

ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE DELLA RICERCA

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

QuantiFERON-TB Gold Plus with Chemiluminescence Immunoassay: Do We Need a Higher Cutoff?

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version: Bisognin F., Lombardi G., Re M.C., Dal Monte P. (2020). QuantiFERON-TB Gold Plus with Chemiluminescence Immunoassay: Do We Need a Higher Cutoff?. JOURNAL OF CLINICAL MICROBIOLOGY, 58(10), 1-6 [10.1128/JCM.00780-20].

Availability: This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/774388 since: 2020-10-14

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00780-20

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

Journal of Clinical Microbiology

JCM Accepted Manuscript Posted Online 5 August 2020
J. Clin. Microbiol. doi:10.1128/JCM.00780-20
Copyright © 2020 American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.

1	Quantiferon-TB Gold Plus by CLIA: Do we need a higher cut-off?
2	
3	Francesco Bisognin [*] , Giulia Lombardi ^{*,#} , Maria Carla Re, Paola Dal Monte
4	
5	Microbiology Unit - Department of Experimental, Diagnostic and Specialty Medicine, S. Orsola-
6	Malpighi University Hospital, Bologna, Italy
7	
8	Running head: Higher cut-off for QFT-Plus by CLIA?
9	
10	* These authors equally contributed to this work and shared first authorship. Author order w
11	determined by alphabetical order.
12	
13	# Address correspondence to Giulia Lombardi, g.lombardi@unibo.it.

order was

1

ournal of Clinica

14 ABSTRACT

15

Quantiferon-TB Gold Plus (QFT-Plus) is the most widely used interferon-γ release assay (IGRA) for the diagnosis of latent tuberculosis infection (LTBI). The aim of this study was to compare QFT-Plus results by enzyme-linked immunoassay (ELISA) on the SKYLAB system with those obtained with chemiluminescence immunoassay (CLIA) on the LIAISON XL analyzer.

20 Agreement between the two assays was evaluated on 419 QFT-Plus blood samples, and was found to

21 be substantial (75.4%): higher agreement was found for positive (95.4%) and negative (80.4%)

22 results, while most discordances were due to ELISA-indeterminate/CLIA-determinate results.

According to the Italian Clinical Microbiologist Association recommendations, in samples (n=79) with a borderline result in ELISA (0.20-0.70 IU/ml), CLIA median values statistically increased (from 0.29 to 0.59 IU/ml for TB1 and from 0.32 to 0.60 IU/ml for TB2), but remained in the borderline range.

Linear regression analysis indicated a substantial correlation between ELISA and CLIA for antigen
tubes TB1 (Pearson's r=0.8666) and TB2 (Pearson's r=0.8728), but CLIA produced higher values
than ELISA. ROC analysis showed that the optimal cut-off value in CLIA was 0.45 IU/ml for TB1
and 0.46 IU/ml for TB2.

In conclusion, automated QFT-Plus with CLIA is comparable to QFT-Plus performed by ELISA. Within the linearity range of the test, CLIA detects higher quantitative values than ELISA, resulting in a higher number of determinate results, and the conversion of samples that were close to the cutoff into positive borderline results. A higher cut-off for QFT-CLIA needs to be defined, based on clinical diagnostic criteria.

ournal of Clinica

36 INTRODUCTION

37 Latent tuberculosis infection (LTBI) is defined as a state of persistent immune response to 38 *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex (MTBc) without clinically manifested evidence of active 39 tuberculosis (TB) disease. About 1.7 billion people worldwide are estimated to have LTBI and 5– 40 10% of them are at risk of developing active TB during their lifetime (1-5).

