

# Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Novel antipsychotics specificity profile: A clinically oriented review of lurasidone, brexpiprazole, cariprazine and lumateperone

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Novel antipsychotics specificity profile: A clinically oriented review of lurasidone, brexpiprazole, cariprazine and lumateperone / Corponi F.; Fabbri C.; Bitter I.; Montgomery S.; Vieta E.; Kasper S.; Pallanti S.; Serretti A.. - In: EUROPEAN NEUROPSYCHOPHARMACOLOGY. - ISSN 0924-977X. - STAMPA. -29:9(2019), pp. 971-985. [10.1016/j.euroneuro.2019.06.008]

Availability:

This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/714012 since: 2020-01-16

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroneuro.2019.06.008

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)





# **King's Research Portal**

DOI: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2019.06.008

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication record in King's Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA):

Corponi, F., Fabbri, C., Bitter, I., Montgomery, S., Vieta, E., Kasper, S., ... Serretti, A. (2019). Novel antipsychotics specificity profile: A clinically oriented review of lurasidone, brexpiprazole, cariprazine and lumateperone. *European Neuropsychopharmacology*, *29*(9), 971-985. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroneuro.2019.06.008

#### Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

#### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

•Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research. •You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain •You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# Novel Antipsychotics Specificity Profile: a clinically oriented review of lurasidone, brexpiprazole, cariprazine and lumateperone

Filippo Corponi<sup>a</sup>, Chiara Fabbri<sup>b</sup>, Istvan Bitter<sup>c</sup>, Stuart Montgomery<sup>d</sup>, Eduard Vieta<sup>e</sup>, Siegfried Kasper<sup>f</sup>, Stefano Pallanti<sup>g,h</sup>, Alessandro Serretti<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Biomedical and Neuromotor Sciences, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy <sup>b</sup>Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, United Kingdom <sup>c</sup>Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary <sup>d</sup>Imperial College School of Medicine, London, UK <sup>e</sup>Hospital Clinic, University of Barcelona, IDIBAPS, CIBERSAM, Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain <sup>f</sup>Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria <sup>g</sup>Institute of Neuroscience, Florence, Italy <sup>h</sup>Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Science, Stanford University Medical Center, Stanford, CA, USA

Short title: clinical profile of novel antipsychotics

Corresponding Author:

Alessandro Serretti, MD, Ph.D. Department of Biomedical and Neuromotor Sciences, University of Bologna Viale Carlo Pepoli 5, 40123 Bologna, Italy Tel.: +39 051 6584233 Fax: +39 051 521030 E-mail: alessandro.serretti@unibo.it

#### Abstract

Second generation antipsychotics (SGAs) are effective options in the treatment of schizophrenia and mood disorders, each with characteristic efficacy and safety features. In order to optimize the balance between efficacy and side effects, it is of upmost importance to match compound specificity against patient clinical profile. As the number of SGAs increased, this review can assist physicians in the prescription of three novel SGAs already on the market, namely lurasidone, brexpiprazole, cariprazine, and lumateperone, which is in the approval phase for schizophrenia treatment at the FDA.

Besides schizophrenia, EMA and/or FDA approved lurasidone for bipolar depression, brexpiprazole as augmentation in major depressive disorder and cariprazine for the acute treatment of manic or mixed episodes associated with bipolar I disorder. These new antipsychotics were developed with the aim of improving efficacy on negative and depressive symptoms and reducing metabolic and cardiovascular side effects compared to prior SGAs, while keeping the risk of extrapyramidal symptoms low. They succeeded quite well in containing these side effects, despite weight gain during acute treatment remains a possible concern for brexpiprazole, while cariprazine and lurasidone show higher risk of akathisia compared to placebo and other SGAs such as olanzapine. The available studies support the expected benefits on negative symptoms, cognitive dysfunction and depressive symptoms, while the overall effect on acute psychotic symptoms may be similar to other SGAs such as quetiapine, aripiprazole and ziprasidone.

The discussed new antipsychotics represent useful therapeutic options but their efficacy and side effect profiles should be considered to personalize prescription.

Keywords: Antipsychotics; Brexpiprazole; Cariprazine; Lumateperone; Lurasidone; Personalized Medicine

#### 1. Introduction

Second-generation antipsychotics (SGAs) have become the mainstream pharmacological treatment for schizophrenia and they are often used as primary therapy or augmentation in affective disorders as well (Taylor et al., 2018). SGAs are not a homogeneous pharmaceutical class: each has indeed characteristic side effect profiles and specific efficacy domains. Therefore, when prescribing SGAs, best clinical practice requires to match compound specificity against the features of different subsets of patients, i.e. disease characteristics and vulnerability to side effects (Lally and MacCabe, 2015; Leucht et al., 2009). As new oral SGAs have become available over the past decade, a clinically oriented appreciation of the profile of such agents is called for and can assist clinicians in prescription. Various agents differ in terms of dosing frequency, need for titration to a therapeutic dose, drug-drug interactions, domains of efficacy, commonly encountered adverse effects, domains of efficacy and last but not least market price. Recognizing specific pros and cons is therefore of upmost importance. The aim of this review is to outline pharmacological properties, efficacy, safety and tolerability of new antipsychotics as well as to suggest their specific place in psychiatric pharmacotherapy, in the framework of precision medicine. Only adverse effects with incidence  $\geq$  5% having at least twice the rate of placebo are outlined in the present paper, since their broad relevance in clinical practice. The antipsychotics of interest are those approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and European Medicines Agency (EMA) since 2010, i.e. lurasidone, brexpiprazole and cariprazine. Lumateperone, a drug with novel mechanism of action, will be also discussed since its Phase III clinical development has been completed and the FDA accepted a New Drug Application for lumateperone for the treatment of schizophrenia (Intra-Cellular Therapies, 2018).

## 2. Lurasidone

Lurasidone is approved for the acute and maintenance treatment of schizophrenia (FDA, 2010; EMA, 2014) and bipolar I depression in monotherapy or in combination with lithium or valproate (FDA, 2013) (Table 1). Distinctive of lurasidone (Table 2) is a potent antagonism at the serotonin 5-HT7 receptor, which, coupled with 5-HT1A partial agonism, could potentially be associated with improved cognition and antidepressant effects (Ishibashi et al., 2010). Of notice, lurasidone antidepressant effects in preclinical behavioral animal models were absent in 5-HT7 knockout mice; such effects therefore appear to be mediated by these receptors (Cates et al., 2013). Lurasidone shows high affinity for the 5-HT2A and dopamine D2 receptors - the latter is associated with extrapyramidal symptoms (EPS) - and low affinity for the M1, H1, 5-HT2C and alpha1 receptors, suggesting a low liability to cause peripheral and central anticholinergic side effects, somnolence, weight gain and hypotension.

Dosing instructions recommend to take lurasidone once daily in the evening, to reduce the incidence of EPS and sedation. Furthermore, a meal with the caloric value of at least 350 kcal, regardless of the fat content, should accompany lurasidone administration, considering that it optimizes lurasidone exposure acting on

relevant pharmacokinetic parameters (two- to three-fold compared to fasting conditions)[FC1] (Preskorn et al., 2013).

#### 2.1 Schizophrenia

Five trials demonstrated lurasidone efficacy in acute schizophrenia (Loebel et al., 2013a; Meltzer et al., 2011; Nakamura et al., 2009; Nasrallah et al., 2013; Ogasa et al., 2013). No titration is needed to reach the minimum effective dose (40 mg/day). However, a dose-dependent efficacy gradient across the approved dose range (US: 40-160 mg/day; the equivalent in the EU: 37-148 mg/day) seemingly exists: pooling data from short-term studies, the number needed to treat (NNT) of lurasidone (versus placebo) (Table 3) for a  $\geq$  30% reduction in the positive and negative syndrome scale (PANSS) (Kay et al., 1987) total score was 4 (95% CI 3-5) at 160 mg/day as opposed to 6 (95% CI 5-10) at 40 mg/day (Citrome, 2012). In case of early non-response (< 20% PANSS total score improvement at week 2), dose escalation to 160 mg/day is a safe and efficacious strategy (Loebel et al., 2016). Dose increases are recommended with increments of 40 mg at approximately weekly intervals. A pooled analysis of short-term trials showed that lurasidone significantly improved each of the five PANSS factor scores in a dose-dependent fashion, albeit not completely linear (Loebel et al., 2015). However, in comparison to other SGAs, the scale of lurasidone overall impact appears modest: in a meta-analysis of 15 SGAs (Leucht et al., 2013), it ranked in the bottom half for PANSS total improvement in acute schizophrenia. Lurasidone was suggested to have higher effect size on symptom improvement when positive symptoms are prominent compared to cases without prominent positive symptoms (Potkin et al., 2016). A recent metaanalysis comparing lurasidone and brexpiprazole found that the two had similar efficacy (Ng-Mak et al., 2018). Lurasidone tackled severe cases of agitation (NNT of 8 (95% CI 5-17) for  $a \ge 40\%$  reduction in the PANSSexcited component (PANSS-EC)), while improvement was not significantly greater than placebo in patients with lower levels of agitation at baseline. The magnitude of improvement in agitation increased at higher doses of lurasidone, in the range of 120-180 mg/day (Cohen's d of 0.43 vs. 0.19 for 40-80 mg/day lurasidone) (Allen et al., 2017). Lastly, depressive symptoms of schizophrenia are another domain which lurasidone specifically addresses (NNTs ranged from 11 to 14 for response and remission according to the Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS)) (Nasrallah et al., 2015).

Five studies (Citrome et al., 2012; Citrome et al., 2014b; Loebel et al., 2013b; Stahl et al., 2013; Tandon et al., 2016) demonstrated that lurasidone is effective as prophylactic treatment in schizophrenia, reducing the risk of relapse by 33.7% compared to placebo in a 28-week period. In 6-12 month studies it was showed that lurasidone had similar efficacy in preventing recurrence compared to quetiapine XR but it may provide higher benefits in terms of symptom reduction, remission and risk of hospitalization or emergency service utilization (Loebel et al., 2013b). Moreover, lurasidone improved cognition more than quetiapine XR across all the examined cognitive domains (speed of processing, visual learning, working memory, reasoning/problem solving and social cognition) (Harvey et al., 2015). This favorable action on cognition was also observed in

comparison to ziprasidone in the non-acute phase of schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder (particularly when considering processing speed) (Potkin et al., 2011).

