

# Weyl fermions in a non-abelian gauge background and trace anomalies

---

**Fiorenzo Bastianelli<sup>a,b</sup> and Matteo Broccoli<sup>b</sup>**

<sup>a</sup>*Dipartimento di Fisica ed Astronomia, Università di Bologna and INFN, Sezione di Bologna, via Irnerio 46, I-40126 Bologna, Italy*

<sup>b</sup>*Max-Planck-Institut für Gravitationsphysik (Albert-Einstein-Institut), Am Mühlenberg 1, D-14476 Golm, Germany*

*E-mail:* [bastianelli@bo.infn.it](mailto:bastianelli@bo.infn.it), [matteo.broccoli@aei.mpg.de](mailto:matteo.broccoli@aei.mpg.de)

**ABSTRACT:** We study the trace and chiral anomalies of Weyl fermions in a non-abelian gauge background in four dimensions. Using a Pauli-Villars regularization we identify the trace anomaly, proving that it can be cast in a gauge invariant form, even in the presence of the non-abelian chiral anomaly, that we rederive to check the consistency of our methods. In particular, we find that the trace anomaly does not contain any parity-odd topological contribution, whose presence has been debated in the recent literature.

**KEYWORDS:** Anomalies in Field and String Theories, Conformal Field Theory

**ARXIV EPRINT:** [1908.03750](https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.03750)

---

**Contents**

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Bardeen’s model</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>PV regularization</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Regulators and consistent anomalies</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Anomalies</b>	<b>6</b>
5.1	Chiral anomaly	7
5.2	Trace anomaly	7
<b>6</b>	<b>Chiral and trace anomalies of Weyl fermions</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>The heat kernel</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Properties of the stress tensor</b>	<b>11</b>

---

**1 Introduction**

In this paper we calculate the trace (and chiral) anomalies of Weyl fermions coupled to non-abelian gauge fields in four dimensions. One of the motivations to study this problem arises from a debate on whether a topological, parity-odd term is present in the trace anomaly of the stress tensor of chiral fermions. We find that it does not.

We start by considering Bardeen’s method [1] that embeds the Weyl theory into the theory of Dirac fermions coupled to vector and axial non-abelian gauge fields. Using a Pauli-Villars (PV) regularization we calculate its trace anomaly. As an aside we rederive the well-known non-abelian chiral anomaly to check the consistency of our methods. A chiral limit produces the searched for anomalies of the non-abelian Weyl fermions.

**2 Bardeen’s model**

We consider the Bardeen’s model of massless Dirac fermions  $\psi$  coupled to vector and axial non-abelian gauge fields,  $A_a$  and  $B_a$ . The lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = -\bar{\psi}\not{D}(A, B)\psi \tag{2.1}$$

where  $\not{D}(A, B) = \gamma^a D_a(A, B)$ , with  $D_a(A, B) = \partial_a + A_a + B_a\gamma^5$  being the covariant derivative for the gauge group  $G \times G$ . Taking an appropriate limit on the background (by setting

$A_a = B_a \rightarrow \frac{A_a}{2}$ ) one finds the theory of left-handed Weyl fermions. We expand the gauge fields on the generators of the gauge group as  $A_a = -iA_a^\alpha T^\alpha$  and  $B_a = -iB_a^\alpha T^\alpha$ . The components  $A_a^\alpha$  and  $B_a^\alpha$  are real, and  $T^\alpha$  denote the hermitian generators in the representation of  $G$  chosen for  $\psi$  (we allow for the presence of an abelian subgroup, for example one could consider the group  $U(N)$  with the fermion  $\psi$  sitting in the fundamental representation).<sup>1</sup>

This model is classically gauge invariant and conformally invariant. We wish to compute systematically the anomalies. The chiral anomaly is well-known, of course, and we recompute it to test our methods. The main aim is to obtain the trace anomaly.

Let us first review the classical symmetries. The lagrangian is invariant under the  $G \times G$  gauge transformations. Using infinitesimal parameters  $\alpha = -i\alpha_a^\alpha T^\alpha$  and  $\beta = -i\beta_a^\alpha T^\alpha$ , they read

$$\begin{cases} \delta\psi = -(\alpha + \beta\gamma^5)\psi \\ \delta\bar{\psi} = \bar{\psi}(\alpha - \beta\gamma^5) \\ \delta\psi_c = (\alpha^T - \beta^T\gamma^5)\psi_c \\ \delta A_a = \partial_a\alpha + [A_a, \alpha] + [B_a, \beta] \\ \delta B_a = \partial_a\beta + [A_a, \beta] + [B_a, \alpha] \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\psi_c = C^{-1}\bar{\psi}^T$  is the charge conjugated spinor. The transformations of the gauge fields can be written more compactly as

$$\delta\mathcal{A}_a = \partial_a\tilde{\alpha} + [\mathcal{A}_a, \tilde{\alpha}] \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_a = A_a + B_a\gamma^5$  and  $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha + \beta\gamma^5$ . The corresponding field strength

$$\mathcal{F}_{ab} = \partial_a\mathcal{A}_b - \partial_b\mathcal{A}_a + [\mathcal{A}_a, \mathcal{A}_b] = \hat{F}_{ab} + \hat{G}_{ab}\gamma^5 \quad (2.4)$$

contains the Bardeen curvatures  $\hat{F}_{ab}$  and  $\hat{G}_{ab}$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{F}_{ab} &= \partial_a A_b - \partial_b A_a + [A_a, A_b] + [B_a, B_b] \\ \hat{G}_{ab} &= \partial_a B_b - \partial_b B_a + [A_a, B_b] + [B_a, A_b]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

In the following we prefer to use the more explicit notation with  $\gamma^5$ .

