

Accepted Manuscript

A concept mapping study on organic food consumers in Shanghai, China

Huliyeti Hasimu, Sergio Marchesini, Maurizio Canavari

PII: S0195-6663(16)30467-6

DOI: [10.1016/j.appet.2016.09.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2016.09.019)

Reference: APPET 3158

To appear in: *Appetite*


Received Date: 18 November 2014

Revised Date: 6 August 2016

Accepted Date: 15 September 2016

Please cite this article as: Hasimu H., Marchesini S. & Canavari M., A concept mapping study on organic food consumers in Shanghai, China, *Appetite* (2016), doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2016.09.019.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



A concept mapping study on organic food consumers in Shanghai, China

Huliyeti Hasimu ^{1,2}, Sergio Marchesini ², Maurizio Canavari ^{3,*}

¹ Xinjiang Agricultural University, Ürümqi, Xinjiang, China (huliyeti.hasimu@gmail.com)

² Livit Service Co., Ltd. - Hong Kong (marchesini@livit-service.com)

³ Alma Mater Studiorum - University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy (maurizio.canavari@unibo.it)

Acknowledgements

An earlier version of this study was presented during the 22nd Annual IFAMA World Forum and Symposium, The Road to 2050: "The China Factor", Shanghai, China June 10-14, 2012. This research was undertaken in the framework of the BEAN-QUORUM network. Partial financial support by the European Commission (TH/ASIA-LINK/006, Contract no. 91-652) is gratefully acknowledged.

Author Biographies

Huliyeti Hasimu, Ph.D., Associate Professor at the Xinjiang Agricultural University, Urumqi, Xinjiang, China. Her main research interests deal with consumer preferences, international marketing and business-to-business marketing.

Sergio Marchesini, Ph.D., is an agricultural engineer and import-export consultant. He works with companies wishing to entry the Chinese market with high quality food products.

Maurizio Canavari, Ph.D., Associate Professor of Agricultural Economics and Appraisal at the Alma Mater Studiorum-University of Bologna, where he lectures on agri-food marketing and marketing research. His main research interests deal with marketing and quality in the agri-food chains and consumer behavior related to quality food products.

* corresponding author:

Prof. Maurizio Canavari, Ph.D.

Alma Mater Studiorum-Università di Bologna, Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie (DipSA), Area Economia agraria ed Estimo

viale Giuseppe Fanin, 50 - I-40127 Bologna (Italy)

tel.+39-0512096108 fax +39-0512096105

Abstract

Despite some similarities with developed countries, however, the growth of organic market in China seems to follow a different path. Thus, important questions are how Chinese urban consumers perceive organic food, and what are the main concepts associated to the organic attribute.

We aimed at representing in graphic form the network of mental associations with the organic concept. We used an adapted version of the "Brand concept mapping" method to acquire, process, and draw individual concept networks perceived by 50 organic food consumers in Shanghai. We then analyzed the data using network and cluster analysis to create aggregated maps for two distinct groups of consumers.

Similarly to their peers in developed countries, Chinese consumers perceive organic food as healthy, safe and expensive. However, organic is not necessarily synonymous with natural product in China, also due to a translation of the term that conveys the idea of a "technology advanced" product. Organic overlaps with the green food label in terms of image and positioning in the market, since they are easily associated and often confused. The two groups we identified show clear differences in the way the organic concept is associated to other concepts and features.

The study provides useful information for practitioners: marketers of organic products in China should invest in communication to emphasize the differences with Green Food products and they should consider the possibility of segmenting organic consumers; Chinese policy makers should consider implementing information campaigns aimed at achieving a better understanding of the features of these quality labels among consumers. For researchers, the study confirms that the BCM method is effective and its integration with network and cluster analysis improves the interpretation of individual and aggregated maps.

Research paper

Keywords: consumer perception; concept maps; network analysis; cluster analysis; qualitative research; China; organic food

1. Introduction

The development of the organic market in China is in its early phase. The implementation of the national standard supported organic farming growth, and currently China is the country with the fourth largest area of certified organic land in the world, although the share (0.37%) of agricultural land under organic farming is still very small (Willer & Lernoud, 2016). However, despite organic farming in China increased dramatically, it is largely an export-oriented industry (Taylor, 2008).

Chinese culture differs significantly from western and other Asian cultures, so consumers have different values and a different perception of product attributes (Del Giudice, Caracciolo, Cicia, Grunert, & Krystallis, 2012; Huiyiyeti, Marchesini, & Canavari, 2008); for this reason, similar purchasing behaviors may underline different motivations from those that might be expected by western observers. Studying directly the issue of organic perception in China is also important for the unique situation of the market. Although growing fast, the organic market in China is still a niche, and it has not reached a mature identity (the domestic market share of organic food was less than 0.4% in 2007, and reached an estimated 1% share in 2012). Moreover, its shape is still affected by the continuous food crises and scandals that strike the country (not to mention the problem of fraud, which remains an ever-present concern in China, with companies falsely advertising pesticide-treated produce as organic), hence the changes it is undergoing in the mind of consumers are worth monitoring. These matters of fact erode the enforcement capacity and consumer confidence towards local productions, both of which are essential for a functional organic certification system. Food safety is therefore an ever-present concern for a large share of consumers in China (Wang, De Steur, Gellynck, & Verbeke, 2014; Wu, Wang, Zhu, Hu, & Wang, 2016; Wu, Yin, Xu, & Zhu, 2014; Wu, Zhong, Shan, & Qin, 2013). Finally, the value-added and quality food market in China is also characterized by the presence of certifications that are present only in this country, and that “compete” with organic in terms of market positioning and image (i.e., the

26 Chinese Green Food label). Perrea et al. (2014) analyzed Green food in China using a hierarchical
27 values-attitudes model and they show that Green food is actually perceived by consumers as safer to
28 consume, and that a positive perception of both food safety and environmental friendliness is linked
29 to technology. Other “safe food” labels and their interaction with organic food have been studied by
30 Liu et al. (2013), who highlighted consumers’ limited knowledge and low recognition of the
31 relevant labels.

32 Therefore, the growth of the organic food market in the China’s emerging economy seems to
33 follow a different path of development compared to countries where the organic industry is now
34 well established. In the latter countries, organic farming and organic food were initially popular
35 among consumers mainly motivated by ethical and environment-related concerns, which later
36 became more and more popular among mainstream consumers. In this situation, it is reasonable to
37 assume that motivations, beliefs and attitudes of Chinese consumers may differ from those of
38 consumers in developed countries. Thus, it is important to explore how Chinese urban consumers
39 perceive the organic attribute for food and what are the main concepts associated with purchasing
40 and consuming these products.

41 While a large number and variety of studies on organic consumers in Europe, USA and
42 Australia and extensive reviews are available (Aertsens, Verbeke, Mondelaers, & Van
43 Huylenbroeck, 2009; Aschemann-Witzel & Zielke, 2015; Dimitri & Dettmann, 2012; Hemmerling,
44 Hamm, & Spiller, 2015; Schleenbecker & Hamm, 2013; Yiridoe, Bonti-Ankomah, & Martin,
45 2005), comparatively little is known on consumer’s perception of organic foods in Asia (Bayaah &
46 Juhdi, 2010; Canavari & Wongprawmas, 2012; Darby, Batte, Ernst, & Roe, 2008; Lombardi et al.,
47 2010; Moen, 1997; Nelson, 1991; Roitner-Schobesberger, Darnhofer, Somsook, & Vogl, 2008), and
48 not much information is also available for China from the existing literature.

49 Some of the available papers summarize the stages of development of the Chinese organic
50 and green food industry in China, describing production bases, market conditions, international
51 trade, and certification systems (Lu, 2002; Marchesini, Huiyiyeti, & Spadoni, 2010; Sheng, Shen,

52 Qiao, Yu, & Fan, 2009; Xie, Li, & Yi, 2011). These authors largely agree that the growth of organic
53 food consumption is due to the growing affluence of Chinese consumers, a rapid development of
54 living standards, an expanding community of foreigners, and to increasing concern on food safety.

55 Other works focus on organic farming, considering mainly issues related to production and
56 rural development (Giovannucci, 2005; Oelofse et al., 2010; Thiers, 2002).

57 Some previous works use quantitative methods based on consumer surveys to analyze
58 consumers' attitudes and expectations (Loebnitz & Aschemann-Witzel, 2016; L. L. Zhou & Chen,
59 2007), purchase intentions (J. Chen, Lobo, & Rajendran, 2014; J. Chen & Lobo, 2012; McCarthy,
60 Liu, & Chen, 2015; Thøgersen, de Barcellos, Perin, & Zhou, 2015; Thøgersen, Zhou, & Huang,
61 2015; Thøgersen & Zhou, 2012; Xie, Wang, Yang, Wang, & Zhang, 2015; Yin, Wu, Du, & Chen,
62 2010; Y. Zhou, Thøgersen, Ruan, & Huang, 2013), and willingness to pay (Wu et al., 2014).
63 Results show a positive attitude towards, organic food, but findings regarding the relevant factors
64 affecting consumer interest for organic food are mixed. Many papers agree that health and food
65 safety concern plays a relevant role (McCarthy et al., 2015; Xie et al., 2015; Yin et al., 2010), and
66 price is the most important factor hindering purchase (Loebnitz & Aschemann-Witzel, 2016; Xie et
67 al., 2015; Yin et al., 2010). Intentions are found to be influenced also by income and other socio-
68 demographic characteristics of consumers (J. Chen et al., 2014; Xie et al., 2015; Yin et al., 2010),
69 and some papers find evidence of relevance of other aspects, such as environmental and ethical
70 concern (McCarthy et al., 2015), certification and regulation, product quality, consumer lifestyles
71 and values (J. Chen & Lobo, 2012; Y. Zhou et al., 2013), trust (Thøgersen, de Barcellos, et al.,
72 2015; Thøgersen, Zhou, et al., 2015; Thøgersen & Zhou, 2012; Yin et al., 2010; Y. Zhou et al.,
73 2013), knowledge and availability (Xie et al., 2015). Wu et al. (2014) find out that foreign
74 certifications are preferred to the national ones.

