



Review

Phenolic Compounds in the Potato and Its Byproducts: An Overview

Hazal Akyol¹, Ylenia Riciputi², Esra Capanoglu¹, Maria Fiorenza Caboni^{2,3,*} and Vito Verardo^{4,5}

¹ Department of Food Engineering, Faculty of Chemical and Metallurgical Engineering, Istanbul Technical University, Ayazağa Campus, Maslak, Istanbul 34469, Turkey; hazalakyol@gmail.com (H.A.); capanogl@itu.edu.tr (E.C.)

² Department of Agro-Food Sciences and Technologies, Alma Mater Studiorum—University of Bologna, Piazza Goidanich 60, Cesena (FC) I-47521, Italy; ylenia.riciputi@unibo.it

³ Inter-Departmental Centre for Agri-Food Industrial Research (CIRI Agroalimentare), University of Bologna, Piazza Goidanich 60, Cesena (FC) I-47521, Italy

⁴ Department of Chemistry and Physics (Analytical Chemistry Area) University of Almería, Carretera de Sacramento s/n Almería E-04120, Spain; vito.verardo@unibo.it

⁵ Research Centre for Agricultural and Food Biotechnology (BITAL), Agrifood Campus of International Excellence, ceiA3, University of Almería, Carretera de Sacramento s/n Almería E-04120, Spain

* Correspondence: maria.caboni@unibo.it; Tel.: +39-0547-338-117

Academic Editor: Ana Maria Gómez Caravaca

Received: 28 April 2016; Accepted: 24 May 2016; Published: 27 May 2016

Abstract: The potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) is a tuber that is largely used for food and is a source of different bioactive compounds such as starch, dietary fiber, amino acids, minerals, vitamins, and phenolic compounds. Phenolic compounds are synthesized by the potato plant as a protection response from bacteria, fungi, viruses, and insects. Several works showed that these potato compounds exhibited health-promoting effects in humans. However, the use of the potato in the food industry submits this vegetable to different processes that can alter the phenolic content. Moreover, many of these compounds with high bioactivity are located in the potato's skin, and so are eliminated as waste. In this review the most recent articles dealing with phenolic compounds in the potato and potato byproducts, along with the effects of harvesting, post-harvest, and technological processes, have been reviewed. Briefly, the phenolic composition, main extraction, and determination methods have been described. In addition, the “alternative” food uses and healthy properties of potato phenolic compounds have been addressed.

Keywords: *Solanum tuberosum*; potato; phenolic compounds; health effects

1. Introduction

The potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) was known outside the Andes four centuries ago and has turned into a necessary component of much of the world's cuisine. Following rice, wheat, and maize, it is the fourth largest food crop in the world and is very important for human consumption [1]. It was estimated that the total world potato production was 368 million tons in 2013; of that, 112 million tons were produced in the European Union [2].

This staple crop contains essential amino acids, vitamins, and minerals, and is thus reported to play a significant role in human nutrition [3]. Many varieties of potatoes offer nutritional quantities of ascorbic acid (up to 42 mg/100 g), potassium (up to 693.8 mg/100 g), dietary fiber (up to 3.3%), and other healthy bioactive components, with lesser amounts of protein (0.85%–4.2%) [4]. In particular, “resistant starch,” the dietary fiber that escapes digestion and absorption in the small intestine, is

fermented by microorganisms in the large bowel [5]. Moreover, in a study it has been found that boiled potatoes showed the highest SI (satiety index) score out of 38 foods grouped into six food classes (fruit, bakery products, snack foods, carbohydrate-rich foods, protein-rich foods, and breakfast cereals) [6]. In addition to that, the potato is an ideal source of antioxidants for the human diet [7]. Despite the growing interest, little information has been reported about the important phytochemicals present in this widely consumed vegetable and its processing byproducts [8].

The worldwide utilization of potatoes is moving from fresh to processed potato products such as mashed and canned potatoes, fries, chips, and ready meals [9,10]. This industrial processing of potatoes creates huge amounts of peel as a byproduct and this generates disposal, sanitation, and environmental problems like all other industrial waste. Also, because of legal restrictions, the disposal of this waste poses a challenge [11,12]. However, potato peels are a great source of phenolic compounds because almost 50% of phenolics are located in the peel and adjoining tissues [8,13].

On the other hand, there is great interest in the utilization of natural antioxidants as functional ingredients in food formulation because they guarantee the cell constituents' protection against oxidative damage and limit the risk of degenerative diseases linked to oxidative stress [14,15]. For these reasons, the use of these byproducts for the production of food ingredients with high nutritional value increased and, consequently, their recovery may be economically attractive [11,16].

If a global health goal is to expand the amounts of phytonutrients consumed in the diet, a valuable approach could be to improve the nutritional content of the phytochemicals in the most consumed crops, and/or use the bioactive compounds that are contained in vegetable waste [17]. The use of potato peel is underscored as a source of natural antioxidants by many previous studies [9,18,19]. Consequently, these bioactive compounds can be added in functional food or can be used to generate nutraceuticals by virtue of their potential health benefits.

2. Phenolic Compounds in Potatoes

Phenolic compounds are secondary metabolites produced in plants that have a common structure based on an aromatic ring with one or more hydroxyl substituents [20–22]. These compounds can be divided according to their chemical structure into flavonoids, phenolic acids, tannins, stilbenes, coumarins, and lignans [23,24]. Their presence affects the sensory qualities of plant-derived processed foods, including taste, color, and texture [25–27].

Potatoes are good sources of phenolic compounds, with total phenolic content higher than other widespread fruits and vegetables like carrots, onions, or tomatoes because of their high consumption rates [28]. The germplasm of the potato shows a striking variety in terms of the phenolic compounds profile and content [29]. The phenolic compounds are present in the potato peel and flesh; however, the peel is reported to have the highest amounts [30]. Phenolic compounds present in potatoes are phenolic acids and flavonoids including flavonols, flavanols, and anthocyanins [3].

2.1. Phenolic Acids

The predominant phenolic acids in plants are substituted derivatives of hydroxybenzoic acids and hydroxycinnamic acids. Caffeic, *p*-coumaric, and ferulic acids are the most common hydroxycinnamic acids and frequently occur in foods as esters with quinic acid or sugars [31]; hydroxybenzoic acid derivatives are mainly present in foods in the glucoside forms, and *p*-hydroxybenzoic, vanillic, and protocatechuic acids are the most common forms [31–35].

Phenolic acids are the most abundant phenolic compounds in potatoes [9,36–38]. Among all these phenolic acids, chlorogenic acid, which is the ester of caffeic acid and quinic acid, has been considerably described in potatoes [12,13,18,39,40]. It constitutes 90% of the phenolic compounds in potato peels [37,41] and exists in the form of three main isomers, chlorogenic acid (5-*O*-caffeoylquinic acid), neochlorogenic acid (3-*O*-caffeoylquinic acid), and cryptochlorogenic acid (4-*O*-caffeoylquinic acid) [42]. Also, caffeic acid is quantified at 25–72 mg/100 g in potatoes by many researchers [13,43–45].

Other phenolic acids such as ferulic acid, gallic acid, and *p*-coumaric acid have also been quantified in potatoes, ranging from 0 to 5 mg/100 g dry weight [8,9,36,46]; also, syringic acid, vanillic acid, sinapic acid, and salicylic acid are present in small quantities [3,9,31,38].

2.2. Flavonoids

Flavonoids represent the most common group of plant phenolic compounds and their presence influences the flavor and color of fruits and vegetables. The six significant subclasses of flavonoids are the flavones, flavonols, flavanones, flavan-3-ols, anthocyanidins, and isoflavones. Occasionally they can be found as aglycones but most flavonoids are attached to sugars (glycosides) [29].

In potatoes, one of the most abundant flavonoids is catechin, ranging between 0 and 204 mg/100 g dry weight [36,47–50]. Flavonols like quercetin and kaempferol rutinose are also present in potato tubers [3,7,42,51,52]. Some authors have also reported the presence of rutin [3,7,42,53].

Flavonoids were more than 30 mg per 100 g fresh weight in white fleshed potatoes and this level is nearly doubled in red and purple fleshed potatoes as a result of anthocyanins [54]. The color of red and purple potatoes is derived from anthocyanins [55]. Anthocyanins are a sub-class of pigmented flavonoids. The most common anthocyanidins (the deglycosylated forms of anthocyanins) present in potatoes are malvidin, petunidin, delphinidin, and peonidin in purple tubers and pelargonidin in red ones [48,56]. In addition to this anthocyanin, aglycones, cyanidin, and petanin are also found in potatoes [51,57].

The levels of phenolic compounds in potatoes can vary greatly [29] depending on the color and variety of the potato cultivars [43]. Table 1 reviews the range of individual phenolic compound contents reported in the literature.

Table 1. Concentration levels of the main phenolic compounds in potatoes.

