Effect of segmental muscle vibration on upper extremity functional ability poststroke
A randomized controlled trial
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Abstract
Background: Upper extremity functional impairments are common consequences of stroke. Therefore, continuous investigation of effective interventions for upper extremity functions after stroke is a necessity. Segmental muscle vibration (SMV) is one of the interventions that incorporate sensory stimulation to improve motor cortical excitability. The aim of this study was to investigate the influence of 5-minute SMV application along with supervised physical therapy (SPT) on improving activities of daily living and motor recovery on the hemiparetic upper extremity in patients with stroke.

Methods: A sample of 37 patients poststroke (29 males) was randomly allocated to either SPT control group (n = 19) or SPT and SMV (SPT-SMV) experimental group (n = 19). All patients received 3 sessions per week of SPT for 8 weeks. The SPT-SMV experimental group received SMV at the end of each SPT session. Outcome measures used were Barthel index (BI), modified Ashworth scale, manual muscle testing, and goniometry for range of motion (ROM) assessment.

Results: Thirty-four patients completed the study. Patients in both groups improved significantly after treatment in BI, elbow ROM, and elbow muscles strength. However, muscle tone in elbow joint of the hemiplegic upper extremity improved significantly after SMV only in the experimental group (SPT-SMV).

Conclusion: The SPT intervention can improve functional outcomes of upper extremity in people after stroke. However, using SMV may have superior effect on improving muscle tone after stroke.

Abbreviations: ADL = activities of daily living, BI = Barthel index, MAS = modified Ashworth scale, MMT = manual muscle testing, ROM = range of motion, SMV = segmental muscle vibration, SPT = supervised physical therapy, SPT-SMV = supervised physical therapy and segmental muscle vibration.
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1. Introduction
Stroke is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide. According to a recent report, the incidence of stroke across the world ranged from 76 to 119 per 100,000.1-3 About 40% of patients poststroke exhibit moderate functional impairments while 15% to 30% exhibit severe disabilities.4-6 While a majority of those who suffer from a stroke regain independent mobility, the hemiplegic arm only regains motor function and return of task-specific activities of daily living (ADL) < 15% of the time.3-5 About 80% of stroke survivors require upper extremity therapy4-6 with 40% of survivors experience moderate to severe deficits in the upper extremity.5-6

Common manifestations of upper extremity motor impairment include muscular weakness, contracture, and tone changes as well as impairments in motor control.6-8 Almost every patient who experiences a cerebral or brainstem stroke develops a physical disability that affects ADL, including eating, dressing, and personal hygiene.7-8 Grip strength, another important variable needed for ADL, is also typically affected.8 Limitations in ADL greatly reduce independence, social participation, and quality of life.9-10 As time progresses patients can regain some motor function originally lost. It was thought that dynamic recovery only occurred up to 6 months poststroke; however, new therapies are illustrating that motor recovery can continue after that.10-12 Studies have shown that in order for rehabilitation to be effective, therapy needs to be highly repetitive, promote afferent input, and be functional, as well as engage the user and encourage frequent practice.

Therapeutic strengthening exercises and task-oriented training are among the common methods used to correct or prevent musculoskeletal deficiencies and improve the function and ADL through neuromuscular adaptations.13 The American Heart and Stroke Association recommends that strength training be conducted at 50% to 80% of the 1-repetition maximum for 10 to
15 repetitions for 2 to 3 days per week and that resistance be increased as tolerance permits for people with stroke.\textsuperscript{11}\textsuperscript{14}

Segmental muscle vibration (SMV) is a fairly new technique that has been used to improve motor function\textsuperscript{11}\textsuperscript{15} and inhibit spasticity in the hemiplegic upper extremity of patients following a stroke.\textsuperscript{11}\textsuperscript{16} In SMV, a vibratory stimulus is applied to a specific muscle tendon using a mechanical device in which it induces the generation of Ia inputs as a consequence of the activation of muscle spindle primary endings.\textsuperscript{11}\textsuperscript{17} Vibration of a muscle can increase the motor-evoked potential recorded from the muscle at rest,\textsuperscript{11}\textsuperscript{18} suggesting enhancement of corticospinal excitability changes during vibration.\textsuperscript{11}\textsuperscript{19}\textsuperscript{20} An increased duration of cortical silent period in a forearm flexor muscle during vibration of the antagonist forearm extensors has been exhibited\textsuperscript{11}\textsuperscript{21} and evidence strongly suggests that a period of pure sensory stimulation can affect motor cortical excitability.\textsuperscript{11}\textsuperscript{22}

Considering the effect of vibration stimulus on the neuromuscular system, the aim of this study was to investigate the influence of a 5-minute SMV application along with a supervised physical therapy (SPT) protocol on ADL and motor recovery (range of motion [ROM], muscle tone, and muscle power) of elbow joint on the hemiparetic side in patients with stroke.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

The study was designed as a single randomized controlled trial for a convenience sample of 37 patients postischemic stroke (7 females, 30 males) was selected based on the study inclusion and exclusion criteria. An inclusion criterion was a confirmed diagnosis of stroke. Patients were excluded from this study if they had any serious orthopedic conditions not related to stroke, cardiopulmonary problems or suffered from a neurological disease (other than stroke).

