## 510(k) SUBSTANTIAL EQUIVALENCE DETERMINATION DECISION SUMMARY

## **A.** 510(k) Number:

K140377

## **B.** Purpose for Submission:

To unmask three analytes that were not cleared in the original K121454 xTAG Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel (Adenovirus 40/41, *Entamoeba histolytica* (*E. histolytica*), and *Vibrio cholerae* (*V. cholerae*) cholera toxin gene (ctx)) as well as add a claim for human stool in Cary Blair media.

## C. Measurand:

## <u>Viruses</u>

- Adenovirus 40/41
- Norovirus GI/GII
- Rotavirus A

## <u>Bacteria</u>

- *Campylobacter* (*C. jejuni*, *C. coli* and *C. lari* only)
- Clostridium difficile (C. difficile) toxin A/B
- Escherichia coli (E. coli) O157
- Enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli (ETEC) LT/ST
- Salmonella
- Shiga-like Toxin producing E. coli (STEC) stx 1/stx 2
- Shigella (S. boydii, S. sonnei, S. flexneri and S. dysenteriae)
- Vibrio cholerae (V. cholerae) cholera toxin gene (ctx)

## **Parasites**

- *Cryptosporidium* (*C. parvum* and *C. hominis* only)
- Entamoeba histolytica (E. histolytica)
- *Giardia* (*G. lamblia* only also known as *G. intestinalis* and *G. duodenalis*) in raw human stool samples and human stool samples in Cary Blair media.

## D. Type of Test:

Qualitative nucleic acid multiplex test

## E. Applicant:

Luminex Molecular Diagnostics, Inc., Toronto, Ontario, Canada

## F. Proprietary and Established Names:

xTAG® Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel (GPP)

## **G.** Regulatory Information:

## 1. Regulation section:

21 CFR 866.3990 - Gastrointestinal microorganism multiplex nucleic acid-based assay

## 2. Classification:

Class II

## 3. Product code:

PCH, NSU, JJH

## 4. Panel:

Microbiology (83)

## H. Intended Use:

## 1. Intended use(s):

The xTAG® Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel (GPP) is a multiplexed nucleic acid test intended for the simultaneous qualitative detection and identification of multiple viral, bacterial and parasitic nucleic acids in human stool specimens or human stool in Cary Blair media from individuals with signs and symptoms of infectious colitis or gastroenteritis. The following pathogen types, subtypes and toxin genes are identified using the xTAG GPP:

## Viruses:

- Adenovirus 40/41
- Norovirus GI/GII
- Rotavirus A

## Bacteria:

- *Campylobacter (C. jejuni, C. coli* and *C. lari* only)
- Clostridium difficile (C. difficile) toxin A/B

- Escherichia coli (E. coli) O157
- Enterotoxigenic *E. coli* (ETEC) LT/ST
- Salmonella
- Shiga-like Toxin producing E. coli (STEC) stx 1/stx 2
- Shigella (S. boydii, S. sonnei, S. flexneri and S. dysenteriae)
- Vibrio cholerae (V. cholerae) cholera toxin gene (ctx)

## Parasites:

- *Cryptosporidium (C. parvum and C. hominis only)*
- Entamoeba histolytica (E. histolytica)
- Giardia (G. lamblia only also known as G. intestinalis and G. duodenalis)

The detection and identification of specific gastrointestinal microbial nucleic acid from individuals exhibiting signs and symptoms of gastrointestinal infection aids in the diagnosis of gastrointestinal infection when used in conjunction with clinical evaluation, laboratory findings and epidemiological information. A gastrointestinal microorganism multiplex nucleic acid-based assay also aids in the detection and identification of acute gastroenteritis in the context of outbreaks.

# **xTAG**<sup>®</sup> GPP positive results are presumptive and must be confirmed by FDA-cleared tests or other acceptable reference methods.

The results of this test should not be used as the sole basis for diagnosis, treatment, or other patient management decisions. Confirmed positive results do not rule out co-infection with other organisms that are not detected by this test, and may not be the sole or definitive cause of patient illness. Negative xTAG® Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel results in the setting of clinical illness compatible with gastroenteritis may be due to infection by pathogens that are not detected by this test or non-infectious causes such as ulcerative colitis, irritable bowel syndrome, or Crohn's disease.

## xTAG GPP is not intended to monitor or guide treatment for C. difficile infections.

The xTAG GPP is indicated for use with the Luminex<sup>®</sup>  $100/200^{\text{TM}}$  instrument with xPONENT<sup>®</sup> software.

## 2. <u>Indication(s) for use:</u>

Same as intended use.

## 3. Special conditions for use statement(s):

For prescription use only. Manufacturer must provide device-specific user training to facilities prior to using the device.

## 4. Special instrument requirements:

Extraction: Biomerieux NucliSens® EasyMag® instrument

Analysis: Luminex<sup>®</sup> 100/200<sup>™</sup> instruments with xPONENT<sup>®</sup> software.

## I. Device Description:

The Luminex Molecular Diagnostics xTAG GPP consists of kit reagents and software. The reagents in conjunction with a thermal cycler are used to perform nucleic acid amplification (reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction, or RT-PCR/PCR), and the protocol configuration file is used to generate results while the data analysis software (TDAS GPP (US)) is used to analyze the results from the Luminex Corporation Luminex 100/200 instrument system (which includes the xPONENT core software).

The components of the xTAG GPP kit are contained within 2 boxes (one that is frozen, and one that is refrigerated). The kit is shipped with the xTAG GPP CD which contains the xTAG GPP T-A (LX) protocol configuration file and the TDAS GPP (US) software. The instrument is shipped with the xPONENT software.

The xTAG Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel (xTAG GPP) incorporates multiplex reverse transcription and polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR / PCR) with Luminex's proprietary universal tag sorting system on the Luminex platform. The assay also detects an internal control (bacteriophage MS2) that is added to each sample prior to extraction. Each sample is pre-treated prior to extraction and is then put through extraction using the Biomerieux NucliSens EasyMag kit (product code JJH, class I, an IVD-labeled automated system for nucleic acid extraction).

Post-extraction, for each sample,  $10~\mu L$  of extracted nucleic acid is amplified in a single multiplex RT-PCR/PCR reaction. Each target or internal control in the sample results in PCR amplicons ranging from 58 to 202 bp (not including the 24-mer tag). A five  $\mu L$  aliquot of the RT-PCR product is then added to a hybridization/detection reaction containing bead populations coupled to sequences from the Universal Array ("antitags"), streptavidin, R-phycoerythrin conjugate. Each Luminex bead population detects a specific microbial target or control through a specific tag/anti-tag hybridization reaction. Following the incubation of the RT-PCR products with the xTAG GPP Bead Mix and xTAG Reporter Buffer, the Luminex instrument sorts and reads the hybridization/detection reactions.

A signal, or median fluorescence intensity (MFI), is generated for each bead population. These fluorescence values are analyzed to establish the presence or absence of bacterial, viral or parasitic targets and/or controls in each sample. A single multiplex reaction identifies all targets.

The xTAG Data Analysis Software for the Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel (TDAS GPP (US)) analyzes the data to provide a report summarizing which pathogens are present. Before data are analyzed, a user has the option to select a subset of the targets from the intended use of the xTAG GPP (for each sample). Consequently the remaining target results are masked and cannot be retrieved.

Target results above or equal to the cutoff are considered positive, while target results below the cutoff are considered negative. For each sample analyzed by TDAS GPP (US), there are individual results for each of the targets and the internal control (bacteriophage MS2).

## J. Substantial Equivalence Information:

- 1. <u>Predicate device name(s)</u>: xTAG GPP
- 2. <u>Predicate 510(k) number(s):</u> K121454

## 3. Comparison with predicate:

	Similarities	
Item	Device	Predicate
Manufacturer	Luminex Molecular Diagnostics	Same
Extraction Method	Biomérieux NucliSENS®	Same
	EasyMag®	
Kit Reagents	xTAG® GPP Primer Mix,	Same
	xTAG® OneStep Enzyme Mix,	
	xTAG® OneStep Buffer,	
	xTAG® RNase-Free Water,	
	xTAG® BSA, xTAG® MS2,	
	xTAG® GPP Bead Mix,	
	xTAG® Reporter Buffer,	
	xTAG® 0.22 SAP	
Test Format	Multiplex MAGPLEX bead-	Same
	based universal array	
Detection Method	Fluorescence based	Same
Quality Control	Internal Control (MS2), rotating	Same
	analyte controls and negative	
	control (RNAse-free water)	
Results	Qualitative	Same
Instrument Software	Luminex 100/200 with	Same
System	xPONENT Software	

	Differences						
Item	Device	Predicate					
Specimen	Human stool specimens and human stool in	Human stool specimens					
Types	Cary-Blair media						
Software	Updated assay protocol to acquire and show	Assay protocol file					
	data for additional 3 analytes: Adenovirus	excludes analytes					
	40/41, Entamoeba histolytica (E.	Adenovirus 40/41,					
	histolytica), and Vibrio cholerae (V.	Entamoeba histolytica (E.					
	<i>cholerae</i> ) cholera toxin gene (ctx).	histolytica), and Vibrio					
	xPONENT 3.1 software and higher	cholerae (V. cholerae)					
		cholera toxin gene (ctx)					

	Differences						
Item	Device	Predicate					
Intended Use		The xTAG® Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel (GPP) is a multiplexed nucleic acid test intended for the simultaneous qualitative detection and identification of multiple viral, parasitic, and bacterial nucleic acids in human stool specimens from individuals with signs and symptoms of infectious colitis or gastroenteritis. The following pathogen types, subtypes and toxin genes are identified using the xTAG® GPP:  • Campylobacter (C. jejuni, C. coli and C. lari only) • Clostridium difficile (C. difficile) toxin A/B  • Cryptosporidium (C. parvum and C. hominis only) • Escherichia coli (E. coli) O157 • Enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli (ETEC) LT/ST • Giardia (G. lamblia only - also known as G. intestinalis and G. duodenalis) • Norovirus GI/GII • Rotavirus A • Salmonella • Shiga-like Toxin producing E. coli					
	• Vibrio cholerae (V.	<u>e</u>					
		S. sonnei, S. flexneri					

Differences						
Item	Device	Predicate				
Item	Parasites:  Cryptosporidium (C. parvum and C. hominis only)  Entamoeba histolytica (E. histolytica)  Giardia (G. lamblia only - also known as G. intestinalis and G. duodenalis)  The detection and identification of specific gastrointestinal microbial nucleic acid from individuals exhibiting signs and symptoms of gastrointestinal infection aids in the diagnosis of gastrointestinal infection when used in conjunction with clinical evaluation, laboratory findings and epidemiological information. A gastrointestinal microorganism multiplex nucleic acid-based assay also aids in the detection and identification of acute gastroenteritis in the context of outbreaks. xTAG® GPP positive results are presumptive and must be confirmed by FDA-cleared tests or other acceptable reference methods. The results of this test should not be used as the sole basis for diagnosis, treatment, or other patient management decisions. Confirmed positive results do not rule out co-infection with other organisms that are not detected by this test, and may not be the sole or definitive cause of patient illness. Negative xTAG® Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel results in the setting of clinical illness compatible with gastroenteritis may be due to	Predicate and S. dysenteriae) The detection and identification of specific gastrointestinal microbial nucleic acid from individuals exhibiting signs and symptoms of gastrointestinal infection aids in the diagnosis of gastrointestinal infection when used in conjunction with clinical evaluation, laboratory findings and epidemiological information. A gastrointestinal microorganism multiplex nucleic acid-based assay also aids in the detection and identification of acute gastroenteritis in the context of outbreaks.  **xTAG®** GPP** positive** results are presumptive** and must be confirmed by** FDA-cleared tests or other acceptable reference methods.*  The results of this test should not be used as the sole basis for diagnosis, treatment, or other patient management decisions.  Confirmed positive results do not rule out co-infection with other organisms that are not detected by this test, and may not be the sole or definitive cause of patient illness. Negative xTAG  Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel results in the setting of clinical illness compatible with				

	Differences	
Item	Device	Predicate
	infection by pathogens that are not detected by this test or non-infectious causes such as ulcerative colitis, irritable bowel syndrome, or Crohn's disease. xTAG GPP is not intended to monitor or guide treatment for C. difficile infections.	gastroenteritis may be due to infection by pathogens that are not detected by this test or non-infectious causes such as ulcerative colitis, irritable bowel syndrome, or Crohn's disease.  xTAG® GPP is not
	The xTAG GPP is indicated for use with the Luminex® 100/200™ instrument with xPONENT® software.	intended to monitor or guide treatment for <i>C</i> . difficile infections.  The xTAG® GPP is indicated for use with the Luminex® 100/200 <sup>TM</sup> instrument.
Targets	Adenovirus 40/41, Campylobacter (C.	Campylobacter (C. jejuni,
Reported	jejuni, C. coli and C. lari only), Clostridium	C. coli and C. lari only),
	difficile (C. difficile) toxin A/B,	Clostridium difficile (C.
	Cryptosporidium (C. parvum and C.	difficile) toxin A/B,
	hominis only), Escherichia coli (E. coli)	Cryptosporidium (C. parvum and C. hominis
	O157, Enterotoxigenic <i>Escherichia coli</i> (ETEC) LT/ST, <i>Entamoeba histolytica</i> ( <i>E</i> .	<del>^</del>
	histolytica), Giardia (G. lamblia only - also	only), <i>Escherichia coli</i> ( <i>E. coli</i> ) O157, Enterotoxigenic
	known as G. intestinalis and G. duodenalis),	Escherichia coli (ETEC)
	Norovirus GI/GII, Rotavirus A, Salmonella,	LT/ST, Giardia (G. lamblia
	Shiga-like Toxin producing <i>E. coli</i> (STEC)	only - also known as $G$ .
	stx 1/stx 2, Shigella (S. boydii, S. sonnei, S.	intestinalis and G.
	flexneri and S. dysenteriae), <b>Vibrio</b>	duodenalis), Norovirus
	cholerae (V. cholerae) cholera toxin gene	GI/GII, Rotavirus A,
	(ctx)	Salmonella, Shiga-like
	()	Toxin producing <i>E. coli</i>
		(STEC) stx 1/stx 2, Shigella
		(S. boydii, S. sonnei, S.
		flexneri and S. dysenteriae)

## K. Standard/Guidance Document Referenced (if applicable):

**Guidance Documents** 

	Title	Date
1	Establishing the Performance Characteristics of In Vitro Diagnostic	Nov. 29, 2010
	Devices for the Detection of <i>Clostridium difficile</i>	

2	Class II Special Controls Guidance Document: Norovirus Serological	Mar. 9, 2012
	Reagents	
3	Class II Special Controls Guidance Document: Instrumentation for	Mar. 10, 2005
	Clinical Multiplex Test Systems - Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff	
4	Guidance for the Content of Premarket Submissions for Software	May 11, 2005
	Contained in Medical Devices	
5	Guidance document for Format for Traditional and Abbreviated 510(k)s	Aug. 12, 2005
6	Guidance on the CDRH Premarket Notification Review Program, 510(k)	June 30, 1986
	Memorandum #K86-3	
7	The New 510(k) Paradigm - Alternate Approaches to Demonstrating	Mar. 20, 1998
	Substantial Equivalence in Premarket Notifications - Final Guidance	
8	The 510(k) Program: Evaluating Substantial Equivalence in Premarket	Dec. 27, 2011
	Notifications [510(k)]	
9	Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff - eCopy	Oct. 10, 2013
	Program for Medical Device Submissions	
10	Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff - FDA	Oct. 15, 2012
	and Industry Actions on Premarket Notification (510(k)) Submissions:	
	Effect on FDA Review Clock and Goals	

## Standards

	Standard No.	Recognition Number (FDA)	Standards Title	Date
1	EP05-A2	7-110	Evaluation of Precision Performance of Quantitative measurement Methods (2nd ed.)	10/31/2005
2	EP07-A2	7-127	Interference Testing in Clinical Chemistry (2nd edition)	05/21/2007
3	EP12-A2	7-152	User Protocol for Evaluation f Qualitative Test Performance (2nd edition)	09/09/2008
4	EP14-A2	7-143	Evaluation of Matrix Effects (2nd edition)	03/16/2012
5	EP15-A2	7-153	User Verification of Performance for Precision and Trueness (2nd edition)	09/09/2008
6	EP17-A	7-194	Protocol for Determination of Limits of Detection and Limits of Quantitation (NOTE: Original studies included this standard)	03/28/2009
7	EP17-A2	7-233	Evaluation of Detection Capability for Clinical Laboratory Measurement Procedures	01/15/2013
8	ISO 14971	5-40	Application of Risk Management to Medical Devices	08/20/2012
9	MM03-A2	7-132	Molecular Diagnostic Methods for Infectious Diseases (2nd edition)	09/09/2008
10	MM13-A	7-191	Collection, Transport, Preparation and Storage of Specimens	03/18/2009

## L. Test Principle:

Human stool samples are pretreated and then subjected to nucleic acid extraction. For each sample,  $10~\mu L$  of extracted nucleic acid is amplified in a single multiplex RT-PCR/PCR reaction. Each target or internal control in the sample results in PCR amplimers ranging from 58 to 202 bp (not including the 24-mer tag). A five  $\mu L$  aliquot of the RT-PCR product is then added to a hybridization/detection reaction containing bead populations coupled to sequences from the Universal Array ("antitags"), streptavidin, R-phycoerythrin conjugate. Each Luminex bead population detects a specific microbial target or control through a specific tag/anti-tag hybridization reaction. Following the incubation of the RT-PCR products with the xTAG GPP Bead Mix and xTAG Reporter Buffer, the Luminex instrument sorts and reads the hybridization/detection reactions. A signal or median fluorescence intensity (MFI) is generated for each bead population. These fluorescence values are analyzed to establish the presence or absence of bacterial, viral or parasitic targets and/or controls in each sample. A single multiplex reaction identifies all targets.

## M. Performance Characteristics (if/when applicable):

## 1. Analytical performance:

## a. Precision/Reproducibility:

Site-to-site reproducibility was assessed for each of the additional targets and for mixed analyte samples (representing co-infected samples). Original study results for the other analytes were presented in submission k121454. Replicates of simulated samples were tested across 3 sites by 2 operators at each site. One exception was made for testing of the *Vibrio cholerae* samples at Site 3, where due to operator illness the runs for the second operator were performed by two individuals. All sample replicates tested were prepared through serial dilutions of stock material (pretreated negative stool spiked with a pathogen or positive stool) containing a microbial target from the intended use. Each sample replicate assayed in the study contained either a single microbial target or 2 microbial targets detected by xTAG® GPP in addition to the internal control (bacteriophage MS2). For single analyte samples, dilutions tested fell into 1 of the following 3 categories:

- 1. High Negative (HN): microbial target concentrations which generate MFI values not lower than 20-30% below the cut-off MFI for the indicated analyte
- 2. Low Positive (LP): microbial target concentrations which generated MFI values that were 1-5X the cut-off MFI for the indicated analyte
- 3. Moderate Positive (MP): microbial target concentrations which generated MFI values 7-10X the cut-off MFI for the indicated analyte

For those samples prepared to simulate co-infections, one microbial target was present at the LP level defined above and the other at a High Positive (HP) level. HP levels were defined as follows:

High Positive (HP) viral cultures were prepared to a concentration of  $10^5$  PFU/mL ( $10^5$  TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL) or higher; High Positive (HP) bacterial cultures were prepared to a concentration of  $10^6$  CFU/mL or higher.

Each sample replicate underwent a single pre-treatment and extraction step. All samples were extracted using the NucliSens EasyMAG<sup>TM</sup> extraction method. Extracted material was kept frozen at -70 °C until testing. A total of 90 replicates were tested for each single analyte and dual analyte sample (3 replicates per run x 5 runs per operator x 2 operators per site x 3 sites = 90 replicates). Reproducibility was assessed both in terms of calls and MFI values.

## Single Analyte Results

For single analyte samples prepared at the MP level, depending on the microbial target, 89/90 (99%) to 90/90 (100%) replicates generated a positive result (after allowable re-runs). For LP dilutions, depending on the microbial target, the correct positive call was made in 85/90 (94%) to 90/90 (100%) replicates tested. For HN dilutions, depending on the target, the correct negative call was generated in as few as 67/90 (74%) replicates to as many as 90/90 (100%). Greater variability in the HN dilution, compared to the LP and MP dilution, is expected based on the fact that a target is present in these samples at levels sufficient to generate MFI values 20-30% below the cut-off MFI, and based on the stochastic nature of end-point PCR in the presence of low levels of targeted analytes. Accordingly, percent variability, measured as the coefficient of variation (CV) for MFI values were lowest at the MP dilution and highest at the HN dilution.

#### Dual Analyte Results

For dual analyte samples tested for the additional targets, all targets generated a positive call when present as a HP dilution. When present at the LP concentration, 3 of the 4 target combinations tested generated a positive call in 90/90 (100%) replicates tested. The 4 combinations were:

Rotavirus (HP) / Adenovirus (LP) Adenovirus (HP) / Rotavirus (LP) C. difficile (HP) / Adenovirus (LP)

Adenovirus (HP)/ C. difficile (LP)

*C. difficile* has two probes resulting in a call for this target, (if either is positive, the target is positive). The following was observed for the remaining target present at LP concentration in the sample containing a second target at HP concentration:

• 1/90 replicates of the *C. difficile* (HP) /Adenovirus (LP) sample generated a negative call for Adenovirus

Although the *C. difficile* LP sample was 89/90 for probe 1, probe 2 made all the calls for the LP sample.