Phenolic Classes	Phenolic Compounds	Range (mg/100 g Dry Extract)	References
Phenolic acids	chlorogenic acid	27.6	[43]
		100.0–220.0	[53]
		17.4–1274.6	[51]
		47.0–283.0	[49]
		17.3–1468.1	[36]
		21.0–40.0	[58]
		60.0–292.0	[17]
		0.2–2193.0	[3]
	caffeic acid	0.1–0.2	[53]
		5.0–50.0	[49]
		1.1–172.4	[36]
		2.0–6.9	[58]
	coumaric acid	0–9.2	[49]
		0–1.6	[36]
	protocatechuic acid	0–7.6	[36]
	vanillic acid	0–22.4	[36]
	ferulic acid	0.6–9.0	[49]
		0–3.9	[36]
0–1.4		[3]	
cryptochlorogenic acid	16.0–27.0	[53]	
	3.1–163.3	[36]	
	8.0–59.0	[17]	
	0.1–168.3	[3]	
neochlorogenic acid	2.9–9.9	[53]	
	49.2–91.2	[36]	
	0.5–1.5	[58]	
	3.0–11.0	[17]	
	0.1–87.6	[3]	

Table 1. Cont.

Phenolic Classes	Phenolic Compounds	Range (mg/100 g Dry Extract)	References
<i>Phenolic acids</i>	gallic acid	0–1.0	[36]
	<i>p</i> -hydroxybenzoic acid	0–7.8	[36]
<i>Flavonols</i>	rutin	0.5–2.6	[53]
		0.6–1.3	[17]
		0–12.2	[3]
	kaempferol rutinose	0.5–1.7	[17]
	quercetin-3- <i>o</i> -glu-rut	2.5	[53]
<i>Flavan-3-ols</i>	catechin	43.0–204.0	[49]
		0–1.5	[36]
		0–1.4	[3]
<i>Anthocyanidins</i>	anthocyanins	1.4–163.3	[51]
		87.0	[59]
		953.8–1630.3	[60]
		21.0–109.0	[56]
Phenolic Classes	Phenolic Compounds	Range (mg/100 g Fresh Product)	References
<i>Phenolic acids</i>	chlorogenic acid	1.4–12.1	[39]
		0.9–27.0	[31]
		0.4–34.0	[41]
		0.4–30.1	[61]
		8.7–28.6	[38]
	caffeic acid	0–1.2	[41]
		0.6–10.2	[61]
		5.2–12.2	[38]
	coumaric acid	0.8–6.5	[38]
	protocatechuic acid	0.2–0.5	[31]
		6.1–10.3	[62]
		1.9–2.0	[38]
	vanillic acid	0.6	[31]
	ferulic acid	0.1	[31]
		0–0.1	[61]
1.5–4.9		[38]	
syringic acid	0.2–0.5	[31]	
	0.9–1.7	[38]	
<i>p</i> -coumaric acid	0.2–3.0	[31]	
sinapic acid	0.3–0.9	[31]	
	0–0.4	[61]	
gallic acid	0.5–0.6	[38]	

3. Effect of Harvesting, Post-Harvest, and Technological Processes on Phenolic Content

The amount of phenolic compounds and their stability are dependent on several factors such as agrotechnical processes, climatic conditions, ripeness during harvest, and post-harvest manipulations [63], as well as genotype, storage conditions after harvest, and processing and cooking methods [24,48,64–68]. Many polyphenols, particularly phenolic acids, are directly implicated in the response of plants to different types of stresses such as thermal stress, biotic stress, and injuries, and in their tolerance to exposure to UV rays and ozone [44]. These phytochemicals show antimicrobial properties by raising concentrations after pathogen infection and contribute to healing by lignification of damaged zones [69].

These biotic and abiotic factors can affect potatoes and may cause a serious economic problem in countries where potatoes are cultivated over large areas [1]. In the last decade, the effect of environmental conditions such as location on the phenolic content of potatoes has been widely

studied [50,60,70,71]. During tuber development, environmental conditions may influence the phenylpropanoid pathway and the polyphenolic composition in potato tubers [60]. Higher chlorogenic acid levels were found in warm locations with regular periods of drought, in comparison with high-altitude locations that are beneficial for potato cultivation. Organically grown potatoes reported significantly higher levels of chlorogenic acids compared to conventional treatments [72]. On the other hand, these studies demonstrated that the genotype of a potato has more effect on the phenolic content than the location [50,70]. Navarre *et al.* [52] determined the phenolic and antioxidant capacity of different potato genotypes.

Although wild species or primitive germplasm reported the highest phenolic content, commercial/industrial cultivars are preferred because they have the agronomic characteristics to be economically practical and could easily and more commonly be planted. Moreover, it is known that the most noteworthy phenolic genotypes were colored-flesh potatoes; however, consumer choice is for white-flesh potatoes, so they are the most produced. This encourages new harvest and/or post-harvest treatments in order to improve the phenolic content.

For improving the phenolic content of potatoes, some implementations were used before and some after harvesting. Ngadze *et al.* [44] reported that calcium contributes to increase caffeic and chlorogenic acid in potatoes. Furthermore, calcium soil amendment also improved the concentration of polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and peroxidase (POD) enzymes, which are involved in the phenolics and total soluble phenols metabolism. Several authors defined the role of Ca^{2+} in phenolic metabolism; Castañeda & Pérez [73] found that foliar application of 10 mM of CaCl_2 increased phenylalanine activity and resulted in the accumulation of phenols [44]. Other authors used a curing treatment (10 days at 16 °C) immediately after harvest, obtaining a high phenolic content and PPO activity in potato flesh; moreover, they enhanced the fresh-cut color and the sensory qualities after cutting [69].

After harvest, storage conditions also play an important role in phenolic contents. While Singh & Saldaña [38] indicated that phenolic compounds may degrade during storage conditions, many other studies reported that cold storage (~4 °C) of potatoes caused an increase in the phenolic content or kept it constant [40,61,68]. However, as described by Andre *et al.* [74], high storage temperatures (10 °C) decreased the phenolic contents or had no effect. On the other hand, Külen *et al.* [40] demonstrated that storage time is also very important for phenolic compounds. They found that the total phenolic content (TPC) of potatoes was high at harvest, declined after two months of cold storage, increased after four months of cold storage, and finally increased to almost harvest level after seven months of cold storage. The results of Blessington *et al.* [47] were in accordance with their data, showing that TPC decreased slightly after four months of cold storage in the 'Russet Burbank' variety during cold storage. Further detailed studies are required to clarify the effects of cold storage on individual potato clones.

In addition to the harvest and post-harvest condition, cooking processes should be considered as well. The chemical, physical, and enzyme modifications that were produced during cooking will change the antioxidant capacity and digestibility of potatoes, which later influences the bioavailability of phytochemicals to the postprandial glycemic response of the human body [75]. In a study of Mulinacci *et al.* [76], the contents of phenolic acids, glycoalkaloids, and anthocyanins are measured in fresh and also in processed (boiling and microwave cooking) potatoes from three cultivars (Vitelotte Noire, Highland Burgundy Red with pigmented flesh, and Kennebec with white pulp). Different from the literature data, it is indicated that the heating treatment did not cause any changes in phenolic acids content except for a small decrease in anthocyanins. Also, Perla *et al.* [54] studied some potato cooking methods such as boiling, baking, and microwaving on phenolic compounds in five cultivars with different skin and flesh colors after six months of storage. The level of phenolic compounds was reduced by the three cooking methods but boiling minimized these losses.

Briefly, the cultivar, stage of maturity of the tuber, cooking temperature and time, and presence of water or moisture during cooking all strongly affect the loss of phenolic compounds in potatoes.

4. Extraction and Determination Methods for Phenolics in Potato

During the extraction of antioxidant compounds from plant materials, the selection of the appropriate extraction conditions represents a crucial point [18]. During the extraction, the distribution of phenolic compounds in extraction solvents should be made to reach the appropriate distribution coefficient [75]. To extract phenolic compounds from potatoes, the most common method is solid–liquid extraction [18,27,76]. Commonly, the extraction solvents used for potato phenolics are methanol, ethanol, and aqueous alcohol mixtures [46,77–79]. However, these techniques require a long extraction time and result in low yields [38]. Therefore, other modern extraction and isolation techniques have been applied as alternative techniques for potato phenolic extraction. Ultrasound-assisted extraction (UAE), microwave-assisted extraction (MAE), and pressurized liquid extraction (PLE) are a few of these modern techniques [45,62,80–82] (Table 2).

Table 2. Overview of the extraction systems and analytical methods used for phenolic compounds determination in potatoes.