One can use  $A_a^\alpha$  and  $B_a^\alpha$  as sources for the vector  $J^{a\alpha} = i\bar{\psi}\gamma^a T^\alpha\psi$  and axial  $J_5^{a\alpha} = i\bar{\psi}\gamma^a\gamma^5 T^\alpha\psi$  currents, respectively. These currents are covariantly conserved on-shell, with the conservation law reading

$$\begin{aligned} (D_a J^a)^\alpha &\equiv \partial_a J^{a\alpha} - i\bar{\psi}[A + \not{B}\gamma^5, T^\alpha]\psi = 0 \\ (D_a J_5^a)^\alpha &\equiv \partial_a J_5^{a\alpha} - i\bar{\psi}[\not{A}\gamma^5 + \not{B}, T^\alpha]\psi = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

or, equivalently, as

$$\begin{aligned} (D_a J^a)^\alpha &= \partial_a J^{a\alpha} + f^{\alpha\beta\gamma} A_a^\beta J^{a\gamma} + f^{\alpha\beta\gamma} B_a^\beta J_5^{a\gamma} = 0 \\ (D_a J_5^a)^\alpha &= \partial_a J_5^{a\alpha} + f^{\alpha\beta\gamma} A_a^\beta J_5^{a\gamma} + f^{\alpha\beta\gamma} B_a^\beta J^{a\gamma} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

<sup>1</sup>The generators satisfy the Lie algebra  $[T^\alpha, T^\beta] = if^{\alpha\beta\gamma} T^\gamma$ . Our conventions for Weyl and Dirac fermions follow those made explicit in ref. [2].

Indeed, under an infinitesimal gauge variation of the external sources  $A_a^\alpha$  and  $B_a^\alpha$ , the action  $S = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}$  varies as

$$\delta^{(A,B)} S = - \int d^4x (\alpha^\alpha (D_a J^a)^\alpha + \beta^\alpha (D_a J_5^a)^\alpha), \quad (2.8)$$

and the full gauge invariance of the action implies that  $J^{a\alpha}$  and  $J_5^{a\alpha}$  are covariantly conserved on-shell, as stated above.

Similarly, to study the stress tensor, it is useful to couple the theory to gravity by introducing the vierbein  $e_\mu^a$  and related spin connection. The new lagrangian becomes

$$\mathcal{L} = -e \bar{\psi} \gamma^a e_a^\mu \nabla_\mu \psi \quad (2.9)$$

where  $e$  is the determinant of the vierbein,  $e_a^\mu$  its inverse, and  $\nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu + A_\mu + B_\mu \gamma^5 + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\mu ab} \gamma^{ab}$  the covariant derivative that acts on the fermion  $\psi$ , which contains also the spin connection associated to the vierbein. One may verify that, on top of the background general coordinate and local Lorentz symmetries, the model acquires also a Weyl invariance, i.e. an invariance under arbitrary local scalings of the vierbein. This suffices to prove conformal invariance in flat space. The vierbein is used also as an external source for the stress tensor

$$T^{\mu a} = \frac{1}{e} \frac{\delta S}{\delta e_{\mu a}}. \quad (2.10)$$

The Weyl symmetry implies that the stress tensor is traceless on-shell. Indeed, an infinitesimal Weyl transformation with local parameter  $\sigma$  is of the form

$$\begin{cases} \delta\psi = -\frac{3}{2}\sigma\psi \\ \delta A_\mu = \delta B_\mu = 0 \\ \delta e_\mu^a = \sigma e_\mu^a \end{cases} \quad (2.11)$$

and varying the action only under an infinitesimal Weyl transformation of the vierbein (which is the source of the stress tensor) produces the trace of the stress tensor

$$\delta^{(e)} S = \int d^4x e \sigma T^a_a. \quad (2.12)$$

Then, the full Weyl invariance of the action implies that the trace vanishes on-shell,  $T^a_a = 0$ . On top of that, the on-shell stress tensor can be shown to be symmetric, once the curved index of  $T^{\mu a}$  is made flat with the vierbein ( $T^{ab} = T^{ba}$ ), and satisfies a suitable conservation law. A clear exposition of the various properties of the stress tensor may be found in [3], and for completeness we report in appendix B the main properties relevant for our model.

### 3 PV regularization

To regulate the one-loop effective action we introduces massive PV fields. The mass term produces the anomalies, which we will compute with heat kernel methods.

We denote by  $\psi$  the PV fields as well (for the moment this does not cause any confusion) and add a Dirac mass term to their massless lagrangian in (2.1)

$$\Delta\mathcal{L} = -M\bar{\psi}\psi = \frac{M}{2}(\psi_c^T C\psi + \psi^T C\psi_c). \quad (3.1)$$

It preserves vector gauge invariance but breaks axial gauge invariance. Indeed under (2.2) the mass term varies as

$$\delta\Delta\mathcal{L} = 2M\bar{\psi}\beta\gamma^5\psi = -M(\psi_c^T\beta C\gamma^5\psi + \psi^T\beta^T C\gamma^5\psi_c) \quad (3.2)$$

where  $\beta = -i\beta^\alpha T^\alpha$ , which shows that the vector gauge symmetry is preserved, leaving room for an anomaly in the axial gauge symmetry.

The mass term sources also a trace anomaly, as the curved space version of (3.1)

$$\Delta\mathcal{L} = -eM\bar{\psi}\psi = \frac{eM}{2}(\psi_c^T C\psi + \psi^T C\psi_c) \quad (3.3)$$

varies under the infinitesimal Weyl transformation (2.11) as

$$\delta\Delta\mathcal{L} = -e\sigma M\bar{\psi}\psi = \frac{e\sigma M}{2}(\psi_c^T C\psi + \psi^T C\psi_c). \quad (3.4)$$

However, it preserves the general coordinate and local Lorentz symmetries. One concludes that only axial gauge and trace anomalies are to be expected.

