



ALMA MATER STUDIORUM  
UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA

ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE  
DELLA RICERCA

## Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Xylella fastidiosa and olive quick decline syndrome (CoDiRO) in Salento (southern Italy): a chemometric <sup>1</sup>H NMR-based preliminary study on Ogliarola salentina and Cellina di Nardò cultivar

This is the submitted version (pre peer-review, preprint) of the following publication:

*Published Version:*

Girelli, C.R., Del Coco, L., Scortichini, M., Petriccione, M., Zampella, L., Mastrobuoni, F., et al. (2017). Xylella fastidiosa and olive quick decline syndrome (CoDiRO) in Salento (southern Italy): a chemometric <sup>1</sup>H NMR-based preliminary study on Ogliarola salentina and Cellina di Nardò cultivar. CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL TECHNOLOGIES IN AGRICULTURE, 4(1), 1-9 [10.1186/s40538-017-0107-7].

*Availability:*

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/617866> since: 2019-05-10

*Published:*

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1186/s40538-017-0107-7>

*Terms of use:*

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).  
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

Dear Author,

Here are the proofs of your article.

- You can submit your corrections **online**, via **e-mail** or by **fax**.
- For **online** submission please insert your corrections in the online correction form. Always indicate the line number to which the correction refers.
- You can also insert your corrections in the proof PDF and **email** the annotated PDF.
- For fax submission, please ensure that your corrections are clearly legible. Use a fine black pen and write the correction in the margin, not too close to the edge of the page.
- Remember to note the **journal title**, **article number**, and **your name** when sending your response via e-mail or fax.
- **Check** the metadata sheet to make sure that the header information, especially author names and the corresponding affiliations are correctly shown.
- **Check** the questions that may have arisen during copy editing and insert your answers/ corrections.
- **Check** that the text is complete and that all figures, tables and their legends are included. Also check the accuracy of special characters, equations, and electronic supplementary material if applicable. If necessary refer to the *Edited manuscript*.
- The publication of inaccurate data such as dosages and units can have serious consequences. Please take particular care that all such details are correct.
- Please **do not** make changes that involve only matters of style. We have generally introduced forms that follow the journal's style. Substantial changes in content, e.g., new results, corrected values, title and authorship are not allowed without the approval of the responsible editor. In such a case, please contact the Editorial Office and return his/her consent together with the proof.
- If we do not receive your corrections **within 48 hours**, we will send you a reminder.
- Your article will be published **Online First** approximately one week after receipt of your corrected proofs. This is the **official first publication** citable with the DOI. **Further changes are, therefore, not possible.**

# Metadata of the article that will be visualized in OnlineFirst

ArticleTitle	<i>Xylella fastidiosa</i> and olive quick decline syndrome (CoDiRO) in Salento (southern Italy): a chemometric <sup>1</sup> H NMR-based preliminary study on Ogliarola salentina and Cellina di Nardò cultivars	
Article Sub-Title		
Article CopyRight	The Author(s) (This will be the copyright line in the final PDF)	
Journal Name	Chemical and Biological Technologies in Agriculture	
Corresponding Author	Family Name	<b>Fanizzi</b>
	Particle	
	Given Name	<b>Francesco Paolo</b>
	Suffix	
	Division	Di.S.Te.B.A.
	Organization	University of Salento
	Address	Campus Ecotekne, Via Prov.le Lecce-Monteroni, 73100, Lecce, Italy
	Phone	+39-0832-298867/607
	Fax	
	Email	fp.fanizzi@unisalento.it
	URL	
	ORCID	<a href="http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3073-5772">http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3073-5772</a>
Author	Family Name	<b>Girelli</b>
	Particle	
	Given Name	<b>Chiara Roberta</b>
	Suffix	
	Division	Di.S.Te.B.A.
	Organization	University of Salento
	Address	Campus Ecotekne, Via Prov.le Lecce-Monteroni, 73100, Lecce, Italy
	Phone	
	Fax	
	Email	fp.fanizzi@unisalento.it
	URL	
	ORCID	
Author	Family Name	<b>Coco</b>
	Particle	<b>Del</b>
	Given Name	<b>Laura</b>
	Suffix	
	Division	Di.S.Te.B.A.
	Organization	University of Salento
	Address	Campus Ecotekne, Via Prov.le Lecce-Monteroni, 73100, Lecce, Italy
	Phone	
	Fax	
	Email	fp.fanizzi@unisalento.it

URL  
ORCID

---

Author	Family Name	<b>Scortichini</b>
	Particle	
	Given Name	<b>Marco</b>
	Suffix	
	Division	
	Organization	Council for Agricultural Research and Analysis of Agricultural Economics (CREA), Research Centre for Olive, Fruit Trees and Citrus
	Address	Via di Fioranello 52, 00134, Rome, Italy
	Division	
	Organization	Council for Agricultural Research and Analysis of Agricultural Economics (CREA), Research Centre for Olive, Fruit Trees and Citrus
	Address	Via Torrino 3, 81100, Caserta, Italy
	Phone	
	Fax	
	Email	fp.fanizzi@unisalento.it
	URL	
	ORCID	

---

Author	Family Name	<b>Petriccione</b>
	Particle	
	Given Name	<b>Milena</b>
	Suffix	
	Division	
	Organization	Council for Agricultural Research and Analysis of Agricultural Economics (CREA), Research Centre for Olive, Fruit Trees and Citrus
	Address	Via Torrino 3, 81100, Caserta, Italy
	Phone	
	Fax	
	Email	fp.fanizzi@unisalento.it
	URL	
	ORCID	

---

Author	Family Name	<b>Zampella</b>
	Particle	
	Given Name	<b>Luigi</b>
	Suffix	
	Division	
	Organization	Council for Agricultural Research and Analysis of Agricultural Economics (CREA), Research Centre for Olive, Fruit Trees and Citrus
	Address	Via Torrino 3, 81100, Caserta, Italy
	Phone	
	Fax	
	Email	fp.fanizzi@unisalento.it
	URL	
	ORCID	

---

Author	Family Name	<b>Mastrobuoni</b>
--------	-------------	--------------------

Particle  
Given Name **Francesco**  
Suffix  
Division  
Organization Council for Agricultural Research and Analysis of Agricultural Economics (CREA), Research Centre for Olive, Fruit Trees and Citrus  
Address Via Torrino 3, 81100, Caserta, Italy  
Phone  
Fax  
Email [fp.fanizzi@unisalento.it](mailto:fp.fanizzi@unisalento.it)  
URL  
ORCID

