: Insights from field data analysis”. [*Earth and Planetary Sci. Lett.* 443, 90–107, 2016]; *Earth and Planetary Sci. Lett.* doi: 10.1016/j.epsl.2017.03.003, 2017.
19. Cubellis, E., Marturano, A., and Pappalardo, L. Le ceneri distali dell'eruzione del Vesuvio del marzo 1944 raccolte a Devoli (Albania). *Quaderni di geofisica* 113, 2013, in italian.
20. De Lorenzo, G. The eruption of Vesuvius in April 1906. *Q. J. Geol. Soc.* 62, 476–483, 1906.
21. Durant, A.J., Rose, W.I., Sarna-Wojcicki, A.M., Carey, S., and Volentik, A.C.M. Hydrometeor-enhanced tephra sedimentation: Constraints from the 18 May 1980 eruption of Mount St. Helens. *J. Geophys. Res.* 114, B03204, doi: 10.1029/2008JB005756, 2009.
22. Durant, A.J., Bonadonna, C., and Horwell, C.J. Atmospheric and Environmental Impacts of Volcanic Particulates. *Elements* 6, 235–240, doi: 10.2113/gselements.6.4.235, 2010.
23. Folch A., Cavazzoni C., Costa A., Macedonio G. An automatic procedure to forecast tephra fallout, *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, 177, 767-777, doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2008.01.046, 2008.

1358
1359
1360
1361
1362
1363
1364
1365
1366
1367
1368
1369
1370
1371
1372
1373
1374
1375
1376
1377
1378
1379
1380
1381
1382
1383
1384
1385
1386
1387
1388
1389
1390
1391
1392
1393
1394
1395
1396
1397
1398
1399
1400
1401
1402
1403
1404
1405
1406
1407
1408
1409
1410
1411
1412
1413
1414
1415
1416

24. Folch, A., and Sulpizio, R. Evaluating long-range volcanic ash hazard using supercomputing facilities: application to Somma-Vesuvius (Italy), and consequences for civil aviation over the Central Mediterranean Area. *Bull. Volcanol.* 72, 1039–1059, doi: 10.1007/s00445-010-0386-3, 2010.
25. Folch, A., Costa, A., Durant, A., and Macedonio, G. A model for wet aggregation of ash particles in volcanic plumes and clouds: 2. Model application. *J. Geophys. Res.* 115, B09202. doi:10.1029/2009JB007176, 2010.
26. Folch, A. A review of tephra transport and dispersal models: Evolution, current status, and future perspectives. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 235–236, 96–115, doi: 10.1016/j.volgeores.2012.05.020, 2012.
27. Folch, A., Costa, A., and Basart, S. Validation of the FALL3D ash dispersion model using observations of the 2010 Eyjafjallajökull volcanic ash clouds. *Atmos. Env.* 48, 165–183. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.06.072, 2012.
28. Gouhier, M., Eychenne, J., Azzaoui, N., Guillin, A., Deslandes, M., Poret, M., Costa, A., and Husson, P. Low efficiency of large volcanic eruptions in transporting very fine ash into the atmosphere. *Nature Sci. Rep.*, 9, 1449, doi:10.1038/s41598-019-38595-7, 2019.
29. Guffanti, M., Ewert, J.W., Gallina, G.M., Bluth, G.J.S., and Swanson, G.L. Volcanic-ash hazard to aviation during the 2003-2004 eruption activity of Anatahan volcano Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 146, 241–255, doi:10.1016/j.volgeores.2004.12.011, 2005.
30. Gurioli, L., Sulpizio, R., Cioni, R., Sbrana, A., Santacroce, R., Luperini, W., and Andronico, D. Pyroclastic flow hazard assessment at Somma-Vesuvius based on the geological record. *Bull. Volcanol.*, 72, 1021-1038, doi:10.1007/s00445-010-0379-2, 2010.