Casting the PV lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}_{PV} = \mathcal{L} + \Delta\mathcal{L}$  in the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{PV} = \frac{1}{2}\phi^T T\mathcal{O}\phi + \frac{1}{2}M\phi^T T\phi \quad (3.5)$$

where  $\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \psi_c \end{pmatrix}$ , allows us to recognize the operators

$$T\mathcal{O} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C\mathcal{D}(-A^T, B^T) \\ C\mathcal{D}(A, B) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\mathcal{O} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}(A, B) & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{D}(-A^T, B^T) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{O}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}^2(A, B) & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{D}^2(-A^T, B^T) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.7)$$

The latter identifies the regulators, as we shall see in the next section.

#### 4 Regulators and consistent anomalies

Using the Pauli-Villars regularization, we relate the anomaly computation to a sum of heat kernel traces, following the scheme of refs. [4, 5] which we briefly review. Starting with a lagrangian for  $\varphi$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\varphi^T T\mathcal{O}\varphi \quad (4.1)$$

invariant under a linear symmetry

$$\delta\varphi = K\varphi \tag{4.2}$$

acting also on the background fields contained in the operator  $T\mathcal{O}$ , one constructs the one-loop effective action  $\Gamma$  by a path integral. The latter is regulated by subtracting a loop of a massive PV field  $\phi$  with lagrangian (3.5)

$$e^{i\Gamma} = \int D\varphi e^{iS} \quad \rightarrow \quad e^{i\Gamma} = \int D\varphi D\phi e^{i(S+S_{PV})} \tag{4.3}$$

where it is understood that one should take the decoupling limit  $M \rightarrow \infty$ , with all divergences canceled by renormalization. The anomalous response of the path integral under a symmetry is due to the PV mass term only, as one can define the measure of the PV field to make the whole path integral measure invariant. In a hypercondensed notation, where a term like  $\phi^T\phi$  includes in the sum of the (suppressed) indices a spacetime integration as well, the lagrangian in (4.1) is equivalent to the action, and one computes the symmetry variation of the regulated path integral as follows

$$\begin{aligned} i\delta\Gamma = i\langle\delta S\rangle &= \lim_{M\rightarrow\infty} iM\langle\phi^T\left(TK + \frac{1}{2}\delta T\right)\phi\rangle \\ &= -\lim_{M\rightarrow\infty} \text{Tr}\left[\left(K + \frac{1}{2}T^{-1}\delta T\right)\left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{O}}{M}\right)^{-1}\right] \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where brackets  $\langle\dots\rangle$  indicate normalized correlators. It is convenient to manipulate this expression further, by using the identity  $1 = (1 - \frac{\mathcal{O}}{M})(1 - \frac{\mathcal{O}}{M})^{-1}$  and invariance of the massless action, to cast it in the equivalent form

$$i\delta\Gamma = i\langle\delta S\rangle = -\lim_{M\rightarrow\infty} \text{Tr}\left[\left(K + \frac{1}{2}T^{-1}\delta T + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\delta\mathcal{O}}{M}\right)\left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{O}^2}{M^2}\right)^{-1}\right]. \tag{4.5}$$

In the derivation we have considered a fermionic theory, used the PV propagator

$$\langle\phi\phi^T\rangle = \frac{i}{T\mathcal{O} + TM}, \tag{4.6}$$

taken into account the opposite sign for the PV loop, and considered an invertible matrix  $T$ . In the limit  $M \rightarrow \infty$  the regulating factor  $(1 - \frac{\mathcal{O}^2}{M^2})^{-1}$  in (4.5) can be effectively replaced by  $e^{\frac{\mathcal{O}^2}{M^2}}$ , if  $\mathcal{O}^2$  is negatively defined (in euclidean). This substitution allows us to use well-known heat kernel formulae. Obviously, a symmetry remains anomaly free if one finds a symmetrical mass term.

Thus, denoting

$$J = K + \frac{1}{2}T^{-1}\delta T + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\delta\mathcal{O}}{M}, \quad R = -\mathcal{O}^2 \tag{4.7}$$

the anomaly is related to the trace of the heat kernel of the regulator  $R$  with insertion of the operator  $J$

$$i\delta\Gamma = i\langle\delta S\rangle = -\lim_{M\rightarrow\infty} \text{Tr}\left[Je^{-\frac{R}{M^2}}\right]. \tag{4.8}$$

It has the same form of the regulated Fujikawa's trace producing the anomalies [6, 7], where  $J$  is the infinitesimal part of the jacobian arising from a change of variables in the

path integral under a symmetry transformation, and  $R$  is the regulator. The limit extracts only the mass independent term (negative powers of the mass vanish in the limit, while positive powers are renormalized away, usually by employing additional PV fields). The PV method guarantees that the regulator  $R$  together with the jacobian  $J$  produces consistent anomalies, which follows from the fact that one is computing directly the variation of the effective action.

Let us now go back to the specific case of the Bardeen's model, and extract the heat kernel traces that compute the anomalies. For each symmetry we must consider the transformation generated by  $K$  and obtain the corresponding form of  $J$ .

To start with, the vector current  $J^{a\alpha}$  remains covariantly conserved also at the quantum level, as the PV mass term is invariant under vector gauge transformations.

For the axial current, recalling the transformation laws in (2.2), one finds

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} i\beta^\alpha T^\alpha \gamma^5 & 0 \\ 0 & i\beta^\alpha T^{\alpha T} \gamma^5 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.9)$$

as  $\delta T$  vanishes, while the contribution from  $\delta\mathcal{O}$  is also seen to vanish (all possible terms vanish under the Dirac trace). Here,  $T^{\alpha T}$  denotes the transposed of  $T^\alpha$ . Removing the spacetime integration and the local parameters  $\beta^\alpha$  from (4.8), and recalling the normalizations in (2.8), (4.8) and (A.3), one finds

$$(D_a \langle J_5^a \rangle)^\alpha = \frac{i}{(4\pi)^2} \left[ \text{tr} [\gamma^5 T^\alpha a_2(R_\psi)] + \text{tr} [\gamma^5 T^{\alpha T} a_2(R_{\psi_c})] \right] \quad (4.10)$$

where the remaining trace is the finite dimensional one on the gamma matrices and color space. In this formula we find the so-called Seeley-DeWitt coefficients  $a_2(R_i)$  corresponding to the regulators  $R_i$  associated to the fields assembled into  $\phi$

$$R_\psi = -\not{D}^2(A, B), \quad R_{\psi_c} = -\not{D}^2(-A^T, B^T). \quad (4.11)$$

The  $a_2$  coefficients are the only ones that survive renormalization and the limit  $M \rightarrow \infty$ .

