Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna
Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Occurrence of Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica in bivalve molluscs and associations with Escherichia coli in molluscs and faecal coliforms in seawater

04 May 2024

1

Occurrence of *Salmonell*a spp. in bivalve molluscs and associations with *Escherichia coli* in

molluscs and faecal coliforms in seawater

4

Silva Rubini[a], Giorgio Galletti[a], Mario D'Incau[a], Guido Govoni[b], Lari Boschetti[c], Chiara

Berardelli[c], Stefania Barbieri[d], Giuseppe Merialdi[a], Andrea Formaglio[d], Enrica Guidi[d], Mauro

Bergamini[d], Silvia Piva[e], Andrea Serraino[e], Federica Giacometti[e]*

8

[a]Experimental Institute for Zooprophylaxis in Lombardy and Emilia Romagna, Via Bianchi 9, 25124

Brescia, Italy

[b]International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC), 19 Avenue de la Paix 1202, Geneva, Switzerland

[c]Public Health Unit of Ferrara, U.O.D. Igiene degli alimenti di Origine Animale, Via Cassoli 30,

44121 Ferrara, Italy

[d]Department of Medical Sciences, Branch of Public Health Medicine, University of Ferrara, Via

Fossato di Mortara 64/b, 44121 Ferrara, Italy

[e]Department of Veterinary Medical Sciences, University of Bologna, Via Tolara di Sopra 50, 40064

Ozzano dell'Emilia, Bologna, Italy

(*) **Corresponding author**: Federica Giacometti, Tel.: +39 51 2097320; fax: +39 51 2097346. E-

mail address: federica.giacometti3@unibo.it (F. Giacometti).

21

23

ABSTRACT

The objectives of this study were to present data on the presence of *Salmonell*a spp. and on the

enumeration of *Escherichia coli* and faecal coliforms respectively in different species of bivalve

molluscs and seawater and to conduct a retrospective evaluation to assess the capacity of *E. coli* in molluscs and faecal coliforms and *Salmonella* spp. in sea and brackish water to predict the presence of *Salmonella* spp. in bivalve molluscs, and therefore, the risk of exposure for consumers. Data were collected from 4972 seawater samples and 5785 live bivalve molluscs samples (2877 *Ruditapes philippinarum*, 2177 *Mytilus galloprovincialis,* 256 *Chamelae gallina* and 475 *C. gigas* and *O. edulis*) collected in the molluscs production area of Ferrara, Northern Italy, from 1997 to 2015. An overall *Salmonell*a spp. occurrence of 2.2% was reported in water and molluscs, with percentages varying depending on the type of sample and on the classification areas. All the 237 *Salmonella* strains were identified as *Salmonella enterica* and a total of 53 different serovars were observed. Significant associations between the fecal indicators and presence of *Salmonella* spp. were observed both applying EU and USA criteria, but, it should be noted that the EU approach seems to be more stringent achieving the goal of identifying the most critical batches (94 out of the 100) whereas, following the USA approach, a not negligible and higher number of batches compliant for faecal coliforms but contaminated by *Salmonella* spp. has to be mentioned. In any case, the faecal indicators *E. coli* in molluscs and faecal coliforms in seawaters reflect only in part the presence of *Salmonella* spp. in molluscs and the consequent potential risk for consumers. Microbiological evaluation of seawaters seems to have a minor impact into the prediction of *Salmonella* spp. presence in molluscs.

1. Introduction

In Italy bivalve molluscs such as Manila clams (*Ruditapes philippinarum*) and mussels (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*) represent products of great economic importance whereas striped clams (*Chamelae gallina*) and oysters (*Crassostrea gigas* and *Ostrea edulis*) are of less economic importance. Overall they are widely distributed in the food trade and, specifically for *R. philippinarum,* Italy is the second producer after China (Turolla, 2008).

In relation to the sanitary control of shellfish produced and sold for human consumption, two main control systems are in place in the European Community (EC) and United States of America (USA):

these are the principal systems used worldwide and countries that trade with EU and/or USA will use either one, or a hybrid of the two systems (Gosling, 2015). In Europe, according to Regulation 853/2004, areas in which bivalve molluscs are cultivated in marine or brackish water must be previously classified by veterinary authorities as production area A, B or C depending on the content of *Escherichia coli* in the soft parts and flesh and intravalvular liquid of harvested bivalves, with an upper limit of respectively 230, 4600 and 46000 MPN *E. coli*/100 g sample material is for class A, B and C areas. Bivalve molluscs from class A area can be placed directly on the market provided that they comply with microbiological criteria requirements, whereas molluscs from class B area must be purified by resuspension at class A area, or heat-treated before distribution, and, finally molluscs from class C area, a resuspension at class A area over a long period of time or a sufficient heat treatment is needed.

