

ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE DELLA RICERCA

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

New MRI series for kidney evaluation: Saving time and money

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version: Renzulli M., Brocchi S., Pettinari I., Biselli M., Clemente A., Corcioni B., et al. (2019). New MRI series for kidney evaluation: Saving time and money. BRITISH JOURNAL OF RADIOLOGY, 92(1099), 1-7 [10.1259/bjr.20190260].

Availability: This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/716778 since: 2020-03-02

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1259/bjr.20190260

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

New Magnetic Resonance Imaging Series for Kidney Evaluation: Saving Time and Money

- 3
- <u>Renzulli M¹</u>, <u>Brocchi S¹</u>, <u>Pettinari I¹</u>, <u>Biselli M²</u>, <u>Clemente A³</u>, <u>Corcioni B¹</u>, <u>Cappabianca S³</u>,
 <u>Gaudiano C¹</u>, <u>Golfieri R¹</u>.
- 6
- ¹ Department of Diagnostic Medicine and Prevention Radiology Unit, Sant'Orsola Hospital,
 University of Bologna, Via Albertoni, Bologna, Italy.
- 9 ² Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, Sant'Orsola Hospital, University of Bologna, Via
- 10 Albertoni, Bologna, Italy.
- ³ Department of Precision Medicine Radiology and Radiotherapy Unit, University of Campania "L.
- 12 Vanvitelli", Piazza Miraglia, Naples, Italy.
- 13
- 14 Short Title: New MRI series for kidney evaluation

16 Abstract

17

Objectives: This study investigates the diagnostic performance of a new T1 imaging series, generated
by the digital subtraction of the opposed phase from in phase T1-weighted images, in magnetic
resonance imaging (MRI) for renal angiomyolipoma (AML) evaluation.

21

Methods: This retrospective study involved 96 patients, sixty-three (65.6%) with at least one renal AML and 33 (34.4%) healthy patients. Two radiologists having different experience retrospectively reviewed two MR imaging series, starting with in and out-phase T1-weighted images and then the new subtracted T1 images, in which AML appeared white on black background. The presence, number, location, and dimensions of the AMLs, and reading time were collected separately for the two kidneys. Statistical analysis was carried out using the appropriate tests.

28

Results: The number of lesions identified and the evaluation of lesion dimension did not statistically differ between the different MR imaging series evaluated, without interobserver variability. Both percentage agreement of the total number of observations and the κ coefficient showed very good agreement between the radiologists. The median time for the diagnosis was statistically lower when using the subtracted T1 imaging series for both observers with a median gain from 6.5 to 15 seconds per identified lesion, resulting in a total time-saving of more than half (52.9%), in both patients with and without AMLs, and in patients with a single or with more than one AML (P <0.001).

36

37 Conclusions: The new subtracted T1 imaging series proved to be reliable in identifying fat-38 containing renal lesions, by both expert and non-expert radiologists, resulting in a saving of both time 39 and money. Moreover, this new subtracted T1 imaging series could be an effective tool in non-40 dedicated kidney examinations in which a faster reading is advisable.

42 Advances in knowledge: The opportunity of using a single set of MRI images in kidney evaluation
43 for identifying fat-containing lesions, considerably reducing reading time, resulting in cost44 effectiveness.