---

Author Family Name **Cesari**  
Particle  
Given Name **Gianluigi**  
Suffix  
Division  
Organization Nepri srl  
Address Via Cifarelli, 70124, Bari, Italy  
Phone  
Fax  
Email [fp.fanizzi@unisalento.it](mailto:fp.fanizzi@unisalento.it)  
URL  
ORCID

---

Author Family Name **Bertaccini**  
Particle  
Given Name **Assunta**  
Suffix  
Division DipSA, Plant Pathology  
Organization Alma Mater Studiorum-University of Bologna  
Address Viale G. Fanin 42, 40127, Bologna, Italy  
Phone  
Fax  
Email [fp.fanizzi@unisalento.it](mailto:fp.fanizzi@unisalento.it)  
[URL](#)  
ORCID

---

Author Family Name **D'Amico**  
Particle  
Given Name **Gianfranco**  
Suffix  
Division DipSA, Plant Pathology  
Organization Alma Mater Studiorum-University of Bologna  
Address Viale G. Fanin 42, 40127, Bologna, Italy  
Phone  
Fax

Email [fp.fanizzi@unisalento.it](mailto:fp.fanizzi@unisalento.it)  
URL  
ORCID

---

Author      Family Name      **Contaldo**  
Particle  
Given Name      **Nicoletta**  
Suffix  
Division      DipSA, Plant Pathology  
Organization      Alma Mater Studiorum-University of Bologna  
Address      Viale G. Fanin 42, 40127, Bologna, Italy  
Phone  
Fax  
Email      [fp.fanizzi@unisalento.it](mailto:fp.fanizzi@unisalento.it)  
URL  
ORCID

---

Author      Family Name      **Migoni**  
Particle  
Given Name      **Danilo**  
Suffix  
Division      Di.S.Te.B.A.  
Organization      University of Salento  
Address      Campus Ecotekne, Via Prov.le Lecce-Monteroni, 73100, Lecce, Italy  
Phone  
Fax  
Email      [fp.fanizzi@unisalento.it](mailto:fp.fanizzi@unisalento.it)  
URL  
ORCID


---

Schedule      Received      21 March 2017  
Revised  
Accepted      16 June 2017

---

Abstract      *Xylella fastidiosa* is a Gram-negative bacterium which lives in the xylem of plants, causing its occlusion and other alterations inducing eventually the death of the infected plants. In Salento, the sub-peninsula in the south-eastern of Apulia Region (southern Italy), the infection of *X. fastidiosa* has been associated with the widespread presence of CoDiRO (complex of parasitic agents that constitute the so-called “olive quick decline syndrome”) and currently represents a serious local emergence. The need to adopt specific agronomic measures to contrast the further disease spread has been recently raised. The extensive NMR-based metabolomic approach to study the metabolic effects of CoDiRO on local olive cultivars such as Ogliarola salentina and Cellina di Nardò was used. In this study, the effects of a CE approved fertilizer containing zinc, copper, and citric acid, known as DENTAMET<sup>®</sup>, on CoDiRO-exhibiting olive trees infected by *X. fastidiosa* were studied by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. The changes in the metabolomic profiles of aqueous extracts obtained from leaves of the two olive cultivars are reported. Upon the DENTAMET<sup>®</sup> treatments, different and opposite polyphenolic and sugars patterns in the two cultivars, which showed a different incidence and severity of disease before the treatments, were detected. Differences in the sugars and polyphenols content of treated versus untreated trees could potentially contribute to the syndrome

monitoring and might be related to the *X. fastidiosa* presence. Graphical abstract.



Keywords (separated by '-') *Xylella fastidiosa* - CoDiRO - Olive trees - NMR - Metabolomics - DENTAMET®

Footnote Information

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (doi:10.1186/s40538-017-0107-7) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.  
Chiara Roberta Girelli and Laura Del Coco contributed equally to this work

RESEARCH

Open Access



# *Xylella fastidiosa* and olive quick decline syndrome (CoDiRO) in Salento (southern Italy): a chemometric <sup>1</sup>H NMR-based preliminary study on Ogliarola salentina and Cellina di Nardò cultivars

Chiara Roberta Girelli<sup>1†</sup>, Laura Del Coco<sup>1†</sup>, Marco Scortichini<sup>2,3</sup>, Milena Petriccione<sup>3</sup>, Luigi Zampella<sup>3</sup>, Francesco Mastrobuoni<sup>3</sup>, Gianluigi Cesari<sup>4</sup>, Assunta Bertaccini<sup>5</sup>, Gianfranco D'Amico<sup>5</sup>, Nicoletta Contaldo<sup>5</sup>, Danilo Migoni<sup>1</sup> and Francesco Paolo Fanizzi<sup>1\*</sup>

## Abstract

*Xylella fastidiosa* is a Gram-negative bacterium which lives in the xylem of plants, causing its occlusion and other alterations inducing eventually the death of the infected plants. In Salento, the sub-peninsula in the south-eastern of Apulia Region (southern Italy), the infection of *X. fastidiosa* has been associated with the widespread presence of CoDiRO (complex of parasitic agents that constitute the so-called "olive quick decline syndrome") and currently represents a serious local emergence. The need to adopt specific agronomic measures to contrast the further disease spread has been recently raised. The extensive NMR-based metabolomic approach to study the metabolic effects of CoDiRO on local olive cultivars such as Ogliarola salentina and Cellina di Nardò was used. In this study, the effects of a CE approved fertilizer containing zinc, copper, and citric acid, known as DENTAMET<sup>®</sup>, on CoDiRO-exhibiting olive trees infected by *X. fastidiosa* were studied by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. The changes in the metabolomic profiles of aqueous extracts obtained from leaves of the two olive cultivars are reported. Upon the DENTAMET<sup>®</sup> treatments, different and opposite polyphenolic and sugars patterns in the two cultivars, which showed a different incidence and severity of disease before the treatments, were detected. Differences in the sugars and polyphenols content of treated versus untreated trees could potentially contribute to the syndrome monitoring and might be related to the *X. fastidiosa* presence.