Extraction System	Analytical Technique	Potato Cultivar	Phenolic Compounds Described	References
Solid-liquid extraction	HPLC-DAD	'Kufri chandromukhi'	Chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, gallic acid	[43]
	HPLC UV-Vis	9 italian cultivars ('Agata', 'Primura', 'Arinda', 'Merit', 'Marabel', 'Jelli', 'Frinka', 'Sponta', 'Agria')	Chlorogenic acid	[39]
	HPLC-MS	'Ranger Russet' 'Norkotah Russet'	Neochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, quercetin-3- <i>o</i> -glu-rut, rutin, kaempferol-3- <i>o</i> -rutinoside, cryptochlorogenic acid, quinic acid	[53]
	HPLC-DAD, HPLC-MS, HPLC-FLD	23 Native Andean cultivars	Chlorogenic acid, neochlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, protocatechuic acid, vanillic acid, ferulic acid, petanin, rutin, kaempferol-3- <i>o</i> -rutinoside	[51]
	HPLC-DAD	320 specialty potato genotypes	Chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, gallic acid, catechin	[40]
	Not cited	'Russet Burbank'	Chlorogenic acid, ferulic acid, vanillic acid, caffeic acid, benzoic acid	[83]
	HPLC-MS	'Jasim', 'Atlantic', 'Jawan', 'Superior', 'Jopung'	Chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, ferulic acid, <i>p</i> -coumaric acid, trans-cinnamic acid	[41]
	HPLC-DAD	'Nicola', 'Sieglinde F', 'Isci 4052', 'Isci 67'	Chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, ferulic acid, catechin	[49]
	HPLC	Not cited (Indian cultivar)	Gallic acid, caffeic acid, chlorogenic acid, protocatechuic acid	[84]
	HPLC-DAD	13 native Andean genotypes	Neochlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid, kaempferol-3- <i>o</i> -rutinoside, quercetin	[60]

HPLC: High Performance Liquid Chromatography; UPLC: Ultra Performance Liquid Chromatography; DAD: Diode Array Detector; UV: Ultraviolet detector; MS: mass spectrometer.

Table 2. Cont.

Extraction System	Analytical Technique	Potato Cultivar	Phenolic Compounds Described	References
Solid-liquid extraction	HPLC	'Karlana'	Gallic acid, neochlorogenic acid, protocatechuic acid, catechin, cryptochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid, vanillic acid, caffeic acid, ferulic acid, <i>p</i> -coumaric acid	[36]
	HPLC UV-Vis	'Siecle', 'Purple Majesty', 'Dakota pearl', 'FL 1533', 'Vivaldi', 'Yukon gold'	Chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid	[13]
	HPLC-DAD, HPLC-MS	'Goldrosh', 'Nordonna', 'Dakota Pearl', 'Norkotah', 'Red Nordland', 'Sangre', 'Viking', 'Dark Red Nordland'	Chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, gallic acid, ferulic acid, catechin, <i>p</i> -coumaric acid, <i>o</i> -coumaric acid	[46]
	HPLC-DAD	8 cultivars	Chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, epicatechin, <i>p</i> -coumaric acid, vanillic acid, quercetin	[47]
	HPLC-DAD	'Sava', 'Bintje'	Protocatechuic acid, gentisic acid, gallic acid, chlorogenic acid, salicylic acid, caffeic acid, ferulic acid, <i>p</i> -coumaric acid	[19]
	HPLC-DAD-MS	'Bintje', 'Piccolo', 'Purple Majesty'	Chlorogenic acid, neochlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, kaempferol rutinose, rutin	[17]
	HPLC-DAD/APCI-MS	16 cultivars	Chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, 3- <i>o</i> -caffeoylquinic acid, 1- <i>o</i> -caffeoylquinic acid	[77]
	HPLC-DAD-MS	13 Italian cultivars	5- <i>o</i> -caffeoylquinic acid, 4- <i>o</i> -caffeoylquinic acid, 3- <i>o</i> -caffeoylquinic acid, ferulic acid, anthocyanins	[66]
	UPLC-MS	'Purple Majesty', 'Yukon gold', 'Atlantic'	Chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, ferulic acid, sinapic acid	[61]
	HPLC-DAD-MS	50 cultivars	Chlorogenic acid, rutin, kaempferol-3- <i>o</i> -rutinose	[52]
	UPLC-DAD	'Vitelotte', 'Luminella', 'Charlotte', 'Bintje'	Chlorogenic acid, neochlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, ferulic acid, <i>p</i> -coumaric acid, syringic acid, vanillic acid, catechin, rutin, kaempferol-3- <i>o</i> -rutinoside	[3]
	HPLC-DAD	'Sava'	Gallic acid, protocatechuic acid, gentisic acid, chlorogenic acid, vanillic acid, syringic acid, caffeic acid, salicylic acid, <i>p</i> -coumaric acid, ferulic acid	[9]
	HPLC-DAD	Not cited	Chlorogenic acid, neochlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, coumaric acid, genistin, quercetin-3- β -D-galactoside, naringin, naringenin, luteolin, genistein, kaempferol, flavan-3-ol	[85]
UPLC-MS	Not cited	chlorogenic acid, quinic acid, caffeic acid, methyl caffeate	[86]	

HPLC: High Performance Liquid Chromatography; UPLC: Ultra Performance Liquid Chromatography; DAD: Diode Array Detector; UV: Ultraviolet detector; MS: mass spectrometer.

Table 2. Cont.

Extraction System	Analytical Technique	Potato Cultivar	Phenolic Compounds Described	References
Solid-liquid extraction	HPLC-DAD-MS	15 Colombian cultivars	Chlorogenic acid, neochlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, caffeic acid	[76]
	HPLC UV	'Agria'	Chlorogenic acid, ferulic acid, gallic acid	[18]
	HPLC UV	'Valfi', 'Blaue Elise', 'Bore Valley', 'Blue Congo'	Chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, ferulic acid, coumaric acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, neochlorogenic acid, <i>p</i> -coumaric acid	[27]
Ultrasound-assisted extraction	HPLC-DAD	'Nicola', 'Timo', 'Siikli', 'Rosamund', 'Van Gogh'	Chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, ferulic acid, sinapic acid, vanillic acid, syringic acid	[31]
	HPLC-DAD	'Agria'	Protocatechuic acid, chlorogenic acid, neochlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid	[62]
	HPLC-DAD	20 potato cultivars	Chlorogenic acid, petunidin-3-glucoside chloride, pelargonidin-3-glucopyranoside	[81]
	HPLC-MS	'Purple', 'Innovator', 'Russet', 'Yellow'	Chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, <i>p</i> -coumaric acid, ferulic acid	[8]
	HPLC-DAD	'Penta', 'Marcy'	Chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, gallic acid, <i>p</i> -coumaric acid, ferulic acid	[15]
	HPLC-DAD	'Diamond'	Chlorogenic acid, caffeic, 4-hydroxybenzoic, <i>p</i> -coumaric, and <i>trans</i> - <i>o</i> -hydroxycinnamic acids	[87]
	HPLC-DAD-MS	'Blue Bell', 'Melody'	Chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, quinic acid, ferulic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, rutin	[88]
	HPLC-MS	'Russet'	Chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, neochlorogenic acid	[42]
	RP-HPLC UV-DAD	'BP1'	Chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, ferulic acid	[44]
	HPLC-DAD	'Netherlands #7'	Gallic acid, protocatechuic acid, chlorogenic acid	[69]
Microwave-assisted extraction	HPLC-UV	'Red'	Chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, gallic acid, protocatechuic acid, syringic acid, ferulic acid, coumaric acid	[38]
	HPLC-DAD	'Calwhite'	Chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, neochlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, ferulic acid, <i>p</i> -coumaric acid	[82]
Pressurized liquid extraction (PLE) + solid-liquid extraction	HPLC-DAD	'Lady Claire'	Caffeic acid	[45]
	HPLC-UV	'Red'	Gallic, chlorogenic and syringic acid	[80]

HPLC: High Performance Liquid Chromatography; UPLC: Ultra Performance Liquid Chromatography; DAD: Diode Array Detector; UV: Ultraviolet detector; MS: mass spectrometer.

Sonication or ultrasound-assisted extraction (UAE) is a simple and efficient green technology for the extraction of phenolic compounds that could be used as an alternative to conventional shaking and warming steps. Sonication permits a greater penetration of the solvent into the sample matrix,

increasing the contact surface area between the solid and liquid phase; as a result, the solute quickly diffuses from the solid phase into the solvent, improving the extraction yield [55,89]. Also, UAE can provide the possibility for improved extraction of heat-sensitive bioactives and food components at lower processing temperatures [90].

The use of microwave-assisted (MAE) extraction for food bioactives has increased significantly in recent years [82,91–93]. In MAE, the direct effect of microwaves on molecules by ionic conduction and dipole rotation permits an increase of temperature, and because of that a high recovery of bioactive compounds [94]. MAE is a fast, selective, and energy-saving method and requires lower amounts of solvents when compared to conventional heating methods [82,95].

The pressurized liquid extraction (PLE) of phenolics has also been recommended as a green extraction technique for antioxidants in potato peel [38,45]. This technology uses high pressures to maintain water at temperatures between 100 and 374 °C; however, it requires complex and high-cost equipment in large-scale industrial extractions [82]. Nevertheless, the use of high temperatures improved the mass transfer and extraction rates, thus PLE generally requires shorter extraction times and a lower consumption of organic solvents than conventional techniques [45,96].