45

46 Keywords

47 Angiomyolipoma; Kidney Neoplasms; Magnetic Resonance Imaging; Chemical Shift Imaging;

- 48 Subtraction Technique.
- 49

51 Introduction

52

A renal Angiomyolipoma (AML) is a non-uncommonly found benign solid tumour¹ which represents 53 the second most frequent pathology (28.7%) after oncocytoma (51.2%) in kidneys.² The vast majority 54 of AMLs are incidentally identified because they are usually asymptomatic.³ However, more rarely, 55 56 AMLs can be associated with two hereditary symptomatic diseases: the tuberous sclerosis complex and sporadic lymphangioleiomyomatosis.³ Incidental AMLs are smaller and usually unilateral as 57 compared with AMLs in a tuberous sclerosis complex.⁴ Solitary small AMLs (<20 mm) have a low 58 risk of growth and, if asymptomatic, do not warrant follow-up.^{5,6} Therefore, a correct imaging 59 60 diagnosis is mandatory in order to avoid unnecessary follow-up or non-appropriate treatment. A 61 classic AML is a benign, slow growing tumour composed of smooth muscle, adipose tissue and blood vessels.³ The majority of AMLs contains fat that is clearly identifiable on imaging techniques, such 62 63 as Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), so these tumours can be diagnosed without biopsy or surgery. Approximately only 5% of renal AMLs have too little fat to be detected by imaging.⁷ An AML is the 64 65 only renal tumour which can be characterised based on its tissue composition in the vast majority of 66 cases. In fact, its diagnosis depends on the detection of the macroscopic fat within the lesions. On MRI, the diagnosis of AMLs has traditionally been reached using T1-weighted sequences, comparing 67 the images with and without frequency-selective fat suppression.⁸ However, AMLs can be more 68 accurately diagnosed using the chemical shift in MRI.⁸⁻¹⁰ In fact, in chemical shift imaging, widely 69 70 used to identify microscopic amounts (intravoxel or intracellular) of fat, minimal fat AML shows a significant signal-drop on opposed-phase images.^{6,11,12} Chemical shift imaging is an artefact due to 71 72 positional misregistration of the fat signal resulting from the different processional frequencies of fat 73 and water protons, and manifests as alternating bands of bright and dark signals along the frequencyencoding direction at fat-water interfaces.¹³ This artefact can be recognized on opposed-phase MR 74 images as a characteristic sharp black line at fat-water (fat-muscle or fat-solid organ) interfaces.¹⁴ 75

Because the majority of AMLs contain macroscopic fat, this artefact will appear at the interface of the AML with the kidney, or at the interface of the fatty and non-fatty portions of the mass. In small AMLs, the signal void phase suppression artefact occupies the entire lesion. Consequently, small AMLs, which will appear as a signal void on out-of phase images, may simulate cysts. For this reason, comparison of in-phase and opposed phase images, generated from the same sequence, is always required to identify fat components in small renal lesions.⁸

82 Subtraction imaging is a readily available technique which is routinely used in MRI, for example in 83 breast and liver imaging or in MR angiography to improve enhancing detection after the use of contrast media.^{15,16} In fact, in liver MRI, the presence of arterial enhancement in some cases is not 84 85 easy to detect by visually comparing two image sets, such as those in arterial and unenhanced phases. 86 For example, some enhancing nodules can show the same relative signal intensity as the liver 87 parenchyma on arterial phase images and on unenhanced images, and this is also true for small 88 nodules. In these cases, dynamic subtraction of an unenhanced T1-weighted sequence from the identical sequence carried out after gadolinium administration can be helpful.¹⁷⁻²⁰ 89

90 The accurate identification of the chemical shift imaging is crucial in diagnosing AMLs. This is also 91 true in all the examinations carried out with different indications but always involving kidneys in 92 their field of view since AMLs are usually incidentally found. Furthermore, it would be useful to have 93 a single set of images in order to be able to evaluate the chemical shift imaging since this could reduce 94 reading time as compared to that involved in evaluating the two sets of standard T1-weighted images 95 (in-phase vs. out-phase). The chemical shift imaging can be overcome by Dixon sequences which 96 however are not available on all MR machines. Therefore, we decided to generate a new imaging 97 series by the digital subtraction of the opposed phase from in phase T1-weighted images. In these 98 subtracted T1 images, the remaining signal is only the eventual presence of chemical shift artefacts, 99 which appear strongly hyperintense on a "dark background".

100 The purpose of this study was to investigate the diagnostic performance of our new subtracted T1101 imaging series in kidney evaluation on MRI.

103 Methods and Materials

104 This single-centre retrospective study, carried out at our tertiary care centre, was approved by the105 institutional review board, and the requirement for informed consent was waived.

