## Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

[^0]This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Availability:
This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/776419 since: 2020-10-28
Published:
DOI: http://doi.org/10.1142/S1005386720000097

Terms of use:
Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/).
When citing, please refer to the published version.

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:
Grasselli, L., \& Mulazzani, M. (2020). Compact $n$-manifolds via ( $\mathrm{n}+1$ )-colored graphs: A new approach. Algebra Colloquium, 27(1), 95-120

The final published version is available online at https://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S1005386720000097

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/)
When citing, please refer to the published version.

# Compact $n$-manifolds via $(n+1)$-colored graphs: a new approach 

Luigi GRASSELLI* ${ }^{*}$ and Michele MULAZZANI**<br>* Dipartimento di Scienze e Metodi dell'Ingegneria, Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia (Italy)<br>${ }^{* *}$ Dipartimento di Matematica and ARCES, Università di Bologna (Italy)

August 4, 2021


#### Abstract

We introduce a representation via $(n+1)$-colored graphs of compact $n$-manifolds with (possibly empty) boundary, which appears to be very convenient for computer aided study and tabulation. Our construction is a generalization to arbitrary dimension of the one recently given by Cristofori and Mulazzani in dimension three, and it is dual to the one given by Pezzana in the seventies. In this context we establish some results concerning the topology of the represented manifolds: suspension, fundamental groups, connected sums and moves between graphs representing the same manifold. Classification results of compact orientable 4 -manifolds representable by graphs up to six vertices are obtained, together with some properties of the G-degree of 5 -colored graphs relating this approach to tensor models theory.
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## 1. Introduction

The representation of closed $n$-manifolds by means of $(n+1)$-colored graphs has been introduced in the seventies by M. Pezzana's research group in Modena (see [18]). In this type of representation, an $(n+1)$-colored graph (which is an $(n+1)$-regular multigraph with a proper $(n+1)$-edgecoloration) represents a closed $n$-manifold if certain conditions on its subgraphs are satisfied.

The study of this kind of representation has yielded several results, especially with regard to the definition of combinatorial invariants and their relations with topological properties of the represented manifolds (see [4], 11], 14] and [23]).

During the eighties S . Lins introduced a representation of closed 3-manifolds via 4-colored graphs, with an alternative construction which is dual to Pezzana's one (see [30]). The extension of this representation to 3 -manifolds with boundary has been performed in [15], where a compact 3manifold with (possibly empty) boundary is associated to any 4 -colored graph, this correspondence being surjective on the class of such manifolds without spherical boundary components.

As a consequence, an efficient computer aided catalogation/classification of 3-manifolds with boundary, up to some value of the order of the representing graphs, can be performed by this tool. For example, the complete classification of orientable 3-manifolds with toric boundary representable by graphs of order $\leq 14$ is given in [12] and [13].

In this paper we generalize the above construction to the whole family of colored graphs of arbitrary degree $n+1$, showing how they represent compact $n$-manifolds with (possibly empty) boundary. This opens the possibility to introduce an efficient algorithm for computer aided tabulation of $n$-manifolds with boundary, for any $n \geq 3$.

The construction is described in Section 3, while Section 4 deals with graph suspensions and their connections with the topological/simplicial suspension of the represented spaces. A set of moves connecting graphs representing the same manifold is given in Section 5. However, these moves are not sufficient to ensure the equivalence of any two graphs representing the same manifold. In Section 6 we associate to any ( $n+1$ )-colored graph a group which is strictly related to the fundamental group of the associated space and, therefore, it is a convenient tool for its direct computation (in many cases the two groups are in fact isomorphic). In Section 7 we establish the relation between the connected sum of graphs and the (possibly boundary) connected sum of the represented manifolds. In Section 8 a classification of all orientable 4 -manifolds representable by colored graphs of order $\leq 6$ is presented. Certain properties related with tensor models theory (see for example [26] and [27]) involving the G-degree in dimension four are also obtained. More generally, as pointed out in [6], the strong interaction between random tensor models and the topology of $(n+1)$-colored graphs makes significant the theme of enumeration and classification of all quasi-manifolds (or compact manifolds with boundary) represented by graphs of a given G-degree and the arguments presented in this paper might be a useful tool for this purpose.

## 2. Basic notions

Throghout this paper all spaces and maps are considered in the PL-category, unless explicitely stated.

For $n \geq 1$, an $n$-pseudomanifold is a simplicial complex $K$ such that: (i) any $h$-simplex is the face of at least one $n$-simplex, (ii) each ( $n-1$ )-simplex is the face of exactly two $n$-simplexes and (iii) every two $n$-simplexes can be connected by means of a sequence of alternating $n$ - and ( $n-1$ )-simplexes, each simplex being incident with the next one in the sequence. The notion of pseudomanifold naturally transfers to the underlying polyhedron $|K|$ of $K$. A pseudomanifold $K$ is an $n$-manifold out of a (possibly empty) subcomplex $S_{K}$ of dimension $\leq n-2$, composed by the singular simplexes (i.e., the simplexes whose links are not spheres). We refer to $S_{K}$ as the singular complex of $K$ and to $\left|S_{K}\right|$ as the singular set of $|K|$.

A quasi-manifold is a pseudomanifold such that the star of any simplex verifies condition (iii) above (see [20]). When $n \geq 2$, an $n$-pseudomanifold $K$ is a quasi-manifold if and only if the link of any 0 -simplex of $K$ is an $(n-1)$-quasi-manifold. It is easy to prove that the singular complex of an $n$-dimensional quasi-manifold has dimension $\leq n-3$.

For $n \geq 2$, a singular $n$-manifold is a quasi-manifold such that the link of any 0 -simplex is a closed connected $(n-1)$-manifold. It easily follows that the link of any $h$-simplex of a singular manifold, with $h>0$, is an $(n-h-1)$-sphere. So the singular set of a singular manifold is a (possibly empty) finite set of points, and this property caracterizes singular manifolds among quasi-manifolds. Note that in dimension three (resp. dimension two) any quasi-manifold is a singular manifold (resp. is a closed surface).

A pseudo-simplicial complex is an $n$-dimensional ball complex in which every $h$-ball, considered with all its faces, is abstractly isomorphic to the $h$-simplex complex. It is a fact that the first barycentric subdivision of a pseudo-simplicial complex is an abstract simplicial complex (see [28]). The notions of pseudomanifolds, quasi-manifolds and singular manifolds can be extended to the setting of pseudo-simplicial complexes by considering their barycentric subdivisions. If $K$ is a (pseudo-)simplicial complex we will denote by $|K|$ its underlying space.

Let $n$ be a positive integer and $\Gamma=(V(\Gamma), E(\Gamma))$ be a finite graph which is $(n+1)$-regular (i.e., any vertex has degree $n+1$ ), possibly with multiple edges but without loops. An $(n+1)$ -edge-coloration of $\Gamma$ is any map $\gamma: E(\Gamma) \rightarrow C$, where $|C|=n+1$. The coloration is called proper if adjacent edges have different colors. An edge $e$ of $\Gamma$ such that $\gamma(e)=c$ is also called a c-edge. Usually we set $C=\Delta_{n}=\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$.

An $(n+1)$-colored graph is a connected $(n+1)$-regular graph equipped with a proper $(n+1)$ coloration on the edges. It is easy to see that any $(n+1)$-colored graph has even order and it is well known that any bipartite $(n+1)$-regular graph admits a proper $(n+1)$-coloration (see [19]).

If $\Delta \subset \Delta_{n}$, denote by $\Gamma_{\Delta}$ the subgraph of $\Gamma$ obtained by dropping out from $\Gamma$ all $c$-edges, for any $c \in \widehat{\Delta}=\Delta_{n}-\Delta$. Each connected component $\Lambda$ of $\Gamma_{\Delta}$ is called a $\Delta$-residue - as well as a $|\Delta|$-residue - of $\Gamma$, indicated by $\Lambda \prec \Gamma$. Of course, 0 -residues are vertices, 1-residues are edges, 2 -residues are bicolored cycles with an even number of edges, also called bigons. An $h$-residue of $\Gamma$ is called essential if $2 \leq h \leq n$. The number of $\Delta$-residues of $\Gamma$ will be denoted ${ }^{1}$ by $g_{\Delta}$. An $(n+1)$-colored graph $\Gamma$ is called supercontracted ${ }^{2}$ if $g_{\widehat{c}}=1$, for any $c \in \Delta_{n}$, where $\widehat{c}=\Delta_{n}-\{c\}$. If $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda^{\prime}$ are residues of $\Gamma$ and $\Lambda^{\prime}$ is a proper subgraph of $\Lambda$, then $\Lambda^{\prime}$ is also a residue of the colored graph $\Lambda$.

The set of all $h$-residues of an $(n+1)$-colored graph $\Gamma$ is denoted by $\mathcal{R}_{h}(\Gamma)$ and the set

$$
\mathcal{R}(\Gamma)=\bigcup_{0 \leq h \leq n} \mathcal{R}_{h}(\Gamma)
$$

results to be partially ordered by the relation $\preceq$ (as usual $\preceq$ means either $\prec$ or $=$ ) and will play a central role in our discussion.

For elementary notions about graphs we refer to [32] and for general PL-topology we refer to [24], [28] and [29].

[^1]
## 3. The construction

### 3.1 The quasi-manifold $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$

Given an $(n+1)$-colored graph $\Gamma$, we associate to it an $n$-dimensional complex $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}$, as well as its underlying space $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}=\left|\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}\right|$, obtained by attaching to $\Gamma$ "cone-like" cells in one-to-one correspondence with the essential residues of $\Gamma$, where the dimension of each cell is the number of colors of the associated residue. For the sake of conciseness, the $h$-skeleton of $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}$ will be denoted by $\Gamma^{(h)}$, for any $h=0,1, \ldots, n$.

First of all we consider vertices and edges of $\Gamma$ as 0 -dimensional and 1-dimensional cells respectively, with the natural incidence structure. So the 0 -skeleton $\Gamma^{(0)}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}$ is $V(\Gamma)$ and the 1-skeleton $\Gamma^{(1)}$ is the graph $\Gamma$, considered (as well as its essential residues) as a 1-dimensional cellular complex in the usual way. Moreover define $\Lambda^{(1)}=\Lambda$, for any essential residue $\Lambda$ of $\Gamma$.

If $n=1$ then $\Gamma$ is just a bigon and it has no essential residues. In this case $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}=V(\Gamma) \cup E(\Gamma)$ and $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}=\Gamma \cong S^{1}$.

If $n \geq 2$, we proceed by induction via a sequence of cone attachings $Y \rightarrow Y \cup C(X)$, where $X$ is a subspace of $Y$ and $C(X)=(X \times[0,1]) /(x, 1) \sim\left(x^{\prime}, 1\right)$ is the cone over $X$ which is attached to $Y$ via the map $(x, 0) \in C(X) \mapsto x \in Y$ At each step $h=2, \ldots, n$ these attachings are in one-to-one correspondence with the elements of $\mathcal{R}_{h}(\Gamma)$, according to the following algorithm.

By induction on $h=2, \ldots, n$ let

$$
\Gamma^{(h)}=\Gamma^{(h-1)} \bigcup_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{R}_{h}(\Gamma)} C\left(\Lambda^{(h-1)}\right)
$$

and, for any essential $h^{\prime}$-residue $\Theta$ of $\Gamma$ with $h^{\prime}>h$, define $\Theta^{(h)}=\Theta^{(h-1)} \cup_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{R}_{h}(\Theta)} C\left(\Lambda^{(h-1)}\right)$, which is obviously a subspace of $\Gamma^{(h)}$.

The final result of this process, namely $\Gamma^{(n)}$, is the space $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$, and we say that $\Gamma$ represents $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$.

For any $h=2, \ldots, n$ the $h$-cells of $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}$ are the cones $c_{\Lambda}=C\left(\Lambda^{(h-1)}\right)$, for any $\Lambda \in \mathcal{R}_{h}(\Gamma)$, and the vertex of the cone is denoted by $V_{\Lambda}$. Moreover, we can consider any edge $e \in E(\Gamma)$ as the result of a cone on its endpoints with vertex $V_{e}$, as well as any $v \in V(\Gamma)$ can be considered as the result of a cone on the empty set with vertex $V_{v}=v$. As a consequence, each cell of $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}$ is associated to a residue of $\Gamma$ and it is a cone over the union of suitable cells of lower dimension. The set $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}=\left\{c_{\Lambda} \mid \Lambda \in \mathcal{R}(\Gamma)\right\}$ is called the cone-complex associated to $\Gamma$ and we have

$$
\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}=\left|\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}\right|=\bigcup_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{R}(\Gamma)} c_{\Lambda}=\bigcup_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{R}_{n}(\Gamma)} c_{\Lambda} .
$$

For any $\Lambda \in \mathcal{R}_{h}(\Gamma)$ the space $\widehat{M}_{\Lambda}=\left|\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}\right|$ is an $(h-1)$-dimensional subspace of $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$. In particular, $\widehat{M}_{\Lambda}=S^{0}$ if $\Lambda$ is a 1-residue and $\widehat{M}_{\Lambda}=S^{-1}=\emptyset$ if $\Lambda$ is a 0 -residue. Observe that, with this notation, $c_{\Lambda}=C\left(\widehat{M}_{\Lambda}\right)$. In the following $\bar{c}_{\Lambda}$ will denote the cone-complex $\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda} \cup\left\{c_{\Lambda}\right\}$.