After extraction, even though the analysis of phenolic compounds is very challenging due to the extensive diversity and reactivity of these compounds, novel separation and detection methods, such as hyphenated techniques of high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with mass spectrometry (MS), ultraviolet-visible light (UV-Vis), or nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy can be used successfully [87,97]. For the determination of total phenolic compounds in the potato tuber and peel, the most commonly used method is the Folin-Ciocalteu method [70,74,98]. On the other hand, among all these methods HPLC is the most frequently used for the identification of individual phenolic compounds present in the potato [13,18,31,38,80]. This analytical technique acquires a high degree of versatility not found in other chromatographic systems and allows for separating a wide variety of chemical mixtures [52].

5. Use of Potato Peel Extract as an Antioxidant

In the last decade, there has been increasing attention given to new sources of natural antioxidant phytochemicals as a result of their potential health benefits, in addition to their functional properties in traditionally commercialized products such as preserving color and flavor and hence improving shelf life [99–101].

Lipid oxidation is one of the most important causes of food quality deterioration; it generates off odors and off flavors, decreases shelf life, alters texture and color, and decreases the nutritional value of food [102]. Countless methods have been introduced to control the rate and extent of lipid oxidation in foods, but the addition of antioxidants is one of the most effective. Antioxidants have become a crucial group of food additives due to their ability to extend the shelf life of foods without any adverse effect on their sensory or nutritional qualities [32]. Generally synthetic antioxidants such as butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) and butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA) are used to control oxidation, but these synthetic antioxidants are known to have carcinogenic and toxic effects on humans [16]. Therefore, the importance of replacing synthetic antioxidants with natural ingredients has increased significantly [19].

On the other hand, byproducts of food processing are a low-cost raw material for the extraction of healthy compounds such as dietary fiber, natural antioxidants, and natural food additives [103]. Also, fruit and vegetable waste and byproducts are discarded frequently at a cost to the manufacturer. Hence, use of the waste as a source of polyphenols may be of noticeable economic benefit to food processors [101,104]. In addition to this, since the concentration of phenolic compounds is higher in the peel than in the potato tuber, researchers generally used peels instead of using the whole potato for natural food additives [18,19,43].

A number of studies investigated the antioxidant effects of potato polyphenols (Table 3). Most of them searched for the effect on different oils [16,19,87]. Koduvayur Habeebullah *et al.* [16] used

potato peel extract for fish oil and oil-in-water emulsions and their results showed that ‘Sava’ variety potato peel extract is highly efficient at reducing lipid peroxidation. In another study performed by Amado *et al.* [18], ethanolic extract of potato peel waste was used to evaluate the ability to limit oil oxidation and, according to the results, extracts (obtained by extraction with a medium or high ethanol concentration) were able to stabilize soybean oil under accelerated oxidation conditions, minimizing peroxide (PV), anisidine (AV), and totox values (TV) at high temperature.

Table 3. Some materials in which potato peels were used as an antioxidant ingredient.

Food	Potato Type	Criteria	References
Processed Lamb Meat	Potato Peels (<i>Solanum tuberosum</i> cv. ‘Kufri chandramukhi’)	TBARS and carbonyl content	[43]
Fish-Rapeseed Oil Mixture and in Oil-in-Water Emulsions	Potato peels (<i>Solanum tuberosum</i> cv. ‘Sava’ and ‘Bintje’)	Peroxide value, anisidine value, tocopherol concentration, and sensory evaluation	[19]
Soybean oil, sunflower oil	Potato peels (<i>Solanum tuberosum</i> cv. ‘Diamond’)	Peroxide values, <i>p</i> -anisidine	[16]
Minced horse mackerel (<i>Trachurus trachurus</i>)	Potato Peels (<i>Solanum tuberosum</i> ‘Sava’ variety)	Peroxide value, volatiles, carbonyl compounds, and protected against the loss of α -tocopherol and tryptophan and tyrosine residues	[9]
Ground Salmon	Potato peels and tubers (‘Purple’, ‘Innovator’, ‘Russet’ and ‘Yellow’)	TBARS	[8]
Sunflower oil	Potato peels (<i>Solanum tuberosum</i> cv. ‘Diamond’)	Both primary (hydroperoxides) and secondary oxidation products	[87]
Soybean oil	Potato peel (Agria)	Peroxide, totox and <i>p</i> -anisidine values	[18]

TBARS, Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances.

There are some studies that compare the antioxidant activity of potato peel extract (PPE) with commercial antioxidants. Kanatt *et al.* [43] found that the antioxidant activity of PPE is comparable to butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT). Also, Mohdaly *et al.* [87] estimated the antioxidant effect of potato peel by measuring both primary (hydroperoxides) and secondary oxidation products, and comparing them with sesame cakes and sugar beet pulp in terms of the effect on sunflower oil. The results showed that potato peels displayed more antioxidative effect than sesame cake and sugar beet pulp, performing as well as synthetic antioxidants (BHT and BHA). Albishi *et al.* [8] also found that Russet potato peel was more effective in inhibiting the formation of TBARS than BHA.

Some researchers used potato peels to limit the lipid oxidation in meat [8,9,43]. Kanatt *et al.* [43] added potato peel extract to meat before irradiation; the extract was able to retard lipid peroxidation without affecting its flavor/aroma, thereby improving its storage quality. In a study performed by Habeebullah *et al.* [9], potato peel was used in minced mackerel, a fatty fish particularly high in n-3 PUFA, as a natural antioxidant source for retarding lipid and protein oxidation [105]. The results suggest that ethanol extracts of potato peel can be used as a natural additive to prevent lipid and protein oxidation in chilled storage. Albishi *et al.* [8] also indicated that potato peel extracts were efficient in inhibiting the oxidation of cooked salmon; in fact, the control samples showed high TBARS values after seven days of storage. On the other hand, more studies are needed to support this hypothesis and extend the utilization of these extracts in foods (such as meat and fish products) where a complex mixture of proteins, lipids, pro-oxidants, and endogenous antioxidants are present.

Unfortunately, in the case of potato extracts, the recovery of phenolic compounds may reveal a problem because toxic glycoalkaloids might be concentrated during processing [16]. Glycoalkaloids are natural compounds produced in potatoes during germination that may have both adverse and beneficial effects [3,106]. These compounds can cause death at concentrations >330 mg/kg sample [107] but, depending on their concentration, they can also have positive effects (e.g., anti-carcinogenic effect against a series of human cancer cells *in vitro*) [108]. Because of that, it is very important to check the presence of these compounds in the extracts.

6. Health Benefits of the Potato

There is a growing interest in food-based approaches for chronic disease prevention [109]. Potatoes also gained increasing attention as a source of nutrients and bioactive phytochemicals [41,68,110,111]. Phenolic compounds have antioxidant activity and other characteristics that could promote health. A number of studies investigated these antioxidant, antiproliferative, and anticancer effects of potato polyphenols [84,112,113]. Table 4 shows some of these health effects of potatoes *in vivo* and *in vitro*.

Table 4. Health effects of potatoes.

Part of Potato	<i>in Vivo/in Vitro</i>	Subject	Effect	Disease	References
Potato flakes	<i>in vivo</i>	Male rats fed a high-cholesterol diet	Antioxidant effects	Oxidative stress	[59]
Extracts of peel and whole potatoes	<i>in vitro</i>	Human mammalian cancer cell (MCF-7)	Antioxidant activity; antiproliferative activity	Breast cancer	[49]
Potato peel extract	<i>in vitro</i>	Rat erythrocyte, Human erythrocyte membrane	Antioxidant effects	Oxidative damage	[84]
Whole potato	<i>in vitro</i>	Breast cancer cultures MCF-7 and MDA-MB-468	Anti-carcinogenic properties	Breast cancer	[68]
Whole potato	<i>in vivo</i>	20-day-old rats	Anticancer activity, antioxidant capacity	Breast cancer	[111]
Whole potato	<i>in vivo</i>	Free-living healthy men	Antioxidant effects, Anti-inflammatory activity	Oxidative stress and inflammation biomarkers	[112]
Whole potato extracts	<i>in vitro</i>	Human Colon Cancer Cell Lines	Antioxidant activity, anticancer properties	Colon cancer	[61]
Potato peel tuber and granule	<i>in vitro</i>	HepG2 liver cells	Antioxidant effects, and neuroprotective activities	Liver LDL (Low-density lipoprotein) cholesterol uptake and protection of cortical neurons from cell death	[81]
Whole potato	<i>in vitro</i>	Human colon cancer cell lines	Antioxidant activity, antiproliferative and pro-apoptotic properties	Colon cancer	[113]

Because of their potential health benefits, phenolic acids, among all phenolic compounds, have raised great interest [31]. Plazas *et al.* [114], in a study with eggplant, which is another Solanaceae plant with high chlorogenic acid content, indicated that chlorogenic acid presents many beneficial properties for human health, such as antioxidant, anticarcinogenic, anti-inflammatory, analgesic, antimicrobial, neuroprotective, and cardioprotective effects. Chlorogenic acid, which is widely found in potato samples, produced an increase of insulin sensitivity, decreased the gut glucose absorption, and prevented gluconeogenesis [115,116]. Singh *et al.* [117] demonstrated that chlorogenic acid could

mitigate oxidative stress effects in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats [13]. Moreover, chlorogenic acid demonstrates an antiproliferative activity in several cancer cells [118].