106

107 Study Patients

108 The MRI database was reviewed from January 2012 to December 2017 to identify all patients in 109 which the word "Angiomyolipoma" was present in the final MRI report. The patients which satisfied 110 the following criteria were included in our study: (a) MRI performed in our Hospital, (b) good-quality 111 MRI examinations, in particular availability of opposed phase and in-phase T1-weighted images of 112 good quality and (c) a renal AML imaging diagnosis. During the study period, 64 consecutive patients 113 with at least one renal AML were identified. Only one patient was excluded due to inadequate 114 imaging examination (respiratory artefacts in the T1-weighted images), thus allowing analysis of 63 115 patients.

In order to evaluate the diagnostic performance of the new subtracted T1 imaging series, it was decided to create an overall study population in which at least one third were healthy patients without AML. In this way, the observer radiologists could analyse a patient population without knowing how many patients were positive for AMLs. In the same study period (from January 2012 to December 2017), thirty-three healthy subjects with no renal AMLs were consecutively enrolled from the MRI database by a radiologist who did not carried out the subsequent image analysis.

122 The final study population involved 96 patients, of whom thirty-three (34.4%) were healthy subjects123 with no renal AMLs.

124

125 MRI technique and image analysis

126 In patients with AMLs, MRI examinations were performed following a previously described protocol.²¹ In the healthy subjects, the MRI protocol was not dedicated to renal study in all cases and, 127 128 sometimes, the protocol was that of a study of the upper abdomen. In this latter case, the MRI examination was performed following a previously described protocol.^{22,23} In particular, in all these 129 130 MRI examinations, the new imaging series was generated by the subtraction of the images obtained 131 from a breath-hold T1-weighted gradient-echo dualecho "in and out of phase" sequence (TR/TE 132 150/4.6 ms and TR/TE 150/2.1 ms, respectively; 80° flip angle; 256 ×160 matrix; 62.50 Hz per pixel bandwidth; one signal acquired; and 20-25-second acquisition time). In detail, for each of the 96 133 134 examinations, the new imaging series (subtracted T1 images) was created by a technologist 135 subtracting opposed phase T1-weighted images from in phase T1 weighted images using standard software called Add/Sub on an independent console (Advantage Workstation, Release 4.4 Software, 136 137 General Electric Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI, USA). This series generated a set of images with 138 a black background except for fat-water (fat-muscle or fat-solid organ) interfaces which appeared 139 white. Therefore, the chemical shift artefacts at the interface of the AML with the kidney, or at the 140 interface of the fatty and non-fatty components of the lesion, appeared white on black background. 141 All the images, standard T1 in phase and out of phase and subtracted T1 imaging series, were retrieved from and evaluated on our institutional picture archiving and communication system (Carestream 142 143 PACS, version 1.4; Kodak, Rochester, NY).

144 The images were assessed by two radiologists, one senior radiologist with more than 10 years of 145 experience in abdominal MRI, and one junior with <3 years' experience in abdominal MRI. They 146 were blinded to all of the information, including clinical history and imaging reports, especially those 147 concerning the presence of renal AMLs. The two observers independently reviewed all images, 148 starting with in and out of phase T1-weighted images, to evaluate the following features separately 149 for the two kidneys: presence, number, anatomic location and dimensions of the AMLs. The reading 150 time, in seconds, was also recorded beginning when the reader started to view the images and ending 151 once reaching the diagnosis (presence and number of AMLs), separately for each kidney. Reading time did not include the time needed to measure and locate the lesion, since this time is the same independent of the different images used. All data were collected in a dedicated database for this series, one for each observer.

After at least 2 weeks, each observer independently evaluated the subtracted T1 imaging series of the entire study population. For this new imaging series, the two observers also independently reviewed all the radiologic images to evaluate the same features as for the standard T1 sequence, separately for the two kidneys. All data were collected by each observer in a new dedicated database, different from the first one.

160

161 Statistical Analysis

162 The distribution asymmetry of the quantitative data was assessed using the Skewness test. The 163 quantitative variables were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation, or median and interquartile range, 164 as appropriate.