The set $\Upsilon=\left\{V_{\Lambda} \mid \Lambda \in \mathcal{R}(\Gamma)\right\}$ of the cone-vertices is a 0 -dimensional subspace of $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$. A cell $c_{\Lambda^{\prime}}$ is a proper face of a cell $c_{\Lambda}$ (written as usual $c_{\Lambda^{\prime}}<c_{\Lambda}$ ) when $c_{\Lambda^{\prime}} \subset c_{\Lambda}$. Therefore, $c_{\Lambda^{\prime}}<c_{\Lambda}$ if and only if $\Lambda^{\prime} \prec \Lambda$.

[^2]It is worth noting that the cells of $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}$ are not in general balls. In fact, an $h$-cell $c_{\Lambda}$ is a ball if and only if $\widehat{M}_{\Lambda}$ is an $(h-1)$-sphere.

An $h$-residue $\Lambda$ of $\Gamma$ is called ordinary if $\widehat{M}_{\Lambda}$ is an $(h-1)$-sphere, otherwise it is called singular. Of course, all 0-, 1- and 2-residues are ordinary. As proved later (see Corollary 3.2, $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ is a closed $n$-manifold if and only if all residues of $\Gamma$ are ordinary and in this case the cone-complex $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}$ results to be a genuine (regular) CW-complex.

If $n=2$ the above construction just reduces to the attaching of a disk along its boundary to any bigon of $\Gamma$, and therefore $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ is a closed surface.

If $n=3$ the construction, which was introduced for closed 3-manifolds in 31, has an additional step consisting in performing the cone over any 3 -residue of $\Gamma$, considered together with the disks previously attached to its bigons. As shown in [31, $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ is a closed 3-manifold if and only if all 3-residues of $\Gamma$ are ordinary ${ }^{4}$ On the contrary, if some 3 -residue is singular then $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ is a 3 -dimensional singular manifold whose singularities are the cone points of the cells corresponding to the singular 3 -residues. Note that it is easy to check whether a 3 -residue $\Lambda$ is ordinary or not by Euler characteristic arguments: if $v$ and $b$ are the number of vertices and bigons of $\Lambda$, then $\Lambda$ is ordinary if and only if $b-v / 2=2$.

The cone-complex $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}$ admits a natural "barycentric" subdivision $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$, which results to be a simplicial complex, as follows. The 0 -simplexes of $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ are the cone vertices (i.e., the elements of $\Upsilon$ ), so they are in one-to-one correspondence with the elements of $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma)$. The set of $h$-simplexes of $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ is in one-to-one correspondence with the sequences $\left(\Lambda_{0}, \Lambda_{1}, \ldots, \Lambda_{h}\right)$ of residues of $\Gamma$, such that $\Lambda_{0} \prec \Lambda_{1} \prec \cdots \prec \Lambda_{h}$. Namely, the $h$-simplex $\sigma^{h}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ associated to ( $\Lambda_{0}, \Lambda_{1}, \ldots, \Lambda_{h}$ ) has vertices $V_{\Lambda_{0}}, V_{\Lambda_{1}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{h}}$ and it is defined by applying to $V_{\Lambda_{0}}$ the sequence of cone constructions corresponding to the residues $\Lambda_{1}, \ldots, \Lambda_{h}$, in this order. Therefore

$$
\sigma^{h}=\left\langle V_{\Lambda_{0}}, V_{\Lambda_{1}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{h}}\right\rangle=C_{V_{\Lambda_{h}}}\left(C_{V_{\Lambda_{h-1}}}\left(\cdots\left(C_{V_{\Lambda_{1}}}\left(V_{\Lambda_{0}}\right)\right) \cdots\right)\right) .
$$

It is interesting to note that $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to the order complex of the poset $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma)$ (see Section 9 of [1]). In the following with the notation $\left\langle V_{\Lambda_{0}}, V_{\Lambda_{1}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{h}}\right\rangle$ we always mean that $\Lambda_{0} \prec \Lambda_{1} \prec$ $\cdots \prec \Lambda_{h}$.

If $\Lambda$ is an $h$-residue of $\Gamma$, we denote by $c_{\Lambda}^{\prime}$ the subcomplex of $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ obtained by restricting the barycentric subdivision to the cell $c_{\Lambda}$. Therefore a $k$-simplex $\sigma^{k}=\left\langle V_{\Lambda_{0}}, V_{\Lambda_{1}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{k}}\right\rangle$ is a simplex of $c_{\Lambda}^{\prime}$ if and only if $\Lambda_{k} \preceq \Lambda$. It is a standard fact that $c_{\Lambda}^{\prime}=V_{\Lambda} \star \dot{c}_{\Lambda}^{\prime}$, where $\dot{c}_{\Lambda}^{\prime}=\left\{\left\langle V_{\Lambda_{0}}, V_{\Lambda_{1}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{k}}\right\rangle \in c_{\Lambda}^{\prime} \mid \Lambda_{k} \prec \Lambda\right\}$. Note that $\left|\dot{c}_{\Lambda}^{\prime}\right|=\widehat{M}_{\Lambda}$.

For $n \geq 0$, we denote by $\bar{s}_{n}$ the complex composed by the standard $n$-simplex $s_{n}$ and all its faces and by $\dot{s}_{n}$ its boundary complex. Therefore $\bar{s}_{n}^{\prime}$ and $\dot{s}_{n}^{\prime}$ denote their barycentric subdivisions, respectively. Observe that $\left|\dot{s}_{n}\right|=\left|\dot{s}_{n}^{\prime}\right|=S^{n-1}$, for any $n \geq 0$. In the following we set, as usual, $A \star \emptyset=A$, for any simplicial complex $A$.

Proposition 3.1 Let $\sigma^{h}=\left\langle V_{\Lambda_{0}}, V_{\Lambda_{1}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{h}}\right\rangle$ be an $h$-simplex of $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$. If $\Lambda_{i}$ is a $d_{i}$-residue of $\Gamma$, for $i=0,1, \ldots, h$, then $\operatorname{Link}\left(\sigma^{h}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}\right)$ is isomorphic to the complex ${\dot{\Lambda_{0}}}_{\prime} \star \dot{s}_{d_{1}-d_{0}-1}^{\prime} \star \cdots \star \dot{s}_{d_{h}-d_{h-1}-1}^{\prime} \star$ $\dot{s}_{n-d_{h}-1}^{\prime}$. Hence, $\left|\operatorname{Link}\left(\sigma^{h}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}\right)\right|$ is homeomorphic to $\widehat{M}_{\Lambda_{0}} \star S^{n-h-d_{0}-1}$.

Proof. For $i=1, \ldots, h$ suppose $\Lambda_{i}$ is a $D_{i}$-residue of $\Gamma$, with $\left|D_{i}\right|=d_{i}$, and set $D_{h+1}=$ $\Delta_{n}$. A simplex $\tau^{s}=\left\langle V_{\Omega_{0}}, V_{\Omega_{1}}, \ldots, V_{\Omega_{s}}\right\rangle$ belongs to $\operatorname{Link}\left(\sigma^{h}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}\right)$ if there exist $j_{0}, j_{1}, \ldots, j_{h}$ with

[^3]$0 \leq j_{0} \leq j_{1} \leq \ldots \leq j_{h}<n$, such that $\Omega_{0} \prec \ldots \prec \Omega_{j_{0}} \prec \Lambda_{0}, \Lambda_{0} \prec \Omega_{j_{0}+1} \prec \ldots \prec \Omega_{j_{1}} \prec \Lambda_{1}$, $\ldots, \Lambda_{h-1} \prec \Omega_{j_{h-1}+1} \prec \ldots \prec \Omega_{j_{h}} \prec \Lambda_{h}, \Lambda_{h} \prec \Omega_{j_{h}+1} \prec \ldots \prec \Omega_{s}$. Of course, the simplex $\tau_{0}=\left\langle V_{\Omega_{0}}, \ldots, V_{\Omega_{j_{0}}}\right\rangle$ is a generic element of $\dot{c}_{\Lambda_{0}}^{\prime}$. On the other hand, for $i=1, \ldots, h+1$ the chain $\Omega_{j_{i-1}+1} \prec \ldots \prec \Omega_{j_{i}}$ is a generic element of the order complex of the subposet $R_{i}$ of $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma)$ defined by $R_{i}=\left\{\Omega \in \mathcal{R}(\Gamma) \mid \Lambda_{i-1} \prec \Omega \prec \Lambda_{i}\right\}$. It is easy to see that the poset $R_{i}$ is isomorphic to the poset of the proper subsets of $D_{i}-D_{i-1}$, via the correspondence $\Omega \mapsto D^{\prime}-D_{i-1}$, where $D^{\prime}$ is the set of colors of $\Omega$. Since the order complex of the proper subsets of a finite set $X$ is isomorphic to $\dot{s}_{|X|-1}^{\prime}$, we obtain the proof.

Corollary 3.2 Let $\Gamma$ be an $(n+1)$-colored graph, then $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ is a closed manifold if and only if all $n$-residues of $\Gamma$ are ordinary.

Proof. Since $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ is a closed manifold if and only if the link of any 0 -simplex of $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ is an ( $n-1$ )-sphere, the result immediately follows from Proposition $3.1{ }^{5}$

As a consequence, all residues of a ordinary residue are ordinary.
The singular complex of $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}$, and therefore $\left|\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right|$ indicates the singular set of $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$. By Proposition 3.1, an $h$-simplex $\sigma^{h}=\left\langle V_{\Lambda_{0}}, V_{\Lambda_{1}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{h}}\right\rangle$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}$ if and only if $\Lambda_{0}$ is a singular residue of $\Gamma$ (and therefore any $\Lambda_{i}$ is a singular residue, for $i=1, \ldots, h$ ). As mentioned before, the set $\left|\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right|$ is empty when $n=2$ and finite when $n=3$.

The set of ordinary (resp. singular) residues of $\Gamma$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{R}^{\prime}(\Gamma)$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}^{\prime \prime}(\Gamma)$ ) and let $\mathcal{R}_{h}^{\prime}(\Gamma)=\mathcal{R}_{h}(\Gamma) \cap \mathcal{R}^{\prime}(\Gamma)$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}_{h}^{\prime \prime}(\Gamma)=\mathcal{R}_{h}(\Gamma) \cap \mathcal{R}^{\prime \prime}(\Gamma)$ ), for any $0 \leq h \leq n$. Of course, $\mathcal{R}_{h}^{\prime \prime}(\Gamma)=\emptyset$ for all $h \leq 2$. If $S$ is a connected component of $\left|\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right|$ then define $R_{S} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{n}^{\prime \prime}(\Gamma)$ by setting $R_{S}=\left\{\Lambda \in \mathcal{R}_{n}^{\prime \prime}(\Gamma) \mid V_{\Lambda} \in S\right\}$. As a consequence, $S$ is a single point if and only if $\left|R_{S}\right|=1$. It is easy to see that $\operatorname{dim}(S) \leq\left|R_{S}\right|-1$.

Lemma 3.3 Let $\Gamma$ be an $(n+1)$-colored graph. If $\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}$ is non-empty then it is a full subcomplex of $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right)=n-\min \left\{h \mid \mathcal{R}_{h}^{\prime \prime}(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset\right\} \leq n-3$.

Proof. Let $\sigma^{k}=\left\langle V_{\Lambda_{0}}, V_{\Lambda_{1}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{k}}\right\rangle$ be a simplex of $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ with all vertices belonging to $\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}$. As a consequence, $\Lambda_{i}$ is a singular residue for all $i=0,1, \ldots, k$ and therefore $\sigma^{k}$ is a simplex of $\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}$. This prove that $\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}$ is a full subcomplex of $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$. Since all 2-residues are ordinary, any simplex of $\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}$ has dimension $<n-2$. Moreover, if $\Lambda$ is a singular $n$-residue, and ( $\Lambda_{0}=\Lambda, \Lambda_{1}, \ldots, \Lambda_{n-h}$ ) is a maximal chain in $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma)$ then $\left\langle V_{\Lambda_{0}}, V_{\Lambda_{1}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{n-h}}\right\rangle$ is an $(n-h)$-simplex of $\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}$. This concludes the proof.

The above construction of $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ is dual to the one given by Pezzana in the seventies (see for example the survey paper [18]), which associates an $n$-dimensional pseudo-simplicial complex $\mathcal{K}_{\Gamma}$ to the $(n+1)$-colored graph $\Gamma$ in the following way:
(i) take an $n$-simplex $s_{v}$ for each $v \in V(\Gamma)$ and color its vertices injectively by $\Delta_{n}$;
(ii) if $v, w \in V(\Gamma)$ are joined by a $c$-edge of $\Gamma$, glue the $(n-1)$-faces of $s_{v}$ and $s_{w}$ opposite to the vertices colored by $c$, in such a way that equally colored vertices are identified together.