The antioxidant activity of phenolic compounds is essentially due to their redox properties [43,119]. Oxidative stress can induce oxidative damage to proteins, DNA, and lipids, resulting in an increased risk of cancer and cardiovascular disease. Adequate amounts of food phenols as antioxidants need to be consumed to inhibit or slow the oxidative damage induced by free radicals [28]. This explains the huge volume of scientific work aiming to connect diets rich in natural antioxidants with a decreased rate of degenerative disease [120].

Antioxidant activity in potato tubers has been extensively reported [84,113]. Pigmented potato genotypes (mainly cultivars with purple and red flesh), as compared to those with white and yellow flesh, have been shown to contain significantly higher levels of antioxidants [40,68]. Kaspar *et al.* [112] investigated the influence of pigmented potato consumption on oxidative stress and inflammatory damage in men for six weeks. The results showed that the consumption of yellow- and purple-fleshed potatoes reduced inflammation and DNA damage. The concentrations of C-reactive protein (a biomarker for disease progression) in plasma decreased according to the increase of consumption of potatoes that contain high amounts of anthocyanins [112]. Animal studies showed similar results. The consumption of purple potato flakes enhanced the antioxidant potential in the serum and liver of cholesterol-fed rats thanks to the enhancement of the expression of some hepatic antioxidant enzymes [59]. Moreover, red potato flakes enhanced the hepatic superoxide dismutase mRNA in rats, improving the antioxidant system [30,59].

A correlation between polyphenol intake and reduced incidence of some diseases has been noticed in several studies; however, their positive effects could not be assigned only to their antioxidant properties. In fact, the health benefits of polyphenols are assigned to some “non-antioxidant” complex activities that could not be related to the free radical inhibition. According to the recent literature, potato polyphenols may be used also for some non-antioxidant beneficial health effects [115]. For instance, potato extracts inhibited breast [49] and colon cancer cell proliferation [113], also showing pro-apoptotic properties in the latter case [121]. Singh, Kamath, & Rajini [119] studied the antihyperglycemic effect of potato peel in experimental rats. Other researchers reported that a freeze-dried powder of potato peel caused a notable decrease in blood glucose levels and effectively reduced diabetic change in rats [37,99].

Antioxidants produce cancer cell inhibition. Thompson *et al.* [111] fed rats with potatoes and investigated their role in breast cancer risk. They reported that rats fed on the ‘Mountain Rose’ cultivar had a reduced cancer incidence (with evidence of a dose-dependent effect). In addition, lymph node carcinoma of the prostate and prostate cancer-3 prostate cancer cell proliferation have been prevented using an extract of colored potato and an anthocyanin-rich fraction [30,122]. Moreover, potato anthocyanin compounds were toxic to human stomach cancer cells and prevented the growth of benzo(a)pyrene-induced stomach cancer in mice [113,123]. Madiwale *et al.* [61] investigated the effects of potato antioxidants on HCT-116 and HT-29 colon cancer cell lines and found that the antiproliferative and pro-apoptotic activities were suppressed. They also confirmed that suppressing proliferation and elevating apoptosis in early and advanced human colon cancer cell lines increased when purple-fleshed potatoes were used.

Numerous phenolic compounds were analyzed to find their antioxidant and/or anticancer activity. Many studies correlated the antiproliferative and antioxidant activity, and demonstrated that oxidative mechanisms can affect the proliferation of cancer cells.

Usually, but not always, extracts with high phenolic content displayed higher antioxidant and cytotoxic activities [121]. Therefore, the potato could be an ideal source of health-promoting phytochemicals considering its high level of consumption all over the world. Nevertheless, the effects of phenolic compounds of the potato on health are dependent on the diversity of polyphenols classes that they contain [51]. Therefore, further investigation in this area is required.

7. Conclusions

Potatoes, which have an important place in human life, contain a wide variety of phenolic compounds. Although the phenolic content and antioxidant capacity of potatoes are lower than in some other plants, because of their high consumption rates they may promote higher phenolic and antioxidant intake. In addition, potato peels as a byproduct of potato processing are available in large amounts and, since peels have more phenolic compounds than tubers, these compounds could be used in food and non-food applications.

Acknowledgments: Vito Verardo thanks the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (MINECO) for the “Juan de la Cierva” postdoctoral contract.

Author Contributions: Hazal Akyol and Ylenia Riciputi performed the literature search and wrote the manuscript; Esra Capanoglu, Maria Fiorenza Caboni and Vito Verardo provided expert comments and revised it critically for important intellectual content.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Mahgoub, H.; Eisa, G.; Youssef, M. Molecular, biochemical and anatomical analysis of some potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) cultivars growing in Egypt. *J. Genet. Eng. Biotechnol.* **2015**, *13*, 39–49. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. FAOSTAT. Potatoes Production in the World. Statistics Division, 2013. Available online: <http://faostat.fao.org/site/567/DesktopDefault.aspx?PageID=567#ancor> (accessed on 16 March 2016).
3. Deußer, H.; Guignard, C.; Hoffmann, L.; Evers, D. Polyphenol and glycoalkaloid contents in potato cultivars grown in Luxembourg. *Food Chem.* **2012**, *135*, 2814–2824. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
4. Burlingame, B.; Mouillé, B.; Charrondière, R. Nutrients, bioactive non-nutrients and anti-nutrients in potatoes. *J. Food Comp. Anal.* **2009**, *22*, 494–502. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Mann, J.; Truswell, A. *Essentials of Human Nutrition*; Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK, 2002.
6. Holt, S.H.; Miller, J.C.; Petocz, P.; Farmakalidis, E. A satiety index of common foods. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* **1995**, *49*, 675–690. [[PubMed](#)]
7. André, C.; Schafleitner, R.; Legay, S.; Lefèvre, I.; Aliaga, C.; Nomberto, G.; Hoffmann, L.; Hausman, J.; Larondelle, Y.; Evers, D. Gene expression changes related to the production of phenolic compounds in potato tubers grown under drought stress. *Phytochemistry* **2009**, *70*, 1107–1116. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
8. Albishi, T.; John, J.; Al-Khalifa, A.; Shahidi, F. Phenolic content and antioxidant activities of selected potato varieties and their processing by-products. *J. Funct. Foods* **2013**, *5*, 590–600. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Habebullah, S.F.K.; Grejsen, H.D.; Jacobsen, C. Potato peel extract as a natural antioxidant in chilled storage of minced horse mackerel (*Trachurus trachurus*): Effect on lipid and protein oxidation. *Food Chem.* **2012**, *131*, 843–851.
10. Tierno, R.; López, A.; Riga, P.; Arazuri, S.; Jarén, C.; Benedicto, L.; Ruiz de Galarreta, J. Phytochemicals determination and classification in purple and red fleshed potato tubers by analytical methods and near infrared spectroscopy. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2015**, *96*, 1888–1899. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
11. Oreopoulou, V.; Russ, W. *Utilization of By-Products and Treatment of Waste in the Food Industry*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2007.
12. Mohdaly, A.; Sarhan, M.; Mahmoud, A.; Ramadan, M.; Smetanska, I. Antioxidant efficacy of potato peels and sugar beet pulp extracts in vegetable oils protection. *Food Chem.* **2010**, *123*, 1019–1026. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Al-Weshahy, A.; Venket Rao, A. Isolation and characterization of functional components from peel samples of six potatoes varieties growing in Ontario. *Food Res. Int.* **2009**, *42*, 1062–1066. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Scalbert, A.; Manach, C.; Morand, C.; Rémésy, C.; Jiménez, L. Dietary polyphenols and the prevention of diseases. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci.* **2005**, *45*, 287–306. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
15. Al-Weshahy, A.; El-Nokety, M.; Bakhete, M.; Rao, V. Effect of storage on antioxidant activity of freeze-dried potato peels. *Food Res. Int.* **2013**, *50*, 507–512. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Mohdaly, A.; Sarhan, M.; Smetanska, I.; Mahmoud, A. Antioxidant properties of various solvent extracts of potato peel, sugar beet pulp and sesame cake. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2010**, *90*, 218–226. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
17. Navarre, D.; Shakya, R.; Holden, J.; Kumar, S. The effect of different cooking methods on phenolics and vitamin C in developmentally young potato tubers. *Am. J. Potato Res.* **2010**, *87*, 350–359. [[CrossRef](#)]