Lurasidone was well tolerated and a similar side effects profile was recorded over both short and longer term. In 6-weeks trials, commonly encountered adverse events from lurasidone were somnolence, akathisia, nausea, parkinsonism and insomnia (Sanford, 2013). However, number needed to harm (NNH) values (Table 3) were generally in the double digits, reflecting an overall tolerable profile: the 5 most consistently encountered adverse events attributable to lurasidone were akathisia, nausea, sedation, somnolence and parkinsonism with NNH vs. placebo for lurasidone 40–120 mg/d ranging from 6 (akathisia with 120 mg/d) to 30 (parkinsonism with 80 mg/d) (Citrome, 2012). Akathisia and EPS appear to be dose-related within the dose range of 20–120 mg/day. Lurasidone was associated with a low risk of hyperprolactinaemia, QTc interval prolongation, weight gain and metabolic disturbances. Compared to olanzapine and quetiapine (Loebel et al., 2013a; Nasrallah et al., 2013), lurasidone was associated with significantly less weight gain but higher rates of akathisia, anxiety and EPS. For these side effects, lurasidone was similar to ziprasidone (Potkin et al., 2011), a metabolically friendly antipsychotic, but somnolence rates were lower with the former. Lurasidone was associated with lower weight gain and metabolic disturbances than brexpiprazole (Ng-Mak et al., 2018).

#### 2.2 Bipolar depression

Two studies proved lurasidone efficacious in the acute treatment of depressive episodes in bipolar disorder as both monotherapy (Cohen's d value 0.51) (Loebel et al., 2014a) and adjunctive therapy to lithium or valproate (Cohen's d value 0.31) (Loebel et al., 2014b). All the considered subgroups, including patients with subsyndromal hypomania at baseline, benefitted. Another 6-week adjunctive study with lithium or valproate failed to demonstrate better improvement vs. the control group at the primary 6 week endpoint; superiority over placebo was only confined to weeks 2-5 (Suppes et al., 2016a). However, consistently with the other two trials, significant improvements on measures of anxiety and health-related quality of life were maintained throughout. Unmeasured differences between the patient populations and/or study design differences might have contributed to the discrepant findings of this study.

In a 6-months follow-up open-label study (Ketter et al., 2016), patients treated with lurasidone showed sustained improvement in depressive symptoms as well as in other secondary efficacy measures (Clinical Global Impression-Bipolar Severity of depression scale (CGI-BP-S) (Spearing et al., 1997)(Spearing et al., 1997), Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale (HAM-A) (Hamilton, 1959), Sheehan Disability Scale (SDS) (Sheehan et al., 1996), and Quality of Life Enjoyment and Satisfaction Questionnaire—Short Form (Q-LES-Q-SF) (Endicott et al., 1993)). Over 7 months, lurasidone in combination with lithium or valproate reduced the risk of recurrence of any mood episode but only in patients who were in a depressive phase at baseline (when lurasidone was initiated) (Calabrese et al., 2017).

As with schizophrenia, lurasidone, both monotherapy and adjunctive therapy, may exhibit a linear doseresponse pattern, with greater efficacy in the 80–120 mg/d dosing range (recommended dose range 20-120 mg/day), based on a population dose-response analysis (Chapel et al., 2016). This holds true for both monotherapy and adjunctive therapy. In comparison with other treatment options for bipolar depression, a meta-analysis demonstrated that lurasidone monotherapy is similar in terms of likelihood to response to the combination olanzapine and fluoxetine, superior to quetiapine, lithium or lamotrigine (Taylor et al., 2014). Another study (Citrome et al., 2014a) comparing NNT, NNH and likelihood of being helped or harmed (LHH) for clinical response and remission concluded that lurasidone yielded benefits similar to the predecessors quetiapine and olanzapine-fluoxetine combination.

The overall pattern of safety and tolerability for lurasidone in bipolar depression is consistent with that found in patients with schizophrenia.

#### 2.3 Major Depressive Disorder with Mixed Features

The mixed-features variant is a severe form of depression characterized by the presence of at least three manic/hypomanic symptoms. This specifier was incorporated in the Diagnostic Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders 5th edition (American Psychiatric Association, 2013) . It represents an intermediate phenotype between major depressive disorder (MDD) and bipolar depression for which standard antidepressants may be ineffective or even associated with complications including suicidal ideation and behavior, manic switch, agitation and impulsivity (Francesca et al., 2014; Pacchiarotti et al., 2013; Sani et al., 2014; Smith et al., 2009). Very few treatments have been tested in this condition (Verdolini et al., 2018). Lurasidone (20-60 mg/day) was proved efficacious and safe to treat this condition even if only one trial is available and for this reason it was not included among the approved indications as of today (Suppes et al., 2016b). It significantly improved both depressive and manic symptoms, indicating efficacy across the range of core mood symptoms associated with this disorder (NNT for response and remission 3 and 4, respectively). Anxiety symptoms and patientreported functional impairment significantly improved as well. These clinical effects are probably due to lurasidone high affinity for 5-HT2A, 5-HT7 and D2 receptors at the low dosages utilized. Low dosages, moreover, may have contributed to good tolerability: nausea and somnolence were the two most frequently reported adverse events (NNH of 8 for both). Post-hoc analyses showed that lurasidone is significantly useful in subgroups with mild and moderate-to-severe anxiety (Tsai et al., 2017), subjects with irritability (Swann et al., 2017) and post-menopausal women (Sramek et al., 2017).

#### 3. Brexpiprazole

Brexpiprazole is approved for the acute and maintenance treatment of schizophrenia (FDA, 2015; EMA, 2018) and adjunctive treatment of MDD (FDA, 2015) (Table 1). It acts as a partial agonist at 5-HT1A and D2 receptors at similar potencies and as an antagonist at 5-HT2A and adrenergic alpha1B/2C receptors (Table 2). Brexpiprazole has less intrinsic agonist activity at D2 receptor than aripiprazole (Maeda et al., 2014b), the first

D2 receptor partial agonist available on the market, suggesting a relatively lower tendency to cause D2 partial agonist-mediated side effects, e.g. akathisia, insomnia, restlessness and nausea (Fleischhacker, 2005). Dose titration is recommended, starting from 1 mg/day. The typical titration schedule for schizophrenia is 2

mg/day on Day 5 to Day 7, up to 4mg/day on Day 8; in MDD dosage increases are recommended at weekly intervals and the usually recommended target dose is 2 mg/day.

## 3.1 Schizophrenia

Brexpiprazole is efficacious in acute schizophrenia as showed by two studies (Correll et al., 2015; Kane et al., 2015a) (Table 4). However, lower doses of brexpiprazole (2 mg) performed inconsistently between the two trials; therefore, the higher end of the recommended dosage range (4 mg) may be necessary. Furthermore, higher brexpiprazole dosage was associated with a significant improvement in PANSS-EC score and PANSS scores for the negative, disorganized though and uncontrolled hostility/excitement factors.

Maintenance treatment with brexpiprazole was associated with a significantly longer time to exacerbation than placebo in a 52-week maintenance study (Fleischhacker et al., 2017). In the same study, brexpiprazole yielded significant benefit on measures of psychosocial, occupational and cognitive functioning (particularly attention/vigilance and visual learning). Consistently, brexpiprazole was associated with significant improvements in animal models of cognitive impairment associated with schizophrenia (Maeda et al., 2014a; Yoshimi et al., 2014; Yoshimi et al., 2015), aripiprazole, contrariwise, was not (Maeda et al., 2014a). This might hint at a specific advantage of brexpiprazole over aripiprazole in cognitive impairment (Citrome, 2014). No cognitive outcome was recorded in short-term trials and no head-to-head comparison with aripiprazole has been undertaken though.

Brexpiprazole showed a good safety and tolerability profile. The only common adverse event was weight gain. A mean gain of ~1 kg greater than placebo was observed in short term studies. The mean change in body weight decreased for both brexpiprazole and placebo in the long-term. Akathisia was not significantly associated with brexpiprazole relatively to placebo and showed a dose-dependent pattern, occurring more frequently at higher doses. Most cases were mild or moderate in severity and did not result in treatment discontinuation. Other adverse effects such as headache, insomnia, sedation, agitation, diarrhea, nausea and dyspepsia were also all comparable to placebo. Effects on glucose and lipids were generally small. Minimal effects on prolactin were observed and no clinically relevant effects on the QTc interval were evident.

#### 3.2 Major Depressive Disorder

Two studies (Thase et al., 2015a; Thase et al., 2015b) established brexpiprazole efficacy as adjunctive therapy to antidepressant treatment in MDD patients who failed at least one previous adequate antidepressant trial, with a pooled NNT of 12 (95% CI 8-26) (Citrome, 2015b) (Table 4). Brexpiprazole may be particularly useful in patients requiring a sedative pharmacological profile, such as patients with irritability (Fava et al., 2017)

and anxious distress (Hobart et al., 2018). Lastly, adjunctive brexpiprazole improved physiologic measures of sleep and daytime alertness in patients with inadequate response to antidepressant treatment and sleep disturbances (Krystal et al., 2016).

The overall safety and tolerability profile for adjunctive brexpiprazole was mainly consistent with what described in patients with schizophrenia. Akathisia was more frequently reported in MDD trials compared to schizophrenia trials and, alongside weight gain, was recorded as common adverse reaction.

#### 4. Cariprazine

Cariprazine is approved for the acute and maintenance treatment of schizophrenia (FDA, 2015; EMA, 2017) and for the acute treatment of manic or mixed episodes associated with bipolar I disorder (FDA, 2015) (Table 1). It is a dopamine D3-preferring D3/D2 receptor partial agonist. Its receptor biding profile (Table 2) is similar to aripiprazole, except for D3 tenfold greater affinity than that for D2, so high that extremely small doses are sufficient to get maximal D3 occupancy (Kiss et al., 2010). D3 receptor blockade could have pro-cognitive and antidepressant effects as well as potential effects on negative symptoms of schizophrenia (Gross et al., 2013). This property is unique of cariprazine since D3 receptor occupancy is low or negligible with other SGAs, as reported by positron emission tomography studies (Girgis et al., 2015; Graff-Guerrero et al., 2009; Mizrahi et al., 2011).

Cariprazine metabolites, desmethyl-cariprazine and didesmethyl-cariprazine, have pharmacological properties similar to the parental drug but didesmethyl-cariprazine half-life is considerably longer (1-3 weeks vs. 2–4 days, approximately). Systemic exposure to didesmethyl-cariprazine is thus several times higher than that for cariprazine. Further characterization of didesmethyl-cariprazine is important as its long terminal half-life may allow the development of a once-weekly oral formulation, which could improve medication adherence. Due to its long-life metabolite, a missed dose of cariprazine may be associated, theoretically, with lower risk from sub-optimal receptor binding as compared to a drug with a shorter half-life. On the flip-side, the longer half-life may also imply a prolonged duration of hypothetical adverse events, beyond discontinuation of treatment (Citrome, 2013).