75 We found only one qualitative paper by Sirieix et al. (2011) that contrast local and imported
76 organic foods asking for opinions from 23 consumers in Shanghai, using open-ended questions
77 together with projective techniques. They find out that local products are preferred to imported ones

78 (in contrast with Wu et al. (2014)) and they confirm that health concern is the primary reason for
79 consuming organic products, while high price is the main barrier preventing purchase of organic
80 products. Differently from what is commonly found analyzing motives of organic consumers in
81 western countries, little relevance is assigned to altruistic motives and ethical aspects such as
82 environment protection.

83

84 At the best of our knowledge, a study aimed at offering an in-depth exploration of Chinese
85 consumers' perceptions of organic food is still missing, and with this research we aim to fill this
86 gap.

87

88 Organic food products are highly-symbolic, and perceived mainly on the basis of credence
89 attributes (Grolleau & Caswell, 2006; Wirth, Stanton, & Wiley, 2011). Extrinsic indicators (e. g.
90 certification, labeling) and cues (e.g. brand name, packaging, price) convey search information.
91 However, these information sources consumers depend on are external, since there is no ability to
92 evaluate the quality through consumption, and purchase is driven by consumer's belief systems, that
93 is a set of mutually supportive beliefs (Minton & Khale, 2014). Such belief systems encompasses
94 product knowledge, as well as fundamental existential values, emotions, and ethics. According to
95 Anderson (1983), these associations are organized in a network manner that is consistent with
96 associative network models of memory. As psychological models, networks entail the assumption
97 that concepts as well as their relations can be represented by a structure consisting of nodes
98 (concepts) and links (relations). Strengths of relations are reflected by link weights, and the
99 meaning of a concept is determined by its connections to other concepts (Schvaneveldt, Durso, &
100 Dearholt, 1989). Networks can be used to represent heterogeneous sets of relations on concepts,
101 which in this case we assume that the links have a semantic interpretation such as those found in
102 semantic networks (Allan M. Collins & Loftus, 1975; Meyer & Schvaneveldt, 1976; Quillian,
103 1969). Networks of concepts can be graphically represented using concept maps, which are

104 graphical tools for organizing and representing knowledge (Novak & Cañas, 2008). These graphical
105 representations offer the potential of identifying the structure of relationships among concepts,
106 helping revealing patterns in data that may lead to fruitful interpretations (Schvaneveldt et al.,
107 1989).

108 Several mapping techniques have been used on organic food consumers for the purpose of
109 analyzing concept associations and links. For instance, multidimensional scaling (N.-H. Chen, Lee,
110 & Huang, 2015; Thomas & Gunden, 2012), laddering associated to the means-end chain theory
111 (Fotopoulos, Krystallis, & Ness, 2003; Lind, 2007; Naspetti & Zanolli, 2009; Zagata, 2014), means-
112 end chain associated to the theory of planned behaviour (Grunert & Bech-Larsen, 2005). However,
113 these studies required either a quantitative approach, which is based on pre-determined criteria and
114 large samples, or a quite fatiguing in-depth interviewing approach based on the laddering method,
115 which we found not suitable to poorly informed and mostly unaware Chinese organic consumers.
116 Therefore, we decided to apply a recently developed technique called BCM-brand concept mapping
117 (John, Loken, Kim, & Monga, 2006), which offered the advantage of a simplified interviewing
118 method.

119

120 The content-wise objective of the current explorative study is therefore twofold:

- 121 (1) to explore Chinese urban consumers perception of organic food, and
122 (2) to highlight the main concepts associated to purchasing and consuming these products to
123 draw conceptual maps allowing a clear representation of these associations.

124

125 To achieve these objectives, we adopted an exploratory approach and we set up a qualitative
126 study aimed at understanding and representing in graphic form the network of mental associations
127 that stems from the organic concept.

128 Thus, in addition to the content-wise objectives, we also have a methodological goal:

129 (3) to use the Brand Concept Map (BCM) technique (John et al., 2006), and to describe how
130 it has been used, altered, and applied in this specific qualitative research framework, also
131 using some convenient metrics measures offered by social network analysis methods and
132 (4) taking advantage of cluster analysis to discriminate between groups showing different
133 properties of their aggregated maps.

134 The rest of this paper is structured as follows. In section 2 we shortly review the application
135 of networks to concept mapping, in section 3 we describe the methods and data used in the study, in
136 section 4 we present the results of our analysis and in the last section we discuss our results and we
137 draw conclusions.

138

139 **2. A short review of networks applied to memory organization**

140 The first theories on knowledge as a result of a network of associations date back to the
141 1930s, when the behaviorists developed a model to interpret how people acquire, understand, and
142 store language. According to the verbal behavior model, a word meaning is defined based on its
143 placement in a network of associations. Early network models as a form of knowledge
144 representation date back to the 1950s and 1960s (A.M. Collins & Quillian, 1969; Quillian, 1969;
145 Skinner, 1957). According to such models, concepts are represented as nodes interconnected to
146 other nodes within the semantic network. The links between information are qualitative and
147 purposeful, and the nodes that are connected by these links have hierarchical relationships (Harley,
148 1995). Later, Collins and Loftus (1975) proposed another influential network model of information
149 storage using the concept of spreading activation, assuming that properties can be represented
150 several times in consumer memory and that information is not organized hierarchically.

151 Associative network models are well suited to studying consumer memory (Bettman, 1974;
152 Calder & Gruder, 1989; Krishnan, 1996; Schmitt, Tavassoli, & Millard, 1993).

153 A map is an effective way to summarize complex data (Gengler, Klenosky, & Mulvey, 1995),
154 helping identifying the most important brand associations and showing how these associations are
155 connected. The connections revealed between attributes can provide a sense of what might happen
156 if certain other attributes change. A graphical representation of a concept and its associations is
157 called a concept map. A brand map is a concept map that identifies essential brand associations, but
158 also conveys how these attributes are connected to the brand and to each other (John et al., 2006).
159 Furthermore, brand maps are widely used in marketing studies to position brands on dimensions
160 critical to consumer perceptions (e.g. to explore which brand is a market leader, relative strengths
161 and weaknesses).

162 Because of the links to brand equity, it is essential for marketing managers to be aware of the
163 nature and structure of associations for their brand (Henderson, Iacobucci, & Calder, 1998). A
164 brand association network is a fundamental component of product image, it identifies its uniqueness
165 and value to consumers, and suggests ways that the product can be leveraged in the marketplace
166 (Aaker, 1996). Brand maps can help managers to understand how the brand is perceived by the
167 consumer or by a segment, also how this perception corresponds to the brand positioning and thus
168 how to adapt the integrated communication (Brandt, Pahud de Mortanges, Bluemelhuber, & van
169 Riel, 2011).

170 Brand concept maps have first been analyzed in a qualitative manner with a focus on the
171 individual perceptions in order to increase the likelihood that the full variety of brand associations
172 in a respondent's memory will be evoked (Brandt *et al.*, 2011). More recently, several studies have
173 included quantitative analyses of brand concept maps. Those studies captured the brand image using
174 analytical measures (Henderson et al., 1998) or highlighted the brand's core identity using an
175 aggregation procedure.

176 However, methodologies for producing brand maps have been slow to emerge (John et al.,
177 2006). Many methods are available for eliciting brand associations from consumers, ranging from
178 qualitative techniques, such as collages and focus groups, to quantitative methods, such as attribute

179 rating scales and brand personality inventories. Techniques such as multidimensional scaling are
180 helpful in understanding how brands are viewed and what dimensions underlie within these
181 perceptions, but these techniques do not identify brand association networks—that is, which
182 associations are linked directly to the brand, which associations are indirectly linked to the brand
183 through other associations, and which associations are grouped together (John et al., 2006).

184 Two different categories of techniques have been used to create brand maps: the first, called
185 “consumer mapping”, elicits brand maps directly from consumers who are asked to construct
186 networks that show links between associations and the brand as well as links among associations;
187 the second category of techniques, which we refer to as “analytical mapping”, produces brand maps
188 using analytical methods (e.g. network algorithms, measures of centrality, cohesion, position,
189 density and structural equivalence) to uncover the network of brand associations (Henderson et al.,
190 1998).

191 Although the BCM approach provides all the rules to build a network out of individual maps,
192 it does not offer neither the theoretical basis nor the instruments to analyze the relationships among
193 the elicited associations. Social network analysis (SNA) literature provides the proper framework
194 for this study, being based on the assumption of the importance of relationships among interacting
195 units, and thus encompasses theories, models, and applications that are expressed in terms of
196 relational concepts or processes (Wasserman & Faust, 1994).

197

198 **3. Methods and data**

199 *3.1. Mapping technique*

200 Among qualitative consumer mapping techniques, only two emerged in the area of branding:

201 1) Zaltman's Metaphor Elicitation Technique (ZMET), which uses qualitative research
202 techniques to identify key brand associations and in-depth interviews with respondents to detect the
203 links between these brand associations (Zaltman & Coulter, 1995), and

204 2) the far less labor-intensive consumer mapping technique proposed by Deborah Roedder
205 John, called Brand Concept Mapping (BCM) (John et al., 2006).