## Reproducibility of Overall Total Raw Median MFI values for Three New Targets in xTAG GPP after Reruns

	Panel Member ID	Adenovirus 40/41 Low Positive	Adenovirus 40/41 Medium Positive	Adenovirus 40/41 High Negative	Entamoeba histolytica Low Positive	Entamoeba histolytica Medium Positive	Entamoeba histolytica High Negative	Vibrio cholerae Low Positive	Vibrio cholerae Medium Positive	Vibrio cholerae High Negative
	Concentration	1.45x10 <sup>1</sup>	5.8x10 <sup>1</sup>	1.81 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	1.44x10 <sup>1</sup>	5.76x10 <sup>1</sup>	2.25x10 <sup>-1</sup>	9.37x10 <sup>6</sup>	3.75x10 <sup>7</sup>	5.86x10 <sup>5</sup>
		TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL		Cells/mL	Cells/mL	Cells/mL	CFU/mL	CFU/mL	CFU/mL
	Agreement with Expected Result	30/30 100%	30/30 100%	22/30 73.3%	30/30 100%	30/30 100%	30/30 100%	30/30 100%	30/30 100%	30/30 100%
	25 <sup>th</sup> Percentile MFI	634.0	1333.3	110.5	573.0	1270.0	36.0	579.5	1206.0	50.0
Site 1	Median MFI Value	678.8	1392.0	133.0	614.8	1410.3	41.8	690.0	1269.0	57.3
	75 <sup>th</sup> Percentile MFI	727.5	1428.0	151.5	775.0	1516.0	50.5	763.0	1364.0	74.0
	% CV	12.26	6.34	N/A	23.47	14.51	N/A	20.16	10.06	N/A
	Agreement with Expected Result	30/30 100%	30/30 100%	15/30 50%	30/30 100%	30/30 100%	30/30 100%	30/30 100%	30/30 100%	21/30 70%
	25 <sup>th</sup> Percentile MFI	770.0	1623.0	124.0	319.0	1111.0	33.0	860.5	1612.5	62.0
Site 2	Median MFI Value	929.0	1706.3	151.0	422.5	1370.5	42.0	1327.3	1897.8	111.5
	75 <sup>th</sup> Percentile MFI	1178.5	1903.0	255.5	659.0	1661.0	48.0	1574.0	2294.0	162.0
	% CV	28.63	11.45	N/A	41.15	25.39	N/A	45.08	30.80	N/A
	Agreement with Expected Result	30/30 100%	30/30 100%	30/30 100%	25/30 83.3%	29/30 96.7%	30/30 100%	30/30 100%	30/30 100%	29/30 96.7%
	25 <sup>th</sup> Percentile MFI	245.0	618.5	54.0	277.0	749.0	40.0	270.0	757.0	37.0
Site 3	Median MFI Value	275.3	715.3	64.0	364.3	986.3	47.0	326.3	924.0	47.5
	75 <sup>th</sup> Percentile MFI	340.0	860.0	77.0	446.5	1135.0	55.0	522.5	1031.0	56.0
	% CV	24.57*	41.66*	N/A	34.84	37.32	N/A	48.47	24.88	N/A
	Total Agreement with Expected Result	90/90 100%	90/90 100%	67/90 74.4%	85/90 94.4%	89/90 98.9%	90/90 100%	90/90 100%	90/90 100%	80/90 88.9%
	95% CI	95.9%-100.0%	95.9%-100.0%	64.6%- 82.3%	87.6%- 97.6%	94.0%- 99.8%	95.9%-100.0%	95.9%- 100.0%	95.9%- 100.0%	80.7%- 93.9%
	Overall 25 <sup>th</sup> Percentile MFI	340.0	860.0	72.5	330.5	1007.0	36.0	420.5	1006.0	47.0
	Overall Median MFI Value	672.5	1394.0	112.5	489.3	1240.5	43.5	672.8	1258.0	58.5
	Overall 75 <sup>th</sup> Percentile MFI	824.0	1659.5	151.5	631.0	1473.5	51.0	975.5	1612.5	90
	Overall % CV	51.30*	35.00*	N/A	40.94	31.45	N/A	66.46	42.16	N/A

<sup>\*</sup> This %CV value includes the re-run

Reproducibility of Overall Total Raw Median MFI values for Mixed Analytes in xTAG GPP after Reruns

	Treproductionity of	Rotavirus A Low Positive/ Adenovirus 40/41 High Positive		Rotavirus A	• •		40/41 Low P	ositive/	Adenovirus 40/41 High Positive/ C. difficile Low Positive		
	Panel Member ID	Rotavirus A Low Positive	Adenovirus 40/41 High Positive	Rotavirus A High Positive	Adenovirus 40/41 Low Positive	Adenovirus 40/41 Low Positive	High P		Adenovirus 40/41 High Positive	Low P	fficile ositive
							Probe 1	Probe 2		Probe 1	Probe 2
	Concentration	Indeterminate*	9.28x10 <sup>2</sup> TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Indeterminate*	2.17x10 <sup>1</sup> TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	2.17x10 <sup>1</sup> TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	6.00x10 <sup>7</sup> CFU/mL	6.00x10 <sup>7</sup> CFU/mL	9.28x10 <sup>2</sup> TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	7.50x10 <sup>b</sup> CFU/mL	7.50x10 <sup>6</sup> CFU/mL
	Agreement with Expected	30/30	30/30	30/30	30/30	30/30	30/30	30/30	30/30	30/30	30/30
	Result	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	25 <sup>th</sup> Percentile MFI	409.0	1883.0	1269.0	466.0	485.0	1818.0	2596.5	1932.0	426.5	1193.5
Site 1	Median MFI Value	899.0	1955.5	1557.0	512.3	552.0	2196.8	2702.0	2005.5	551.5	1380.5
	75 <sup>th</sup> Percentile MFI	1410.0	2051.0	1833.5	564.0	639.0	2409.5	2766.0	2213.0	766.0	1604.0
	% CV	73.05	5.86	29.42	17.82	21.03	17.79	6.39	9.21	46.02	25.12
	Agreement with Expected Result	30/30 100%	30/30 100%	30/30 100%	30/30 100%	30/30 100%	30/30 100%	30/30 100%	30/30 100%	30/30 100%	30/30 100%
	25 <sup>th</sup> Percentile MFI	478.5	2064.5	1607.5	413.0	441.0	2233.0	3001.0	2369.0	587.0	1764.5
Site 2	Median MFI Value	828.0	2438.5	1854.8	510.8	558.0	2514.5	3185.8	2521.8	687.5	1992.5
	75 <sup>th</sup> Percentile MFI	1670.0	2616.0	2160.5	606.0	720.0	2705.0	3394.0	2709.0	957.0	2276.5
	% CV	80.25	11.69	26.10	26.82	34.32	19.83	11.54	9.97	45.91	18.23
	Agreement with Expected	30/30	30/30	30/30	30/30	29/30	30/30	30/30	30/30	29/30	30/30
	Result	100%	100%	100%	100%	96.7%	100%	100%	100%	96.7%	100%
	25 <sup>th</sup> Percentile MFI	430.5	1452.0	1093.0	206.0	222.0	1088.0	2118.0	1431.0	274.5	1088.0
Site 3	Median MFI Value	689.5	1527.5	1538.0	264.5	235.5	1510.5	2404.5	1550.0	414.3	1247.5
	75 <sup>th</sup> Percentile MFI	1067.0	1645.0	1726.0	292.0	269.5	1837.0	2613.5	1630.0	523.0	1425.0
	% CV	64.25	11.30	35.50	23.76	22.74	32.71	15.68	13.12	48.98	24.47
	Total Agreement with	90/90	90/90	90/90	90/90	89/90	90/90	90/90	90/90	89/90	90/90
	Expected Result	100%	100%	100%	100%	98.9%	100%	100%	100%	98.9%	100%
	95% CI	95.9%- 100.0%	95.9%- 100.0%	95.9%- 100.0%	95.9%- 100.0%	94.0%- 99.8%	95.9%- 100.0%	95.9%- 100.0%	95.9%- 100.0%	94.0%- 99.8%	95.9%- 100.0%
	Overall 25 <sup>th</sup> Percentile MFI	430.5	1645.0	1361.0	292.0	269.5	1648.0	2483.0	1630.0	406.0	1219.0
	Overall Median MFI Value	741.8	1948.8	1614.8	444.3	447.0	2122.3	2706.3	2004.8	575.8	1452.8
	Overall 75 <sup>th</sup> Percentile MFI	1251.5	2128.5	1884.5	543.0	603.0	2422.0	3023.0	2377.5	766.0	1849.0
	Overall % CV	75.04	20.54	32.39	37.71	44.38	30.51	16.62	23.01	53.59	30.19

<sup>\*</sup>Real-time PCR failed to return a meaningful result. The amount of Rotavirus added to this sample is the same as the amount used in equivalent Rotavirus dilutions used in the Repeatability study.

Overall, adequate site-to-site reproducibility has been established for all targets that xTAG® GPP has been designed to detect (also see results in k121454).

## Repeatability

As in the original study results presented for k121454, repeatability was assessed for each target by testing 20 replicates of each of two different analyte concentrations: a very low positive sample (at the LoD) and a moderate positive dilution level (5x-10x above the cut-off MFI). All replicates for each dilution level were examined starting from sample extraction with the bioMérieux NucliSENS® easyMAG® system followed by xTAG GPP® in a single run. For each set of 20 replicates, the same operator performed the testing on the same instrument system, using the same lot of extraction kit and xTAG® GPP reagents. Results of testing were as follows:

**Assay Repeatability** 

Analyte	Dilution Level	Concentration	xTAG GPP Calls	Mean MFI Value	%CV
Adenovirus	Moderate Positive	5.80x10 <sup>1</sup> TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	20 of 20 POS	1355	9.22%
40/41	Low Positive/LoD	1.45x10 <sup>1</sup> TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	20 of 20 POS	548	34.09%
Entamoeba	Moderate Positive	5.76x10 <sup>1</sup> cells/mL	20 of 20 POS	889	7.83%
histolytica	Low Positive/LoD	2.88x10 <sup>1</sup> cells/mL	20 of 20 POS	883	16.96%
Vibrio	Moderate	4.68x10 <sup>6</sup> CFU/mL	20 of 20 POS	450	15.91%
cholerae	Low	2.34x10 <sup>6</sup> CFU/mL	19 of 20 POS	255	23.62%

The correct qualitative result was obtained for  $\geq 19$  of 20 replicates at the low positive level and for 20 of 20 replicates at the moderate positive level for each analyte tested at these concentrations.

b. Linearity/assay reportable range:

Not applicable, qualitative assay.

c. Traceability, Stability, Expected values (controls, calibrators, or methods):

Before using the Luminex system to read samples prepared by the xTAG assay, prepare and calibrate the Luminex instrument system following the procedures in the appropriate system user manual.

**Negative Controls -** Negative controls are defined as either RNase-free water added to the RT-PCR/PCR step (amplification/detection negative control) or lysis buffer that has undergone the entire assay procedure

(pretreatment/extraction/amplification/detection negative control). At least one negative control that underwent extraction process must be included in each batch of specimens run on xTAG GPP. The recommended number of negative controls to be

included in a batch is dependent on batch size. For batches of 1-30 samples, one negative control must be included. For batches of 31-61 samples, two negative controls are recommended. For batches of 62-92 samples, three negative controls are recommended. When running multiple negative controls disperse the controls throughout the batch.

**NOTE:** Users will need to identify all the negative controls (including extraction controls) from the TDAS software before the test data is analyzed. If a negative control has a significant signal detected for an analyte, the TDAS software will generate a 'no call' for the samples that were positive for the specific analyte and they will need to be retested.

**External Positive Controls -** Known strains or positive clinical samples with known results for the targeted viruses, bacteria or parasites should be included in routine quality control procedures ("external controls") as positive controls for the assay. At least one of these external controls are analyte positive controls and should be included with each batch of patient specimens and controls positive for different targets should be rotated from batch to batch. External controls should be prepared, extracted and tested in the same manner as patient samples. Results from external controls should be examined before the results from the patient samples. The interpretation of the correct positive control results is performed by the user and not the data analysis software (TDAS). If a given analyte control does not perform as expected, all results for that analyte in the batch of samples should be examined to determine if a re-run is required. If any unexpected calls occur where one or more analytes with signal exceeding the thresholds are detected in any of the positive controls (i.e. non-specific positive signals) for a given run then samples that were positive for the specific analyte(s) that triggered a control failure will need to be rerun. At least one positive control per PCR run must pass, i.e. all expected calls made in order to report any results from the plate.

**Internal Control -** Bacteriophage MS2 is the internal control for the assay. This internal positive control is added to each patient specimen prior to extraction. This internal control allows the user to ascertain whether the assay is functioning properly. Failure to generate a PRES (present) call for the MS2 control indicates a failure at the extraction step, and/or the reverse-transcription step, and/or the PCR step, and may be indicative of the presence of amplification inhibitors, which can lead to false negative results.

#### d. Detection limit:

As in the original study results presented for k121454, the LoD was assessed by analyzing serial dilutions of simulated samples made from high-titre stocks of commercial strains or high-titre clinical specimens (when commercial strains were not available). All simulated specimens were prepared in negative clinical matrix (stool). The data from serial dilutions were confirmed in at least 20 replicates of the

selected dilution for each analyte target. Results of testing for the three additional analytes were as follows:

Summary of Limit of Detection (LoD) for Additional Analytes

		Titre (corresponding to the estimated	Average MFI	
Analyte	Strain ID	LoD)	Value	%CV
Adenovirus	Adenovirus 40, 0810084CF (Dugan)	1.45x10 <sup>1</sup> TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	548	34.09%
40/41	Adenovirus 41, 0810085CF (Tak)	7.69 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	360	22.04%
Entamoeba				16.96%
histolytica	Entamoeba histolytica, 30890	2.88x10 <sup>1</sup> cells/mL	883	
Vibrio	Vibrio cholerae, 14101 (Serovar			23.62%
cholerae	O:1)	2.34x10 <sup>6</sup> CFU/mL	255	

The data summarized above establish a limit of detection for each indicated analyte.

## Stool in Cary Blair Media Limit of Detection Study Results

The purpose of this analytical study was to evaluate the equivalency in the limit of detection (LoD) between the two sample types: raw stool (sample type from k121454) and stool in Cary-Blair transport medium (additional sample type commonly collected) in a representative sub-set of the xTAG GPP targets. One analyte from each of three pathogen classes (bacterial, parasitic, and viral) was examined in the form of simulated stool samples and simulated stool samples in Cary-Blair media. The three representative analytes tested in this study were: *Clostridium difficile*, *Giardia lamblia* and Norovirus GII. The simulated samples were prepared as a dilution series using high titre stocks.

In the first part of this study, serial dilution curves for each analyte target were made for both stool and stool in Cary-Blair sample types. These curves were generated by assessing 3 replicates per sample type of each dilution level, starting from the sample extraction step. The dilutions for both sample types were prepared in parallel and analyzed with the xTAG GPP assay on the same plate to minimize variation.

From part 1 of the study, a dilution for each target in each of the sample types was selected for further confirmation testing. Confirmation of LoD was achieved through testing of 20 replicates of the selected dilutions starting from sample extraction. In general, the dilution level corresponding to the lowest concentration of the analyte in which 3/3 replicates generated positive calls by xTAG GPP was selected for LoD confirmation testing for that sample type. LoD was considered as confirmed if the selected dilution level gave POSITIVE calls for  $\geq 19/20$  of the replicates.

Summary of the Limit of Detection (LoD) for GPP analytes in stool and stool in Cary-Blair media

		Raw S	Stool	Stool in C	ary-Blair	LoD Difference
Analyte	Strain ID	Titre at limit of detection	Average MFI Value (n=20)	Titre at limit of detection	Average MFI Value (n=20)	between Stool and Stool in Cary-Blair
C. difficile Toxin A/B	Clostridiu m difficile, BAA-1805 (toxinotyp e III A+B+)	4.69x10 <sup>5</sup> CFU/mL	Probe 1 = 383 Probe 2 = 862	4.69x10 <sup>5</sup> CFU/mL	Probe 1 = 460 Probe 2 = 1128	None
Giardia	Giardia Iamblia, PRA-243	2.20x10 <sup>2</sup> cells/mL	1069	2.20x10 <sup>2</sup> cells/mL	1008	None
Norovir us GI/GII	Norovirus GII, Clinical sample, source Toronto	4.75x10 <sup>2</sup> copies/mL (Ct = 32.23)	1466	4.75x10 <sup>2</sup> copies/mL (Ct = 32.23)	2299	None

The data summarized above demonstrate that raw stool samples and stool samples in Cary-Blair media have equivalent limits of detection.

## e. Analytical specificity:

## **Analytical Reactivity**

Analytical reactivity was assessed through empirical testing of a wide range of clinically relevant GI pathogen strains, genotypes, serotypes and isolates representing temporal and geographical diversity for each analyte. Through testing of unique samples covering the additional intended use pathogens, reactivity was established at concentrations 2 to 3 times the limit of detection.

**Adenovirus -** The Limit of Detection (LoD) using Adenovirus 40, Zeptometrix 0810084CF (Dugan) and Adenovirus 41, Zeptometrix 0810085CF (Tak) were found to be 1.45E+01 TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL (or 4.89E+06 Copies/mL) and 7.69E+00 TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL (or 1.48E+07 Copies/mL), respectively (see LoD section above). The following two samples were tested at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (Atlanta, Georgia, USA). Note: these samples were different isolates of the strains used in the LoD study. The amount of the viral target DNA for GP-093 and GP-094 was measured by real-time PCR and the Ct values generated were used to calculate the DNA copy number. The lowest reactivity titers for GP-093 and GP-094, were found to be at 3x and 1x multiple of LoD level, respectively.

**Adenovirus Reactivity List** 

Run Batch ID	Target	Source ID	Strain or Serotype	Reactivity Titre (Copies/mL)	Results Summary
Analytical reactivity_II_LX200	Adenovirus 40	CDC – GP-093	Dugan pCMK <sub>2</sub> Gr <sub>10</sub> , 9/23/91	1.49E+07	POS
Analytical reactivity_II_LX200	Adenovirus 41	CDC – GP-094	Tak HeLa <sub>2</sub> Gr <sub>10,</sub> 9/23/91	1.43E+07	POS

Furthermore, in sequencing analysis of clinical specimens tested as part of the multisite clinical study of xTAG GPP, 9 Adenovirus 40 and 28 Adenovirus 41 positive samples were detected by the assay and sequencing.

Adenovirus Clinical Specimen Positive by the xTAG GPP

Target	Clinical Sample ID
Adenovirus 40	GPP03-092B, GPP03-099B, GPP03-101B, GPP03-102B, GPP03-103B, GPP03-106B, GPP03-109B,
	GPP03-300B, GPP03-240B
Adenovirus 41	GPP03-001B, GPP03-003B, GPP03-007B, GPP03-013B, GPP03-014B, GPP03-019B, GPP03-020B,
	GPP03-022B, GPP03-025B, GPP03-026B, GPP03-028B, GPP03-029B, GPP03-033B, GPP03-035B,
	GPP03-036B, GPP03-037B, GPP03-038B, GPP03-039B, GPP03-048B, GPP03-055B, GPP03-060B,
	GPP03-095B, GPP03-229B, GPP03-313B, GPP04-159, GPP04-174, GPP02-129, GPP02-192

Entamoeba histolytica - The LoD for this pathogen was not confirmed prior to this Analytical Reactivity study initiation; thus, serial dilutions of the samples were prepared and tested. The LoD using Entamoeba histolytica, ATCC 30890 was later found to be 2.88E+01 Cells/mL, equivalent to 4.30E+02 Copies/mL (see LoD section above). For E.histolytica, ATCC 50007, 50481, 50738 and 50454, the titer information expressed in Cells/mL could not be obtained. To standardize the quantification units for all E.histolytica strains, in this Analytical Reactivity study the amount of target DNA was measured by real-time PCR and the Ct values generated were used to calculate the DNA copy numbers. The reactivity titers for most of the strains were in the range of 0.4x to 6.7x multiple of LoD level for E.histolytica. The reactivity titer for ATCC 50738 (Rahman) was found to be 0.2x multiple of LoD level.

Entamoeba histolytica Reactivity List

Entamoeba histolytica Reactivity List							
Run Batch ID	Target	Source	Strain or Serotype	Reactivity Titre (Cells or Copies/mL)	Results Summary		
20120216_JF_GPP_Reactivity_LX	Entamoeba histolytica	ATCC 30015	(HK-9, colonic biopsy from adult human male with amebic dysentery, Korea); frozen	2.86E+00 Cells/mL or 1.82E+02 Copies/mL	POS		
20120216_JF_GPP_Reactivity_LX	Entamoeba histolytica	ATCC 30190	(HB-301:NIH, feces from adult human male with amebic dysentery, Burma, 1960); test tube	1.07E+03 Copies/mL	POS		
20120216_JF_GPP_Reactivity_LX	Entamoeba histolytica	ATCC 30457	(HU-21:AMC, colonic biopsy from male child with amebic dysentery, Little Rock, AR, 1970); test tube	1.68E+03 Copies/mL	POS		
20120216_JF_GPP_Reactivity_LX	Entamoeba histolytica	ATCC 30458	(200:NIH); frozen	1.83E+02 Cells/mL or 2.42E+03 Copies/mL	POS		
20120216_JF_GPP_Reactivity_LX	Entamoeba histolytica	ATCC 30459	(HM-1:IMSS [ABRM]; feces from adult human male, asymptomatic cyst passer, England, 1972); test tube	1.83E+02 Cells/mL or 1.10E+03 Copies/mL	POS		
20120314_JF_GPP_React_LX	Entamoeba histolytica	ATCC 30889	(H-458:CDC [ATCC30217], feces from human adult female with amebic dysentery, Asia (?), (patient in U.S. for treatment), 1971); test tube	8.78E+02 Copies/mL	POS		
20120411_JF_GPP_React_LX	Entamoeba histolytica	ATCC 30923	(HU-2:MUSC)	4.98E+02 Copies/mL	POS		
20120207_JF_GPP_Reactivity	Entamoeba histolytica	ATCC 30925	(HU-1:CDC, feces of female child, asymptomatic, sero- negative cyst passer, Cherokee, NC, 1978)	1.89E+02 Copies/mL	POS		
20120411_JF_GPP_React_LX	Entamoeba histolytica	ATCC 50007	DKB	2.88E+03 Copies/mL	POS		
20120411_JF_GPP_React_LX	Entamoeba histolytica	ATCC 50481	SD157	1.36E+03 Copies/mL	POS		
20120411_JF_GPP_React_LX	Entamoeba histolytica	ATCC 50738	Rahman	8.90E+01 Copies/mL	POS		
20120411_JF_GPP_React_LX	Entamoeba histolytica	ATCC 50454	HB-301:NIH	1.08E+03 Copies/mL	POS		

*Vibrio cholerae* - The LoD using *Vibrio cholerae Pacini* ATCC 14101 (serovar O:1) was found to be 2.34E+06 CFU/mL. For this Analytical Reactivity study 3xLoD=7.02E+06 CFU/mL was used for initial reactivity testing. In addition to toxinogenic strains, (i.e. O1 and O139), the xTAG GPP assay also detects any non:O1 *Vibrio cholerae* strains that do express ct toxin gene (xTAG GPP *Vibrio cholerae* primers target gene), but not the non:O1 strains that may cause clinical symptoms such as diarrhea by expressing a different virulence factor, which is likely the case for sample ATCC 14374 and other non:O1 strains in this table. Both non-O1 ATCC 25872 and non-O1 ATCC 25873 strains, were tested in sequencing assays and confirmed to contain the ctx gene with well conserved xTAG GPP *Vibrio cholerae* primer binding regions.