In relation to food safety criteria laid down in EC Regulation 2073/2005 concerning bivalve to be placed on the market, the absence of *Salmonell*a spp. in 25 g of flesh and an upper limit of 230 MPN *E. coli*/100 g sample material are mandatory; according to EC Regulation 2285/2015, from 1st January 2017, 20% of the samples may contain *E. coli* between 230 and 700 MPN/100 g sample material while the remaining 80% of the samples must be below 230 MPN/100 g sample material. In the USA, the official controls system is based on the National Shellfish Sanitation Program (NSSP), that is a federal/state cooperative programme recognized by Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the Interstate Shellfish Conference that is substantially different from the EU one; for example, the microbiological monitoring is based on water testing and considers either total coliforms or faecal coliforms, not *E. coli*, and to achieve 'approved' status the geometric mean faecal coliforms count per water sample from an area must not exceed 14 Most probable Number (MPN)/100 ml, and the ninetieth percentile must not exceed 43 MPN/100 ml (NSSP, 2017).

It is well known that live bivalve molluscs, being suspension feeders that gain nourishment by pumping large volumes of water from the environment through their gills, actively filter and retain particles from their surrounding water, including free living or particle bound bacteria, viruses and

parasites. This highlights the role of bivalve molluscs as vehicle for several hazards that could result in potential health risks for consumers, particularly if live bivalve molluscs are eaten raw or lighted cooked. With specific regard to bacteria, the microbiota found in bivalve molluscs include indigenous bacteria that naturally occur in marine or estuarine environments (mostly *Vibrio* spp.), non-indigenous bacteria, usually enteric bacteria, derived from faecal contamination (mostly *Salmonella* spp., *E. coli*, *Shigella* spp. and rarely *Campylobacter* spp. and *Yersinia enterocolitica*), and bacteria from contamination during food preparation and processing by the distribution industry or consumers (*Bacillus cereus*, *Stapylococcus aureus* and *Clostridium perfringens*) (Anacleto, Pedro, Leonor, Rosa, & Marques, 2013).

Salmonella is the second most common cause of human gastroenteritis (EFSA & ECDC, 2016): the risks of foodborne illness associated with *Salmonella* in molluscs are low compared to viruses and *Vibrio* spp. (Iwamoto, Ayers, Mahon, & Swerdlow, 2010; NACMCF, 1992) but there is a considerable amount of epidemiological data regarding the presence of *Salmonella* in seafood and related illness. The EU summary report on zoonoses, zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2015 reports that, for the causative agent of foodborne outbreaks by food vehicle, in "fish, shellfish, molluscs, crustaceans and products thereof", histamine was the leading cause of strong-evidence outbreaks (52.5%) followed by calicivirus including Norwalk-like virus (norovirus) (25%) and *Salmonella* (12.5%) (EFSA & ECDC, 2016).

Even if *Salmonella* spp. are not natural inhabitant of the acquatic environment, several *Salmonella* serovars are widely distributed in water (sea, estuarine, river) and in a variety of seafood, with the highest prevalence in molluscs, shrimp, clams, and various fish species (Novoslavskij et al., 2016). *Salmonella* spp. and, in general, faecally derived enteric pathogens, are introduced into the aquatic environment via anthropogenic activities like inappropriate disposal of human wastes, agricultural runoffs or sewage discharges (Malham et al., 2014) as well as wildlife (Obiri-Danso & Jones, 2000), and, given the reported ability to survive long periods in different aquatic environments, these microorganisms could pass into new hosts (Amagliani, Brandi, & Schiavano, 2012). Yet the use of

faecal bacterial indicators in bivalve monitoring was based on their relation to the probable

occurrence of human faecal pathogens: it has been well remarked that no correlation was between

faecal microorganisms and both *Vibrio* spp. and viruses for humans, but, the true evidence of this

correlation in relation to *Salmonella* spp. is still a matter of debate.

The current publication presents data on the presence of *Salmonell*a spp. and on the enumeration of

E. coli in different species of bivalve molluscs, namely Manila clams (*R. philippinarum*), mussels

(*M. galloprovincialis*), striped clams (*C. gallina*) and oysters (*C. gigas* and *O. edulis*), and on faecal

coliform levels in water samples from seashore and inland channels of the Ferrara province, Emilia-

Romagna Region, Northern Italy with a continuous sampling history from 1997 to 2015. In addition,

a retrospective evaluation was conducted to assess: i) the capacity of the faecal indicator *E. coli* to

predict the presence of *Salmonella* spp. in bivalve molluscs, and therefore, the risk of exposure for

consumers; ii) the efficacy of sea and brackish water analysis for faecal coliforms and *Salmonella*

spp. to predict the presence of *Salmonella* spp. in live bivalve molluscs iii) the comparison of the

regulations currently in force in USA versus Europe.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Sample and data collection