206 Compared to ZMET, BCM provides a more accessible and standardized method, based on a
207 set of relatively straightforward rules for aggregating individual brand maps. The BCM method
208 incorporates structure into the elicitation, mapping, and aggregation stages to provide a technique
209 that is easier to administer and analyze (John et al., 2006). Interviewers need minimal training,
210 respondents can complete the mapping procedure in a relatively short time (20 minutes) and prior
211 consumer research can be often used in the elicitation stage. For such reasons, the BCM technique
212 is very suitable for many data collection settings and relatively large samples, although associations
213 that require more in-depth probing are unlikely to surface with this technique.

214 Some slight variations in the standard BCM procedure have been introduced in this study.

215 The BCM process was implemented in three stages:

216 1) Elicitation: The standard BCM technique is designed as a group interview that is
217 aimed at identifying the salient associations for the brand from a small group of respondents. Given
218 the exploratory nature of the survey, we designed the data collection as individual, face-to-face,
219 semi-structured dialogues, with an aim of better identifying the unique and unexpected associations
220 in consumers' minds that otherwise would have been less likely to emerge. In addition, instead of
221 selecting a pool of pre-defined salient brand associations respondents should choose among, in
222 order to avoid stereotypical responses we elicit associations directly from each respondent and let
223 them use their own selection of associations for the following mapping stage. Being this study the
224 first on the topic carried out in China with this methodology, the effectiveness of personal wording
225 had a strong significance.

226 2) Mapping (data representation in a spatial structure). In the standard BCM
227 respondents are asked to think about what they associate with the brand. The associations emerged
228 in the elicitation stage are mounted onto cards. Then, respondents are asked to select the cards
229 according to their personal viewpoint and connect them to the brand and to each other using
230 different types of lines (single, double, or triple), so as to signal the strength of the associations.
231 Since in this study the data were gathered using face-to-face interviews on the place of recruitment,
232 spreading a card deck would have been both inconvenient and time consuming. Therefore, instead
233 of using cards respondents were asked to construct a map from scratch using paper and pencil.

234 3) Aggregation (summarization of individual maps). Individual brand maps are
235 combined to obtain a consensus map. Six aggregating measures need to be developed to build the
236 consensus map: Frequency of mention, Number of interconnections, Frequency of first-order
237 mentions, Ratio of first-order mentions, Type of interconnections and Type of line. The “frequency
238 of mentions” and the “number of interconnections” signal whether the attribute is core in the
239 consumers’ perception of the brand or not. The “frequency of first order mention”, “ratio of first
240 order mentions” and “type of interconnections” show which of the core associations should be
241 linked directly to the brand (Brandt et al., 2011). Finally, the “Type of line” signifies the strength of
242 the relation between pairs of concepts. Once the information from each respondent map are coded
243 into the six abovementioned measures, the individual maps can be aggregated in the BCM.

244 The standard procedure for aggregation was implemented in five stages.

245 i) Identifying the core attributes to place on the map. The associations that are included on at
246 least 50% of the maps are kept, as well as those associations with borderline frequencies (45%–
247 49%) whose number of interconnections was equal to or higher than that of other core associations.
248 However, in our study we included in the consensus map 20% of the mentioned associations,
249 together with those with borderline frequencies of 15%–19%.

250 ii) Determining which of the core associations should be directly linked to the central concept
251 (product/brand/attribute). Usually, a pre-defined rate of super-ordinate connections to subordinate is

252 the threshold determining which core associations should be directly linked to the product. In our
253 case we chose the threshold that offered the best visual output.

254 iii) Finding where to place the remaining associations on the map. In order to do so, a
255 frequency count of how many different association links are present on one map, two maps, three
256 maps, etc. is compiled. These frequencies are used to select which association links would be
257 included in the consensus map, looking for a sharp increase in frequency counts on the graphs
258 (inflection point). These associations need to be linked to at least one of the first-order brand
259 associations.

260 iv) Incorporating non-core brand associations that are frequently linked to core associations,
261 so as to make visible which other associations are likely to drive consumer perceptions of the core
262 associations.

263 v) Deciding which type of link to use for each connection: the average strength used in all
264 individual brand maps (rounded to the nearest integer) is calculated.

266 3.2. *Network analysis*

267 In this study, the following network measurements (Czepiel, 1974; Freeman, 1978) are
268 calculated:

- 269 • Centrality (degree, betweenness, closeness) measures give indications of the importance of
270 a node based on its location within a network relative to other nodes:
 - 271 – Degree centrality measures network activity. The degree of a node is defined as the
272 number of other nodes that have a direct tie to that node.
 - 273 – Betweenness centrality reflects the extent to which a node lies between other nodes in
274 the network and it is defined in terms of probabilities: since there is more than one
275 possible path, it considers the probability of using a particular path.

- 276 – Closeness centrality focuses on how close a node is to other nodes. Closeness centrality
277 is typically thought to represent independence from the control of other nodes in a
278 network (Henderson et al., 1998). In the context of this work it measures how fast a
279 concept can be associated to others in the network.
- 280 • Cohesion (cliques). Cliques are sub-sets of a network in which the concepts are more
281 closely and intensely tied to one another than they are to other members of the network.
282 Cliques are hence very useful to identify significant groups of associations.
 - 283 • Position (structural equivalence). Two nodes are said to be structurally equivalent if they
284 have the same relationships to all other nodes within that network. Structurally equivalent
285 nodes are substitutes, and substitutability can be diagnostic for brand parity effects.
 - 286 • Network density is the proportion of the number of links present in a network compared to
287 the number of possible links (Scott, 1991). Density can be used to identify brand dilution (a
288 network that is very dense could indicate an unclear positioning and therefore dilute a
289 brand's equity) and brand confusion (high density reflects brand dilution, which is a
290 confusion in consumers' minds regarding the features associated with the brand).

291

292 3.3. *Identification of relations between maps and consumer profile through cluster analysis*

293 The maps and the consumer profiles as described by the data acquired with a questionnaire
294 and the maps drawn will be analyzed using cluster analysis to look for hidden structures that
295 connect the type of networks build by respondents to relevant determinants such as product
296 knowledge, purchasing behavior or the consumer socio demographic profile. Any finding in this
297 direction could allow identifying the behavioral and cognitive patterns of specific target groups,
298 thus improving the effectiveness of communication strategies on specific market segments.

299 Following Norušis (2011), since we used categorical variables we opted for the two-step
300 method implemented in the SPSS statistical package. Its algorithm is also able to automatically

301 select the most appropriate number of clusters (Chiu, Fang, Chen, Wang, & Jeris, 2001; Zhang,
302 Ramakrishnan, & Livny, 1996). It is important to remind that cluster analysis discovers structures in
303 data without explaining why they exist.

304

305 3.4. *Data collection process*

306 We aimed at exploring beliefs and perceptions that we considered as yet unknown, therefore
307 the number of participants to be investigated is not pre-determined through a statistical method. We
308 utilized the saturation sampling model, relying upon the researcher's judgement of when a
309 satisfactory level of redundancy of the information collected has been reached (Byrne, 2001;
310 Sandelowski, 1995; Trotter, 2012). This usually leads to a number of people interviewed that may
311 vary, but usually is in the range of several tens. The main drawback of this approach is that the
312 findings do not necessarily represent the target population and it is not possible to make statistical
313 inference. However, although acknowledging the limitations above, it can be argued that our
314 respondents could reflect common types of organic consumers in Shanghai.

315 Data collection was based on interactive interviewing, with respondents recruited on the spot
316 and asked to answer a set of pre-determined questions, and to build verbally the perceptual map
317 with the assistance of the researcher. Due to the possibility that the interview would be lengthy and
318 demanding, and since it was not possible to provide an incentive to facilitate recruitment, no socio-
319 demographic selection criteria were applied in selecting the respondents. Recruitment was
320 performed purposively in several locations in Shanghai, and part of the interviews were carried out
321 close to food specialty stores and supermarkets selling organic food products (located in the Xu Hui
322 District), where it was more likely to intercept consumers who had some minimal awareness of
323 organic food. Knowledge of organic products was tested during the interview. Altogether, out of
324 more than 100 consumers intercepted, 50 sufficiently complete questionnaires have been collected,
325 in the period from October 2008 to January 2009. All the interviews were carried out in the native

326 language of respondents (Chinese Mandarin). Each interview lasted for 15 to 30 minutes, with an
327 average duration of 20-25 minutes. Respondents enjoyed a high degree of freedom in expressing
328 their opinions about the topic, and any useful observation emerged during the discussion has been
329 transcribed and reported in the researcher's notes.

330 To minimize interviewee's fatigue, given the efforts requested for the map building process,
331 the associated questionnaire was kept very short (10 questions plus the map building section), and it
332 was organized in 3 macro areas (English translation of the original Chinese Mandarin questionnaire
333 is available In Annex 1):

- 334 • Map building section: core of the questionnaire, and the most demanding part in terms of
335 time and attention. (questions 2 and 3);
- 336 • Understanding dimension: aimed at assessing the knowledge of organic food and
337 frequency of purchasing (questions 1, 4, 5, 6);
- 338 • Personal dimension: aimed at collecting the 5 socio-demographic information on the
339 respondent used in the map building process (questions 7 to 11);

340

341 INSERT ANNEX 1 - QUESTIONNAIRE

342

343 The level of knowledge was evaluated using a score that was calculated in two steps: the
344 number of correct answers to question 5 provided an intermediate score (Table 1), while question 1
345 was used to adjust the intermediate score¹ and decided the final score. The Final score allowed us
346 to classify respondents into three Knowledge Classes: ≤ 2 = "Poor"; 3-4 = "Intermediate"; ≥ 5 =
347 "Thorough".