18. Amado, I.; Franco, D.; Sánchez, M.; Zapata, C.; Vázquez, J. Optimisation of antioxidant extraction from *Solanum tuberosum* potato peel waste by surface response methodology. *Food Chem.* **2014**, *165*, 290–299. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
19. Koduvayur Habeebullah, S.; Nielsen, N.; Jacobsen, C. Antioxidant activity of potato peel extracts in a fish-rapeseed oil mixture and in oil-in-water emulsions. *J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *87*, 1319–1332. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Beckman, C. Phenolic-storing cells: Keys to programmed cell death and periderm formation in wilt disease resistance and in general defence responses in plants? *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.* **2000**, *57*, 101–110. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Parr, A.; Bolwell, G. Phenols in the plant and in man. The potential for possible nutritional enhancement of the diet by modifying the phenols content or profile. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2000**, *80*, 985–1012. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Valcarcel, J.; Reilly, K.; Gaffney, M.; O'Brien, N. Antioxidant activity, total phenolic and total flavonoid content in sixty varieties of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) grown in Ireland. *Potato Res.* **2015**, *58*, 221–244. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Ignat, I.; Volf, I.; Popa, V. A critical review of methods for characterisation of polyphenolic compounds in fruits and vegetables. *Food Chem.* **2011**, *126*, 1821–1835. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
24. Lemos, M.; Aliyu, M.; Hungerford, G. Influence of cooking on the levels of bioactive compounds in purple majesty potato observed via chemical and spectroscopic means. *Food Chem.* **2015**, *173*, 462–467. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
25. Alasalvar, C.; Grigor, J.; Zhang, D.; Quantick, P.; Shahidi, F. Comparison of volatiles, phenolics, sugars, antioxidant vitamins, and sensory quality of different colored carrot varieties. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2001**, *49*, 1410–1416. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
26. Kroon, P.; Williamson, G. Hydroxycinnamates in plants and food: Current and future perspectives. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **1999**, *79*, 355–361. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Rytel, E.; Tajner-Czopek, A.; Kita, A.; Aniołowska, M.; Kucharska, A.; Sokół-Łętowska, A.; Hamouz, K. Content of polyphenols in coloured and yellow fleshed potatoes during dices processing. *Food Chem.* **2014**, *161*, 224–229. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
28. Chun, O.; Kim, D.; Smith, N.; Schroeder, D.; Han, J.; Lee, C. Daily consumption of phenolics and total antioxidant capacity from fruit and vegetables in the American diet. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2005**, *85*, 1715–1724. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Andre, C.; Ghislain, M.; Bertin, P.; Oufir, M.; del Rosario Herrera, M.; Hoffmann, L.; Hausman, J.; Larondelle, Y.; Evers, D. Andean potato cultivars (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) as a source of antioxidant and mineral micronutrients. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2007**, *55*, 366–378. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
30. Ezekiel, R.; Singh, N.; Sharma, S.; Kaur, A. Beneficial phytochemicals in potato—A review. *Food Res. Int.* **2013**, *50*, 487–496. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Mattila, P.; Hellström, J. Phenolic acids in potatoes, vegetables, and some of their products. *J. Food Comp. Anal.* **2007**, *20*, 152–160. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Shahidi, F.; Ambigaipalan, P. Phenolics and polyphenolics in foods, beverages and spices: Antioxidant activity and health effects—A review. *J. Funct. Foods* **2015**, *18*, 820–897. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Shahidi, F.; Chandrasekara, A. Hydroxycinnamates and their *in vitro* and *in vivo* antioxidant activities. *Phytochem. Rev.* **2009**, *9*, 147–170. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Shahidi, F.; McDonald, J.; Chandrasekara, A.; Zhong, Y. Phytochemicals of foods, beverages and fruit vinegars: Chemistry and health effects. *Asia Pac. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2008**, *17*, 380–382. [[PubMed](#)]
35. Yeo, J.; Shahidi, F. Critical evaluation of changes in the ratio of insoluble bound to soluble phenolics on antioxidant activity of lentils during germination. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2015**, *63*, 379–381. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
36. Mäder, J.; Rawel, H.; Kroh, L. Composition of phenolic compounds and glycoalkaloids α -solanine and α -chaconine during commercial potato processing. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2009**, *57*, 6292–6297. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
37. Schieber, A.; Saldaña, M. Potato peels: A source of nutritionally and pharmacologically interesting compounds—A review. *Food* **2009**, *3*, 23–29.
38. Singh, P.; Saldaña, M. Subcritical water extraction of phenolic compounds from potato peel. *Food Res. Int.* **2011**, *44*, 2452–2458. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Finotti, E.; Bertone, A.; Vivanti, V. Balance between nutrients and anti-nutrients in nine Italian potato cultivars. *Food Chem.* **2006**, *99*, 698–701. [[CrossRef](#)]

40. Külen, O.; Stushnoff, C.; Holm, D. Effect of cold storage on total phenolics content, antioxidant activity and vitamin c level of selected potato clones. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2013**, *93*, 2437–2444. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
41. Im, H.; Suh, B.; Lee, S.; Kozukue, N.; Ohnisi-Kameyama, M.; Levin, C.; Friedman, M. Analysis of phenolic compounds by high-performance liquid chromatography and liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry in potato plant flowers, leaves, stems, and tubers and in home-processed potatoes. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2008**, *56*, 3341–3349. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
42. Sánchez Maldonado, A.; Mudge, E.; Gänzle, M.; Schieber, A. Extraction and fractionation of phenolic acids and glycoalkaloids from potato peels using acidified water/ethanol-based solvents. *Food Res. Int.* **2014**, *65*, 27–34. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Kanatt, S.; Chander, R.; Radhakrishna, P.; Sharma, A. Potato peel extract natural antioxidant for retarding lipid peroxidation in radiation processed lamb meat. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2005**, *53*, 1499–1504. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
44. Ngadze, E.; Coutinho, T.; Icishahayo, D.; van der Waals, J. Effect of calcium soil amendments on phenolic compounds and soft rot resistance in potato tubers. *Crop Prot.* **2014**, *62*, 40–45. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Wijngaard, H.; Ballay, M.; Brunton, N. The optimisation of extraction of antioxidants from potato peel by pressurised liquids. *Food Chem.* **2012**, *133*, 1123–1130. [[CrossRef](#)]
46. Xu, X.; Li, W.; Lu, Z.; Beta, T.; Hydamaka, A. Phenolic content, composition, antioxidant activity, and their changes during domestic cooking of potatoes. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2009**, *57*, 10231–10238. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
47. Blessington, T.; Nzaramba, M.; Scheuring, D.; Hale, A.; Reddivari, L.; Miller, J. Cooking methods and storage treatments of potato: Effects on carotenoids, antioxidant activity, and phenolics. *Am. J. Potato Res.* **2010**, *87*, 479–491. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Brown, C.; Culley, D.; Yang, C.; Durst, R.; Wrolstad, R. Variation of anthocyanin and carotenoid contents and associated antioxidant values in potato breeding lines. *J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci.* **2005**, *130*, 174–180.
49. Leo, L.; Leone, A.; Longo, C.; Lombardi, D.; Raimo, F.; Zacheo, G. Antioxidant compounds and antioxidant activity in “early potatoes”. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2008**, *56*, 4154–4163. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
50. Reddivari, L.; Hale, A.; Miller, J. Genotype, location, and year influence antioxidant activity, carotenoid content, phenolic content, and composition in specialty potatoes. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2007**, *55*, 8073–8079. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
51. Andre, C.; Oufir, M.; Guignard, C.; Hoffmann, L.; Hausman, J.; Evers, D.; Larondelle, Y. Antioxidant profiling of native andean potato tubers (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) reveals cultivars with high levels of β -carotene, α -tocopherol, chlorogenic acid, and petanin. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2007**, *55*, 10839–10849. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
52. Navarre, D.; Pillai, S.; Shakya, R.; Holden, M. HPLC Profiling of phenolics in diverse potato genotypes. *Food Chem.* **2011**, *127*, 34–41. [[CrossRef](#)]
53. Shakya, R.; Navarre, D. Rapid screening of ascorbic acid, glycoalkaloids, and phenolics in potato using high-performance liquid chromatography. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2006**, *54*, 5253–5260. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
54. Perla, V.; Holm, D.; Jayanty, S. Effects of cooking methods on polyphenols, pigments and antioxidant activity in potato tubers. *LWT Food Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *45*, 161–171. [[CrossRef](#)]
55. Burgos, G.; Amoros, W.; Muñoa, L.; Sosa, P.; Cayhualla, E.; Sanchez, C.; Díaz, C.; Bonierbale, M. Total phenolic, total anthocyanin and phenolic acid concentrations and antioxidant activity of purple-fleshed potatoes as affected by boiling. *J. Food Comp. Anal.* **2013**, *30*, 6–12. [[CrossRef](#)]
56. Kita, A.; Bąkowska-Barczak, A.; Hamouz, K.; Kułakowska, K.; Lisińska, G. The effect of frying on anthocyanin stability and antioxidant activity of crisps from red- and purple-fleshed potatoes (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). *J. Food Comp. Anal.* **2013**, *32*, 169–175. [[CrossRef](#)]
57. Lachman, J.; Hamouz, K.; Šulc, M.; Orsák, M.; Pivec, V.; Hejtmánková, A.; Dvořák, P.; Čepl, J. Cultivar differences of total anthocyanins and anthocyanidins in red and purple-fleshed potatoes and their relation to antioxidant activity. *Food Chem.* **2009**, *114*, 836–843. [[CrossRef](#)]
58. Navarre, D.; Shakya, R.; Holden, J.; Crosslin, J. LC-MS analysis of phenolic compounds in tubers showing zebra chip symptoms. *Am. J. Potato Res.* **2009**, *86*, 88–95. [[CrossRef](#)]
59. Han, K.H.; Matsumoto, A.; Shimada, K.; Sekikawa, M.; Fukushima, M. Effects of anthocyanin-rich purple potato flakes on antioxidant status in F344 rats fed a cholesterol-rich diet. *Brit. J. Nutr.* **2007**, *98*, 914–921. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