**Keywords:** *Xylella fastidiosa*, CoDiRO, Olive trees, NMR, Metabolomics, DENTAMET<sup>®</sup>

## Background

Starting from 2010, on the west coast of Salento area (Lecce province, southern Italy), symptoms of the so-called CoDiRO "Olive Quick Decline Syndrome" were observed (i.e., leaf scorching, twig and branch wilting,

tree die-back) [1]. Subsequently, the syndrome spread over many hectares of olive trees causing dramatic effects and currently it represents a serious local emergence [2]. *Xylella fastidiosa* is a Gram-negative bacterium member of the *Xanthomonadaceae* ( $\gamma$ -proteobacteria) which colonizes the xylem of host plants and the foreguts of insect vectors [3]. This plant pathogen was recently found associated with the CoDiRO [4, 5]. *X. fastidiosa* infects a wide range of host plants, such as grapevine, almond, blueberry, cherry, peach, coffee, and citrus trees, causing great economic losses mainly in North, Central and

\*Correspondence: fp.fanizzi@unisalento.it

<sup>†</sup>Chiara Roberta Girelli and Laura Del Coco contributed equally to this work

<sup>1</sup> Di.S.Te.B.A., University of Salento, Campus Ecotekne, Via Prov.le Lecce-Monteroni, 73100 Lecce, Italy

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2017. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

	Journal : 40538	Dispatch : 23-6-2017	Pages : 9
	Article No : 107	<input type="checkbox"/> LE	<input type="checkbox"/> TYPESET
	MS Code : CBTA-D-17-00011	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DISK



South America [6]. The pathogen is also known to infect landscape and ornamental trees such as oak, maple, and oleander [4]. The introduction of latently infected ornamental plants from Central America was reported as the venue for the subsequent spread of the pathogen in Salento [7]. The main symptoms associated with infections of *X. fastidiosa* are the marginal and or apical leaf scorching, twig and branch die-back and plant death [3]. The symptoms have been attributed to prolonged water stress caused by bacterium growth and biofilm formation in the xylem vessels [8]. The negative socio-economic impact of *X. fastidiosa* infection in North and South America (i.e., USA and Brazil) and now in Italy is well known. In the latter case, the negative impact is due not only to relevant economic losses, but also to the dramatic effect on the typical cultural heritage represented by olive trees. Apulian 1000-year-old olive trees are protected as local patrimony and considered a symbol of the local identity, also for their massive presence in the territory. Moreover, six over a number of 60 mln of olive trees were classified as monumental, as resulted from the first olive tree census in the whole Apulia region [9]. For these reasons, when implementing a specific control method in order to contain the further disease spread, it is important to study also its social and cultural acceptability as well as its socioeconomic impact [10]. Therefore, the need to adopt specific agronomic and phytosanitary measures to improve the vegetative state of the plants has been recently raised. For these reasons, the study of the CoDiRO effects on symptomatic olive trees by using the NMR-based metabolomics approach was carried out. In this study, we analyzed the effects of a CE approved fertilizer, known as DENTAMET® (i.e., a mixture of zinc and copper complex with hydracids of citric acid [11]) sprayed on symptomatic olive trees located in Salento. This fertilizer can be considered as a product with a dual action formulation by which the correction of Zn and Cu deficiencies occurs very quickly, as well as a resistance is induced by the cyclic peptide resembling the chemical structure of several antimicrobial substances released from the plant in response to different stresses. Thanks to the low environmental impact and few restrictions on its use, Dentamet is allowed for organic farming and commercialized in more than 30 countries in the world [11].

The changes in the metabolomic profiles of aqueous extracts obtained from leaves of Ogliarola salentina and Cellina di Nardò olive cultivars assessed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy and MVA are reported.

## Methods

### Sample collection and treatment procedures

All samples were obtained by collecting, in mid June 2015, leaves from olive trees, strictly located in the same


pedoclimatic areas, which were previously treated with DENTAMET® (i.e., two treatments in April, followed by one in May). This foliar fertilizer based on zinc (4.0% w/w) and copper (2.0% w/w) salt is obtained by an electrolytic process, and then complexed with hydracid of citric acid obtained through a process of fermentation similar to those who occurs in nature by certain soil fungi, [11] (Scheme 1). Although the reported metal ion binding of the citrate molecule in DENTAMET is according to Scheme 1, the possible presence of other coordination mode and/or solvolytic species in the patented commercial product could not be excluded.

In addition, leaves from olive trees showing symptoms of CoDiRO (i.e., twig wilting and branch die-back) with any kind of control measures were collected and served as control samples (i.e., untreated).

A total of 55 leaf samples, each one containing 15–20 apparently healthy leaves, were collected from three different CoDiRO-exhibiting olive tree orchards, located in the districts of Veglie, Galatina, and Galatone (Lecce province, Salento peninsula), where the presence of *X. fastidiosa* was previously ascertained by PCR detection. Briefly, the plants were tested for the bacterium presence using 5 µL of DNA template obtained after extraction with Qiagen kit from 1 g of leaf midribs in PCR with primers and under conditions reported in literature [12]. In the district of Veglie, a total of 16 samples (eight from two treated olive cv. Ogliarola salentina and eight from two untreated cv. Ogliarola salentina trees) were collected. In Galatina district, a total of 19 samples (eight from two treated and eleven from four untreated trees) of olive cv. Cellina di Nardò were processed, while in Galatone district 20 samples (ten from treated and ten from untreated trees) were employed from olive cv. Cellina di Nardò. Where possible, the leaf samples were collected from the four cardinal points in each plant, and, in some cases, from the sucker in the lower part of the plant (Table 1).

### Sample preparation for NMR analysis

Olive trees leaves were plunged into liquid N<sub>2</sub> before freeze drying and ground to a fine powder with a stainless steel blender. Freeze-dried plant material (15 mg) was weighted into an autoclaved 2 mL Eppendorf tube.