348

¹ The adjustment rate reflected the answer given to the open question, thus a completely unacceptable statement such as "organic food is made in laboratory using advanced genetic techniques" automatically determined a final "null" score.

349 INSERT TABLE 1 - CORRECT ANSWERS TO QUESTION 5 AND INTERMEDIATE

350 KNOWLEDGE SCORE CALCULATION BASE

351

352 3.5. *Sample description*

353 Respondents were almost equally split between male and females. The majority of
354 respondents were young and middle aged people, mostly students and working class laborers, with
355 middle or low income and no kids under 15 years old in the household. The education level is
356 almost equally split among three classes (university, high school, middle school or less). Table 2
357 shows the socio-demographic data of the sample. These characteristics are used as discriminants in
358 drawing several combinations of aggregated BCM.

359

360 INSERT TABLE 2 – SUMMARY OF THE SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF
361 THE SAMPLE

362

363 One of the first non purely descriptive information that emerged from the data is the gap
364 between the self-assessed knowledge of organic expressed by respondents, and the knowledge
365 measured through the questionnaire. Over half of the respondents (27 out of 50) overrated their
366 knowledge about the topic, while only a few (7) underrated it. Moreover, 20 out of 27 of the
367 respondents that overrated their knowledge had a poor knowledge of organic, while 4 out of the 7
368 that underrated their knowledge actually scored high, showing a good knowledge of organic food
369 (Table 2).

370

371 **4. Results**

372 *4.1. Drawing the Brand Concept Maps*

373 To produce individual and aggregated BCM the initial task of the analysis is to standardize
374 the contents of the questionnaires, developing a set of summary codes that reflect the meaning of
375 the words used by respondents to describe organic salient associations. The primary data were
376 collected in Chinese language, so the Chinese lexicon was converted directly into English codes.
377 Overall, 37 categories of meaning have been identified, as shown in Table 3.

378

379 INSERT TABLE 3 - ENGLISH CODES

380

381 The most relevant measures for organic associations used to produce the BCM according to
382 the process previously described are shown in Table 4, while the aggregated BCM summarizing the
383 whole group of respondents is displayed in Figure 1.

384

385

386 INSERT TABLE 4 - RELEVANT MEASURES FOR ORGANIC ASSOCIATIONS

387

388

389 INSERT FIGURE 1 - AGGREGATED BCM

390

391 *4.2. Network analysis*

392 Table 5 shows the measures of centrality.

393 In terms of degree centralities, the scores for healthy, expensive and safe are all above
394 average. Healthy has a high level of activity compared with others in the network, which means that

395 it is the most central association in the network or, in other words, that it is in contact with most
396 associations. Again, expensive is the most central concept in terms of betweenness.

397 In terms of closeness centrality, there is no big difference among the associations above
398 mentioned, thus indicating that none of the associations displayed are more peripheral than the
399 others.

400

401 INSERT TABLE 5 - CENTRALITY SCORES

402

403 Cohesion measure focuses on identifying subgroups within networks by studying the degree
404 to which nodes are connected directly to each other by cohesive bonds. Groups are identified as
405 “cliques” if every element (concept) is directly tied to every other element. Ten cliques were found,
406 each one including 3 to 5 concepts, as shown in Table 6.

407

408 INSERT TABLE 6 - CLIQUES

409

410 In our study, structural equivalence allows measuring consumer perception of sameness
411 amongst brands, that is, brand parity. Since the only other competing “brand” mentioned by
412 respondents is Green Food, the value of structural equivalence between “organic” and “green food”
413 was computed and it turned out to be 58.55%.

414 Finally, density was considered because it can be used to identify brand dilution (a network
415 that is very dense could indicate an unclear positioning and therefore dilute a brand's equity) and
416 brand confusion (high density reflects brand dilution, which is a confusion in consumers' minds
417 regarding the features associated with the brand). The density of the network was found to be
418 21.48%.

419

420 4.3. *Identification of clusters*

421 In this study the space chosen for the distance calculation in the cluster analysis was a matrix
422 (distance matrix), with the 50 respondents in the rows, and the associations elicited from them in the
423 columns. Then, a 2-step cluster analysis was applied on these data. Clusters analysis allowed
424 identifying 2 groups, one made of 34 respondents, and the other grouping 16 respondents, as shown
425 in Figure 2 and Figure 3.

426

427

428 INSERT FIGURE 2 - AGGREGATED BCM FOR CLUSTER 1 – UNAWARE OCCASIONAL
429 PURCHASERS

430

431

432 INSERT FIGURE 3 - AGGREGATED BCM FOR CLUSTER 2 – INFORMED PURCHASERS

433

434

435 The aggregated map built for Cluster 1 displays 6 core and 1 non-core associations, while the
436 consensus map built for Cluster 2 displays 8 core associations and 2 non-core associations. The
437 aggregated map for Cluster 1 and Cluster 2 are quite different in terms of both structure and
438 composition of the associations.

439 Cluster 1 (UNAWARE OCCASIONAL PURCHASERS) aggregated network looks quite
440 simple, and it conveys the idea that the respondents who built it shared a distorted perception of
441 organic. This aspect is even clearer if we set the eyes on the aggregated matrix: even if the most
442 negative associations -such as “hard to understand”, “pastry” or “dangerous”- are not displayed in
443 the consensus map due to a low number of mentions, they are however grouped together in Cluster

444 1. The categories “expensive”, “high quality”, “nice packaging”, “technologically improved”, “hard
445 to find” are peculiar of this group of respondents.

446 Cluster 2 (INFORMED PURCHASERS), instead, underlies a more positive and nature-
447 oriented view of organic, reflecting the environment and social values emphasized by the organic
448 production method (environment protection, use of no chemical pesticides and fertilizers, pure and
449 natural product), as well as the nutritional aspects (safe and healthy food, no danger for human
450 health and the environment). Besides, the consensus map created for Cluster 2 has a more complex
451 structure, with more brand associations, and more interconnections between the associations.
452 Finally, Cluster 2 displays stronger connections, definitely more consistent with aggregated map
453 produced for the entire sample.

454 In order to tests the goodness of fit of the distributions for Cluster 1 and Cluster 2 a chi-square
455 test it was performed: Cluster 1 differs from Cluster 2 for a different purchasing frequency (superior
456 presence of respondents who never purchased organic products) and for the gender (higher rate of
457 males to females).

458 To test the nomological validity the individual maps should be divided in two categories
459 different in a predictable way. Cluster 1 and Cluster 2 group together respondents whose purchasing
460 habits are significantly different (very close to matching with non-consumers and consumers), and
461 whose socio-demographic profile suggests a higher education and better knowledge of organic.
462 Cluster 1 includes some unlikely associations, while Cluster 2 does not include any “real” product-
463 related negative association. Cluster 1 shows 6 core associations and underlies a negative
464 “marketing” view of organic products, while Cluster 2 shows 8 core associations and includes most
465 of the positive associations coded by the participants of the survey.

466 To conclude, in Cluster 2 respondents show more familiarity with the product, more
467 knowledge and a more complex perceptual structure in terms of number of associations; Cluster 1
468 and Cluster 2 are expected to be different, and effectively they are, thus providing proof of the
469 nomological validity.

470 Finally, in order to test the reliability of the aggregation, the individual maps were split in two
471 halves, namely even and odds maps, and the aggregation procedure was repeated. The degree of
472 consistency between the two split half maps is high (Pearson's contingency coefficient
473 $C=0.886321$), thus confirming that the measure is reliable.

474

475 **5. Discussion and conclusions**

476 This study contributes in shedding light on how some Shanghai consumers' think about
477 organic, identifying the main features of the organic attribute and how they are associated to each
478 other in their minds.

479 At first, the analysis based on knowledge scores proves the importance to investigate
480 thoroughly the real knowledge about the topic, and the usefulness to proceed with cross checks in
481 order to produce reliable knowledge discriminants. It also shows a strong bias, probably related
482 with the wish to please or be respected by the interviewer.

483 Considering the concepts emerged from exploration of consumer perception, "healthy" first,
484 then "safe" and "expensive" are three core associations that outline best the image of organic shared
485 by the survey participants. Attributes like "green food", "pure and natural", "tasty" and "poor
486 choice of products and low availability" are also relevant, and therefore appear in the consensus
487 map as core associations.

488 The health care and security concerns are clearly key factors that influence organic
489 consumption and this is also confirmed by previous research. The food safety scandals that
490 frequently stroke China in the last years impacted deeply on the public opinion, and played an
491 important role in boosting organic and all certified food purchases, as witnessed by the success of
492 the green food movement (McCarthy et al., 2015; Xie et al., 2015; Yin et al., 2010).

493 High price and inadequate product availability in stores also emerge as important associations.
494 Despite the survey targeted some of the most affluent area of China within the most privileged spot

495 possible (organic specialized stores), price and availability turned out to be critical issues,
496 confirming the findings of Xie et al. (2015). High price and low product availability, however,
497 could be perceived as a signal of quality and high value of the product. The view that organic food
498 is tasty also emerged, although sensory features are probably not the main motivation for purchase
499 (Michelsen, Hamm, Wynen, & Roth, 1999).