Similarly, for the Weyl symmetry one uses the transformations in (2.11) to find

$$\langle T^a_a \rangle = -\frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} \left[ \text{tr} a_2(R_\psi) + \text{tr} a_2(R_{\psi_c}) \right] \quad (4.12)$$

where now also  $\delta T$  contributes to (4.7), while  $\delta\mathcal{O}$  drops out as before. Again, all remaining traces are in spinor and color spaces. Since the mass term is general coordinate and local Lorentz invariant, no anomalies arise in those symmetries.

## 5 Anomalies

We are left to compute the anomalies produced by the traces of the heat kernel coefficients  $a_2$  in (4.10) and (4.12), with the regulators (4.11). The heat kernel formulae needed in the calculation are well-known, and for commodity we have reported them in appendix A.

The vector symmetry is guaranteed to remain anomaly free by the invariance of the mass term. As a check one may verify, using the explicit traces given in appendix A, that the would-be anomaly vanishes

$$(D_a \langle J^a \rangle)^\alpha = \frac{i}{(4\pi)^2} \left[ \text{tr} [T^\alpha a_2(R_\psi)] - \text{tr} [T^{\alpha T} a_2(R_{\psi_c})] \right] = 0. \quad (5.1)$$

### 5.1 Chiral anomaly

Evaluation of (4.10) produces the chiral anomaly

$$\begin{aligned} (D_a \langle J_5^a \rangle)^\alpha &= -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \epsilon^{abcd} \text{tr}_{YM} T^\alpha \left[ \hat{F}_{ab} \hat{F}_{cd} + \frac{1}{3} \hat{G}_{ab} \hat{G}_{cd} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{8}{3} (\hat{F}_{ab} B_c B_d + B_a \hat{F}_{bc} B_d + B_a B_b \hat{F}_{cd}) + \frac{32}{3} B_a B_b B_c B_d \right] \\ &\quad + PETs \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

where the remaining trace is only in color space (the trace on gamma matrices has been implemented). *PETs* indicate the parity-even terms that take the form

$$\begin{aligned} PETs &= \frac{i}{(4\pi)^2} \text{tr}_{YM} T^\alpha \left[ \frac{4}{3} D^2 DB + \frac{2}{3} [\hat{F}^{ab}, \hat{G}_{ab}] + \frac{8}{3} [D^a \hat{F}_{ab}, B^b] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{4}{3} \{B^2, DB\} + 8 B_a D B B^a + \frac{8}{3} \{ \{B^a, B^b\}, D_a B_b \} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

They are canceled by the chiral gauge variation of a local counterterm

$$\Gamma_{ct} = \int \frac{d^4x}{(4\pi)^2} \text{tr}_{YM} \left[ \frac{2}{3} (D^a B^b) (D_a B_b) + 4 F^{ab} (A) B_a B_b - \frac{8}{3} B^4 + \frac{4}{3} B^a B^b B_a B_b \right] \quad (5.4)$$

and the remaining answer coincides with the famous result obtained by Bardeen [1].

### 5.2 Trace anomaly

Evaluation of (4.12) produces the trace anomaly

$$\langle T^a_a \rangle = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \text{tr}_{YM} \left[ \frac{2}{3} \hat{F}^{ab} \hat{F}_{ab} + \frac{2}{3} \hat{G}^{ab} \hat{G}_{ab} \right] + CTTs \quad (5.5)$$

where *CTTs* are the cohomologically trivial terms

$$CTTs = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left( -\frac{4}{3} \right) \text{tr}_{YM} \left[ D^2 B^2 + DBDB - (D^a B^b) (D_b B_a) - 2 F^{ab} (A) B_a B_b \right] \quad (5.6)$$

that are canceled by the Weyl variation of the following counterterm

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{ct} = \int \frac{d^4x \sqrt{g}}{(4\pi)^2} \text{tr}_{YM} \left[ \frac{2}{3} (D^\mu B^\nu) (D_\mu B_\nu) + 4 F^{\mu\nu} (A) B_\mu B_\nu + \frac{1}{3} R B^2 \right] \quad (5.7)$$

where  $\mu, \nu$  are curved indices, and  $R$  the Ricci scalar. Of course, one restricts to flat space after variation.



The counterterms (5.4) and (5.7) merge consistently into a unique counterterm that in curved space reads

$$\Gamma_{ct}^{\text{tot}} = \int \frac{d^4x \sqrt{g}}{(4\pi)^2} \text{tr}_{YM} \left[ \frac{2}{3} (D^\mu B^\nu)^2 + 4F^{\mu\nu}(A) B_\mu B_\nu + \frac{1}{3} R B^2 - \frac{8}{3} B^4 + \frac{4}{3} B^\mu B^\nu B_\mu B_\nu \right]. \quad (5.8)$$

One may already notice that, on top of the complete gauge invariance of the trace anomaly, there is no parity-odd term present.