**Table 1 Summary of the experimental trials with DENTAMET® Diagro**

Farm	La Duchessa	Cosimo Pinca	Cosimo Pinca
Location	Veglie (LE), C.da Duchessa	Galatone (LE), C.da 3 Pietre	Galatina (LE)
GPS point	N 40°20'50.31" E 17°54'24.55"	N 40°7'22.92" E 18°1'27.91"	N 40°9'55.96" E 18° 6,48.26"
Crop	<i>Olea europea</i> cv. Ogliarola salentina	<i>Olea europea</i> cv. Cellina di Nardò	<i>Olea europea</i> cv. Cellina di Nardò
Age of plants	~70 years old	~60 years old	~60 years old
Plant distance	~10 m × 10 m	~10 m × 10 m	~10 m × 10 m
Dose of product and time of spray treatment	5 kg/ha (3.9 L of product) for foliar application by atomizer in April (2) and May	5 kg/ha (3.9 L of product) for foliar application by atomizer in April (2) and May	5 kg/ha (3.9 L of product) for foliar application by atomizer in April (2) and May
Plot area (blocks of trees)	20 treated and 20 untreated trees	15 treated and 15 untreated trees	20 treated and 20 untreated trees
Mean incidence and severity of disease (as recorded before the treatment)	20% of trees showing symptoms with a severity of 10%	50% of trees showing symptoms with a severity of 20%	60% of trees showing symptoms with a severity of 20%

All the plant material was made inert by chemical and physical processes, including 200 °C overnight treatment, according to standard procedures

137 A D<sub>2</sub>O:CD<sub>3</sub>OD (1 mL, 80:20) mixture containing 0.05%  
138 w/v TSP-*d*4 (sodium salt of trimethylsilylpropionic acid)  
139 was added to each sample. The contents of the tube were  
140 mixed thoroughly with vortex mixer and then heated at  
141 50 °C in a water bath for 10 min. After cooling at room  
142 temperature, the samples were spun down in a microcen-  
143 trifuge at 10,000g for 5 min; then, 700 µL of the superna-  
144 tant were filled into a 5 mm NMR tube.

#### 145 NMR spectroscopy and data processing

146 All measurements were performed on a Bruker Avance  
147 III 600 Ascend NMR spectrometer (Bruker, Germany)  
148 operating at 600.13 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H observation, equipped  
149 with a z axis gradient coil and automatic tuning-match-  
150 ing (ATM). Experiments were run at 300 K in automa-  
151 tion mode after loading individual samples on a Bruker  
152 Automatic Sample Changer, interfaced with the soft-  
153 ware IconNMR (Bruker). For each sample, a one-dimen-  
154 sional ZGPR and NOESY experiment (referred to as  
155 1D-NOESY), including water signal saturation during  
156 relaxation, mixing time, and a spoil gradient, was per-  
157 formed. All spectra were referenced to the TSP signal  
158 (*d* = 0.00 ppm). NMR data were processed using TopSpin  
159 2.1 (Bruker) and visually inspected using Amix 3.9.13  
160 (Bruker, BioSpin). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra were segmented in  
161 rectangular bucket (0.04 ppm width) and integrated. The  
162 data table generated with all the spectra was submitted to  
163 multivariate statistical analysis, using Simca-P version 14  
164 (Umetrics, Sweden).

#### 165 Chemometric data analysis

166 Multivariate analyses were applied to mean-centered  
167 data. The Pareto scaling method, which is performed  
168 by dividing the mean-centered data by the square root  
169 of the standard deviation, was then applied to the

variables (the bucket-reduced NMR spectra). Unsu-  
pervised (principal component analysis, PCA) and  
supervised (partial least squares discriminant analysis,  
PLS-DA and orthogonal partial least squares discrimi-  
nant analysis, OPLS-DA) pattern recognition methods  
were performed to examine the intrinsic variation in  
the data.


Principal component analysis is the mostly used unsu-  
pervised dimensionality reduction method to get an  
overview of the multivariate profiles and for identifying  
patterns in data. PLS-DA and OPLS-DA were used for  
the discrimination of samples with different character-  
istics (such as cultivars and/or geographical origin) as  
shown in several recent studies of metabolomics [13–16].  
The robustness and predictive ability of the statistical  
models for discrimination purposes were tested by cross-  
validation default method (7-fold) and further evaluated  
with permutation test (400 permutations) of SIMCA 14  
software, Umetrics, Umea, Sweden [17, 18]. The *R*<sup>2</sup> (cum)  
and *Q*<sup>2</sup> (cum) are the two parameters that describe the  
goodness of the models. The former (*R*<sup>2</sup>) explains the  
total variations in the data, whereas the latter (*Q*<sup>2</sup>) is an  
internal cross validation parameter, which indicates the  
predictability of the model [19].

## 194 Results and discussion

### 195 Multivariate statistical analyses on NMR data

196 Typical 600 MHz <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra for treated and  
197 untreated aqueous extracts obtained from olive leaves  
198 are reported in Fig. 1. Sugars and organic acids charac-  
199 terized the alkyl and hydroxyl-alkyl region (middle and  
200 low frequencies, from 5.5 to 0.5 ppm), whereas phenolic  
201 compounds are typical for the aromatic region (high  
202 frequencies, 9.0–6.0 ppm). Relevant <sup>1</sup>H NMR data are  
203 reported in Table 2. The metabolites were assigned on





**Fig. 1** 600 MHz  $^1\text{H}$ -NMR spectra of the aqueous extracts from the leaves of Ogliarola salentina and Cellina di Nardò olive trees (DENTAMET<sup>®</sup> treated and untreated)

**Table 2** Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) and assignment of relevant metabolite resonances in the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of *X. fastidiosa* leaves extracts

Metabolites	$\delta$ (ppm)
Alanine	1.48 (d)
Choline	3.20 (s)
$\alpha$ -Glucose	5.22 (d), 3.50 (dd)
$\beta$ -Glucose	4.62 (d), 3.22 (t)
Sucrose	5.41 (d), 3.53 (dd)
Hydroxytyrosol	6.90 (d), 6.81 (d), 6.70 (d), 3.78 (t), 2.76 (t)
Tyrosol	6.84 (d), 6.70 (d), 3.78 (t), 2.76 (t)
Oleuropein	6.04 (q), 5.78 (s), 3.89 (sugar protons), 2.68 (d), 2.5 (dd), 1.55 (d)
Other aldehydic and dialdehydic forms of oleuropein and ligstroside	9.22 (s) 9.18 (s), 6.04 (d), 5.74

A typical 600 MHz  $^1\text{H}$ -NMR spectrum with the assignment of the metabolite peaks was reported in Additional file 1: Figure S1

Letters in parentheses indicate the peak multiplicities

s singlet, d doublet, t triplet, dd doublet of doublet, q quartet


204 the basis of 2D NMR spectra analysis (2D  $^1\text{H}$  Jres,  $^1\text{H}$   
205 COSY,  $^1\text{H}$ - $^{13}\text{C}$  HSQC, and HMBC) and by comparison  
206 with published data [20–22].