Vibrio cholerae Reactivity List

Run Batch ID	Target	Source	Strain or Serotype	Reactivity Titre (CFU/mL)	Results Summary
20120827-JX-V cholera-AR-LX	Vibrio cholerae Pacini	NCTC 30	Non-O:1, ATCC 4735;MARTIN 1	6.00E+08	NEG
20120827-JX-V cholera-AR-LX	Vibrio cholerae	NCTC 4714	Non-O:1, Isolated from pilgrims in El Tor quarantine camp, El Tor 34-D 19	6.00E+08	NEG
20120827-JX-V cholera-AR-LX	Vibrio cholerae	NCTC 7260	O:1, EGYPT 117	7.02E+06	POS
20120827-JX-V cholera-AR-LX	Vibrio cholerae	NCTC 11500	Non-O:1, VL 7050	6.00E+08	NEG
20120827-JX-V cholera-AR-LX	Vibrio cholerae	NCTC 11507	Non-O:1, VL 1941	6.00E+08	NEG
20120827-JX-V cholera-AR-LX	Vibrio cholerae	NCTC 11510	O:1, VL 01211	7.02E+06	POS
20120827-JX-V cholera-AR-LX	Vibrio cholerae	NCTC 12945	O:139 (Non-O:1 (NAG) – reference strain for O:139 serovar	7.02E+06	POS
20120827-JX-V cholera-AR-LX	Vibrio cholerae	NCTC 12946	O:139 (Non-O:1 (NAG))	7.02E+06	POS
20120406-JX-AnaReact-Vibrio2-LX	Vibrio cholerae Pacini	ATCC 14033	O:1, El Tor DO 1930;CN 5774;R. Hugh 1092, Serotype Inaba, Non- toxinogenic	1.50E+08	NEG
20120404-JX-AnaReact-Vibrio-LX	Vibrio cholerae asiaticae (Trevisan) Pfeiffer	ATCC 14035	O:1, Serotype Ogawa [7787]	7.02E+06	POS
20120404-JX-AnaReact-Vibrio-LX	Vibrio cholerae Pacini	ATCC 14101	O:1, Serotype Ogawa, clinical specimen – human ([185754] cholera epidemic circa 1960, Calcutta) Calcutta India	7.02E+06	POS
20120406-JX-AnaReact-Vibrio2-LX	Vibrio cholerae Pacini	ATCC 14374	Non-O:1 (NAG), 5035; R. Hugh 1513	1.50E+08	NEG
20120921-MB-VibrioAnalytical-LX	Vibrio cholerae Pacini	ATCC 14730	Non-O:1 (Serovar O:2), biovar El Tor, Subgroup III of	6.00E+08	NEG

Run Batch ID	Target	Source	Strain or Serotype	Reactivity Titre (CFU/mL)	Results Summary
			Gardner and Venkatraman, NCTC 4711, NANKING 32/123		
20120921-MB-VibrioAnalytical-LX	Vibrio cholerae Pacini	ATCC 14731	Non-O:1, (Serovar O:3), biovar El Tor, Subgroup V of Gardner and Venkatraman, NCTC 4715, El Tor 34-D 23;CN 3426	6.00E+08	NEG
20120921-MB-VibrioAnalytical-LX	Vibrio cholerae Pacini	ATCC 14732	Non-O:1 (Serovar O:4), biovar El Tor, Subgroup VI of Gardner and Venkatraman, NCTC 4716, KASAULI 73	6.00E+08	NEG
20120921-MB-VibrioAnalytical-LX	Vibrio cholerae Pacini	ATCC 14733	Non-O:1 (Serovar O:7), biovar El Tor, Subgroup II of Gardner and Venkatraman, NCTC 8042, NANKING 32/124	6.00E+08	NEG
20120404-JX-AnaReact-Vibrio-LX	Vibrio cholerae Pacini	ATCC 25870	O:1, Serotype Inaba	7.02E+06	POS
20120404-JX-AnaReact-Vibrio-LX	Vibrio cholerae Pacini	ATCC 25872	Non-O:1 (NAG), Isolated from a patient with clinical cholera	7.02E+06	POS
20120404-JX-AnaReact-Vibrio-LX	Vibrio cholerae Pacini	ATCC 25873	Non-O:1 (NAG), Isolated from a patient with clinical cholera	7.02E+06	POS
20120404-JX-AnaReact-Vibrio-LX	Vibrio cholerae Pacini	ATCC 51394	O:139 (Non-O:1 [NAG]), Cholera patient, Madras, India	7.02E+06	POS
20120404-JX-AnaReact-Vibrio-LX	Vibrio cholerae Pacini	ATCC 51395	O:139 (non O:1 [NAG]), clinical specimen – human (cholera patient, Madras, India)	7.02E+06	POS
20120404-JX-AnaReact-Vibrio-LX	Vibrio cholerae	ATCC BAA- 2163	O:1, Isolated from a patient in Artibonite Department, Haiti, October 2010, Serotype Ogawa, Biogroup El Tor cholera toxin positive CDC Isolate 2010 EL-1786	7.02E+06	POS

The table below summarizes the samples reactive with xTAG GPP.

Reactivity of Adenovirus 40/41, Entamoeba histolytica and Vibrio cholerae

Pathogen	ATCC / Other	Pathogen	ATCC / Other
	Reference		Reference
Adenovirus 40	CDC – GP-093	Adenovirus 41	GPP03-095B
Adenovirus 40	GPP03-092B	Adenovirus 41	GPP03-229B
Adenovirus 40	GPP03-099B	Adenovirus 41	GPP03-313B
Adenovirus 40	GPP03-101B	Adenovirus 41	GPP04-159
Adenovirus 40	GPP03-102B	Adenovirus 41	GPP04-174
Adenovirus 40	GPP03-103B	Adenovirus 41	GPP02-129
Adenovirus 40	GPP03-106B	Adenovirus 41	GPP02-192
Adenovirus 40	GPP03-109B	Entamoeba histolytica	ATCC 30015
Adenovirus 40	GPP03-240B	Entamoeba histolytica	ATCC 30190
Adenovirus 40	GPP03-300B	Entamoeba histolytica	ATCC 30457
Adenovirus 41	CDC – GP-094	Entamoeba histolytica	ATCC 30458
Adenovirus 41	GPP03-001B	Entamoeba histolytica	ATCC 30459
Adenovirus 41	GPP03-003B	Entamoeba histolytica	ATCC 30889
Adenovirus 41	GPP03-007B	Entamoeba histolytica	ATCC 30923
Adenovirus 41	GPP03-013B	Entamoeba histolytica	ATCC 30925
Adenovirus 41	GPP03-014B	Entamoeba histolytica	ATCC 50007
Adenovirus 41	GPP03-019B	Entamoeba histolytica	ATCC 50481
Adenovirus 41	GPP03-020B	Entamoeba histolytica	ATCC 50738
Adenovirus 41	GPP03-022B	Entamoeba histolytica	ATCC 50454
Adenovirus 41	GPP03-025B	Vibrio cholerae, serovar 0:1	NCTC 7260
Adenovirus 41	GPP03-026B	Vibrio cholerae, serovar 0:1	NCTC 11510
Adenovirus 41	GPP03-028B	Vibrio cholerae, serovar 0:139 (Non-0:1	NCTC 12945
		(NAG)) – reference strain for O:139 serovar	
Adenovirus 41	GPP03-029B	Vibrio cholerae, serovar O:139 (Non-O:1 (NAG))	NCTC 12946
Adenovirus 41	GPP03-033B	Vibrio cholerae asiaticae (Trevisan) Pfeiffer, serovar O:1, serotype Ogawa	ATCC 14035
Adenovirus 41	GPP03-035B	Vibrio cholerae Pacini, serovar O:1, Serotype Ogawa	ATCC 14101
Adenovirus 41	GPP03-036B	Vibrio cholerae Pacini, serovar O:1, Serotype Inaba	ATCC 25870
Adenovirus 41	GPP03-037B	Vibrio cholerae Pacini, serovar Non-O:1 (NAG)	ATCC 25872
Adenovirus 41	GPP03-038B	Vibrio cholerae Pacini, serovar Non-O:1 (NAG)	ATCC 25873
Adenovirus 41	GPP03-039B	Vibrio cholerae Pacini, serovar O:139 (Non-O:1 [NAG])	ATCC 51394
Adenovirus 41	GPP03-048B	Vibrio cholerae Pacini, serovar O:139 (Non-O:1 [NAG])	ATCC 51395
Adenovirus 41	GPP03-055B	Vibrio cholera, serovar O:1, serotype Ogawa, biovar El Tor, cholera toxin positive	ATCC BAA-2163
Adenovirus 41	GPP03-060B		

## Vibrio cholerae strains that did not react with xTAG GPP

, 10.10 0.1011.00 50.00.00 0.00 0.00 0.00					
Pathogen	ATCC / Other	Pathogen	ATCC / Other		
	Reference		Reference		
Vibrio cholerae Pacini, Serovar	NCTC 30	Vibrio cholerae Pacini, Serovar Non-O:1 (NAG)	ATCC 14374		
Non-O:1 (NAG)					
Vibrio cholerae, Serovar Non-O:1	NCTC 4714	Vibrio cholerae Pacini, Serovar O:2, biovar El	ATCC 14730		
		Tor, Subgroup III of Gardner and			
		Venkatraman			
Vibrio cholerae, Serovar Non-O:1	NCTC 11500	Vibrio cholerae Pacini, Serovar O:3, biovar	ATCC 14731		

		ElTor, Subgroup V of Gardner and Venkatraman	
Vibrio cholerae, Serovar Non-O:1	NCTC 11507	Vibrio cholerae Pacini, Serovar O:4, biovar El Tor; Subgroup VI of Gardner and Venkatraman	ATCC 14732
Vibrio cholerae Pacini, Serovar O1, biotype El Tor, serotype Inaba, non-toxinogenic	ATCC 14033	Vibrio cholerae Pacini, Serovar O:7, biovar El Tor; Subgroup II of Gardner and Venkatraman	ATCC 14733

## **Analytical Specificity and Potential Interfering Agents**

Analytical specificity was assessed with respect to the following:

- 1. Propensity for cross-reactivity leading to false positive results: Potential cross reactivity with pathogens (viruses, bacteria and parasites) associated with gastrointestinal (GI) infections that are not probed by the assay. Potential cross reactivity was also assessed for commensal flora and non-microbial agents. Organisms were tested at high positive titres.
- 2. Propensity for interference leading to false negative results: Potential interference by pathogens (viruses, bacteria and parasites) associated with gastrointestinal (GI) infections that are not probed by the assay. Potential interference by commensal flora was also assessed. Panel analytes were tested at low positive concentrations in the presence of highly concentrated non-panel organisms.
- 3. Propensity for competitive interference leading to false negative results: Potential interference by GI pathogens that are detected by the assay was evaluated by testing one microbial target prepared at a concentration near the assay cut-off (LP) in the presence of a second microbial target prepared at a very high concentration (HP), and vice-versa. The combinations of analytes tested were selected based on the frequency of co-infections reported in the literature.

Results for the 3 categories of testing outlined above were detailed in the decision summary presented for submission k12454 which are still applicable for the additional 3 analytes.

The following additions relevant to results for the additional 3 analytes are presented below:

Astrovirus was used as a representative interfering pathogen associated with gastrointestinal (GI) infections that are <u>not</u> probed by the assay (See table below). The xTAG GPP analyte, in this case Adenovirus 40/41, was also run without a second analyte present. No interference was seen.

Non-panel interference with common commensal bacteria, yeast and parasites was evaluated for each target in the xTAG GPP assay. Low positive samples of each analyte target in the assay were tested in the presence of a high positive sample of the potential interfering microorganism. All non-panel bacteria and yeast were tested at a

concentration of 6 x 10^8 cfu/mL except for *Blastocystis hominis* (ATCC 50587 - concentration  $\geq 1$  x 10^6 cells/mL and ATCC 50608 - concentration 2.00 x 10^7 cells/mL). There was no interference found with the xTAG GPP analytes Adenovirus, *Entamoeba histolytica* and *Vibrio cholera*.

However, cross-reactivity was observed with a false positive call for one Entamoeba dispar strain. PRA-353, tested at 3.0E+05 cells/mL (highest available stock concentration), produced a low positive call for E. histolytica with the average MFI of 419.5. Tested at a fourfold dilution of the stock (7.5E+04 cells/mL), this strain was negative for E. histolytica (average MFI 149.8) and all other GPP analytes. In addition, five different E. dispar strains (including PRA-353) were sequenced at LMD with primers flanking the xTAG GPP kit E. histolytica primer binding region. All five of the E. dispar sequences were identical in the E. histolytica GPP kit amplicon region. The forward primer was a perfect match to the E. dispar sequences, whereas the reverse primer had multiple mismatches, most notably, a 2-nt contiguous mismatch on the 3' end. These mismatches in the reverse primer would likely cause a significant decrease in amplification efficiency, and, therefore, result in a low risk of obtaining a false-positive xTAG GPP result for E. histolytica. However, as the xTAG GPP testing demonstrated, a false-positive call is possible when E. dispar is present at a very high concentration, 3.0E+05 cells/mL (or > 10<sup>4</sup> times LoD for E. histolytica) or higher. Therefore, this information will be included in product labeling.

**Interference with Non-Panel Gastrointestinal Pathogens** 

xTAG GPP Analyte (concentration)	Source	Potentially Interfering Organism (concentration)	Source	Interference Yes (Y) /No (N)
Adamanian a anatoma a 40 (LD)		None		N
Adenovirus serotypes 40 (LP) (1.49 x 10^7 copies/mL)	CDC	Astrovirus (High-titer) (6.00 x 10^10 copies/mL)	CDC	N
Adamaniana anatoma a 44 (LD)		None		N
Adenovirus serotypes 41 (LP) (1.43 x 10^7 copies/mL)	CDC	Astrovirus (High-titer) (6.00 x 10^10 copies/mL)	CDC	N

Common commensal bacteria, yeast and parasites tested for interference

Commensal Flora	ATCC/Other Reference	Titer Tested	Cross-Reactive Yes (Y) / No (N)
Abiotrophia defectiva†	ATCC 49176	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Acinetobacter haemolyticus	ATCC 17906	1.64 x 10^7 cells/mL	N
Acinetobacter lwoffii	ATCC 15309	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Actinomyces naeslundii	ATCC 12104	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Akkermansia muciniphila	ATCC BAA-835	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Alcaligenes faecalis subsp. faecalis	ATCC 15554	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N

Commensal Flora	ATCC/Other Reference	Titer Tested	Cross-Reactive Yes (Y) / No (N)
Anaerococcus tetradius	ATCC 35098	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Atopobium vaginae	ATCC BAA-55	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Bacillus subtilis subsp. spizizenii	ATCC 6633	1.9 x 10^7 cfu/mL	N
Bacillus subtilis subsp. subtilis	ATCC 6051	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Bacteroides caccae	ATCC 43185	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Bacteroides fragilis	ATCC 25285	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Bacteroides stercoris	ATCC 43183	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron	ATCC 29148	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Bacteroides vulgatus	ATCC 8482	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Bifidobacterium adolescentis	ATCC 15703	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Bifidobacterium bifidum	ATCC 29521	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Bifidobacterium longum subsp. longum	ATCC 15707	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Blastocystis hominis	ATCC 50587	≥ 10^6 cells/mL	N
Blastocystis hominis	ATCC 50608	2 x 10^7 cells/mL	N
Campylobacter concisus	ATCC 33237	3.11 x 10^5 copies/mL	N
Campylobacter curvus	ATCC 35224	1.71 x 10^5 copies/mL	N
Campylobacter gracilis	ATCC 33236	1.41 x 10^5 copies/mL	N
Campylobacter helveticus	ATCC 51209	4.64 x 10^7 copies/mL	N
Campylobacter hominis	ATCC BAA-381	6.61 x 10^3 copies/mL	N
Campylobacter rectus	ATCC 33238	1.18 x 10^5 copies/mL	N
Campylobacter showae	ATCC 51146	2.49 x 10^3 copies/mL	N
Campylobacter sputorum biovar sputorum	ATCC 35980	1.56 x 10^6 copies/mL	N
Candida albicans	ATCC 10231	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Candida catenulata	ATCC 10565	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Capnocytophaga gingivalis	ATCC 33624	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Cedecea davisae	ATCC 33431	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Chryseobacterium gleum	ATCC 35910	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Citrobacter amalonaticus	Zeptometrix 0801718	1.35 x 10^10 cfu/mL	N
Citrobacter freundii	ATCC 8090	1.3 x 10^8 bacteria/mL	N

Commensal Flora	Commensal Flora ATCC/Other Reference Titer Tested		Cross-Reactive Yes (Y) / No (N)	
Citrobacter koseri	ATCC 27028	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	Νν <sub>¥</sub>	
Citrobacter sedlakii	ATCC 51115	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Clostridium beijerinckii	ATCC 8260	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Clostridium bifermentans	ATCC 638	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Clostridium bolteae	ATCC BAA-613	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Clostridium butyricum	ATCC 19398	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Clostridium chauvoei	ATCC 11957	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Clostridium difficile (non-toxigenic)	ATCC 43593	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Clostridium difficile (non-toxigenic)	ATCC 43601	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Clostridium difficile (non-toxigenic)	ATCC 700057	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Clostridium fallax	ATCC 19400	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Clostridium haemolyticum	ATC 9650	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Clostridium histolyticum	ATCC 19401	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Clostridium innocuum	ATCC 14501	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Clostridium methylpentosum	ATCC 43829	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Clostridium nexile	ATCC 27757	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Clostridium novyi	ATCC 3540	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Clostridium paraputrificum	ATCC 25780	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Clostridium ramosum	ATCC 25582	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Clostridium scindens	ATCC 35704	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Clostridium sphenoides	ATCC 19403	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Clostridium sporogenes	ATCC 3584	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Clostridium symbiosum	ATCC 14940	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Corynebacterium genitalium	ATCC 33030	3.53 x 10^7 cells/mL	N	
Corynebacterium glutamicum	ATCC 13032	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Desulfovibrio piger	ATCC 29098	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
E. coli (strain: (Migula) Castellani and Chalmers) strain Crooks	ATCC 8739	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
E. coli (strain: (Migula) Castellani and Chalmers) serotype O26:K60(B6)	ATCC 12795	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
E. coli (strain: (Migula) Castellani and Chalmers) O Group 26	ATCC 11840	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	

Commensal Flora	ATCC/Other Reference	Titer Tested	Cross-Reactive Yes (Y) / No (N)
E. coli (strain: (Migula) Castellani and Chalmers) serotype O103:K:H8	ATCC 23982	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
E. coli (strain: (Migula) Castellani and Chalmers) serotype O111:NM	Zeptometrix 0801747	1.05 x 10^10 cfu/mL	N
E. coli (strain: (Migula) Castellani and Chalmers) – feces, human (feces from a healthy human), strain HGH21	ATCC BAA-97	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
E. coli (strain: (Migula) Castellani and Chalmers) – adult, human NewYork, strain ECOR2	ATCC 35321	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
E. coli (strain: (Migula) Castellani and Chalmers) – adult, human Sweden, ECOR 9 (reference strain)	ATCC 35328	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
E. coli (strain: (Migula) Castellani and Chalmers) – adult, human Tonga, ECOR 41 (reference strain)	ATCC 35360	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Eggerthella lenta	ATCC 25559	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Entamoeba dispar	ATCC PRA-260	6.80 x 10^6 copies/mL	N
Entamoeba dispar	ATCC PRA-353	3.00 x 10^5 cells/mL	Y
Entamoeba dispar	ATCC PRA-353	7.50 x 10^4 cells/mL	N
Entamoeba dispar	ATCC PRA-368	7.00 x 10^4 cells/mL	N
Entamoeba moshkovskii	ATCC 50004	Not known	N
Enterobacter aerogenes	ATCC 35028	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Enterobacter cloacae subsp. cloacae	ATCC 13047	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Enterococcus casseliflavus	ATCC 25788	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Enterococcus cecorum	ATCC 43198	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Enterococcus dispar	ATCC 51266	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Enterococcus faecalis	ATCC 19433	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Enterococcus faecalis vanB	ATCC 51299	1.1 x 10^9 bacteria/mL	N
Enterococcus faecium	ATCC 19434	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL N	
Enterococcus faecium vanA	ATCC 700221	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL N	
Enterococcus gallinarum	ATCC 49573	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Enterococcus hirae	ATCC 8043	5.8 x 10^9 bacteria /mL	N

Commensal Flora	ATCC/Other Reference	Titer Tested	Cross-Reactive Yes (Y) / No (N)	
Enterococcus raffinosus	ATCC 49427	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Eubacterium rectale	ATCC 33656	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Faecalibacterium prausnitzii (formerly Fusobacterium prausnitzii)	ATCC 27766	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Fusobacterium varium	ATCC 8501	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Gemella morbillorum	ATCC 27824	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Hafnia alvei	ATCC 13337	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Helicobacter fennelliae	ATCC 35683	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Homo sapiens	ATCC MGC-15492	Titer not available; used from stock	N	
Klebsiella pneumoniae subsp. pneumoniae	ATCC 13883	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Lactobacillus acidophilus	ATCC 4356	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Lactobacillus casei	ATCC 393	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Lactobacillus reuteri	ATCC 23272	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis	ATCC 11454	9 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Leminorella grimontii	ATCC 33999	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Listeria innocua	ATCC 33090	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Mycoplasma fermentans	ATCC 19989	Titer not available; used from stock	N	
Peptoniphilus asaccharolyticus	ATCC 14963	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Peptostreptococcus anaerobius	ATCC 27337	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Porphyromonas levii	ATCC 29147	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Prevotella melaninogenica	ATCC 25845	3.2 x 10^7 bacteria/mL	N	
Proteus mirabilis	ATCC 4630	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Proteus penneri	ATCC 35198	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Proteus vulgaris	ATCC 6380	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	ATCC 27853	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Pseudomonas putida	ATCC 47054	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	
Ruminococcus bromii	ATCC 27255	Not known	N	
Salmonella subterranea**	ATCC BAA-836	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	<b>Y</b> <sup>¥</sup> with Shigella	
Staphylococcus aureus subsp. aureus strain FDA 209	ATCC 6538	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N	

Commensal Flora	ATCC/Other Reference	Titer Tested	Cross-Reactive Yes (Y) / No (N)
Staphylococcus aureus subsp. aureus, Cowan's serotype 1 (contains a protein A)	ATCC 12598	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Staphylococcus epidermidis	ATCC 12228	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Streptococus intermedius	ATCC 27335	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Streptococcus salivarius	ATCC 7073	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Streptococcus sp.	ATCC 12973	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Streptococcus uberis	ATCC 19436	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Trabulsiella guamensis	ATCC 49490	1.84 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Veillonella atypica	ATCC 12641	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N
Veillonella parvula	ATCC 10790	6 x 10^8 cfu/mL	N

Note: Streptococcus faecalis is another name for Enterococcus faecalis. Therefore, only one of the two (Enterococcus faecalis) were tested.

Potential interference with GI pathogens that are a part of the assay (competitive interference) was evaluated with one target prepared at a concentration near the assay cut-off (LP) and the other target prepared at a very high concentration (HP) and vice versa. In each case, xTAG GPP Analyte 1 was also run without a second analyte present. Results (interference in making the appropriate calls) are shown in the table below. There was no competitive interference observed between pathogens probed by xTAG GPP when testing was carried out with the mixed analyte samples described below.

**Competitive Interference with Panel Pathogens** 

xTAG GPP Analyte #1	xTAG GPP Analyte #2		
	No Analyte #2		
	Norovirus (LP)		
Adenovirus serotype 40	(160x dilution of stock)		
(HP)	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Typhimurium (LP)		
(3.80 x 10^6 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	(8.78 x 10^4 cfu/mL)		
	Campylobacter jejuni (LP)		
	(2.93 x 10^5 cfu/mL)		
Adenovirus serotype 40	No Analyte #2		
(LP)	Norovirus (HP)		
(5.25 x 10^1 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Typhimurium (HP)		

<sup>†</sup> Added following release of the *C. difficile* FDA guidance document Nov. 29, 2010.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Salmonella subterranea is closely related to Escherichia hermanii and does not belong to the genus Salmonella.