## 6 Chiral and trace anomalies of Weyl fermions

We are now ready to study the chiral limit of the Bardeen’s model, and identify the chiral and trace anomalies of Weyl fermions. We take the limit  $A_a = B_a \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} A_a$ , which creates a chiral projector in the coupling to the gauge field, normalized as usual after the scaling. Then,  $\hat{F}_{ab} = \hat{G}_{ab} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} F_{ab}(A)$  and  $J^a = J_5^a \rightarrow J_a = \frac{1}{2} (J^a + J_5^a)$ , so that from (5.2) and (5.5) (without the cohomologically trivial terms) we immediately derive the searched for anomalies for the left-handed Weyl fermions coupled to non-abelian gauge fields

$$\begin{aligned} (D_a \langle J^a \rangle)^\alpha &= -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \epsilon^{abcd} \text{tr}_{YM} T^\alpha \partial_a \left[ \frac{2}{3} A_b \partial_c A_d + \frac{1}{3} A_b A_c A_d \right] \\ \langle T^a{}_a \rangle &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \text{tr}_{YM} \left[ \frac{1}{3} F^{ab} F_{ab} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

The chiral anomaly is the standard one, rederived as a check on the methods used here. The trace anomaly is our new result, that verifies the absence of parity-odd terms. It is just half the trace anomaly of non-chiral Dirac fermions.

Thus, we have computed the consistent anomalies for Dirac and Weyl fermions, defined as arising from the symmetry variation of an effective action. In particular, we find that the consistent trace anomaly acquires a gauge invariant form. The property of gauge invariance displayed by the trace anomaly is not explicitly maintained by our regulator (as far as the axial gauge symmetry is concerned), but the breaking terms can be removed by the variation of a local counterterm, as we have indicated.<sup>2</sup>

## 7 Conclusions

We have calculated the chiral and trace anomaly in the Bardeen’s model of Dirac fermions coupled to non-abelian vector and axial gauge fields, rederiving the famous result for the chiral anomaly and finding the trace anomaly. Then, by a chiral limit we have obtained the chiral and trace anomalies for left-handed Weyl fermions coupled to non-abelian gauge fields.

---

<sup>2</sup>The final gauge invariance of the trace anomaly can be understood on general grounds by retracing an argument put forward in [8], according to which in euclidean space the fermionic functional determinant which produces the effective action can always be defined to have a gauge invariant modulus, but with a possibly anomalous phase. The Weyl scalings are real, and they only affect the modulus. Thus possible trace anomalies are expected to be gauge invariant. On the other hand, the difficulties in defining the phase in a gauge invariant way are responsible for the gauge anomalies. These expectations are indeed verified by our explicit calculations.

The main aim of this paper was to find the explicit form of the trace anomaly for Weyl fermions, verifying that it does not contain any parity-odd term proportional to the topological Chern-Pontryagin density. The latter was conjectured to be a possibility in [9], see also comments in [10, 11]. It would be a type-B anomaly in the classification of [12]. However, it was found to be absent in the abelian gauge coupling of a single Weyl fermion [2]. Here we prove that it is absent also in the more general case of the coupling to non-abelian gauge fields. The analogous case of a Weyl fermion on a curved spacetime background has been debated more extensively in the literature, where a topological term proportional to the Pontryagin density was reported in [13], and confirmed in [14, 15], where the concept of a MAT background, that extends the Bardeen construction to curved space, has been developed. However, the topological term was found to be absent in [16], as confirmed also in [17]. We believe that the latter are the correct results. This conclusion indeed finds support from the analogous situation studied in this paper. Also, an analysis of a Dirac fermion on the MAT background, suitably regularized with PV fields, does not seem to produce parity-odd terms in the trace anomaly [18].

### Acknowledgments

We wish to thank Loriano Bonora for stimulating discussions.

### A The heat kernel

Let us consider a flat  $D$ -dimensional spacetime and an operator  $H$  of the form

$$H = -\nabla^2 + V \tag{A.1}$$

where  $V$  is a matrix potential and  $\nabla^2 = \nabla^a \nabla_a$ , with  $\nabla_a = \partial_a + W_a$  the gauge covariant derivative satisfying

$$[\nabla_a, \nabla_b] = \partial_a W_b - \partial_b W_a + [W_a, W_b] = \mathcal{F}_{ab} . \tag{A.2}$$

The trace of the corresponding heat kernel has a small time expansion given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} [J e^{-isH}] &= \int d^D x \text{tr} [J(x) \langle x | e^{-isH} | x \rangle] \\ &= \int \frac{d^D x i}{(4\pi is)^{\frac{D}{2}}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{tr} [J(x) a_n(x, H)] (is)^n \\ &= \int \frac{d^D x i}{(4\pi is)^{\frac{D}{2}}} \text{tr} [J(x) (a_0(x, H) + a_1(x, H) is + a_2(x, H) (is)^2 + \dots)] \end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

where the symbol “tr” is a trace on the remaining discrete matrix indices,  $J(x)$  is an arbitrary matrix function, and  $a_n(x, H)$  are the heat kernel, or Seeley-DeWitt, coefficients. They are matrix valued, and the first ones are

$$\begin{aligned} a_0(x, H) &= \mathbb{1} \\ a_1(x, H) &= -V \\ a_2(x, H) &= \frac{1}{2} V^2 - \frac{1}{6} \nabla^2 V + \frac{1}{12} \mathcal{F}_{ab}^2 \end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

where  $\nabla_a V = \partial_a V + [W_a, V]$ , and so on. More details on the heat kernel expansion can be found in [19, 20]. They have been computed with quantum mechanical path integrals in [21, 22], while a useful report is [23].

In the main text, the role of the hamiltonian  $H$  is played by the regulators  $R_\psi$  and  $R_{\psi_c}$ , and  $is \sim \frac{1}{M^2}$ , see eq. (4.8) (here we use a minkowskian set-up). In  $D = 4$  the  $s$ -independent term contains  $a_2(x, H)$ , which produces the anomalies.