60. André, C.; Oufir, M.; Hoffmann, L.; Hausman, J.; Rogez, H.; Larondelle, Y.; Evers, D. Influence of environment and genotype on polyphenol compounds and *in vitro* antioxidant capacity of native andean potatoes (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). *J. Food Comp. Anal.* **2009**, *22*, 517–524. [[CrossRef](#)]
61. Madiwale, G.; Reddivari, L.; Holm, D.; Vanamala, J. Storage elevates phenolic content and antioxidant activity but suppresses antiproliferative and pro-apoptotic properties of colored-flesh potatoes against human colon cancer cell lines. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2011**, *59*, 8155–8166. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
62. Barba, A.; Calabretti, A.; d'Amore, M.; Piccinelli, A.; Rastrelli, L. Phenolic constituents levels in cv. Agria potato under microwave processing. *LWT Food Sci. Technol.* **2008**, *41*, 1919–1926. [[CrossRef](#)]
63. Stratil, P.; Klejdus, B.; Kubáň, V. Determination of total content of phenolic compounds and their antioxidant activity in vegetables evaluation of spectrophotometric methods. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2006**, *54*, 607–616. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
64. Burmeister, A.; Bondiek, S.; Apel, L.; Kühne, C.; Hillebrand, S.; Fleischmann, P. Comparison of carotenoid and anthocyanin profiles of raw and boiled *Solanum tuberosum* and *Solanum phureja* tubers. *J. Food Comp. Anal.* **2011**, *24*, 865–872. [[CrossRef](#)]
65. Eichhorn, S.; Winterhalter, P. Anthocyanins from pigmented potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) varieties. *Food Res. Int.* **2005**, *38*, 943–948. [[CrossRef](#)]
66. Ieri, F.; Innocenti, M.; Andrenelli, L.; Vecchio, V.; Mulinacci, N. Rapid HPLC/DAD/MS method to determine phenolic acids, glycoalkaloids and anthocyanins in pigmented potatoes (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) and correlations with variety and geographical origin. *Food Chem.* **2011**, *125*, 750–759. [[CrossRef](#)]
67. Lachman, J.; Hamouz, K.; Orsák, M.; Pivec, V.; Hejtmánková, K.; Pazderů, K.; Dvořák, P.; Čepl, J. Impact of selected factors—Cultivar, storage, cooking and baking on the content of anthocyanins in coloured-flesh potatoes. *Food Chem.* **2012**, *133*, 1107–1116. [[CrossRef](#)]
68. Stushnoff, C.; Holm, D.; Thompson, M.; Jiang, W.; Thompson, H.; Joyce, N.; Wilson, P. Antioxidant properties of cultivars and selections from the Colorado potato breeding program. *Am. J. Potato Res.* **2008**, *85*, 267–276. [[CrossRef](#)]
69. Wang, Q.; Cao, Y.; Zhou, L.; Jiang, C.; Feng, Y.; Wei, S. Effects of postharvest curing treatment on flesh colour and phenolic metabolism in fresh-cut potato products. *Food Chem.* **2015**, *169*, 246–254. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
70. Lombardo, S.; Pandino, G.; Mauromicale, G. The influence of growing environment on the antioxidant and mineral content of “early” crop potato. *J. Food Comp. Anal.* **2013**, *32*, 28–35. [[CrossRef](#)]
71. Reyes, L.; Miller, J.; Cisneros-Zevallos, L. Antioxidant capacity, anthocyanins and total phenolics in purple-and red-fleshed potato (*Solanum Tuberosum* L.) genotypes. *Am. J. Potato Res.* **2005**, *82*, 271–277. [[CrossRef](#)]
72. Hamouz, K.; Lachman, J.; Pazderů, K.; Hejtmánková, K.; Cimr, J.; Musilová, J.; Pivec, V.; Orsák, M.; Svobodová, A. Effect of cultivar, location and method of cultivation on the content of chlorogenic acid in potatoes with different flesh colour. *Plant Soil Environ.* **2013**, *59*, 465–471.
73. Castañeda, P.; Pérez, L. Calcium ions promote the response of citrus limon against fungal elicitors or wounding. *Phytochemistry* **1996**, *42*, 595–598. [[CrossRef](#)]
74. Andre, C.; Schafleitner, R.; Guignard, C.; Oufir, M.; Aliaga, C.; Nomberto, G.; Hoffmann, L.; Hausman, J.; Evers, D.; Larondelle, Y. Modification of the health-promoting value of potato tubers field grown under drought stress: Emphasis on dietary antioxidant and glycoalkaloid contents in five native andean cultivars (*Solanum Tuberosum* L.). *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2009**, *57*, 599–609. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
75. Tian, J.; Chen, J.; Ye, X.; Chen, S. Health benefits of the potato affected by domestic cooking: A review. *Food Chem.* **2016**, *202*, 165–175. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
76. Mulinacci, N.; Ieri, F.; Giaccherini, C.; Innocenti, M.; Andrenelli, L.; Canova, G.; Saracchi, M.; Casiraghi, M. Effect of cooking on the anthocyanins, phenolic acids, glycoalkaloids, and resistant starch content in two pigmented cultivars of *Solanum tuberosum* L. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2008**, *56*, 11830–11837. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
77. Garcia-Salas, P.; Morales-Soto, A.; Segura-Carretero, A.; Fernández-Gutiérrez, A. Phenolic-compound-extraction systems for fruit and vegetable samples. *Molecules* **2010**, *15*, 8813–8826. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
78. Narváez-Cuenca, C.; Vincken, J.; Zheng, C.; Gruppen, H. Diversity of (dihydro) hydroxycinnamic acid conjugates in Colombian potato tubers. *Food Chem.* **2013**, *139*, 1087–1097. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

79. Zhu, F.; Cai, Y.; Ke, J.; Corke, H. Compositions of phenolic compounds, amino acids and reducing sugars in commercial potato varieties and their effects on acrylamide formation. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2010**, *90*, 2254–2262. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
80. Alvarez, V.; Cahyadi, J.; Xu, D.; Saldaña, M. Optimization of phytochemicals production from potato peel using subcritical water: Experimental and dynamic modeling. *J. Supercrit. Fluids* **2014**, *90*, 8–17. [[CrossRef](#)]
81. Ji, X.; Rivers, L.; Zielinski, Z.; Xu, M.; MacDougall, E.; Stephen, J.; Zhang, S.; Wang, Y.; Chapman, R.; Keddy, P.; *et al.* Quantitative analysis of phenolic components and glycoalkaloids from 20 potato clones and *in vitro* evaluation of antioxidant, cholesterol uptake, and neuroprotective activities. *Food Chem.* **2012**, *133*, 1177–1187. [[CrossRef](#)]
82. Wu, T.; Yan, J.; Liu, R.; Marcone, M.; Aisa, H.; Tsao, R. Optimization of microwave-assisted extraction of phenolics from potato and its downstream waste using orthogonal array design. *Food Chem.* **2012**, *133*, 1292–1298. [[CrossRef](#)]
83. Yang, W.; Bernards, M. Metabolite profiling of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) tubers during wound-induced suberization. *Metabolomics* **2007**, *3*, 147–159. [[CrossRef](#)]
84. Singh, N.; Rajini, P. Antioxidant-Mediated Protective effect of potato peel extract in erythrocytes against oxidative damage. *Chem. Biol. Interact.* **2008**, *173*, 97–104. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
85. Wallis, C.; Chen, J.; Civerolo, E. Zebra chip-diseased potato tubers are characterized by increased levels of host phenolics, amino acids, and defense-related proteins. *Physiol. Mol. Plant Path.* **2012**, *78*, 66–72. [[CrossRef](#)]
86. Wu, Z.; Xu, H.; Ma, Q.; Cao, Y.; Ma, J.; Ma, C. Isolation, identification and quantification of unsaturated fatty acids, amides, phenolic compounds and glycoalkaloids from potato peel. *Food Chem.* **2012**, *135*, 2425–2429. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
87. Mohdaly, A.; Hassanien, M.; Mahmoud, A.; Sarhan, M.; Smetanska, I. Phenolics extracted from potato, sugar beet, and sesame processing by-products. *Int. J. Food Prop.* **2013**, *16*, 1148–1168. [[CrossRef](#)]
88. López-Cobo, A.; Gómez-Caravaca, A.; Cerretani, L.; Segura-Carretero, A.; Fernández-Gutiérrez, A. Distribution of phenolic compounds and other polar compounds in the tuber of *Solanum tuberosum* L. by HPLC-DAD-Q-TOF and study of their antioxidant activity. *J. Food Comp. Anal.* **2014**, *36*, 1–11. [[CrossRef](#)]
89. Rostagno, M.; Palma, M.; Barroso, C. Ultrasound-assisted extraction of soy isoflavones. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2003**, *1012*, 119–128. [[CrossRef](#)]
90. Vilku, K.; Mawson, R.; Simons, L.; Bates, D. Applications and opportunities for ultrasound assisted extraction in the food industry—A review. *Innov. Food Sci. Emerg.* **2008**, *9*, 161–169. [[CrossRef](#)]
91. Inoue, T.; Tsubaki, S.; Ogawa, K.; Onishi, K.; Azuma, J. Isolation of hesperidin from peels of thinned citrus unshiu fruits by microwave-assisted Extraction. *Food Chem.* **2010**, *123*, 542–547. [[CrossRef](#)]
92. Khajeh, M.; Ghanbari, M. Optimization of microwave-assisted extraction procedure to determine metal in fish muscles using box–behnen design. *Food Anal. Method* **2010**, *4*, 431–436. [[CrossRef](#)]
93. Terigar, B.; Balasubramanian, S.; Boldor, D.; Xu, Z.; Lima, M.; Sabliov, C. Continuous microwave-assisted isoflavone extraction system: Design and performance evaluation. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2010**, *101*, 2466–2471. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
94. Hemwimon, S.; Pavasant, P.; Shotipruk, A. Microwave-assisted extraction of antioxidative anthraquinones from roots of morinda citrifolia. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* **2007**, *54*, 44–50. [[CrossRef](#)]
95. Cardoso, L.; Serrano, C.; Quintero, E.; López, C.; Antezana, R.; Martínez de la Ossa, E. High pressure extraction of antioxidants from *Solanum stenotomun* peel. *Molecules* **2013**, *18*, 3137–3151. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
96. Mendiola, J.; Herrero, M.; Cifuentes, A.; Ibañez, E. Use of compressed fluids for sample preparation: Food applications. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2007**, *1152*, 234–246. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
97. Huang, Z.; Wang, B.; Eaves, D.; Shikany, J.; Pace, R. Phenolic compound profile of selected vegetables frequently consumed by African Americans in the southeast United States. *Food Chem.* **2007**, *103*, 1395–1402. [[CrossRef](#)]
98. Anastácio, A.; Carvalho, I. Phenolics extraction from sweet potato peels: Key factors screening through a placket–burman design. *Ind. Crop. Prod.* **2013**, *43*, 99–105. [[CrossRef](#)]
99. Arun, K.; Chandran, J.; Dhanya, R.; Krishna, P.; Jayamurthy, P.; Nisha, P. A comparative evaluation of antioxidant and antidiabetic potential of peel from young and matured potato. *Food Biosci.* **2015**, *9*, 36–46. [[CrossRef](#)]