Microbiological records for *E. coli,* faecal coliforms and *Salmonella* spp. analyses of live bivalve

molluscs and water were collated from official monitoring performed by the regional Veterinary

Authority from 1997 to 2015 and from a shellfish monitoring program that has been carried out since

1997 in the province of Ferrara, Emilia Romagna region, Italy. A total of 10757 samples were

collected, respectively 4972 seawater samples (of which 1237 in class A area and 3735 in class B

area) and 5785 live bivalve molluscs samples; the examined bivalve molluscs comprise 2877 Manila

clams (*R. philippinarum,* all in class B area), 2177 mussels (*M. galloprovincialis,* 969 and 1208 in

class A and B areas), 256 striped clams (*C. gallina,* all in class A area) and 475 oysters (*C. gigas* and

O. edulis, respectively 62 and 413 in class A and B areas). A total of 4815 paired samples of bivalve

131   mollusc and seawater were collected. Each mollusc sample comprised at least 10 live individuals

132   (commercial size or adult product) that were analyzed for *Salmonella* spp. detection and *E. coli*

133   enumeration. Seawater samples were collected in from 0.2 m below the water surface using 1.2 L

134   polypropylene or glass bottle for *Salmonella* spp. detection and for faecal coliforms count. All

135   samples were transported under chilled conditions to the laboratory and processed within 24 h after

136   collection.

137

138   *2.2. Sampling site*

139   All the samplings were performed in the north-western area of the Adriatic Sea facing the Po river

140   delta, the major Italian river which, from spring to estuary, flows through the Po Valley (Pianura

141   Padana) for a total of 652 Km. The Po Valley is a high populated area with abundant large animals

142   intensive farms. The Po River, near to its end in the Adriatic sea, creates a wide delta with a surface

143   area of 31 Km^2 and an average depth of 1.5 m; its hydrographic network is for the most part artificially

144   regulated, and, as a consequence, freshwater flows are partially independent of rain events. More than

145   one third of the lagoon surface is exploited for clam farming, with an annual production that reached

146   a maximum of 87000 t y-1 in 2011 (Bison, 2012).

147   The areas of molluscs production have been divided into 5 sub-areas (see Figure 1): i) long-line: the

148   marine class A area used to breed mostly mussels and secondary oysters; ii) Lupini: the coastal marine

149   area including seawaters between 1 and 2 nautical miles that is classified as class A area in which

150   natural banks of striped clams are present and harvested; iii) B-Out: the narrow sea coastal area and

151   inland waters classified as class B area; iv) B-In: class B area that includes the inner channels directly

152   connected to the sea, together with internal waters; v) Sacca: the class B area included between the

153   Po river and the marine coastline. All these last three sub-areas were used to breed mussels, Manila

154   clams and oysters.

155

156   *2.3. Microbiological analyses*

157 All samples were analyzed at the Experimental Institute for Zooprophylaxis of Lombardy and Emilia

158 Romagna in Ferrara. For live bivalve molluscs, the isolation of *Salmonella* spp. was performed using

159 the official International Organization for Standardization (ISO) cultural methods, UNI EN ISO

160 6579:1993 still 2002, the ISO 6579:2002 since 2003 with its technical corrigendum and amendments,

161 whereas, *E. coli* was enumerated using a 5 tube 3 dilutions MPN procedure based on ISO 16649-3.

162 For water analysis, the isolation of *Salmonella* spp. was carried out filtering a sample volume of 1000

163 mL through 0.45 μm-pore size membrane filters according to APAT CNR IRSA 7080 procedure

164 (APAT, 2003); the enumeration of faecal coliform and *E. coli* from 1998 to 2014 were performed by

165 a five tube three dilutions MPN methods according respectively to APAT CNR IRSA 7020 and 7030

166 procedures (APAT, 2003), whereas from August 2014, a membrane filtering method was applied

167 (APAT, 2003).

168 Cultures displaying a reaction typical of *Salmonella* (an alkaline slant and acid butt, with or without

169 production of H_2S) were confirmed by biochemical tests using miniaturized galleries, e.g. API-20E

170 strip (bioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France) or MID (Microgen Bioproduct Ltd, Camberley, United

171 Kingdom) (Anacleto et al. 2013; APAT, 2003). The serotyping of *Salmonella* strains was performed

172 using commercial antisera (BBL Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, USA; Statens Serum Institut,

173 Copenhagen, Denmark); following the White-Kauffman-Le Minor serotyping scheme (Baudart,

174 Lemarchand, & Brisabois, 2000).

175

176 *2.4. Statistical analysis*

177 Fisher's exact test were used to study the association between the occurrence of *Salmonella* spp. in

178 molluscs and: i) *E. coli* levels in molluscs; ii) faecal coliform levels in paired seawater; iii) presence

179 of *Salmonella* spp. in paired seawater.

