

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna
Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Representations of automorphism groups on the homology of matroids

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Representations of automorphism groups on the homology of matroids / Moci Luca; Glan Marco Pezzoli. -
In: EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF COMBINATORICS. - ISSN 0195-6698. - STAMPA. - 94:(2021), pp. 103312.1-
103312.17. [10.1016/j.ejc.2021.103312]

Availability:

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/799542> since: 2021-03-01

Published:

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2021.103312>

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

Luca Moci, Gian Marco Pezzoli, Representations of automorphism groups on the homology of matroids, European Journal of Combinatorics, Volume 94 (2021)

The final published version is available online at
<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2021.103312>

Rights / License:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>)

When citing, please refer to the published version.

REPRESENTATIONS OF AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS ON THE HOMOLOGY OF MATROIDS

LUCA MOCI*[†] AND GIAN MARCO PEZZOLI*

ABSTRACT. Given a group G of automorphisms of a matroid M , we describe the representations of G on the homology of the independence complex of the dual matroid M^* . These representations are related to the homology of the lattice of flats of M , and (when M is realizable) to the top cohomology of a hyperplane arrangement. Finally, we analyze in detail the case of the complete graph, which has applications to algebraic geometry.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Representations and Alexander duality	3
3. Equivariant cross-cut theory	9
4. Applications to matroids	12
5. Top cohomology of hyperplane arrangements	14
6. Coned graphs and complete bipartite graphs	15
7. The dual matroid of the complete graph	16
References	18

1. INTRODUCTION

In the last years, matroid theory received increasing attention from geometers because of its multiple connections with algebraic geometry, algebraic topology and representation theory. One of the equivalent ways of defining a matroid M is by specifying the family of its independent sets, which is an abstract simplicial complex $IN(M)$. A group G of automorphism of M acts naturally on $IN(M)$; this gives rise to representations of G on the homology of $IN(M)$, which are studied in this article.

One motivation for our work comes from a paper by de Cataldo, Heinloth and Migliorini, that computes the supports of the perverse cohomology sheaves of the Hitchin fibration for GL_m over the locus of reduced spectral curves, studying the related Cattani-Kaplan-Schmid complex ([6]). The dual graph of such a spectral curve is the complete graph, and the action of the symmetric group on the irreducible components of the curve yields an action on its vertices, hence on the independence complex of the dual matroid of the graph. A crucial step in the analysis performed in [6] is then to determine the representations of the symmetric group on the homology of this independence complex.

In this paper we describe representations on the homology of matroids in full generality. Namely, given a matroid M of rank r on n elements and a group G of

* Università di Bologna.

[†] supported by PRIN 2017YRA3LK.

automorphisms of M , we consider the independence complex $IN(M^*)$ of the matroid dual to M . We prove that the reduced homology of this simplicial complex, up to a shift and to a sign, is isomorphic to the reduced homology of the non-spanning complex of M , $NS(M)$, and to the reduced homology of the order complex of the lattice of flats of M , $\mathcal{L}(M)$:

Theorem. *The following representations of G are isomorphic for every $i \geq 0$ (and nonzero only for $i = r - 2$):*

- (1) $\tilde{H}_{n-3-i}(IN(M^*)) \otimes \text{sgn}$
- (2) $\tilde{H}_i(NS(M))$
- (3) $\tilde{H}_i(\mathcal{L}(M))$

where n is the cardinality of the ground set of M and sgn is the sign representation (restricted from \mathfrak{S}_n to its subgroup G).

Here, the isomorphism between (1) and (2) holds more generally for any simplicial complex, being a consequence of Alexander duality (see Theorem 2). The proof that we give in Section 2 is inspired by that of Björner and Tancer ([5]), but keeps track of the action of the group G .

Also the isomorphism between (2) and (3) is a consequence of a more general phenomenon: indeed, in Section 3 we develop an equivariant version of Folkman's machinery of *cross-cuts* [7]: see in particular our Theorem 12.

In Section 4 we specialize our results to the case of matroids, obtaining the above-mentioned isomorphisms.