165 The systematic difference between the intra-observer and inter-observer results obtained from each 166 MR images (T1 or subtracted T1 imaging series) was assessed using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test 167 or the Mann-Whitney test, as appropriate. A statistically significant result showed that there was evidence of a systematic difference between the proportions of "positive" responses from the two 168 169 MRI imaging series. The absence of a systematic difference implied that there was no bias. The 170 degree of agreement between the observers was measured by both percentage agreement of the total 171 number of observations, considering the total number of times in which the observers agreed which 172 was divided by the total number of readings/classifications made, and by calculating Cohen's kappa 173 (κ) coefficient. Perfect agreement was evident when Cohen's kappa equalled 1; a value of Cohen's 174 kappa equal to zero suggested that the agreement was no better than that which would be obtained by 175 chance alone. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All the analyses 176 were carried out using SPSS 20.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

178 **Results**

The overall study population consisted of 96 patients evaluated by MRI from January 2012 to December 2017. Mean age was 59 ± 9 years; 37.5% of the patients were male. Sixty-three patients (65.6%) had at least one renal AML.

182 The number and dimensions of the lesions identified by the two observers using the T1 sequence as183 a standard method and subtracted T1 images as an alternative method are shown in Table 1.

The overall number of lesions identified with the two imaging series by each observer did not statistically differ. Moreover, a statistically significant difference between the observers in terms of the number of lesions identified in both kidneys was not observed. When the size of the lesions was considered, there were no significant differences between the imaging series (for either observer) and between the observers (Table 1).

T1 subtracted imaging series showed good sensitivity and specificity for both the observers. In particular, for the observer 1 the sensitivity and specificity in the evaluation of both the kidneys were respectively 100% and 99.1% (CI95% 96.2-99.9%) [right kidney: sensitivity and specificity 100%; left kidney: sensitivity 100% and specificity 98.2% (CI95% 92.4-99.8%)]. For the observer 2 the sensitivity and specificity in the evaluation of both the kidneys were respectively 97.4% (CI95% 93.6-99%) and 100% [right kidney: sensitivity 94.7% (CI95% 87.5-98.1%) and specificity 100%; left kidney: sensitivity and specificity 100%].

196 The degree of agreement between the two observers is reported in Table 2. Both percentage 197 agreement of the total number of observations and the κ coefficient showed very good agreement 198 between the observers for each of the imaging series (Table 2).

The time needed by the two observers for kidney evaluation using both the standard T1 and the subtracted T1 imaging series, are shown in Table 3. The median time for the diagnosis was statistically lower in both observers, with a median gain of from 6.5 to 15 seconds per identified

202 lesion when using the subtracted T1 imaging series (Table 3). In both the patients with AMLs (Figure 203 1) and in those without AMLs (Figure 2), it was observed that the subtracted T1 imaging series 204 obtained a significant median time gain for the diagnosis for both observers (Table 3). Moreover, the 205 subtracted T1imaging series allowed obtaining a significant median time gain in reaching a diagnosis 206 for both radiologists, even in the case of patients with a single AML or in those with more than one 207 lesion (Table 4). It is to note that regarding observer 1, when T1 sequence was utilized for right 208 kidney, only 3 out of 10 patients, who were identified by observer 2 with multiple lesions, were 209 detected. On the contrary, utilizing both T1 sequence in the left kidney and subtracted T1 imaging 210 series in both of kidneys, no substantial differences were detected between the two observers (right 211 kidney evaluated by T1 subtracted series: observer 1 six cases vs observer 2 eight cases; left kidney 212 evaluated by T1 sequence: observer 1 eight cases vs observer 2 eight cases; T1 subtracted series: 213 observer 1 ten cases vs observer 2 eleven cases).