31. Hobbs, W.H. The grand eruption of Vesuvius in 1906. *J Geol* 14–7, 636–655, 1906.
32. Imbò, G. L'attività eruttiva e relative osservazioni nel corso dell'intervallo inter-eruttivo 1906–1944 ed in particolare del parossismo del Marzo 1944. *Annali Osservatorio Vesuviano*, V serie, volume unico, 185– 380, 1949, in italian.
33. Jones, T.J., and Russel, J.K. Ash production by attrition in volcanic conduits and plumes. *Nature Sci. Rep.*, 7, 5538, doi:10.1038/s41598-017-05450-6, 2017.
34. Kaminski, E., and Jaupart, C. The size distribution of pyroclasts and the fragmentation sequence in explosive volcanic eruptions. *J. Geophys. Res.* 103, B12, 29759–29779, doi: 10.1029/98JB02795, 1998.
35. Krumbein, W.C. Size Frequency Distribution of Sediments. *J. Sediment. Res.* 4, 2, 65-77, doi: 10.1306/D4268EB9-2B26-11D7-8648000102C1865D, 1934.
36. Macedonio, G., Pareschi, M.T., and Santacroce R. A numerical Simulation of Plinian Fall Phase of 79 A.D. Eruption of Vesuvius. *J. Geophys. Res.* 93, B12, 14817–14827, doi: 10.1029/JB093iB12p14817, 1988.
37. Macedonio, G., Costa, A., and Folch, A. Ash fallout scenarios at Vesuvius: Numerical simulations and implications for hazard assessment. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 178, 3, 366–377, doi: 10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2008.08.014, 2008.
38. Marzocchi, W., Sandri, L., Gasperini, P., Newhall, C., and Boschi E. Quantifying probabilities of volcanic events: The example of volcanic hazard at Mount Vesuvius. *J. Geophys. Res.* 109, B11201, doi:10.1029/2004JB003155, 2004.
39. Massaro, S., Costa, A., and Sulpizio, R. Evolution of the magma feeding system during a Plinian eruption: The case of Pomici di Avellino eruption of Somma-Vesuvius, Italy. *Earth Planetary Sci. Lett.*, 482, 545–555, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2017.11.030, 2018.
40. Mastin, L.G., Van Eaton, A.R., and Durant, A.J. Adjusting particle-size distributions to account for aggregation in tephra-deposit model forecasts. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 16, 9399–9420, doi: 10.5194/acp-16-9399-2016, 2016.
41. Mele, D., Sulpizio, R., Dellino, P., and La Volpe, L. Stratigraphy and eruptive dynamics of a pulsating Plinian eruption of Somma-Vesuvius: the Pomici di Mercato (8900 years B.P.). *Bull. Volcanol.*, 73, 257–278, doi:10.1007/s00445-010-0407-2, 2011.
42. Mueller, S.B., Kueppers, U., Ametsbichler, J., Cimarelli, C., Merrison, J.P., Poret, M., Wadsworth, F.B., and Dingwell, D.B. Stability of volcanic ash aggregates and break-up processes. *Nature – Sci. Rep.* 7, 7440. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-07927-w, 2017.
43. Mueller, S.B., Houghton, B.F., Swanson, D.A., Fagents, S.A., Klawonn, M., and Poret, M. Total grain-size distribution of a Hawaiian tephra deposit: case study of the 1959 Kīlauea Iki eruption Hawai'i. *Bull. Volcanol.*, xxx, xx–xx, doi:xxxxxxx, 2019.
44. Murrow, P.J., Rose, W.I., and Self, S. Determination of the total grain size distribution in a Vulcanian eruption column, and its implications to stratospheric aerosol perturbation. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 7, 11, 893–896, doi: 10.1029/GL007i011p00893, 1980.
45. Neri, A., Aspinall, W.P., Cioni, R., Bertagnini, A., Baxter, P.J., Zuccaro, G., Andronico, D., Barsotti, S., Cole, P.D., Esposito Ongaro, T., Hincks, T.K., Macedonio, G., Papale, P., Rosi, M., Santacroce, R., and Woo, G. Developing an Event Tree for pyroclastic hazard and risk assessment at Vesuvius. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, 178, 397-415, doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2008.05.014, 2008.