Furthermore, if the matroid M is realizable, then it is naturally associated with a hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{A} . The cohomology of the complement $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ of the arrangement admits a well-known presentation in terms of M , due to Orlik and Solomon ([16]). In Section 5 we show that the top-degree part of this cohomology is isomorphic as a representation of G , up to a sign, to the reduced homology of the dual matroid $M_{\mathcal{A}}^*$ associated to \mathcal{A} :

$$H^r(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})) \simeq_G \tilde{H}_{n-r-1}(IN(M_{\mathcal{A}}^*)) \otimes \text{sgn}$$

(see Theorem 21). This statement is a consequence of our main theorem and of results of Orlik and Solomon [16].

In Section 6 we focus on the case when M is realized by a *coned graph* or by a *complete bipartite graph*. Then our Theorem 19, combined with results by Kook and Lee ([11, 12]), yields isomorphisms with the G -modules of *edge-rooted* and *B-edged rooted forests* (6.1, 6.2).

Finally, in Section 7 we specialize our results to the case in which M is the matroid of the complete graph K_m , or equivalently of the root system of type A_{m-1} , which is the case of interest in [6]. For this matroid, whose group of automorphisms is the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_m and whose lattice of flats is the partition lattice Π_m , a result of Stanley [20] allows explicit determination of the representations:

$$\tilde{H}_{n-m}(IN(M^*(K_m))) \simeq_{\mathfrak{S}_m} \text{ind}_{C_m}^{\mathfrak{S}_m}(e^{2\pi i/m})$$

where $n = \binom{m}{2}$ is the number of edges K_m , and C_m is the subgroup generated by an m -cycle in \mathfrak{S}_m (see also Remark 26).

It is natural to wonder if a similar description can be provided for root systems of other types. This seems to be a hard question, though, being equivalent to the

following conjecture of Lehrer and Solomon ([14, Conj. 1.6]):

$$H^p(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}_W)) \simeq_W \bigoplus_c \text{Ind}_{Z(c)}^W(\xi_c) \quad p = 0, \dots, \text{rank}(W).$$

A different direction of research, that we hope to develop in future papers, is to explicitly describe the representation arising for different classes of graphs or matroids.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Emanuele Delucchi, Luca Migliorini and Roberto Pagaria for inspiring discussions and very valuable suggestions. We also wish to thank the anonymous referees for many helpful remarks.

2. REPRESENTATIONS AND ALEXANDER DUALITY

We recall here some basic facts in combinatorial topology. For more details the reader can refer to [9]. Let K be an abstract simplicial complex with vertex set V with $|V| = n$. For $\sigma \in K$, let

$$\bar{\sigma} = V \setminus \sigma.$$

Definition 1. The *Alexander dual* of K is the simplicial complex on the same vertex set defined by

$$K^* = \{\sigma \subseteq V \mid \bar{\sigma} \notin K\}.$$

It is easy to see that $K^{**} = K$.

Let G be a finite group of automorphisms of the face poset (K, \subseteq) . Then G is a subgroup of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n on V , made out of the vertex maps $g: V \rightarrow V$ such that whenever the vertices j_1, \dots, j_{i+1} span an i -simplex of K , the points $g(j_1), \dots, g(j_{i+1})$ span an i -simplex of K . Therefore g induces a simplicial homeomorphism \tilde{g} , and \tilde{g} induces a chain-isomorphism $\tilde{g}_\#$ on the group of oriented i -chains in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_{\#,i}: C_i(K, \mathbb{C}) &\longrightarrow C_i(K, \mathbb{C}). \\ [j_1, \dots, j_{i+1}] &\longmapsto [g(j_1), \dots, g(j_{i+1})] \end{aligned}$$

Moreover $\tilde{g}_\#$ induces an isomorphism on the reduced homology groups $\tilde{H}_i(K)$ (and the reduced cohomology groups $\tilde{H}^i(K)$):

$$\rho_{i,g}: \tilde{H}_i(K, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \tilde{H}_i(K, \mathbb{C}).$$

This defines representations of G on the \mathbb{C} -vector spaces $\tilde{H}_i(K, \mathbb{C})$, i.e., homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_i: G &\longrightarrow \text{GL}(\tilde{H}_i(K, \mathbb{C})). \\ g &\longmapsto \rho_{i,g} \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the definition of K^* that G is also a finite group of automorphisms of the *face poset* of K^* . Therefore, following the construction above, we get representations ρ^{*i} of G on the reduced cohomology of K^* :