214

215

216 **Discussion**

Solitary small AMLs (<20 mm) have a low risk of growth and, thus, do not require follow-up if asymptomatic.⁵ Therefore, a correct imaging diagnosis is mandatory in order to carry out correct management, such as the abstention from follow-up. An AML can be characterised based on its tissue composition and depends on the detection of the fat within the lesions. On MRI, the diagnosis of AMLs can be accurately carried out using chemical shift imaging which is also able to identify microscopic amounts of fat as in case of a minimal fat AML.^{6,8-12}

Historically, MRI has an advantage as compared to Computed Tomography: the possibility of carrying out image subtraction. For example, dynamic subtraction of an unenhanced T1-weighted sequence from the identical sequence performed after gadolinium administration is helpful in liver imaging,^{8,17,19,20} and it has become an integral part of radiological clinical practice. In fact, the

accurate detection of arterial enhancement is important for diagnosing small single HCCs.²⁴ and 227 enables more effective treatment.²⁵ Dynamic subtraction in MRI allows more accurate detection of 228 229 arterial enhancement leading to an earlier diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma.¹⁷ However, the 230 limits of this subtracted image series are well known in clinical practice. In fact, the subtraction is 231 obtained from two different acquisitions, the arterial phase and the unenhanced phase, which are 232 performed in two different breath-hold periods. Therefore, in case of different breaths, the subtracted 233 series results blurred due to the subtraction of images in different spatial levels. This problem, known as misregistration artefact,¹⁷ is particularly relevant in cirrhotic patients with poor clinical condition 234 235 in whom the need to utilise these subtracted images exists.

236 In this study, the subtracted T1 imaging series is digitally generated in post-processing from two 237 identical imaging sets resulting from the same sequence, the dual T1-weighted in-phase and opposed-238 phase sequences. This method allows having exact subtracted images acquired during the same 239 breath-hold period. Therefore, this is a "true" subtracted imaging series, different from subtraction 240 technique commonly used in the evaluation of contrast enhancement in which the unenhanced images 241 are subtracted from those performed after contrast injection, therefore in different breath-hold. Our 242 T1 subtracted imaging series is characterised by a black background on which the chemical shift 243 artefacts appear white.

In the present study, the new subtracted T1 imaging series for kidney evaluation was tested, inparticular for the identification of AMLs.

There is no evidence in the literature regarding this new imaging series, thus it is difficult to compare these results to others. Therefore, the data of this study are critically discussed to highlight the diagnostic utility of the new subtracted T1 images in kidney evaluation.

No significant differences were observed in the evaluation of the dimensions and number of AMLs in either kidney when using the subtracted T1 imaging series with respect to the standard T1-weighted sequence, with a good result in terms of sensitivity and specificity. Furthermore, there were no differences in the evaluation of the dimensions and number of AMLs between the two observers,

even if, utilizing T1 sequence, observer 1 identified a lower number of patients with multiple lesions
in the right kidney. In particular, there was very good agreement between the two observers, despite
their different radiological skills.

256 This study was designed to calculate the time needed to evaluate the kidneys using the two different 257 imaging series, the standard T1-weighted and the subtracted T1 images. In the entire study 258 population, the reading times were markedly and statistically reduced using the new subtracted T1 259 imaging series for both observers, regardless of their different radiological skills. When evaluating 260 the total time spent on image reading by the two radiologists, the time saved is more than half (52.9%) 261 by using the new subtracted T1 images. This time saving was also achieved by dividing the study 262 population into different subgroups. In fact, in both patients with AMLs and in those without AMLs, 263 time saving was globally greater than 50% when using the subtracted T1 images, regardless of the 264 different experience of the two observers. A saving of more than 50% using the subtracted T1 imaging 265 series was also obtained when it was used in both patients with a single AML and in those with more 266 than one AML. Finally, the possibility of shortening reading times by analysing a single series rather 267 than two different sets of images yields very important saving in terms of time. This reduction in MRI 268 reading time is becoming increasingly important due to an increasing demand for cost-effectiveness 269 and efficiency in hospitals. Benjamin Franklin said: "time is money". Nevertheless, time is more valuable than money: you can get more money, but you can't get more time.²⁶ This is not true when 270 271 using our new subtracted T1 imaging series which does not cost anything and is time saving.