41 Two tests are available for the identification of LTBI: the tuberculin skin test (TST) and interferon 42 gamma (IFN- γ) release assays (IGRAs). These are indirect markers of MTBc exposure and indicate 43 a cellular immune response to MTBc. One IGRA, Quantiferon-TB Gold Plus (QFT-Plus, Qiagen) 44 measures IFN-y released by T-cells following stimulation by MTBc-specific antigens (6). QFT-Plus 45 contains two MTBc-specific antigen tubes, called TB1 and TB2: TB1 contains ESAT-6- and CFP-10-derived long peptides, designed to elicit cell-mediated immune responses from CD4+T-helper 46 47 lymphocytes; TB2 contains the same long peptides as TB1, in addition to shorter peptides able to 48 stimulate CD8 T-cells (6-8). As CD8+ T-cell response seems to play a role in the early phase of 49 MTBc infection and in reactivation from LTBI, the QFT-Plus test might be useful in identifying 50 recent and remote LTBI, facilitating the decision to start LTBI treatment (9,10). IFN- γ detection with 51 QFT-Plus assay is almost exclusively performed with enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), 52 which has some disadvantages in clinical laboratories, such as labour-intense and time-consuming 53 steps and requiring standard serial dilutions for each microplate.

Recently, new chemiluminescence immunoassays (CLIA) have been developed to detect IFN- γ in human plasma samples. However, to date only few studies have been published comparing QFT-Plus and the previous version QFT-TB Gold In-Tube by ELISA to CLIA (11-13). Among these, the study with the most relevant sample size (341 samples) reports a high degree of agreement (99.1%) between the two methods, using the AdvaSure I3 platform for CLIA (13).

59 A new fully-automated CLIA detection system to measure IFN- γ in human plasma has recently been 60 developed on the LIAISON XL analyzer (DiaSorin, Italy). CLIA repeatability and reproducibility on 61 this platform were studied by Brantestig, who found that the imprecision of the method is within an Journal of Cli<u>nica</u>

ournal of Clinica

62 acceptable range and analysis of linearity showed acceptable recovery (12). Furthermore, a recent 63 paper by De Maertaelere et al. conducted on 92 samples showed that CLIA gave significantly higher 64 values for TB1 and TB2 than ELISA (11). 65 The aim of this study was the head-to-head comparison of IFN-y detection by ELISA on SKYLAB system and CLIA on the LIAISON XL analyzer in a large number of plasma samples. Furthermore, 66 we compared quantitative IFN-y responses to MTBc antigens (TB1 and TB2) and Mitogen detected 67 68 by both methods. 69 70 71 MATERIAL AND METHODS 72 73 **Samples** 74 In this study, 419 clinical specimens which had been submitted to the Microbiology Unit of S. Orsola-75 Malpighi University Hospital (Bologna, Italy) for QFT-Plus test by ELISA were also analysed by 76 CLIA. 77 Sample selection was based on the 3 categories of ELISA QFT-Plus results (positive, negative, 78 indeterminate) according to the manufacturer's cut-off and having a sufficient volume to perform 79 CLIA. Furthermore, an additional category of samples defined borderline was included according to 80 Italian Clinical Microbiologist Association recommendations. Sample size for each category was 81 chosen to ensure a large enough number to perform the analysis on ELISA indeterminate and 82 borderline results since they could be greatly influenced by different methods of measurement. 83 Samples were anonymized with an alphanumerical code according to the ELISA qualitative result. 84 Clinical data were not collected for this study. Informed consent was not required as the data were 85 analysed anonymously. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. 86 87

88 Quantiferon-TB Gold Plus (QFT-Plus)

QFT-Plus samples (Qiagen, Germany) were analyzed by ELISA on SKYLAB automated system
(DASIT, Italy) and by CLIA on the LIAISON XL instrument (DiaSorin, Italy), according to the
standard procedures recommended by the manufacturers (6,14).

The clinical samples were handled according to the standard procedure for QFT-Plus assay, i.e. incubation at 37°C for 16–24 h within 16 hours of sampling, followed by centrifugation at 2700 g at room temperature for 15 minutes, and IFN- γ detection by ELISA. For this study selected samples were promptly frozen at -20°C after ELISA to assure IFN- γ stability (15,16). Before CLIA testing, frozen samples (range 1-101 days) were re-centrifuged at 2700g for 15 minutes to sediment the fibrin clots that can form during storage.