180 To perform the statistical analysis the *E. coli* counts in molluscs were divided based on the *E. coli*

181 contamination level laid down into EC Regulation 2073/2005 as food safety criteria applicable for

182 products from class A areas, namely collected for direct human consumption and placed on the

183 market, irrespective of the fact that samples were collected from class A or B areas. Therefore, two

184 groups were considered using 230 MPN/100g as cutoff. Similarly results of fecal coliforms count in

185 water were divided in three categories identified according to the classification approach used in USA

186 with standards set for categories ranging from waters with low contamination levels, ≤ 14, between

187 14 and ≤ 43 and > 43 MPN/100 ml water. Data on faecal coliforms was available since 1999.

188

189 **3. Results**

190 A total of 237 out of 10757 samples (2.2%) were positive for *Salmonella* spp., respectively 137

191 seawater samples (2.8%) and 100 bivalve molluscs (1.7%): the presence of *Salmonella* spp. ranged

192 from 0 to 3.4% depending on the type of sample and on the classification areas (Table 1).

193 The overall *Salmonella* spp. prevalence differs considerably through the years and between water

194 class areas and species of molluscs: water prevalences were of 0 to 4.2% and of 0 to 13.8%

195 respectively for class A and B areas; in molluscs, *R. philippinarum* (collected only in class B area)

196 reported higher prevalences of 0 to 8.2% followed by *M. galloprovincialis* (0 to 4.3% and of 0 to

197 2.4% respectively for class A and B areas), *C. gallina* (collected only in class A area) with prevalences

198 of 0 to 4.3% and lastly *C. gigas* and *O. edulis* with prevalences of 0 to 2.1%.

199 All the 237 *Salmonella* strains were identified as *Salmonella enterica* and a total of 53 different

200 serovars were observed (Figure 2), respectively 43 and 32 serovars from the 137 and 100 seawater

201 and molluscs positive samples. *Salmonella* ser. Typhimurium resulted the dominant serotype

202 (26.9%), followed by its monophasic variant 4,[5],12:i:- (11.8%), *Salmonella* Derby (6.3%),

203 *Salmonella* Newport (5.5%), and *Salmonella* Thompson (4.6%).

204 A significant association was observed between *E. coli* levels in molluscs and presence of *Salmonella*

205 spp. in molluscs samples, both from class A and B areas. In more details, an association was observed

206 in *R. philippinarum* from class B area (no *R. philippinarum* were on class A area) and in *M.*

207 *galloprovincialis* collected only from class A area, not for class B area (table 2).

9

208 A significant association was observed between faecal coliforms levels in seawater and presence of

209 *Salmonella* spp. both in total molluscs and *R. philippinarum* samples (table 3).

210 A significant association between presence of *Salmonella* spp. in molluscs and paired seawater was

211 observed only for *R. philippinarum* samples (see table 4), where a co-presence of *Salmonella* spp. in

212 13 samples was observed. In 5 samples the same serovar was isolated in the two different matrices,

213 respectively *S. enterica* serovars Typhimurium (3 samples), its monophasic variant 4,[5],12:i:- (1

214 sample) and *Salmonella* Thompson (1 sample).

215

216 **4. Discussion**

217 Only safe food should be placed on the market (Regulation EC 178/2002). With regards to bivalve

218 molluscs, the climate change, the pollution and the anthropogenic factors as well as the food

219 distributions and consumers behaviour changes (undercooked or raw seafood consumption, mainly

220 bivalve molluscs) have created a cunning environment in which each element may have a potential

221 impact on food safety. In this context, it appears evident that the acquatic environment and its quality

222 and/or safety is a critical point for live bivalve molluscs that, being excellent bio-samplers, reflect the

223 quality of the surrounding water in a given location. This study, reporting data on the presence of

224 *Salmonell*a spp. and on the enumeration of *E. coli* in different species of bivalve molluscs and of fecal

225 coliform in water samples, with a continuous sampling history over 19-year-period (1997 through

226 2015), is useful to present the real scenario in which bivalve molluscs are bred in the molluscs

227 production area of Ferrara, leading us to perform some considerations.

228 An overall occurrence of *Salmonell*a spp. of 2.2% was reported in water and molluscs collected in

229 the considered production area: this rate could be considered low, but, at the same time poses a not

230 negligible threat for the assessment of the risks of faecal pollutions in the aquatic environment. The

231 significance of *Salmonella* spp. as human pathogen and as a leading cause of food-borne illness is

232 well known, but, the observed occurrence suggests to reconsider the role and the ecology of this

233 allochthonous pathogen at the base of the marine and estuarine environment and ecosystem; in fact,

234 although allochthonous organisms are historically viewed as transient member of the microbial

235 community of coastal waters, the coastal environment may serve as an important niche for these

236 microrganisms to persist, exchange genetic material, and grow (Bienfang et al., 2011).