Let us now specialize to the regulator  $R_\psi = -\not{D}^2(A, B)$  which is expanded as

$$\begin{aligned} R_\psi &= -\not{D}^2(A, B) \\ &= -D^a(A)D_a(A) + B^2 - \gamma^5(D^a(A)B_a) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{ab}\left(\hat{F}_{ab} - 4B_aB_b + \gamma^5(\hat{G}_{ab} - 4B_aD_b(A))\right) \end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

and contains the Bardeen curvatures  $\hat{F}_{ab}$  and  $\hat{G}_{ab}$  given in (2.5), the covariant derivative  $D_a(A) = \partial_a + A_a$ , and the covariant divergence of  $B_a$ ,  $D^a(A)B_a = (\partial^a B_a) + [A^a, B_a]$ .

Comparing it with the heat kernel operator  $H$  in eq. (A.1)

$$H = -\nabla^2 + V = -\partial^a\partial_a - 2W^a\partial_a - (\partial_a W^a) - W^2 + V. \tag{A.6}$$

allows one to fix

$$W_a = A_a + \gamma_{ab}\gamma^5 B^b \tag{A.7}$$

$$V = -2B^2 - \gamma^5(D^a(A)B_a) - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{ab}\hat{F}_{ab} \tag{A.8}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{ab} = F_{ab}(A) + (\gamma_{ac}\gamma_{bd} - \gamma_{bc}\gamma_{ad})B^cB^d + \gamma^5(\gamma_{ca}D_b(A)B^c - \gamma_{cb}D_a(A)B^c). \tag{A.9}$$

Now the coefficient  $a_2(R_\psi)$  can be made explicit using (A.4). We compute directly the relevant Dirac traces, and list some intermediate results for the reader interested in checking our calculations. Recalling the three different contributions appearing in the last line of (A.4), we find (with  $D_a \equiv D_a(A)$ ):

*i)* from  $a_2 = \frac{1}{2}V^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}[\gamma^5 T^\alpha a_2(R_\psi)] &= \text{tr}_{YM} T^\alpha \left[ \frac{i}{2}\epsilon^{abcd}\hat{F}_{ab}\hat{F}_{cd} + 4\{B^2, DB\} \right] \\ \text{tr}[T^\alpha a_2(R_\psi)] &= \text{tr}_{YM} T^\alpha \left[ -\hat{F}^{ab}\hat{F}_{ab} + 8B^4 + 2DBDB \right] \\ \text{tr}[a_2(R_\psi)] &= \text{tr}_{YM} \left[ -\hat{F}^{ab}\hat{F}_{ab} + 8B^4 + 2DBDB \right] \end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

ii) from  $a_2 = -\frac{1}{6}\nabla^2 V$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{tr} [\gamma^5 T^\alpha a_2(R_\psi)] &= \text{tr}_{YM} T^\alpha \left[ -\frac{2}{3} i \epsilon^{abcd} (B_a B_b \hat{F}_{cd} + 2B_a \hat{F}_{bc} B_d + \hat{F}_{ab} B_c B_d) + \frac{2}{3} D^2 DB \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{3} [\hat{F}^{ab}, \hat{G}_{ab}] + \frac{4}{3} [D^a \hat{F}_{ab}, B^b] - 2\{B^2, DB\} + 4B_a D B B^a \right] \\
 \text{tr} [T^\alpha a_2(R_\psi)] &= \text{tr}_{YM} T^\alpha \left[ \frac{i}{6} \epsilon^{abcd} ([\hat{G}_{ab}, \hat{F}_{cd}] - 4[B_a, D_b \hat{F}_{cd}]) + 8(B_a B^2 B^a - B^4) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{4}{3} D^2 B^2 + \frac{4}{3} (\hat{F}^{ab} B_a B_b + 2B_a \hat{F}^{ab} B_b + B_a B_b \hat{F}^{ab}) \right] \\
 \text{tr} [a_2(R_\psi)] &= \text{tr}_{YM} \left[ \frac{4}{3} D^2 B^2 \right]
 \end{aligned} \tag{A.11}$$

iii) from  $a_2 = \frac{1}{12} \mathcal{F}_{ab}^2$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{tr} [\gamma^5 T^\alpha a_2(R_\psi)] &= \text{tr}_{YM} T^\alpha \left[ i \epsilon^{abcd} \left( \frac{1}{6} \hat{G}_{ab} \hat{G}_{cd} - \frac{2}{3} \{\hat{F}_{ab}, B_c B_d\} + \frac{16}{3} B_a B_b B_c B_d \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{8}{3} \{B^2, DB\} + \frac{4}{3} \{\{B^a, B^b\}, D_a B_b\} \right] \\
 \text{tr} [T^\alpha a_2(R_\psi)] &= \text{tr}_{YM} T^\alpha \left[ \frac{1}{3} \hat{F}^{ab} \hat{F}_{ab} - \frac{4}{3} \{\hat{F}_{ab}, B^a B^b\} + \frac{8}{3} (B_a B_b B^a B^b - B^4) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - 8B_a B^2 B^a - \frac{4}{3} D_a B_b D^a B^b - \frac{2}{3} D B D B \right] \\
 \text{tr} [a_2(R_\psi)] &= \text{tr}_{YM} \left[ \frac{1}{3} \hat{F}^{ab} \hat{F}_{ab} - \frac{8}{3} \hat{F}_{ab} B^a B^b + \frac{8}{3} B_a B_b B^a B^b - \frac{32}{3} B^4 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{4}{3} D_a B_b D^a B^b - \frac{2}{3} D B D B \right].
 \end{aligned} \tag{A.12}$$

The analogous results for  $a_2(R_{\psi_c})$  are obtained by replacing  $A \rightarrow -A^T$  and  $B \rightarrow B^T$  (and also  $T^\alpha \rightarrow T^{\alpha T}$  for the explicit  $T^\alpha$  appearing in the traces). Their effect is just to double the contribution from  $a_2(R_\psi)$  in the chiral and trace anomalies.