More sophisticated 2D and 3D Dixon sequences are commercially available, which allow to obtain qualitatively superior images by the use of techniques for phase correction and reduction of borders artifact in chemical shift imaging.²⁷⁻²⁹ Unfortunately, the Dixon technique cannot be performed by all MR machines; however, many recent papers were published without performing the Dixon technique^{20,21,30} Therefore, in absence of Dixon sequence, our new subtracted T1 imaging series could be an alternative tool to quickly and reliably detect renal fat-containing lesion because this imaging series can be generated on any MR machine, regardless of the brand and technology.

279	The present study had a number of limitations, the first being its retrospective design. Another
280	limitation is that it is a single-centre study, which is a strong factor in interobserver coherence.

In conclusion, this new subtracted T1 imaging series not only proved to be reliable in the identification of fat containing renal lesions but was also found to have zero cost. These advantages were obtained by expert and non-expert radiologists. This new subtracted T1 imaging series could be an effective tool in non-dedicated kidney examinations in which a faster reading is advisable. Therefore, if our results are confirmed, the subtracted T1 imaging series could be used in radiological practice in all hospitals and by all radiologists.

287

288

290 **References**

- Fujii Y, Ajima J, Oka K, Tosaka A, Takehara Y. Benign renal tumors detected among healthy
 adults by abdominal ultrasonography. *Eur Urol* 1995; 27: 124-127.
- Bauman TM, Potretzke AM, Wright AJ, et al. Partial nephrectomy for presumed renal-cell
 carcinoma: Incidence, predictors, and perioperative outcomes of benign lesions. *J Endourol* 2017: 31: 412-417.

296 3. Bissler JJ, Kingswood JC. Renal angiomyolipomata. *Kidney Int* 2004; **66**: 924-934.

- Seyam RM, Bissada NK, Kattan SA, et al. Changing trends in presentation, diagnosis and
 management of renal angiomyolipoma: Comparison of sporadic and tuberous sclerosis
 complex-associated forms. *Urology* 2008; 72: 1077–1082.
- 300 5. Maclean D, Sultana R, Radwan R, McKnight L, Khastgir J. Is the follow-up of small renal
 angiomyolipomas a necessary precaution? *Clin Radiol* 2014; **69**: 822-826.
- Razik A, Das CJ, Sharma S. Angiomyolipoma of the Kidneys: Current Perspectives and
 Challenges in Diagnostic Imaging and Image-Guided Therapy. *Curr Probl Diagn Radiol* 2018;
 (in press) doi: 10.1067/j.cpradiol.2018.03.006.
- Fujii Y, Komai Y, Saito K, et al. Incidence of benign pathologic lesions at partial nephrectomy
 for presumed RCC renal masses: Japanese dual-center experience with 176 consecutive
 patients. *Urology* 2008; 72: 598-602.
- Burdeny DA, Semelka RC, Kelekis NL, Reinhold C, Ascher SM. Small (<1.5 cm)
 angiomyolipomas of the kidney: characterization by the combined use of in-phase and fat attenuated MR techniques. *Magn Reson Imaging* 1997; 15: 141-145.
- Outwater EK, Blasbalg R, Siegelman ES, Vala M. Detection of lipid in abdominal tissues with
 opposed phase gradient-echo images at 1.5 T: techniques and diagnostic importance.
 RadioGraphics 1998; 18: 1465-1480.