237 The observed *Salmonella* spp. occurrence in seawater and bivalve molluscs is in agreement with

238 available data in literature, in which the prevalence rates considerably vary depending on climate

239 conditions, the season and area of samplings, the type of considered seafood and similar or different

240 environmental conditions (estuarine or seawater, after rainfall, low solar radiation) (Amagliani et al.,

241 2012; Novoslavskij et al., 2016); in Italy, *Salmonella* spp. prevalence rates from 0 to 3.1% were

242 reported (Normanno, Parisi, Addante, Quaglia, & Dambrosio, 2006; Mazzette, Virgilio, Piras,

243 Tempesta & Serra, 2010; Serracca et al., 2010; Prato et al., 2013; Fusco, Aprea, Galiero, Guarino, &

244 Viscardi, 2011; Carraro et al., 2015; EFSA & ECDC, 2016). In our 19-years period of monitoring in

245 live bivalve molluscs, from class A areas (products that can be placed directly on the market) only 6

246 out of the 1287 (0.5%) samples collected resulted *Salmonella* spp. positive, showing a good insight

247 the official monitoring usefulness. In the other hand, a total of 94 samples resulted *Salmonella* spp.

248 positive among the 4498 (2%) molluscs collected from class B areas (molluscs that may be placed on

249 the market only after treatment in a purification centre or after relaying); no food safety considerations

250 could be gathered from these data, but, again, the EU classification areas approach achieves the goal

251 of identifying the most critical batches (94 out of the 100).

252 Lastly, it should be noted that 87 out of total 100 *Salmonella* spp. positive molluscs were *R.*

253 *philippinarum* and, therefore, an higher *Salmonella* spp. prevalence in Manila clams than the other

254 bivalve molluscs species considered in this study has to be reported, according with the studies of

255 Anacleto et al. (2013), that reported an occurrence of 17% and 25%, respectively for *V. pullastra* and

256 *R. philippinarum*, and of Carraro et al. (2015) that observed the presence of *Salmonella* spp. in only

257 one sample of Manila clam among the 540 samples analyzed.

258 In literature, other studies investigated the correlation between the presence of *Salmonella* spp. and

259 the bacterial indicator of faecally pollution *E. coli* in bivalve molluscs, even if with contrasting results,

260 but, as far as we known, no other studies were on the comparison of bacterial indicators of faecal

261 pollution *E. coli* in molluscs and faecal coliforms in water (representative of EU and USA monitoring

262 approaches) in relation to the power of prediction of the presence of *Salmonella* spp. in bivalve

263 molluscs.

264 Literature reports that bacterial indicators of faecal pollution in water provide an adequate indicator

265 of *Salmonella* spp. presence in waters (Efstratiou, Mavridou, & Richardson, 2009; Ferguson, Coote,

266 Ashbolt, & Stevenson, 1996; Morinigo, Castro, Balebona, Munoz, & Borrego, 1992) and in bivalve

267 molluscs, particularly in *R. philippinarum*, or in other bivalve species like oysters (Anacleto et al.,

268 2013), but, on several occasions or no *Salmonella* spp. was detected in samples with high indicator

269 counts or *Salmonella* spp. was detected in samples with low indicator counts (Dionisio, Joao, Ferreiro,

270 Fidalgo, & Garc, 2000; Efstratiou, Mavridou, & Richardson, 2009; Hood, Ness, & Blake, 1983;

271 Mannas, Mimouni, Chaouqy, Hamadi, & Martinez-urtaza, 2014; Morigligo, Cornax, Oz, Romero, &

272 Borrego, 1990). In this context, despite our monitoring was not drawn with the specific aim of testing

273 these associations, and therefore, it could be biased by several factors, our study results is a useful

274 and robust tool for assessing the *E. coli*, faecal coliform and *Salmonella* spp. parameters all together

275 in a long period of time and in a specific area and considering both water versus molluscs and mollusc

276 versus mollusc and some considerations could be performed from our findings.

277 A significant associations between fecal indicators and presence of *Salmonella* spp. was observed

278 both applying EU and USA criteria prevalently for *R. philippinarum*, whereas, the weight carried for

279 the other observed associations resulted of less importance or partially affected by *R. philippinarum*

280 results. Considering that the *R. philippinarum* data we analyzed belongs exclusively from class B

281 area, it seems evident that or this fact may has biased our findings or *R. philippinarum* really has a

282 different behavior with respect with other bivalve species.