[^4]As a consequence, $\mathcal{K}_{\Gamma}$ inherits a coloration on its vertices, thus becoming a balanced $\left.{ }^{6}\right]$ pseudosimplicial complex. This construction yields a one-to-one inclusion reversing correspondence $\Lambda \leftrightarrow$ $s_{\Lambda}$ between the residues of $\Gamma$ and the simplexes of $\mathcal{K}_{\Gamma}$, in such a way that the $h$-simplex $s_{\Lambda}$ of $\mathcal{K}_{\Gamma}$ having vertices colored by $\Delta \subset \Delta_{n}$ is associated to the $\widehat{\Delta}$-residue of $\Gamma$ having vertices corresponding via (i) to the $n$-simplexes of $\mathcal{K}_{\Gamma}$ containing $s_{\Lambda}$.

Proposition 3.4 The simplicial complexes $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ are isomorphic. Therefore $\left|\mathcal{K}_{\Gamma}\right| \cong\left|\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}\right|=\widehat{M_{\Gamma}}$.
Proof. If we denote by $\widehat{s}$ the barycenter of the simplex $s$ of $\mathcal{K}_{\Gamma}$, then the bijective map $d: S^{0}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow S^{0}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}\right)$ between the 0 -skeletons of the two complexes defined by $d\left(\widehat{s_{\Lambda}}\right)=V_{\Lambda}$, for any $\Lambda \in \mathcal{R}(\Gamma)$, induces an isomorphism between the simplicial complexes $\mathcal{K}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$.

Remark 3.5 The duality between the complexes $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\Gamma}$ is given by the fact that $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}$ is the complex $\mathcal{K}_{\Gamma}^{*}$ dual to $\mathcal{K}_{\Gamma}$ (i.e., composed by the dual cells of the simplexes of $\mathcal{K}_{\Gamma}$ ). The definition of dual complex is analogous to the one in the simplicial case (see for example page 29 of [29]), and it is well defined also in the pseudo-simplicial case since the barycentric subdivision $\mathcal{K}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{K}_{\Gamma}$ is a simplicial complex: if $s_{\Lambda}$ is an $h$-simplex of $\mathcal{K}_{\Gamma}$ with vertex set $V$, then its dual cell $s_{\Lambda}^{*}$ is the $(n-h)$-dimensional subcomplex of $\mathcal{K}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ given by $s_{\Lambda}^{*}=\cap_{v \in V} \operatorname{star}\left(v, \mathcal{K}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore the conecomplex is exactly the complex $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}=\left\{\left|s_{\Lambda}^{*}\right| \mid s_{\Lambda} \in \mathcal{K}_{\Gamma}\right\}$. Moreover, the singular set of $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ is also the underlying space of the subcomplex $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\Gamma}$ of $\mathcal{K}_{\Gamma}$ composed by the simplexes $s_{\Lambda}$ such that $\Lambda$ is singular. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}=\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$. Note that maximal simplexes of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\Gamma}$ correspond to minimal singular residues of $\Gamma$ (i.e., singular residues having no singular subresidues).

It is not difficult to see that $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}=\mathcal{K}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ is an $n$-dimensional quasi-manifold. Viceversa, any $n$-dimensional quasi-manifold admits a representation by $(n+1)$-colored graphs:

Proposition 3.6 [20] Let $K$ be an n-dimensional (pseudo-)simplicial complex. Then there exists an $(n+1)$-colored graph $\Gamma$ such that $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma} \cong|K|$ if and only if $K$ is a quasi-manifold.

The following result is straightforward:
Lemma 3.7 Let $\Gamma$ be an $(n+1)$-colored graph, then $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ is a singular manifold if and only if $\mathcal{R}_{h}^{\prime \prime}(\Gamma)=\emptyset$ for any $h<n$.

Proof. If $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ is a singular manifold then $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right) \leq 0$ and therefore, by Lemma 3.3, $\mathcal{R}_{h}^{\prime \prime}(\Gamma)=\emptyset$ for any $h<n$. On the contrary, if $\mathcal{R}_{h}^{\prime \prime}(\Gamma)=\emptyset$ for any $h<n$ then $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ is a singular manifold by Proposition 3.1, since $\widehat{M}_{\Lambda_{0}}$ is a closed manifold when $\Lambda_{0}$ is an $n$-residue of $\Gamma$.

[^5]
### 3.2 The manifold $M_{\Gamma}$

As previously noticed, $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ is not always a manifold since it may contain singular points. In order to obtain a manifold we remove the interior of a regular neighborhood of the singular set $\left|\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right|$ in $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$.

Lemma 3.8 Let $N\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right)$ be a regular neighborhood of $\left|\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right|$ in $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$, then $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}-\operatorname{int}\left(N\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right)\right)$ is a compact $n$-manifold with (possibly empty) boundary.

Proof. If $\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}=\emptyset$ the result is trivial since $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ is a closed $n$-manifold. If $\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma} \neq \emptyset$ then Theorem 5.3 of [10], used with $Y=\emptyset$, says that the topological boundary $\operatorname{bd}\left(N\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right)\right)$ of $N\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right)$ in $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ is bicollared in the (non-compact) $n$-manifold $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}-\left|\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right|$. It immediately follows that $M_{\Gamma}=\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}-\operatorname{int}\left(N\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right)\right)$ is a compact $n$-manifold with boundary $\partial M_{\Gamma}=\operatorname{bd}\left(N\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right)\right)$.

We define $M_{\Gamma}=\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}-\operatorname{int}\left(N\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right)\right)$ and say that the $(n+1)$-colored graph $\Gamma$ also represents $M_{\Gamma}$. If $\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}$ is empty then $M_{\Gamma}=\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ is a closed $n$-manifold. Otherwise, by the previous lemma $M_{\Gamma}$ is a compact $n$-manifold with non-empty boundary.

The next proposition gives a combinatorial description of $M_{\Gamma}$. Recall that, for a subcomplex $H$ of a simplicial complex $K$, the simplicial neighborhood of $H$ in $K$ is the subcomplex $N(H, K)$ of $K$ containing all simplexes of $K$ not disjoint from $H$, and their faces. Moreover, the complement of $H$ in $K$ is the subcomplex $C(H, K)$ of $K$ containing all simplexes of $K$ disjoint from $H$ and set $\dot{N}(H, K)=N(H, K) \cap C(H, K)$.

Proposition 3.9 If $\Gamma$ is an $(n+1)$-colored graph then

$$
M_{\Gamma}=\left|C\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|=\bigcup_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{R}^{\prime}(\Gamma)}\left|\operatorname{Star}\left(V_{\Lambda}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right| .
$$

Moreover, $\partial M_{\Gamma}=\left|\dot{N}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|$.
Proof. Since $\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}$ is a full subcomplex of $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$, we can choose $N\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right)$ in the first barycentric subdivision $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime \prime}$ of the complex $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$, by defining $N\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right)=\left|N\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|$ (see [10]), and therefore we have $M_{\Gamma}=\left|C\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|$ and $\partial M_{\Gamma}=\left|\dot{N}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|$. It is easy to realize that $N\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime \prime}\right)=$ $\cup_{v \in S^{0}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right)} \operatorname{Star}\left(v, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\cup_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{R}^{\prime \prime}(\Gamma)} \operatorname{Star}\left(V_{\Lambda}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $C\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\cup_{v \in\left(S^{0}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}\right)-S^{0}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right)\right)} \operatorname{Star}\left(v, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime \prime}\right)=$ $\cup_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{R}^{\prime}(\Gamma)} \operatorname{Star}\left(V_{\Lambda}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

As an immediate consequence of the fact that $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ is a quasi-manifold it follows that $M_{\Gamma}$ is connected. Moreover, the connected components of $\partial M_{\Gamma}$ are in one-to-one correpondence with the connected components of $\left|\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right|$, since the simplexes of $\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ have connected links in $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime \prime}$.

Remark 3.10 The compact n-manifold $M_{\Gamma}$ can be obtained from $\Gamma$ by an alternative algorithm, which differs from the one producing $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ only in correspondence of singular residues, where the cone constructions are replaced by cylinder ones. Namely, for any singular $h$-residue $\Lambda$, instead of attaching to $\Gamma^{(h-1)}$ the cone $C\left(\Lambda^{(h-1)}\right)$ along the base, we attach the cylinder Cyl( $\left.\Lambda^{(h-1)}\right)$ along one of the two bases. In order to prove that, it suffices to show that $M_{\Gamma} \cap c_{\Lambda}=M_{\Lambda} \times I$, for any singular residue $\Lambda$ of $\Gamma$. This can be achieved by applying Lemma 1.22 of [29], with $V=V_{\Lambda}, K=C\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Lambda}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $L=\left(V_{\Lambda} \star D\right) \cup C\left(\left(V_{\Lambda} \star \mathcal{S}_{\Lambda}\right)^{\prime},\left(V_{\Lambda} \star \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right)$, where $D=C\left(\left(V_{\Lambda} \star \mathcal{S}_{\Lambda}\right)^{\prime},\left(V_{\Lambda} \star \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right) \cap \operatorname{Star}\left(V_{\Lambda},\left(V_{\Lambda} \star \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right)$.

All interesting compact connected $n$-manifolds can be represented by $(n+1)$-colored graphs, as stated by the next proposition.

Proposition 3.11 If $M$ is a compact connected n-manifold with a (possibly empty) boundary without spherical components, then there exists an $(n+1)$-colored graph $\Gamma$ such that $M=M_{\Gamma}$.

Proof. Let $\widehat{M}$ be the space obtained from $M$ by performing a cone over any component of $\partial M$, then $\widehat{M}$ is an $n$-dimensional singular manifold. By Theorem 1 of [7], there exists an $(n+1)$ colored graph $\Gamma$ such that $\widehat{M}=\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$. The singular set $\left|\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right|$ is the set of vertices of the cones, and the union of the cones is a regular neighborhood of $\left|\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right|$ in $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$. As a consequence, $M_{\Gamma}=M$.

Since two compact $n$-manifolds are homeomorphic if and only if (i) they have the same number of spherical boundary components and (ii) they are homeomorphic after capping off by balls these components, there is no loss of generality in studying compact $n$-manifolds without spherical boundary components.

When $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ is a singular manifold, the boundary of $M_{\Gamma}$ admits a simple characterization in terms of the spaces represented by the singular residues.

Lemma 3.12 Let $\Gamma$ be an $(n+1)$-colored graph such that $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ is a singular manifold and let $\Lambda$ be an n-singular residue of $\Gamma$. Then the component of $\partial M_{\Gamma}$ corresponding to $\Lambda$ is homeomorphic to $M_{\Lambda}=\widehat{M}_{\Lambda}$.

Proof. The component of $\partial M_{\Gamma}$ corresponding to $\Lambda$ is $B=\left|\operatorname{Link}\left(V_{\Lambda}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|=\mid \operatorname{Link}\left(V_{\Lambda},\left(V_{\Lambda} \star\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right) \mid$. An $h$-simplex of $\left(V_{\Lambda} \star \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ is $\sigma^{h}=\left\langle\widehat{L}_{0}, \widehat{L}_{1}, \ldots \widehat{L}_{h}\right\rangle$, where $L_{i}$ is a chain $\Lambda_{i, 0} \prec \Lambda_{i, 1} \prec \ldots \prec$ $\Lambda_{i, s_{i}}$ in $\mathcal{R}(\Lambda) \cup\{\Lambda\}$, for $i=0,1, \ldots, h$, such that $L_{0}<L_{1}<\cdots<L_{h}$ and $\widehat{L}_{i}$ is the barycenter of the simplex $\left\langle V_{\Lambda_{i, 0}}, V_{\Lambda_{i, 1}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{i, s_{i}}}\right\rangle$. The simplex $\sigma^{h}$ belongs to $\operatorname{Link}\left(V_{\Lambda},\left(V_{\Lambda} \star \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right)$ (resp. to $\left.\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ if and only if $\Lambda \neq \Lambda_{0,0}$ is the last element of the chain $L_{0}$ (resp. $\Lambda$ is not an element of the chain $\left.L_{h}\right)$. Then the map $\iota: S^{0}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow S^{0}\left(\operatorname{Link}\left(V_{\Lambda},\left(V_{\Lambda} \star \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right)\right)$ defined by $\iota(\widehat{L})=\widehat{L^{\prime}}$, where $L$ is the chain $\Lambda_{0} \prec \Lambda_{1} \prec \cdots \prec \Lambda_{k}$, with $\Lambda_{k} \prec \Lambda$, and $L^{\prime}$ is the chain $\Lambda_{0} \prec \Lambda_{1} \prec \cdots \prec \Lambda_{k} \prec \Lambda$, induces an isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\operatorname{Link}\left(V_{\Lambda},\left(V_{\Lambda} \star \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right)$. As a consequence, $B$ is homeomorphic to $\left|\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}^{\prime \prime}\right|=\widehat{M}_{\Lambda}\left(=M_{\Lambda}\right.$ since all residues of $\Lambda$ are ordinary $)$.