#### 4.1 Schizophrenia

Four trials established cariprazine efficacy in acute schizophrenia (Durgam et al., 2015a; Durgam et al., 2016b; Durgam et al., 2014; Kane et al., 2015b) (Table 5). Cariprazine primary asset is its action on negative symptoms. After a post hoc analysis suggested this therapeutic effect (Debelle et al., 2015), a double blind, randomized, risperidone-controlled 6 months study was designed to specifically assess cariprazine effect on negative symptoms. This study supported the efficacy of cariprazine as compared risperidone in the treatment of predominant negative symptoms of schizophrenia (Nemeth et al., 2017). The separation between the two drugs emerged only after 14 weeks, which is significantly later than in studies in patients with acute, positive symptoms, implying a potentially different mechanism of action. Improvement in PANSS total and positive subscale scores was similar between cariprazine and risperidone. Furthermore, the superiority of cariprazine over placebo and aripiprazole in improving PANSS-factor score for negative symptoms (PANSS-FSNS) emerged in post-hoc analyses of data pooled from two randomized, double-blind, placebo- and active-controlled studies in patients with acute schizophrenia with moderate/severe negative symptoms and no predominance of positive symptoms. The benefit of cariprazine on negative symptoms was at least partially independent from improvements in positive symptoms and EPS (Earley et al., 2018b). A meta-analysis confirmed this specific domain of efficacy (Corponi et al., 2017) and it also suggested that young patients with a relatively short history of disease may benefit the most from cariprazine. However, this meta-analysis included short-term studies which are more likely to capture secondary negative symptoms, i.e. a consequence of positive symptoms, depression or antipsychotics side effects. Hostility is another symptom domain targeted by cariprazine, as found by post-hoc analyses of the three positive trials (Citrome et al., 2016). Notably, this effect was partially independent from PANSS positive symptom items and independent from sedation. On the flipside, the impact on acute positive symptoms appears modest in comparison to other SGAs such as risperidone and olanzapine (Corponi et al., 2017).

Cariprazine is also a viable option for long-term treatment as showed by a 26- to 72-week study investigating time to first relapse in schizophrenia (Durgam et al., 2016a). Furthermore, a 6-months, risperidone-controlled study ascertained that cariprazine was significantly more efficacious than risperidone in improving PANSS-FSNS over 26 weeks in patients with predominantly negative symptoms (Nemeth et al., 2017).

Overall, cariprazine was safe and well tolerated. In a pooled post-hoc analysis (Earley et al., 2017), common adverse events with cariprazine were EPS and akathisia. A dose-response relationship was observed for akathisia and overall EPS. Small increases in mean body weight (~1 to 2 kg) versus placebo were observed. On the plus side, cariprazine does not elicit changes in metabolic parameters or prolactine levels and it does not prolong the QTc interval. Another noteworthy safety consideration is the low tendency to produce sedation and somnolence. Tolerability data over longer periods of time were similar to those observed in the 6-week trials (Durgam et al., 2015a; Durgam et al., 2016a; Durgam et al., 2016b; Durgam et al., 2014; Kane et al., 2015b; Nemeth et al., 2017).

## 4.2 Manic or mixed episodes

Three trials demonstrated cariprazine efficacy in adult patients with acute manic or mixed episodes associated with bipolar I disorder, with significantly higher response and remission rates compared to placebo [FC-f2](Calabrese et al., 2015; Durgam et al., 2015b; Sachs et al., 2015). Importantly, improvement in manic symptoms was not associated with worsening depressive symptom or emerging depressive episodes (Earley et al., 2018a). In post hoc analyses (Vieta et al., 2015), statistically significant differences in favor of cariprazine over placebo were observed on every item of the Young Mania Rating Scale (YMRS) (Young et al., 1978), effect sizes being quite robust (Cohen's d range, 0.31-0.55). The impact was strongest on the

irritability item, which is an important aspect since many patients with acute mania have irritability as a predominant presenting feature (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). The safety profile in acute manic or mixed episodes matches the findings reported by studies in patients with schizophrenia. No new safety or tolerability concern emerged in a 16-week open-label study (Ketter et al., 2018).

#### 5. Lumateperone

Lumateperone is a first-in-class drug providing selective and simultaneous modulation of serotonin, dopamine and glutamate. It is in the approval phase for schizophrenia and in phase III clinical development for the treatment of bipolar depression and agitation associated with dementia, including Alzheimer's disease. Lumateperone is a high-affinity 5-HT2A receptor antagonist, having 60-fold higher affinity for these receptors compared to D2 receptors. As the dose is increased, it acts at D2 receptors as a presynaptic partial agonist and post-synaptic antagonist with functional mesolimbic and mesocortical selectivity. In addition, lumateperone acts as inhibitor at serotonin transporter and increases phosphorylation of glutamatergic N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) GluN2B receptors in a mesolimbic-specific manner (Snyder et al., 2015). These unique pharmacological features predict enhancement of sleep and reduction of agitation and aggression at lower doses, antipsychotic and antidepressant efficacy at higher doses. Three clinical trials evaluated lumateperone in acute schizophrenia (ClinicalTrials.gov identifiers: NCT01499563, NCT02282761 and NCT02469155). NCT02469155 reported negative results and an unusually high placebo response was observed in this study (-15.1 points change from baseline on PANSS total score in contrast to -7.4 points in NCT01499563 and -10.3 points in NCT02282761). In the other two studies (NCT01499563 and NCT02282761) lumateperone 60 mg/day demonstrated statistically significant superiority over placebo, while lumateperone 120 mg/day did not (NCT02282761), supposedly due to the higher frequency of somnolence/sedation. In preplanned and posthoc subgroup analyses lumateperone 60 mg was associated with significant improvement across a broad range of symptoms including general psychopathology, depressive symptoms and measures of social function. Moreover, cognition enhancement may be anticipated since lumateperone increases glutamatergic transmission, but this was still not evaluated in patients with schizophrenia. Thus, based on the pharmacodynamic profile of this drug and preliminary clinical data, depressive and negative symptoms as well as cognition may be the specific domains of lumateperone action. Specifically designed trials are needed to test this hypothesis.

Lumateperone showed good tolerability with a safety profile similar to placebo for motor disturbances, prolactin changes, weight gain, cardiovascular and metabolic side effects. Sedation/somnolence was a common adverse event. Data on longer-term safety and tolerability are not available.

Trials evaluating lumateperone in bipolar depression are currently in the recruitment phase.

#### 5. Discussion

SGAs represent valid alternatives to first generation antipsychotics with similar efficacy but different side effect profiles and different action on specific symptom domains. The first developed SGAs were characterized by reduced risk of extrapyramidal side effects, reduced sedation, and less prolactin dysfunction (Solmi et al., 2017). However, the challenge was to address other relevant adverse effects, i.e. weight gain and metabolic syndrome, and to improve negative symptoms and cognitive deficits (Pompili et al., 2017). The novel SGAs discussed in this review were developed to address these unmet needs. Appreciating their efficacy/safety features is of great importance in the clinical practice in order to identify the specific subsets of patients that may benefit the most from these medications. The present paper mainly drew from indirect comparisons as well as pre-clinical data to outline the overall place in psychiatric pharmacotherapy for each of the novel SGAs. Appropriately designed head-to-head clinical trials will be necessary to fully appreciate and accurately establish the extent to which such agents are endowed with specific domains of efficacy and drawbacks as compared to other therapeutic options.

Lurasidone was among the 15 antipsychotics for acute schizophrenia evaluated in the most comprehensive meta-analysis to date (Leucht et al., 2013). It had the lowest risk for ECG abnormalities and was one of only three agents (along with haloperidol and ziprasidone) with placebo-like effects on weight. While PANSS total improvement may not be outstanding in comparison to other SGAs, potential for prominent positive symptoms (Potkin et al., 2016), cognitive enhancement (Potkin et al., 2011) and depressive symptoms improvement (Nasrallah et al., 2015) make lurasidone appropriate for patients where such aspects are of clinical relevance. As suggested by NNH, the tolerability profile is good, with somnolence, akathisia, nausea, parkinsonism and insomnia (Sanford, 2013) being the most frequent adverse events. The starting dose falls at the bottom of the recommended therapeutic range, titration might nonetheless be needed since a dose-dependent efficacy gradient was observed (Kay et al., 1987; Loebel et al., 2016). However, dose increases are associated with higher risk of akathisia and EPS. Lurasidone is also in the pharmacologic armamentarium for mood disorders: monotherapy or combination therapy with lithium or valproate is recommended as a first-line treatment for acute bipolar depression, according to the most recently updated guidelines, issued by the Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Disorders (Yatham et al., 2018). Prior to lurasidone, the FDA-approved arsenal for bipolar depression was limited to quetiapine and olanzapine-fluoxetine combination, while at present only quetiapine is EMA-licensed for this condition. Albeit widely used in the clinical practice (Baldessarini et al., 2007), not only do antidepressants show flimsy evidence of efficacy in bipolar depression but they may also be detrimental in terms of switch to mania/hypomania, rapid cycling, suicidal behavior (Pacchiarotti et al., 2013). Antidepressants shortcomings in bipolar depression likely reflect physiopathological underpinnings different from the ones of unipolar depression. Of interest, lurasidone is a good fit for one current theory which postulates that, unlike unipolar depression, norepinephrine reuptake and 5-HT1A agonism are heavily implicated as core deficits in bipolar depression (Fountoulakis et al., 2015). Lastly, preliminary evidence provided by one trial demonstrated that lurasidone is efficacious and safe in MDD with mixed features (Suppes et al., 2016b).