283 It should be noted that, following EU regulations and its official monitoring system, only two batches

284 (0.2%) with *E. coli* levels ≤230 MPN/100 g in molluscs from class A area showed the presence of

285 *Salmonella* spp. in molluscs, whereas, following the NSSP, a total of 58 batches (1.6%) with faecal

286 coliforms ≤14 MPN/100 ml in seawaters, were contaminated by *Salmonella* spp. Shellfish from

287 "approved" waters, namely waters in which the MPN faecal coliforms median does not exceed the

288 considered value of ≤14 MPN/100 ml water, can be sold directly on the market without prior

289 treatment (Gosling, 2015). In this specific case, a not negligible and higher number of batches

290 compliant for the faecal indicators but contaminated by *Salmonella* spp. has to be mentioned. This

291 scenario is not representative of the current situation for two reasons: i) the sampling plan was not

292 designed to assess the microbiological quality of waters according to NSSP; ii) the statistical

293 evaluation could not be performed calculating the geometric mean of fecal coliforms enumeration

294 but only singular values were considered. On the other hand calculating the gemetric median of all

295 data collected in this study on fecal coliform contamination of water a level of 12 MPN/100 ml water

296 was obtained, finding that could be considered compliant with NSSP. Despite our attempts, in one

297 hand, this estimation should be considered anyway a forced parameter which remains an important

298 data gap having some implications in the final outputs of this association, but in the other, it should

299 be noted that, in general, concentrations of faecal coliform bacteria under steady-state conditions are

300 approximately 10 to 100 times higher in bivalves than the surrounding seawater (Strubbia, Lyons, &

301 Lee, 2016; Bernard, 1989). This demonstrates the fact that the occurrence of faecal bacteria in waters

302 is highly variable and depending on several factors including pollution sources as well as

303 environmental conditions that are not easily identifiable and testable. This observation should be

304 taken into account in relation to the different approaches used for faecal indicators, that in the EU are

305 measured in shellfish flesh while in the USA in shellfish-growing waters.

306

307 **5. Conclusion**

308 This study has reported the presence of *Salmonella* spp. in seawaters and in bivalve molluscs collected

309 in the Ferrara area from 1997 to 2015 and has demonstrated that its presence varied by bivalve species

310 considered, classification areas in which molluscs were collected and sampling occasion. The faecal

311 indicators *E. coli* in molluscs and faecal coliforms in seawaters reflect only in part the presence of

312 *Salmonella* spp. in molluscs and the consequent potential risk for consumers. Microbiological

313 evaluation of seawaters seems to have a minor impact into the prediction of *Salmonella* spp. presence

314 in molluscs.

315

316 **References**

317 178/2002/EC, R. (2002). Regulation (EC) No. 178/2002 of the European Parliament and of the

318 Council laying down the general principles and requirements of food law, establishing the

319 European Food Safety Authority and laying down procedures in matters of food safety. In E.

320 Union (Ed.), 178/2002. Brussels, Belgium: The European parliament and the council of the

321 European Union.

322 853/2004/EC, R. (2004). Regulation (EC) No. 853/2004 of the European Parliament and of the

323 Council laying down specific hygiene rules for food of animal origin. In E. Union (Ed.),

324 853/2004. Brussels, Belgium: The European parliament and the council of the European Union.

325 2073/2005/EC, R. (2005). Commission Regulation (EC) No. 2073/2005 on microbiological criteria

326 for foodstuffs. In E. Union (Ed.), 2073/2005. Brussels, Belgium: The European parliament and

327 the council of the European Union.

328 2285/2015/ EC, Commission Regulation No. 2285/2015 amending Annex II to Regulation (EC) No

329 854/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council laying down specific rules for the

330 organisation of official controls on products of animal origin intended for human consumption

331 as regards certain requirements for live bivalve molluscs, echinoderms, tunicates and marine

332 gastropods and Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005 on microbiological criteria for

333 foodstuffs. In E. Union (Ed.), 2285/2015. Brussels, Belgium: The European parliament and the

334 council of the European Union.

335 Amagliani, G., Brandi, G., & Schiavano, G. F. (2012). Incidence and role of *Salmonella* in seafood

336 safety. *Food Research International*, 45, 780-8.

337 Anacleto, P., Pedro, S., Nunes, M. L., Rosa, R., & Marques, A. (2013). Microbiological composition

338   of native and exotic clams from Tagus estuary: Effect of season and environmental parameters.

339   *Marine pollution bulletin*, *74*(1), 116-124.

340 APAT CNR IRSA. 2003. APAT Manuali e Linee Guida 29/2003. Metodi analitici per le acque-

341   Volume 3, 7000 - Metodi per la determinazione di microorganismi indicatori di

342 inquinamento e di patogeni - APAT CNR IRSA 7020 Coliformi fecali, pag. 875.

343   http://www.irsa.cnr.it/Docs/Capitoli/1000.pdf

344 APAT CNR IRSA. 2003. APAT Manuali e Linee Guida 29/2003. Metodi analitici per le acque-

345   Volume 3, 7000 - Metodi per la determinazione di microorganismi indicatori di

346 inquinamento e di patogeni - APAT CNR IRSA 7030 *Escherichia coli*., pag. 883.