Corollary 3.13 If $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ is a singular manifold then $\partial M_{\Gamma}$ has no spherical components.
If $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right)=1$, the graph $\Gamma$ has no singular $(n-2)$ residues, and any singular $(n-1)$-residue $\Omega$ is contained in exactly two $n$-residues $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda^{\prime}$. Using the isomorphism $\iota$ defined in the proof of Lemma 3.12, we can suppose that $\widehat{M}_{\Omega}=M_{\Omega}$ is a boundary component of both $M_{\Lambda}$ and $M_{\Lambda^{\prime}}$. Therefore, we can define the space $M_{\Lambda} \cup^{\partial} M_{\Lambda^{\prime}}$ by gluing $M_{\Lambda}$ with $M_{\Lambda^{\prime}}$ along their common boundary components (corresponding to common singular ( $n-1$ )-residues). Using this trick the boundary of $M_{\Gamma}$ can be described as gluings of the manifolds with boundary corresponding to the singular $n$-residues of $\Gamma$.

Proposition 3.14 Let $\Gamma$ be an $(n+1)$-colored graph such that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right)=1$ and let $S$ be a connected component of $\left|\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right|$. Then the component of $\partial M_{\Gamma}$ corresponding to $S$ is homeomorphic to $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq s}^{\partial} M_{\Lambda_{i}}$, where $\Lambda_{1}, \ldots, \Lambda_{s}$ are the $n$-residues of $R_{S}$.

Proof. Let $\Lambda$ be a singular $n$-residue of $\Gamma$ belonging to $R_{S}$, and let $\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}, \ldots, \Omega_{m}$ be the singular $(n-1)$-residues of $\Lambda$. It suffices to prove that (i) $\left|\dot{N}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime \prime}\right) \cap \bar{c}_{\Lambda}^{\prime \prime}\right|$ is homeomorphic to $M_{\Lambda}$ and (ii) $\left|\dot{N}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime \prime}\right) \cap \bar{c}_{\Omega_{i}}^{\prime \prime}\right|$ is homeomorphic to $M_{\Omega_{i}}$ and is a boundary component of $\left|\dot{N}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime \prime}\right) \cap \bar{c}_{\Lambda}^{\prime \prime}\right|$, for $i=1, \ldots, m$.

Referring to the proof of Lemma 3.12, the simplex $\sigma^{h}=\left\langle\widehat{L}_{0}, \widehat{L}_{1}, \ldots \widehat{L}_{h}\right\rangle$ of $\left(V_{\Lambda} \star \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ belongs to $\dot{N}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ if and only if either (i) $\Lambda$ is the last element of the chain $L_{0}$, and $\Lambda \neq \Lambda_{0,0} \neq \Omega_{j}$, for $j=1, \ldots, m$, or (ii) there exists $j$ such that $\Omega_{j} \neq \Lambda_{0,0}$ is the last element of the chain $L_{0}$. Let $K$ be the complex containing the simplexes satisfying (i) and, for $i=1, \ldots, m$, let $K_{i}$ be the complex containing the simplexes satisfying (ii) and such that $\Lambda_{0,0}$ is a residue of $\Omega_{i}$. Moreover, $\sigma^{h}$ belongs to $C\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Lambda}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ if and only if $\Lambda$ is not an element of the chain $L_{h}$ and $\Lambda_{0,0} \neq \Omega_{j}$, for $i=1, \ldots, m$. In particular, $\sigma^{h}$ belongs to $C\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{i}}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Omega_{i}}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ if and only if $\Lambda$ is not an element of the chain $L_{h}$ and $\Lambda_{0,0}$ is a residue of $\Omega_{i}$.

The map $\kappa: S^{0}\left(C\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Lambda}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \rightarrow S^{0}(K)$ defined by $\kappa(\widehat{L})=\widehat{L^{\prime}}$, where $L$ is the chain $\Lambda_{0} \prec \Lambda_{1} \prec$ $\cdots \prec \Lambda_{k}$, with $\Lambda_{k} \prec \Lambda$, and $L^{\prime}$ is the chain $\Lambda_{0} \prec \Lambda_{1} \prec \cdots \prec \Lambda_{k} \prec \Lambda$, induces an isomorphism between $C\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Lambda}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $K$. Therefore, $|K|$ is homeomorphic to $M_{\Lambda}=\left|C\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Lambda}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|$. Moreover, for $i=1, \ldots, m$, the map $\kappa_{i}: S^{0}\left(C\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{i}}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Omega_{i}}^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow S^{0}\left(K_{i}\right)\right.$ defined in the same way of $\kappa$ with $L^{\prime}$ being the chain $\Lambda_{0} \prec \Lambda_{1} \prec \cdots \prec \Lambda_{k} \prec \Lambda$ (resp. $\Lambda_{0} \prec \Lambda_{1} \prec \cdots \prec \Lambda_{k} \prec \Omega_{i}$ ) if $\Lambda_{k}=\Omega_{i}$ (resp. if $\left.\Lambda_{k} \prec \Omega_{i}\right)$ induces an isomorphism between $C\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{i}}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Omega_{i}}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $K_{i}$. Therefore, $\left|K_{i}\right|$ is homeomorphic to $\left|C\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{i}}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Omega_{i}}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|$, which is homeomorphic to $M_{\Omega_{i}} \times I$ by Lemma 1.22 of [29]. Since $K \cap K_{i}$ is isomorphic to $\dot{N}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{i}}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Omega_{i}}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\dot{N}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime \prime}\right) \cap \bar{c}_{\Omega_{i}}^{\prime \prime}$ via $\kappa_{i}$ and $\left|\dot{N}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_{i}}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Omega_{i}}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|=M_{\Omega_{i}}$, then $\left|\dot{N}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime \prime}\right) \cap \bar{c}_{\Lambda}^{\prime \prime}\right|$ is homeomorphic to $M_{\Lambda}$. This concludes the proof.

When $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right)>1$ the description of the boundary of $M_{\Gamma}$ is in general rather more involved. Note that Lemma 3.12 (resp. Proposition 3.14) always applies when $\operatorname{dim}\left(M_{\Gamma}\right)=3$ (resp. when $\left.\operatorname{dim}\left(M_{\Gamma}\right)=4\right)$.

Some properties of $M_{\Gamma}$ and $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ correspond to properties of the representing graph $\Gamma$. For example the following result generalizes Theorem 4 of [18]:

Proposition 3.15 The quasi-manifold $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$, as well as the manifold $M_{\Gamma}$, is orientable if and only if $\Gamma$ is bipartite.

Proof. Obviously any orientation on $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ restricts to an orientation on $M_{\Gamma}$. Furthermore, any orientation on $M_{\Gamma}$ extends to an orientation on $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$, since $\left|\bar{\sigma}^{\prime \prime} \cap C\left(\bar{S}_{\Gamma}^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{K}_{\Gamma}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|$ is an $n$-ball (resp. an ( $n-1$ )-ball), for any $n$-simplex (resp. $(n-1)$-simplex) $\sigma$ of $\mathcal{K}_{\Gamma}$. Therefore it suffices to prove the statement for $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$. By construction any global orientation on $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ induces a local orientation for any maximal simplexes of $\mathcal{K}_{\Gamma}$, which corresponds to one of the two classes of total orderings of the set $\Delta_{n}$, up to even permutations, in such a way that two $n$-simplexes having a common $(n-1)$-face are associated to different classes. As a consequence, the graph $\Gamma$ is bipartite since it can not have odd cycles. On the other hand, if $\Gamma$ is bipartite with bipartition of vertices $V(\Gamma)=V^{\prime} \cup V^{\prime \prime}$, then orient any $n$-simplex associated to elements of $V^{\prime}$ according with one class of orderings of $\Delta_{n}$ and any $n$-simplex associated to elements of $V^{\prime \prime}$ according with the other class. This choice provides a global orientation for $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$.

The computation of the Euler characteristic of $M_{\Gamma}, \widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ and $\left|\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right|$ is a routine fact:
Lemma 3.16 Let $\Gamma$ be an $(n+1)$-colored graph. Then

$$
\chi\left(M_{\Gamma}\right)=\sum_{h=0}^{n}(-1)^{h}\left|\mathcal{R}_{h}^{\prime}(\Gamma)\right|, \chi\left(\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}\right)=\sum_{h=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-h}\left|\mathcal{R}_{h}(\Gamma)\right| \quad \text { and } \quad \chi\left(\left|\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right|\right)=\sum_{h=3}^{n}(-1)^{n-h}\left|\mathcal{R}_{h}^{\prime \prime}(\Gamma)\right|
$$

Proof. The manifold $M_{\Gamma}$ retracts to the space $X=\cup_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{R}^{\prime}(\Gamma)} c_{\Lambda}$ by Remark 3.10, and therefore $\chi\left(M_{\Gamma}\right)=\chi(X)$ can be obtained by the usual formula for the CW-complexes. On the other hand, both $\chi\left(\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}\right)$ and $\chi\left(\left|\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right|\right)$ can be obtained from the pseudo-simplicial complex $\mathcal{K}_{\Gamma}$ by considering that any $h$-residue of the graph corresponds to an $(n-h)$-simplex of $\mathcal{K}_{\Gamma}$.

## 4. Graph suspension

If $\Gamma$ is an $n$-colored graph, for a fixed $c \in \Delta_{n-1}$ define $\Sigma_{c}(\Gamma)$ as the $(n+1)$-colored graph obtained from $\Gamma$ by adding a set of $n$-edges parallel to the $c$-edges of $\Gamma$. We refer to $\Sigma_{c}(\Gamma)$ as the $c$-suspension of $\Gamma$. The following result states that this construction is strictly related to the suspension construction of PL spaces (in the following $\Sigma(\cdot)$ denotes the suspension of either a PL space or a simplicial complex).

Theorem 4.1 Let $\Gamma$ be an $n$-colored graph and $c$ be any color in $\Delta_{n-1}$, then:
(i) $\widehat{M}_{\Sigma_{c}(\Gamma)}=\Sigma\left(\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}\right)$;
(ii) $\left|\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma_{c}(\Gamma)}\right|=\left|\Sigma\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right)\right|$, if $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ is not a sphere;
(iii) $M_{\Sigma_{c}(\Gamma)}=M_{\Gamma} \times I$, if $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ is not a sphere.

Proof. Let $\Omega=\Sigma_{c}(\Gamma)$ and denote by $\mathcal{R}^{c}(\Gamma)$ the set of all residues of $\Gamma$ containing $c$-edges. Each $\Delta$-residue $\Lambda$ of $\Gamma$ can be considered as a $\Delta$-residue of $\Omega$, as well as $\Gamma$. So $\Omega$ has a unique $\widehat{n}$-residue $\Gamma$, and a unique $\widehat{c}$-residue $\Gamma^{\prime \prime}$, which is isomorphic to $\Gamma$. Moreover, if $\Lambda \in \mathcal{R}^{c}(\Gamma)$ let us call $\Lambda^{\prime}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\Lambda^{\prime \prime}\right)$ the $(\Delta \cup\{n\})$-residue of $\Omega$ (resp. the $(\Delta \cup\{n\}-\{c\})$-residue of $\left.\Omega\right)$ having the same vertices of $\Lambda$.
(i) Consider the $n$-dimensional simplicial complex $K=\Sigma\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}\right)=\left\{P^{\prime}, P^{\prime \prime}\right\} \star \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$, then $S^{0}(K)=$ $\left\{P^{\prime}, P^{\prime \prime}\right\} \cup_{h=0}^{n-1}\left\{V_{\Lambda} \mid \Lambda \in \mathcal{R}_{h}(\Gamma)\right\}$. We will show by induction that a suitable subdivision of $K$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}^{\prime}$.

The induction is performed on the $h$-residues of $\mathcal{R}^{c}(\Gamma)$, for $h=1, \ldots, n-1$. The first step of the induction is to obtain a stellar subdivision $K_{1}$ of $K$, by starring the 1 -simplex $\left\langle P^{\prime}, V_{e}\right\rangle$ at an internal point $V_{e}^{\prime}$ and the 1 -simplex $\left\langle P^{\prime \prime}, V_{e}\right\rangle$ at an internal point $V_{e}^{\prime \prime}$, for any $c$-edge $e$ of $\Gamma$. It is obvious that $K_{1}$ does not depend on the order of the above starrings. After this step the 1-simplex $\left\langle P^{\prime}, V_{e}\right\rangle$ (resp. $\left\langle P^{\prime \prime}, V_{e}\right\rangle$ ) is subdivided into the two 1-simplexes $\left\langle P^{\prime}, V_{e}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle V_{e}, V_{e}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ (resp. $\left\langle P^{\prime \prime}, V_{e}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle V_{e}, V_{e}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle$ ) and the maximal simplex $\left\langle V_{v}, V_{e}, V_{\Lambda_{2}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{n-1}}, P^{\prime}\right\rangle$ (resp. $\left\langle V_{v}, V_{e}, V_{\Lambda_{2}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{n-1}}, P^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle$ ) of $K$ is subdivided into the two maximal simplexes $\left\langle V_{v}, V_{e}^{\prime}, V_{\Lambda_{2}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{n-1}}, P^{\prime}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle V_{v}, V_{e}^{\prime}, V_{e}, V_{\Lambda_{2}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{n-1}}\right\rangle$ (resp. $\left\langle V_{v}, V_{e}^{\prime \prime}, V_{\Lambda_{2}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{n-1}}, P^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle V_{v}, V_{e}^{\prime \prime}, V_{e}, V_{\Lambda_{2}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{n-1}}\right\rangle$ ).