46. Pedrazzi, D., Suñe-Puchol, I., Aguirre-Díaz, G., Costa, A., Smith, V.C., Poret, M., Dávila-Harris, P., Miggins, D.P., Hernández, W., and Gutiérrez, E. The Ilopango Tierra Blanca Joven (TBJ) eruption, El Salvador: Volcano-stratigraphy

- 1417
1418
1419706
1420707
1421708
1422709
1423710
1424711
1425712
1426713
1427714
1428715
1429716
1430717
1431718
1432719
1433720
1434721
1435722
1436723
1437724
1438725
1439726
1440727
1441728
1442729
1443730
1444731
1445732
1446733
1447734
1448735
1449736
1450737
1451738
1452739
1453740
1454741
1455742
1456743
1457744
1458745
1459746
1460747
1461748
1462749
1463750
1464751
1465752
1466753
1467754
1468755
1469756
1470757
1471758
1472
1473
1474
1475
- and physical characterization of the major Holocene event of Central America. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, 377, 81–102, doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2019.03.006, 2019.
47. Perret F.A. The Vesuvius eruption of 1906. Study of a volcanic cycle. *Carnegie Inst Washington Pub* 339, pp 151, 1924.
48. Pesce, A., and Rolandi, G. Vesuvio 1944. L'ultima eruzione, Napoli, *Edizioni Magma*, p. 216, 2000.
49. Poret, M., Costa, A., Folch, A., and Marti, A. Modelling tephra dispersal and ash aggregation: The 26th April 1979 eruption, La Soufrière St. Vincent. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 347, 207–220, doi: 10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2017.09.012, 2017.
50. Poret, M., Corradini, S., Merucci, L., Costa, A., Andronico, D., Vulpiani, G., Montopoli, M., and Freret-Lorgeril, V. Reconstructing volcanic plume evolution integrating satellite and ground-based data: application to the 23 November 2013 Etna eruption. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 18, 7, 4695–4714, doi: 10.5194/acp-18-4695-2018, 2018a.
51. Poret, M., Costa, A., Andronico, D., Scollo, S., Gouhier, M., and Cristaldi, A. Modelling eruption source parameters by integrating field, ground-based and satellite-based measurements: The case of the 23 February 2013 Etna paroxysm. *J. Geophys. Res.: Solid Earth* 123, doi: 10.1029/2017JB015163, 2018b.
52. Poret, M. Modelling ash cloud dispersion and the impact of ash aggregation during volcanic eruptions. Thesis, University of Bologna (Italy) *Alma Matter Studiorum*, May 2018, doi:10.13140/RG.2.2.35832.55041, 2018c.
53. Poret, M., Finizola, A., Ricci, T., Ricciardi, G.P., Linde, N., Mauri, G., Barde-Cabusson, S., Guichet, X., Baron, L., Shakas, A., Gouhier, M., Levieux, G., Morin, J., Roulleau, E., Sortino, F., Vasallo, R., Di Vito, M.A., and Orsi, G. The buried boundary of Vesuvius 1631 caldera revealed by present-day diffuse degassing. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 375, 43–56, doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2019.01.029, 2019.
54. Rolandi, G., Bellucci, F., and Cortini, M. A new model for the formation of the Somma Caldera. *Mineral. Petro.* 80, 27–44, doi: 10.1007/s00710-003-0018-0, 2004.
55. Rose, W.I., and Durant, A.J. Fine ash content of explosive eruptions. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 186, 1–2, 32–39, doi: 10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2009.01.010, 2009.
56. Rust, A.C., and Cashman, K.V. Permeability controls on expansion and size distributions of pyroclasts. *J. Geophys. Res.* 116, B11202, doi: 10.1029/2011JB008494, 2011.
57. Sabatini, V. L'eruzione vesuviana dell'Aprile 1906. *Boll. Del R. Comitato geologico d'Italia*, 3, 169–229, 1906, in Italian.