347   http://www.irsa.cnr.it/Docs/Capitoli/1000.pdf

348 APAT CNR IRSA. 2003. APAT Manuali e Linee Guida 29/2003. Metodi analitici per le acque-

349   Volume 3, 7000 - Metodi per la determinazione di microorganismi indicatori di

350 inquinamento e di patogeni - APAT CNR IRSA 7080 *Salmonella* spp., pag. 927.

351   http://www.irsa.cnr.it/Docs/Capitoli/1000.pdf

352 Baudart, J., Lemarchand, K., Brisabois, A., & Lebaron, P. (2000). Diversity of Salmonella strains

353   isolated from the aquatic environment as determined by serotyping and amplification of the

354   ribosomal DNA spacer regions. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *66*(4), 1544-1552.

355 Bernard, F. R. (1989). Uptake and elimination of coliform bacteria by four marine bivalve molluscs.

356   *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science*, 46, 1592-1599.

357 Bienfang, P. K., DeFelice, S. V., Laws, E. A., Brand, L. E., Bidigare, R. R., Christensen, S., et al.

358   (2010). Prominent human health impacts from several marine microbes: history, ecology, and

359   public health implications. *International Journal of Microbiology*, *2011*.

360 Bison, G.O. (2012). Gli obiettivi per il futuro: fidelizzare il consumatore al brand "Vongola di Goro"

361   e commercializzare confezioni sottovuoto con sugo pronto a fianco. *Il Pesce 2* (Mar/Apr):52

362   http://www.pubblicitaitalia.com/ilpesce/2012/2/11664.html

363 Carraro, V., Sanna, C., Brandas, V., Sanna, A., Pinna, A., & Coroneo, V. (2015). Hygiene and health

364   risks associated with the consumption of edible lamellibranch molluscs. *International Journal*

365   *of Food Microbiology*, *201*, 52-57.

366 Catalao Dionisio, L. P., Joao, M., Ferreiro, V. S., Fidalgo, M. L., Garcia Rosado, M. E., & Borrego,

367   J.J. (2000). Occurrence of *Salmonella* spp. in estuarine and coastal waters of Portugal. *Antonie*

368   *Van Leeuwenhoek*, 78, 99-106.

369 Efstratiou, M. A., Mavridou, A., & Richardson, C. (2009). Prediction of *Salmonella* in seawater by

370   total and faecal coliforms and Enterococci. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, *58*(2), 201-205.

371 EFSA & ECDC. (2016). The European Union summary report on trends and sources of zoonoses,

372   zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2015. *EFSA Journal*, 14(12), 4634. European

373   Food Safety Authority and European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (EFSA and

374   ECDC).

375 Ferguson, C. M., Coote, B. G., Ashbolt, N. J., & Stevenson, I. M. (1996). Relationships between

376   indicators, pathogens and water quality in an estuarine system. *Water Research,* 30, 2045-2054.

377 Fusco, G., Aprea, G., Galiero, G., Guarino, A., & Viscardi, M. (2013). *Escherichia coli, Salmonella*

378   spp., Hepatitis A Virus and Norovirus in bivalve molluscs in Southern Italy. *Veterinaria*

379   *italiana*, *49*(1), 55-58.

380 Geldreich, E. (1970). Applying bacteriological parameters to recreational water quality. *Journal of*

381   *the American Water Works Association,* 62, 113-120.

382 Gosling, E. (2015). Marine bivalve molluscs. (2nd ed.). Chichester, UK: Wiley- Blackwell.

383 Hood, M. A., Ness G. E., & Blake NJ. (1983). Relationship among fecal coliforms, *Escherichia co*li,

384   and *Salmonell*a spp. in shellfish. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 45(1), 122-126.

385 ISO. (1993). ISO 6579:1993. Microbiology - General guidance on methods for the detection of

386   *Salmonella*. Geneva, Switzerland: International Organization for Standardization (ISO).

387   ISO. (2002). ISO ISO 6579:2002. Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs - Horizontal

388   method for the detection of *Salmonella* spp. Geneva, Switzerland: International Organization

389   for Standardization (ISO).

390   ISO. (2005). ISO/TS 16649-3:2005. Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs - Horizontal

391   method for the enumeration of beta-glucuronidase-positive Escherichia coli - Part 3: Most

392   probable number technique using 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-beta-D-glucuronide. Geneva,

393   Switzerland: International Organization for Standardization (ISO).

394   Iwamoto, M., Ayers, T., Mahon, B. E., & Swerdlow D. L. (2010). Epidemiology of seafood-

395   associated infections in the United States. *Clinical Microbiological Review*, 23, 399-410.