<sup>^</sup> One of eight replicates cross-reacted with Shigella .

As these targets are not part of the three analytes' performance description included in this decision summary, for details of the cross-reactivity refer to the original k121454.

xTAG GPP Analyte #1	xTAG GPP Analyte #2	
	(6.00 x 10^8 cfu/mL)	
	Campylobacter jejuni (HP)	
	(6.00 x 10^8 cfu/mL)	

The pathogens listed in the table below were not attainable. However, an *in silico* analysis was performed to assess the potential for non-specific cross-reactivity of these microbial pathogens with the primers used in xTAG GPP (BLAST results located in the design history file). These pathogens do not exhibit sufficient sequence homology against the xTAG GPP primer sequences, and therefore would not be expected to cross-react with the exception of *Entamoeba coli and Taenia saginata*.

*In silico* evaluation of pathogens for potential cross-reactivity

Pathogen
Ascaris lumbricoides (roundworm)
Chilomastix mesnili
Cryptosporidium canis
Cryptosporidium felis
Cyclospora cayetanensis
DF-3 — Dysgonomonas capnocytophagoides
Dientamoeba fragilis
Diphyllobothrium species
Endolimax nana
Entamoeba coli
Entamoeba hartmanni
Entamoeba polecki
Enterobius vermicularis (pinworm)
Enteromonas hominis
Hymenolepis nana (the dwarf tapeworm)
Idamoeba buetschlii
Isospora belli
Strongyloides stercoralis
Taenia sp.
Trichuris trichiura

From the *in silico* analysis, *Entamoeba coli* may cross-react with xTAG GPP primers based on the strong forward primer alignment of E\_histolytica-FR\_RVM77 (16 bp

contig. on the 3' end) and reverse primer E coli stx1-Rev Biosg 2 (10 bp contig. on the 3' end), as well as an amplimer size (138 bp) which is well within the design of the kit. To further elucidate, a thermal melting temperature (Tm) analysis was performed using the DINAMelt (Di-Nucleic Acid hybridization and melting prediction) program available at http://mfold.rna.albany.edu/?q=DINAMelt. Sequences of *Entamoeba coli* that aligned to the xTAG primers were analyzed to see if they would form a stable interaction with the xTAG primers which could possibly result in cross reactivity with the xTAG GPP kit. Mismatches would negatively impact the Tm of the primers and Entamoeba coli. At the xTAG GPP reaction temperature of 58°C, the *Entamoeba coli* sequences would bind to the *E. histolytica* forward primer with approximately 64.4% of the Entamoeba coli sequences bound to the primer sequence, compared to binding of the forward primer to its target sequence without any mismatches (98.3%). However, binding of the reverse E. coli stx1 primer to Entamoeba coli would be reduced to 0.1% compared to this primer binding to its target sequence without any mismatches (81.8%). Therefore, Entamoeba coli is not likely to cross-react with the analytes in the xTAG GPP assay.

## **Carry-over Contamination**

The likelihood of carry-over contamination events was initially assessed and presented in k121454 by testing 2 representative pathogens (a bacteria and a parasite): *C. difficile*, and *Giardia* respectively. In this study, a representative virus (Adenovirus 40) was tested. This analyte was examined in the form of simulated samples prepared at concentrations just below the assay cut-off (High Negative, HN) and well above the assay cut-off (High Positive, HP). The target was examined in a set of 6 independent extractions. Each extraction was assayed in duplicate arranged in a checkerboard manner on a 96-well plate using xTAG GPP.

As with the results in k121454 for the representative bacteria (*C. difficile*) and parasite (*Giardia*), results with the virus (Adenovirus 40) showed that all 144 high negative samples remained negative when run on the Luminex® 100/200<sup>TM</sup> instrument for all three targets (100% HN). In addition, results for Adenovirus 40 showed that all 144 high positive samples remained positive when run on the Luminex® 100/200<sup>TM</sup> instrument (100% HP), as with the targets previously tested. Therefore a lack of carryover contamination has been demonstrated.

## f. Assay cut-off:

The description of the cut-off determination process was initially presented in k121454. The table below details the final cutoff values selected for each of the 3 additional targets probed by the xTAG GPP assay.

**xTAG GPP Additional Analyte Cutoff Values** 

Analyte	Final Cut-off (MFI) for LX 100/200			
Adenovirus 40/41	≥ 150 (POS)			
E. histolytica	≥ 250 (POS)			
V. cholerae	≥ 150 (POS)			

#### Fresh vs. Frozen

The purpose of this evaluation was to generate data to support the hypothesis that no significant difference in the performance of xTAG GPP would be observed between specimens tested from the "fresh" state (i.e., unfrozen) and specimens that were tested after being stored frozen at -70°C to -80°C. The results of this study will be used to support (or reject) the inclusion of frozen clinical specimens in the multi-site method comparison clinical evaluation of xTAG GPP. The description of this evaluation was initially presented in k121454. The tables below detail the results for each of the 3 additional targets, Adenovirus 40/41, *Entamoeba histolytica* and *Vibrio cholerae* probed by the xTAG GPP assay.

## One Month Stability Results

Positive agreement between fresh and frozen <u>un-extracted</u> specimens was  $\geq 95\%$  with a lower bound of the 95% (two-sided) confidence interval exceeding 85% for Adenovirus 40/41 and *Vibrio cholerae*.

Positive agreement between fresh and frozen <u>pre-treated</u> specimens was ≥ 95% with a lower bound of the 95% (two-sided) confidence interval exceeding 85% for Adenovirus 40/41, *Entamoeba histolytica* and *Vibrio cholerae*.

Positive agreement between fresh and frozen <u>extracted</u> specimens was  $\geq$  95% with a lower bound of the 95% (two-sided) confidence interval exceeding 85% for Adenovirus 40/41, *Entamoeba histolytica* and *Vibrio cholerae*.

Adenovirus 40/41 and *Vibrio cholerae* met the 1-month stability acceptance criteria, and the MFIs generated on HP, MP and LP replicates of frozen un-extracted, extracted and extracted specimens were generally close to those generated at baseline. However, the un-extracted specimen stability of *Entamoeba histolytica* did not meet the acceptance criteria.

## Three Month Stability Results

Positive agreement between fresh and frozen <u>un-extracted</u> specimens was  $\geq 95\%$  with a lower bound of the 95% (two-sided) confidence interval exceeding 85% for Adenovirus 40/41, *Entamoeba histolytica* and *Vibrio cholerae*.

Positive agreement between fresh and frozen <u>extracted</u> specimens was  $\geq 95\%$  with a lower bound of the 95% (two-sided) confidence interval exceeding 85% for

Adenovirus 40/41 and Vibrio cholerae.

The 3-month stability results for *Entamoeba histolytica* are of particular interest as they do not reflect the 1-month stability results. That is study criteria were met for the un-extracted specimen at 3-month stability time point but not at the 1-month time point. The 3-month stability data supports the stability of un-extracted *Entamoeba histolytica* frozen at -70°C to -80°C for 1 month. Study criteria for *Entamoeba histolytica* nucleic acid stability were met at the 1-month time point but not at the 3-month time point. Overall, the data supports the stability of un-extracted and extracted *Entamoeba histolytica* specimens frozen at -70°C to -80°C for 1 month.

Supplemental Stability Results - Entamoeba histolytica (un-extracted)
Additional data to support the stability of un-extracted Entamoeba histolytica
specimens was also generated by analyzing LP and MP results obtained at site 1
(LMD) during the multi-site reproducibility study as well as testing LP and MP
remnants at a later date. These results also suggest that un-extracted Entamoeba
histolytica specimens are stable for at least 1-month when stored frozen at -70°C to -80°C.

Results are summarized for the un-extracted, pre-treated and extracted sample stability for the additional analytes in the following table.

## **Summary of Stability Results Additional Analytes xTAG GPP (also see k121454)**

Analyte Target	Un- extracted 1 month	Un- extracted 3 months	Pre-Treated 1 month	Extracted 1 month	Extracted 3 months
Adenovirus 40/41	٧	V	٧	٧	√
Entamoeba histolytica	<b>√</b> ^	٧	٧	٧	Х
Vibrio cholerae	٧	٧	٧	٧	٧

<sup>^</sup>Based on supplemental testing results, possible titer or extraction issue with sample rather than stability failure

The results generated support the inclusion of frozen clinical specimens positive for all three targets, Adenovirus 40/41, *Entamoeba histolytica* and *Vibrio cholerae*, in the multi-site clinical evaluation of the xTAG GPP.

## **Comparator Assays Analytical Validation Studies**

PCR followed by bi-directional sequencing assays (PCR/sequencing) are used as a comparator method and to resolve discordant results to establish analyte identity during the clinical evaluation of xTAG assays. They are validated to evaluate certain performance characteristics including analytical sensitivity (limit of detection), analytical reactivity and specificity (cross-reactivity).

The primers were chosen to perform sequencing as a comparator method for Adenovirus 40/41 and *Entamoeba histolytica* targets of the xTAG Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel (xTAG GPP). The comparator assays analytical validation of the

primers for Campylobacter, Enterotoxigenic Escherichia Coli (ETEC) LT and ST, and Rotavirus A targets is described in k121454.

To the extent possible, the sequencing primers were designed to amplify regions of the genomic sequence that are not covered by the xTAG GPP kit primers. The second set of sequencing primers designed for ETEC LT and ETEC ST targets were designed to flank the GPP kit amplicon. Bi-directional (both forward and reverse sequences of the produced amplicon) Sanger dideoxy - sequencing method and BLAST analysis were used to confirm sequence identity.

Sequencing primers were validated using samples from the following sources:

- 1. **Representative Clinical Sample:** Wherever possible, known positive clinical samples were tested with the sequencing primers to evaluate detection from an extracted clinical stool sample.
- 2. **Limit of Detection (LoD)**: Serial dilutions of the target analytes were tested to establish the lower limit of primer sensitivity. Samples tested for "Evaluation of the Limit of Detection and Repeatability of xTAG Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel (FDA)," study were used here.
- 3. **Cross-reactivity:** For the xTAG GPP panel targets, samples representing all the targets in the xTAG GPP panel, were tested at the highest available titres. For the xTAG GPP non-panel cross-reactivity targets, BLAST analysis was performed with each sequencing primer. If both the forward and reverse sets contained an 11 base pair match up to the 3' end (Kwok S, 1994) of the primer with any of the non-panel cross-reactivity species, then a representative sample for that strain was tested to evaluate cross-reactivity.
- 4. **Reactivity:** Various strains for each target were analyzed to evaluate the strain coverage of the sequencing primers. Samples tested for "Evaluation of Analytical Reactivity of the xTAG Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel (FDA)" study were used here.

Detailed descriptions of the types of samples tested are listed below:

- Clinical Sample: Pre-characterized target-specific clinical samples for Adenovirus were tested with the sequencing primers. For *Entamoeba histolytica* clinical samples could not be identified; therefore, the evaluation of the primers with a clinical sample was not possible.
- Limit of Detection Study: The same sample sets prepared for the Evaluation of the Limit of Detection and Repeatability of xTAG GPP study, were used for this Sequencing Primer Validation study. Briefly, stock solutions were diluted to a starting concentration and dilution series were prepared by making sequential 4-fold dilutions to about 10 dilution levels. Sample dilutions were prepared and tested in triplicates.

- **Cross-reactivity:** To test for cross-reactivity of the sequencing primers the following studies were conducted.
  - o For the xTAG GPP panel targets, samples representing all the targets in the xTAG GPP panel, were tested at the highest available titers, except for Vibrio, where the sample was not available.
  - o For the xTAG GPP non-panel cross-reactivity targets, BLAST analysis was performed with each sequencing primer. If both the forward and reverse sets contained an 11 base pair match up to the 3' end (Kwok S, 1994) of the primer with any of the non-panel cross-reactivity species, then a representative sample for that strain was tested to evaluate cross-reactivity.
- Reactivity: A variety of strains for Entamoeba histolytica used in the
  Analytical Reactivity study were tested with each sequencing primer set. For
  Adenovirus, no additional strains to those used in the LoD study could be
  sourced.

## **Categorizing Sequencing Results**

**Positive** – Samples were considered positive by sequencing if the following criteria were met:

- o The generated sequences, from bidirectional sequencing, should be at least 200 bases of an acceptable quality, defined as a minimum of 90% of the total bases (20 bases per 200bp read) with PHRED quality score of 20 or higher (accuracy of base call is ≥ 99%)
- o For sequences containing ambiguous base calls such as "N"s, the total number of ambiguous bases in the acceptable quality sequences generated using bidirectional sequencing should not exceed 5% of total bases (or 10 bases per 200 bp read).
- Blast analysis of the acceptable quality sequences generated by bidirectional sequencing should have at least 95% query coverage compared to reference and at least 95% identity to reference.
- Sequence matches the reference or sequence generates an Expected Value (E-Value) < 10<sup>-30</sup> for the specific target following a BLAST search in GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Genbank/).

**Negative** – Samples were considered negative by sequencing if any one of the above criteria were not met.

## Acceptance Criteria

- **Clinical Sample:** The clinical sample of known identity, if available, must be positive by sequencing for the expected target.
- **Limit of Detection Study:** At least, 2 of the 3 extraction replicates must be positive by sequencing at the equivalent or lower titer than the established limit of detection recorded for the xTAG GPP analyte.

- **Cross-reactivity Study:** All samples tested should generate no sequencing reactions of acceptable quality.
- **Reactivity:** Strains, genotypes and serotypes should generate positives results with their respective sequencing primers.

## Conclusion

All sequencing primers met the acceptance criteria for all studies.

Summary Comparator Validation Table for Adenovirus 40/41, E.histolytica and V.cholerae

	Adenovirus	Entamoeba	Vibrio cholerae*
Sequencing primer / Study	<u>Outside 101</u>	Outside 103	<u>N/A</u>
Limit of Detection	More sensitive than kit	Equal to kit	N/A
Cross-Reactivity	None	None	N/A
Reactivity	NA	9/9 strains reacted	N/A

<sup>\*</sup>Sequencing was not a comparator method for the V.cholerae analyte.

# Summary of negative control failures and sample re-run rates for analytical performance studies

Including all analytes in the xTAG GPP test intended use, there were a total of 278 xTAG GPP runs performed over the course of analytical performance studies. Each xTAG run has at least one no template negative control depending on batch size. Of the 278 runs, 12 (4.32%) had one or more negative control (NC) failures. These are summarized in the table below.

**Summary of Negative Control Failures for Analytical Performance Studies** 

Study	Total # of runs (including allowable re- runs)	Total # of runs with at least one NC failure	% total runs with at least one NC failure	Total No. of NCs included in runs and allowable re- runs	Total No. of NC failures	% total NC s included which failed in xTAG runs / allowable re-runs
Multi-site reproducibility	95	6	6.32%	248	7	2.82%
Matrix equivalence	3	0	0	9	0	0
Limit of detection	38	0	0	136	0	0
Carry-over contamination	9	0	0	0	0	0
Analytical specificity and interference	25	1	4.00%	101	1	0.99%
Analytical reactivity	36	2	5.56%	212	3	1.42%
Evaluation of fresh vs. frozen stool	72	3	4.17%	216	3	1.39%
Overall	278	12	4.32%	922	14	1.52%

Included in the 278 xTAG runs summarized above were 14960 specimens. Of these, 99.81% (14931/14960) yielded valid results on the first attempt. The remaining 29 specimens generated valid results following allowable re-runs. Sample re-run rates are summarized in the table below.

**Summary of Sample Re-Run Rates for Analytical Performance Studies** 

Studies	Total # of specimens	Total # of invalid results	% invalid results prior	Invalid results after re-run	% invalid results after re-run
	tested	prior to re-run	to re-run		
Multi-site reproducibility	5065	25	0.49%	0	0.00%
Matrix equivalence	180	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Limit of detection	992	1	0.10%	0	0.00%
Carry-over contamination	864	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Analytical specificity and interference	1472	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Analytical reactivity	2225	1	0.04%	0	0.00%
Evaluation of fresh vs. frozen stool	4162	2	0.05%	0	0.00%
Overall	14960	29	0.19%	0	0.00%

# 2. Comparison studies:

a. Method comparison with predicate device:

Not applicable. Refer to the Clinical Studies section of this document.

b. Matrix comparison:

See raw stool and Cary-Blair study above.

# 3. Clinical studies:

# **Microbial Detection in Asymptomatic Volunteers**

In order to determine baseline levels for each analyte included in xTAG GPP for individuals who are not exhibiting signs and symptoms of infectious gastroenteritis, 200 clinical stool samples were collected from healthy, asymptomatic donors. Asymptomatic donors from various age groups were included in this study.

Demographic information for the asymptomatic donors is shown in the table below.

Gender	Number of Subjects
Male	92 (46%)
Female	108 (54%)
Total	200
Age	
0 - 1	5 (2.5%)
2 - 5	7 (3.5%)
6 - 21	43 (21.5%)
22 - 60	111 (55.5%)
≥61	34 (17.0%)

PCR inhibition, as determined by results for the internal control used with xTAG GPP (bacteriophage MS2), was observed in 23 of the 200 samples tested (11.5%). After re-running these specimens in accordance with the instructions for use, PCR inhibition was still observed in eight samples (4%). The absence of a detectable internal control signal in these samples meant that negative results for the indicated microbial targets could not be reported. Therefore, the final data analysis was conducted on 192 of the 200 samples collected for this study.

A total of 14 samples that were positive by xTAG GPP were sequenced. Two (2) out of 14 samples were positive by sequencing (*C. Difficile* Toxin A/B), while 12 of 14 samples were not positive by sequencing.

These results are summarized in the table below.

Target	Percent Negative Results by xTAG GPP for all samples
Adenovirus 40/41	100.0% (192/192)
Campylobacter	100.0% (192/192)
C. difficile toxin A/B	98.4% (189/192) <sup>1</sup>
Cryptosporidium	100.0% (192/192)
E. histolytica	99.5% (191/192) <sup>2</sup>
E. coli O157	100.0% (192/192)
ETEC LT/ST	100.0% (192/192)
Giardia	99.0% (190/192) <sup>3</sup>
Norovirus GI/GII	98.4% (189/192) <sup>4</sup>
Rotavirus A	100.0% (192/192)
Salmonella	97.4% (187/192) <sup>5</sup>
STEC stx1/stx2	100.0% (192/192)
Shigella	100.0% (192/192)
V. cholerae	100.0% (192/192)

NOTE: Sample 216 was positive by xTAG GPP for both Norovirus GII and C. Difficile

Samples (at the specimen level) that were positive by xTAG GPP but negative by sequencing were considered false positives (12/192, 6.3%). These samples had MFI values that were relatively close to the cut-offs. Two samples at the specimen level that were called positive by xTAG GPP were also positive by sequencing analysis for *C. difficile*. These two samples positive for *C. difficile* by both xTAG GPP and sequencing may represent asymptomatic infections.

### **Prospective Clinical Study**

The clinical performance of the xTAG GPP was evaluated during prospective studies at six clinical laboratories in North America (four sites in the U.S. and two sites in Canada). Stool specimens were collected and tested at the six clinical laboratories (Sites 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6) during June 2011 thru February 2012. Clinical study sites were selected based on the types of patients usually referred (e.g. pediatrics, adults), conditions often treated (e.g. *C. difficile* colitis), as well as the geographical prevalence of particular targeted pathogens.

Six geographically separated clinical study sites participated in the clinical evaluation of the xTAG GPP. The study sites were located in East-Central Canada (Toronto, Ontario and Hamilton, Ontario), and Southeast (Nashville, TN), Southwest (Temple, TX and Tucson, AZ), and Midwest (St Louis, MN) of the U.S. Each study location was

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Two (2) out of 3 xTAG GPP *C. Difficile* positive samples were confirmed as positive by sequencing analysis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The one (1) xTAG GPP *E. histolytica* positive sample was not confirmed as positive by sequencing analysis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> None of the 2 xTAG GPP *Giardia* positive samples was confirmed as positive by sequencing analysis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> None of the 3 xTAG GPP Noroviris GI/GII positive samples was confirmed as positive by sequencing analysis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> None of the 5 xTAG GPP *Salmonella* positive samples was confirmed as positive by sequencing analysis.

representative of the intended use setting (clinical laboratories) and testing was performed by trained clinical laboratory personnel.

The table below summarized the total number of patients recruited at each site.

Site #	# Patients Recruited
1	461
2	449
3	188
4	295
5	97
6	44
	1534

Patient specimens (one specimen from each of the recruited patients) that met all of the following characteristics were eligible for the study.

- 1. An exemption from the requirement for Informed Consent had been granted by the site IRB to include the left-over stool specimen in the study.
- 2. The specimen was from a pediatric or adult, male or female subject who was either hospitalized, admitted to a hospital emergency department, visiting an outpatient clinic or resident of a long-term care facility.
- 3. The specimen was from a patient for whom a requisition had been made for testing of microbial pathogens suspected of gastrointestinal tract infections.
- 4. The specimen was from a patient exhibiting clinical signs and symptoms of infectious colitis (including *C. difficile* colitis) or gastroenteritis (including traveler's diarrhea), such as diarrhea, nausea and vomiting, loss of appetite, fever, abdominal pain and tenderness, cramping, bloating, flatulence, bloody stools, fainting and weakness.
- 5. The volume of the specimen was  $\geq 8.5$  ML or  $\geq 6$  g.

Patient specimens with any one of the following characteristics was not eligible for study entry:

- 1. The specimen was collected at a site which was not covered under the study IRB.
- 2. The specimen was a preserved stool, stool in Cary-Blair media or rectal swab.
- 3. The specimen was from an individual who did not exhibit clinical signs and symptoms of infectious colitis or gastroenteritis.
- 4. Based on available clinical information, the specimen was from an individual with known and documented non-infectious conditions such as ulcerative colitis, irritable bowel syndrome and/or Crohn's disease.
- 5. The specimen was not properly collected, transported, processed or stored according to the instructions provided by the sponsor.
- 6. The specimen could not be tested by the relevant comparator assays within 72 hours of collection.

Of the 1534 stool specimens, 127 were excluded from the study. The reasons for exclusion are summarized in the table below.

**Summary of Excluded Specimens (N=127)** 

Reason for Specimen Exclusion	Exclusion Criteria #	# Excluded Specimens
The specimen was collected from a site not covered under the study IRB	1	5 (0.3%)
The specimen was from an individual with known and documented non-infectious conditions such as ulcerative colitis, irritable bowel syndrome and/or Crohn's disease	4	67 (4.3%)
The specimen was not properly collected, transported, processed or stored according to the instructions provided by the sponsor	5	50 (3.2%)
The specimen could not be tested by the relevant comparator assays within 72 hours of collection	6	4 (0.2%)
Other: multiple extraction failures	N/A	1 (0.05%)
	Total	127

The following table provides a summary of demographic information for the 1407 subjects whose stool specimens were included in the prospective study.