58. Santacroce, R. Somma-Vesuvius. *Quaderni. Ric. Sci.* 114, pp 230, Cons. Naz. delle Ric., Rome, 1987.
59. Santacroce, R., and Sbrana, A. Geological map of Vesuvius at the scale 1:15,000. SELCA editore, Firenze, 2003.
60. Santacroce, R., Cioni, R., Marianelli, P., Sbrana, A., Sulpizio, R., Zanchetta, G., Donahue, D.J., and Joron, J.L. Age and whole rock-glass compositions of proximal pyroclastics from the major explosive eruptions of Somma-Vesuvius: a review as a tool for distal tephrostratigraphy. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 177, 1–18. doi: 10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2008.06.009, 2008.
61. Scandone, R., Iannone, F., and Mastrolorenzo, G. Stima dei parametri dinamici dell'eruzione del 1944 del Vesuvio. *Boll. GNV* 2, 487–512, 1986.
62. Scandone, R., Giacomelli, L., and Gasparini, P. Mount Vesuvius: 2000 yrs of volcanological observations. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, 58, 263–271, 1993.
63. Scollo, S., Folch, A., and Costa, A. A parametric and comparative study of different tephra fallout models. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 176, 2, 199–211, doi: 10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2008.04.002, 2008.
64. Scollo, S., Prestifilippo, M., Pecora, E., Corradini, S., Merucci, L., Spata, G., and Coltelli, M. Eruption column height estimation of the 2011–2013 Etna lava fountains. *Annals Geophys.* 57, 2, S0214, doi: 10.4401/ag-6396, 2014.
65. Sevink, J., Van Bergen, M.J., Van der Plicht, J., Feiken, H., Anastasia, C., and Huizinga, A. Robust date for the Bronze Age Avellino eruption (Somma-Vesuvius): 3945 ± 10 cal BP (1995 ± 10 cal BC). *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, 30, 1035–1046, 2011.
66. Sigurdsson, H., Carey, S., Cornell, W., and Pescatore, T. The eruption of Vesuvius A.D. 79. *Nat. Geo. Res.*, 1, 3, 332–387, 1985.
67. Spanu, A., De Vitturi, M.M., and Barsotti, S. Reconstructing eruptive source parameters from tephra deposit: A numerical study of medium-sized explosive eruptions at Etna volcano. *Bull. Volcanol.* 78, 9, 59, doi: 10.1007/s00445-016-1051-2, 2016.
68. Sulpizio, R., Mele, D., Dellino, P., and La Volpe, L. A complex, Subplinian-type eruption from low-viscosity, phonolitic to tephriphonolitic magma: the AD 472 (Pollena) eruption of Somma- Vesuvius, Italy. *Bull. Volcanol.* 67, 743–767, doi: 10.1007/s00445-005-0414-x, 2005.
69. Sulpizio, R., Mele, D., Dellino, P., and La Volpe, L. Deposits and physical properties of pyroclastic density currents during complex Subplinian eruptions: the AD 472 (Pollena) eruption of Somma-Vesuvius, Italy. *Sedimentology* 54, 3, 607–635, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3091.2006.00852.x, 2007.

1476
1477
1478
1479
1480
1481
1482
1483
1484
1485
1486
1487
1488
1489
1490
1491
1492
1493
1494
1495
1496
1497
1498
1499
1500
1501
1502
1503
1504
1505
1506
1507
1508
1509
1510
1511
1512
1513
1514
1515
1516
1517
1518
1519
1520
1521
1522
1523
1524
1525
1526
1527
1528
1529
1530
1531
1532
1533
1534

70. Sulpizio, R., Caron, B., Giaccio, B., Paterne, M., Siani, G., Zanchetta, G., and Santacroce, R. The dispersal of ash during explosive eruptions from central volcanoes and calderas: an underestimated hazard for the Central Mediterranean area. *IOP Publishing, Collapse Calderas Workshop, Series 3*, doi: 10.1088/1755-1307/3/1/012031, 2008.
71. Sulpizio, R., Van Welden, A., Caron, B., and Zanchetta, G. The Holocene tephrostratigraphic record of Lake Shkodra (Albania and Montenegro). *J. Quat. Sci.* 25, 5, 633–650, doi:10.1002/jqs.1334, 2010a.