Suppose the first $h-1$ induction steps performed, producing the subdivision $K_{h-1}$ of $K$. We define the induction step $h$ as follows: produce the complex $K_{h}$ as the stellar subdivision of $K_{h-1}$ obtained by starring both the 1 -simplex $\left\langle P^{\prime}, V_{\Lambda_{h}}\right\rangle$ at an internal point $V_{\Lambda_{h}}^{\prime}$ and the 1 -simplex $\left\langle P^{\prime \prime}, V_{\Lambda_{h}}\right\rangle$ at an internal point $V_{\Lambda_{h}}^{\prime \prime}$, for any $h$-residue $\Lambda_{h}$ of $\mathcal{R}^{c}(\Gamma)$. Again the result of these starrings do not depend on their order. After this step the 1-simplex $\left\langle P^{\prime}, V_{\Lambda_{h}}\right\rangle$ (resp. $\left\langle P^{\prime \prime}, V_{\Lambda_{h}}\right\rangle$ ) is subdivided into the two 1 -simplexes $\left\langle P^{\prime}, V_{\Lambda_{h}}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle V_{\Lambda_{h}}, V_{\Lambda_{h}}^{\prime}\right\rangle$, (resp. $\left\langle P^{\prime \prime}, V_{\Lambda_{h}}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle$ and $\left.\left\langle V_{\Lambda_{h}}, V_{\Lambda_{h}}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle\right)$. The maximal simplex $\left\langle V_{\Lambda_{0}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{j}}, V_{\Lambda_{j+1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{h-1}}^{\prime}, V_{\Lambda_{h}}, V_{\Lambda_{h+1}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{n-1}}, P^{\prime}\right\rangle$ of $K_{h-1}$ is subdivided into the two maximal simplexes $\left\langle V_{\Lambda_{0}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{j}}, V_{\Lambda_{j+1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{h-1}}^{\prime}, V_{\Lambda_{h}}^{\prime}, V_{\Lambda_{h+1}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{n-1}}, P^{\prime}\right\rangle$
and $\left\langle V_{\Lambda_{0}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{j}}, V_{\Lambda_{j+1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{h-1}}^{\prime}, V_{\Lambda_{h}}^{\prime}, V_{\Lambda_{h}}, V_{\Lambda_{h+1}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{n-1}}\right\rangle$, where $0 \leq j \leq h-1$. Analogously, the maximal simplex $\left\langle V_{\Lambda_{0}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{j}}, V_{\Lambda_{j+1}}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{h-1}}^{\prime \prime}, V_{\Lambda_{h}}, V_{\Lambda_{h+1}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{n-1}}, P^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle$ is subdivided into the two maximal simplexes $\left\langle V_{\Lambda_{0}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{j}}, V_{\Lambda_{j+1}}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{h-1}}^{\prime \prime}, V_{\Lambda_{h}}^{\prime \prime}, V_{\Lambda_{h+1}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{n-1}}, P^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle V_{\Lambda_{0}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{j}}, V_{\Lambda_{j+1}}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{h-1}}^{\prime \prime}, V_{\Lambda_{h}}^{\prime \prime}, V_{\Lambda_{h}}, V_{\Lambda_{h+1}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{n-1}}\right\rangle$.

At the end of the inductive process we obtain a complex $K_{n-1}$, which is a subdivision of $K$, having vertex set $S^{0}\left(K_{n-1}\right)=S^{0}(K) \cup\left\{V_{\Lambda}^{\prime} \mid \Lambda \in \mathcal{R}^{c}(\Gamma)\right\} \cup\left\{V_{\Lambda}^{\prime \prime} \mid \Lambda \in \mathcal{R}^{c}(\Gamma)\right\}$.

Let $\phi: S^{0}\left(K_{n-1}\right) \rightarrow S^{0}(\Omega)$ be the map defined by $\phi\left(P^{\prime}\right)=V_{\Gamma}, \phi\left(P^{\prime \prime}\right)=V_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}}, \phi\left(V_{\Lambda}\right)=V_{\Lambda^{\prime}}$ if $\Lambda \in \mathcal{R}^{c}(\Gamma)$ and $\phi\left(V_{\Lambda}\right)=V_{\Lambda}$ if $\Lambda \notin \mathcal{R}^{c}(\Gamma), \phi\left(V_{\Lambda}^{\prime}\right)=V_{\Lambda}$ and $\phi\left(V_{\Lambda}^{\prime \prime}\right)=V_{\Lambda^{\prime \prime}}$, for any $\Lambda \in \mathcal{R}^{c}(\Gamma)$. Then $\phi$ is clearly a bijection and induces an isomorphism between $K_{n-1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}^{\prime}$.

In order to prove that, let $\sigma=\left\langle V_{\Lambda_{0}}, V_{\Lambda_{1}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{n-1}}, V_{\Lambda_{n}}\right\rangle$ be a maximal simplex of $\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}^{\prime}$, where $\Lambda_{i}$ is a $D_{i}$-residue of $\Omega$ such that $\left|D_{i}\right|=i$, for $i=0, \ldots, n$. If $D_{n}=\widehat{n}$ and $h=\min \left\{i \mid c \in D_{i}\right\}$ (resp. $D_{n}=\hat{c}$ and $h=\min \left\{i \mid n \in D_{i}\right\}$ ), then $\sigma$ is the image via $\phi$ of the maximal sim$\operatorname{plex}\left\langle V_{\Lambda_{0}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{h-1}}, V_{\Lambda_{h}}^{\prime}, V_{\Lambda_{h+1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{n-1}}^{\prime}, P^{\prime}\right\rangle\left(\operatorname{resp} .\left\langle V_{\Lambda_{0}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{h-1}}, V_{\Lambda_{h}}^{\prime \prime}, V_{\Lambda_{h+1}}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{n-1}}^{\prime \prime}, P^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle\right.$, where $\tilde{\Lambda}_{i}$ is the residue of $\Gamma$ such that $\tilde{\Lambda}_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\Lambda_{i}$, for $\left.i=h, \ldots, n-1\right)$. Otherwise, let $D_{n}=\widehat{j}$ with $j \neq$ $c, n$. Define $h^{\prime}=\min \left\{i \mid c \in D_{i}\right\}$ and $h^{\prime \prime}=\min \left\{i \mid n \in D_{i}\right\}$. If $h^{\prime}<h^{\prime \prime}$ then $\sigma$ is the image via $\phi$ of the maximal simplex $\left\langle V_{\Lambda_{0}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{h^{\prime}-1}}, V_{\Lambda_{h^{\prime}}}^{\prime}, V_{\Lambda_{h^{\prime}+1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{h^{\prime \prime}-1}}^{\prime}, V_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{h^{\prime \prime}-1}}, V_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{h^{\prime \prime}}}, \ldots, V_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}}\right\rangle$, where $\tilde{\Lambda}_{i}$ is the residue of $\Gamma$ such that $\tilde{\Lambda}_{i}^{\prime}=\Lambda_{i+1}$ for $i=h^{\prime \prime}-1, \ldots, n-1$. If $h^{\prime \prime}<h^{\prime}$ then $\sigma$ is the image via $\phi$ of the maximal simplex $\left\langle V_{\Lambda_{0}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{h^{\prime \prime}-1}}, V_{\Lambda_{h^{\prime}}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}, V_{\Lambda_{h^{\prime \prime}+1}}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{h^{\prime}-1}}^{\prime \prime}, V_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{h^{\prime}-1}}, V_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{h^{\prime}}}, \ldots, V_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{n-1}}\right\rangle$, where $\tilde{\Lambda}_{i}$ is the residue of $\Gamma$ such that $\tilde{\Lambda}_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\Lambda_{i}$ for $i=h^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, h^{\prime}-1$, and $\tilde{\Lambda}_{i}^{\prime}=\Lambda_{i+1}$ for $i=h^{\prime}-1, \ldots, n-1$.
(ii) If $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ (possibly $\sigma=\emptyset$ ) then $\operatorname{Link}\left(P^{\prime} \star \sigma, K\right)=\operatorname{Link}\left(P^{\prime \prime} \star \sigma, K\right)=\operatorname{Link}\left(\sigma, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}\right)$ (recall that $\left.\operatorname{Link}\left(\emptyset, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore $\sigma$ is singular in $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ if and only if both $P^{\prime} \star \sigma$ and $P^{\prime \prime} \star \sigma$ are singular in $K$. This proves that $S_{K}=\left\{P^{\prime}, P^{\prime \prime}\right\} \star \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}=\Sigma\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right)$ if $\left|\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}\right|=\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ is not a sphere and $S_{K}=\emptyset$ if $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ is a sphere. Now the statement follows from the fact that the singular set of a complex is invariant under subdivisions.
(iii) By the previous points we can consider $M_{\Sigma_{c}(\Gamma)}$ as $|K|-\operatorname{int}\left(N\left(S_{K}\right)\right)$. The complexes $H_{1}=P^{\prime} \star \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ and $H_{2}=P^{\prime \prime} \star \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ are isomorphic, as well as $S_{H_{1}}$ and $S_{H_{2}}$. Therefore, it suffices to prove that $M_{1}=\left|H_{1}\right|-\operatorname{int}\left(N\left(S_{H_{1}}\right)\right)=M_{\Gamma} \times I$.

By (ii), if $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ is not a sphere then $S_{H_{1}}=P^{\prime} \star \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}$, which is a full subcomplex of $H_{1}$ containing $P^{\prime}$. As a consequence, $N\left(S_{H_{1}}\right)=\left|N\left(S_{H_{1}}^{\prime}, H_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right|$ and $M_{1}=\left|C\left(S_{H_{1}}^{\prime}, H_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right|=\mid \cup_{v \in\left(S^{0}\left(H_{1}\right)-S^{0}\left(S_{H_{1}}\right)\right)}$ $\operatorname{Star}\left(v, H_{1}^{\prime}\right)\left|=\left|\cup_{v \in\left(S^{0}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}\right)-S^{0}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right)\right)} \operatorname{Star}\left(v, H_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right|\right.$. The complex $D=C\left(S_{H_{1}}^{\prime}, H_{1}^{\prime}\right) \cap \operatorname{Star}\left(P^{\prime}, H_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is isomorphic to $C\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime \prime}\right), L=\left(P^{\prime} \star D\right) \cup C\left(S_{H_{1}}^{\prime}, H_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is a subdivision of $P^{\prime} \star C\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Star}\left(P^{\prime}, L\right) \cap C\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\emptyset$. Then Lemma 1.22 of [29] applies and $M_{1}=\left|C\left(S_{H_{1}}^{\prime}, H_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right|=\mid P^{\prime} \star$ $C\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime \prime}\right)|-\operatorname{int}| \operatorname{Star}(v, L) \mid$ is homeomorphic to $\left|C\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right| \times I=M_{\Gamma} \times I$.

It is noteworthy that Theorem 4.1 extends to the whole class of colored graphs a result obtained in [17] for the case in which $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ is a closed manifold. Actually, a graph representing $\Sigma\left(\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}\right)$ is easily obtainable from $\Gamma$ by doubling it, namely taking two isomorphic copies of $\Gamma$ and joining the corresponding vertices with an $n$-edge. The importance of the previous result relies in the fact that the graphs $\Gamma$ and $\Sigma_{c}(\Gamma)$ have the same order.

As a relevant example of the suspension graph construction, Figure 1 shows a 6 -colored graph $\Gamma$ which is the double suspension of a 4 -colored graph representing the Poincarè homology sphere depicted in [31]. By a remarkable result by J. W. Cannon (see [2]), $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ is topologically homeomorphic to $S^{5}$ despite that, with the structure induced by $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$, it is not PL-homeomorphic to $S^{5}$. In fact, $\left|\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right|$ is not empty and it is homeomorphic to $S^{1}$.


Figure 1: A graph representing the double suspension of the Poincarè homology sphere.

Remark 4.2 From the proof of Proposition 3.11 it follows that any compact connected n-manifold admits a representation by an $(n+1)$-colored graph where all the singular residues (if any) are $n$-residues. Nevertheless, the representation with general $(n+1)$-colored graphs may be more economical in terms of the order of the representing graph. For example, the 4-manifold $M=$ $S^{1} \times S^{1} \times B^{2}$ can be represented by the order six 5-colored graph $\Gamma^{\prime}=\Sigma_{2}\left(\Sigma_{1}(\Gamma)\right)$ depicted in Figure 2, where $\Gamma$ is the standard order six graph representing $S^{1} \times S^{1}$. But it is easy to realize that $M$ does not admit any representation by 5 -colored graphs of order less than 24 and without singular 3 -residues, since in this case it would admit a 4-residue representing $\partial\left(S^{1} \times S^{1} \times B^{2}\right)=S^{1} \times S^{1} \times S^{1}$, and it is well known that $S^{1} \times S^{1} \times S^{1}$ does not admits representation by 4 -colored graphs with less than 24 vertices (see [30]).