500 The attributes discussed above are pretty much consistent with the perception of organic by
501 western consumers. In the literature, organic purchases have been generally attributed to quality,
502 health and environmental consciousness motives, as well as to specific product attributes such as
503 nutrition value, taste, freshness, and price (Asioli et al., 2014; Chrysochoidis, 2000; Hemmerling et
504 al., 2013; Reicks, Splett, & Fishman, 1997).

505 However, in line with the argument we put forward in the introduction that perception of
506 organic food by Chinese consumers may show some peculiarities, some significant differences are
507 immediately visible. The first difference deals with the coexistence of a competing food quality
508 certification label. Organic and "Green food" are easily associated in the minds of the respondents,
509 and like in previous studies (McCarthy et al., 2015) often confused, especially when it comes to
510 associating to wellness and natural environment concepts: the cohesion measurements show that 3
511 over 10 cliques include green food as key element, and in only one case out of 4, organic is linked
512 to "healthy" and "pure and natural" without being likened to "green food" too. The structural
513 equivalence analysis highlights that "organic" and "green food" share almost 60% of the same ties,
514 which means that over half of their ties are exactly the same. This finding is very important, since it
515 points out an unexpectedly high degree of similarity between the two associative structures. Also
516 the betweenness value implies a certain degree of node control of green food within the organic
517 network, thus confirming once more the initial finding, as well as the findings of previous research
518 (Liu et al., 2013; Perrea et al., 2014). Competition of the organic label with labels designating
519 Integrated Production or Integrated Crop Management is not a new aspect (Canali, 2011;
520 Govindasamy, DeCongelio, & Bhuyan, 2006; Kuhar & Juvancic, 2010; Scarpa et al., 2007).

521 However, it seems that in this case it is not just a matter of substitution between two different
522 products providing similar benefits, but it is rather an overlapping of perception.

523 Finally, green food seems to hold more evocative power than organic in terms of nature-
524 related associations, which is quite intuitive, given both the longer history of the green food
525 movement, and the higher investments made in promotion.

526 In addition, it looks like in China organic is not necessarily synonymous with nature and
527 traditional farming. Although some of the core attributes of the consensus map are consistent with
528 the perception of organic as food produced without the use of conventional synthetic chemicals, the
529 concept of organic is easily associated with modern and advanced technologies, GMOs, and
530 futuristic production methods (e.g., food produced in laboratories), similarly to what Perrea et al.
531 found for Green Food labeled products (Perrea et al., 2014). This is probably imputable to semantic
532 reasons: in the Chinese language the word “youji” describes the concept of organic, but it literally
533 means “with technology”; in some situations it is used to address organic food (“youji shipin”), but
534 in other contexts the same word can be used to define manufactured products, and even products
535 that are conceptually antithetic to organic, such as chemical fertilizers (“youji huafei”). Actually,
536 while healthy, safe, and expensive are important features of organic food perceived also by
537 “western” consumers, the focus on advanced technology is to be contrasted with the attribute
538 “naturalness”, the latter being common among organic consumers around the world (Hemmerling,
539 Canavari, & Spiller, 2016). This is not necessarily a negative aspect, since for Chinese consumers
540 technology is associated to progress and future, rather than distance from a “natural” state. At the
541 best of our knowledge, this perception is peculiar of Chinese consumers and this new finding may
542 be of great significance in terms of communication strategies.

543 In contrast with some previous studies (McCarthy et al., 2015; Thøgersen & Zhou, 2012), but
544 similarly to (Loebnitz & Aschemann-Witzel, 2016) no ethical concerns at all were mentioned by
545 respondents, such as the support to organic farmers, fair trade or animal welfare. This matter of fact

546 reflects the early stage of development of the Chinese market, and the type of orientation of
547 consumers towards organic food.

548 Two other associations mentioned by respondents also reflect the difference between Western
549 and Chinese markets:

550 1) the role of the packaging, since food packaging in China is as important as the food itself,
551 and sometimes even more, suggesting that adequate packaging is a key purchasing driver at least for
552 unaware consumers, and the concerns for fake or counterfeited products;

553 2) the lack of trust (Sirieix et al., 2011; Yin et al., 2010), with a trust deficit that is enormous
554 and growing, especially towards the local market.

555 The latter result confirms previous findings (Wu et al., 2014), while the former is novel and
556 interesting.

557 Our study confirms that information and knowledge is crucial, as already pointed out by
558 McCarthy et al. (2015). The consensus maps built for the two groups we identified, basically
559 referable to as the “purchasers” and “occasional purchasers” shared only a few attributes, and
560 suggested that latter had a more technological and less nature-oriented perception of organic and
561 showed a less complex and less integrated aggregated associative structure, which is typical for
562 non-experts (John et al., 2006, p. 559). Therefore, perhaps organic food marketing in China might
563 need more segmentation and targeting, instead of an one-size-fits-it-all marketing communication.

564

565 The main limitation of our findings is that they are based on a small sample of organic
566 consumers selected in the most affluent area in China, therefore cannot be generalized.

567 However, this study can provide some hints to the marketing managers interested in the
568 positioning of organic products in China. The potential for growth are therefore very high,
569 especially considering the high demand for safer food. Our study reveal that consumers quality
570 perception of organic are mainly related to the health and safety aspects, as well as nutritional
571 values. Organic product are also perceived as expensive (and as a matter of fact, they are).

572 However, “expensive” was not always meaning “not affordable” (negative attribute), sometimes it
573 has a positive meaning, for a high price is also a signal of high quality and high status.

574

575 Achieving a high status would allow organic food to be regarded as a luxury good, suitable to
576 be bought as a prestigious present. Giving food as a present is a common habit in China, and the
577 importance of gift giving in the Chinese culture strongly differs from the western world, especially
578 in the business environment. It is of utter importance to give prestigious gifts, for they represent the
579 respect and financial strength of the company, and for they operate as a way to attract a possible
580 future relationship. The gift reflects both the status of the donor and the respect towards the
581 receiver. A nice package is an essential component of a gift, and especially for the “unaware
582 occasional purchasers” cluster, the “packaging” aspect shows to be important, consistently with the
583 perception of a luxury good suitable to be purchased as a present. Unfortunately, none of the
584 abovementioned associations emerged and “modern and fashion”, although mentioned, did not
585 achieve the number of mentions necessary to be represented on the consensus map. This makes us
586 think that organic food, although recognized as quality food by most of the respondents, fall short to
587 be included in the pool of prestigious food gifts, contrarily to what happens, for example, to some
588 imported food products, such as French wines. Since the social status conveyed by the product
589 relies on the product notoriety, promotion plays a key role. Thus, it would be a good strategy for
590 sector operators to improve the synergy between the associations “Safe”, “Pure and natural”,
591 “without chemicals” and “healthy”, using concepts that imply or suggest prestige and a high social
592 status in marketing communication, so as to re-position organic food image and enlarge the target
593 market.

594 The main implication of the specific findings for marketers and practitioners of organic
595 products in China, however, is that they should pay attention to the risk that their products are not
596 perceived differently from Green Food products and farmers and food producers should carefully
597 consider which type of certification they should obtain and how to promote their product.

598 Another implication is that at least two distinct and quite different groups of customers may
599 exist in China, and a differentiated marketing strategy may be a sound option for further expanding
600 the organic food market. A quantitative segmentation study focused on consumer profiling should
601 be a useful follow-up of this study.

602

603 The main implication for Chinese policy makers is that they should consider implementing
604 information and consumer education campaigns (McCarthy et al., 2015) aimed at achieving a better
605 understanding of the features of the organic and Green food quality labels among consumers,
606 allowing them to make a more informed choice among products with different quality labels.

607

608 Regarding the method used in this study, we maintain that it could be very useful in exploring
609 consumer views and brand/concept associations in consumer mind. It is based on the adaptation of
610 an existing methodology for the creation of individual concept maps, with the purpose to make data
611 collection faster and easier to implement, still maintaining the ability to provide meaningful
612 information. The quantitative and graphical tools used to support data analysis is mainly aimed at
613 reducing subjectivity in aggregating the maps and, despite they are not strictly necessary, they
614 represent an useful aid to the analyst.

615 Possible directions to develop the method further could be the use of automatic classification
616 methods based on different clustering or latent class analysis techniques, which can help the
617 researcher to identify groups easily. It is important to note that the qualitative nature of this study
618 reflects in the sampling procedure and in the sample size; thus, the use of quantitative measures and
619 multivariate data analysis techniques does not change the nature of the study. However, in principle
620 it would be possible to design a quantitative survey based on the modified brand concept mapping
621 procedure we used in this study. This could represent an interesting alternative to mapping
622 techniques based on multidimensional scaling or correspondence analysis.