Brexpiprazole and cariprazine, along with aripiprazole, belong to the D2 receptor partial agonists. These agents allow to obtain clinical efficacy while minimizing dopamine-related adverse events, through the maintenance of a partial activation threshold that mimics the natural tonic dopamine signal in the brain (Lieberman, 2004). Although all three agents are dosed once daily, only for aripiprazole the recommended starting dose is the same as the recommended maintenance dose in both schizophrenia and mania. For cariprazine, dose titration may not be needed in schizophrenia, while titration is needed when treating mania. Regarding brexpiprazole, titration is recommended for both schizophrenia and MDD. Availability as injectable formulation and as generic product currently are strong points in favor of aripiprazole. While accurately designed head-to-head trials are needed to conclusively establish efficacy/safety differences, some indications can be given. All three agents are safe and tolerable: all the NNH values for weight gain, somnolence and akathisia were >10, with the exception of mood disorders, where in general, akathisia was more frequently observed. Despite dopamine partial agonists share similar adverse events, the propensity for these varies: for the indication of schizophrenia, the rank order for propensity for weight gain appears to be brexpiprazole > aripiprazole > cariprazine, for somnolence aripiprazole > brexpiprazole > cariprazine, and for akathisia cariprazine > aripiprazole > brexpiprazole (Citrome, 2015a). In terms of efficacy on specific symptom domains, brexpiprazole may target cognitive impairment in schizophrenia more favorably than aripiprazole, as suggested by animal models (Maeda et al., 2014a; Yoshimi et al., 2014; Yoshimi et al., 2015), but clinical trials using cognition as primary outcome are necessary to support that claim (Miskowiak et al., 2017). A meta-analysis (Romeo et al., 2018) reported that brexpiprazole has comparable efficacy to aripiprazole as augmentation in MDD, but a more anxiolytic and sedative profile (Fava et al., 2017; Hobart et al., 2018; Krystal et al., 2016). Cariprazine most important area of action in schizophrenia is negative symptomatology: a medium term trial specifically designed to assess primary negative symptoms (Nemeth et al., 2017) demonstrated superiority over risperidone (Nemeth et al., 2017). This feature differentiates cariprazine from aripiprazole, which did not demonstrate efficacy against negative symptoms (Earley et al., 2018b). This suggests that cariprazine unique D3-greaterthan-D2 affinity underlies its impact on negative symptoms, while a profile unbalanced towards D2 antagonism primarily benefits schizophrenia positive symptoms (Gross et al., 2013). In a meta-analysis (Romeo et al., 2018) cariprazine had similar effect size to high-dose aripiprazole in treating mania and may specifically address irritability.

Lumateperone is a first-in-class drug that selectively and simultaneously modulates serotonin, dopamine and glutamate. In December 2018 a New Drug Application for the treatment of schizophrenia was accepted by the FDA. Preliminary data suggest that depressive and negative symptoms improvement as well as cognition enhancement may be the hallmark of lumateperone action. Most commonly encountered adverse event was sedation/somnolence, while liability to EPS, prolactin changes, weight gain, cardiovascular and metabolic abnormalities was similar to placebo. The preliminary results with lumateperone lend support to 5-HT2A antagonism, lumateperone's most prominent feature, as addressing positive, negative and affective symptoms of schizophrenia (Davis and Correll, 2016; Eggers, 2013).

In conclusion, there is no one-fits-all antipsychotic. Specific strengths and weaknesses distinguish each compound. Thus, in order to capitalize on the various agents optimizing the trade-off between efficacy and side effects burden, clinicians should be familiar with the specificity profile of novel antipsychotics and prescribe them accordingly to each patient's clinical profile. The new antipsychotics discussed in this review have overall a good tolerability in terms of cardiometabolic side effects and risk of hyperprolactinaemia, while akathisia risk remains still significant with lurasidone and cariprazine and sedation/somnolence with lumateperone. The main domains of improved efficacy of lurasidone compared to other SGAs in schizophrenia treatment are represented by depressive symptoms (Nasrallah et al., 2015) and cognitive dysfunction (Potkin et al., 2011), whereas cariprazine specific advantage, over aripiprazole as well (Earley et al., 2018b), is against negative symptoms (Nemeth et al., 2017). On the other hand, lurasidone (Leucht et al., 2013) and cariprazine (Corponi et al., 2017) may be less effective on overall psychotic symptomatology in acute schizophrenia compared to other SGAs, such as risperidone and olanzapine. Animal models hint that brexpiprazole may address cognitive impairment in schizophrenia to a greater extent than aripiprazole (Maeda et al., 2014a; Yoshimi et al., 2014; Yoshimi et al., 2015). Finally, it useful to mention that personalized antipsychotic prescription would benefit from the use of a different nomenclature based on pharmacological domains and modes of action and not on drug main indication. This was recently provided by the Neuroscience-based Nomenclature (NbN) which helps in moving forward compared to the Anatomical-Therapeutic-Chemical (ATC) classification and the arbitrary first vs. second generation antipsychotic distinction. Implementing the NbN would provide clinicians immediate information that can guide treatment choice based on the symptoms domains targeted by specific pharmacological domains and modes of action (Zohar et al., 2015).

## References

Abnormal Involuntary Movement Scale (AIMS). In: Guy W, editor. ECDEU Assessment Manual for Psychopharmacology. Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare; 1976:534–537.

Actavis Pharma Inc. VraylarTM (cariprazine) capsules: US pre- scribing information. 2017. http://www.allergan.com/. Accessed 29 Sept 2018.

Allen, M.H., Citrome, L., Pikalov, A., Hsu, J., Loebel, A., 2017. Efficacy of lurasidone in the treatment of agitation: A post hoc analysis of five short-term studies in acutely ill patients with schizophrenia. Gen Hosp Psychiatry 47, 75-82.

American Psychiatric Association, 2013. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th ed, Washington, DC.

Baldessarini, R.J., Leahy, L., Arcona, S., Gause, D., Zhang, W., Hennen, J., 2007. Patterns of psychotropic drug prescription for U.S. patients with diagnoses of bipolar disorders. Psychiatr Serv 58, 85-91.

Calabrese, J.R., Keck, P.E., Jr., Starace, A., Lu, K., Ruth, A., Laszlovszky, I., Nemeth, G., Durgam, S., 2015. Efficacy and safety of low- and high-dose cariprazine in acute and mixed mania associated with bipolar I disorder: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study. J Clin Psychiatry 76, 284-292.

Calabrese, J.R., Pikalov, A., Streicher, C., Cucchiaro, J., Mao, Y., Loebel, A., 2017. Lurasidone in combination with lithium or valproate for the maintenance treatment of bipolar I disorder. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol 27, 865-876.

Cates, L.N., Roberts, A.J., Huitron-Resendiz, S., Hedlund, P.B., 2013. Effects of lurasidone in behavioral models of depression. Role of the 5-HT(7) receptor subtype. Neuropharmacology 70, 211-217.

Chapel, S., Chiu, Y.Y., Hsu, J., Cucchiaro, J., Loebel, A., 2016. Lurasidone Dose Response in Bipolar Depression: A Population Dose-response Analysis. Clin Ther 38, 4-15.

Citrome, L., 2012. Lurasidone for the acute treatment of adults with schizophrenia: what is the number needed to treat, number needed to harm, and likelihood to be helped or harmed? Clin Schizophr Relat Psychoses 6, 76-85.

Citrome, L., 2013. Cariprazine: chemistry, pharmacodynamics, pharmacokinetics, and metabolism, clinical efficacy, safety, and tolerability. Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol 9, 193-206.

Citrome, L., 2014. Unmet needs in the treatment of schizophrenia: new targets to help different symptom domains. J Clin Psychiatry 75 Suppl 1, 21-26.

Citrome, L., 2015a. The ABC's of dopamine receptor partial agonists - aripiprazole, brexpiprazole and cariprazine: the 15-min challenge to sort these agents out. Int J Clin Pract 69, 1211-1220. Citrome, L., 2015b. Brexpiprazole for schizophrenia and as adjunct for major depressive disorder: a systematic review of the efficacy and safety profile for this newly approved antipsychotic - what is the number needed to treat, number needed to harm and likelihood to be helped or harmed? Int J Clin Pract 69, 978-997.

Citrome, L., Cucchiaro, J., Sarma, K., Phillips, D., Silva, R., Tsuchiya, S., Loebel, A., 2012. Long-term safety and tolerability of lurasidone in schizophrenia: a 12-month, double-blind, active-controlled study. Int Clin Psychopharmacol 27, 165-176.

Citrome, L., Durgam, S., Lu, K., Ferguson, P., Laszlovszky, I., 2016. The effect of cariprazine on hostility associated with schizophrenia: post hoc analyses from 3 randomized controlled trials. J Clin Psychiatry 77, 109-115.

Citrome, L., Ketter, T.A., Cucchiaro, J., Loebel, A., 2014a. Clinical assessment of lurasidone benefit and risk in the treatment of bipolar I depression using number needed to treat, number needed to harm, and likelihood to be helped or harmed. J Affect Disord 155, 20-27.

Citrome, L., Weiden, P.J., McEvoy, J.P., Correll, C.U., Cucchiaro, J., Hsu, J., Loebel, A., 2014b. Effectiveness of lurasidone in schizophrenia or schizoaffective patients switched from other antipsychotics: a 6-month, open-label, extension study. CNS Spectr 19, 330-339.

Corponi, F., Serretti, A., Montgomery, S., Fabbri, C., 2017. Cariprazine specificity profile in the treatment of acute schizophrenia: a meta-analysis and meta-regression of randomized-controlled trials. Int Clin Psychopharmacol 32, 309-318.

Correll, C.U., Skuban, A., Ouyang, J., Hobart, M., Pfister, S., McQuade, R.D., Nyilas, M., Carson, W.H., Sanchez, R., Eriksson, H., 2015. Efficacy and Safety of Brexpiprazole for the Treatment of Acute Schizophrenia: A 6-Week Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial. Am J Psychiatry 172, 870-880.

Davis, R.E., Correll, C.U., 2016. ITI-007 in the treatment of schizophrenia: from novel pharmacology to clinical outcomes. Expert Rev Neurother 16, 601-614.

Debelle, M., Faradzs-zade, S., Szatmári, B., Nagy, K., Nemeth, G., Durgam, S., Laszlovszky, I., 2015. Cariprazine in negative symptoms of schizophrenia: post-hoc analyses of a fixed-dose phase III, randomized, double-blind, placebo-and active-controlled trial. European Psychiatry 30, 242. Durgam, S., Cutler, A.J., Lu, K., Migliore, R., Ruth, A., Laszlovszky, I., Nemeth, G., Meltzer, H.Y., 2015a. Cariprazine in acute exacerbation of schizophrenia: a fixed-dose, phase 3, randomized, double-blind, placebo- and active-controlled trial. J Clin Psychiatry 76, e1574-1582. Durgam, S., Earley, W., Li, R., Li, D., Lu, K., Laszlovszky, I., Fleischhacker, W.W., Nasrallah, H.A., 2016a. Long-term cariprazine treatment for the prevention of relapse in patients with schizophrenia: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Schizophr Res 176, 264-271. Durgam, S., Litman, R.E., Papadakis, K., Li, D., Nemeth, G., Laszlovszky, I., 2016b. Cariprazine in the treatment of schizophrenia: a proof-of-concept trial. Int Clin Psychopharmacol 31, 61-68. Durgam, S., Starace, A., Li, D., Migliore, R., Ruth, A., Nemeth, G., Laszlovszky, I., 2014. An evaluation of the safety and efficacy of cariprazine in patients with acute exacerbation of schizophrenia: a phase II, randomized clinical trial. Schizophr Res 152, 450-457. Durgam, S. Starace, A., Li, D., Migliore, R., Buth, A., Nemeth, G., Laszlovszky, L. 2015b. The efficacy

Durgam, S., Starace, A., Li, D., Migliore, R., Ruth, A., Nemeth, G., Laszlovszky, I., 2015b. The efficacy and tolerability of cariprazine in acute mania associated with bipolar I disorder: a phase II trial. Bipolar Disord 17, 63-75.