In accordance to the manufacturer's interpretation, positive results were defined as background (Nil)corrected MTBc antigens (TB1 and/or TB2) values of ≥ 0.35 IU IFN- γ /ml; if the Nil-corrected Mitogen value was <0.50 IFN- γ IU/ml and/or if the Nil value was >8.0 IFN- γ IU/ml the test was considered indeterminate.

Furthermore, according to the Italian Clinical Microbiologist Association recommendations, the
 category borderline was defined as Nil-corrected MTBc antigens (TB1 and/or TB2) values within the
 range 0.20-0.70 IFN-γ IU/ml (17).

105

106 Statistical analysis

107 Cohen's κ statistics were used to assess agreement between ELISA and CLIA QFT Plus results as 108 well as agreement between TB1 and TB2 results for each assay.

109 The Mann-Whitney test was used to compare medians of Nil-corrected IFN- γ responses to TB1 and 110 TB2 and Mitogen. Since the ELISA QFT-Plus test cannot accurately determine IFN- γ values >10 111 IU/ml, a value of 10 IU/ml was attributed to plateau values in all the analyses by convention, as 112 already adopted in the literature (18).

6

Journal of Clinica

113 Samples with TB1 and TB2 IFN- γ levels within the analytical range of each assay (<10 IU/ml), 114 excluding indeterminate results, were used to assess the correlation between ELISA and CLIA. 115 Correlation was expressed by Pearson's correlation coefficient (r). For this group, the optimal cut-off 116 values of CLIA for TB1 and TB2 were determined from receiver-operator characteristic (ROC) curve 117 analysis assuming the positive result of the ELISA method as true LTBI or TB. 118 Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism version 8.0.1 (USA). Statistical significance 119 was set at p < 0.05. 120 121 122 RESULTS 123 124 Agreement between ELISA and CLIA QFT-Plus results 125 A total of 419 QFT-TB Plus samples analyzed by ELISA were included in this study with the 126 following results: 153 (36.5%) positive, 168 (40.1%) negative, 97 (23.2%) indeterminate due to low 127 Nil-corrected Mitogen value (<0.50 IFN- γ IU/ml) and 1 (0.2%) indeterminate due to high Nil value 128 (>8.0 IFN-y IU/ml) according to the manufacturer's cut-off. The same QFT-Plus samples were then 129 processed by CLIA and produced the following results: 182 (43.4%) positive, 197 (47.0%) negative, 130 34 (8.1%) indeterminate due to low Nil-corrected Mitogen value and 6 (1.5%) indeterminate due to 131 high Nil value. 132 The comparison of the results obtained by both assays is reported in Table 1. Concordant results were 133 obtained for 316 out of 419 samples (agreement 75.4%, κ=0.61, 95% CI 0.55-0.67). The agreement 134 between TB1 and TB2 results was 95.7% for ELISA (κ=0.91, 95% CI 0.86-0.95), and 95.0% for CLIA (ĸ=0.90, 95% CI 0.85-0.94). 135 136 Of the 103 (24.6%) samples with discordant results, 63 (61.2%) were due to indeterminate ELISA 137 results, which were determinate with CLIA (60 negative and 3 positive). Median mitogen IFN-y value 138 in these samples was 0.34 IU/ml in ELISA and 0.94 IU/ml in CLIA. In contrast, median mitogen

ournal of Clinica

IFN-γ value in 34 samples which remained indeterminate in CLIA was 0.16 IU/ml in ELISA and 0.27
IU/ml in CLIA, excluding 1 indeterminate case due to high Nil value. These differences were
statistically significant (p<0.0001).
The discordant cases with a determinate ELISA result were: 2 ELISA-positive/CLIA-negative (both

with only 1 MTBc antigen tube positive in ELISA), 5 ELISA-positive/CLIA-indeterminate (all due
to high Nil values in ELISA with a median value of 6.78 IU/ml), 33 ELISA-negative/CLIA-positive
(median values of 0.21 and 0.24 IU/ml with ELISA statistically lower than median values of 0.49 and
0.51 IU/ml with CLIA for TB1 and TB2, respectively, p<0.0001).