72. Sulpizio, R., Cioni, R., Di Vito, M.A., Mele, D., Bonasia, R., and Dellino, P. The Pomici di Avellino eruption of Somma-Vesuvius (3.9 ka BP) part I: stratigraphy, compositional variability and eruptive dynamics. *Bull. Volcanol.* 72, 539–558, doi:10.1007/s00445-009-0339-x, 2010b.
73. Sulpizio, R., Bonasia, R., Dellino, P., Mele, D., Di Vito, M.A., and La Volpe, L. The Pomici di Avellino eruption of Somma-Vesuvius (3.9 ka BP) part II: sedimentology and physical volcanology of pyroclastic density current deposits. *Bull. Volcanol.* 72: 559–577, doi:10.1007/s00445-009-0340-4, 2010c.
74. Sulpizio, R., Zanchetta, G., D’Orazio, M., Vogel, H., and Wagner, B. Tephrostratigraphy and tephrochronology of lakes Ohrid and Prespa, Balkans. *Biogeosciences* 7, 3273–3288, doi: 10.5194/bg-7-3273-2010, 2010d.
75. Sulpizio, R., Folch, A., Costa, A., Scaini, C., and Dellino, P. Hazard assessment of far-range volcanic ash dispersal from a violent Strombolian eruption at Somma-Vesuvius volcano, Naples, Italy: implications on civil aviation. *Bull. Volcanol.* 74, 2205–2218, doi: 10.1007/s00445-012-0656-3, 2012.
76. Sulpizio, R., Zanchetta, G., Caron, B., Dellino, P., Mele, D., Giaccio, B., Insinga, D., Paterne, M., Siani, G., Costa, A., Macedonio, G., and Santacroce, R. Volcanic ash hazard in the Central Mediterranean assessed from geological data. *Bull. Volcanol.* 76, 866, doi: 10.1007/s00445-014-0866-y, 2014.
77. Tomašek, I., Horwell, C.J., Damby, D.E., Barošová, H., Geers, C., Petri-Fink, A., Rothen-Rutishauser, B., and Clift, M.J.D. Combined exposure of diesel exhaust particles and respirable Soufrière Hills volcanic ash causes a (pro-)inflammatory response in an in vitro multicellular epithelial tissue barrier model. *Particle and Fibre Toxicology* 13, 1, 67, doi: 10.1186/s12989-016-0178-9, 2016.
78. Tomašek, I., Horwell, C.J., Bisig, C., Damby, D.E., Comte, P., Czerwinski, J., Petri-Fink, A., Clift, M.J.D., Drasler, B., and Rothen-Rutishauser, B. Respiratory hazard assessment of combined exposure to complete gasoline exhaust and respirable volcanic ash in a multicellular human lung model at the air-liquid interface. *Env. Pollution* 238, 977–987, doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2018.01.115, 2018.
79. Vogel, H., Zanchetta, G., Sulpizio, R., Wagner, B., and Nowaczyk, N. A tephrostratigraphic record for the last glacial–interglacial cycle from Lake Ohrid, Albania and Macedonia. *J. Quat. Sci.* 25, 3, 320–338, doi: 10.1002/jqs.1311, 2009.
80. Volentik, A.C.M., Bonadonna, C., Connor, C.B., Connor, L.J., and Rosi, M. Modeling tephra dispersal in absence of wind: Insights from the climactic phase of the 2450 BP Plinian eruption of Pululagua volcano (Ecuador). *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, 193 (1–2), 117–136, 2010.
81. Walker, G.P.L., 1981. The Waimihia and Hatepe plinian deposits from the rhyolitic Taupo Volcanic Centre. *New Zealand J. Geol. Geophys.* 24, 3, 305–324, 1981.
82. Watt, S.F.L., Gilbert, J.S., Folch, A., and Phillips, J.C. An example of enhanced tephra deposition driven by topographic induced atmospheric turbulence. *Bull. Volcanol.* 77, 35, doi: 10.1007/s00445-015-0927-x, 2015.