Earley, W., Durgam, S., Lu, K., Laszlovszky, I., Debelle, M., Kane, J.M., 2017. Safety and tolerability of cariprazine in patients with acute exacerbation of schizophrenia: a pooled analysis of four phase II/III randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled studies. Int Clin Psychopharmacol 32, 319-328. Earley, W., Durgam, S., Lu, K., Ruth, A., Nemeth, G., Laszlovszky, I., Yatham, L.N., 2018a. Clinically relevant response and remission outcomes in cariprazine-treated patients with bipolar I disorder. J Affect Disord 226, 239-244.

Earley, W., Guo, H., Daniel, D., Nasrallah, H., Durgam, S., Zhong, Y., Patel, M., Barabassy, A., Szatmari, B., Nemeth, G., 2018b. Efficacy of cariprazine on negative symptoms in patients with acute schizophrenia: A post hoc analysis of pooled data. Schizophr Res.

Eggers, A.E., 2013. A serotonin hypothesis of schizophrenia. Med Hypotheses 80, 791-794. Endicott, J., Nee, J., Harrison, W., Blumenthal, R., 1993. Quality of Life Enjoyment and Satisfaction Questionnaire: a new measure. Psychopharmacol Bull 29, 321-326.

Fava, M., Weiller, E., Zhang, P., Weiss, C., 2017. Efficacy of Brexpiprazole as Adjunctive Treatment in Major Depressive Disorder With Irritability: Post Hoc Analysis of 2 Pivotal Clinical Studies. J Clin Psychopharmacol 37, 276-278.

Fleischhacker, W.W., 2005. Aripiprazole. Expert Opin Pharmacother 6, 2091-2101.

Fleischhacker, W.W., Hobart, M., Ouyang, J., Forbes, A., Pfister, S., McQuade, R.D., Carson, W.H., Sanchez, R., Nyilas, M., Weiller, E., 2017. Efficacy and Safety of Brexpiprazole (OPC-34712) as Maintenance Treatment in Adults with Schizophrenia: a Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Study. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol 20, 11-21.

Fountoulakis, K.N., Gazouli, M., Kelsoe, J., Akiskal, H., 2015. The pharmacodynamic properties of lurasidone and their role in its antidepressant efficacy in bipolar disorder. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol 25, 335-342.

Francesca, M.M., Efisia, L.M., Alessandra, G.M., Marianna, A., Giovanni, C.M., 2014. Misdiagnosed hypomanic symptoms in patients with treatment-resistant major depressive disorder in Italy: results from the improve study. Clin Pract Epidemiol Ment Health 10, 42-47.

Girgis, R.R., Xu, X., Gil, R.B., Hackett, E., Ojeil, N., Lieberman, J.A., Slifstein, M., Abi-Dargham, A., 2015. Antipsychotic binding to the dopamine-3 receptor in humans: A PET study with [(11)C]-(+)-PHNO. Schizophr Res 168, 373-376.

Graff-Guerrero, A., Mamo, D., Shammi, C.M., Mizrahi, R., Marcon, H., Barsoum, P., Rusjan, P., Houle, S., Wilson, A.A., Kapur, S., 2009. The effect of antipsychotics on the high-affinity state of D2 and D3 receptors: a positron emission tomography study With [11C]-(+)-PHNO. Arch Gen Psychiatry 66, 606-615.

Gross, G., Wicke, K., Drescher, K.U., 2013. Dopamine D(3) receptor antagonism--still a therapeutic option for the treatment of schizophrenia. Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol 386, 155-166. Hamilton, M., 1959. The assessment of anxiety states by rating. Br J Med Psychol 32, 50-55.

Harvey, P.D., Siu, C.O., Ogasa, M., Loebel, A., 2015. Effect of lurasidone dose on cognition in patients with schizophrenia: post-hoc analysis of a long-term, double-blind continuation study. Schizophr Res 166, 334-338.

Hobart, M., Skuban, A., Zhang, P., Augustine, C., Brewer, C., Hefting, N., Sanchez, R., McQuade, R.D., 2018. A Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Study of the Efficacy and Safety of Fixed-Dose Brexpiprazole 2 mg/d as Adjunctive Treatment of Adults With Major Depressive Disorder. J Clin Psychiatry 79.

Intra-Cellular Therapies, 2018. Intra-Cellular Therapies Announces FDA Acceptance of New Drug Application for Lumateperone for the Treatment of Schizophrenia. Available at:

https://globenewswire.com/news-release/2018/12/11/1664993/0/en/Intra-Cellular-Therapies-Announces-FDA-Acceptance-of-New-Drug-Application-for-Lumateperone-for-the-Treatment-of-Schizophrenia.html Accessed 28 Dec 2018.

Ishibashi, T., Horisawa, T., Tokuda, K., Ishiyama, T., Ogasa, M., Tagashira, R., Matsumoto, K., Nishikawa, H., Ueda, Y., Toma, S., Oki, H., Tanno, N., Saji, I., Ito, A., Ohno, Y., Nakamura, M., 2010. Pharmacological profile of lurasidone, a novel antipsychotic agent with potent 5-

hydroxytryptamine 7 (5-HT7) and 5-HT1A receptor activity. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 334, 171-181. Kane, J.M., Skuban, A., Ouyang, J., Hobart, M., Pfister, S., McQuade, R.D., Nyilas, M., Carson, W.H., Sanchez, R., Eriksson, H., 2015a. A multicenter, randomized, double-blind, controlled phase 3 trial of fixed-dose brexpiprazole for the treatment of adults with acute schizophrenia. Schizophr Res 164, 127-135.

Kane, J.M., Zukin, S., Wang, Y., Lu, K., Ruth, A., Nagy, K., Laszlovszky, I., Durgam, S., 2015b. Efficacy and Safety of Cariprazine in Acute Exacerbation of Schizophrenia: Results From an International, Phase III Clinical Trial. J Clin Psychopharmacol 35, 367-373.

Kay, S.R., Fiszbein, A., Opler, L.A., 1987. The positive and negative syndrome scale (PANSS) for schizophrenia. Schizophr Bull 13, 261-276.

Ketter, T.A., Sachs, G.S., Durgam, S., Lu, K., Starace, A., Laszlovszky, I., Nemeth, G., 2018. The safety and tolerability of cariprazine in patients with manic or mixed episodes associated with bipolar I disorder: A 16-week open-label study. J Affect Disord 225, 350-356.

Ketter, T.A., Sarma, K., Silva, R., Kroger, H., Cucchiaro, J., Loebel, A., 2016. Lurasidone in the Long-Term Treatment of Patients with Bipolar Disorder: A 24-Week Open-Label Extension Study. Depress Anxiety 33, 424-434.

Kiss, B., Horvath, A., Nemethy, Z., Schmidt, E., Laszlovszky, I., Bugovics, G., Fazekas, K., Hornok, K., Orosz, S., Gyertyan, I., Agai-Csongor, E., Domany, G., Tihanyi, K., Adham, N., Szombathelyi, Z., 2010. Cariprazine (RGH-188), a dopamine D(3) receptor-preferring, D(3)/D(2) dopamine receptor antagonist-partial agonist antipsychotic candidate: in vitro and neurochemical profile. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 333, 328-340.

Krystal, A.D., Mittoux, A., Meisels, P., Baker, R.A., 2016. Effects of Adjunctive Brexpiprazole on Sleep Disturbances in Patients With Major Depressive Disorder: An Open-Label, Flexible-Dose, Exploratory Study. Prim Care Companion CNS Disord 18.

Lally, J., MacCabe, J.H., 2015. Antipsychotic medication in schizophrenia: a review. Br Med Bull 114, 169-179.

Leucht, S., Cipriani, A., Spineli, L., Mavridis, D., Orey, D., Richter, F., Samara, M., Barbui, C., Engel, R.R., Geddes, J.R., Kissling, W., Stapf, M.P., Lassig, B., Salanti, G., Davis, J.M., 2013. Comparative efficacy and tolerability of 15 antipsychotic drugs in schizophrenia: a multiple-treatments meta-analysis. Lancet 382, 951-962.

Leucht, S., Corves, C., Arbter, D., Engel, R.R., Li, C., Davis, J.M., 2009. Second-generation versus first-generation antipsychotic drugs for schizophrenia: a meta-analysis. Lancet 373, 31-41.

Lieberman, J.A., 2004. Dopamine partial agonists: a new class of antipsychotic. CNS Drugs 18, 251-267.

Loebel, A., Cucchiaro, J., Sarma, K., Xu, L., Hsu, C., Kalali, A.H., Pikalov, A., Potkin, S.G., 2013a. Efficacy and safety of lurasidone 80 mg/day and 160 mg/day in the treatment of schizophrenia: a randomized, double-blind, placebo- and active-controlled trial. Schizophr Res 145, 101-109. Loebel, A., Cucchiaro, J., Silva, R., Kroger, H., Hsu, J., Sarma, K., Sachs, G., 2014a. Lurasidone monotherapy in the treatment of bipolar I depression: a randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled study. Am J Psychiatry 171, 160-168.

Loebel, A., Cucchiaro, J., Silva, R., Kroger, H., Sarma, K., Xu, J., Calabrese, J.R., 2014b. Lurasidone as adjunctive therapy with lithium or valproate for the treatment of bipolar I depression: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Am J Psychiatry 171, 169-177.

Loebel, A., Cucchiaro, J., Silva, R., Mao, Y., Xu, J., Pikalov, A., Marder, S.R., 2015. Efficacy of lurasidone across five symptom dimensions of schizophrenia: pooled analysis of short-term, placebo-controlled studies. Eur Psychiatry 30, 26-31.