100. O'Shea, N.; Arendt, E.; Gallagher, E. Dietary fibre and phytochemical characteristics of fruit and vegetable by-products and their recent applications as novel ingredients in food products. *Innov. Food Sci. Emerg.* **2012**, *16*, 1–10. [[CrossRef](#)]
101. Wijngaard, H.; Rößle, C.; Brunton, N. A survey of Irish fruit and vegetable waste and by-products as a source of polyphenolic antioxidants. *Food Chem.* **2009**, *116*, 202–207. [[CrossRef](#)]
102. Alamed, J.; Chaiyasit, W.; McClements, D.; Decker, E. Relationships between free radical scavenging and antioxidant activity in foods. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2009**, *57*, 2969–2976. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
103. Al-Weshahy, A.; Rao, V. Potato peel as a source of important phytochemical antioxidant nutraceuticals and their role in human health—A review. In *Phytochemicals as Nutraceuticals—Global Approaches to Their Role in Nutrition and Health*; InTech: Rijeka, Croatia, 2012; pp. 207–224.
104. Moure, A.; Cruz, J.; Franco, D.; Domínguez, J.; Sineiro, J.; Domínguez, H.; José Núñez, M.; Parajó, J. Natural antioxidants from residual sources. *Food Chem.* **2001**, *72*, 145–171. [[CrossRef](#)]
105. Maqsood, S.; Benjakul, S.; Abushelaibi, A.; Alam, A. Phenolic compounds and plant phenolic extracts as natural antioxidants in prevention of lipid oxidation in seafood: A detailed review. *Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf.* **2014**, *13*, 1125–1140. [[CrossRef](#)]
106. Friedman, M. Potato Glycoalkaloids and metabolites: Roles in the plant and in the diet. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2006**, *54*, 8655–8681. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
107. Liu, R. Health-promoting components of fruits and vegetables in the diet. *Adv. Nutr.* **2013**, *4*, 384S–392S. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
108. Friedman, M.; Lee, K.; Kim, H.; Lee, I.; Kozukue, N. Anticarcinogenic effects of glycoalkaloids from potatoes against human cervical, liver, lymphoma, and stomach cancer cells. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2005**, *53*, 6162–6169. [[CrossRef](#)]
109. McGill, C.; Kurilich, A.; Davignon, J. The role of potatoes and potato components in cardiometabolic health: A review. *Ann. Med.* **2013**, *45*, 467–473. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
110. Brown, C.; Wrolstad, R.; Durst, R.; Yang, C.; Clevidence, B. Breeding studies in potatoes containing high concentrations of anthocyanins. *Am. J. Potato Res.* **2003**, *80*, 241–249. [[CrossRef](#)]
111. Thompson, M.; Thompson, H.; McGinley, J.; Neil, E.; Rush, D.; Holm, D.; Stushnoff, C. Functional food characteristics of potato cultivars (*Solanum tuberosum* L.): Phytochemical composition and inhibition of 1-methyl-1-nitrosourea induced breast cancer in rats. *J. Food Comp. Anal.* **2009**, *22*, 571–576. [[CrossRef](#)]
112. Kaspar, K.; Park, J.; Brown, C.; Mathison, B.; Navarre, D.; Chew, B. Pigmented potato consumption alters oxidative stress and inflammatory damage in men. *J. Nutr.* **2010**, *141*, 108–111. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
113. Madiwale, G.; Reddivari, L.; Stone, M.; Holm, D.; Vanamala, J. Combined effects of storage and processing on the bioactive compounds and pro-apoptotic properties of color-fleshed potatoes in human colon cancer cells. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2012**, *60*, 11088–11096. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
114. Plazas, M.; López-Gresa, M.; Vilanova, S.; Torres, C.; Hurtado, M.; Gramazio, P.; Andújar, I.; Herráiz, F.; Bellés, J.; Prohens, J. Diversity and relationships in key traits for functional and apparent quality in a collection of eggplant: Fruit phenolics content, antioxidant activity, polyphenol oxidase activity, and browning. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2013**, *61*, 8871–8879. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
115. Andre, C.; Legay, S.; Iammarino, C.; Ziebel, J.; Guignard, C.; Larondelle, Y.; Hausman, J.; Evers, D.; Miranda, L. The potato in the human diet: A complex matrix with potential health benefits. *Potato Res.* **2014**, *57*, 201–214. [[CrossRef](#)]
116. Ong, K.; Hsu, A.; Tan, B. Anti-diabetic and anti-lipidemic effects of chlorogenic acid are mediated by AMPK activation. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **2013**, *85*, 1341–1351. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
117. Singh, N.; Kamath, V.; Rajini, P. Attenuation of hyperglycemia and associated biochemical parameters in STZ-induced diabetic rats by dietary supplementation of potato peel powder. *Clin. Chim. Acta* **2005**, *353*, 165–175. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
118. Feng, R.; Lu, Y.; Bowman, L.; Qian, Y.; Castranova, V.; Ding, M. Inhibition of activator protein-1, NF- κ B, and MAPKs and induction of phase 2 detoxifying enzyme activity by chlorogenic acid. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2005**, *280*, 27888–27895. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
119. Cao, G.; Sofic, E.; Prior, R. Antioxidant and prooxidant behavior of flavonoids: Structure-activity relationships. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **1997**, *22*, 749–760. [[CrossRef](#)]
120. Dimitrios, B. Sources of natural phenolic antioxidants. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* **2006**, *17*, 505–512. [[CrossRef](#)]

121. Roleira, F.; Tavares-da-Silva, E.; Varela, C.; Costa, S.; Silva, T.; Garrido, J.; Borges, F. Plant derived and dietary phenolic antioxidants: Anticancer properties. *Food Chem.* **2015**, *183*, 235–258. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
122. Reddivari, L.; Vanamala, J.; Safe, S.; Miller, J. The bioactive compounds α -chaconine and gallic acid in potato extracts decrease survival and induce apoptosis in LNCAP and PC3 prostate cancer cells. *Nutr. Cancer* **2010**, *62*, 601–610. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
123. Hayashi, K.; Hibasami, H.; Murakami, T.; Terahara, N.; Mori, M.; Tsukui, A. Induction of apoptosis in cultured human stomach cancer cells by potato anthocyanins and its inhibitory effects on growth of stomach cancer in mice. *Food Sci. Technol. Res.* **2006**, *12*, 22–26. [[CrossRef](#)]



© 2016 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).