- 314 10. Zhang J, Pedrosa I, Rofsky NM. MR techniques for renal imaging. *Radiol Clin North Am* 2003;
 315 41: 877–907.
- 316 11. Soila KP, Viamonte M, Starewicz PM. Chemical shift misregistration effect in magnetic
 317 resonance imaging. *Radiology* 1984; 153: 819-820.
- Earls JP, Krinsky GA. Abdominal and pelvic applications of opposed-phase MR imaging. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1997; 169: 1071-1077.
- 320 13. Flanagan FL, Murray JG, Gilligan P, Stack JP, Ennis JT. Digital subtraction in Gd-DTPA
 321 enhanced imaging of the breast. *Clin Radiol* 1995; **50**: 848-854.
- Lee VS, Flyer MA, Weinreb JC, Krinsky GA, Rofsky NM. Image subtraction in gadoliniumenhanced MR imaging. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1996; 167: 1427-1432.
- An C, Park MS, Kim D, et al. Added value of subtraction imaging in detecting arterial
 enhancement in small (<3 cm) hepatic nodules on dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI in patients
 at high risk of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Eur Radiol* 2013; 23: 924-930.
- Yu JS, Kim YH, Rofsky NM. Dynamic subtraction magnetic resonance imaging of cirrhotic
 liver: assessment of high signal intensity lesions on nonenhanced T1-weighted images. J
 Comput Assist Tomogr 2005; 29: 51-58.
- 330 17. Seçil M, Obuz F, Altay C, et al. The role of dynamic subtraction MRI in detection of
 hepatocellular carcinoma. *Diagn Interv Radiol* 2008; 14: 200-204.
- An C, Park MS, Jeon HM, et al. Prediction of the histopathological grade of hepatocellular
 carcinoma using qualitative diffusion-weighted, dynamic, and hepatobiliary phase MRI. *Eur Radiol* 2012; 22: 1701-1708.
- 335 19. Gaudiano C, Clementi V, Busato F, et al. Diffusion tensor imaging and tractography of the
 kidneys: assessment of chronic parenchymal diseases. *Eur Radiol* 2013; 23: 1678-1685.
- 337 20. Tovoli F, Renzulli M, Negrini G, et al. Inter-operator variability and source of errors in tumour
- response assessment for hepatocellular carcinoma treated with sorafenib. *Eur Radiol* 2018. (in
- 339 press) doi: 10.1007/s00330-018-5393-3.

- 340 21. Blinded reference.
- 341 22. Sasiwimonphan K, Takahashi N, Leibovich BC, Carter RE, Atwell TD, Kawashima A. Small
 342 (4cm) renal mass: Differentiation of angiomyolipoma without visible fat from renal cell

343 carcinoma utilizing MR imaging. *Radiology* 2012; **263**: 160-168.

- 344 23. Kim JK, Kim SH, Jang YJ, et al. Renal Angiomyolipoma with minimal fat: Differentiation
 345 from other neoplasms at double-echo chemical shift FLASH MR imaging. *Radiology* 2006;
 346 239: 174-180.
- 347 24. Golfieri R, Garzillo G, Ascanio S, Renzulli M. Focal lesions in the cirrhotic liver: their pivotal
 348 role in gadoxetic acid-enhanced MRI and recognition by the Western guidelines. *Dig Dis* 2014;
 349 32: 696-704.
- 350 25. Terzi E, Piscaglia F, Forlani L, et al. TACE performed in patients with a single nodule of
 351 Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *BMC Cancer* 2014; 14: 601.
- 352 26. Rohn J. Time Management. In: The treasury of quotes, ed. Success Book. 1994; 86.
- 353 27. Dixon WT. Simple proton spectroscopic imaging. *Radiology* 1984; 153: 189-194.
- Rosenkrantz AB, Raj S, Babb JS, Chandarana H. Comparison of 3D two-point Dixon and
 standard 2D dual-echo breath-hold sequences for detection and quantification of fat content in
 renal angiomyolipoma. *Eur J Radiol* 2012; **81**: 47-51.
- Pokharel SS, Macura KJ, Kamel IR, Zaheer A. Current MR imaging lipid detection techniques
 for diagnosis of lesions in the abdomen and pelvis. *Radiographics* 2013; **33**: 681-702.
- 359 30. Renzulli M, Buonfiglioli F, Conti F, et al. Imaging features of microvascular invasion in
 hepatocellular carcinoma developed after direct-acting antiviral therapy in HCV-related
 cirrhosis. *Eur Radiol* 2018; 28: 506-513.