207 In order to reveal a possible general data group-  
208 ing of the samples, an unsupervised PCA analysis was  
209 applied considering separately the untreated and the  
210 DENTAMET<sup>®</sup> treated samples of the two classes, Ogli-  
211 arola salentina and Cellina di Nardò cultivars (Fig. 2).  
212 The first PCA model is built with all the untreated Ogli-  
213 arola salentina and Cellina di Nardò samples (Fig. 2a).  
214 In this model, the first two components give  $R^2 = 0.71$   
215 and  $Q^2 = 0.55$ ,  $t[1]$  and  $t[2]$  accounting for 56 and 15%  
216 of the explained variance, respectively. The PCA model  
217 of Fig. 2b is built with all the treated Ogliarola salentina  
218 and Cellina di Nardò samples, and the first two compo-  
219 nents give  $R^2 = 0.71$  and  $Q^2 = 0.59$ , describing the sam-  
220 ples distribution in the bidimensional space defined by  
221  $t[1]$  and  $t[2]$  (in this case accounting for 53 and 18% of  
222 the explained variance, respectively). Analysis of the  
223 PCA ( $t[1]/t[2]$ ) score plots showed that only in the case  
224 of samples treated with DENTAMET<sup>®</sup>, a clear partition  
225 of data was observed with a good grouping according  
226 to the original cultivar (Ogliarola salentina and Cellina  
227 di Nardò). On the other hand, when all the CoDiRO-  
228 exhibiting plants (the untreated samples) were submitted  
229 to PCA analysis, no differences of metabolomic profiles  
230 appeared among samples. These results suggest that the

effect of the presence of a pathogen, such as *X. fastidi-*  
231 *osa* on the metabolic profile of CoDiRO symptomatology  
232 exhibiting plants samples, could be predominant with  
233 respect to the differences normally observed among the  
234 olive cultivars. Nevertheless, the presence of other external  
235 factors, abiotic or biotic, responsible for the lack of  
236 discrimination observed in PCA score plot (Fig. 2a) could  
237 not be excluded. On the other hand, the further observed  
238 discrimination after the treatment strongly suggests that  
239 CoDiRO complex could be responsible for the metabolic  
240 uniformity observed in Fig. 2a.

241 All the CoDiRO-exhibiting plants (considering at the  
242 same time treated and untreated samples and the two  
243 cultivars, Ogliarola and Cellina di Nardò) have been  
244 studied by unsupervised PCA and supervised OPLS-  
245 DA analyses. The explorative unsupervised method  
246 (PCA) used for the whole data did not give clear group  
247 separation (data not shown), while the OPLS-DA model  
248 based on treated vs. untreated category as discriminating  
249 class (Fig. 3) produced a good descriptive but weak  
250 predictive model [one predictive and four orthogonal  
251 components give  $R^2X$  (cum) = 0.82,  $R^2Y$  (cum) = 0.60,  
252 and  $Q^2$  (cum) = 0.24]. A first level of discrimination  
253 was also observed on the basis of the treatment applied  
254 to the samples (DENTAMET<sup>®</sup> treated vs untreated).  
255 The study of the variables responsible for the class separation  
256 observed in Fig. 3a could be determined by the analysis  
257 of the  $p$ (corr) in the S-line plot (Fig. 3b). Interestingly,  
258 by examining the loadings of the original variables  
259 a higher relative content of polyphenols, such as  
260 oleuropein and ligstroside and their derivatives (tyrosol  
261







262 and hydroxytyrosol) was observed for the treated sample. This resulted from the presence of signals in the aromatic region, at frequencies corresponding to tyrosol and hydroxytyrosol (6.84, 6.70, 3.78, 2.76 ppm and 6.90, 6.81, 6.70, 3.78, 2.76 ppm) and oleuropein and its aldehydic derivatives (6.04, 5.78, 3.89, 2.68, 2.5, 1.55 ppm and 9.22, 9.18, 6.04, 5.74 ppm). On the other hand, a higher relative content of sugars was observed for the untreated samples. This resulted from the presence of signals of anomeric protons of  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -glucose (doublets at 5.22 and 4.62 ppm, respectively) [20–22].

273 In order to deeply analyze the response of the CoDiRO-exhibiting plants to the treatment, the metabolic profile of treated and untreated plants was better characterized for each cultivar. In the first case, the unsupervised PCA analysis, applied to Cellina di Nardò samples resulted in no data clustering observation for the first two components, PC1 and PC2. Indeed, inspection of further components other than the first two was required (PC2 vs. PC4), in order to observe in the scoreplot a certain degree of samples clustering (see Additional file 1: Figure S2). Therefore, the supervised OPLS-DA analysis gave a good model [1 + 2 + 0,  $R^2X$  (cum) = 0.725,  $R^2Y$  (cum) = 0.728 and  $Q^2$  (cum) = 0.53] with a clear partition between DENTAMET<sup>®</sup> treated and untreated samples (Fig. 4a). By examining the loadings of the original variables, the molecular components distinctive for each class could be determined. CoDiRO-exhibiting samples showed a lower polyphenol content for untreated with respect to

291 treated samples. Interestingly, a relatively higher polyphenol content (with respect to other Salento cultivars) was observed for the for Cellina di Nardò EVOOs samples originating from healthy trees [23]. In the case of Ogliarola salentina samples, the chemometric analysis of a matrix composed by a reduced number of <sup>1</sup>H spectra showed a clear partition between DENTAMET<sup>®</sup> treated and untreated samples, as reported in the OPLS-DA score plot (Fig. 5a). The unsupervised method (PCA) gave unclear results (see Additional file 1: Figure S3), while the OPLS-DA analysis gave a good model [1 + 2 + 0,  $R^2X$  (cum) = 0.786,  $R^2Y$  (cum) = 0.837 and  $Q^2$  (cum) = 0.489], showing a clear partition between DENTAMET<sup>®</sup> treated and untreated samples along the first predictive component (Fig. 5a). By examining the loadings of the original variables in the S-line plot (Fig. 5b), the molecular components distinctive for each class could be defined. In particular, infected Ogliarola salentina untreated plants showed a metabolic profile characterized by a higher content of polyphenol molecules. On the other hand, the DENTAMET<sup>®</sup>-treated infected plants were characterized by a higher sugar content. In this case, the observed polyphenols decrease, in treated with respect to control trees, is in accord with the polyphenols production associated to drought stress [24, 25], notwithstanding the levels of phenols are characteristic for each cultivar such as abiotic stress responses [26–28]. Interestingly, when unsupervised exploratory method (PCA) was applied a good grouping according