Results interpreted according to the Italian Clinical Microbiologist Association recommendations by introducing the category "borderline" (TB1 and/or TB2 values within 0.20-0.70 IFN- γ IU/ml), are reported in Table 2. Concordant results were obtained for 295 of the 419 samples (70.4%, κ =0.59, 95% CI 0.54-0.65). In samples with a borderline result in ELISA (n=79), CLIA median values statistically increased from 0.29 to 0.59 IU/ml for TB1 and from 0.32 to 0.60 IU/ml for TB2 (p<0.0001).

153

154 Correlation between ELISA and CLIA TB1 and TB2 IFN-γ levels

155 For MTBc antigen tubes results within the linearity range 0-10 IU/ml and excluding indeterminate 156 results (n=301), linear regression analysis showed that there was substantial correlation between the 157 two tests, both for TB1 (Pearson's r=0.8666) and TB2 (Pearson's r=0.8728) (Figure 1A, 1B). 158 Furthermore, the regression slopes (1.094 for TB1, 1.177 for TB2) and the intercepts (+0.2606 for 159 TB1, +0.2521 for TB2) indicated that CLIA produces significantly higher values both for TB1 and 160 TB2 than ELISA (p<0.0001). In fact, in this group median IFN- γ values of MTBc antigen tubes were statistically higher in CLIA than in ELISA both for TB1 (0.42 vs. 0.21 IU/ml, p=0.0039) and TB2 161 162 (0.40 vs. 0.22 IU/ml, p=0.0047).

163 Area Under the Curve (AUC) results for TB1 and TB2 are reported in Figure 2A and 2B respectively.

164 For TB1 AUC was 0.978 (95% CI 0.962-0.994, <0.0001) and the cut-off value with the maximal sum

165 of sensitivity and specificity was >0.45 IFN- γ IU/ml (sensitivity 97.6%, specificity 85.9%). Using the 166 manufacturer's suggested cut-off value of 0.35 IFN- γ IU/ml, the results showed a comparable 167 sensitivity 99.2%, but a lower specificity 81.9%.

For TB2 AUC was 0.980 (95% CI 0.964-0.996, <0.0001) and the cut-off value with the maximal sum of sensitivity and specificity was >0.46 IFN- γ IU/ml (sensitivity 99.2%, specificity 88.7%). Using the manufacturer's suggested cut-off value of 0.35 IFN- γ IU/ml, the results showed the same sensitivity 99.2%, but a lower specificity 81.9%.

172

173

174 **DISCUSSION**

In this study we compared the QFT-Plus routinely performed by ELISA in our laboratory with the automated CLIA performed on the LIAISON XL instrument on a large number of selected samples (n=419). In particular, we focused our analysis on ELISA indeterminate and borderline results since they could be greatly influenced by different methods of measurement.

We found substantial agreement (75.4%) between the assays interpreted according to the 179 180 manufacturer's cut-off. Most discordant results (61.2%, n=63) were due to indeterminate ELISA 181 which were determinate in CLIA. In literature only a few studies have been published regarding this 182 comparison, on a smaller number of samples, reporting higher agreement between the two tests: De 183 Maertelaere et al. described a population of 92 samples with 4.3% of indeterminate ELISA and found 184 an overall agreement of 95% (11); Brantestig et al. analyzed 125 samples with 8% indeterminate 185 ELISA and found an agreement of 96.8% (12); Kim and colleagues reported an overall agreement of 186 99.12% on 341 samples (13). The near perfect agreement obtained by Kim et al. was probably due to 187 the lack of indeterminate cases (0.3%) in their sample population. In contrast, in our population 188 indeterminate ELISA due to low Mitogen value (<0.50 IFN- γ IU/ml) accounted for 23.2%.