623

624 **6. References**

- 625 Aaker, D. A. (1996). *Building Strong Brands*. New York, NY: The Free Press.
- 626 Aertsens, J., Verbeke, W., Mondelaers, K., & Van Huylenbroeck, G. (2009). Personal determinants
627 of organic food consumption: a review. *British Food Journal*, *111*(10), 1140–1167.
628 doi:10.1108/00070700910992961
- 629 Anderson, J. R. (1983). *The Architecture of Cognition*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard
630 University Press.
- 631 Aschemann-Witzel, J., & Zielke, S. (2015). Can't Buy Me Green? A Review of Consumer
632 Perceptions of and Behavior Toward the Price of Organic Food. *Journal of Consumer Affairs*,
633 n/a–n/a. doi:10.1111/joca.12092
- 634 Asioli, D., Canavari, M., Pignatti, E., Obermowe, T., Sidali, K. L., Vogt, C., & Spiller, A. (2014).
635 Sensory Experiences and Expectations of Italian and German Organic Consumers. *Journal of*
636 *International Food & Agribusiness Marketing*, *26*(1), 13–27.
637 doi:10.1080/08974438.2012.755718
- 638 Bayaah, S. N., & Juhdi, N. (2010). Organic food: A study on demographic characteristics and
639 factors influencing purchase intentions among consumers in Klang Valley, Malaysia.
640 *International Journal of Business and Management*, *5*(2), 105–118.
- 641 Bettman, J. R. (1974). Toward a Statistics for Consumer Decision Net Models. *Journal of*
642 *Consumer Research*, *1*(1), 71–80. doi:10.1086/208583
- 643 Brandt, C., Pahud de Mortanges, C., Bluemelhuber, C., & van Riel, A. C. R. (2011). Associative
644 networks: A new approach to market segmentation. *International Journal of Market Research*,
645 *53*(2), 187–207. doi:10.2501/IJMR-53-2-187-208
- 646 Byrne, M. (2001). Sampling for qualitative research. *AORN Journal*, *73*(2), 494–498.
647 doi:10.1016/S0001-2092(06)61990-X
- 648 Calder, B. J., & Gruder, C. L. (1989). Emotional advertising appeals. In A. Tybout & P. Cafferatta
649 (Eds.), *Advertising and Consumer Psychology*. Erlbaum, Hillsdale, NJ.
- 650 Canali, G. (2011). Possibili effetti sui consumatori di un sistema di qualità nazionale della
651 produzione integrata. *Economia Agro-Alimentare*, *13*(3), 129–143. doi:10.3280/ecag2011-
652 003008
- 653 Canavari, M., & Wongprawmas, R. (2012). Organic Food Marketing in Asia: a value chain
654 perspective. In J. Briz & I. De Felipe (Eds.), *Food Value Chains Networks in the 21st Century:*
655 *Challenges and opportunities from an international view* (pp. 477–502). Madrid: Editorial
656 Agrícola Española.
- 657 Chen, J., & Lobo, A. (2012). Organic food products in China: determinants of consumers' purchase
658 intentions. *The International Review of Retail, Distribution and Consumer Research*, *22*(3),
659 293–314. doi:10.1080/09593969.2012.682596
- 660 Chen, J., Lobo, A., & Rajendran, N. (2014). Drivers of organic food purchase intentions in
661 mainland China - evaluating potential customers' attitudes, demographics and segmentation.
662 *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, *38*(4), 346–356. doi:10.1111/ijcs.12095

- 663 Chen, N.-H., Lee, C.-H., & Huang, C.-T. (2015). Why buy organic rice? genetic algorithm-based
664 fuzzy association mining rules for means-end chain data. *International Journal of Consumer*
665 *Studies*, 39(6), 692–707. doi:10.1111/ijcs.12210
- 666 Chiu, T., Fang, D., Chen, J., Wang, Y., & Jeris, C. (2001). A robust and scalable clustering
667 algorithm for mixed type attributes in large database environment. In *Proceedings of the*
668 *seventh ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining* (pp.
669 263–268). New York, NY, USA: ACM. doi:10.1145/502512.502549
- 670 Chryssochoidis, G. (2000). Repercussions of consumer confusion for late introduced differentiated
671 products. *European Journal of Marketing*, 34(5/6), 705–722.
672 doi:10.1108/03090560010321992
- 673 Collins, A. M., & Loftus, E. F. (1975). A spreading-activation theory of semantic processing.
674 *Psychological Review*, 82(6), 407–428. doi:10.1037/0033-295X.82.6.407
- 675 Collins, A. M., & Quillian, M. R. (1969). Retrieval Time from Semantic Memory. *Journal of*
676 *Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 8, 240–248.
- 677 Czepiel, J. A. (1974). Word-of-mouth processes in the diffusion of a major technological innovation.
678 *Journal of Marketing Research*, 11, 172–180.
- 679 Darby, K., Batte, M. T., Ernst, S., & Roe, B. (2008). Decomposing Local: A Conjoint Analysis of
680 Locally Produced Foods. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 90(2), 476–486.
681 doi:10.1111/j.1467-8276.2007.01111.x
- 682 Del Giudice, T., Caracciolo, F., Cicia, G., Grunert, K. G., & Krystallis, A. (2012). Consumatori
683 cinesi e cibo: tra tradizione millenaria e influenze culturali occidentali. *Economia Agro-*
684 *Alimentare*, 14(3), 85–99. doi:10.3280/ECAG2012-003005
- 685 Dimitri, C., & Dettmann, R. L. (2012). Organic food consumers: what do we really know about
686 them? *British Food Journal*, 114(8), 1157–1183. doi:10.1108/00070701211252101
- 687 Fotopoulos, C., Krystallis, A., & Ness, M. (2003). Wine produced by organic grapes in Greece:
688 using means—end chains analysis to reveal organic buyers’ purchasing motives in comparison
689 to the non-buyers. *Food Quality and Preference*, 14(7), 549–566. doi:10.1016/S0950-
690 3293(02)00130-1
- 691 Freeman, L. C. (1978). Centrality in social networks conceptual clarification. *Social Networks*, 1(3),
692 215–239. doi:10.1016/0378-8733(78)90021-7
- 693 Gengler, C. E., Klenosky, D. B., & Mulvey, M. S. (1995). Improving the graphic representation of
694 means-end results. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 12, 245–256.
- 695 Giovannucci, D. (2005). *Organic agriculture and poverty reduction in Asia: China and India focus*
696 (No. 1664). IFAD Office of Evaluation, February. Retrieved from
697 [http://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&btnG=Search&q=intitle:Organic+Agriculture+and+P](http://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&btnG=Search&q=intitle:Organic+Agriculture+and+Poverty+Reduction+in+Asia:+China+and+India+Focus#0)
698 [overty+Reduction+in+Asia:+China+and+India+Focus#0](http://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&btnG=Search&q=intitle:Organic+Agriculture+and+Poverty+Reduction+in+Asia:+China+and+India+Focus#0)
- 699 Govindasamy, R., DeCongelio, M., & Bhuyan, S. (2006). An Evaluation of Consumer Willingness
700 to Pay for Organic Produce in the Northeastern U.S. *Journal of Food Products Marketing*,
701 11(4), 3–20. doi:10.1300/J038v11n04_02
- 702 Grolleau, G., & Caswell, J. A. (2006). Interaction between food attributes in markets: The case of
703 environmental labeling. *Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics*. Retrieved from

- 704 <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-33846428815&partnerID=tZOtx3y1>
- 705 Grunert, K. G., & Bech-Larsen, T. (2005). Explaining choice option attractiveness by beliefs
706 elicited by the laddering method. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 26(2), 223–241.
707 doi:10.1016/j.joep.2004.04.002
- 708 Harley, T. A. (1995). Semantics. In P. Press (Ed.), *The psychology of language: from data to theory*
709 (pp. 175–205). East Sussex, UK.
- 710 Hemmerling, S., Canavari, M., & Spiller, A. (2016). Preference for naturalness of European organic
711 consumers: first evidence of an attitude-liking-gap. *British Food Journal*, 118(9).
712 doi:10.1108/BFJ-11-2015-0457
- 713 Hemmerling, S., Hamm, U., & Spiller, A. (2015). Consumption behaviour regarding organic food
714 from a marketing perspective—a literature review. *Organic Agriculture*, 5(4), 277–313.
715 doi:10.1007/s13165-015-0109-3
- 716 Hemmerling, S., Obermowe, T., Canavari, M., Sidali, K. L., Stolz, H., & Spiller, A. (2013).
717 Organic food labels as a signal of sensory quality—insights from a cross-cultural consumer
718 survey. *Organic Agriculture*, 3(1), 57–69. doi:10.1007/s13165-013-0046-y
- 719 Henderson, G. R., Iacobucci, D., & Calder, B. J. (1998). Brand diagnostics: Mapping branding
720 effects using consumer associative networks. *European Journal of Operational Research*,
721 111(2), 306–327. doi:10.1016/S0377-2217(98)00151-9
- 722 Huliyeti, H., Marchesini, S., & Canavari, M. (2008). Chinese distribution practitioners' attitudes
723 towards Italian quality foods. *Journal of Chinese Economic and Foreign Trade Studies*, 1(3),
724 214–231. doi:10.1108/17544400810912374
- 725 John, D. R., Loken, B., Kim, K., & Monga, A. B. (2006). Brand Concept Maps: A Methodology for
726 Identifying Brand Association Networks. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 43(4), 549–563.
727 doi:10.1509/jmkr.43.4.549
- 728 Krishnan, H. S. (1996). Characteristics of memory associations: a consumer-based brand equity
729 perspective. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 13, 389–405.
- 730 Kuhar, A., & Juvancic, L. (2010). Determinants of purchasing behaviour for organic and integrated
731 fruits and vegetables in Slovenia. *Agricultural Economics Review*, 11(2), 70–83.
- 732 Lind, L. W. (2007). Consumer involvement and perceived differentiation of different kinds of pork
733 – a Means-End Chain analysis. *Food Quality and Preference*, 18(4), 690–700.
734 doi:10.1016/j.foodqual.2006.10.004
- 735 Liu, R., Pieniak, Z., & Verbeke, W. (2013). Consumers' attitudes and behaviour towards safe food
736 in China: A review. *Food Control*, 33(1), 93–104. doi:10.1016/j.foodcont.2013.01.051
- 737 Loebnitz, N., & Aschemann-Witzel, J. (2016). Communicating organic food quality in China:
738 Consumer perceptions of organic products and the effect of environmental value priming.
739 *Food Quality and Preference*, 50, 102–108. doi:10.1016/j.foodqual.2016.02.003
- 740 Lombardi, P., Canavari, M., Spadoni, R., Wongprawmas, R., Slee, B., Feliciano, D., ... Marin, F.
741 (2010). Organic and quality food marketing in Asia and Europe : a double sided perspective on
742 marketing of quality food products. In *Looking East Looking West Organic and Quality Food*
743 *Marketing in Asia and Europe* (pp. 127–140). doi:10.3921/978-90-8686-703-5