320 to the original cultivar (Ogljarola salentina and Cellina di  
 321 Nardò) resulted only in the case of DENTAMET<sup>®</sup>-treated  
 322 samples while the differences normally observed accord-  
 323 ing to the olive cultivars were not predominant in the  
 324 case of untreated CoDiRO-exhibiting plants. Considering  
 325 all the CoDiRO-exhibiting samples (obtained from both  
 326 the Ogljarola salentina and Cellina di Nardò cultivars),

supervised methods (in particular OPLS-DA analy-  
 327 sis) were required to obtain the discrimination between  
 328 untreated and DENTAMET<sup>®</sup>-treated samples. The dif-  
 329 ferent olive cultivars would seem to differently respond  
 330 to the DENTAMET<sup>®</sup> treatments by altering their metabo-  
 331 lic profiles in the sugars and polyphenols content. In par-  
 332 ticular, OPLS-DA analyses revealed that Cellina di Nardò  
 333





334 CoDiRO samples showed a lower polyphenols and a  
 335 higher sugar content for the untreated with respect to the  
 336 treated ones. In contrast, in the case of Ogliarola salentina  
 337 CoDiRO-exhibiting trees, DENTAMET<sup>®</sup>-untreated  
 338 samples showed a higher content of polyphenol molecules  
 339 while samples from treated infected plants were characterized  
 340 by a higher sugar content. As already reported in literature  
 341 [24, 25], physiological and biochemical responses to stress  
 342 are closely cultivar-dependent. In the present case, since  
 343 studied Ogliarola salentina and Cellina di Nardò cultivar  
 344 trees were also characterized by a different level of pathogen  
 345 attack, the observed changes in metabolic profiles due to  
 346 DENTAMET<sup>®</sup> treatment could be also related to such a  
 347 specific factor. Moreover in plants, both polyphenols and  
 348 carbohydrates can play a relevant role during the pathogen  
 349 infection. In fact, the downregulation of polyphenol-oxidase  
 350 expression dramatically increased the susceptibility of tomato  
 351 plants to *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* [29]. In olive,  
 352 polyphenols could also play a direct and significant role  
 353 in protecting the tree towards the infection of phytopathogens.  
 354 In particular, oleuropein, as extracted from olive waste  
 355 water, has been shown to be effective towards *Pseudomonas*  
 356 *savastanoi* pv. *savastanoi*, the causal agent of olive knot  
 357 disease, by inhibiting its growth [30]. Also carbohydrate  
 358 activation can be related to a number of stress that can  
 359 disturb or subvert to normal plant metabolism such as a  
 360 wound or a pathogen attack [31, 32]. It should be said,  
 361 however, that the interplay occurring between

363 polyphenols and carbohydrates during a pathogen infection  
 364 has not been studied in detail. The metabolomic approach  
 365 here preliminary applied to olive trees showing the CoDiRO  
 366 symptoms could shed light into their interrelationships  
 367 upon a pathogen attack.

### 368 Conclusions

369 In the present work, non-targeted <sup>1</sup>H NMR fingerprinting,  
 370 in combination with unsupervised (PCA) and supervised  
 371 pattern recognition techniques (in particular OPLS-DA),  
 372 was used to analyze the response of the CoDiRO-exhibiting  
 373 olive trees cvs Ogliarola salentina and Cellina di Nardò,  
 374 grown in the Salento peninsula, to the DENTAMET<sup>®</sup> treatments.  
 375 In both cultivars, the occurrence of *X. fastidiosa* was  
 376 ascertained. Metabolic profiles obtained by <sup>1</sup>H NMR  
 377 spectra were able to differentiate the two cultivars for  
 378 treated with respect to untreated samples. On the other  
 379 hand, the effect of DENTAMET<sup>®</sup> treatment resulted  
 380 specific and different for each of the two studied  
 381 cultivars. In particular, treated Cellina di Nardò trees  
 382 showed a higher polyphenols content, whereas treated  
 383 Ogliarola salentina trees showed a higher sugar content.  
 384 It should be stressed that a different incidence and  
 385 severity of disease was observed for the Cellina di  
 386 Nardò with respect to the Ogliarola salentina CoDiRO-  
 387 exhibiting trees, with the former showing a more severe  
 388 infection. Therefore, further research is needed to  
 389 determine if the different metabolic responses are  
 390 correlated to olive cultivars or to pathogen attack



391 levels or both factors. In particular, in order to more  
392 precisely assess the relationships between *X. fastidiosa*  
393 infection and the polyphenol and carbohydrates trend  
394 upon the infection, future studies can be performed by  
395 using precise dose(s) of bacterial inoculum to be inocu-  
396 lated in pot-cultivated olive plants. Then the relative con-  
397 tent of polyphenols and carbohydrates into the leaves  
398 together with the multiplication trend of the phytopatho-  
399 gen could be monitored during the seasons by the metab-  
400 olomic approach here applied.

#### 401 Additional file

**Additional file 1: Figure S1.** Typical 600 MHz <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of olive leaf extracts. Diagnostic peaks of some metabolites are indicated in the two expansions of the spectrum. **Figure S2.** PCA (a) t[1]/t[2] and (b) t[2]/t[4] score plots for cv. Cellina di Nardò cultivars, considering the DENTAMET® treated and the untreated samples [six components, R<sup>2</sup>X (cum) = 0.935, Q<sup>2</sup> (cum) = 0.816]. **Figure S3.** PCA (a) t[1]/t[2] and (b) t[2]/t[4] score plots for cv. Ogliarola Salentina cultivars, considering the DENTAMET® treated and the untreated samples [six components, R<sup>2</sup>X (cum) = 0.964, Q<sup>2</sup> (cum) = 0.815].

#### 402 Abbreviations

403 CoDiRO: Olive Quick Decline Syndrome; CE: European community; MVA:  
404 multivariate statistical analysis; PCA: principal component analysis; OPLS-DA:  
405 orthogonal partial least squares discriminant analysis.