Written informed consent was obtained from participants before they were randomly assigned to either SPT control group (n = 18) or SPT-SMV experimental group (n = 19). The study was approved by University of Rome Tor Vergata ethics committee with a protocol number (212/17) and was registered in clinicalTrials.gov with an ID (NCT03419793).

2.2. Procedures

Participants were recruited from private rehabilitation center (Taa al ali center, Amman, Jordan). Fifty-two patients were assessed at baseline and reassessed at the end of week 8 of treatment. The main outcome was Barthel index (BI). Secondary outcomes were modified Ashworth scale (MAS), manual muscle testing (MMT), and goniometry for ROM assessment.

The MAS was utilized to measure spasticity.\textsuperscript{11}\textsuperscript{23} The scale assesses the resistance of limb to a rapid passive stretch in 6 scores from 0 to 5. Score 0 indicates normal muscle tone, and 5 indicates rigid limb. We tested flexion and extension of elbow joint on hemiplegic side.

The goniometer tool was used to measure active ROM (AROM).\textsuperscript{11}\textsuperscript{24}\textsuperscript{25} The goniometer axis were secured on the lateral epicondyle for measuring elbow ROM. The patient was 1st asked to actively move the elbow to full extension and then full flexion. Patient was asked to maintain full extension and flexion for 3 seconds each and the median angles of the two 3-second intervals were used for AROM calculation.

The MMT was used to assess muscle strength.\textsuperscript{11}\textsuperscript{26} The MMT consists of 6 grades.\textsuperscript{11}\textsuperscript{27} Grade 0 indicates no evidence of contractility (complete paralysis), and 5 indicates movement against gravity plus full resistance. We tested flexors and extensors for elbow on hemiplegic side.

The BI was used to measure performance in ADL.\textsuperscript{11}\textsuperscript{27} The BI consists of 10 variables; bowels, bladder, help needed with grooming, toilet use, feeding, transfers, walking, dressing, climbing stairs, and bathing. The total scores range from 0 to 100, with lower scores indicating increased disability.\textsuperscript{11}\textsuperscript{28}

2.4. Statistical analysis

The nonparametric test for 2 independent samples (Mann–Whitney U test) was used to examine the mean difference in outcome measures between the SPT-SMV experimental group and the SPT control group at the end of treatment. The nonparametric test for 2 dependent samples (Wilcoxon-signed ranks test) was used to examine the mean difference in outcome measures within each group (SPT-SMV and SPT groups) in the period between baseline and end of treatment. Effect sizes were calculated to identify the difference between pre- and posttest values within group using the following formula: \( r = Z / \sqrt{N} \) (Z: Z-value, N: number of observations), where small effect was 0.1, moderate was 0.3, and large was 0.5.\textsuperscript{11}\textsuperscript{29} Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS statistics version 20.

3. Results

During the 8-week program, 2 participants from the SPT-SMV group and 1 participant from SPT group dropped out of the study. Reasons for dropping out were due to personal circumstances and being unable to participate in exercise training. Thirty-four participants (17 in SPT-SMV group and 17 in SPT group) completed the study (Fig. 1). Demographic and health-related characteristics of participants are presented in Table 1. No significant differences were found between the 2 groups neither in demographic information nor in outcome measures at baseline.
Table 2 presents the means and standard deviations of outcome measure scores in the treatment (SPT-SMV) and control (SPT) groups during baseline and at the end of treatment. Participants in both groups improved significantly after treatment in BI, elbow ROM, and elbow muscles strength. However, muscle tone in elbow joint of the hemiplegic upper extremity improved significantly after SMV only in the experimental group (SPT-SMV). After investigating the differences between groups at the

Table 1
Characteristics of participants (N = 37).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>SPT-SMV experimental group (n = 19)</th>
<th>SPT control group (n = 18)</th>
<th>All participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age: mean (SD)</td>
<td>67.8 (8.3)</td>
<td>69.4 (10.4)</td>
<td>68.6 (9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI: mean (SD)</td>
<td>27.3 (5.2)</td>
<td>25.1 (6.5)</td>
<td>26.2 (5.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>14 (74)</td>
<td>15 (83)</td>
<td>29 (78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>5 (26)</td>
<td>3 (17)</td>
<td>8 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side of hemiplegia, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>9 (47)</td>
<td>10 (56)</td>
<td>19 (51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>10 (53)</td>
<td>8 (44)</td>
<td>18 (49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of stroke, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>14 (74)</td>
<td>16 (89)</td>
<td>15 (40.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>5 (26)</td>
<td>2 (11)</td>
<td>22 (59.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of stroke, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7 (37)</td>
<td>6 (33)</td>
<td>13 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>12 (63)</td>
<td>12 (67)</td>
<td>24 (65)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI = body mass index, SD = standard deviation, SPT-SMV = supervised physical therapy and segmental muscle vibration.