Loebel, A., Cucchiaro, J., Xu, J., Sarma, K., Pikalov, A., Kane, J.M., 2013b. Effectiveness of lurasidone vs. quetiapine XR for relapse prevention in schizophrenia: a 12-month, double-blind, noninferiority study. Schizophr Res 147, 95-102.

Loebel, A., Silva, R., Goldman, R., Watabe, K., Cucchiaro, J., Citrome, L., Kane, J.M., 2016. Lurasidone Dose Escalation in Early Nonresponding Patients With Schizophrenia: A Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Study. J Clin Psychiatry 77, 1672-1680.

Maeda, K., Lerdrup, L., Sugino, H., Akazawa, H., Amada, N., McQuade, R.D., Stensbol, T.B., Bundgaard, C., Arnt, J., Kikuchi, T., 2014a. Brexpiprazole II: antipsychotic-like and procognitive effects of a novel serotonin-dopamine activity modulator. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 350, 605-614. Maeda, K., Sugino, H., Akazawa, H., Amada, N., Shimada, J., Futamura, T., Yamashita, H., Ito, N., McQuade, R.D., Mork, A., Pehrson, A.L., Hentzer, M., Nielsen, V., Bundgaard, C., Arnt, J., Stensbol, T.B., Kikuchi, T., 2014b. Brexpiprazole I: in vitro and in vivo characterization of a novel serotonindopamine activity modulator. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 350, 589-604.

Meltzer, H.Y., Cucchiaro, J., Silva, R., Ogasa, M., Phillips, D., Xu, J., Kalali, A.H., Schweizer, E., Pikalov, A., Loebel, A., 2011. Lurasidone in the treatment of schizophrenia: a randomized, doubleblind, placebo- and olanzapine-controlled study. Am J Psychiatry 168, 957-967.

Miskowiak, K.W., Burdick, K.E., Martinez-Aran, A., Bonnin, C.M., Bowie, C.R., Carvalho, A.F., Gallagher, P., Lafer, B., Lopez-Jaramillo, C., Sumiyoshi, T., McIntyre, R.S., Schaffer, A., Porter, R.J., Torres, I.J., Yatham, L.N., Young, A.H., Kessing, L.V., Vieta, E., 2017. Methodological recommendations for cognition trials in bipolar disorder by the International Society for Bipolar Disorders Targeting Cognition Task Force. Bipolar Disord 19, 614-626.

Mizrahi, R., Agid, O., Borlido, C., Suridjan, I., Rusjan, P., Houle, S., Remington, G., Wilson, A.A., Kapur, S., 2011. Effects of antipsychotics on D3 receptors: a clinical PET study in first episode antipsychotic naive patients with schizophrenia using [11C]-(+)-PHNO. Schizophr Res 131, 63-68. Nakamura, M., Ogasa, M., Guarino, J., Phillips, D., Severs, J., Cucchiaro, J., Loebel, A., 2009. Lurasidone in the treatment of acute schizophrenia: a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. J Clin Psychiatry 70, 829-836.

Nasrallah, H.A., Cucchiaro, J.B., Mao, Y., Pikalov, A.A., Loebel, A.D., 2015. Lurasidone for the treatment of depressive symptoms in schizophrenia: analysis of 4 pooled, 6-week, placebo-controlled studies. CNS Spectr 20, 140-147.

Nasrallah, H.A., Silva, R., Phillips, D., Cucchiaro, J., Hsu, J., Xu, J., Loebel, A., 2013. Lurasidone for the treatment of acutely psychotic patients with schizophrenia: a 6-week, randomized, placebocontrolled study. J Psychiatr Res 47, 670-677. Nemeth, G., Laszlovszky, I., Czobor, P., Szalai, E., Szatmari, B., Harsanyi, J., Barabassy, A., Debelle, M., Durgam, S., Bitter, I., Marder, S., Fleischhacker, W.W., 2017. Cariprazine versus risperidone monotherapy for treatment of predominant negative symptoms in patients with schizophrenia: a randomised, double-blind, controlled trial. Lancet 389, 1103-1113.

Ng-Mak, D., Tongbram, V., Ndirangu, K., Rajagopalan, K., Loebel, A., 2018. Efficacy and metabolic effects of lurasidone versus brexpiprazole in schizophrenia: a network meta-analysis. J Comp Eff Res.

Ogasa, M., Kimura, T., Nakamura, M., Guarino, J., 2013. Lurasidone in the treatment of schizophrenia: a 6-week, placebo-controlled study. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 225, 519-530. Pacchiarotti, I., Bond, D.J., Baldessarini, R.J., Nolen, W.A., Grunze, H., Licht, R.W., Post, R.M., Berk, M., Goodwin, G.M., Sachs, G.S., Tondo, L., Findling, R.L., Youngstrom, E.A., Tohen, M., Undurraga, J., Gonzalez-Pinto, A., Goldberg, J.F., Yildiz, A., Altshuler, L.L., Calabrese, J.R., Mitchell, P.B., Thase, M.E., Koukopoulos, A., Colom, F., Frye, M.A., Malhi, G.S., Fountoulakis, K.N., Vazquez, G., Perlis, R.H., Ketter, T.A., Cassidy, F., Akiskal, H., Azorin, J.M., Valenti, M., Mazzei, D.H., Lafer, B., Kato, T., Mazzarini, L., Martinez-Aran, A., Parker, G., Souery, D., Ozerdem, A., McElroy, S.L., Girardi, P., Bauer, M., Yatham, L.N., Zarate, C.A., Nierenberg, A.A., Birmaher, B., Kanba, S., El-Mallakh, R.S., Serretti, A., Rihmer, Z., Young, A.H., Kotzalidis, G.D., MacQueen, G.M., Bowden, C.L., Ghaemi, S.N., Lopez-Jaramillo, C., Rybakowski, J., Ha, K., Perugi, G., Kasper, S., Amsterdam, J.D., Hirschfeld, R.M., Kapczinski, F., Vieta, E., 2013. The International Society for Bipolar Disorders (ISBD) task force report on antidepressant use in bipolar disorders. Am J Psychiatry 170, 1249-1262.

Pompili, M., Giordano, G., Luciano, M., Lamis, D.A., Del Vecchio, V., Serafini, G., Sampogna, G., Erbuto, D., Falkai, P., Fiorillo, A., 2017. Unmet Needs in Schizophrenia. CNS Neurol Disord Drug Targets 16, 870-884.

Potkin, S.G., Ogasa, M., Cucchiaro, J., Loebel, A., 2011. Double-blind comparison of the safety and efficacy of lurasidone and ziprasidone in clinically stable outpatients with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder. Schizophr Res 132, 101-107.

Potkin, S.G., Tocco, M., Mao, Y., Cucchiaro, J., Loebel, A., 2016. PM418. Efficacy of Lurasidone in Patients With Schizophrenia With Prominent Positive Symptoms: A Pooled Analysis of Short-Term Placebo-Controlled Studies. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol.

Preskorn, S., Ereshefsky, L., Chiu, Y.Y., Poola, N., Loebel, A., 2013. Effect of food on the pharmacokinetics of lurasidone: results of two randomized, open-label, crossover studies. Hum Psychopharmacol 28, 495-505.

Romeo, B., Blecha, L., Locatelli, K., Benyamina, A., Martelli, C., 2018. Meta-analysis and review of dopamine agonists in acute episodes of mood disorder: Efficacy and safety. J Psychopharmacol 32, 385-396.

Sachs, G.S., Greenberg, W.M., Starace, A., Lu, K., Ruth, A., Laszlovszky, I., Nemeth, G., Durgam, S., 2015. Cariprazine in the treatment of acute mania in bipolar I disorder: a double-blind, placebocontrolled, phase III trial. J Affect Disord 174, 296-302.

Sanford, M., 2013. Lurasidone : in the treatment of schizophrenia. CNS Drugs 27, 67-80. Sani, G., Napoletano, F., Vohringer, P.A., Sullivan, M., Simonetti, A., Koukopoulos, A., Danese, E., Girardi, P., Ghaemi, N., 2014. Mixed depression: clinical features and predictors of its onset associated with antidepressant use. Psychother Psychosom 83, 213-221.

Sheehan, D.V., Harnett-Sheehan, K., Raj, B.A., 1996. The measurement of disability. Int Clin Psychopharmacol 11 Suppl 3, 89-95.

Smith, D.J., Forty, L., Russell, E., Caesar, S., Walters, J., Cooper, C., Jones, I., Jones, L., Craddock, N., 2009. Sub-threshold manic symptoms in recurrent major depressive disorder are a marker for poor outcome. Acta Psychiatr Scand 119, 325-329.

Snyder, G.L., Vanover, K.E., Zhu, H., Miller, D.B., O'Callaghan, J.P., Tomesch, J., Li, P., Zhang, Q., Krishnan, V., Hendrick, J.P., Nestler, E.J., Davis, R.E., Wennogle, L.P., Mates, S., 2015. Functional profile of a novel modulator of serotonin, dopamine, and glutamate neurotransmission. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 232, 605-621.

Solmi, M., Murru, A., Pacchiarotti, I., Undurraga, J., Veronese, N., Fornaro, M., Stubbs, B., Monaco, F., Vieta, E., Seeman, M.V., Correll, C.U., Carvalho, A.F., 2017. Safety, tolerability, and risks associated with first- and second-generation antipsychotics: a state-of-the-art clinical review. Ther Clin Risk Manag 13, 757-777.

Spearing, M.K., Post, R.M., Leverich, G.S., Brandt, D., Nolen, W., 1997. Modification of the Clinical Global Impressions (CGI) Scale for use in bipolar illness (BP): the CGI-BP. Psychiatry Res 73, 159-171.

Sramek, J., Loebel, A., Murphy, M., Mao, Y., Pikalov, A., Cutler, N.R., 2017. Lurasidone in postmenopausal females with major depressive disorder with mixed features: Post-hoc analysis of a placebo-controlled trial. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 78, 12-17.

Stahl, S.M., Cucchiaro, J., Simonelli, D., Hsu, J., Pikalov, A., Loebel, A., 2013. Effectiveness of lurasidone for patients with schizophrenia following 6 weeks of acute treatment with lurasidone, olanzapine, or placebo: a 6-month, open-label, extension study. J Clin Psychiatry 74, 507-515. Suppes, T., Kroger, H., Pikalov, A., Loebel, A., 2016a. Lurasidone adjunctive with lithium or valproate for bipolar depression: A placebo-controlled trial utilizing prospective and retrospective enrolment cohorts. J Psychiatr Res 78, 86-93.

Suppes, T., Silva, R., Cucchiaro, J., Mao, Y., Targum, S., Streicher, C., Pikalov, A., Loebel, A., 2016b. Lurasidone for the Treatment of Major Depressive Disorder With Mixed Features: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Study. Am J Psychiatry 173, 400-407.