## B Properties of the stress tensor

In the main text we have defined the stress (or energy-momentum) tensor, associated to the action  $S = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}$ , with lagrangian (2.9), by the functional derivative with respect to the vierbein

$$T^\mu_a = \frac{1}{e} \frac{\delta S}{\delta e_\mu^a}. \tag{B.1}$$

We review here its classical properties, which we actually need only in the flat space limit. Our fermionic model depends on the background fields  $e_\mu^a, A_\mu, B_\mu$ , and satisfies various background gauge symmetries, responsible for the properties of the gauge currents and stress tensor. Let us discuss the latter.

The infinitesimal background symmetries associated to general coordinate invariance (with infinitesimal local parameters  $\xi^\mu$ ), local Lorentz invariance (with infinitesimal local

parameters  $\omega_{ab}$ ), and Weyl invariance (with infinitesimal local parameter  $\sigma$ ), take the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta e_\mu^a &= \xi^\nu \partial_\nu e_\mu^a + (\partial_\mu \xi^\nu) e_\nu^a + \omega^a_b e_\mu^b + \sigma e_\mu^a \\
 \delta A_\mu &= \xi^\nu \partial_\nu A_\mu + (\partial_\mu \xi^\nu) A_\nu \\
 \delta B_\mu &= \xi^\nu \partial_\nu B_\mu + (\partial_\mu \xi^\nu) B_\nu \\
 \delta \psi &= \epsilon^\mu \partial_\mu \psi + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{ab} \gamma^{ab} \psi - \frac{3}{2} \sigma \psi .
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{B.2}$$

Under the Weyl symmetry  $\delta_\sigma$  with local parameter  $\sigma$ , the gauge fields do not transform, and the invariance of the action implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta_\sigma S &= \int d^4x \left( \frac{\delta S}{\delta e_\mu^a(x)} \delta_\sigma e_\mu^a(x) + \frac{\delta_R S}{\delta \psi(x)} \delta_\sigma \psi(x) + \delta_\sigma \bar{\psi}(x) \frac{\delta_L S}{\delta \bar{\psi}(x)} \right) \\
 &= \int d^4x e T^\mu_a(x) \delta_\sigma e_\mu^a(x) = \int d^4x e T^a_a(x) \sigma(x) = 0
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{B.3}$$

where in the second line we have implemented the equations of motion of the spinor fields (we used left and right derivatives for the Grassmann valued fields). Thus local Weyl invariance implies tracelessness of the stress tensor (as the infinitesimal function  $\sigma(x)$  is arbitrary), with the trace computed through the vierbein,  $T^a_a = T^\mu_a e_\mu^a$ . Thus, the stress tensor is traceless at the classical level.

Similarly, the Lorentz symmetry  $\delta_\omega$  with local parameters  $\omega_{ab}$  implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta_\omega S &= \int d^4x \left( \frac{\delta S}{\delta e_\mu^a(x)} \delta_\omega e_\mu^a(x) + \frac{\delta_R S}{\delta \psi(x)} \delta_\omega \psi(x) + \delta_\omega \bar{\psi}(x) \frac{\delta_L S}{\delta \bar{\psi}(x)} \right) \\
 &= \int d^4x e T^\mu_a \delta_\omega e_\mu^a = \int d^4x e T^\mu_a \omega^a_b e_\mu^b = \int d^4x e T^{ba} \omega_{ab} = 0
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{B.4}$$

and constrains the antisymmetric part of the stress tensor to vanish on-shell. Again, we have used the fermionic equations of motion and the fact that the gauge fields  $A_\mu$  and  $B_\mu$  do not transform under local Lorentz transformations. Considering the arbitrariness of the local parameters and their antisymmetry,  $\omega_{ab} = -\omega_{ba}$ , one recognizes that the stress tensor with flat indices is symmetric,  $T^{ab} = T^{ba}$ . At the quantum level, our PV regularization preserves this symmetry, and no anomalies can arise in the local Lorentz sector.

Finally, a suitable conservation law of the stress tensor arises as a consequence of the infinitesimal diffeomorphism invariance  $\delta_\xi$ . It is actually useful to combine it with additional local Lorentz and gauge symmetries (with composite parameters), so to obtain

a conservation law in the following way

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta_\xi S &= \int d^4x \left( \frac{\delta S}{\delta e_\mu^a(x)} \delta_\xi e_\mu^a(x) + \frac{\delta_R S}{\delta \psi(x)} \delta_\xi \psi(x) + \delta_\xi \bar{\psi}(x) \frac{\delta_L S}{\delta \bar{\psi}(x)} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{\delta S}{\delta A_\mu^\alpha(x)} \delta_\xi A_\mu^\alpha(x) + \frac{\delta S}{\delta B_\mu^\alpha(x)} \delta_\xi B_\mu^\alpha(x) \right) \\
 &= \int d^4x e \left( T^\mu{}_a \mathcal{L}_\xi e_\mu^a + J^{\mu\alpha} \mathcal{L}_\xi A_\mu^\alpha + J_5^{\mu\alpha} \mathcal{L}_\xi B_\mu^\alpha \right) \\
 &= \int d^4x e \left( T^\mu{}_a \nabla_\mu \xi^a + J^{\mu\alpha} \xi^\nu \hat{F}_{\nu\mu}^\alpha + J_5^{\mu\alpha} \xi^\nu \hat{G}_{\nu\mu}^\alpha \right) \\
 &= - \int d^4x e \xi^a \left( \nabla_\mu T^\mu{}_a - J^{b\alpha} \hat{F}_{ab}^\alpha - J_5^{b\alpha} \hat{G}_{ab}^\alpha \right) = 0
 \end{aligned} \tag{B.5}$$

where in the third line we have implemented the fermion equations of motion (and denoted with Lie derivatives  $\mathcal{L}_\xi$  the transformation rules under diffeomorphisms), and in the fourth line added for free to the Lie derivative of the vierbein a spin connection term (it amounts to a local Lorentz transformation with composite parameter, and it drops out on-shell as the stress tensor is symmetric), and to the Lie derivatives of the gauge fields suitable gauge transformations (which also drop out after partial integration as the corresponding currents are covariantly conserved, recall eqs. (2.7) and (2.8) which we use here in their curved space version), and then integrated by parts. The arbitrariness of the local parameters  $\xi^a(x)$  allows to derive a covariant conservation law, which contains a contribution from the gauge fields (that would vanish once the gauge fields are made dynamical, since then one can use their equations of motion). It reads

$$\nabla_\mu T^\mu{}_a = J^{b\alpha} \hat{F}_{ab}^\alpha + J_5^{b\alpha} \hat{G}_{ab}^\alpha. \tag{B.6}$$