#### 406 Authors' contributions

407 CRG and LDC: prepared the samples for NMR analysis, performed the NMR  
408 experiments, analyzed and interpreted the NMR and statistical data, wrote and  
409 reviewed drafts of the manuscript, prepared the final writing; MS collected leaf  
410 samples, reviewed drafts of the paper, and contributed to the final writing; MP,  
411 LZ, FM collected leaf samples and performed the PCR analysis; GC collected  
412 leaf samples; AB reviewed drafts of the paper and contributed to the final  
413 writing; GD and NC collected leaf samples and performed the PCR analysis;  
414 DM contributed to prepare the samples and treated the plant material; FPF  
415 supervised NMR experiments and statistical analysis, wrote and reviewed  
416 drafts of the paper and contributed to the final writing. All authors read and  
417 approved the final manuscript.

#### 418 Author details

419 <sup>1</sup> Di.S.Te.B.A., University of Salento, Campus Ecotekne, Via Prov.le Lecce-Monter-  
420 oni, 73100 Lecce, Italy. <sup>2</sup> Council for Agricultural Research and Analysis of Agri-  
421 cultural Economics (CREA), Research Centre for Olive, Fruit Trees and Citrus,  
422 Via di Fioranello 52, 00134 Rome, Italy. <sup>3</sup> Council for Agricultural Research  
423 and Analysis of Agricultural Economics (CREA), Research Centre for Olive,  
424 Fruit Trees and Citrus, Via Torrino 3, 81100 Caserta, Italy. <sup>4</sup> Nepri srl, Via Cifarelli,  
425 70124 Bari, Italy. <sup>5</sup> DipSA, Plant Pathology, Alma Mater Studiorum-University  
426 of Bologna, Viale G. Fanin 42, 40127 Bologna, Italy.

#### 427 Acknowledgements

428 The authors thank the olive farms "La Duchessa" (Veglie, (LE), Italy) and Cosimo  
429 Pinca (Galatone, Galatina, (LE), Italy) for hosting the trials.

#### 430 Competing interests

431 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### 432 Availability of data and materials

433 Additional Data attached in the Additional file 1. Other data and materials  
434 could be requested from the corresponding author.

#### 435 Consent for publication

436 The authors agreed the publication of the manuscript in this journal.

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

This manuscript is an original paper, and has not published in other journals.  
The authors agreed to keep the copyright rule.

#### Funding

This study was supported by Apulia Region, DGR N.2185/2016, Project "Strat-  
egie di controllo integrato per il contenimento di *Xylella fastidiosa* in oliveti  
pugliesi ed analisi epidemiologica del complesso del disseccamento rapido  
dell'olivo (CoDiRO)".

#### Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in pub-  
lished maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 21 March 2017 Accepted: 16 June 2017

#### References

- Nigro F, Boscia D, Antelmi I, Ippolito A. Fungal species associated with a severe decline of olive in southern Italy. *J Plant Pathol.* 2013;95(3):668.
- Saponari M, Loconsole G, Cornara D, Yokomi RK, De Stradis A, Boscia D, et al. Infectivity and transmission of *Xylella fastidiosa* by *Philaenus spumarius* (Hemiptera: Aphrophoridae) in Apulia, Italy. *J Econ Entomol.* 2014;107(4):1316–9.
- Navarrete F, De La Fuente L. Response of *Xylella fastidiosa* to Zinc: decreased culturability, increased exopolysaccharide production, and formation of resilient biofilms under flow conditions. *Appl Environ Microb.* 2014;80(3):1097–107.
- Saponari M, Boscia D, Nigro F, Martelli GP. Identification of DNA sequences related to *Xylella Fastidiosa* in oleander, almond and olive trees exhibiting leaf scorch symptoms in Apulia (southern Italy). *J Plant Pathol.* 2013;95(3):659–68.
- Cariddi C, Saponari M, Boscia D, De Stradis A, Loconsole G, Nigro F, et al. Isolation of a *Xylella Fastidiosa* strain infecting olive and oleander in Apulia, Italy. *J Plant Pathol.* 2014;96(2):425–9.
- Almeida RPP, Nunney L. How do plant diseases caused by *Xylella fastidiosa* emerge? *Plant Dis.* 2015;99(11):1457–67.
- Marcelletti S, Scortichini M. *Xylella fastidiosa* CoDiRO strain associated with the olive quick decline syndrome in southern Italy belongs to a clonal complex of the subspecies *pauca* that evolved in Central America. *Microbiology.* 2016;162(12):2087–98.
- Marques LLR, Ceri H, Manfio GP, Reid DM, Olson ME. Characterization of biofilm formation by *Xylella fastidiosa* in vitro. *Plant Dis.* 2002;86(6):633–8.
- Apulian Region Olive Trees Census. 2011. <http://www.lifecentolimed.iamb.it/index.php/it/newsevents/icalrepeat.detail/2011/12/28/235/-/MjA2NjE2YTM5MmRlNW00NTFjOWUyNzgwMTViOWQzZTM=>. Accessed 17 Mar 2017.
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). Workshop on *Xylella fastidiosa*: knowledge gaps and research priorities for the EU. EFSA Supporting Publication. 2016; vol 13: EN-1039. doi:10.2903/sp.efsa.2016.EN-1039.
- DENTAMET®: il fertilizzante per la difesa degli ulivi dal flagello *Xylella*. 2015. [http://www.diagro.it/News.cfm?cod=6\\_NC&lang=it](http://www.diagro.it/News.cfm?cod=6_NC&lang=it). Accessed 17 Mar 2017.
- Minsavage GV, Thompson CM, Hopkins DL, Leite RMVBC, Stall RE. Development of a polymerase chain reaction protocol for detection of *Xylella-fastidiosa* in plant tissue. *Phytopathology.* 1994;84(5):456–61.
- Del Coco L, De Pascali SA, Fanizzi FP. <sup>1</sup>H NMR metabolic profiling of Apulian EVOOs: fine pedoclimatic influences in Salento cultivars, vol. 349. London: Royal Society of Chemistry; 2015. p. 154–60.
- Girelli CR, Del Coco L, Papadia P, De Pascali SA, Fanizzi FP. Harvest year effects on Apulian EVOOs evaluated by <sup>1</sup>H NMR based metabolomics. *PeerJ.* 2016. doi:10.7717/peerj.2740.
- Lindon JC, Nicholson JK, Holmes E. The handbook of metabolomics and metabolomics. 1st ed. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science; 2007.
- Consonni R, Cagliani LR, Benevelli F, Spraul M, Humpfer E, Stocchero M. NMR and chemometric methods: a powerful combination for