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{*i}: G &\longrightarrow \text{GL}(\tilde{H}^i(K^*, \mathbb{C})). \\ g &\longmapsto \rho_g^{*i} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2. *Let K be an abstract simplicial complex and let K^* be its Alexander dual. Let G be a finite group of automorphisms of the face poset of K . Then:*

$$\rho_i \simeq \rho^{*n-i-3} \otimes \text{sgn}$$

where $n = |V|$ and sgn is the sign representation (restricted from \mathfrak{S}_n to its subgroup G). Or, equivalently, we have the following isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -modules:

$$\tilde{H}_i(K, \mathbb{C}) \simeq_G \tilde{H}^{n-i-3}(K^*, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \text{sgn}.$$

Our proof follows from Björner and Tancer ([5]), but carefully records the action G . We introduce some notations: let us denote by $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ the elements of V . For $j \in \sigma \in K$, we define the *sign*

$$\text{sgn}(j, \sigma) = (-1)^{i-1}$$

where j is the i -th smallest element of the set σ , and

$$p(\sigma) = \prod_{j \in \sigma} (-1)^{j-1}.$$

Take an i -face $\sigma = \{j_1, \dots, j_{i+1}\} \in K$ with $j_1 < \dots < j_{i+1}$. We write e_σ to denote the oriented simplex associated to σ considered with an increasing order of its elements:

$$e_\sigma = [j_1, \dots, j_{i+1}].$$

For every $g \in G$, we denote

$$g.\sigma = \{g(j_1), \dots, g(j_{i+1})\} \quad \text{and} \quad g.e_\sigma = [g(j_1), \dots, g(j_{i+1})].$$

The $g(j_1), \dots, g(j_{i+1})$ are not necessarily in ascending order: let $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{i+1} \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_n$ be the permutation that rearranges the elements in ascending order, and fixes the elements that are not in $g.\sigma$, so that $\tau.(g.e_\sigma) = e_{g.\sigma}$. We also define:

$$c(g, \sigma) = \text{sgn}(\tau).$$

Since τ^{-1} permutes the elements of $e_{g.\sigma}$ we obtain:

$$g.e_\sigma = \tau^{-1}.(e_{g.\sigma}) = \text{sgn}(\tau^{-1})e_{g.\sigma} = \text{sgn}(\tau)e_{g.\sigma} = c(g, \sigma)e_{g.\sigma}.$$

Similarly, we define a permutation $\bar{\tau} \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-1-i} \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_n$ which rearranges the elements of $g.e_{\bar{\sigma}}$ in ascending order:

$$\bar{\tau}.(g.e_{\bar{\sigma}}) = e_{g.\bar{\sigma}} \quad g.e_{\bar{\sigma}} = c(g, \bar{\sigma})e_{g.\bar{\sigma}}.$$

We can now formulate an important lemma that will prove to be crucial for the proof of Lemma 4:

Lemma 3. *Let $V = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and let $\sigma \subseteq V$. Then, for every $g \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we have the following:*

$$p(\sigma) \text{sgn}(g) c(g, \bar{\sigma}) = c(g, \sigma) p(g.\sigma). \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. For every $g \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we define a permutation $g' = \bar{\tau}\tau g$. First we apply the permutation g to σ and $\bar{\sigma}$. Then applying τ and $\bar{\tau}$, we rearrange in ascending order both $g.e_\sigma$ and $g.e_{\bar{\sigma}}$.

As we have defined it, g' is a permutation of \mathfrak{S}_n such that:

- if $i, j \in \sigma$ with $i < j$ then $g'(i) < g'(j)$ and
- if $i, j \in \bar{\sigma}$ with $i < j$ then $g'(i) < g'(j)$.