Our PV regularization preserves diffeomorphism invariance, and thus no anomaly may appear in the Ward identities related to this symmetry.

We end this appendix by presenting the explicit expression of the energy-momentum tensor for the Bardeen model in flat spacetime

$$T_{ab} = \frac{1}{4} \bar{\psi} \left( \gamma_a \overleftrightarrow{D}_b(A, B) + \gamma_b \overleftrightarrow{D}_a(A, B) \right) \psi \tag{B.7}$$

where  $\overleftrightarrow{D}_a(A, B) = D_a(A, B) - \overleftarrow{D}_a(A, B)$ , with the second derivative meaning here  $\overleftarrow{D}_a(A, B) = \overleftarrow{\partial}_a - A_a - B_a \gamma^5$ . One can verify explicitly all the statements about the classical background symmetry derived above, namely

$$\partial_a T^{ab} = \bar{\psi} \gamma_a (\hat{F}^{ab} + \hat{G}^{ab} \gamma^5) \psi, \quad T^{ab} = T^{ba}, \quad T^a{}_a = 0. \tag{B.8}$$

The PV regularization used in the main text preserves the corresponding quantum Ward identities except the last one, as the mass term in curved space is not Weyl invariant, and a trace anomaly develops. A more extensive discussion about the construction and properties of the stress tensor can be found in ref. [3].

**Open Access.** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

## References

- [1] W.A. Bardeen, *Anomalous Ward identities in spinor field theories*, *Phys. Rev.* **184** (1969) 1848 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] F. Bastianelli and M. Broccoli, *On the trace anomaly of a Weyl fermion in a gauge background*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79** (2019) 292 [[arXiv:1808.03489](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [3] M. Forger and H. Romer, *Currents and the energy momentum tensor in classical field theory: a fresh look at an old problem*, *Annals Phys.* **309** (2004) 306 [[hep-th/0307199](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] A. Diaz, W. Troost, P. van Nieuwenhuizen and A. Van Proeyen, *Understanding Fujikawa regulators from Pauli-Villars regularization of ghost loops*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **4** (1989) 3959 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] M. Hatsuda, P. van Nieuwenhuizen, W. Troost and A. Van Proeyen, *The regularized phase space path integral measure for a scalar field coupled to gravity*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **335** (1990) 166 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] K. Fujikawa, *Path integral measure for gauge invariant fermion theories*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **42** (1979) 1195 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] K. Fujikawa, *Comment on chiral and conformal anomalies*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **44** (1980) 1733 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] L. Álvarez-Gaumé and E. Witten, *Gravitational anomalies*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **234** (1984) 269 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] Y. Nakayama, *CP-violating CFT and trace anomaly*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **859** (2012) 288 [[arXiv:1201.3428](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] Y. Nakayama, *Realization of impossible anomalies*, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) 085002 [[arXiv:1804.02940](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] Y. Nakayama, *Conformal contact terms and semi-local terms*, [arXiv:1906.07914](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [12] S. Deser and A. Schwimmer, *Geometric classification of conformal anomalies in arbitrary dimensions*, *Phys. Lett. B* **309** (1993) 279 [[hep-th/9302047](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [13] L. Bonora, S. Giaccari and B. Lima de Souza, *Trace anomalies in chiral theories revisited*, *JHEP* **07** (2014) 117 [[arXiv:1403.2606](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [14] L. Bonora, M. Cvitan, P. Dominis Prester, A. Duarte Pereira, S. Giaccari and T. Štemberga, *Axial gravity, massless fermions and trace anomalies*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77** (2017) 511 [[arXiv:1703.10473](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [15] L. Bonora, M. Cvitan, P. Dominis Prester, S. Giaccari, M. Paulišić and T. Štemberga, *Axial gravity: a non-perturbative approach to split anomalies*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** (2018) 652 [[arXiv:1807.01249](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] F. Bastianelli and R. Martelli, *On the trace anomaly of a Weyl fermion*, *JHEP* **11** (2016) 178 [[arXiv:1610.02304](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [17] M.B. Fröb and J. Zahn, *Trace anomaly for chiral fermions via Hadamard subtraction*, [arXiv:1904.10982](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] F. Bastianelli and M. Broccoli, *Axial gravity and anomalies of fermions*, in preparation.
- [19] B.S. DeWitt, *Dynamical theory of groups and fields*, *Conf. Proc. C* **630701** (1964) 585 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] B.S. DeWitt, *The spacetime approach to quantum field theory*, in “*Relativity, groups and topology II*”, *proceedings of the Les Houches Summer School 1983*, B.S. De Witt and R. Stora eds., North Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands (1984) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] F. Bastianelli, *The path integral for a particle in curved spaces and Weyl anomalies*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **376** (1992) 113 [[hep-th/9112035](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [22] F. Bastianelli and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, *Trace anomalies from quantum mechanics*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **389** (1993) 53 [[hep-th/9208059](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [23] D.V. Vassilevich, *Heat kernel expansion: user’s manual*, *Phys. Rept.* **388** (2003) 279 [[hep-th/0306138](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].