Journal of Clinica <u>Microbio</u>logy 189

190 median Mitogen IFN-y value in samples which converted to a determinate result in CLIA was 0.34 191 IU/ml, significantly higher than those which remained indeterminate in CLIA (0.16 IU/ml). Further discordant results (32%, n=33) were ELISA-negative/CLIA-positive, confirming that CLIA 192 193 detects higher quantitative values than ELISA. However, in this group ELISA median TB1 and TB2 194 values were close to the cut-off (0.21 and 0.24 IFN-y IU/ml for TB1 and TB2, respectively), as were 195 the corresponding CLIA values (0.49 and 0.51 IFN-γ IU/ml for TB1 and TB2, respectively). 196 The Italian Clinical Microbiologist Association recently suggested defining borderline results as TB1 197 and/or TB2 values within the range 0.20-0.70 IFN-y IU/ml and recommended re-testing borderline 198 samples. According to this recommendation, agreement between the two assays was moderate 199 (70.4%), lower than the agreement observed when the manufacturer's cut-off was used. Similarly,

The high number of indeterminate results selected for this study allowed us to show that ELISA

Brantestig et al. found a lower agreement (88%) using the Swedish National recommendations, that define a broad borderline range (0.20-0.99 IFN- γ IU/ml), than applying the manufacturer's cut-off (96.8%) (12). However, in our study among the ELISA-borderline samples (n=79) the increased CLIA values remained in the borderline range (0.29 vs. 0.59 IU/ml for TB1 and 0.32 vs. 0.60 IU/ml for TB2), suggesting that this range may not need to be revised for CLIA.

Linear regression analysis indicated substantial correlation between ELISA and CLIA despite the two different methods of measurement; however, CLIA produced significantly higher values both for TB1 and TB2 than ELISA. This is in agreement with previous data on CLIA performance in a smaller study population (11). In our opinion, this difference is not due to pre-analytical factors, but rather to the intrinsic chemistry of the assay based on chemiluminescence technology with paramagnetic microparticle solid phase, allowing the detection of very low levels of IFN- γ (13,19).

AUC analysis indicated that cut-off values of 0.45 IU/ml for TB1 and 0.46 IU/ml for TB2 returned the maximal sum of sensitivity and specificity, suggesting the need of a higher cut-off for QFT-Plus with CLIA compared to ELISA.

The limitation of our study is the lack of clinical data; further studies on a larger sample size with medical records available should be performed to more clearly define the CLIA threshold on the LIAISON XL system.

In conclusion, QFT-Plus performed with CLIA showed substantial agreement with ELISA. The LIAISON XL analyzer has several advantages such as rapid turn-around time, high analytical measurement ranges and good precision. Within the linearity range of the test, CLIA detects higher quantitative values than ELISA, resulting in a higher number of determinate results, and the conversion of negative samples close to the cut-off into positive borderline results. A higher cut-off for QFT-CLIA needs to be defined, based on clinical diagnostic criteria.

223

224

225 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank DiaSorin for providing reagents for the LIAISON instrument, Dr. Paola Monari,
Dr. Eleonora Gatti and Giorgio Venturelli for technical support and Jackie Leeder, BSc, for English
language editing.

229

230

231 **REFERENCES**

232 1. Cohen A, Mathiasen VD, Schon T, Wejse C. 2019. The global prevalence of latent tuberculosis: a 233 systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur 54. pii: 1900655. Respir 234 2. Houben RM, Dodd PJ. 2016. The Global Burden of Latent Tuberculosis Infection: A Re-estimation 235 Using Mathematical Modelling. PLoS Med 13:e1002152.