In particular, we have that:

$$g'.e_\sigma = e_{g'.\sigma} \quad \text{and} \quad g'.e_{\bar{\sigma}} = e_{g'.\bar{\sigma}}.$$

We can express g in the following way $g = \tau^{-1} \circ \bar{\tau}^{-1} \circ g'$. It easy to see that $p(g.\sigma) = p(g'.\sigma)$. Thus, Equation (2.1) is equivalent to:

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\sigma) \operatorname{sgn}(\tau^{-1} \bar{\tau}^{-1} g') c(g, \bar{\sigma}) &= c(g, \sigma) p(g.\sigma) \Leftrightarrow p(\sigma) \operatorname{sgn}(\tau^{-1}) \operatorname{sgn}(\bar{\tau}^{-1}) \operatorname{sgn}(g') \operatorname{sgn}(\bar{\tau}) = \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) p(g'.\sigma) \\
&\Leftrightarrow p(\sigma) \operatorname{sgn}(g') = p(g'.\sigma) \\
&\Leftrightarrow \left(\prod_{i \in \sigma} (-1)^{i-1} \right) \operatorname{sgn}(g') = \prod_{i \in \sigma} (-1)^{g'(i)-1} \\
&\Leftrightarrow \left(\prod_{i \in \sigma} (-1)^{i-g'(i)} \right) \operatorname{sgn}(g') = 1 \\
&\Leftrightarrow \prod_{i \in \sigma} (-1)^{i-g'(i)} = \operatorname{sgn}(g'). \tag{2.2}
\end{aligned}$$

In order to prove Equation (2.2), let $i \in \sigma$ be the k -th element of e_σ and we define:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_i &= \{(i, j) \mid j \in \bar{\sigma}, i < j, g'(i) > g'(j)\} \text{ and} \\
B_i &= \{(j, i) \mid j \in \bar{\sigma}, j < i, g'(j) > g'(i)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have that:

$$\operatorname{sgn}(g') = (-1)^{\sum_{i \in \sigma} (|A_i| + |B_i|)}.$$

Let us assume that $i < g'(i)$. It is easy to see that $|B_i| = 0$. Furthermore:

$$|\{(i, j) \mid j \in \bar{\sigma}, i < j\}| = (n - i) - (|\sigma| - k) = n - i - |\sigma| + k$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|\{(i, j) \mid j \in \bar{\sigma}, i < j, g'(i) < g'(j)\}| &= |\{(i, j) \mid j \in \bar{\sigma}, g'(i) < g'(j)\}| \\
&= (n - g'(i)) - (|\sigma| - k) = n - g'(i) - |\sigma| + k.
\end{aligned}$$

By subtracting term by term the two equalities above, we get:

$$|A_i| = (n - i - |\sigma| + k) - (n - g'(i) - |\sigma| + k) = g'(i) - i.$$

Similarly, if $i > g'(i)$ we have that $|B_i| = i - g'(i)$ and $|A_i| = 0$. Therefore:

$$|A_i| + |B_i| = |g'(i) - i|.$$

It follows that:

$$\operatorname{sgn}(g') = (-1)^{\sum_{i \in \sigma} |g'(i) - i|} = \prod_{i \in \sigma} (-1)^{|g'(i) - i|} = \prod_{i \in \sigma} (-1)^{g'(i) - i}.$$

□

Let 2^V be the full simplex with vertex set V .

Lemma 4. *Let K be a simplicial complex with ground set V of size n . Then*

$$\tilde{H}_{i+1}(2^V, K) \simeq \tilde{H}^{n-i-3}(K^*).$$

Furthermore, if we consider the following representations of the group G on the homology spaces of $(2^V, K)$ and K^ :*

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{i+1} : G &\longrightarrow \operatorname{GL}(\tilde{H}_{i+1}(2^V, K), \mathbb{C}) \quad \text{and} \\
\rho^{*n-i-3} : G &\longrightarrow \operatorname{GL}(\tilde{H}^{n-i-3}(K^*, \mathbb{C})) ,
\end{aligned}$$

we have that

$$\alpha_{i+1} \simeq \rho^{*n-i-3} \otimes \operatorname{sgn}.$$

Or equivalently

$$\tilde{H}_{i+1}(2^V, K) \simeq_G \tilde{H}^{n-i-3}(K^*) \otimes \text{sgn}.$$

Proof. The chain complex for reduced homology of the pair $(2^V, K)$ is the complex:

$$\cdots \mathcal{R}_{i+1} \xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} \mathcal{R}_i \xrightarrow{d_i} \mathcal{R}_{i-1} \xrightarrow{d_{i-1}} \cdots, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

where $\mathcal{R}_i = \langle e_\sigma \mid \sigma \subseteq V, \sigma \notin K, \dim(\sigma) = i \rangle$, and the d_i 's are the unique homomorphisms satisfying:

$$d_i(e_\sigma) = \sum_{\substack{k \in \sigma \\ \sigma \setminus k \notin K}} \text{sgn}(k, \sigma) e_{\sigma \setminus k}.$$