General Demographic Details for the Prospective Data Set (N=1407)

Sex	Number of Subjects	
Male	632 (44.9%)	
Female	775 (55.1%)	
Total	1407	
Age (yrs)		
0 – 1	6 (0.4%)	
>1 - 5	20 (1.4%)	
>5 – 12	25 (1.8%)	
>12 – 21	51 (3.6%)	
>21 – 65	879 (62.5%)	
>65	426 (30.3%)	
Total	1407	
Subject Status		
Outpatients	421 (29.9%)	
Hospitalized	804 (57.1%)	
Emergency Department	118 (8.4%)	
Long Term Care Facility	18 (1.3%)	
Not Determined	46 (3.3%)	
Total	1407	
Immune Status		
Immuno-compromised	493 (35.0%)	
Immuno-competent	758 (53.9%)	
Not Determined	156 (11.1%)	
Total	1407	

In addition to patients' demographic details, every effort was made to ensure that

information on clinical signs and symptoms of infectious colitis or gastroenteritis was available on all subjects enrolled in the prospective study. This information was collected by way of chart reviews. Chart reviews were conducted by an individual at the sites who was not directly involved in the study (e.g. research nurse) so that information was collected in a manner that did not make the specimen source identifiable to the investigator or any other individual involved in the investigation including the Sponsor. Local IRB approval for the study was obtained prior to study start. If available, the following information was also collected:

- Stool consistency (based on Bristol Stool Scale)
- Clinical signs and symptoms of infectious colitis or gastroenteritis such as diarrhea, nausea and vomiting, loss of appetite, fever, abdominal pain and tenderness, cramping, bloating, flatulence, bloody stools, fainting and weakness
- Duration and severity of symptoms prior to enrolment
- Method of transmission (e.g. food-borne outbreak or close contact method)
- Prior and concomitant medications including dose, type, frequency and duration.
- Other orally ingested substances (e.g. fiber, stool bulking agents), including dose, type, frequency and duration
- Other laboratory results (e.g. viral/bacterial culture, gram positive/negative infection, hematology and serum chemistry etc.)

Wherever available in the medical charts, the duration and severity of each specific sign or symptom was also recorded.

Stool consistency (based on the Bristol Stool Form Scale) was recorded for each clinical specimen included in the prospective clinical study. A summary of this information is provided in the table below.

Stool consistency (N=1407)

Stool Consistency	# Specimens (%)
Type 1 Separate hard lumps	8 (0.5%)
Type 2 Sausage-shaped but lumpy	24 (1.7%)
Type 3 Like a sausage but with cracks	26 (1.8%)
Type 4 Like sausage/snake, smooth, soft	77 (5.5%)
Type 5 Soft blobs with clear-cut edges	160 (11.4%)
Type 6 Fluffy pieces with ragged edges	354 (25.2%)
Type 7 Watery, no solid pieces	758 (53.9%)

Information on clinical signs and symptoms of infectious colitis or gastroenteritis were available on 918 patients (65.2%). A summary of the findings from the patient medical charts is provided in the table below.

Summary of Clinical Signs and Symptoms (N=918)

Clinical Signs and Symptoms	# Events Reported (%)	Duration Reported
Diarrhea	807 (87.9%)	1 day to 6 months
Nausea	327 (35.6%)	1 day to 6 months
Vomiting	228 (24.8%)	1 to 30 days
Loss of appetite	179 (19.4%)	1 day to 2 months

Fever	170 (18.5%)	1 day to 2 weeks
Abdominal pain	284 (30.9%)	1 day to 6 months
Tenderness	118 (12.8%)	1 day to 4 months
Cramping	101 (11.0%)	1 day to 4 months
Bloating	62 (6.7%)	1 day to 6 months
Flatulence	50 (5.4%)	1 day to 3 months
Bloody stool	89 (9.7%)	1 day to 4 months
Weakness	159 (17.3%)	1 day to 4 months
Other (e.g. Constipation)	87 (9.5%)	1 to 25 days

All prospective clinical specimens were submitted fresh to the sites and were processed according to their routine algorithm and as ordered by the referring physician. Upon receipt at the laboratory, any left-over stool specimen that met the study inclusion / exclusion criteria was placed into the following six containers.

- 1. Meridian sterile, leak-proof, wide-mouthed empty container (unpreserved stools)
- 2. Meridian container containing Cary-Blair holding medium (Para-Pak® C&S)
- 3. Meridian container containing PVA fixative (Para-Pak® LV-PVA Fixative)
- 4. Meridian container containing formalin (Para-Pak® 10% Buffered Neutral Formalin)
- 5. Container containing ACTD medium (swab)
- 6. Sterile container for xTAG GPP testing (unpreserved stools)

The time from collection to processing into the appropriate containers was kept to a minimum (<24 hours). Prior to study initiation, processing instructions as well as shipping details were provided to each clinical site by the central laboratories carrying out reference and comparator method testing. Specimens were shipped to the central laboratories within 24 hours of processing. Prospective clinical specimens were then processed for both comparator testing and xTAG GPP testing as described below.

For all prospective specimens, reference and comparator method testing was performed at central laboratories independent of xTAG GPP testing sites. Reference/comparator testing was performed for all analytes on all prospectively collected specimens. In the event that comparator results were not available for all targets on a given specimen, then the specimen in question was excluded from performance calculations of xTAG GPP.

Reference and comparator methods for each analyte target are listed in the table below.

**Reference/Comparator Methods and Shipping Requirements** 

xTAG GPP Analytes	Reference/Comparator Method	Shipping Requirements
Rotavirus A	Composite comparator consisting of Premier Rotaclone EIA (Meridian BioScience k852969) directly on the stool specimen and one PCR/sequencing assay directly from clinical specimen <sup>1</sup>	Unpreserved stool in sterile tubes
Norovirus	Composite comparator consisting of CDC real-time PCR and conventional PCR followed by bidirectional sequencing assays directly from clinical specimen <sup>1</sup>	Unpreserved stool in sterile tubes
Clostridium difficile Toxin A/B	Bartels Cytotoxicity Assay for <i>Clostridium difficile</i> Toxin (Bartels k833447) using diluted stool filtrate processed directly from clinical specimen	Unpreserved stool in sterile tubes
Salmonella	Bacterial culture	Stool in Cary- Blair holding medium
Shigella	Bacterial culture	Stool in Cary- Blair holding medium
Campylobacter	Bacterial culture (A PCR/Sequencing assay was also performed directly on clinical specimens that were tested positive by culture for species identification only)	Stool in Cary- Blair holding medium
E. coli O157	Bacterial culture	Stool in Cary- Blair holding medium
Shiga-Like Toxin Producing <i>E.</i> coli (STEC)	Broth enrichment followed by ImmunoCard STAT EHEC (Meridian BioScience, k062546)	Unpreserved stool in sterile tube
Enterotoxigenic <i>E. coli</i> (ETEC) LT/ST	Composite comparator consisting of PCR/sequencing directly from clinical specimen using four PCR/sequencing assays, two assays each for the LT and the ST gene <sup>1</sup>	Unpreserved stool in sterile tube
Cryptosporidium	Microscopy	Preserved stool in 10% Formalin
Giardia	Microscopy	Preserved stool in PVA fixative

Adenovirus 40/41	Composite comparator consisting of Premier Adenoclone Type 40/41 EIA (Meridian Bioscience, K881894) directly on the stool specimen and one PCR/ sequencing assay directly from clinical specimen <sup>1</sup>	Unpreserved stool in sterile tube
Entamoeba histolytica	Microscopy (A PCR/Sequencing assay was also performed directly on clinical specimens that were tested positive by microscopy for species identification only)	Preserved stool in PVA fixative
Vibrio cholerae	Bacterial culture	Swab in ACTD transport medium

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Refer to more detailed descriptions below.

Performance of the xTAG GPP detecting ETEC-LT and ETEC-ST was compared to a composite comparator method consisted of four separate analytically validated PCR followed by bi-directional sequencing assays (two for ETEC-LT and two for ETEC-ST). "True" ETEC positives were considered as any sample that was tested positive for LT or ST by any of the four PCR/sequencing assays. "True" ETEC negatives were considered as any sample that was tested negative for LT and ST by all four PCR/sequencing assays. PCR/sequencing assays were performed on nucleic acid extracted directly from clinical specimens using primers that targeted different genomic regions from the ones probed by xTAG GPP. Generated sequence results were analyzed as follows:

- For a given base from the consensus sequence generated from bi-directional sequencing, the PHRED score was calculated by averaging the PHRED quality score from the forward and reverse sequencing.
- The generated sequence should be at least 200 bases of an acceptable quality, defined as a minimum of 90% of the total bases with PHRED quality score of 20 or higher.
- Blast analysis of the consensus sequence generated by bi-directional sequencing should have at least 95% query coverage compare to reference, at least 95% identity to reference and an Expected Value (E-Value) <sup>1</sup> of at least 10<sup>-30</sup>.
- For sequences containing "N"s, the consensus generated using bi-directional sequencing should correspond to the strand including the high quality base instead of the strand including the "N" called base. In addition, the total number of N's should not exceed 5% of total bases (or 10 bases per 200 bp read).

Performance of the xTAG GPP detecting rotavirus or adenovirus 40/41 was compared to a composite comparator method consisted of an FDA cleared EIA test and one analytically validated PCR followed by bi-directional sequencing assay. "True" rotavirus or adenovirus 40/41 positives were considered as any sample that was tested positive for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The E-Value from NCBI BLAST Alignment indicates the statistical significance of a given pair-wise alignment and reflects the size of the database and the scoring system used. The lower the E-Value, the more significant the hit. A sequence alignment that has an E-Value of 1e-3 means that this similarity has a 1 in 1000 chance of occurring by chance alone. (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/bv.fcgi?rid=handbook.section.614).

rotavirus or adenovirus 40/41 by the EIA and/or the PCR/sequencing assay. "True" rotavirus or adenovirus 40/41 negatives were considered as any sample that was tested negative for rotavirus or adenovirus 40/41 by both the EIA and the PCR/sequencing assay. PCR/sequencing was performed on nucleic acid extracted directly from clinical specimens using primers that targeted different genomic regions from the ones probed by xTAG GPP. Generated sequence results were analyzed described above.

Performance of the xTAG GPP for norovirus was assessed by comparing test results to the "patient norovirus infected status" of each specimen. The "patient norovirus infected status" was determined using a composite comparator method consisting of the CDC norovirus real-time Taqman RT-PCR assay and the CDC Conventional RT-PCR (Region-C and D primers) followed by bi-directional sequencing assays. The following interpretation algorithm was used to determine the "patient norovirus infected status":

**Composite Comparator Algorithm for Norovirus** 

CDC Norovirus Real- Time Taqman RT- PCR Result	CDC Conventional RT-PCR Result (Region C) Followed by Bi-Directional Sequencing	CDC Conventional RT-PCR Result (Region D) Followed by Bi-Directional Sequencing	Final Composite Comparator Result
Positive	Positive	N/A	Positive
Negative	Positive	N/A	Positive
Positive	Negative	Positive	Positive
Positive	Negative	Negative	Negative
Negative	Negative	N/A	Negative

Clinical runs and re-runs (per the instructions provided in the product package insert) using xTAG GPP were carried out on left-over clinical specimens that had been extracted from the fresh or frozen state using the NucliSENS EasyMAG method (BioMérieux, Inc., Durham, NC) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Total extracted nucleic acid material was stored at -70°C prior to testing with xTAG GPP.

PCR negative (water blanks, NTC) control and external rotating positive controls (RC) representing analytes probed by the assay were also included with each xTAG GPP run. The external positive controls used in the study are listed in the table below and, for the most part (except for *Cryptosporidium*), consisted of chemically-inactivated bacteria, viruses and parasites from ZeptoMetrix. These controls were used to control the entire assay process including nucleic acid extraction, amplification, and detection. The external positive controls contained low organism copy numbers and were designed to mimic patient specimens. These were run as separate samples, concurrently with patient specimens. External positive controls were included in each assay plate in a rotating manner.

#### **External Positive Controls**

<b>External Positive Control</b>	Source	Dilution Factor
Campylobacter	Natrol (ZeptoMetrix)	Stock*
C difficile Toxin A/B	Natrol (ZeptoMetrix)	1/100
Cryptosporidium	Pooled clinical specimens	Stock**
E. coli 0157 / STEC	Natrol (ZeptoMetrix)	1/100
ETEC	Natrol (ZeptoMetrix)	1/10
Giardia	PRA-243 (ATCC)	Stock
Norovirus GI	Natrol (ZeptoMetrix)	1/100
Norovirus GII	Natrol (ZeptoMetrix)	1/1000
Rotavirus	Natrol (ZeptoMetrix)	1/10
Salmonella	Natrol (ZeptoMetrix)	1/10
Shigella	Natrol (ZeptoMetrix)	1/1000
Adenovirus 40	Natrol (ZeptoMetrix)	1/10
Adenovirus 41	Natrol (ZeptoMetrix)	Stock
Entamoeba histolytica	Natrol (ZeptoMetrix)	Stock

<sup>\*</sup> Stock material was used as MFI signals generated for *campylobacter* in the initial clinical runs using 1/10 dilution of the stock were too close to the assay cut-off.

Clinical specimens were tested in accordance with the package insert for xTAG GPP assay and were tested by a single operator at each of the clinical sites.

The xTAG GPP assay includes an internal control (MS2 bacteriophage) that is added to each sample prior to extraction. In the event that none of the pathogen targets probed by xTAG GPP were detected in a clinical specimen and the MS2 call in that specimen was "Absent", a 1/10 dilution of the nucleic acid remnant (from the original extraction) was prepared and tested by xTAG GPP. Two outcomes of running a 1/10 dilution were addressed in the following manner:

- If the MS2 call was "Present" following a 1/10 dilution of the original extract, it is likely that the original result was due to PCR inhibition. All additional positive results generated in this scenario were reported as "Positive" in the calculation of sensitivity and specificity (or positive and negative agreement). Negative results generated in this scenario were reported as "inhibited" and excluded from the calculation of sensitivity and specificity (or positive and negative agreement) for the targets in question. However, inhibited results are presented in the performance tables as "invalid" for each microbial target.
- If the MS2 signal was "Absent" following a 1/10 dilution of the original extract and none of the pathogen targets were detected, then the sample was re-tested with xTAG GPP, starting from the extraction step. If MS2 signal was "Present" after re-testing from the extraction step, it is likely that the original result was due to sub-optimal extraction. Negative and positive results generated in this allowable re-run were included in the calculation of sensitivity and specificity (or positive / negative agreement) for each individual target. If MS2 signal was still "Absent" after re-testing from the extraction step and none of the pathogen targets were detected, then the sample was coded as "inhibited" and was

<sup>\*\*</sup> Pooled clinical specimens positive for *Crytopsoridium hominis* were used as positive control for this target. MFI values generated were however close to the assay cut-off and, in a number of clinical runs were below the threshold for a positive call.

excluded from the calculation of sensitivity and specificity (or positive and negative agreement) for the targets in question. However, inhibited results are presented in the performance tables as "invalid" for each microbial target.

In the event that an unexpected positive call was made in any of the assay controls included in the xTAG GPP run (negative or external positive control), then all clinical specimens that tested positive for the analyte(s) in question were re-tested by xTAG GPP. Negative and positive results generated in this allowable re-run were included in the calculation of sensitivity and specificity (or positive and negative percent agreements) for each individual target.

Discrepant results between the xTAG GPP and the reference methods were also evaluated using analytically validated PCR/sequencing assays or FDA cleared molecular assays (i.e., for *C. difficile* Toxin), and results are footnoted in the performance tables below.

The prospective performance data (all sites combined) are presented in the following tables by analyte:

#### Adenovirus 40/41

xTAG GPP		Comparator			
	Positive	Negative	Invalid	TOTAL	
Positive	4	13	0	17	
Negative	1 <sup>1</sup>	1154	0	1155	
Invalid	2	233	0	235	
TOTAL	$7^1$	1400	0	1407	
		95% CI			
Sensitivity	80.0%	37.5% - 96.4%			
Specificity	98.9%	98.1% - 99.3%			
Invalid Rate	16.7%				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The one specimen that was positive for Adenovirus 40/41 by reference but negative by xTAG GPP was positive by bidirectional sequencing only (i.e., FDA-cleared EIA negative)

#### Campylobacter

xTAG GPP		Reference		
	Positive	Negative	Invalid	TOTAL
Positive	3	21 <sup>2</sup>	0	24
Negative	0	1155	0	1155
Invalid	0	228	0	228
TOTAL	31	1404	0	1407
		95% CI		
Sensitivity	100%	43.8% - 100%		
Specificity	98.2%	97.3% - 98.8%		
Invalid Rate	16.2%			

Sequencing results from these specimens revealed that all three were *campylobacter jejuni*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>A total of six *Campylobacter* xTAG GPP positive specimens that were negative by the reference method were confirmed as positive by bi-directional sequencing analysis using analytically validated primers that targeted genomic regions distinct from the xTAG GPP.

Clostridium difficile Toxin A/B

xTAG GPP				
	Positive	Negative	Invalid	TOTAL
Positive	107	105 <sup>1</sup>	8	$220^{3}$
Negative	7	922	62	991
Invalid	1	170	25	196
TOTAL	115	1197	95 <sup>2</sup>	1407
		95% CI		
Positive Percent Agreement	93.9%	87.9% - 97.0%		
Negative Percent Agreement	89.8%	87.8% - 91.5%		
Invalid Rate	13.9%			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>T</sup>A total of 48 *C. difficile* Toxin A/B xTAG GPP positive specimens that were negative by the comparator method were confirmed as positive by bi-directional sequencing analysis using analytically validated primers that targeted genomic regions distinct from the xTAG GPP, or FDA cleared *C. difficile* Toxin molecular assays.

Cryptosporidium

xTAG GPP		Reference		
	Positive	Negative	Invalid	TOTAL
Positive	12	53 <sup>2</sup>	0	65
Negative	1	1131	0	1132
Invalid	0	210	0	210
TOTAL	13 <sup>1</sup>	1394	0	1407
		95% CI		
Sensitivity	92.3%	66.7% - 98.6%		
Specificity	95.5%	94.2% - 96.6%	_	
Invalid Rate	14.9%			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>All 13 *Cryptosporidium* reference positive specimens were collected during a single outbreak which occurred at Site 2 and were typed as *Cryptosporidium hominis*.

Entamoeba histolytica

xTAG GPP				
	Positive	Negative	Invalid	TOTAL
Positive	0	19	0	19
Negative	0	1149	0	1149
Invalid	0	239	0	239
TOTAL	0	1407	0	1407
		95% CI		
Sensitivity	N/A	N/A		
Specificity	98.4%	97.5% - 99.0%		
Invalid Rate	17.0%			

50

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>A total of 95 specimens generated a "Nonspecific reaction, not characteristic of *Clostridium difficile* toxin". A titration test was performed on all 95 specimens and it was determined that in each case, the cytotoxicity reaction was not typical of *C. difficile* toxin. This finding is consistent with the expected values for invalid results noted in the package insert of the Bartels Cytotoxicity Assay for *Clostridium difficile* Toxin.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>A total of 151 (151/220, 68.7%) *C. difficile* Toxin A/B xTAG GPP positive specimens were positive for both the Toxin A and B gene targets by the xTAG GPP Test. A total of 57 (57/220, 25.9%) *C. difficile* Toxin A/B xTAG GPP positive specimens were positive for the Toxin B target and 12 (12/220, 5.4%) were positive for the Toxin A target.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>A total of eight *Crytosporidium* xTAG GPP positive specimens that were negative by the reference method were confirmed as positive by bi-directional sequencing analysis using analytically validated primers that targeted genomic regions distinct from the xTAG GPP.

#### E. coli O157

xTAG GPP		Reference		
	Positive	Negative	Invalid	TOTAL
Positive	2	9 <sup>1</sup>	0	11
Negative	0	1158	0	1158
Invalid	0	238	0	238
TOTAL	$2^2$	1405	0	1407
		95% CI		
Sensitivity	100%	34.2% - 100%		
Specificity	99.2%	98.5% - 99.6%		
Invalid Rate	16.9%			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A total of four *E. coli* O157 xTAG GPP positive specimens that were negative by the comparator method were confirmed as positive by bi-directional sequencing analysis using analytically validated primers that targeted genomic regions distinct from the xTAG GPP.

# **ETEC**

xTAG GPP		Comparator			
	Positive	Negative	Invalid	TOTAL	
Positive	21	4	0	6	
Negative	$6^2$	1156	0	1162	
Invalid	1	238	0	239	
TOTAL	9	1398	0	1407	
		95% CI			
Positive Percent Agreement	25.0%	7.1% - 59.1%			
Negative Percent Agreement	99.7%	99.1% - 99.9%			
Invalid Rate	17.0%				

One sample was positive for LT by both ETEC-LT PCR/sequencing assays. The other sample was positive for ST by both ETEC-ST PCR/sequencing assays.

#### Giardia

xTAG GPP		Reference			
	Positive	Negative	Invalid	TOTAL	
Positive	4	39	0	43	
Negative	0	1132	0	1132	
Invalid	0	232	0	232	
TOTAL	4	1403	0	1407	
		95% CI			
Sensitivity	100%	51.0% - 100%			
Specificity	96.7%	95.5% - 97.6%			
Invalid Rate	16.5%				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Both reference positive *E. coli* 0157 specimens were also positive for STEC by xTAG GPP. Only one was positive for STEC by the reference culture and EIA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> ETEC performances were calculated against a composite comparator consisting of four well-characterized PCR/bidirectional sequencing assays, two ETEC-LT PCR/sequencing assays and two ETEC-ST PCR/sequencing assays. All six specimens were positive by only one of the four PCR/sequencing assays.

#### **Norovirus GI/GII**

xTAG GPP				
	Positive	Negative	Invalid	TOTAL
Positive	74	96	0	170
Negative	$4^1$	1023	0	1027
Invalid	0	210	0	210
TOTAL	$78^{2}$	1329	0	1407
		95% CI		
Positive Percent Agreement	94.9%	87.5% - 98.0%		
Negative Percent Agreement	91.4%	89.6% - 92.9%		
Invalid Rate	14.9%			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All four xTAG GPP false negative Norovirus specimens were Norovirus GII.

#### Rotavirus A

xTAG GPP				
	Positive	Negative	Invalid	TOTAL
Positive	2	2	0	4
Negative	0	1162	0	1162
Invalid	0	241	0	241
TOTAL	2	1405	0	1407
		95% CI		
Positive Percent Agreement	100%	34.2% - 100%		
Negative Percent Agreement	99.8%	99.4% - 100%		
Invalid Rate	17.1%			

#### Salmonella

xTAG GPP		Reference		
	Positive	Negative	Invalid	TOTAL
Positive	10	18 <sup>2</sup>	0	28
Negative	0	1143	0	1143
Invalid	0	236	0	236
TOTAL	$10^{1}$	1397	0	1407
		95% CI		
Sensitivity	100%	72.2% - 100%		
Specificity	98.4%	97.6% - 99.0%		
Invalid Rate	16.8%			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cultured isolates from all 10 salmonella reference positive clinical specimens were typed at the Ontario Public Health Laboratory in Toronto. Three specimens were typed as Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica, Typhimurium; one specimen as Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica, Typhi; one specimen as Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica, Javiana; one specimen as Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica, Javiana; one specimen as Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica, Bredeney; one specimen as Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica, Mississippi; one specimen as Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica, Muenchen.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Five of the 78 Norovirus comparator positive specimens were typed as GI at the CDC by sequencing, and 73 of the 78 Norovirus comparator positive specimens were typed as GII at the CDC by sequencing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>A total of two *salmonella* xTAG GPP positive specimens that were negative by the reference method were confirmed as positive by bi-directional sequencing analysis using analytically validated primers that targeted genomic regions distinct from the xTAG GPP.