- 744 Lu, Z. (2002). Production and market of organic foods in China. In *1st Gangjin International*
 745 *Symposium on Organic Agriculture International Conference “Development of Basic Standard*
 746 *for Organic Rice Cultivation”*, November 15, 2002, Gangjin, Korea. Retrieved from
 747 [http://www2.rda.go.kr/kpms/ipsm/korean/03_undp/morgue/rice/file/OA-11\(Lu\).doc](http://www2.rda.go.kr/kpms/ipsm/korean/03_undp/morgue/rice/file/OA-11(Lu).doc)
- 748 Marchesini, S., Huliyeti, H., & Spadoni, R. (2010). An overview of the organic and green food
 749 market in China. In *Looking East Looking West: Organic and Quality Food Marketing in Asia*
 750 *and Europe* (pp. 155–172). Wageningen Academic Publishers. doi:10.3921/978-90-8686-703-
 751 5
- 752 McCarthy, B. L., Liu, H.-B., & Chen, T. (2015). Trends in Organic and Green Food Consumption
 753 in China : Opportunities and Challenges for Regional Australian Exporters. *Journal of*
 754 *Economic and Social Policy*, 17(1). Retrieved from <http://epubs.scu.edu.au/jesp/vol17/iss1/2>
- 755 Meyer, D. E., & Schvaneveldt, R. W. (1976). Meaning, memory structure, and mental processes.
 756 *Science*, 192(4234), 27–33. Retrieved from <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1257753>
- 757 Michelsen, J., Hamm, U., Wynen, E., & Roth, E. (1999). The European market for organic products:
 758 Growth and development. In S. Dabbert, N. Lampkin, J. Michelsen, H. Nieberg, & R. Zanolli
 759 (Eds.), *Organic farming in Europe: Economics and policy* (Vol. 7). Hohenheim.
- 760 Minton, E. A., & Khale, L. R. (2014). *Belief Systems, Religion, and Behavioral Economics*. New
 761 York, NY: Business Expert Press.
- 762 Moen, D. (1997). *The Japanese organic farming movement: consumers and farmers united*.
- 763 Naspetti, S., & Zanolli, R. (2009). Organic Food Quality and Safety Perception Throughout Europe.
 764 *Journal of Food Products Marketing*, 15(3), 249–266. doi:10.1080/10454440902908019
- 765 Nelson, J. (1991). *Marketing of pesticide-free vegetables in Bangkok*. Bangkok.
- 766 Norušis, M. J. (2011). *IBM SPSS Statistics 19 Statistical Procedures Companion*. Upper Saddle
 767 River, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- 768 Novak, J. D., & Cañas, a J. (2008). *The Theory Underlying Concept Maps and How to Construct*
 769 *and Use Them. Technical Report IHMC CmapTools 2006-01 Rev 2008-01*. Retrieved from
 770 <http://cmap.ihmc.us/Publications/>
- 771 Oelofse, M., Høgh-Jensen, H., Abreu, L. S., Almeida, G. F., Hui, Q. Y., Sultan, T., & de Neergaard,
 772 A. (2010). Certified organic agriculture in China and Brazil: Market accessibility and
 773 outcomes following adoption. *Ecological Economics*, 69(9), 1785–1793.
 774 doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2010.04.016
- 775 Perrea, T., G. Grunert, K., Krystallis, A., Zhou, Y., Huang, G., & Hue, Y. (2014). Testing and
 776 validation of a hierarchical values-attitudes model in the context of green food in China. *Asia*
 777 *Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, 26(2), 296–314. doi:10.1108/APJML-09-2013-
 778 0106
- 779 Quillian, M. R. (1969). The teachable language comprehender: a simulation program and theory of
 780 language. *Communications of the ACM*, 12(8), 459–476. doi:10.1145/363196.363214
- 781 Reicks, M., Splett, P., & Fishman, A. (1997). *Shelf labeling of organic foods: Effects on customer*
 782 *perceptions and sales*. Minneapolis, MN.
- 783 Roitner-Schobesberger, B., Darnhofer, I., Somsook, S., & Vogl, C. R. (2008). Consumer

- 784 perceptions of organic foods in Bangkok, Thailand. *Food Policy*, 33(2), 112–121.
785 doi:10.1016/j.foodpol.2007.09.004
- 786 Sandelowski, M. (1995). Sample size in qualitative research. *Research in Nursing & Health*, 18(2),
787 179–83. Retrieved from <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7899572>
- 788 Scarpa, R., Spalatro, F., Canavari, M., Olson, K., Scarpa, R., & Spalatro, F. (2007). Investigating
789 Preferences for Environment Friendly Production Practices. Taste segments for organic and
790 integrated crop management potatoes in Italian households. In M. Canavari & K. D. Olson
791 (Eds.), *Organic Food. Consumers' Choices and Farmers' Opportunities* (pp. 115–124). New
792 York: Springer. doi:10.1007/978-0-387-39582-1_8
- 793 Schleenbecker, R., & Hamm, U. (2013). Consumers' perception of organic product characteristics.
794 A review. *Appetite*, 71, 420–429. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2013.08.020
- 795 Schmitt, B. H., Tavassoli, N. T., & Millard, R. T. (1993). Memory for print ads: Understanding
796 relations among brand name, copy, and picture. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 2(1), 55–82.
- 797 Schvaneveldt, R. W., Durso, F. T., & Dearholt, D. W. (1989). Network Structures in Proximity
798 Data. In G. H. Bower (Ed.), *The Psychology of Learning and Motivation: Advances in*
799 *Research and Theory* (Vol. 24, pp. 249–284). New York: Academic Press. doi:10.1016/S0079-
800 7421(08)60539-3
- 801 Scott, J. (1991). *Social Network Analysis: A Handbook*. New York, NY: Sage Publications.
- 802 Sheng, J., Shen, L., Qiao, Y., Yu, M., & Fan, B. (2009). Market trends and accreditation systems
803 for organic food in China. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 20(9), 396–401.
804 doi:10.1016/j.tifs.2009.01.053
- 805 Sirieix, L., Kledal, P. R., & Sulitang, T. (2011). Organic food consumers' trade-offs between local
806 or imported, conventional or organic products: a qualitative study in Shanghai. *International*
807 *Journal of Consumer Studies*, 35(6), 670–678. doi:10.1111/j.1470-6431.2010.00960.x
- 808 Skinner, B. F. (1957). *Verbal Behavior*. Acton, Massachusetts: Copley Publishing Group.
- 809 Taylor, D. A. (2008). Recovering the Good Earth: China's Growing Organic Market.
810 *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 116(8), A346–A349. Retrieved from
811 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2516578/>
- 812 Thiers, P. (2002). From grassroots movement to state-coordinated market strategy: the
813 transformation of organic agriculture in China. *Environment and Planning C: Government and*
814 *Policy*, 20(3), 357–373. doi:10.1068/c2v
- 815 Thøgersen, J., de Barcellos, M. D., Perin, M. G., & Zhou, Y. (2015). Consumer buying motives and
816 attitudes towards organic food in two emerging markets. *International Marketing Review*,
817 32(3/4), 389–413. doi:10.1108/IMR-06-2013-0123
- 818 Thøgersen, J., & Zhou, Y. (2012). Chinese consumers' adoption of a “green” innovation – The case
819 of organic food. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 28(3-4), 313–333.
820 doi:10.1080/0267257X.2012.658834
- 821 Thøgersen, J., Zhou, Y., & Huang, G. (2015). How stable is the value basis for organic food
822 consumption in China? *Journal of Cleaner Production*. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.06.036
- 823 Thomas, T., & Gunden, C. (2012). Investigating consumer attitudes toward food produced via three