The cochain complex for reduced cohomology of K^* is the complex:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\delta_{i-1}} C^{i-1} \xrightarrow{\delta_i} C^i \xrightarrow{\delta_{i+1}} \cdots, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C^i &= \langle e_\sigma^* \mid \sigma \subseteq V, \dim(\sigma) = i, \sigma \in K^* \rangle \\ &= \langle e_\sigma^* \mid \sigma \subseteq V, \dim(\bar{\sigma}) = n - i - 2, \bar{\sigma} \notin K \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and the δ_i 's are the unique homomorphisms satisfying:

$$\delta_i(e_\sigma^*) = \sum_{\substack{k \notin \sigma \\ \sigma \cup k \in K^*}} \text{sgn}(k, \sigma \cup k) e_{\sigma \cup k}^* = \sum_{\substack{k \in \bar{\sigma} \\ \bar{\sigma} \setminus k \notin K}} \text{sgn}(k, \sigma \cup k) e_{\bar{\sigma} \setminus k}^*.$$

Let ϕ_i be the following isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_i : \mathcal{R}_i &\longrightarrow C^{n-i-2} \\ e_\sigma &\longmapsto p(\sigma) e_{\bar{\sigma}}^* \end{aligned} \quad \text{for } \sigma \notin K \text{ with } \dim(\sigma) = i. \quad (2.3)$$

We then have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} & \mathcal{R}_i & \xrightarrow{d_i} & \mathcal{R}_{i-1} & \xrightarrow{d_{i-1}} & & \\ & \downarrow \phi_i & & \downarrow \phi_{i-1} & & & \\ \xrightarrow{\delta_{n-i-2}} & C^{n-i-2} & \xrightarrow{\delta_{n-i-1}} & C^{n-i-1} & \xrightarrow{\delta_{n-i}} & & \end{array}$$

We know from the proof of Lemma 4.2 of [5] that

$$\phi_{i-1} \circ d_i = \delta_{n-i-1} \circ \phi_i. \quad (2.4)$$

Thus, we have that

$$\tilde{H}_{i+1}(2^V, K) \simeq \tilde{H}^{n-i-3}(K^*).$$

We now define the following two representations:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 : G &\longrightarrow \text{GL}(\mathcal{R}_i) & \text{by } \rho_g^1 : \mathcal{R}_i &\longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_i & \text{and} \\ g &\longmapsto \rho_g^1 & e_\sigma &\longmapsto g.e_\sigma \\ \rho_2 : G &\longrightarrow \text{GL}(C^{n-i-2} \otimes \mathbb{C}) & \text{by } \rho_g^2 : C^{n-i-2} \otimes \mathbb{C} &\longrightarrow C^{n-i-2} \otimes \mathbb{C} \\ g &\longmapsto \rho_g^2 & e_\sigma^* \otimes 1 &\longmapsto g.e_\sigma^* \otimes \text{sgn}(g) \end{aligned}$$

for $\sigma \notin K$ with $\dim(\sigma) = i$. We want to show that this two representations are isomorphic. We extend the isomorphism (2.3):

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi}_i : \mathcal{R}_i &\longrightarrow C^{n-i-2} \otimes \mathbb{C} \\ e_\sigma &\longmapsto p(\sigma) e_{\bar{\sigma}}^* \otimes 1 \end{aligned} \quad \text{for } \sigma \notin K \text{ with } \dim(\sigma) = i.$$