Shiga-Like Toxin Producing E. coli (STEC) stx1/stx2

xTAG GPP		Reference		
	Positive	Negative	Invalid	TOTAL
Positive	1	16 <sup>2</sup>	0	17
Negative	0	1153	0	1153
Invalid	0	237	0	237
TOTAL	1 <sup>1</sup>	1406	0	1407
		95% CI		
Sensitivity	100%	20.7% - 100%		
Specificity	98.6%	97.8% - 99.2%		
Invalid Rate	16.9%			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This STEC reference positive specimen was typed a Shiga-like toxin 2 using the ImmunoCard STAT EHEC.

Shigella

xTAG GPP		Reference		
	Positive	Negative	Invalid	TOTAL
Positive	2	17 <sup>2</sup>	0	19
Negative	0	1154	0	1154
Invalid	0	234	0	234
TOTAL	2 <sup>1</sup>	1405	0	1407
		95% CI		
Sensitivity	100%	34.2% - 100%		
Specificity	98.5%	97.7% - 99.1%		
Invalid Rate	16.6%			

Two clinical specimens tested positive for *shigella* by bacterial culture; one was reported as *Shigella flexneri* while the other one was reported as *Shigella sonnei*.

#### Vibrio cholerae

xTAG GPP		Reference		
	Positive	Negative	Invalid	TOTAL
Positive	0	1	0	1
Negative	0	1166	0	1166
Invalid	0	240	0	240
TOTAL	0	1407	0	1407
		95% CI		
Sensitivity	N/A	N/A		
Specificity	99.9%	99.5% - 100.0%		
Invalid Rate	17.0%			

The prospective performance data (all sites combined) are presented in the following table by organism:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>A total of one STEC xTAG GPP positive specimen that was negative by the reference method was confirmed as positive by bi-directional sequencing analysis using analytically validated primers that targeted genomic regions distinct from the xTAG GPP.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>A total of two *shigella* xTAG GPP positive specimens that were negative by the reference method were confirmed as positive by bi-directional sequencing analysis using analytically validated primers that targeted genomic regions distinct from the xTAG GPP.

Organism	Sensi	tivity	95% CI	Specif	icity	95% CI
Campylobacter	3/3	100%	43.8% - 100%	1155/1176 <sup>1</sup>	98.2%	97.3% - 98.8%
Cryptosporidium	12/13	92.3%	66.7% - 98.6%	1131/1184 <sup>2</sup>	95.5%	94.2% - 96.6%
E. coli O157	2/2	100%	34.2% - 100%	1158/1167 <sup>3</sup>	99.2%	98.5% - 99.6%
E. histolytica	N/A	N/A	N/A	1149/1168	98.4%	97.5% - 99.0%
Giardia	4/4	100%	51.0% - 100%	1132/1171	96.7%	95.5% - 97.6%
Salmonella	10/10	100%	72.2% - 100%	1143/1161 <sup>4</sup>	98.4%	97.6% - 99.0%
STEC	1/1	100%	20.7% - 100%	1153/1169 <sup>5</sup>	98.6%	97.8% - 99.2%
Shigella	2/2	100%	34.2% - 100%	1154/1171 <sup>6</sup>	98.5%	97.7% - 99.1%
V. cholerae	N/A	N/A	N/A	1166/1167	99.9%	99.5% - 100.0%
Ownering	D'.'	Description	050/ CT	NT 41 1	D 4	050/ CT
Organism	Positive Agree	Percent ement	95% CI	Negative : Agreei		95% CI
Adenovirus 40/41	4/57	80.0%	37.5% - 96.4%	1154/1167	98.9%	98.1% - 99.3%
C. difficile Toxin A/B	107/114	93.9%	87.9% - 97.0%	922/10278	89.8%	87.8% - 91.5%
ETEC	2/8	25.0%	7.1% - 59.1%	1156/1160	99.7%	99.1% - 99.9%
Norovirus GI/GII	74/78	94.9%	87.5% - 98.0%	1023/1119	91.4%	89.6% - 92.9%
Rotavirus A	2/2	100%	34.2% - 100%	1162/1164	99.8%	99.4% - 100%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A total of six *Campylobacter* xTAG GPP positive specimens that were negative by the reference method were confirmed as positive by bi-directional sequencing analysis using analytically validated primers that targeted genomic regions distinct from the xTAG GPP.

#### **Prospective Clinical Study Mixed Infection Analysis**

xTAG GPP detected a total of 98 mixed infections in the prospective clinical evaluation. This represents 20.2% of the total number of xTAG GPP positive specimens (98/486). Sixty two (62) (62/98; 63.3%) were double infections, 23 (23/98; 23.5%) were triple infections, eight (8/98; 8.2%) were quadruple infections, two (2/98; 2.0%) were quintuple infections, one (1/98; 1.0%) was sextuple infection, one was septuple infection (1/98; 1.0%), and one was octuplet infection (1/98; 1.0%). The single most common co-infections (20/98; 20.4%) was Norovirus GI/GII with *C. difficile* Toxin A/B. Out of the 98 co-infections, 93 contained one or more analytes that had not been detected with the reference/comparator methods, i.e. discrepant co-infections. Distinct co-infection combinations detected by xTAG GPP in the prospective clinical study are summarized in the table below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A total of eight *Crytosporidium* xTAG GPP positive specimens that were negative by the reference method were confirmed as positive by bi-directional sequencing analysis using analytically validated primers that targeted genomic regions distinct from the xTAG GPP.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A total of four *E. coli* O157 xTAG GPP positive specimens that were negative by the comparator method were confirmed as positive by bi-directional sequencing analysis using analytically validated primers that targeted genomic regions distinct from the xTAG GPP.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> A total of two *Salmonella* xTAG GPP positive specimens that were negative by the reference method were confirmed as positive by bi-directional sequencing analysis using analytically validated primers that targeted genomic regions distinct from the xTAG GPP.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> A total of one STEC xTAG GPP positive specimen that was negative by the reference method was confirmed as positive by bi-directional sequencing analysis using analytically validated primers that targeted genomic regions distinct from the xTAG GPP.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> A total of two *Shigella* xTAG GPP positive specimens that were negative by the reference method were confirmed as positive by bi-directional sequencing analysis using analytically validated primers that targeted genomic regions distinct from the xTAG GPP.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>The one specimen that was positive for Adenovirus 40/41 by reference but negative by xTAG GPP was positive by bi-directional sequencing only (i.e., FDA-cleared EIA negative).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> A total of 48 *C. difficile* Toxin A/B xTAG GPP positive specimens that were negative by the comparator method were confirmed as positive by bi-directional sequencing analysis using analytically validated primers that targeted genomic regions distinct from the xTAG GPP, or FDA cleared *C. difficile* Toxin molecular assay.

# Distinct Co-infection Combinations Detected by the xTAG GPP in the Prospective Clinical Trial

			et Co-infection Detected by x	n Combination	ns			Total Co-infections	Number	umbor of	
Analyte 1	Analyte 2	Analyte 3	Analyte 4	Analyte 5	Analyte 6	Analyte 7	Analyte 8	Total Co-infecti	Number of Discrepant Co-infections <sup>a</sup>	Discrepant Analyte(s) <sup>a</sup>	
Adeno 40/41	Campyl.							1	1	All	
Adeno 40/41	Salmonella							1	1	All	
Campyl.	Crypto.							1	1	All	
Campyl.	Giardia							1	1	All	
C. diff.	Crypto.							3	3	All	
C. diff.	ETEC							1	1	C. diff. (x1);	
C. diff.	Giardia							2	2	All	
C. diff.	STEC							1	1	STEC (x1);	
E. coli O157	STEC							2	1	E coli O157 (x1); STEC (x1);	
Giardia	Crypto.							1	1	All	
Norovirus	C. diff.							20	16 <sup>b</sup>	Norovirus (x12); C diff. (x9);	
Norovirus	Crypto.							8	8	Norovirus (x8); Crypt. (x5);	
Norovirus	E.hist							3	3	All	
Norovirus	Giardia							6	6	Norovirus (x3); Giardia (x6);	
Rotavirus	C. diff.							1	1	All	
STEC	Crypto.							1	1	All	
Salmonella	C. diff.							2	2 <sup>b</sup>	Salmonella (x2); C diff. (x1);	
Salmonella	Crypto.							1	1	All	
Salmonella	E.hist							2	2	E. histo. (x2);	
Salmonella	Giardia							1	1	All	
Salmonella	STEC							1	1	STEC (x1);	
Shigella	Giardia							1	1	All	
Adeno 40/41	Norovirus	C. diff.						1	1	All	

Adeno 40/41	Salmonella	Shigella				1	1		Salmonella (x1); Shigella (x1);
C. diff.	E. coli O157	STEC				1	1 <sup>b</sup>	)	All
Norovirus	Campyl.	C. diff.				1	1		Campyl. (x1); C diff. (x1);
Norovirus	Campyl.	Crypto.				4	4		All
Norovirus	C. diff.	E.hist				3	3		Norovirus (x2); <i>C diff.</i> (x2); <i>E. histo.</i> (x3);
Norovirus	ETEC	Giardia				1	1		ETEC (x1); Giardia (x1);
Norovirus	E. coli O157	STEC				1	1		STEC (x1);
Norovirus	Giardia	Crypto.				2	2		All
Norovirus	STEC	Crypto.				1	1		All
Norovirus	STEC	Giardia				1	1		STEC (x1); Giardia (x1);
Norovirus	Salmonella	Shigella				1	1		Norovirus (x1); Shigella (x1);
Norovirus	Shigella	C. diff.				1	1		Norovirus (x1); Shigella (x1);
Rotavirus	Norovirus	Giardia				1	1		All
Salmonella	C. diff.	E. coli O157				1	1		C diff. (x1); E. coli O157 (x1);
Salmonella	E.hist	Crypto				1	1		All
Adeno 40/41	C. diff.	STEC	Crypto			2	2		All
Adeno 40/41	Norovirus	V. cholerae	Crypto			1	1		All
Adeno 40/41	Salmonella	C. diff.	Crypto			1	1		All
Norovirus	Campyl.	C. diff.	Crypto			1	1		All
Norovirus	C. diff.	E. coli O157	Giardia			1	1		All
Salmonella	C. diff.	STEC	Crypto			1	1		All
Salmonella	Shigella	Giardia	E.hist			1	1		All
Adeno 40/41	Norovirus	Campyl.	C. diff.	Crypto		1	1	_	All
Norovirus	Campyl.	C. diff.	STEC	Crypto		1	1		All
Adeno 40/41	Norovirus	Campyl.	C. diff.	STEC	Crypto	1	1		All

Adeno 40/41	Norovirus	Shigella	Campyl.	ETEC	E. coli O157	Crypto		1	1	Adeno 40/41 (1x); Shigella (x1); Campyl. (x1); ETEC (x1); E. coli O157 (x1); Crypto (1x);
Norovirus	Shigella	Campyl.	C. diff.	ETEC	STEC	E.hist	Crypto	1	1	Norovirus (x1); Shigella (x1); Campyl. (x1); ETEC (x1); STEC (1x); E.hist.(1x); Crypto (1x);
			Tota	l Co-infections				98	93	
			Total I	Double Infectio	ns			62	57	
			Total '	Triple Infection	ıs			23	23	
			Total Qu	adruple infecti	ons			8	8	
			Total Q	uintuple infecti	ons			2	2	
	Total Number of sextuple infections							1	1	
	Total Number of septuplet infections							1	1	
		Total Number of Octuple infections							1	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> A discrepant co-infection or discrepant analyte was defined as one that was detected by the xTAG GPP but not detected by the reference/comparator methods.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> One Norovirus /C. difficile Tox A/B, one Norovirus /E. coli 0157/STEC and one Salmonella/C.difficile Tox A/B xTAG GPP reported co-infected specimens that were negative by the reference method were confirmed as positive by bi-directional sequencing analysis using analytically validated primers that targeted genomic regions distinct from the xTAG GPP

Additional Distinct Co-infection Combinations Detected by the Reference/Comparator Methods, But Not Detected by the xTAG GPP in the Prospective Clinical Trial

Distinct Co-info	ection Combinations <sup>a</sup>	_		
Analyte 1	Analyte 2	Total Co-infecti	Number of Discrepant Co-infections	Discrepant Analyte(s) b
Norovirus	C. diff.	1	1	C. diff.
Norovirus	ETEC	2	2	ETEC (x2)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> This table includes only distinct co-infections that were detected by the reference/comparator method but not by the xTAG GPP; the remaining co-infections detected by the reference methods are already represented in the table above.

Of the 1407 clinical specimens included in the data analysis, 98 (7.0%) were identified as positive for more than one target by xTAG GPP. In most cases, bacteria presented with viruses (N=28, 28.6%), followed by bacteria + viruses + parasites (N=23, 23.5%), viruses + parasites (N=20; 20.4%), bacteria + parasites (N=17, 17.3%), bacteria + bacteria (N=9, 9.2%), and parasite + parasite (N=1, 1.0%). All enteric pathogens probed by xTAG GPP were implicated in co-infections. Results for co- infections are summarized in the table below.

**Summary of co-infected samples (N=98)** 

Summary of co infected sum	(- : - : - )	
Target	Number Implicated in Co-Infections	Percent of Total Co- Infected Specimens
Adenovirus 40/41	11	11.2%
Campylobacter	16	16.3%
C. difficile	48	49.0%
Cryptosporidium	34	34.7%
E. histolytica	11	11.2%
E. coli 0157	7	7.1%
ETEC	4	4.1%
Giardia	21	21.4%
Norovirus GI/GII	64	65.3%
Rotavirus	2	2.0%
Salmonella	15	15.3%
Shigella	7	7.1%
STEC	15	15.3%
V. cholerae	1	1.0%

#### Prospective Clinical Study Per Specimen/Patient Summary Results

Prospective study results were also analyzed on a per sample/patient basis. Results of this analysis are summarized in the table below both without taking into consideration the discrepant analysis by PCR/bi-directional sequencing or FDA cleared molecular assays

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Discrepant analyte is defined as one that is detected by the reference/comparator but not detected by the xTAG GPP.

(Primary Reference/Comparator) and taking into consideration this discrepant analysis (After Discrepant Investigation).

Per Sample/Patient Summary Results – Prospective Sample Set (N=1407)

Analyses	Primary Reference/Comparator	After Discrepant Investigation
# Specimens with at least one pathogen positive by xTAG GPP	486	486
# Specimens with at least one pathogen positive by xTAG GPP and confirmed by reference/comparator	217	286
# Specimens with at least one pathogen positive by xTAG GPP but none confirmed by reference/comparator	269	200
# Specimens with at least one pathogen positive by reference/comparator but none was positive by xTAG GPP	17	17

## **Prospective Clinical Study Contaminated Runs**

Unexpected positive call(s) in negative (NTC) or external rotating positive control(s) (RC) were reported in 10 out of 49 xTAG GPP runs (10/49, 20.4%) during the prospective clinical study. A total of 49 clinical specimens included in these contaminated runs tested positive for analytes that were unexpectedly present in assay controls (49/1407; 3.5%).

# Retrospective Clinical Study 1 - Pre-Selected Clinical Specimens

Due to low prevalence observed for most of the xTAG GPP analytes in the prospective clinical study (see above), xTAG GPP performance detecting the following microbial targets was further evaluated in a retrospective clinical study testing pre-selected clinical specimens.

Adenovirus 40/41
Campylobacter (C. jejuni, C. coli and C. lari only)
Cryptosporidium (C. parvum and C. hominis only)
E. histolytica
E. coli O157
Enterotoxigenic E. coli (ETEC) LT/ST
Giardia
Rotavirus A
Salmonella
Shiga-like toxin producing E. coli (STEC) stx1/stx2
Shigella

Pre-selected stool specimens were collected at multiple sites in North America and Europe. Demographic information (age and gender) was collected on all pre-selected specimens for which these data were available and is summarized in the table below.

General Demographic Details for the Pre-Selected Data Set (N=207)

Sex	Number of Subjects
Male	107 (51.7%)
Female	86 (41.5%)
Not known	14 (6.8%)
Total	207
Age (yrs)	
0 - 1	38 (18.3%)
>1 - 5	26 (12.5%)
>5 – 12	13 (6.3%)
>12 - 21	11 (5.3%)
>21 - 65	91 (44.0%)
>65	14 (6.8%)
Not known	14 (6.8%)
Total	207

The table below outlines the number of pre-selected positive specimens included in the retrospective clinical study for each analyte target as well as the characterization method used.

**Pre-selected Specimen Information (N=207)** 

Pre-selected Target	# Specimens Included	Characterization Method (Comparator)
Adenovirus 40/41	3	PCR/sequencing directly from clinical specimen using one PCR/sequencing assay
Campylobacter	41	Bacterial culture
Cryptosporidium	13 (9 Cryptosporidium parvum and 4 Cryptosporidium hominis)	FDA cleared DFA or microscopy
E. histolitica		Microscopy (A PCR/Sequencing assay using the same analytically validated primers as those used in the Prospective Clinical Study was also performed directly on clinical specimens that were tested positive by microscopy for species identification only)
E. coli O157	8 <sup>1</sup>	Bacterial culture
ETEC	39	PCR/sequencing directly from clinical specimen using four PCR/sequencing assays (two for LT and two for ST)
Giardia	17	FDA cleared DFA or microscopy
Rotavirus A	28	FDA cleared EIA or PCR followed by bi-directional sequencing using the same analytically validated primers as those used in the Prospective Clinical Study
Salmonella	27	Bacterial culture

STEC	$10^{2}$	FDA cleared EIA
Shigella	20	Bacterial culture

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All eight *E. coli* 0157 clinical specimens were also assessed by PCR followed by bi-directional sequencing for STEC. <sup>2</sup> All 10 STEC clinical specimens were also assessed by PCR followed by bi-directional sequencing for *E. coli* 0157.

These pre-selected positive specimens were tested with xTAG GPP at three clinical sites along with 273 "negative" clinical specimens in a randomized, blinded fashion. The "negative" designation for these 273 specimens was based on the routine algorithms used at the clinical site (e.g. bacterial culture, EIA, microscopy, in-house real time PCR). These algorithms did not test for all pathogen targets probed by xTAG GPP.

The table below summarizes the positive percent agreement between comparator and xTAG GPP for all pre-selected targets evaluated.

Positive Percent Agreement of xTAG GPP in the Pre-Selected Data Set

Analyte	Positive Percent Agreement		95%CI for Positive Percent	Number of "Invalid"
Analyte	TP / (TP+FN)	percent	Agreement	xTAG GPP Results
Adenovirus 40/41	3/3	100%	43.8% - 100%	0
Campylobacter	40/41	97.6%	87.4% - 99.6%	0
Cryptosporidium	12/12	100%	75.7% - 100%	1
E. histolytica	1/1	100%	2.5% - 100%	0
E. coli O157 <sup>1</sup>	14/14	100%	78.5% - 100%	0
ETEC	38/39	97.4%	86.8% - 99.5%	0
Giardia	15/16	93.7%	71.7% - 98.9%	1
Rotavirus A	28/28	100%	87.9% - 100%	0
Salmonella	24/27	88.9%	71.9% - 96.1%	0
STEC <sup>2</sup>	18/18	100%	82.4% - 100%	0
Shigella	20/20	100%	83.9% - 100%	0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Eight (8)/8 *E. coli* 0157 were also positive for STEC by xTAG GPP. Sample remnants of all 8 *E. coli* 0157 specimens were tested for the presence of *stx1* and *stx* 2 genes by bi-directional sequencing and the results added to those obtained for STEC.

Nucleic acid amplification followed by bi-directional sequencing using analytically validated primers was also performed on all available pre-selected clinical specimens that were positive by xTAG GPP for other analytes. More specifically, confirmatory testing was performed for those analytes that were positive by xTAG GPP but not pre-selected at the banking site in order to determine whether these additional positive calls represented True Positive (TP) or False Positive (FP) clinical results. To the extent possible, sequencing primers targeted genomic regions distinct from those of the kit primers. xTAG GPP

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Six (6)/10 STEC were also positive for *E. coli* 0157 by xTAG GPP. Sample remnants of all 10 STEC specimens were assessed by bi-directional sequencing for *E. coli* 0157 and the results added to those obtained for *E. coli* 0157.

generated 114 additional positive calls (after allowable re-runs) for analytes that were not pre-selected at the banking site. A summary of these additional calls and confirmatory testing results are provided in the tables below.

#### Adenovirus 40/41

xTAG GPP	PC			
	Positive	Negative	Not Done	TOTAL
Positive	5	3	0	8
Negative	NA	NA	402	402
Invalid	NA	NA	67	67
TOTAL	5	3	469	477*
Confirmed xTAG GPP Positives/All xTAG GPP Positives	62.5%			
Invalid Rate (N=480)	13.9%			

<sup>\*3</sup> specimens were pre-selected for Adenovirus 40/41. Results are presented in the "Positive Percent Agreement of xTAG GPP in the Pre-Selected Data Set" table.

Campylobacter

xTAG GPP	PC			
	Positive	Negative	Not Done	TOTAL
Positive	3	1	0	4
Negative	NA	NA	369	369
Invalid	NA	NA	66	66
TOTAL	3	1	435	439*
Confirmed xTAG GPP Positives/All xTAG GPP Positives	75.0%			
Invalid Rate (N=480)	13.7%			

<sup>\*41</sup> specimens were pre-selected for *Campylobacter*. Results are presented in the "Positive Percent Agreement of xTAG GPP in the Pre-Selected Data Set" table.

# C. Difficile Toxin A/B

xTAG GPP	PC			
	Positive	Negative	Not Done	TOTAL
Positive	16	9	0	25 <sup>1</sup>
Negative	NA	NA	394	394
Invalid	NA	NA	61	61
TOTAL	16	9	455	480
Confirmed xTAG GPP Positives/All xTAG GPP Positives	64.0%			
Invalid Rate (N=480)	12.7%			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A total of 17 (17/25, 68.0%) *C. difficile* Toxin A/B xTAG GPP positive specimens were positive for both the Toxin A and B gene targets by the xTAG GPP Test. A total of 7 (7/25, 28.0%) *C. difficile* Toxin A/B xTAG GPP positive specimens were positive for the Toxin B target and 1 (1/25, 4.0%) were positive for the Toxin A target.

Cryptosporidium

xTAG GPP	PC			
	Positive	Negative	Not Done	TOTAL
Positive	1	0	0	1
Negative	NA	NA	401	401
Invalid	NA	NA	65	65
TOTAL	1	0	466	467*
Confirmed xTAG GPP Positives/All xTAG GPP Positives	100%			
Invalid Rate (N=480)	13.5%			

<sup>\*13</sup> specimens were pre-selected for *Cryptosporidium*. Results are presented in the "Positive Percent Agreement of xTAG GPP in the Pre-Selected Data Set" table.