396   Malham, S. K., Rajko-Nenow, P., Howlett, E., Tuson, K. E., Perkins, T. L., Pallett, D. W., et al.

397   (2014). The interaction of human microbial pathogens, particulate material and nutrients in

398   estuarine environments and their impacts on recreational and shellfish waters. *Environmental*

399   *Science: Processes & Impacts*, 16 (9), 2145-2155.

400   Mannas, H., Mimouni, R., Chaouqy, N., Hamadi, F., & Martinez-Urtaza, J. (2014). Occurrence of

401   *Vibrio* and *Salmonella* species in mussels (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*) collected along the

402   Moroccan Atlantic coast. *SpringerPlus*, *3*(1), 265.

403   Martinez-Manzanares, E., Moriñigo, M. A., Castro, D., Balebona, M. C., Muñoz, M. A. & Borrego

404   J. J. (1992). Relationship between indicators of fecal pollution in shellfish-growing water and

405   the occurrence of human pathogenic microorganisms in shellfish. *Journal of Food Protection,*

406   55(8), 609-614.

407   Mazzette, R., Virgilio, S., Piras, A., Tempesta, A., Serra, S., Sferlazzo, G., et al. (2010). Valutazione

408   dell'efficacia dei sistemi di depurazione nei confronti di *E. coli, Salmonella* spp. e *Vibrio* spp.

409   in mitili allevati nel Golfo di Olbia. *Il Pesce*, 5, 165-172.

410   Moriñigo, M. A., Còrnax, R., Munòz, M. A., Romero, P., & Borrego, J. J. (1990). Relationships

411   between *Salmonella* spp. and indicator microorganisms in polluted natural waters. *Water*

412   *Research*, 24(1), 117e120.

413   Moriñigo, M. A., Martinez-Manzanares, E., Muñoz, M. A., Balebona, M. C., & Borrego, J. J. (1993).

414   Reliability of several microorganisms to indicate the presence of *Salmonella* in natural waters.

415   *Water Science and Technology*, 27(3-4), 471-474.

416   NACMCF. (1992). Microbiological criteria for raw molluscan shellfish. *Journal of Food Protection*,

417   55, 463–480. National Advisory Committee on Microbiological Criteria for Foods.

418   Normanno, G., Parisi, A., Addante, N., Quaglia, N. C., Dambrosio, A., Montagna, C., & Chiocco, D.

419   (2006). *Vibrio parahaemolyticus, Vibrio vulnificus* and microorganisms of fecal origin in

420   mussels (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*) sold in the Puglia region (Italy). *International Journal of

421   Food Microbiology*, *106*(2), 219-222.

422   Novoslavskij, A., Terentjeva, M., Eizenberga, I., Valciņa, O., Bartkevičs, V. & Bērziņš, A. (2016).

423   Major foodborne pathogens in fish and fish products: a review. *Annals of Microbiology*, 66(1),

424   1-15.

425   NSSP. (2017). Guide for the Control of Molluscan Shellfish: 2015 Revision (Updated February

426   2017).

427   https://www.fda.gov/downloads/Food/GuidanceRegulation/FederalStateFoodPrograms/UCM

428   505093.pdf

429   Obiri-Danso, K., & Jones, K. (2000). Intertidal sediments as reservoirs for hippurate negative

430   campylobacters, salmonellae and faecal indicators in three EU recognised bathing waters in

431   North West England. *Water Research*, 34, 519-527.

432   Prato, R., Martinelli, D., Tafuri, S., Barbuti, G., Quarto, M., Germinario, C. A., & Chironna, M.

433   (2013). Safety of shellfish and epidemiological pattern of enterically transmitted diseases in

434   Italy. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *162*(2), 125-128.

435   Serracca, L., Verani, M., Battistini, R., Rossini, I., Carducci, A., & Ercolini, C. (2010). Evaluation of

436   Adenovirus and *E. coli* as indicators for human enteric viruses presence in mussels produced in

437   La Spezia Gulf (Italy). *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, *50*(5), 462-467.

438   Strubbia, S., Lyons, B. P., & Lee, R. J. (2016). Geographical and temporal variation of E. coli and

439   norovirus in mussels. *Marine pollution bulletin*, *107*(1), 66-70.

440   Turolla, E. (2008). La venericoltura in Italia. En A. Lovatelli, A. Farías & I. Uriarte (eds). FAO

441   Actas de Pesca y Acuicultura. No. 12. Roma, FAO. pp. 177–188.

442   http://www.fao.org/3/a-i0444s/i0444s13.pdf

443   Viaroli, P., Marinov, D., Bodini, A., Giordani, G., Galbiati, L., Somma, F., et al. (2007). Analysis

444   of clam farming scenarios in the Sacca di Goro lagoon. *Transitional Waters Monographs*, 1,

445   71-92.