Swann, A.C., Fava, M., Tsai, J., Mao, Y., Pikalov, A., Loebel, A., 2017. Lurasidone for major depressive disorder with mixed features and irritability: a post-hoc analysis. CNS Spectr 22, 228-235.

Tandon, R., Cucchiaro, J., Phillips, D., Hernandez, D., Mao, Y., Pikalov, A., Loebel, A., 2016. A double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized withdrawal study of lurasidone for the maintenance of efficacy in patients with schizophrenia. J Psychopharmacol 30, 69-77.

Taylor, D., Barnes, T.E., Young, A., 2018. The Maudsley prescribing guidelines in psychiatry. Taylor, D.M., Cornelius, V., Smith, L., Young, A.H., 2014. Comparative efficacy and acceptability of drug treatments for bipolar depression: a multiple-treatments meta-analysis. Acta Psychiatr Scand 130, 452-469.

Thase, M.E., Youakim, J.M., Skuban, A., Hobart, M., Augustine, C., Zhang, P., McQuade, R.D., Carson, W.H., Nyilas, M., Sanchez, R., Eriksson, H., 2015a. Efficacy and safety of adjunctive brexpiprazole 2 mg in major depressive disorder: a phase 3, randomized, placebo-controlled study in patients with inadequate response to antidepressants. J Clin Psychiatry 76, 1224-1231.

Thase, M.E., Youakim, J.M., Skuban, A., Hobart, M., Zhang, P., McQuade, R.D., Nyilas, M., Carson, W.H., Sanchez, R., Eriksson, H., 2015b. Adjunctive brexpiprazole 1 and 3 mg for patients with major depressive disorder following inadequate response to antidepressants: a phase 3, randomized, double-blind study. J Clin Psychiatry 76, 1232-1240.

Tsai, J., Thase, M.E., Mao, Y., Ng-Mak, D., Pikalov, A., Loebel, A., 2017. Lurasidone for major depressive disorder with mixed features and anxiety: a post-hoc analysis of a randomized, placebo-controlled study. CNS Spectr 22, 236-245.

Verdolini, N., Hidalgo-Mazzei, D., Murru, A., Pacchiarotti, I., Samalin, L., Young, A.H., Vieta, E., Carvalho, A.F., 2018. Mixed states in bipolar and major depressive disorders: systematic review and quality appraisal of guidelines. Acta Psychiatr Scand 138, 196-222. Vieta, E., Durgam, S., Lu, K., Ruth, A., Debelle, M., Zukin, S., 2015. Effect of cariprazine across the symptoms of mania in bipolar I disorder: Analyses of pooled data from phase II/III trials. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol 25, 1882-1891.

Yatham, L.N., Kennedy, S.H., Parikh, S.V., Schaffer, A., Bond, D.J., Frey, B.N., Sharma, V., Goldstein, B.I., Rej, S., Beaulieu, S., Alda, M., MacQueen, G., Milev, R.V., Ravindran, A., O'Donovan, C., McIntosh, D., Lam, R.W., Vazquez, G., Kapczinski, F., McIntyre, R.S., Kozicky, J., Kanba, S., Lafer, B., Suppes, T., Calabrese, J.R., Vieta, E., Malhi, G., Post, R.M., Berk, M., 2018. Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments (CANMAT) and International Society for Bipolar Disorders (ISBD) 2018 guidelines for the management of patients with bipolar disorder. Bipolar Disord 20, 97-170.
Yoshimi, N., Fujita, Y., Ohgi, Y., Futamura, T., Kikuchi, T., Hashimoto, K., 2014. Effects of brexpiprazole, a novel serotonin-dopamine activity modulator, on phencyclidine-induced cognitive deficits in mice: a role for serotonin 5-HT1A receptors. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 124, 245-249.
Yoshimi, N., Futamura, T., Hashimoto, K., 2015. Improvement of dizocilpine-induced social recognition deficits in mice by brexpiprazole, a novel serotonin-dopamine activity modulator. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol 25, 356-364.

Young, R.C., Biggs, J.T., Ziegler, V.E., Meyer, D.A., 1978. A rating scale for mania: reliability, validity and sensitivity. Br J Psychiatry 133, 429-435.

Zohar, J., Stahl, S., Moller, H.J., Blier, P., Kupfer, D., Yamawaki, S., Uchida, H., Spedding, M., Goodwin, G.M., Nutt, D., 2015. A review of the current nomenclature for psychotropic agents and an introduction to the Neuroscience-based Nomenclature. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol 25, 2318-2325.

**Table 1.** Lurasidone, brexpiprazole and cariprazine clinic profile. EMA: European Medicines Agency; FDA:Food and Drug Administration; \* incidence  $\geq$  5% and at least twice the rate of placebo.

|            | Approved<br>indications   | Starting dose                            | Recommended dose                                 | Specific efficacy<br>domains  | Common side<br>effects*   |
|------------|---|--|--|---|---|
| lone       | Acute and<br>maintenance<br>treatment of<br>schizophrenia (FDA,<br>EMA)   | 40 mg/day<br>(FDA)<br>37 mg/day<br>(EMA) | 40-160 mg/day<br>(FDA)<br>37-148 mg/day<br>(EMA) | <ul> <li>Cognition (speed<br/>of processing,<br/>visual learning,<br/>working memory,<br/>reasoning/problem<br/>solving and social<br/>cognition)</li> <li>Depressive<br/>symptoms</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Somnolence</li> <li>Akathisia</li> <li>Nausea</li> <li>Parkinsonism</li> <li>Insomnia</li> </ul> |
| Lurasidone | Depressive Episodes<br>associated with<br>Bipolar I Disorder<br>(Bipolar<br>Depression), as<br>monotherapy and as<br>adjunctive therapy<br>with lithium or<br>valproate (FDA) | 20 mg/day<br>(FDA)                       | 20-120 mg/day<br>(FDA)                           | • Efficacious also if<br>sub-syndromal<br>hypomania at<br>baseline  |   |
| Brex       | Acute and<br>maintenance<br>treatment of  | 1 mg/day<br>(FDA, EMA)                   | 2-4 mg/day<br>(FDA, EMA)                         | • Cognition<br>(attention/vigilance,<br>visual learning)  | • Weight gain   |

|             | schizophrenia (FDA,<br>EMA)<br>Adjunctive therapy to<br>antidepressant for the<br>treatment of Major<br>Depressive Disorder<br>(FDA) | 0.5 mg/day or 1<br>mg/day (FDA) | 2 mg/day (FDA)             | • Anxiety<br>• Irritability                                  |  |
|-------------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| zine        | Acute and<br>maintenance<br>treatment of<br>schizophrenia (FDA,<br>EMA)  | 1.5 mg/day<br>(FDA, EMA)        | 1.5-6 mg/day<br>(FDA, EMA) | <ul> <li>Negative<br/>symptoms</li> <li>Hostility</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Extrapyramidal symptoms</li> <li>Akathisia</li> </ul> |
| Cariprazine | Acute treatment of<br>manic or mixed<br>episodes associated<br>with bipolar I<br>disorder in adults<br>(FDA)                         | 1.5 mg/day<br>(FDA)             | 3-6 mg/day<br>(FDA)        | • Irritability   |  |

**Table 2**. Lurasidone (a), brexpiprazole (b), cariprazine (c) pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profile. AUC: area under the curve;  $C_{max}$ : maximum concentration; CYP, cytochrome P450; K<sub>i</sub>: inhibitor constant;  $T_{max}$ : time to  $C_{max}$ 

|  | acokinetic Profile   | Pharmacodynamic Profile |             |                       |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Bioavailability                        | 9-19%  | Receptors               | $K_{i}(nM)$ | Functional activities |  |  |  |  |
| $T_{\rm max}$                          | 1-3 Hours  | 5-HT <sub>1A</sub>      | 6.4         | Partial agonism       |  |  |  |  |
| Food                                   | Administration with food<br>increases the AUC and the<br>$C_{\text{max}}$ approximately 2- and 3-<br>times approximately. At least<br>350 kcal of food, regardless of<br>the fat content, is<br>recommended. | 5-HT <sub>2A</sub>      | 0.5         | Antagonism            |  |  |  |  |
| Volume of distribution                 | 6173 L   | 5-HT <sub>7</sub>       | 0.495       | Antagonism            |  |  |  |  |
| Protein binding                        | >99%   | D <sub>2</sub>          | 1           | Antagonism            |  |  |  |  |
| Breast milk<br>concentrations          | Present in milk rat; it is not<br>known whether this drug is<br>excreted in human milk   | α <sub>2A</sub>         | 40.7        | Antagonism            |  |  |  |  |
| Metabolism                             | Hepatic by CYP3A4  | $\alpha_{2C}$           | 10.8        | Antagonism            |  |  |  |  |
| Elimination                            | Primarily by hepatic<br>metabolism   | H <sub>1</sub>          | >1000       | Antagonism            |  |  |  |  |
| Half-life                              | 18 Hours   | M <sub>1</sub>          | >1000       | Antagonism            |  |  |  |  |
| Time to steady-<br>state concentration | 7 Days   |                         |             | _                     |  |  |  |  |
| Special populations                    | A dose adjustment is<br>recommended for patients<br>with moderate to severe<br>hepatic/renal impairment  |                         |             |                       |  |  |  |  |

| (b)                 |                                      |                        |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Pharm               | acokinetic Profile                   | ]                      | Pharmacodynamic Profile |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Bioavailability     | 95%                                  | Receptors              | $K_{i}(nM)$             | Functional activities |  |  |  |  |
| $T_{\rm max}$       | 4 Hours                              | 5-HT <sub>1A</sub>     | 0.12                    | Partial agonism       |  |  |  |  |
| Food                | No effect on $C_{\text{max}}$ or AUC | 5-HT <sub>2A</sub>     | 0.47                    | Antagonism            |  |  |  |  |
| Volume of           | $1.56 \pm 0.42$ L/kg following       |                        | 1.9                     | Antagonism            |  |  |  |  |
| distribution        | intravenous administartion           | 5-HT <sub>2B</sub>     |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Protein binding     | >99%                                 | 5-HT <sub>7</sub>      | 3.7                     | Antagonism            |  |  |  |  |
| Breast milk         | Present in milk rat; it is not       | $D_{2L}$               | 0.3                     | Partial agonism       |  |  |  |  |
| concentrations      | known whether this drug is           |                        |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |
|                     | excreted in human milk               |                        |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Metabolism          | Hepatic by CYP3A4 and                | $D_3$                  | 1.1                     | Partial agonism       |  |  |  |  |
|                     | CYP2D6                               |                        |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Elimination         | Primarily by hepatic                 | $\alpha_{1\mathrm{B}}$ | 0.17                    | Antagonism            |  |  |  |  |
|                     | metabolism                           |                        |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Half-life           | 91 Hours                             | $\alpha_{2C}$          | 0.59                    | Antagonism            |  |  |  |  |
| Time to steady-     | 10-12 Days                           | $H_1$                  | 19                      | Antagonism            |  |  |  |  |
| state concentration |                                      |                        |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Special             | A dose adjustment is                 |                        |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |
| populations         | recommended for patients             |                        |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |
|                     | with moderate to severe              |                        |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |
|                     | hepatic/renal impairment             |                        |                         |                       |  |  |  |  |