E. histolytica

<u> 1. msiviyuca</u>				
xTAG GPP	PC			
	Positive	Negative	Not Done	TOTAL
Positive	1	7	0	8
Negative	NA	NA	403	403
Invalid	NA	NA	68	68
TOTAL	1	7	471	479*
Confirmed xTAG GPP Positives/All xTAG GPP Positives	100%			
Invalid Rate (N=480)	13.5%			

<sup>\*1</sup> specimen was pre-selected for *E. histolytica*. Results are presented in the "Positive Percent Agreement of xTAG GPP in the Pre-Selected Data Set" table.

# E. coli o157

xTAG GPP	PC			
	Positive	Negative	Not Done	TOTAL
Positive	1	0	1	2
Negative	NA	NA	397	397
Invalid	NA	NA	67	67
TOTAL	1	0	465	466*
Confirmed xTAG GPP Positives/All xTAG GPP Positives	50%			
Invalid Rate (N=480)	13.9%			

<sup>\*14</sup> specimens were pre-selected for *E. coli* O157. Results are presented in the "Positive Percent Agreement of xTAG GPP in the Pre-Selected Data Set" table.

# **ETEC**

xTAG GPP	PC	PCR/Bi-directional Sequencing				
	Positive	Positive Negative Not Done				
Positive	4	4	0	8		
Negative	NA	NA	369	369		
Invalid	NA	NA	64	64		
TOTAL	4	4	433	441*		

64

Confirmed xTAG GPP			
Positives/All xTAG GPP	50%		
Positives			
Invalid Rate (N=480)	13.3%		

<sup>\*39</sup> specimens were pre-selected for ETEC. Results are presented in the "Positive Percent Agreement of xTAG GPP in the Pre-Selected Data Set" table.

# Giardia

xTAG GPP	PCR/Bi-directional Sequencing			
	Positive	Negative	Not Done	TOTAL
Positive	0	5	0	5
Negative	NA	NA	395	395
Invalid	NA	NA	63	63
TOTAL	0	5	458	463*
Confirmed xTAG GPP Positives/All xTAG GPP Positives	0%			
Invalid Rate (N=480)	13.1%			

<sup>\*17</sup> specimens were pre-selected for *Giardia*. Results are presented in the "Positive Percent Agreement of xTAG GPP in the Pre-Selected Data Set" table.

#### **Norovirus**

xTAG GPP	PCR/Bi-directional Sequencing			
	Positive	Negative	Not Done	TOTAL
Positive	2	7	8	17
Negative	NA	NA	396	396
Invalid	NA	NA	67	67
TOTAL	2	7	471	480
Confirmed xTAG GPP Positives/All xTAG GPP Positives	11.8%			
Invalid Rate (N=480)	13.9%			

# **Rotavirus**

xTAG GPP	PCR/Bi-directional Sequencing			
	Positive	Negative	Not Done	TOTAL
Positive	6	0	0	6
Negative	NA	NA	379	379
Invalid	NA	NA	67	67
TOTAL	6	0	446	452*
Confirmed xTAG GPP Positives/All xTAG GPP Positives	100%			
Invalid Rate (N=480)	13.9%			

<sup>\*28</sup> specimens were pre-selected for Rotavirus. Results are presented in the "Positive Percent Agreement of xTAG GPP in the Pre-Selected Data Set" table.

# Salmonella

xTAG GPP	PCR/Bi-directional Sequencing			
	Positive	Negative	Not Done	TOTAL
Positive	4	6	0	10
Negative	NA	NA	382	382
Invalid	NA	NA	61	61
TOTAL	4	6	443	453*
C C L TAC CDD				
Confirmed xTAG GPP Positives/All xTAG GPP Positives	40.0%			
Invalid Rate (N=480)	12.7%			

<sup>\*27</sup> specimens were pre-selected for *Salmonella*. Results are presented in the "Positive Percent Agreement of xTAG GPP in the Pre-Selected Data Set" table.

# **STEC**

xTAG GPP	PCR/Bi-directional Sequencing			
	Positive	Negative	Not Done	TOTAL
Positive	3	3	0	6
Negative	NA	NA	390	390
Invalid	NA	NA	66	66
TOTAL	3	3	456	462*
Confirmed xTAG GPP Positives/All xTAG GPP Positives	50.0%			
Invalid Rate (N=480)	13.7%			

<sup>\*18</sup> specimens were pre-selected for STEC. Results are presented in the "Positive Percent Agreement of xTAG GPP in the Pre-Selected Data Set" table.

# Shigella

xTAG GPP	PCR/Bi-directional Sequencing			
	Positive	Negative	Not Done	TOTAL
Positive	11	2	1	14
Negative	NA	NA	379	379
Invalid	NA	NA	67	67
TOTAL	11	2	447	460*
Confirmed xTAG GPP Positives/All xTAG GPP Positives	78.6%			
Invalid Rate (N=480)	13.9%			

<sup>\*20</sup> specimens were pre-selected for *Shigella*. Results are presented in the "Positive Percent Agreement of xTAG GPP in the Pre-Selected Data Set" table.

### V. cholerae

xTAG GPP	PCR/Bi-directional Sequencing			
	Positive	Negative	Not Done	TOTAL
Positive	0	0	0	0
Negative	NA	NA	412	412
Invalid	NA	NA	68	68

66

TOTAL	0	0	480	480
Confirmed xTAG GPP Positives/All xTAG GPP Positives	NA			
Invalid Rate (N=480)	14.2%			

# Retrospective Clinical Study 1 (Pre-Selected Clinical Specimens) Contaminated Runs

Unexpected positive call(s) in negative (NTC) or external rotating positive control(s) (RC) were reported in three out of 15 pre-selected xTAG GPP runs (3/15, 20.0%). A total of 21 clinical specimens included in these runs tested positive by xTAG GPP for analytes that were unexpectedly present in assay controls (21/480; 4.4%).

# Supplemental Clinical Study - Botswana Pediatric Stool Specimens

The clinical performance of xTAG GPP for Adenovirus 40/41, Rotavirus, ETEC, *Cryptosporidium* and *Gardia* was also evaluated in a set of pediatric stool specimens (N=313) prospectively collected between February 2011 and January 2012 from symptomatic pediatric patients admitted to two referral hospitals in Botswana, Africa. All pediatric patients included in this evaluation presented with diarrhea and/or vomiting. General demographic details for these patients are summarized in the table below.

General demographic details of Botswana Sample Set (N=313)

General demographic details of Dotswalla Sample Set (11–313)			
Sex	Number of Subjects		
Male	186 (59.4%)		
Female	127(40.6%)		
Total	313		
Age (yrs)			
< 1	231 (73.8%)		
1	62 (19.8%)		
2	11 (3.5%)		
3	3 (0.9%)		
4	3 (0.9%)		
> 4	3 (0.9%)		
Total	313		

All specimens were shipped frozen to one of the study sites in Ontario, Canada for xTAG GPP testing. Stools were extracted by the Biomerieux NucliSENS EasyMag and tested using the xTAG GPP per the instructions provided in the product package insert.

Comparator testing by nucleic acid amplification followed by bi-directional sequencing using analytically validated primers was performed on samples positive for Adenovirus 40/41, Rotavirus, ETEC, *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* by xTAG GPP. In order to minimize bias, a random subset of the 313 Botswana specimens that tested negative by

xTAG GPP was also assessed by the same nucleic acid amplification followed by bidirectional sequencing method for Adenovirus 40/41, Rotavirus, ETEC, *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia*. In the case of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia*, the number of xTAG GPP negative specimens assessed was equal to or greater than the number of specimens identified as positive by xTAG GPP. In the case of ETEC, the number of xTAG GPP negative specimens assessed was slightly less than the number of specimens identified as positive by xTAG GPP. Since 178 of 313 specimens tested positive by xTAG GPP for Rotavirus, the number of negative Rotavirus specimens tested by nucleic acid amplification followed by sequencing was less than the number of positive Rotavirus specimens tested by this comparator method. Comparator testing by nucleic acid amplification followed by bidirectional sequencing using analytically validated primers was performed on a total of 91, 308, 56, 24, and 20 specimens for Adenovirus 40/41, Rotavirus, ETEC, *Cryptosporidium*, and *Giardia*, respectively.

In addition, all available residual clinical specimens (N=311) were assessed for Adenovirus 40/41 using the same FDA-cleared EIA as that used in the prospective study (Premier Adenoclone Type 40/41 EIA, Meridian Bioscience, K881894).

The Botswana Study performance data are presented in the following tables by analyte:

#### Adenovirus 40/41

xTAG GPP	Comparator (PC	Comparator (PCR/Bi-directional sequencing and/or FDA cleared EIA)		
	Positive	Negative	Invalid	TOTAL
Positive	34 <sup>2</sup>	0	0	34
Negative	18 <sup>1</sup>	255	0	273
Invalid	1	5	0	6
TOTAL	53	260 <sup>3</sup>	0	313
		95% CI		
Positive Percent Agreement	65.4%	51.8% - 76.8%		
Negative Percent Agreement	100%	98.5% - 100%		
Invalid Rate 4	1.9%			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All 18 specimens that were positive for Adenovirus 40/41 by comparator but negative by xTAG GPP were positive by bidirectional sequencing only (i.e. FDA-cleared EIA negative). All these 18 specimens were assessed by real-time PCR for Adenovirus (all sub-types) at the laboratory testing site. The mean Ct value for these 18 specimens was 32.9; indicating low viral titer in these specimens, which is less clinically relevant.

# Rotavirus A

xTAG GPP	Comparator (PCR/Bi-directional sequencing)			
	Positive	Negative	Invalid	TOTAL
Positive	175	3	0	178
Negative	18	108	0	126
Invalid	0	4	0	4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> All these 34 specimens were also assessed by real-time PCR for Adenovirus (all sub-types) at the laboratory testing site In contrast to the 18 specimens in footnote 1 above, the mean Ct value for the 34 adenovirus samples positive by the PCR/Bi-directional sequencing assay and detected by xTAG GPP in this cohort was 22.81; indicating higher viral titer in these specimens, which is more clinically relevant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 222 of the comparator negative Adenovirus 40/41 specimens were assessed by FDA-cleared EIA only.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Six out of a total of 313 samples tested by the xTAG GPP generated an "invalid" result for Adenovirus 40/41.

TOTAL	193	115	0	308
		95% CI		
Positive Percent Agreement	90.7%	85.7% - 94.0%		
Negative Percent Agreement	97.3%	92.4% - 99.1%		
Invalid Rate 1	1.3%			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Four out of a total of 313 samples tested by the xTAG GPP generated an "invalid" result for Rotavirus A.

#### **ETEC**

xTAG GPP	Comparat			
	Positive	Negative	Invalid	TOTAL
Positive	26	3	0	29
Negative	1	26	0	27
Invalid	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	27	29	0	56
		95% CI		
Positive Percent Agreement	96.3%	81.7% - 99.3%		
Negative Percent Agreement	89.7%	73.6% – 96.4%		
Invalid Rate 1	1.6%			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Five out of a total of 313 samples tested by the xTAG GPP generated an "invalid" result for ETEC

#### Cryptosporidium

xTAG GPP	Comparat			
	Positive	Negative	Invalid	TOTAL
Positive	11	0	0	11
Negative	1	12	0	13
Invalid	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	12	12	0	24
		95% CI		
Positive Percent Agreement	91.7%	64.6% - 98.5%		
Negative Percent Agreement	100%	75.7% – 100%		
Invalid Rate 1	1.6%			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Five out of a total of 313 samples tested by the xTAG GPP generated an "invalid" result for *Cryptosporidium*.

#### Giardia

xTAG GPP	Compara			
	Positive	Negative	Invalid	TOTAL
Positive	9	1	0	10
Negative	0	10	0	10
Invalid	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	9	11	0	20
		95% CI		
Positive Percent Agreement	100%	70.1% - 100%		
Negative Percent Agreement	90.9%	62.3% - 98.4%		
Invalid Rate <sup>1</sup>	1.6%			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Five out of a total of 313 samples tested by the xTAG GPP generated an "invalid" result for *Giardia*.

The table below summarizes the positive and negative agreement (PPA and NPA) between comparator results and xTAG GPP for Adenovirus 40/41, Rotavirus, *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia*.

Organism	PI	PA	95% CI	NP.	A	95% CI
Adenovirus 40/41	34/52	65.4%	51.8% - 76.8%	255/255	100%	98.5% - 100%
Rotavirus A	175/193	90.7%	85.7% - 94.0%	108/111	97.3%	92.4% - 99.1%
ETEC	26/27	96.3%	81.7% - 99.3%	26/29	89.7%	73.6% – 96.4%
Cryptosporidium	11/12	91.7%	64.6% - 98.5%	12/12	100%	75.7% – 100%
Giardia	9/9	100%	70.1% - 100%	10/11	90.9%	62.3% - 98.4%

Nucleic acid amplification followed by bi-directional sequencing using analytically validated primers was also performed on all available clinical specimens that were positive by xTAG GPP for other analytes (i.e., *Campylobacter*, *C. difficile* Toxin A/B, *E. coli* O157, Norovirus, *Salmonella*, *Shigella*, and STEC) in order to determine whether these additional positive calls represented True Positive (TP) or False Positive (FP) clinical results. The tables below summarize the confirmed xTAG GPP positive rate (i.e., confirmed xTAG GPP positives/all xTAG GPP positives) by PCR/bi-directional sequencing for *Campylobacter*, *C. difficile* Toxin A/B, *E. histolytica*, *E. coli* O157, Norovirus, *Salmonella*, *Shigella*, STEC, and *V. cholerae*.

Campylobacter

ингруговистет				
xTAG GPP	PCR/Bi-directional Sequencing			
	Positive	Negative	Not Done	TOTAL
Positive	47	1	1	49
Negative	NA	NA	258	258
Invalid	NA	NA	6	6
TOTAL	47	1	265	313
Confirmed xTAG GPP Positives/All xTAG GPP Positives	95.9%			
Invalid Rate	1.9%			

C. Difficile Toxin A/B

xTAG GPP	PCR/Bi-directional Sequencing			
	Positive	Negative	Not Done	TOTAL
Positive	9	3	3	15 <sup>1</sup>
Negative	NA	NA	292	292
Invalid	NA	NA	6	6
TOTAL	9	3	301	313
Confirmed xTAG GPP Positives/All xTAG GPP Positives	60.0%			
Invalid Rate	1.9%			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A total of 9 (9/15, 60.0%) *C. difficile* Toxin A/B xTAG GPP positive specimens were positive for both the Toxin A and B gene targets by the xTAG GPP Test. A total of 3 (3/15, 20.0%) *C. difficile* Toxin A/B xTAG GPP positive specimens were positive for the Toxin B target and 3 (3/15, 20.0%) were positive for the Toxin A target.

#### E. histolytica

xTAG GPP	PC	PCR/Bi-directional Sequencing		
	Positive	Negative	Not Done	TOTAL
Positive	0	0	0	0
Negative	NA	NA	307	307

70

Invalid	NA	NA	6	6
TOTAL	0	0	313	313
Confirmed xTAG GPP Positives/All xTAG GPP Positives	NA			
Invalid Rate	1.9%			

# E. coli O157

xTAG GPP	PC	PCR/Bi-directional Sequencing		
	Positive	Negative	Not Done	TOTAL
Positive	4	0	1	5
Negative	NA	NA	303	303
Invalid	NA	NA	5	5
TOTAL	4	0	309	313
Confirmed xTAG GPP Positives/All xTAG GPP Positives	80.0%			
Invalid Rate	1.6%			

# Norovirus

xTAG GPP	PCR/Bi-directional Sequencing			
	Positive	Negative	Not Done	TOTAL
Positive	29	9	6	44
Negative	NA	NA	263	263
Invalid	NA	NA	6	6
TOTAL	29	9	275	313
Confirmed xTAG GPP Positives/All xTAG GPP Positives	65.9%			
Invalid Rate	1.9%			

# Salmonella

xTAG GPP	PCR/Bi-directional Sequencing			
	Positive	Negative	Not Done	TOTAL
Positive	6	7	4	17
Negative	NA	NA	290	290
Invalid	NA	NA	6	6
TOTAL	6	7	300	313
Confirmed xTAG GPP Positives/All xTAG GPP Positives	35.3%			
Invalid Rate	1.9%			

Shigella

xTAG GPP	PC			
	Positive	Negative	Not Done	TOTAL
Positive	32	2	2	36
Negative	NA	NA	271	271
Invalid	NA	NA	6	6
TOTAL	32	2	279	313
Confirmed xTAG GPP Positives/All xTAG GPP Positives	88.9%			
Invalid Rate	1.9%			

#### **STEC**

xTAG GPP	PCI			
	Positive	Negative	Not Done	TOTAL
Positive	3	1	1	5
Negative	NA	NA	302	302
Invalid	NA	NA	6	6
TOTAL	3	1	309	313
Confirmed xTAG GPP Positives/All xTAG GPP Positives	60.0%			
Invalid Rate	1.9%			

#### V. cholerae

xTAG GPP	PC			
	Positive	Negative	Not Done	TOTAL
Positive	0	0	1	1
Negative	NA	NA	306	306
Invalid	NA	NA	6	6
TOTAL	0	0	313	313
Confirmed xTAG GPP Positives/All xTAG GPP Positives	NA			
Invalid Rate	1.9%			

# $\underline{ \textbf{Supplemental Clinical Study (Botswana Pediatric Stool Specimens) Contaminated } \underline{ \textbf{Runs}}$

Unexpected positive call(s) in negative (NTC) or external rotating positive control(s) (RC) were reported in 2 out of 5 Botswana xTAG GPP runs (40%). A total of 5 clinical specimens included in these runs tested positive by xTAG GPP for analytes that were unexpectedly present in assay controls (5/313; 1.6%).

# **Supplemental Study – Contrived Stool Specimens**

The performances of xTAG GPP for *E. histolytica* and *V. cholera* were further assessed using contrived specimens.

The low prevalence of *Entamoeba histolytica* made it very difficult to source available retrospective specimens. Many efforts were made by the sponsor to source *Entamoeba histolytica* positive clinical specimens. However, the sponsor could not acquire any usable *Entamoeba histolytica* clinical specimens for testing with the GPP assay. For *Vibrio cholerae* the major limitation to obtaining retrospective samples was the import/export restrictions placed around this pathogen. These import/export restrictions are applied at the molecular level preventing the sponsor from obtaining inactivated material or even purified nucleic acids from *Vibrio cholerae*. The prevalence of *Vibrio cholerae* is very low in Canada and the United States and the sponsor was unable to find a Canadian or US source for retrospective samples.

A panel of contrived specimens was made for each of these two rare analytes, as well as a panel of un-spiked contrived negative specimens. The contrived specimens consisted of unique source (individual donor) stool matrix that was used as-is for the negative specimen panel or was spiked with reference strain culture material for *Entamoeba histolytica* and *Vibrio cholerae*. A total of 50 unique specimens were made and tested for each panel.

This study was performed at three North American sites:

- Site A Saint Joseph's Hospital (SJH), Hamilton, Ontario
- Site B Mount Sinai Hospital (MSH), Toronto, Ontario
- Site C Luminex Molecular Diagnostics (LMD), Toronto, Ontario.

The stool specimens used to create individual negative clinical matrix were obtained from anonymized donors. Prior to being used as matrix, the stools were screened with xTAG GPP to ensure they were negative for all xTAG GPP analytes and that they had a present call for the spiked-in internal control (MS2). Stool specimens which did not meet these criteria were not used for this study. A total of 50 unique lots of stool matrix were created from 50 raw stool samples, with each lot of stool matrix obtained from a unique donor. The 50 lots of stool matrix were then utilized to make 50 *Entamoeba histolytica* contrived samples, 50 *Vibrio cholerae* contrived samples and 50 negative samples.

The *Entamoeba histolytica* contrive samples were created by spiking stool matrix with high titer culture material from four different strains of *Entamoeba histolytica* obtained from ATCC.

Source	Strain	Titer of Stock (Cells/mL)	Titer of Contrived Specimens (Cells/mL)	Number of Contrived Specimens	Multiples of LoD (approximated based on real- time PCR assay)
ATCC30890	HM-3:IMSS	1.47 x 10 <sup>4</sup>	5.76 x 10 <sup>1</sup>	25	2X
			$1.23 \times 10^2$	1	4X
			$3.96 \times 10^2$	1	14X
			$1.23 \times 10^3$	2	43X
			$1.23 \times 10^4$	2	430X
			$1.65 \times 10^4$	5	570X
ATCC30459	HM-1:MISS [ABRM]	$1.40 \times 10^6$	$4.00 \times 10^4$	1	1400X
ATCC30458	200:NIH	$1.27 \times 10^7$	$4.00 \times 10^4$	1	1400X
			$1.20 \times 10^5$	2	4200X
			$4.00 \times 10^5$	2	14000X
			$4.00 \times 10^6$	3	140000X
ATCC30015	HK-9	9.60 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	$4.00 \times 10^4$	2	1400X
			$1.20 \times 10^5$	2	4200X
			$4.00 \times 10^5$	1	14000X
				50	

The *Vibrio cholerae* contrive samples were created by spiking stool matrix with high titer culture material from two different strains of *Vibrio cholerae* obtained from NCTC.

Source	Strain	Titer of Stock (Cells/mL)	Titer of Contrived Specimens (Cells/mL)	Number of Contrived Specimens	Multiples of LoD (approximated based on real- time PCR
NCTC12945	O139	6.00 x 10 <sup>8</sup>	4.86 x 10 <sup>6</sup> 1.00 x 10 <sup>7</sup> 3.00 x 10 <sup>7</sup> 1.00 x 10 <sup>8</sup> 3.00 x 10 <sup>8</sup>	13 2 3 2 3	assay) 2X 4X 13X 43X 130X
NCTC7260	01	6.00 x 10 <sup>8</sup>	6.00 x 10 <sup>8</sup> 4.86 x 10 <sup>6</sup> 1.00 x 10 <sup>7</sup> 3.00 x 10 <sup>7</sup> 1.00 x 10 <sup>8</sup> 3.00 x 10 <sup>8</sup> 6.00 x 10 <sup>8</sup>	2 12 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 50	260X 2X 4X 13X 43X 130X 260X

The 50 sample contrived specimen panels for each of *Entamoeba histolytica*, *Vibrio cholerae* and the negative stool specimens were de-identified so that the sample identity and pathogen concentration was not determinable by the site investigator, operator or any other individual associated with the study. After de-identification the samples were randomized and distributed between the three study sites. Each contrived specimen was processed and analyzed following the assay kit instructions.

All 50 contrived negative stool specimens produced the expected negative result for all analytes. The agreement with expected negative results is 100% (50/50) with a 95% confidence interval from 92.9% to 100%.

The table below summarizes agreements with expected positive results for *E. histolytica* and *V. cholerae*.