To prove that $\rho_1 \simeq \rho_2$ we have to show that the following diagram commutes for every $g \in G$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}_i & \xrightarrow{\rho_g^1} & \mathcal{R}_{i-1} \\ \downarrow \tilde{\phi}_i & & \downarrow \tilde{\phi}_i \\ C^{n-i-2} \otimes \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{\rho_g^2} & C^{n-i-1} \otimes \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

We have to prove that the following equation holds:

$$\rho_g^2 \circ \tilde{\phi}_i = \tilde{\phi}_i \circ \rho_g^1. \quad (2.5)$$

Note that for each $e_\sigma \in \mathcal{R}_i$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho_g^2 \circ \tilde{\phi}_i)(e_\sigma) &= \rho_g^2(p(\sigma) e_\sigma^* \otimes 1) = p(\sigma) g.e_\sigma^* \otimes \text{sgn}(g) = p(\sigma) \text{sgn}(g) c(g, \bar{\sigma}) e_{g.\bar{\sigma}}^* \otimes 1, \text{ and} \\ (\tilde{\phi}_i \circ \rho_g^1)(e_\sigma) &= \tilde{\phi}_i(g.e_\sigma) = \tilde{\phi}_i(c(g, \sigma) e_{g.\sigma}) = p(g.\sigma) c(g, \sigma) e_{g.\sigma}^* \otimes 1. \end{aligned}$$

By applying Lemma 3, since $g.\bar{\sigma} = \overline{g.\sigma}$, we have that Equation (2.5) holds.

We consider now the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & \xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} & \mathcal{R}_i & \xrightarrow{d_i} & \mathcal{R}_{i-1} & \xrightarrow{d_{i-1}} & \\ & & \downarrow \tilde{\phi}_i & & \downarrow \tilde{\phi}_{i-1} & & \\ \xrightarrow{\tilde{\delta}_{n-i-2}} & C^{n-i-2} \otimes \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\delta}_{n-i-1}} & C^{n-i-1} \otimes \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\delta}_{n-i}} & & \end{array}$$

And we define the $\tilde{\delta}_i$'s as an extension of the homomorphisms δ_i :

$$\tilde{\delta}_i(e_\sigma^* \otimes 1) = \sum_{\substack{k \notin \sigma \\ \sigma \cup k \in K^*}} \text{sgn}(k, \sigma \cup k) e_{\sigma \cup k}^* \otimes 1.$$

From Equation (2.4) it follows that:

$$\tilde{\phi}_{i-1} \circ d_i = \tilde{\delta}_{n-i-1} \circ \tilde{\phi}_i.$$

Thus, we have that:

$$\alpha_{i+1} \simeq \rho^{*n-i-3} \otimes \text{sgn}.$$

□

Lemma 5. *Let K be a simplicial complex with ground set V . Then:*

$$\tilde{H}_i(K) \simeq \tilde{H}_{i+1}((2^V, K), \mathbb{C}).$$

Furthermore if we consider the representations of the group G on the reduced homology spaces of K and $(2^V, K)$,

$$\rho_i : G \longrightarrow \text{GL}(\tilde{H}_i(K, \mathbb{C})) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{i+1} : G \longrightarrow \text{GL}(\tilde{H}_{i+1}((2^V, K), \mathbb{C})),$$

we have that

$$\rho_i \simeq \alpha_{i+1}.$$

Proof. The isomorphism follows from Theorem 23.3 of [15]: we have the long exact sequence of the pair $(2^V, K)$:

$$\cdots \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{i+1}(2^V) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{i+1}(2^V, K) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_i(K) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_i(2^V) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Since 2^V is the full simplex the spaces $\tilde{H}_{i+1}(2^V)$ and $\tilde{H}_i(2^V)$ are zero. Hence, the sequence becomes:

$$\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{i+1}(2^V, K) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_i(K) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots$$

It follows that the groups $\tilde{H}_{i+1}(2^V, K)$ and $\tilde{H}_i(K)$ are isomorphic.