(c)

| Pharm               | acokinetic Profile                   | Pharmacodynamic Profile |             |                       |  |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|--|
| Bioavailability     | 95%                                  | Receptors               | $K_{i}(nM)$ | Functional activities |  |
| T <sub>max</sub>    | 3-6 Hours                            | 5-HT <sub>1A</sub>      | 2.6         | Partial agonism       |  |
| Food                | No effect on $C_{\text{max}}$ or AUC | 5-HT <sub>2A</sub>      | 18.8        | Antagonism            |  |
| Volume of           | 916 L                                | 5-HT <sub>2B</sub>      | 0.58        | Antagonism            |  |
| distribution        |                                      |                         |             |                       |  |
| Protein binding     | 97%                                  | 5-HT <sub>7</sub>       | 3.7         | Antagonism            |  |
| Breast milk         | Present in milk rat; it is not       | D <sub>2</sub>          | 0.49        | Partial agonism       |  |
| concentrations      | known whether this drug is           |                         |             |                       |  |
|                     | excreted in human milk               |                         |             |                       |  |
| Metabolism          | Hepatic by CYP3A4 and                | D <sub>3</sub>          | 0.085       | Partial agonism       |  |
|                     | CYP2D6                               |                         |             |                       |  |
| Elimination         | Primarily by hepatic                 | $\alpha_{1A}$           | 155         | Antagonism            |  |
|                     | metabolism                           |                         |             |                       |  |
| Half-life           | 2-4 Days                             | $H_1$                   | 23.2        | Antagonism            |  |
| Time to steady-     | 10-20 Days                           |                         |             |                       |  |
| state concentration |                                      |                         |             |                       |  |
| Special             | No dose adjustment is                |                         |             |                       |  |
| populations         | recommended for patients             |                         |             |                       |  |
|                     | with mild to moderate                |                         |             |                       |  |
|                     | hepatic/renal impairment;            |                         |             |                       |  |
|                     | cariprazine is not                   |                         |             |                       |  |
|                     | recommended in patients with         |                         |             |                       |  |
|                     | severe hepatic/renal                 |                         |             |                       |  |
|                     | impairment                           |                         |             |                       |  |

**Table 3**. Lurasidone number needed to treat and number needed to harm for common adverse events vs placebo. MADRS: Montgomery Asberg Depression Rating Scale; NNH: number needed to harm; NNT: number needed to treat; ns=not significant (the 95% CI contains "infinity"); PANSS: Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; \*a negative NNH denotes an advantage for study medication regarding the potential harm.

|  | Lurasidone      |   |                    |     |          |           |     |                 |
|--|-----------------|---|--------------------|-----|----------|-----------|-----|-----------------|
|  |                 | Dose  | 40 mg/day          | 80  | ) mg/day | 120 mg/   | day | 160 mg/day      |
| Schizophrenia<br>Citrome, 2012                     | NNT<br>(95% CI) | Response (≥30% Reduction from<br>Baseline in PANSS Total Score) | 6 (5-10) 6         |     | 5 (5-10) | 7 (5-12   | 2)  | 4 (3-5)         |
|  | NNH             | Akathisia   | 12 (9–20)          | 10  | ) (8–16) | 6 (5–7    | )   | 22 (ns)         |
|  | (95% CI)        | Nausea  | 19 (11–58)         | 17  | (11–43)  | 14 (9–3   | 3)  | 82 (ns)         |
|  |                 | Sedation  | 17 (11–38)         | 20  | (12–52)  | 13 (9–2   | 6)  | -38 (ns)*       |
|  |                 | Somnolence  | 19 (12–51)         | 23  | (14–71)  | 10 (7–1   | 6)  | 36 (ns)         |
|  |                 | Insomnia  | 67 (ns)            |     | 58 (ns)  | 68 (ns    | )   | -198 (ns)*      |
|  |                 | Parkinsonism  | 19 (13–39)         | 30  | (18–98)  | 13 (9–2   | 3)  | 20 (11–198)     |
|  |                 | Weight Increase ≥7% from<br>Baseline                            | 47 (ns)            | 2   | 43 (ns)  | 70 (ns    | )   | 150 (ns)        |
|  |                 | Dose  | Adjunctive 20-     | 120 | Monother | ару 20-60 | Mor | otherapy 80-120 |
|  |                 |   | mg/day             |     | mg/      |           |     | mg/day          |
| Depressive Episodes<br>associated with             | NNT<br>(95% CI) | Response (≥50% Reduction from<br>Baseline in MADRS Total Score) | 7 (4-24) 5         |     | 5 (3     | 3-8)      |     | 5 (4-11)        |
| <b>Bipolar I Disorder</b><br>Citrome et al., 2014a |                 | Remission (Final MADRS Total<br>Score ≤12)                      | 7 (4-23)           |     | 6 (4     | -14)      |     | 7 (4-21)        |
|  | NNH             | Akathisia   | 30 (ns)            |     | 18 (10   | )–124)    |     | 12 (8–32)       |
|  | (95% CI)        | Nausea  | 16 (ns)            |     | 39       | (ns)      |     | 11 (6–39)       |
|  |                 | Somnolence (hypersomnia, sedation, somnolence)                  | 19 (ns)            |     | 130 (ns) |           |     | 14 (8–126)      |
|  |                 | Insomnia  | 64 (ns)<br>19 (ns) |     | -29 (    | -29 (ns)* |     | -58 (ns)*       |
|  |                 | Extrapyramidal symptoms   |                    |     | 40 (ns)  |           |     | 16 (9-60)       |
|  |                 | Weight Increase ≥7% from<br>Baseline                            | 42 (ns)            |     | 29 (15-  | -52318)   |     | 5550 (ns)       |

**Table 4**. Brexpiprazole number needed to treat and number needed to harm for common adverse events vs placebo. MADRS: Montgomery AsbergDepression Rating Scale; NNH: number needed to harm; NNT: number needed to treat; ns=not significant (the 95% CI contains "infinity");PANSS: Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale.

|                        | Brexpiprazole                                 |   |              |  |  |  |
|------------------------|---|---|--------------|--|--|--|
|                        |   | Dose                                      | 2-4 mg/day   |  |  |  |
| Schizophrenia          | NNT Response (≥30% Reduction from Baseline in |   | 7 (5–12)     |  |  |  |
| Citrome, 2015b         | (95% CI)                                      | PANSS Total Score)                        |              |  |  |  |
|                        | NNH   | Akathisia                                 | 112 (ns)     |  |  |  |
|                        | (95% CI)                                      | Sedation                                  | 78 (ns)      |  |  |  |
|                        |   | Weight Increase ≥7% from Baseline         | 50 (26–1773) |  |  |  |
|                        |   | Dose                                      | 2-3 mg/day   |  |  |  |
| Adjunctive therapy to  | NNT   | Response (≥50% Reduction from Baseline in | 12 (8–26)    |  |  |  |
| antidepressant for the | (95% CI)                                      | MADRS Total Score)                        |              |  |  |  |
| treatment of Major     |   | Remission (Final MADRS Total Score ≤12)   | 21 (12–138)  |  |  |  |
| Depressive Disorder    | NNH   | Akathisia                                 | 15 (11–23)   |  |  |  |
| Citrome, 2015b         | (95% CI)                                      | Somnolence (hypersomnia, sedation,        | 24 (17–42)   |  |  |  |
|                        | somnolence)                                   |   |              |  |  |  |
|                        |   | Weight Increase ≥7% from Baseline         | 22 (15–42)   |  |  |  |

**Table 5**. Cariprazine number needed to treat and number needed to harm for common adverse events vs placebo. ND, no difference or rate with medication is lower than rate with placebo; NNH: number needed to harm; NNT: number needed to treat; ns=not significant (the 95% CI contains "infinity"); PANSS: Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; YMRS: Young Mania Rating Scale

|                                 | Cariprazine  |      |              |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------|--|------|--------------|--|--|--|
|                                 |  | Dose | 1.5-6 mg/day |  |  |  |
| Schizophrenia<br>Citrome, 2015a | NNTResponse (≥30% Reduction from Baseline in<br>(95% CI)PANSS Total Score) |      | 10 (7-19)    |  |  |  |

|                            |                                   | Dose                                      | 1.5-3 mg/day | 4.5-6 mg/day |  |  |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--------------|--------------|--|--|
| NNH                        |                                   | Akathisia                                 | 20 (13-48)   | 12 (9–18)    |  |  |
| (95% CI)                   |                                   | Nausea                                    | ND           | 50 (ns)      |  |  |
|                            |                                   | Somnolence and sedation                   | ND           | 34 (18–610)  |  |  |
|                            |                                   | Insomnia                                  | 100 (ns)     | 50 (ns)      |  |  |
|                            |                                   | Extrapyramidal symptoms                   | 15 (10–31)   | 10 (7–15)    |  |  |
|                            | Weight Increase ≥7% from Baseline |   |              | 100 (ns)     |  |  |
|                            |                                   | Dose                                      | 3-6 mg/day   |              |  |  |
| Manic or Mixed             | NNT                               | Response (≥50% Reduction from Baseline in |              | 5 (4-8)      |  |  |
| <b>Episodes Associated</b> | (95% CI)                          | YMRS Total Score)                         |              |              |  |  |
| with Bipolar I             | NNH                               | Akathisia                                 |              | 7 (5-9)      |  |  |
| Disorder                   | (95% CI)                          | Nausea                                    | 24 (12-363)  |              |  |  |
| Citrome, 2015a             |                                   | Insomnia                                  |              | ND           |  |  |
|                            |                                   | Extrapyramidal symptoms                   | 15 (9-35)    |              |  |  |
|                            |                                   | Weight Increase ≥7% from Baseline         | ND           |              |  |  |