3. Girardi E, Sabin CA, d'Arminio Monforte A, Hogg B, Phillips AN, Gill MJ, Dabis F, Reiss P, Kirk
O, Bernasconi E, Grabar S, Justice A, Staszewski S, Fätkenheuer G, Sterne JA, Antiretroviral Therapy
Cohort Collaboration. 2005. Incidence of tuberculosis among HIV-infected patients receiving highly
active antiretroviral therapy in Europe and North America. Clin Infect Dis 41:1772-1782.

4. Sotgiu G, Goletti D, Matteelli A. 2019. Global tuberculosis prevention: should we start from the
beginning? Eur Respir J 54. pii: 1901394.

- 5. World Health Organization. 2019. Global tuberculosis report 2019. Geneva, Switzerland.
 Available at: <u>https://www.who.int/tb/publications/global report/en/.</u>
 6. Qiagen. 2014. QuantiFERON-TB Gold Plus ELISA Package Insert. Available at: <u>https://www.quantiferon.com/products/quantiferon-tb-gold-plus-qft-plus/package-inserts/.</u>
- 246 7. Petruccioli E, Chiacchio T, Pepponi I, Vanini V, Urso R, Cuzzi G, Barcellini L, Palmieri F, Cirillo
- DM, Ippolito G, Goletti D. 2016. Characterization of the CD4 and CD8 T-cell response in the
 QuantiFERON-TB Gold Plus kit. Int J Mycobacteriol 5:S25-S26.
- 8. Sotgiu G, Saderi L, Petruccioli E, Aliberti S, Piana A, Petrone L, Goletti D. 2019. QuantiFERON
 TB Gold Plus for the diagnosis of tuberculosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Infect
 79:444-453.
- 9. Barcellini L, Borroni E, Brown J, Brunetti E, Codecasa L, Cugnata F, Dal Monte P, Di Serio C,
 Goletti D, Lombardi G, Lipman M, Rancoita PM, Tadolini M, Cirillo DM. 2016. First independent
 evaluation of quantiFERON-TB Plus performance. Eur Respir J 47:1587-1590.
- 255 10. Petruccioli E, Chiacchio T, Pepponi I, Vanini V, Urso R, Cuzzi G, Barcellini L, Cirillo DM,
- Palmieri F, Ippolito G, Goletti D. 2016. First characterization of the CD4 and CD8 T-cell responses
 to QuantiFERON-TB Plus. J Infect 73:588-597.
- 258 11. De Maertelaere E, Vandendriessche S, Verhasselt B, Coorevits L, André E, Padalko E, Boelens
 259 J. 2020. Evaluation of QuantiFERON-TB Gold Plus on Liaison XL in a Low-Tuberculosis-Incidence
 260 Setting. J Clin Microbiol 58. pii: e00159-20.
- 261 12. Brantestig S, Kinnunen A, Almeflo S, Restorp K, Ahlqvist J, Dyrdak R. 2020. Comparative
 262 evaluation of CLIA and EIA for Quantiferon-TB Gold Plus. APMIS. doi: 10.1111/apm.13025. [Epub
 263 ahead of print].

ournal of Clinica

13. Kim JJ, Park Y, Choi D, Kim HS. 2020. Performance Evaluation of a New Automated
Chemiluminescent Immunoanalyzer-Based Interferon-Gamma Releasing Assay AdvanSure I3 in
Comparison With the QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube Assay. Ann Lab Med 40:33-39.

267 14. Qiagen. 2019. DiaSorin LIAISON QuantiFERON-TB Gold Plus. Available at:
 268 <u>https://www.quantiferon.com/products/liaison-quantiferon-tb-gold-plus/</u>

Lee JE, Kim SY, Shin SY. 2015. Effect of repeated freezing and thawing by up to five cycles did
not modify the plasma and serum concentrations of interferon-γ. Osong Public Health Res Perspect
6:357-362.