- 499 characterization of balsamic and traditional balsamic vinegar of Modena. 529  
 500 Anal Chim Acta. 2008;611(1):31–40. 530  
 501 17. Eastment HT, Krzanowski WJ. Cross-validators choice of the number 531  
 502 of components from a principal component analysis. Technometrics. 532  
 503 1982;24(1):73–7. 533  
 504 18. Trygg J, Wold S. Orthogonal projections to latent structures (O-PLS). J 534  
 505 Chemom. 2002;16(3):119–28. 535  
 506 19. Holmes E, Loo RL, Stamlar J, Bictash M, Yap IKS, Chan Q, et al. Human 536  
 507 metabolic phenotype diversity and its association with diet and blood 537  
 508 pressure. Nature. 2008;453(7193):396–400. 538  
 509 20. Lauri I, Pagano B, Malmendal A, Sacchi R, Novellino E, Randazzo A. Appli- 539  
 510 cation of "magnetic tongue" to the sensory evaluation of extra virgin olive 540  
 511 oil. Food Chem. 2013;140(4):692–9. 541  
 512 21. Christophoridou S, Dais P, Tseng LH, Spraul M. Separation and identifica- 542  
 513 tion of phenolic compounds in olive oil by coupling high-performance 543  
 514 liquid chromatography with postcolumn solid-phase extraction to 544  
 515 nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (LC–SPE–NMR). J Agric Food 545  
 516 Chem. 2005;53(12):4667–79. 546  
 517 22. Del Coco L, De Pascali SA, Fanizzi FP. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy and multivari- 547  
 518 ate analysis of monovarietal EVOOs as a tool for modulating Coratina- 548  
 519 based blends. Foods. 2014;3(2):238–49.  
 520 23. Del Coco L, De Pascali SA, Fanizzi FP. NMR-metabolomic study on monoc-  
 521 ultivar and blend Salento EVOOs including some from secular olive trees.  
 522 FNS. 2014;5(1):89–95.  
 523 24. Romero MP, Tovar MJ, Ramo T, Motilva MJ. Effect of crop season on the  
 524 composition of virgin olive oil with protected designation of origin "Les  
 525 Garrigues". J Am Oil Chem Soc. 2003;80(5):423–30.  
 526 25. Sofo A, Dichio B, Xiloyannis C, Masia A. Antioxidant defences in olive trees  
 527 during drought stress: changes in activity of some antioxidant enzymes.  
 528 Funct Plant Biol. 2005;32(1):45–53.
26. Aparicio R, Luna G. Characterisation of monovarietal virgin olive oils. Eur J 529  
 Lipid Sci Technol. 2002;104(9–10):614–27. 530  
 27. Tovar MJ, Motilva MJ, Romero MP. Changes in the phenolic composition 531  
 of virgin olive oil from young trees (*Olea europaea* L. cv. *Arbequina*) grown 532  
 under linear irrigation strategies. J Agric Food Chem. 2001;49(11):5502–8. 533  
 28. Patumi M, d'Andria R, Marsilio V, Fontanazza G, Morelli G, Lanza B. Olive 534  
 and olive oil quality after intensive monocone olive growing (*Olea 535*  
*europaea* L., cv. *Kalamata*) in different irrigation regimes. Food Chem. 536  
 2002;77(1):27–34. 537  
 29. Thipyiapon P, Hunt MD, Steffens JC. Antisense downregulation of 538  
 polyphenol oxidase results in enhanced disease susceptibility. Planta. 539  
 2004;220:105–17. 540  
 30. Capasso R, Evidente A, Schivo L, Orru G, Marcialis MA, Cristinzio G. Anti- 541  
 bacterial polyphenols from olive oil mills waste waters. J Appl Microbiol. 542  
 2012;79:393–8. 543  
 31. Collinge DB, Slusarenko AJ. Plant gene expression in response to patho- 544  
 gens. Plant Mol Biol. 1987;9:389–410. 545  
 32. Sturm A, Cripsels MJ. cDNA cloning of carrot extracellular  $\beta$ -fructosidase 546  
 and its expression in response to wounding and bacterial infection. Plan 547  
 Cell. 1990;2:1107–19. 548

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- ▶ Convenient online submission
- ▶ Rigorous peer review
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ▶ High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)



Journal : 40538

Article No : 107

MS Code : CBTA-D-17-00011

Dispatch : 23-6-2017

Pages : 9

LE

CP

TYPESET

DISK



Journal: 40538  
Article: 107



the language of science

## Author Query Form

**Please ensure you fill out your response to the queries raised below and return this form along with your corrections**

Dear Author

During the process of typesetting your article, the following queries have arisen. Please check your typeset proof carefully against the queries listed below and mark the necessary changes either directly on the proof/online grid or in the 'Author's response' area provided below

Query	Details Required	Author's Response
AQ1	Abstract: Journal standard instruction requires a structured Abstract; however, none was provided. Please supply an Abstract with subsections "Background; Results; Conclusions".	
AQ2	Author details: Journal instruction requires author's email address; however, this was not provided for [Chiara Roberta Girelli, Laura Del Coco, Marco Scortichini, Milena Petriccione, Luigi Zampella, Francesco Mastrobuoni, Gianluigi Cesari, Assunta Bertaccini, Gianfranco D'Amico, Nicoletta Contaldo, Danilo Migoni]. A temporary email address was presented. Please supply the correct email address of the said author.	
AQ3	Graphical abstract: As per journal requirements, caption is necessary for a graphical abstract. Kindly check and provide the same.	
AQ4	Figures: Figures (1,2,3,3,4,5, Scheme, graphical abstract) are poor in quality as its labels are not readable. Please supply a new version of the said figure with legible labels preferably in .eps, .tiff or .jpeg format with 600 dpi resolution.	
AQ5	Text: Please check the spelling of the term 'oleuropeine' and amend if necessary.	
AQ6	Text: Please check and confirm the edits made to the sentence 'In this case, the observed polyphenols decrease, in treated with...' or amend accordingly.	