- 824 production systems: Conventional, sustainable and organic. *Journal of Food, Agriculture and*
825 *Environment*, 10(2), 55–58. Retrieved from [http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-](http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-84862238786&partnerID=tZOtx3y1)
826 [s2.0-84862238786&partnerID=tZOtx3y1](http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-84862238786&partnerID=tZOtx3y1)
- 827 Trotter, R. T. (2012). Qualitative research sample design and sample size: resolving and unresolved
828 issues and inferential imperatives. *Preventive Medicine*, 55(5), 398–400.
829 doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2012.07.003
- 830 Wang, O., De Steur, H., Gellynck, X., & Verbeke, W. (2014). Motives for consumer choice of
831 traditional food and European food in mainland China. *Appetite*.
832 doi:10.1016/j.appet.2014.12.211
- 833 Wasserman, S., & Faust, K. (1994). *Wasserman, S., & Faust, K. (1994). Social Network Analysis.*
834 Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- 835 Willer, H., & Lernoud, J. (2016). Current Statistics on Organic Agriculture Worldwide: Area,
836 Producers, Markets, and Selected Crops. In H. Willer & J. Lernoud (Eds.), *The World of*
837 *Organic Agriculture. Statistics and Emerging Trends 2016* (pp. 34–116). Frick (CH), Bonn
838 (DE): Research Institute of Organic Agriculture (FiBL) & International Federation of Organic
839 Agriculture Movements (IFOAM).
- 840 Wirth, F. F., Stanton, J. L., & Wiley, J. B. (2011). The Relative Importance of Search versus
841 Credence Product Attributes: Organic and Locally Grown. *Agricultural and Resource*
842 *Economics Review*, 40(1), 48–62. Retrieved from <http://purl.umn.edu/106064>
- 843 Wu, L., Wang, H., Zhu, D., Hu, W., & Wang, S. (2016). Chinese consumers' willingness to pay for
844 pork traceability information—the case of Wuxi. *Agricultural Economics*, 47(1), 71–79.
845 doi:10.1111/agec.12210
- 846 Wu, L., Yin, S., Xu, Y., & Zhu, D. (2014). Effectiveness of China's Organic Food Certification
847 Policy: Consumer Preferences for Infant Milk Formula with Different Organic Certification
848 Labels. *Canadian Journal of Agricultural Economics/Revue Canadienne D'agroeconomie*,
849 62(4), 545–568. doi:10.1111/cjag.12050
- 850 Wu, L., Zhong, Y., Shan, L., & Qin, W. (2013). Public risk perception of food additives and food
851 scares. The case in Suzhou, China. *Appetite*, 70(0), 90–98.
852 doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2013.06.091>
- 853 Xie, B., Li, T., & Yi, Q. (2011). Organic certification and the market: organic exports from and
854 imports to China. *British Food Journal*, 113(10), 1200–1216.
855 doi:10.1108/00070701111177647
- 856 Xie, B., Wang, L., Yang, H., Wang, Y., & Zhang, M. (2015). Consumer perceptions and attitudes of
857 organic food products in Eastern China. *British Food Journal*, 117(3), 1105–1121.
858 doi:10.1108/BFJ-09-2013-0255
- 859 Yin, S., Wu, L., Du, L., & Chen, M. (2010). Consumers' purchase intention of organic food in
860 China. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 90(8), 1361–1367.
861 doi:10.1002/jsfa.3936
- 862 Yiridoe, E. K., Bonti-Ankomah, S., & Martin, R. C. (2005). Comparison of consumer perceptions
863 and preference toward organic versus conventionally produced foods: A review and update of
864 the literature. *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems*, 20(4), 193–205.
865 doi:10.1079/RAF2005113

- 866 Zagata, L. (2014). Towards conscientious food consumption: exploring the values of Czech organic
867 food consumers. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 38(3), 243–250.
868 doi:10.1111/ijcs.12098
- 869 Zaltman, G., & Coulter, R. H. (1995). Seeing the Voice of the Customer : Metaphor-Based
870 Advertising Research. *Journal of Advertising*, 35(4), 35–51. Retrieved from
871 <http://www.hbs.edu/faculty/Pages/item.aspx?num=2972>
- 872 Zhang, T., Ramakrishnan, R., & Livny, M. (1996). BIRCH: An Efficient Data Clustering Method
873 for Very Large Databases. *ACM SIGMOD Record*, 25(2), 103–114.
874 doi:10.1145/235968.233324
- 875 Zhou, L. L., & Chen, T. (2007). Consumer Perception of Organic Food in Urumqi. In M. Canavari,
876 D. Regazzi, & R. Spadoni (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 105th Seminar of the European*
877 *Association of Agricultural Economists, Bologna (Italy), March 8-10, 2007* (pp. 173–186).
878 Bologna, Italy: Avenue Media.
- 879 Zhou, Y., Thøgersen, J., Ruan, Y., & Huang, G. (2013). The moderating role of human values in
880 planned behavior: the case of Chinese consumers' intention to buy organic food. *Journal of*
881 *Consumer Marketing*, 30(4), 335–344. doi:10.1108/JCM-02-2013-0482
- 882

Table 1 - Correct answers to question 5 and Intermediate knowledge score calculation base *

Statement	Correct answer	Partial score if respondent		
		is wrong	don't know	is right
Organic foods are produced with "synthetic chemical fertilizers"	false	-2	0	+1
Organic food contains GMOs	false	-1	0	+1
Organic and green food are the same	false	-1	0	+1
Organic foods are inspected strictly	true	-1	0	+1
Organic method aims at protecting the environment	true	-1	0	+1

* The Final score allowed us to classify respondents in Knowledge Classes: ≤ 2 = Poor; 3-4 = Intermediate; ≥ 5 = Thorough.

Table 2 – Summary of the socio-demographic characteristics of the sample

Discriminants				
Knowledge	– Classes	Thorough	Intermediate	Poor
	– <i>Self assessed, % of respondents</i>	38%	32%	30%
	– <i>Measured, % of respondents</i>	12%	28%	60%
Frequency of purchase	– Classes	More than once a week	Less than once a week	Never
	– <i>% of respondents</i>	24%	30%	46%
Age (years)	– Classes	25 or less	26-55	56 or more
	– <i>% of respondents</i>	40%	56%	4%
Gender	– Classes	Male	Female	
	– <i>% of respondents</i>	46%	54%	
Presence of kids under 15 in the household	– Classes	Yes	No	
	– <i>% of respondents</i>	30%	70%	
Education	– Classes	Higher education	High school	Lower education
	– <i>% of respondents</i>	36%	26%	38%
Monthly income	– Classes	<2.000 RMB	2000-10000 RMB	>10.000 RMB
	– <i>% of respondents</i>	40%	56%	4%

Table 3 – Coded categories translated in English

Cod	Category	cod	Category	cod	Category	cod	Category
1	Safe	11	Without side effects	21	Fruit and vegetables (3)	31	Ugly packaging
2	Pure and natural	12	Cheap	22	High production cost	32	Dangerous
3	Without chemicals	13	Flowers and grass	23	Ideal for kids and elders	33	Non fresh
4	Healthy	14	Don't fall ill	24	Technologically improved	34	With chemicals
5	Medical properties (1)	15	Tasty	25	Pastry	35	Hard to understand
6	Green food	16	Western food (2)	26	Unnatural	36	Deteriorate environment
7	Bright color	17	High quality	27	Bad Taste	37	Poor choice, hard to find
8	Modern and fashion	18	Nice packaging	28	Fake (4)		
9	Fresh	19	Clean	29	Expensive (5)		
10	Environment protection	20	Nutritional	30	Loss of faith		

(1) Also intended as cosmetic properties

(2) This code summarizes the concepts of European Union and imported food together

(3) This code includes all the various fruit and vegetables mentioned by respondents (e.g., tea leaves, spices, rice)

(4) Intended that the product may be counterfeit

(5) Although the sense of the word might sound negative, the attribute could be also perceived positively, e.g. as signal of quality

Table 4 – Relevant measures for concepts associated with the organic attribute

Concept	frequency of mentions ¹	Number of inter connections ²	frequency of first order mention ³	Ratio of first order mention ⁴	Super ordinate ⁵	Sub ordinate ⁵
Safe	20	18	20	100%	5	0
Pure and natural	14	13	13	93%	2	2
Healthy	24	21	20	83%	1	2
Green food	10	15	10	100%	3	0
Without side effects	6	3	4	67%	0	3
Tasty	12	3	12	100%	0	0
Fruit and vegetables	9	6	7	78%	0	2
High production costs	7	13	0	0%	0	15
Expensive	27	11	27	100%	6	0
Poor choice, hard to find	11	5	10	91%	2	0

1. Frequency of mention: number of times that an association occurs across maps

2. Number of interconnections: number of times that an association is connected to other brand associations.

3. Frequency of first-order mentions: count of the number of times that an association is directly linked to the brand across maps

4. Ratio of first-order mentions: percentage of times that an association is linked directly to the brand when it is included on map.

5. Type of interconnections: indicates how frequently an association is placed above other associations (super-ordinate) or below other associations (subordinate) across maps


Table 5 - Centrality scores

Association	degree	Norm. degree	Degree share	betweenness	Node betweenness	Node closeness
Safe	24	2,495	0,079	0	0	3,541
Pure and natural	17	1,767	0,056	0	0	3,541
Healthy	40	4,158	0,132	3	0,45	3,551
Green food	18	1,871	0,060	0,5	0,075	3,544
Without side effects	12	1,247	0,040	0	0	3,541
Tasty	12	1,247	0,040	0	0	3,537
Fruit and vegetables	11	1,143	0,036	0	0	3,541
High production costs	5	0,52	0,017	0	0	3,514
Expensive	31	3,222	0,103	9	1,351	3,544
Poor choice, hard to find	10	1,04	0,033	0	0	3,537

Table 6 - cliques

Clique	Concept 1	Concept 2	Concept 3	Concept 4	Concept 5
Clique 1	Safe	Pure and natural	Without chemicals	Green food	Without side effects
Clique 2	Safe	Without chemicals	Don't fall ill		
Clique 3	Safe	Without chemicals	Western food		
Clique 4	Safe	Without chemicals	High quality		
Clique 5	Safe	Pure and natural	Healthy	Green food	Without side effects
Clique 6	Pure and natural	Healthy	Environment protection		
Clique 7	High quality	Nice packaging	Expensive		
Clique 8	Pure and natural	Healthy	Fruit and vegetables	Green food	
Clique 9	High quality	High production cost	Expensive		
Clique 10	High production cost	Expensive	Poor choice, hard to find		

Figure 1 - Aggregated BCM (50 respondents)



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Figure 2 - Aggregated map for Cluster 1 (16 respondents, cutoff 2)




Figure 3 - Aggregated map for Cluster 2 (34 respondents; cutoff 3)