363 TABLES

Table 1 The dimensions and number of lesions identified by two observers using the standard T1
sequence and the new subtracted T1 imaging series in abdominal MRI in patients with
angiomyolipoma.

							Comparison	
	Observer 1		Р	Observer 2		Р	Interobservers (P)	
	T1	Subtracted		T1	Subtracted		T1	Subtracted
Dimensions (mm)								
Right Kidney	7 (8.5)	7 (8)	.688	7 (8)	7 (7)	.676	.619	.64
Left Kidney	6.5 (6.5)	6 (7)	.816	8 (7.5)	8 (7)	.077	.188	.077
Number of lesions (N)								
Right Kidney	41	44	.87	51	47	.713	.598	.987
Left Kidney	54	60	.802	50	55	.862	.885	.824

- 368 Note: Values are expressed as medians (interquartile range) or numbers.

- **Table 2** Degree of agreement between the two observers concerning the two MR imaging series
- 374 (T1 sequence or subtracted T1 imaging series).

Agreement	Right	Kidney	Left Kidney		
	T1	Subtracted	T1	Subtracted	
Percentage agreement (%)	88.5	92.7	88.5	87.5	
Cohen's kappa (κ) coefficient	.759	.845	.762	.741	

- **Table 3** Time needed for the diagnosis of an angiomyolipoma using a standard T1 sequence or the
- alternative subtracted T1 imaging series.

	Observer 1		Р	Observer 2		Р			
All Patients	T1	Subtractive		T1	Subtractive				
Time (seconds)									
Right Kidney	15 (7)	7 (2)	< 0.001	25 (13)	10 (3)	<0.001			
Left Kidney	14.5 (9)	8 (4)	<0.001	23 (11)	10 (3)	<0.001			
Patients with angiomyolipoma									
Time (seconds)									
Right Kidney	17 (11)	7 (4)	<0.001	25 (16)	10 (3)	<0.001			
Left Kidney	15 (9)	9 (3)	<0.001	20.5 (10)	10 (3)	<0.001			
Patients without angiomyolipoma									
Time (seconds)									
Right Kidney	15 (5)	7 (1)	<0.001	26.5 (12)	9 (3)	<0.001			
Left Kidney	14 (7)	7 (3)	<0.001	25 (13)	9 (3)	<0.001			

0 Note: Values are expressed as medians (interquartile range).

- **Table 4** Time needed for the diagnosis of an angiomyolipoma using a T1 sequence or the alternative
- 385 subtracted T1 imaging series in patients with a single angiomyolipoma and in those with more than
- 386 one lesion.
- 387

	Observer 1		Р	Observer 2		Р		
Patients with a Single Lesion	T1 Subtracted			T1	Subtracted			
Time (seconds)								
Right Kidney	16.5 (11)	7 (5)	< 0.001	25 (18)	10 (4)	<0.001		
Left Kidney	14 (7)	8 (3)	< 0.001	20.5 (9)	10 (4)	< 0.001		
Patients with more than one Lesion								
Time (seconds)								
Right Kidney	18 (*)	9 (3)	.118	23 (7)	11.5 (3)	.002		
Left Kidney	18 (11)	10 (3)	.016	21 (12)	11 (3)	<0.001		

388 Note: Values are expressed as medians (interquartile range). *not computable because only 3 patients were detected with

389 multiple lesions.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Magnetic resonance images in 64-year-old woman with renal angiomyolipoma. Axial T1 in phase image (a) shows a renal lesion with slightly hyperintense components (white arrow). In T1 out of phase image (b) is visible a loss of their signal intensity (white arrow). In the subtracted T1 image (c), the intralesional fat appears strongly hyperintense (black arrow) in a dark background.

Figure 2. Axial magnetic resonance images in a healthy man, without angiomyolipoma. In and out of phase T1-weighted images (**a**, **b**) do not show any signal intensity abnormality and the renal parenchyma appears homogeneously black in the subtracted T1 sequence (**c**).