Table 2
Mean and standard deviation (SD) of outcome measures for supervised physical therapy and segmental muscle vibration (SPT-SMV) experimental group and supervised physical therapy (SPT) control group at baseline and posttreatment (N = 34).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SPT-SMV experimental group (n = 17)</th>
<th>SPT control group (n = 17)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline, mean ± SD</td>
<td>Posttreatment, mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mean ± SD</td>
<td>mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barthel index</td>
<td>71.9 ± 22.9</td>
<td>76.8 ± 21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbow ROM</td>
<td>115.0 ± 9.5</td>
<td>116.2 ± 9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbow tone</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbow flexor/extensor strength</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.8/4.2 ± 0.7</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.7/4.2 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** P < .05.  
*** P < .01.
end of treatment, there were no significant differences found in any of the outcome measures.

4. Discussion

In this study, we investigated the effect of segmental body vibrator and physical therapy interventions on the hemiplegic upper extremity in stroke patients. Similar to the results of our study, Calliandro et al found that applying vibration on the spastic limb of chronic stroke participants produced a difference in motor function when tested using the Wolf motor function test (2012). SMV has been shown to activate muscle spindles and thereby create Ia afferent fiber firing when it is used on a tendon muscle component. This firing of Ia fibers changes the corticospinal pathway’s excitability and activates the motor areas of the cortex. Celletti et al surmised that by utilizing a multidisciplinary approach of vibration therapy and rehabilitation techniques with a neurophysiologic base, a rebalancing can occur within the cortical excitatory and inhibitory system (2017).

In 2012, Noma et al demonstrated significant improvement in F-waves and MAS immediately following as well as 30 minutes following application of SMV. Our findings are consistent with several studies that revealed that SMV, especially in combination with physiotherapy, had a significant impact on muscle tone in patients with chronic stroke; frequently these changes are long lasting and had a significant impact on muscle tone with a carryover into motor function.

Our study showed that when using SMV in combination with physical therapy interventions, muscle tone, mainly, improved more significantly than with exercises alone. This was also noted by Tavernese et al, who reported that the use of SMV on the biceps brachii and flexor carpi ulnaris of the affected side of their participants who had suffered a stroke, along with physical therapy, produced a significant improvement in normalized jerk and reaching motion and this effect was maintained at the participants’ 2-week posttreatment evaluation. In the present study, the improvement in muscle tone has contributed to a noted improvement in ADL independence.

In our study, we assessed the movement of “reaching” for 2 main reasons: reaching is the upper extremity movement that creates the most interaction between the hand and the surrounding environment and because of the extent that this motion has been studied in participants who have had a stroke. Typically, the movement of reaching for patients following a stroke is characterized by an increase in movement duration, a reduction in the velocity of execution of the movement as well as a decrease in the smoothness of the movement. A combined treatment of SMV and SPT has been shown to produce a significant improvement in motor performance in the paretic upper limb during reaching movement in a population of patients with chronic stroke.

4.1. Limitations

The results of present study should be evaluated taking into account some limitations that should be acknowledged. First, our present study is that it is not a double-blinded study. However, using of an instrumented measure for outcomes assessment and blinded of independent assessor to the groups partially limited this bias. Secondly, present RCT included a small number of patients. Future studies should have a larger sample size to confirm our results. Lastly, the patients affected by chronic stroke were tested only before and after the 8-week treatment protocol without a long follow-up. To understand the effect high-frequency vibrations in poststroke patients, future studies should include a long follow-up.

5. Conclusion

Our data suggest that a multidisciplinary treatment approach to chronic ischemic stroke patients by combining segmental muscle vibrator with physical therapy interventions show significant reduction of spasticity and determine significant improvement in ADLs. In this context SMV appears to be a useful device in adjunct to physical therapy on the treatment of spasticity in stroke patients. However, further studies are needed to verify this hypothesis, specifically increasing the time of exposure to vibration treatment per session, as well as increasing the number of participants.

Author contributions

Formal analysis: Alia A. Alghwiri, Virginia Tancredi.
Investigation: Giuseppe Annino.
Methodology: Giuseppe Annino.
Resources: Cristian Romagnoli.
Software: Giuseppe Messina.
Supervision: Nicola Biagio Mercuri.
Visualization: Elvira Padua.
Writing – original draft: Anas R. Alashram.
Giuseppe Annino orcid: 0000-0001-8578-6046.

References