Target	Concentration (Cells/mL)	Multiples of LoD	Agreement with	95% Confidence
		(approximated	Expected Positive	Interval (CI)
		based on real-time	Result	
		PCR assay)		
	$5.76 \times 10^{1}$	2X	100% (25/25)	
	$1.23 \times 10^2$	4X	100% (1/1)	
	$3.96 \times 10^2$	14X	100% (1/1)	
	$1.23 \times 10^3$	43X	100% (2/2)	
E higtolytica	$1.23 \times 10^4$	430X	100% (2/2)	
E. histolytica	$1.65 \times 10^4$	570X	100% (5/5)	
	$4.00 \times 10^4$	1400X	100% (4/4)	
	$1.20 \times 10^5$	4200X	100% (4/4)	
	$4.00 \times 10^5$	14000X	100% (3/3)	
	$4.00 \times 10^6$	140000X	100% (3/3)	
	E. histolytica Overall		100% (50/50)	92.9% - 100%
	$4.86 \times 10^6$	2X	100% (25/25)	
	$1.00 \times 10^7$	4X	80% (4/5)	
V. cholerae	$3.00 \times 10^7$	13X	100% (5/5)	
v. cnoierae	$1.00 \times 10^8$	43X	100% (5/5)	
	$3.00 \times 10^8$	130X	100% (5/5)	
	$6.00 \times 10^8$	260X	100% (5/5)	
	V. cholera Overall		98.0% (49/50)	89.5% - 99.7%

# Stool Specimens in Cary-Blair Media

# **Prospective Clinical Study**

Performance of xTAG GPP testing stool specimens in Cary-Blair media was evaluated on all available prospectively collected, leftover stool specimens stored in Cary-Blair medium from the prospective study testing raw unpreserved stool specimens as described in the "Prospective Clinical Study" section of this decision summary.

The prospective specimens in the Cary-Blair medium were de-identified by an individual who was not involved in the study, so that the identity of the subject was not readily ascertained by the site operator. These Cary-Blair stool remnants were distributed to at least three clinical sites and tested with xTAG GPP starting from pre-treatment and extractions steps. All specimens were distributed to the sites in a frozen state. No sample preparation was done for prospectively collected specimens in Cary-Blair medium.

Sensitivity/positive percentage agreement of xTAG GPP on stool in Cary-Blair medium is summarized for each individual target in the table below. For comparison purposes, performance results generated from the unpreserved stool as part of the prospective study as described in the "Prospective Clinical Study" section of this decision summary are also presented alongside of the performance results generated from the Cary-Blair preserved stool specimens.

	Sensitivity						
Target	Unpreserved Stool			Stool in Cary-Blair Media			
	TP/(TP+FN)	%	95% CI	TP/(TP+FN)	%	95% CI	
Campylobacter	3/(3+0)	100.0%	43.9% - 100%	3/(3+0)	100.0%	43.9% - 100%	
Cryptosporidium	12/(12+1)	92.3%	66.7% - 98.6%	12/(12+1)	92.3%	66.7% - 98.6%	
Entamoeba histolytica		n/a			n/a		
E. coli O157	2/(2+0)	100.0%	34.2% - 100%	2/(2+0)	100.0%	34.2% - 100%	
Giardia	4(4+0)	100.0%	51.0% - 100%	4(4+0)	100.0%	51.0% - 100%	
Norovirus GI/GII	74/(74+4)	94.9%	87.5% - 98.0%	70(70+3)	95.9%	88.6% - 98.6%	
Salmonella	10(10+0)	100.0%	72.2% - 100%	10(10+0)	100.0%	72.2% -100%	
Shigella	2(2+0)	100.0%	34.2% - 100%	2(2+0)	100.0%	34.2% - 100%	
Vibrio cholera		n/a		n/a			
			Positive	Agreement			
Target	Unp	reserved Sto	ool	Stool in Cary-Blair Media			
	TP/(TP+FN)	%	95% CI	TP/(TP+FN)	%	95% CI	
Adenovirus 40/41 <sup>1</sup>	4/(4+1)	80.0%	37.5% - 96.4%	2/(2+3)	40.0%	11.8% - 76.9%	
Clostridium difficile toxin A/B	107/(107+7)	93.9%	87.9% - 97.0%	98/(98+9)	91.6%	84.8% - 95.5%	
ETEC LT/ST <sup>2</sup>	2/(2+6)	25.0%	7.1% - 59.1%	2/(2+7)	22.2%	6.3% - 54.7%	
Rotavirus A	2/(2+0)	100.0%	34.2% - 100%	2/(2+0)	100.0%	34.2% - 100%	
STEC	1/(1+0)	100.0%	20.7% - 100%	1/(1+0)	100.0%	20.7% - 100%	

<sup>1</sup>In the case of Adenovirus 40/41, one of the clinical specimens that was concordant positive in the original GPP runs performed on raw stool yielded a negative result when tested in Cary-Blair (sample #02129). MFI generated on in the original stool run were close to the assay cut off (195) suggesting a low titer specimen. Another specimen that was inhibited in the original stool runs performed on raw stool yielded a negative result in the Cary-Blair runs (sample #01366). Lastly, one specimen that was positive for Adenovirus 40/41 by composite comparator was unavailable for re-testing in the Cary-Blair study (sample #02192). For these reasons, positive agreement of xTAG GPP for Adenovirus 40/41 dropped from 80% (4/5) in the raw stool study to 40% (2/5) in the Cary-Blair evaluation. Refer to the results of further evaluation testing contrived samples close to the limit of detection (LoD) for Adenovirus 40/41 described in detail in the "Supplemental Study – Contrived Stool Specimens" section.

<sup>2</sup>ETEC comparator results were calculated against a composite consisting of four well characterized nucleic acid amplification tests (NAATs) followed by bi-directional sequencing. All specimens that were false negative by xTAG GPP for ETEC were positive by only one out of four comparator NAATs. Repeat sequencing of these specimens were negative by all four NAAT, except for one sample which was positive by one NAAT.

Overall, sensitivity/positive agreements generated in the stool in Cary-Blair study were comparable to those generated in the original clinical study performed on raw stool specimens.

Specificity/negative percentage agreement of xTAG GPP on stool in Cary-Blair medium is summarized for each individual target in the table below. For comparison purposes, performance results generated from the unpreserved stool as part of the prospective study as described in the "Prospective Clinical Study" section of this decision summary are also presented alongside of the performance results generated from the Cary-Blair preserved stool specimens.

	Specificity						
Target	Unpreserved Stool			Stool	Stool in Cary-Blair Media		
	TN/(TN+FP)	%	95% CI	TN/(TN+FP)	%	95% CI	
Campylobacter	1155/(1155+21)	98.2%	97.3% - 98.8%	1268/(1268+9)	99.3%	98.7% - 99.6%	
Cryptosporidium	1131/(1131+53)	95.5%	94.2% - 96.6%	1253/(1253+21)	98.4%	97.5% - 98.9%	
Entamoeba histolytica	1149/(1149+19)	98.4%	97.5% - 99.0%	1264/(1264+20)	98.4%	97.6% - 99.0%	
E. coli O157	1158/(1158+9)	99.2%	98.5% - 99.6%	1269/(1269+9)	99.3%	98.7% - 99.6%	
Giardia	1132(1132+39)	96.7%	95.5% - 97.6%	1259(1259+22)	98.3%	97.4% - 98.9%	
Norovirus GI/GII	1023/(1023+96)	91.4%	89.6% - 92.9%	1144(1144+66)	94.5%	93.1% - 95.7%	
Salmonella	1143(1143+18)	98.4%	97.6% - 99.0%	1237(1237+36)	97.2%	96.1% -98.0%	
Shigella	1154(1154+17)	98.5%	97.7% - 99.1%	1275(1275+4)	99.7%	99.2% - 99.9%	
Vibrio cholera	1166/(1166+1)	99.9%	99.5% - 100%	1279/(1279+1)	99.9%	99.6% -100%	
			Negative	Agreement			
Target	Unp	reserved Sto	ool	Stool in Cary-Blair Media			
	TN/(TN+FP)	%	95% CI	TN/(TN+FP)	%	95% CI	
Adenovirus 40/41	1154(1154+13)	98.9%	98.1% - 99.3%	1272/(1272+3)	99.8%	99.3% - 99.9%	
Clostridium difficile toxin A/B	922/(922+105)	89.8%	87.8% - 91.5%	1020/(1020+89)	92.0%	90.2% - 93.4%	
ETEC LT/ST	1156/(1156+4)	99.7%	99.1% - 99.9%	1266/(1266+5)	99.6%	99.1% - 99.8%	
Rotavirus A	1162/(1162+2)	99.8%	99.4% - 100%	1277/(1277+1)	99.9%	99.6% - 100%	
STEC	1153/(1153+16)	98.6%	97.8% - 99.2%	1273/(1273+7)	99.5%	98.9% - 99.7%	

Overall, lower false positive results were observed in the stool in Cary-Blair study compared to the original clinical study performed on raw stool specimens. It is believed that this is mainly due to the fact that Cary-Blair clinical runs were conducted in accordance with the risk mitigations procedures aimed at preventing contamination requested by FDA during the initial review of xTAG GPP (k121454).

Results generated in this prospective clinical study demonstrate that the performance of xTAG GPP tested on stool stored in Cary-Blair media was equivalent to that of tested on unpreserved stools.

# Retrospective Clinical Study - Pre-Selected Clinical Specimens in Cary-Blair

In this study, all pre-selected Cary-Blair specimens were prepared from frozen stool mixed proportionally with Cary-Blair medium (at a ratio of 1:3, stool vs. Cary-Blair). These frozen specimens were remnants from the retrospective xTAG GPP clinical study as described in the "Retrospective Clinical Study 1 – Pre-Selected Clinical Specimens" section of this decision summary. A total of 81 specimens were included, including 40 *Campylobacter*, two *E.coli* O157, 26 *Salmonella*, and 13 *Shigella* positive specimens. All were characterized by bacterial culture. Although a smaller sample set was used for this study comparing to the original retrospective xTAG GPP clinical study (described above), positive agreement between comparator and xTAG GPP results was 100% for all preselected targets tested in this study.

Target	Positive A	greement	95% Confidence	Number of Invalid
Turget	TP/(TP+FN)	Percentage	Interval (CI)	Results
Campylobacter	40/40	100.0%	91.3% - 100%	0
E. coli O157	2/2	100.0%	34.2% - 100%	0
Salmonella	26/26	100.0%	87.1% - 100%	0
Shigella	13/13	100.0%	77.2% - 100%	0

# **Supplemental Study – Contrived Stool Specimens in Cary-Blair**

#### Adenovirus 40/41

In order to assess whether Cary-Blair prospective clinical study results are an accurate representation of the performance of the assay for the Adenovirus 40/41 target, contrived specimens made from individual negative Stool specimens in Cary-Bair, were prepared at concentration spanning the analytical detection range of the assay and tested in a randomized fashion with negative specimens. Both Adenovirus 40 and 41 cultured isolates were tested and 50% of the samples were prepared at a concentration of 2XLoD. Results of this evaluation are presented in the table below.

Target	Source	Strain	Titer (TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	Multiples of LoD (approximated based on real- time PCR assay)	Number of Contrived Samples	Agreement with Expected Positive Results	95% Confidence Interval (CI)
Adenovirus	ATCC	Type 40	2.90 x 10 <sup>1</sup>	2X	13	100% (13/13)	
40	AICC	(Dugan)	$2.32 \times 10^2$	16X	6	100% (6/6)	
			$9.28 \times 10^2$	64X	6	100% (6/6)	
	Ad	enovirus 40	Overall		25	100% (25/25)	86.7% - 100%
Adenovirus	Zantomotniv	Type 41	1.54 x 10 <sup>1</sup>	2X	12	100% (12/12)	
41	Zeptometrix	(Tak)	$1.23 \times 10^2$	16X	7	100% (7/7)	
			$4.92 \times 10^2$	64X	6	100% (6/6)	
	Adenovirus 40 Overall					100% (25/25)	86.7% - 100%
	Adenovirus 40/41 Overall					100% (50/50)	92.9% - 100%

The results of this evaluation suggest that the addition of Cary-Blair does not impact the performance of xTAG GPP for Adenovirus 40/41near the limit of detection (LoD).

#### E. histolytica and V. cholera

The performances of xTAG GPP for *E. histolytica* and *V. cholera* were further assessed using contrived specimens in Cary-Blair.

The stool specimens in Cary-Blair used to create the contrived samples were obtained from

anonymized donors. Prior to being used for matrix, the stools were screened with xTAG GPP to ensure they were negative for all xTAG GPP analytes, and that they had a present call for the spiked-in internal control (MS2). Stool specimens which did not meet these criteria were not used for this study.

A total of 50 unique specimens of stool in Cary-Blair were obtained from individual unique donors. The 50 stool in Cary-Blair specimens were then utilized to make 50 *Entamoeba histolytica* contrived samples, 50 *Vibrio cholerae* contrived samples and 50 negative samples.

The *Entamoeba histolytica* contrive samples were created by spiking the stool in Cary-Blair with high titer culture material from three different strains of *Entamoeba histolytica* obtained from ATCC. The stock culture information and the concentrations used for the contrived samples are found in the table below.

Source	Strain	Titer of Stock	Titer of Contrived	Number of	Multiples of
		(Cells/mL)	Specimens	Contrived	LoD
			(Cells/mL)	Specimens	(approximated
					based on real-
					time PCR
					assay)
ATCC30890	HM-3:IMSS	$1.34 \times 10^4$	$5.76 \times 10^{1}$	25	2X
			$4.61 \times 10^2$	5	16X
			$9.22 \times 10^2$	5	32X
			$1.84 \times 10^3$	5	64X
ATCC30459	HM-1:MISS [ABRM]	1.40 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	$1.00 \times 10^4$	3	320X
		$1.27 \times 10^7$	$3.00 \times 10^4$	2	960X
ATCC30458	200:NIH	$1.27 \times 10^7$	1.00 x 10 <sup>4</sup>	2	320X
ATCC30015	200:NIH	9.60 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	$3.00 \times 10^4$	3	960X
				50	

The *Vibrio cholerae* contrive samples were created by spiking the stool in Cary-Blair with high titer culture material from two different strains of *Vibrio cholerae* obtained from NCTC. The stock culture information and the concentrations used for the contrived samples can be found in table below.

Source	Strain	Titer of Stock	Titer of Contrived	Number of	Multiples of
		(Cells/mL)	Specimens	Contrived	LoD
			(Cells/mL)	Specimens	(approximated
					based on real-
					time PCR
					assay)
NCTC12945	O139	6.00 x 10 <sup>8</sup>	$4.86 \times 10^6$	13	2X
			$1.00 \times 10^7$	2	4X
			$3.00 \times 10^7$	3	13X
			$1.00 \times 10^8$	4	43X
			$3.00 \times 10^8$	3	130X
NCTC7260	01	6.00 x 10 <sup>8</sup>	$4.86 \times 10^6$	12	2X
			$1.00 \times 10^7$	3	4X
			$3.00 \times 10^7$	3	13X
			1.00 x 10 <sup>8</sup>	5	43X
			$3.00 \times 10^8$	2	130X
				50	

The 50 sample contrived specimen panels for each of *Entamoeba histolytica*, *Vibrio cholerae* and the negative stool specimens were de-identified so that the sample identity and pathogen concentration was not determinable by the investigator, operator or any other individual associated with the study. After de-identification the samples were randomized and distributed to one study site. Each contrived specimen was processed and analyzed following the assay kit instructions.

The 50 negative stool in Cary-Blair contrived specimens produced the expected negative result for *Entamoeba histolytica* and *Vibrio cholerae* in 50/50 samples. The agreement with expected negative results is 100% (50/50) with a 95% confidence interval from 92.9% to 100%. On a per analyte bases the expected negative call for all analytes was obtained in 947/950 analytes. The three positive results obtained for the negative samples were for the targets Norovirus GII, Enterotoxigenic *E. coli* (ETEC LT toxin) and Shiga-like toxin producing *E. coli* (stx2 toxin). Although the source Cary-Blair stool samples used to create this contrived sample set originally screened negative for xTAG GPP analytes, it appears that these three source samples contain low level analytes for these targets. This assessment is based on the observation that the three individual lots of stool in question reproducibly generated the unexpected positive call (i.e., Norovirus GII, ETEC LT toxin, and stx2 toxin in the *Entamoeba histolytica* and *Vibrio cholera* positive sample sets.

The table below summarizes agreements with expected positive results for *E. histolytica* and *V. cholerae*.

Target	Concentration (Cells/mL)	Multiples of LoD (approximated based	Agreement with Expected Positive	95% Confidence
		on real-time PCR	Result	Interval (CI)
		assay)	Kesuit	
	5.76 x 10 <sup>1</sup>	2X	92.0% (22/24 <sup>1</sup> )	
	$4.61 \times 10^2$	16X	100% (5/5)	
E. histolytica	$9.22 \times 10^2$	32X	100% (5/5)	
E. Historytica	$1.84 \times 10^3$	64X	100% (5/5)	
	$1.00 \times 10^4$	320X	100% (5/5)	
	$3.00 \times 10^4$	960X	100% (5/5)	
	E. histolytica Overall		96.0% (47/49 <sup>1</sup> )	86.3% - 98.9%
	$4.86 \times 10^6$	2X	100% (25/25)	
	$1.00 \times 10^7$	4X	100% (5/5)	
V. cholerae	$3.00 \times 10^7$	13X	100% (5/5)	
	$1.00 \times 10^8$	43X	100% (9/9)	
	$3.00 \times 10^8$	130X	100% (6/6)	
	V. cholera Overall		100.0% (50/50)	92.9% - 100%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>One *Entamoeba histolytica* contrived sample produced a "No Call" as a result of the internal control failing to generate a present call.

#### 4. Clinical cut-off:

Not applicable

#### 5. Expected values/Reference range:

Expected Value (As Determined by the xTAG GPP) Summary by Site for the xTAG GPP Prospective Clinical

**Evaluation (June 2011 – February 2012)** 

`	Overall (n=1407)		Site 1 (n=434)		Site 2 (n=428)		Site 3 (n=155)		Site 4 (n=260)		Site 5 (n=88)		Site 6 (n=42)	
	No.	Expected Value	No.	Expected Value	No.	Expected Value	No.	Expected Value	No.	Expected Value	No.	Expected Value	No.	Expected Value
Adenovirus 40/41	17	1.2%	8	1.8%	7	1.6%	0	0.0%	2	0.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Campylobacter	24	1.7%	5	1.2%	15	3.5%	2	1.3%	2	0.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cryptosporidium	65	4.6%	11	2.5%	48	11.2%	0	0.0%	6	2.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
E. histolytica	19	1.4%	5	1.2%	7	1.6%	3	1.9%	3	1.2%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%
E. coli O157	11	0.8%	2	0.5%	2	0.5%	3	1.9%	2	0.8%	2	2.3%	0	0.0%
ETEC LT/ST	6	0.4%	2	0.5%	3	0.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%
Giardia lamblia	43	3.1%	13	3.0%	17	4.0%	3	1.9%	8	3.1%	2	2.3%	0	0.0%
Salmonella	28	2.0%	11	2.5%	11	2.6%	2	1.3%	4	1.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
STEC (stx1/stx 2)	17	1.2%	9	2.1%	5	1.2%	1	0.6%	1	0.4%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%
Shigella	19	1.4%	3	0.7%	12	2.8%	4	2.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
C. difficile Toxin A/B	220	15.6%	57	13.1%	63	14.7%	28	18.1%	42	16.2%	21	23.9%	9	21.4%
Norovirus GI/GII	170	12.1%	24	5.5%	76	17.8%	12	7.7%	41	15.8%	14	15.9%	3	7.1%
Rotavirus A	4	0.3%	2	0.5%	1	0.2%	1	0.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
V. cholerae	1	0.1	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Expected Value (As Determined by the xTAG GPP) Summary by Age Group for the xTAG GPP Prospective

**Clinical Evaluation (June 2011 – February 2012)** 

	Overall (n=1407)		0-1 year (n=6)		>1-5 years (n=20)		>5-21 years (n=76)		>21-65 years (n=879)		>65 years (n=426)	
	No.	Expected Value	No.	Expected Value	No.	Expected Value	No.	Expected Value	No.	Expected Value	No.	Expected Value
Adenovirus 40/41	17	1.2%	0	0.0%	2	10.0%	0	0.0%	10	1.1%	5	1.2%
Campylobacter	24	1.7%	0	0.0%	2	10.0%	0	0.0%	15	1.7%	7	1.6%
Cryptosporidium	65	4.6%	0	0.0%	4	20.0%	2	2.6%	46	5.2%	13	3.1%
E. histolytica	19	1.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.3%	14	1.6%	4	0.9%
E. coli O157	11	0.8%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	2	2.6%	6	0.7%	2	0.5%
ETEC LT/ST	6	0.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.3%	3	0.3%	2	0.5%
Giardia lamblia	43	3.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	2.6%	26	3.0%	15	3.5%
Salmonella	28	2.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	3	3.9%	18	2.0%	6	1.4%
STEC (stx1/stx 2)	17	1.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	3.9%	8	0.9%	6	1.4%
Shigella	19	1.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12	1.4%	7	1.6%
C. difficile Toxin A/B	220	15.6%	2	33.3%	2	10.0%	13	17.1%	120	13.7%	83	19.5%
Norovirus GI/GII	170	12.1%	1	16.7%	6	30.0%	11	14.5%	101	11.5%	51	12.0%
Rotavirus A	4	0.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	2.6%	1	0.1%	1	0.2%
V. cholerae	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%

# N. Instrument Name:

Luminex 100/200

# O. System Descriptions:

# 1. Modes of Operation:

Batch

2	Coftyrona
2.	Software:

FDA has reviewed applicant's Hazard Analysis and software development processes for
this line of product types:

# 3. Specimen Identification:

Users must fill in Batch Information by providing a unique batch Name, Description and Creator. Users have to enter appropriate patient information, i.e. number of samples, and sample IDs.

# 4. Specimen Sampling and Handling:

Yes  $\underline{X}$  or No

DNA is extracted using the Biomerieux NucliSens EasyMag system. Samples are manually prepared for amplification according to assay package insert and, once amplified, are transferred to a 96-well microtiter plate for analysis on the Luminex system.

# 5. <u>Calibration</u>:

xMAP Calibrator Microspheres, Classification (CAL1) and Reporter (CAL2) serve as system calibrators for Luminex xMAP technology based detectors and are intended to normalize the settings for both the classification channel (CL1, CL2), the doublet discriminator channel (DD), and the reporter channel (RP1). They are not intended to be used as calibrators for a given assay.

# 6. Quality Control:

xMAP Control Microspheres, Classification (CON1) and Reporter (CON2) are intended to verify the calibration and optical integrity for the Luminex 100/200 System. Classification Control Microspheres verify both classification channels and the doublet discriminator channel (DD). Reporter Control Microspheres verify the reporter channel. They are not intended to be used as controls for a given assay which are described in the specific assay package insert.

#### P. Proposed Labeling:

The labeling is sufficient and it satisfies the requirements of 21 CFR Part 809.10.

#### Q. Conclusion:

The submitted information in this premarket notification is complete and supports a substantial equivalence decision.