It is easy to see that an $(n+1)$-colored graph of order two always represents an $n$-sphere, since it is the $(n-2)$-th suspension of the order two bigon, which represents $S^{1}$. Also for graphs of order 4 the characterization is easy.

Proposition 4.3 Let $\Gamma$ be an $(n+1)$-colored graph of order 4, then:
(i) $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}=M_{\Gamma}=S^{n}$ if $\Gamma$ is bipartite;
(ii) $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}=\Sigma^{n-2}\left(R P^{2}\right)$ and $M_{\Gamma}=R P^{2} \times B^{n-2}$ if $\Gamma$ is non-bipartite.


Figure 2: A graph representing $S^{1} \times S^{1} \times B^{2}$.

Proof. Since $\Gamma$ is connected it contains at least a bigon of order 4, and we can suppose, up to isomorphism, that it is a $\{0,1\}$-residue. If $n=1$ the graph $\Gamma$ is a bigon and $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}=M_{\Gamma}=S^{1}$. If $n>1$ and $\Gamma$ is bipartite, then any pair of $c$-edges, for $c=2, \ldots, n$ is parallel to either the pair of 0 -edges or the pair of 1-edges. By Theorem 4.1 we obtain (i). On the contrary, if $\Gamma$ is not bipartite then it contains a cycle of order three. As a consequence, there is at least a 3-residue $\Lambda$ of $\Gamma$ (say a $\{0,1,2\}$-residue) which is isomorphic to the complete graph of order 4 , and therefore $\widehat{M}_{\Lambda}=M_{\Lambda}=R P^{2}$. Then any pair of $c$-edges, for $c=3, \ldots, n$ is parallel to either the pair of 0 -edges or the pair of 1-edges or the pair of 2-edges, and the statement follows from Theorem4.1.

## 5. Dipole moves

Given an $(n+1)$-colored graph $\Gamma$, an $h$-dipole $(1 \leq h \leq n)$ involving colors $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{h} \in \Delta_{n}$ of $\Gamma$ is a subgraph $\theta$ of $\Gamma$ consisting of two vertices $v^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime \prime}$ joined by exactly $h$ edges, colored by $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{h}$, such that $v^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime \prime}$ belong to different $\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{h}\right\}$-residues of $\Gamma$.

By cancelling $\theta$ from $\Gamma$, we mean to remove $\theta$ and to paste together the hanging edges according to their colors, thus obtaining a new $(n+1)$-colored graph $\Gamma^{\prime}$. Conversely, $\Gamma$ is said to be obtained from $\Gamma^{\prime}$ by adding $\theta$. A dipole move is either the cancellation or the addition of a dipole.

An $h$-dipole $\theta$ of $\Gamma$ is called proper when $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ is homeomorphic to $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}$ (see [22]). As a consequence, if two colored graphs $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are connected by a sequence of proper dipole moves then $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma_{1}}=\widehat{M}_{\Gamma_{2}}$, as well as $M_{\Gamma_{1}}=M_{\Gamma_{2}}$. Furthermore, $\theta$ is called singular if the two $\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{h}\right\}-$ residues containing the vertices of $\theta$ are both singular. Otherwise the dipole is called ordinary.

Proposition 5.1 [22] Any ordinary dipole of an $(n+1)$-colored graph $\Gamma$ is proper. As a consequence, all $n$ - and $(n-1)$-dipoles of $\Gamma$ are proper.

If $\Gamma$ represents a closed $n$-manifold all dipoles of $\Gamma$ are proper and Casali proved in [3] that dipole moves are sufficient to connect different 4 -colored graphs representing the same closed 3 manifold. This result is no longer true in the case with boundary, even in dimension three (see [15]).

It seems natural to argue that the converse of Proposition 5.1 also holds, but we are able to prove it only for singular manifolds (see Corollary 5.4).

Lemma 5.2 If $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is obtained from $\Gamma$ by cancelling a dipole $\theta$, then $\left|\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}\right|$ is homeomorphic to a quotient of $\left|\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right|$.

Proof. Topologically, the effect of the cancellation of the $h$-dipole $\theta$ involving colors $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{h}$ is the following: $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}$ is obtained from $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ by removing the open $n$-ball $\operatorname{int}(B)$ where $B=$ $\left|\operatorname{Star}\left(v^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}\right) \cup \operatorname{Star}\left(v^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}\right)\right|$ and afterthat attaching the $(n-1)$-ball $\partial B \cap \partial\left|\operatorname{Star}\left(v^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}\right)\right|$ with the $(n-1)$-ball $\partial B \cap \partial\left|\operatorname{Star}\left(v^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}\right)\right|$ via the identification of any simplex $\sigma^{\prime}=\left\langle V_{\Lambda_{0}^{\prime}}, V_{\Lambda_{1}^{\prime}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{k}^{\prime}}\right\rangle$ with the simplex $\sigma^{\prime \prime}=\left\langle V_{\Lambda_{0}^{\prime \prime}}, V_{\Lambda_{1}^{\prime \prime}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{k}^{\prime \prime}}\right\rangle$, where $\Lambda_{i}^{\prime}$ and $\Lambda_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ are $\Delta_{(i)}$-residues containing $v^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime \prime}$ respectively, with $\emptyset \neq \Delta_{(0)} \nsubseteq\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{h}\right\}$, for $i=0,1, \ldots, k$. The only vertices of $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ belonging to $\operatorname{int}(B)$ are the cone vertices associated either to $\theta$ or to a residue of $\theta$. Since $\widehat{M}_{\theta}$ is a sphere, these vertices do not belong to $\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}$. As a consequence, $\operatorname{int}(B) \cap\left|\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right|=\emptyset$ and $\left|\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}\right|$ is obtained from $\left|\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right|$ just by considering the attachings of $\sigma^{\prime}$ with $\sigma^{\prime \prime}$ when they are both simplexes of $\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}$.

Lemma 5.3 Let $\theta$ be a singular $h$-dipole involving colors $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{h}$ of an $(n+1)$-colored graph $\Gamma$. If for any $\Delta \subset\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{h}\right\}$ at least one of the two $\Delta$-residues containing the vertices of $\theta$ is ordinary, then $\theta$ is not proper.

Proof. Let $v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}$ be the endpoints of $\theta$ and let $\Gamma^{\prime}$ be the $(n+1)$-colored graph obtained from $\Gamma$ by cancelling $\theta$. Then $\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}$ is obtained from $\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}$ by attaching each simplex $\left\langle V_{\Lambda_{0}^{\prime}}, V_{\Lambda_{1}^{\prime}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{k}^{\prime}}\right\rangle$ with the simplex $\left\langle V_{\Lambda_{0}^{\prime \prime}}, V_{\Lambda_{1}^{\prime \prime}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{k}^{\prime \prime}}\right\rangle$, where $\Lambda_{0}^{\prime}$ and $\Lambda_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ are the $\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{h}\right\}$-residues containing $v^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime \prime}$ respectively. Topologically, the operation consists in the attaching of two ( $h-1$ )-balls with common boundary. So $\chi\left(\left|\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}\right|\right)=\chi\left(\left|\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right|\right)+(-1)^{h}$ and therefore $\left|\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}\right|$ is not homeomorphic to $\left|\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}\right|$. As a consequence, $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}$ is not homeomorphic to $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ and the dipole is not proper.

Corollary 5.4 Let $\Gamma$ be an $(n+1)$-colored graph such that $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ is a singular manifold, then a dipole of $\Gamma$ is proper if and only if it is ordinary.

Proof. By Lemma 3.7 any singular residue of $\Gamma$ is an $n$-residue and contains no singular residues, therefore Lemma 5.3 holds.

For the manifold with boundary $M_{\Gamma}$ we have the following consequence of the previous results.
Proposition 5.5 Let $\Gamma$ be an $(n+1)$-colored graph such that $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ is a singular manifold, and let $\Gamma^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained from $\Gamma$ by cancelling a dipole $\theta$. Then $M_{\Gamma^{\prime}}=M_{\Gamma}$ if and only if $\theta$ is ordinary.

Proof. If $\theta$ is ordinary then $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}=\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ by Proposition 5.1 and therefore $M_{\Gamma^{\prime}}=M_{\Gamma}$. If $\theta$ is singular then it is a 1-dipole and consequently $\left|\mathcal{R}_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)\right|<\left|\mathcal{R}_{n}^{\prime \prime}(\Gamma)\right|$. Since in this case $\left|\mathcal{R}_{n}^{\prime \prime}(\Gamma)\right|$ (resp.
$\left.\left|\mathcal{R}_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)$ is exactly the number of boundary components of $M_{\Gamma}$ (resp. of $M_{\Gamma^{\prime}}$ ), then $M_{\Gamma^{\prime}} \neq M_{\Gamma}$.

A vertex $v \in V(\Gamma)$ is called an internal vertex if all $n$-residues containing $v$ are ordinary, otherwise it is called a boundary vertex. The index of $v$ is the number of singular $n$-residues of $\Gamma$ containing $v$. So an internal vertex has index 0 and a boundary vertex has index $r$, with $1 \leq r \leq n+1$.

Some useful properties follow from the previous results.
Lemma 5.6 Let $M$ be a compact connected $n$-manifold without spherical boundary components, then:
(i) $M$ can be represented by an $(n+1)$-colored graph with no ordinary dipoles;
(ii) $M$ can be represented by an $(n+1)$-colored graph with at least one internal vertex.
(iii) if $\partial M \neq \emptyset$ then $M$ can be represented by an $(n+1)$-colored graph with at least one boundary vertex of index one.

Proof. Let $\Gamma$ be an $(n+1)$-colored graph representing $M$.
(i) If $\Gamma$ has an ordinary dipole $\theta$, then the dipole is proper and by cancelling it we obtain a new ( $n+1$ )-colored graph still representing $M$. A finite sequence of such cancellations of ordinary dipoles obviously yields an $(n+1)$-colored graph representing $M$ and without ordinary dipoles.
(ii, iii) If $\partial M=\emptyset$ there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, let $v$ be a boundary vertex with minimal index $r>0$ and let $c \in \Delta_{n}$ be such that the $\widehat{c}$-residue containing $v$ is singular. By adding an $n$-dipole along the $c$-edge containing $v$ we obtain two new vertices, $v^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime \prime}$, which are both singular of order $r-1$. In fact, the $\widehat{c}$-residue containing them is obviously ordinary (it is the standard $n$-colored graph representing $S^{n-1}$ ), and for each $d \in \widehat{c}$ any $\widehat{d}$-residue containing them is singular if and only if the $\widehat{d}$-residue containing $v$ in $\Gamma$ is singular. So by induction on $r$ we can obtain an internal vertex (resp. a boundary vertex of index one) in not more than $r$ steps (resp. $r-1$ steps).

It is important to note that, for getting a minimal representation of a manifold in terms of the order of the representing graph, we can consider only colored graphs without ordinary dipoles.

## 6. Fundamental group

If $\Gamma$ is an $(n+1)$-colored graph, with $n>1$, then the fundamental group of the manifold $M_{\Gamma}$ coincides with the fundamental group of the associated 2-dimensional polyhedron $\Gamma^{(2)}$, since $M_{\Gamma}$ is obtained by attaching to $\Gamma^{(2)}$ pieces which are retractable (in virtue of Remark 3.10 ) and $h$-balls, for $3 \leq h \leq n$. Therefore, the computation of $\pi_{1}\left(M_{\Gamma}\right)$ is a routine fact: a finite presentation for it has generators corresponding to the edges which are not in a fixed spanning tree of $\Gamma$ and relators corresponding to all 2-residues of $\Gamma$. The fundamental group of the quasi-manifold $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ is a quotient of the one of $M_{\Gamma}$, since retractable pieces are replaced by cones which kill some elements of $\pi_{1}\left(M_{\Gamma}\right)$.

In several cases the two groups can be obtained by selecting a particular class of edges and 2 -residues, as follows. If $c \in \Delta_{n}$, define the $c$-group of $\Gamma$ as the group $\pi(\Gamma, c)$ generated by all $c$-edges (with a fixed arbitrary orientation) and with relators corresponding to all $\{i, c\}$-residues,
for any $i \in \widehat{c}$, obtained in the following way: give an orientation to each involved 2 -residue, choose a starting vertex and follow the bigon according to the chosen orientation. The relator is obtained by taking the $c$-edges of the bigon in the order they are reached in the path, with the exponent +1 or -1 according to whether the orientation of the edge is coherent or not with the one of the bigon.

In general $\pi(\Gamma, c)$ depends on $c$, but when $c$ is an ordinary color ${ }^{77}$ the group is strictly connected with the fundamental group of $M_{\Gamma}$ (see [30] for closed 3-manifolds and [25] for closed $n$-manifolds).