272 16. Cohen L, Keegan A, Melanson SEF, Walt DR. 2019. Impact of clinical sample handling and
273 processing on ultra-low level measurements of plasma cytokines. Clin Biochem 65:38-44.

17. Tortoli E , Camaggi A, Cirillo D, Costa D, Fattorini L, Frizzera E, Marchetti D, Pecorari M, Piana
F, Piersimoni C, Scarparo C (Gruppo di Lavoro Micobatteri, Associazione Microbiologi Clinici
Italiani). 2019. Refertazione Quantiferon-Plus: considerazioni emerse durante la tavola rotonda
"Nuovi approcci clinici, microbiologici e diagnostici alla TB latente". XLVII Congresso AMCLI
2019 Available at: http://www.amcli.it/documenti/consensus/

279 18. Lombardi G, Petrucci R, Corsini I, Bacchi Reggiani ML, Visciotti F, Bernardi F, Landini MP,

280 Cazzato S, Dal Monte P. 2018. Quantitative analysis of interferon-γ release assay response in children

with latent and active tuberculosis. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 56:e01360-17.

282 19. Zhang QY, Chen H, Lin Z, Lin JM. 2012. Comparison of chemiluminescence enzyme

283 immunoassay based on magnetic microparticles with traditional colorimetric ELISA for the detection

of serum alpha-fetoprotein. J Pharm Anal 2:130-135.

12

285 FIGURE LEGENDS

286

287 FIG 1: Regression analysis of TB1 (A) and TB2 (B) IFN-γ levels between ELISA and CLIA

288 QFT Plus. Regression line (solid) and 95% confidence intervals (dotted) for the Nil-subtracted

antigen tubes, within the range 0-10 IU/ml, are plotted; r=Pearson's correlation coefficient.

290

291 FIG 2: ROC curve of the CLIA QFT-Plus TB1 (A) and TB2 (B) to diagnose latent tuberculosis

- 292 infection. Sensitivity and specificity according to manufacturer's cut-off and to the cut-off defined
- 293 by AUC analysis are reported. Infection was assessed based on the results of ELISA QFT-Plus.

Downloaded from http://jcm.asm.org/ on August 29, 2020 at Sistema Bibliotecario d'Ateneo - Università degli Studi di Bologna

		QFT Plus ELISA			
		Positive, n	Negative, n	Indeterminate, n	Total
	Positive, n	146	33	3	182
CLIA	Negative, n	2	135	60	197
T Plus	Indeterminate, n	5	0	35	40
Q	Total	153	168	98	419
Agreement, %		95.4	80.4	35.7	75.4

TABLE 1 Results and agreement of QFT Plus assay performed by ELISA and CLIA according to the manufacturer's cut-off.

		QFT Plus ELISA				
		Positive, n	Borderline, n	Negative, n	Indeterminate, n	Total
	Positive, n	104	41	0	0	145
VI	Borderline, n	2	34	8 4		48
Plus CI	Negative, n	1	4	122	59	186
QFT J	Indeterminate, n	5	0	0	35	40
	Total	112	79	130	98	419
Agreement, %		92.8	43.0	93.8	35.7	70.4

TABLE 2 Results and agreement of QFT Plus performed by ELISA and CLIA according to the

 Italian Clinical Microbiologist Association recommendations.



Journal of Clinical Microbiology

JCM





TB1 cut-off	Sensitivity (95% CI)	Specificity (95% CI)	TB2 cut-off	Sensitivity (95% CI)	Specificity (95% CI)
>0.35	99.2 (95.57-99.96)	81.9 (75.59-86.89)	>0.35	99.2 (95.47-99.46)	81.9 (75.59-86.89)
>0.45	97.6 (93.13-99.34)	85.9 (79.98-90.25)	>0.46	99.2 (95.47-99.96)	88.7 (83.19-92.57)