Proposition 6.1 Let $\Gamma$ be an $(n+1)$-colored graph and c be an ordinary color for $\Gamma$. Then $\pi_{1}\left(M_{\Gamma}\right)$ is the quotient of $\pi(\Gamma, c)$, obtained by adding to the relators a minimal set of $c$-edges which connect the graph $\Gamma_{\widehat{c}}$.

Proof. The group $\pi_{1}\left(M_{\Gamma}\right)=\pi_{1}\left(\Gamma^{(2)}\right)$ is isomorphic to the fundamental group of the space $X$ obtained by adding to $\Gamma^{(2)}$ the $n$-balls corresponding to the $\widehat{c}$-residues. The space $X$ has the same homotopy type of a 2 -complex with 0 -cells corresponding to the $\widehat{c}$-residues, 1 -cells corresponding to the $c$-edges of $\Gamma$ and 2 -cells corresponding to the $\{c, i\}$-residues of $\Gamma$, for $i \in \widehat{c}$. So the result is straightforward.

Corollary 6.2 Let $\Gamma$ be an $(n+1)$-colored graph and $c$ be an ordinary color for $\Gamma$ such that $g_{\widehat{c}}=1$, then $\pi_{1}\left(M_{\Gamma}\right) \cong \pi(\Gamma, c)$.

When $\Gamma$ has no more than a singular color, we have the following characterization of the fundamental group of $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma} \|^{8}$

Proposition 6.3 Let $\Gamma$ be an $(n+1)$-colored graph and let $c \in \Delta_{n}$ be such that any color different from $c$ is ordinary. Then $\pi_{1}\left(\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}\right)$ is the quotient of $\pi(\Gamma, c)$, obtained by adding to the relators a minimal set of $c$-edges which connect the graph $\Gamma_{\widehat{c}}$.

Proof. The group $\pi_{1}\left(\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}\right)$ is isomorphic to the fundamental group of the space $X$ obtained from $\Gamma^{(2)}$ by performing cone constructions corresponding to the $\widehat{c}$-residues and all their residues. Since $c_{\Lambda}$ is a cone over $\Lambda^{(n-1)}$, for any $\widehat{c}$-residue $\Lambda$, the space $X$ has the same homotopy type of a 2 -complex with 0 -cells corresponding to the $\widehat{c}$-residues, 1 -cells corresponding to the $c$-edges of $\Gamma$ and 2-cells corresponding to the $\{c, i\}$-residues of $\Gamma$, for any $i \in \widehat{c}$. So the result is straightforward.

Corollary 6.4 Let $\Gamma$ be an $(n+1)$-colored graph and $c \in \Delta_{n}$. If $g_{\widehat{c}}=1$ and any color different from $c$ is ordinary, then $\pi_{1}\left(\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}\right) \cong \pi(\Gamma, c)$.

## 7. Connected sums

Suppose that $\Gamma^{\prime}$ and $\Gamma^{\prime \prime}$ are two $(n+1)$-colored graphs and let $v^{\prime} \in V\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)$ and $v^{\prime \prime} \in V\left(\Gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)$. We can construct a new $(n+1)$-colored graph $\Gamma$, called connected sum of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ and $\Gamma^{\prime \prime}$ (along $v^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime \prime}$ ), and denoted by $\Gamma=\Gamma_{v^{\prime}}^{\prime} \# v^{\prime \prime} \Gamma^{\prime \prime}$, by removing the vertices $v^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime \prime}$ and by welding the resulting hanging edges with the same color.

[^6]In general, the connected sum of two $(n+1)$-colored graphs depends on the choice of the cancelled vertices. But when these vertices are either internal or boundary vertices of index one with respect to $n$-residues of the same colors (the latter condition always holds, up to a color permutation in one of the two graphs), then the connected sum of the graphs is strictly connected with the connected sum of the represented manifolds.

Proposition 7.1 Let $\Gamma^{\prime}, \Gamma^{\prime \prime}$ be $(n+1)$-colored graphs and $v^{\prime} \in V\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right), v^{\prime \prime} \in V\left(\Gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)$.
(i) if $v^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime \prime}$ are both internal vertices, then $M_{\Gamma_{v^{\prime}}^{\prime} \#_{v^{\prime \prime}} \Gamma^{\prime \prime}}=M_{\Gamma^{\prime}} \# M_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}}$;
(ii) if $v^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime \prime}$ are both boundary vertices of index one, each belonging to a singular $\widehat{c}$ residue, then $M_{\Gamma_{v^{\prime}}^{\prime} \#_{v^{\prime \prime}} \Gamma^{\prime \prime}}=M_{\Gamma^{\prime}} \#_{\partial} M_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}}$, where the boundary connected sum of the manifolds is performed along the boundary components corresponding to the involved $\widehat{c}$-residues.

Proof. Let $\Gamma=\Gamma_{v^{\prime}}^{\prime} \# \psi_{v^{\prime \prime}} \Gamma^{\prime \prime}$. Then the complex $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ is obtained from $\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}^{\prime}-\operatorname{Star}\left(v^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right) \cup$ $\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}-\operatorname{Star}\left(v^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right) \cup \operatorname{Link}\left(v^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \cup \operatorname{Link}\left(v^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ by attaching any simplex $\left\langle V_{\Lambda_{0}^{\prime}}^{\prime}, V_{\Lambda_{1}^{\prime}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{h}^{\prime}}\right\rangle$ of $\operatorname{Link}\left(v^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ with the simplex $\left\langle V_{\Lambda_{0}^{\prime \prime}}, V_{\Lambda_{1}^{\prime \prime}}, \ldots, V_{\Lambda_{h}^{\prime \prime}}\right\rangle$ of $\operatorname{Link}\left(v^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}\right)$, where $\Lambda_{i}^{\prime}$ and $\Lambda_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ are both $D_{i}$-residues, the first one of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ containing $v^{\prime}$ and the second one of $\Gamma^{\prime \prime}$ containing $v^{\prime \prime}$. Therefore, $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ is obtained by removing from $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}$ and $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}}$ the $n$ - $\operatorname{balls} \operatorname{int}\left(\left|\operatorname{Star}\left(v^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)$ and $\operatorname{int}\left(\left|\operatorname{Star}\left(v^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)$ and attaching by a homeomorphism the $(n-1)$-spheres $\left|\operatorname{Link}\left(v^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right|$ and $\left|\operatorname{Link}\left(v^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right|$.
(i) In this case $\left|\operatorname{Star}\left(v^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right| \cap N\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}\right)=\left|\operatorname{Star}\left(v^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right| \cap N\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}}\right)=\emptyset$, and therefore $M_{\Gamma}=$ $M_{\Gamma^{\prime}} \# M_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}}$.
(ii) In this case $\left|\operatorname{Star}\left(v^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right| \cap N\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}\right) \neq \emptyset \neq\left|\operatorname{Star}\left(v^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right| \cap N\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ and both $\left|\operatorname{Star}\left(v^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right| \cap$ $\left|C\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|$ and $\left|\operatorname{Star}\left(v^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right| \cap\left|C\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|$ are $n$-balls. In fact, they are homeomorphic to $s_{n}-\operatorname{int}\left(\left|\operatorname{Star}\left(P, \bar{s}_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|\right)$, where $P$ is any vertex of the standard $n$-simplex $s_{n}$. Moreover, $B^{\prime}=$ $\left|\operatorname{Star}\left(v^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right| \cap \partial\left(M_{\Gamma^{\prime}}\right)$ and $B^{\prime \prime}=\left|\operatorname{Star}\left(v^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right| \cap \partial\left(M_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ are both $(n-1)$-balls, since they are homeomorphic to $\left|\operatorname{Link}\left(P, \bar{s}_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|$, as well as $A^{\prime}=\left|\operatorname{Link}\left(v^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right| \cap\left|C\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|$ and $A^{\prime \prime}=$ $\left|\operatorname{Link}\left(v^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right| \cap\left|C\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|$, since they are both the complement of an $(n-1)$-ball in an $(n-1)$ sphere. If $M^{\prime}=M_{\Gamma^{\prime}}-\operatorname{int}\left(\left|\operatorname{Star}\left(v^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)$ and $M^{\prime \prime}=M_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}}-\operatorname{int}\left(\left|\operatorname{Star}\left(v^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)$, then $M^{\prime}$ (resp. $M^{\prime \prime}$ ) is homeomorphic to $M_{\Gamma^{\prime}}$ (resp. to $M_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}}$ ) and $A^{\prime} \subset \partial M^{\prime}$ (resp. $\left.A^{\prime \prime} \subset \partial M^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Since $M_{\Gamma}$ is obtained by attaching $M^{\prime}$ with $M^{\prime \prime}$ via a homeomorphism from $A^{\prime}$ to $A^{\prime \prime}$, the proof is achieved.

## 8. Results in dimension four

The dimension four is the smallest one where quasi-manifolds which are not singular manifolds appear. In this context we have the following characterization $9^{9}$

Lemma 8.1 Let $\Gamma$ be a 5 -colored graph of order $2 p$. Then:
(i) $2\left|\mathcal{R}_{3}(\Gamma)\right|-3\left|\mathcal{R}_{2}(\Gamma)\right|+10 p \geq 0$;
(ii) $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ is a singular manifold if and only if $2\left|\mathcal{R}_{3}(\Gamma)\right|=3\left|\mathcal{R}_{2}(\Gamma)\right|-10 p$.

[^7]Proof. For each 3 -residue $\Lambda$ of $\Gamma$ we have the relation $2-2 \rho_{\Lambda}=b-v / 2$, where $v$ (resp. $b$ ) is the number of vertices (resp. of bigons) of $\Lambda$, and $\rho_{\Lambda} \geqq 0$ denotes the genus (resp. half of the genus) of the orientable (resp. non-orientable) surface $\widehat{M}_{\Lambda}$. By summing over all 3 -residues of $\Gamma$ we obtain $2\left|\mathcal{R}_{3}(\Gamma)\right|-2 \sum_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{R}_{3}(\Gamma)} \rho_{\Lambda}=3\left|\mathcal{R}_{2}(\Gamma)\right|-10 p$. So we have $0 \leq 2 \sum_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{R}_{3}(\Gamma)} \rho_{\Lambda}=$ $2\left|\mathcal{R}_{3}(\Gamma)\right|-3\left|\mathcal{R}_{2}(\Gamma)\right|+10 p$, which proves (i). Since all 3 -residues of a singular 4 -manifold are ordinary, we obtain (ii).

Various classification results of 3 -manifolds with boundary representable by 4-colored graphs of small order are contained in [15], [12] and [13].

In the 4 -dimensional case, as pointed out in Section 4, the 5 -colored graph of order two represents $S^{4}$ and a 5 -colored graph of order four represents $S^{4}$ if it is bipartite and $R P^{2} \times B^{2}$ if it is non-bipartite. For order six 5 -colored bipartite graphs we have the following result.

Proposition 8.2 Let $\Gamma$ be a 5-colored bipartite graph of order six. Then $M_{\Gamma}$ is one of the following 4-manifolds: $S^{4}, B^{4}, S^{1} \times B^{3}, S^{1} \times S^{1} \times B^{2}$.

Proof. First of all, it is well-known that the only closed orientable 4-manifold admitting a representation with six vertices is $S^{4}$ (see [5]). Moreover, the compact orientable 3-manifolds admitting an order six representation are $S^{3}, S^{1} \times B^{2}$ and $S^{1} \times S^{1} \times I$ (see [30] and 15]). From Theorem 4.1 it follows that the three 4-manifolds $S^{4}, S^{1} \times B^{3}, S^{1} \times S^{1} \times B^{2}$ admit order six representation which are the suspension of the 4 -colored graphs of order six representing the above 3 -manifolds and no other compact 4-manifold can be obtained via suspension process from a 4-colored graph. So we can restrict our attention to order six bipartite 5 -colored graphs which are not the suspension of a 4 -colored graph. It is easy to see that, up to isomorphism, there is only a graph of such type (depicted on the right of Figure 3), which represents a simply connected 4-manifold $M$ (by Corollary 6.2) with connected spherical boundary ${ }^{10}$ The represented manifold turns out to be a 4 -ball by simple homology arguments. In fact, by Lemma 3.16 we have $\chi(M)=1$ and, if $M^{\prime}$ is the closed 4 -manifold obtained from $M$ by capping off its boundary by a 4 -ball, then $\chi\left(M^{\prime}\right)=2$. Since $M^{\prime}$ is simply connected and orientable we have $\beta_{1}\left(M^{\prime}\right)=\beta_{3}\left(M^{\prime}\right)=0$ and $\beta_{0}\left(M^{\prime}\right)=\beta_{4}\left(M^{\prime}\right)=1$, therefore $\beta_{2}\left(M^{\prime}\right)=0$ and $M^{\prime}$ is a 4 -sphere. As a consequence, $M$ is homeomorphic to $B^{4}$.

The previous result shows that in dimension $>3$ the boundary of $M_{\Gamma}$ may have spherical components. Order six graphs representing $S^{1} \times S^{1} \times B^{2}, S^{1} \times B^{3}$ and $B^{4}$ are depicted in Figures 2 and 3.

### 8.1. G-degree of supercontracted 5-coloured graphs

As sketched in the introduction, a strong interaction is known to exist between edge-colored graphs, representing quasi-manifolds of arbitrary dimension, and random tensor models (see for example [26], [27] and [6]). In this framework, colored graphs naturally arise as Feynman graphs encoding tensor trace invariants. The key tool for this relashionship is the so-called $G$-degree

[^8]

Figure 3: Graphs representing $S^{1} \times B^{3}$ and $B^{4}$, respectively.
$\omega_{G}(\Gamma)$ of an $(n+1)$-colored graph $\Gamma$, which drives the $1 / N$ expansior ${ }^{11}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\omega_{G} \geq 0} N^{-\frac{2}{(n-1)!} \omega_{G}} F_{\omega_{G}}\left[\left\{t_{B}\right\}\right], \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

within the $n$-tensor product of the complex space $\mathbb{C}^{N}$.
The $1 / N$ expansion of formula (1) describes the role of colored graphs (and of their G-degree $\omega_{G}$ ) within colored tensor models theory and explains the importance of looking for catalogues and classification results concerning all $n$-quasi-manifolds represented by $(n+1)$-colored graphs with a given G-degree ${ }^{122}$ The representation theory via $(n+1)$-colored graphs described in the present paper for all $n$-quasi-manifolds (and their associated compact $n$-manifold possibly with boundary) might be a significant tool for this purpose.

As previously cited, several classification results for 3-manifolds with boundary represented by 4 -colored graphs has been recently obtained. Nevertheless, dimension four appears to be a very interesting context within this approach, since it is the least dimension in which colored graphs may represent quasi-manifolds with non-isolated singularities. In this direction, Proposition 8.2 seems to be particularly significant.

The G-degree arises from the existence of particular embeddings of colored graphs into closed surfaces.

Proposition 8.3 [21] Let $\Gamma$ be a bipartite (resp. non-bipartite) ( $n+1$ )-colored graph of order $2 p$. Then for each cyclic permutation $\varepsilon=\left(\varepsilon_{0} \varepsilon_{1} \ldots \varepsilon_{n}\right)$ of $\Delta_{n}$, up to inversion, there exists a cellular embedding, called regular, of $\Gamma$ into an orientable (resp. non-orientable) closed surface $F_{\varepsilon}(\Gamma)$

[^9]whose regions are bounded by the images of the $\left\{\varepsilon_{j}, \varepsilon_{j+1}\right\}$-bigons, for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$. Moreover, the genus (resp. half the genus) $\rho_{\varepsilon}(\Gamma)$ of $F_{\varepsilon}(\Gamma)$ satisfies
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(F_{\varepsilon}(\Gamma)\right)=2-2 \rho_{\varepsilon}(\Gamma)=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{n+1}} g_{\varepsilon_{j}, \varepsilon_{j+1}}+(1-n) p \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

No regular embeddings of $\Gamma$ exist into non-orientable (resp. orientable) surfaces.
The G-degree of a colored graph is defined in terms of these embeddings as follows. Let $\Gamma$ be an ( $n+1$ )-colored graph, with $n \geq 2$, and let $\Xi_{n}$ be the set of the $n!/ 2$ cyclic permutations of $\Delta_{n}$, up to inversion. For any $\varepsilon \in \Xi_{n}$, the genus $\rho_{\varepsilon}(\Gamma)$ is called the regular genus of $\Gamma$ with respect to $\varepsilon$. Then, the Gurau degree (or $G$-degree for short) of $\Gamma$ is defined as

$$
\omega_{G}(\Gamma)=\sum_{\varepsilon \in \Xi_{n}} \rho_{\varepsilon}(\Gamma) .
$$

For $n=2$ any bipartite (resp. non-bipartite) 3 -colored graph $\Gamma$ represents an orientable (resp. non-orientable) surface $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ and $\omega_{G}(\Gamma)$ is exactly the genus (resp. half of the genus) of $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$. On the other hand, for $n \geq 3$, the G-degree of any $(n+1)$-colored graph is proved to be a non-negative integer, even in the non-bipartite case (see [6]).

In dimension 4 the G-degree is always a multiple of 3 , giving rise to the reduced G-degree $\omega_{G}^{\prime}(\Gamma)=\omega_{G}(\Gamma) / 3$. Moreover, from Theorems 1 and 2 of [8] we know that when a 5 -colored graph is either bipartite or represents a singular 4-manifold, then $\omega_{G}^{\prime}(\Gamma)$ is even. This fact implies that:

- in the 4 -dimensional complex contest, the only non-vanishing terms in the $1 / N$ expansion of (1) are the ones corresponding to even powers of $1 / N$;
- in the 4-dimensional real tensor models framework, where also non-bipartite graphs are involved, only 5 -colored graphs representing quasi-manifolds which are not singular manifolds may appear in the terms corresponding to even powers of $1 / N$.

Now we can give a characterization of supercontracted ${ }^{13} 5$-colored graphs with $\omega_{G}^{\prime} \leq 3$.
In order to do that, define the cyclic permutation $\varepsilon^{c}$ of $\widehat{c}$, for each $c \in \Delta_{4}$, in the following way:

$$
\varepsilon^{0}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 3 & 4
\end{array}\right), \varepsilon^{1}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 3 & 2
\end{array}\right), \varepsilon^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 3 & 4
\end{array}\right), \varepsilon^{3}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right), \varepsilon^{4}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 2 & 3
\end{array}\right) .
$$

If $\Gamma$ is a 5 -colored graph of order $2 p$, then the sum of the relations $(*)$ in Proposition 8.3 over all $\widehat{c}$-residues of $\Gamma$ gives:

$$
2 g_{\widehat{c}}-2 \rho_{\widehat{c}}=\sum_{i \in Z_{4}} g_{\varepsilon_{i}^{c},,_{i+1}^{c}}^{c}-2 p \quad(* *)
$$

where $\rho_{\widehat{c}}$ denotes the sum of the regular genera of the $\widehat{c}$-residues with respect to $\varepsilon^{c}$.
By summing the five relations $(* *)$ over $c \in \Delta_{4}$, we obtain

$$
2\left|\mathcal{R}_{4}(\Gamma)\right|-2 \sum_{c \in \Delta_{4}} \rho_{\widehat{c}}=2\left|\mathcal{R}_{2}(\Gamma)\right|-10 p .
$$

[^10]The sum $\sum_{c \in \Delta_{4}} \rho_{\bar{c}}$ is called the subdegree $\rho_{G}(\Gamma)$ of the 5 -colored graph $\Gamma$. Therefore

$$
\rho_{G}(\Gamma)=\left|\mathcal{R}_{4}(\Gamma)\right|+5 p-\left|\mathcal{R}_{2}(\Gamma)\right| .
$$

This definition is motivated by the following result.
Lemma 8.4 Let $\omega_{G}\left(\Gamma_{\widehat{c}}\right)$ be the sum of the $G$-degrees of the connected components of $\Gamma_{\widehat{c}}$, then

$$
\sum_{c \in \Delta_{4}} \omega_{G}\left(\Gamma_{\widehat{c}}\right)=3 \rho_{G}(\Gamma) .
$$

Proof. The relations of Proposition 7 and Lemma 13 of [6] for $d=4$ give: $3\left(4+6 p-\left|\mathcal{R}_{2}(\Gamma)\right|\right)=$ $\omega_{G}(\Gamma)=3\left(4+p-\left|\mathcal{R}_{4}(\Gamma)\right|\right)+\sum_{c \in \Delta_{4}} \omega_{G}\left(\Gamma_{\widehat{c}}\right)$ and therefore $\sum_{c \in \Delta_{4}} \omega_{G}\left(\Gamma_{\widehat{c}}\right)=3\left(5 p-\left|\mathcal{R}_{2}(\Gamma)\right|+\right.$ $\left.\left|\mathcal{R}_{4}(\Gamma)\right|\right)=3 \rho_{G}(\Gamma)$.

Proposition 8.5 Let $\Gamma$ be a supercontracted 5 -colored graph, then:
(i) $\omega_{G}^{\prime}(\Gamma) \neq 1$;
(ii) $\omega_{G}^{\prime}(\Gamma)=0$ if and only if $\Gamma$ is the order two graph (representing $S^{4}$ );
(iii) $\omega_{G}^{\prime}(\Gamma)=2$ if and only if $\Gamma$ is the order four graph of Figure 4 (representing $S^{4}$ );
(iv) $\omega_{G}^{\prime}(\Gamma)=3$ if and only if $\Gamma$ is the order four graph in the left of Figure 5 (representing $\left.R P^{2} \times B^{2}\right)$.

Proof. It is straightforward that the order two graph has zero (reduced) G-degree. Let $2 p$ be the order of $\Gamma$. Up to isomorphism there is only a bipartite supercontracted graph (resp. two non-bipartite supercontracted graphs) of order four, namely the one of Figure 4 (resp. the two of Figure 5), and it has reduced G-degree $=2$ (resp. they have reduced G-degree $=3$ and $=4$ respectively). Furthermore, up to isomorphism there are 8 bipartite (resp. 31 non-bipartite) supercontracted graphs of order six ${ }^{14}$, and a direct computation says that their reduced G-degree is always $>3$.

From the proof of Lemma 8.4 we have: $\rho_{G}(\Gamma)=\omega_{G}(\Gamma) / 3-4-p+\left|\mathcal{R}_{4}(\Gamma)\right|=\omega_{G}^{\prime}(\Gamma)+1-p$, since $\Gamma$ is supercontracted, and therefore $\omega_{G}^{\prime}(\Gamma) \geq p-1$. If $\omega_{G}^{\prime}(\Gamma)=0$ then $p \leq 1$, which proves (ii). If $\omega_{G}^{\prime}(\Gamma)=1$ then $p \leq 2$, which proves (i). If $\omega_{G}^{\prime}(\Gamma)=2$ then $p \leq 3$, which proves (iii). If $\omega_{G}^{\prime}(\Gamma)=3$ then $p \leq 4$. In this case, if $p=4$ then $\sum_{c \in \Delta_{4}} \omega_{G}\left(\Gamma_{\widehat{c}}\right)=3 \rho_{G}(\Gamma)=0$. This means that all the 4 -residues of $\Gamma$ represent $S^{3}$ (see Propositions 8 and 9 of [6]) and therefore $\widehat{M}_{\Gamma}$ should be a closed 4-manifold. By Theorem 2 of [8] the G-degree $\omega_{G}(\Gamma)$ would be a multiple of six, in contrast with the assumption $\omega_{G}(\Gamma)=9$. This concludes the proof.
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Figure 4: A graph representing $S^{4}$.


Figure 5: Two graphs representing $R P^{2} \times B^{2}$.
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[^0]:    Compact n -Manifolds via $(\mathrm{n}+1$ )-Colored Graphs: A New Approach

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ For $\Delta=\{i, j\}$ we use the simplified notation $g_{i, j}$ instead of $g_{\{i, j\}}$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Such type of graphs were called contracted in [20] and in related subsequent papers, but in [15] the term contracted refers to a more general class of colored graphs.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ When we want to stress the presence of the cone vertex $V=(X \times\{1\}) / \sim$ we will use the notation $C_{V}(X)$ instead of $C(X)$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ The condition is equivalent to the arithmetic one: $g_{0}+g_{3}=g_{2}$, where $g_{0}, g_{3}$ and $g_{2}$ are respectively the number of vertices, 3-residues and bigons of $\Gamma$ (see [31]).

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ Notice that a proof of Corollary 3.2 is given in 16 using the dual construction described later on.

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ An $n$-dimensional pseudo-simplicial complex is called balanced if its vertices are labelled by a set of $n+1$ colors in such a way that any 1 -simplex has vertices labelled with different colors.

[^6]:    ${ }^{7}$ A color $c \in \Delta_{n}$ is called ordinary if $\Gamma$ has no singular $\widehat{c}$-residues, otherwise $c$ is called singular.
    ${ }^{8}$ The result was first proved in 9 by using the dual construction.

[^7]:    ${ }^{9}$ Compare Lemma 21 of [6].

[^8]:    ${ }^{10}$ From Proposition 3.14 the boundary of $M$ results to be a 3 -manifold admitting a genus one Heegaard splitting and simple Van Kampen type arguments show that it is simply connected.

[^9]:    ${ }^{11}$ The coefficients $F_{\omega_{G}}\left[\left\{t_{B}\right\}\right]$ of the formal series are generating functions of bipartite $(n+1)$-colored graphs with fixed G-degree $\omega_{G}$.
    ${ }^{12}$ A parallel tensor models theory, involving real tensor variables $T \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{\otimes n}$, has been developed, taking into account also non-bipartite colored graphs (see [33): this is why both bipartite and non-bipartite colored graphs will be considered in this context.

[^10]:    ${ }^{13}$ Lemma 5.6 (i) proves that any closed $n$-manifold $M$ can be represented by a supercontracted ( $\mathrm{n}+1$ )colored graph, called a crystallization of M (see [18]).

[^11]:    ${ }^{14}$ This result has been obtained by a computer program by adapting the algorithmic procedure described in 5].