We now consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{H}_{i+1}(2^V, K) & \xrightarrow{\partial^*} & \tilde{H}_i(K) \\ \alpha_{i+1,g} \downarrow & & \rho_{i,g} \downarrow \\ \tilde{H}_{i+1}(2^V, K) & \xrightarrow{\partial^*} & \tilde{H}_i(K) \end{array}$$

where ∂^* is the *homology boundary isomorphism* (see [15], Lemma 24.1):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_{i+1}(2^V) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\#}} & \mathcal{R}_{i+1}(2^V, K) \\ \downarrow \partial_{i+1}^V & & \\ C_i(K) & \xrightarrow{i_{\#}} & C_i(2^V) \end{array}$$

The isomorphism ∂^* is defined by a certain *zig-zag* process: pull back via $\pi_{\#}$, apply ∂_{i+1}^V , and pull back via $i_{\#}$. For each $g \in G$ we consider the action on the chain groups of the full simplex, of K and of $(2^V, K)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_{\#,i}^V : \quad C_i(2^V) & \longrightarrow C_i(2^V) \\ [j_1, \dots, j_{i+1}] & \longmapsto [g(j_1), \dots, g(j_{i+1})], \\ \tilde{g}_{\#,i} : \quad C_i(K) & \longrightarrow C_i(K) \\ [j_1, \dots, j_{i+1}] & \longmapsto [g(j_1), \dots, g(j_{i+1})], \\ \tilde{g}_{\#,i}^{V,K} : \quad \mathcal{R}_i(2^V, K) & \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_i(2^V, K). \\ [j_1, \dots, j_{i+1}] & \longmapsto [g(j_1), \dots, g(j_{i+1})]. \end{aligned}$$

We have that:

$$\tilde{g}_{\#,i}^V|_{C_i(K)} = \tilde{g}_{\#,i}, \quad \tilde{g}_{\#,i}^V|_{\mathcal{R}_i(2^V, K)} = \tilde{g}_{\#,i}^{V,K}.$$

We also know that each boundary operator commutes with $\tilde{g}_{\#,i}$, $\tilde{g}_{\#,i}^V$ and $\tilde{g}_{\#,i+1}^{V,K}$ from Lemma 12.1 of [15]. Let $b \in \tilde{H}_{i+1}(2^V, K)$. There exists an $a \in \mathcal{R}_{i+1}(2^V, K)$ such that $b = a + \text{Im}(d_{i+2})$. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{i,g}(\partial^*(b)) &= \rho_{i,g}(\partial_{i+1}^V(a) + \text{Im}(d_{i+2})) = \tilde{g}_{\#,i}(\partial_{i+1}^V(a)) + \text{Im}(d_{i+2}) \\ &= \tilde{g}_{\#,i}^V(\partial_{i+1}^V(a)) + \text{Im}(d_{i+2}) = \partial_{i+1}^V(\tilde{g}_{\#,i+1}^V(a)) + \text{Im}(d_{i+2}), \text{ and} \\ \partial^*(\alpha_{i+1,g}(b)) &= \partial^*(\tilde{g}_{\#,i+1}^{V,K}(a) + \text{Im}(d_{i+2})) = \partial_{i+1}^V(\tilde{g}_{\#,i+1}^{V,K}(a)) + \text{Im}(d_{i+2}) \\ &= \partial_{i+1}^V(\tilde{g}_{\#,i+1}^V(a)) + \text{Im}(d_{i+2}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have that

$$\partial^* \circ \alpha_{i+1,g} = \rho_{i,g} \circ \partial^* \quad \text{for every } g \in G,$$

and this implies that $\rho_i \simeq \alpha_{i+1}$. □

Combining the results of Lemma 5 and Lemma 4 we obtain the proof of Theorem 2.

Remark 6. From Alexander duality we know that for every simplicial complex K on vertex set V such that $V \notin K$, with $n = |V|$:

$$\tilde{H}_i(K) \simeq \tilde{H}^{n-3-i}(K^*).$$

In fact, working with complex coefficients the reduced cohomology group $\tilde{H}^j(K)$ is the dual vector space of the reduced homology group $\tilde{H}_j(K)$, so that $\tilde{H}_j(K) \simeq \tilde{H}^j(K)$. Combining the two results we obtain:

$$\tilde{H}_i(K) \simeq \tilde{H}_{n-3-i}(K^*). \quad (2.6)$$

3. EQUIVARIANT CROSS-CUT THEORY

Let L be a lattice with maximal and minimal elements $\hat{1}$ and $\hat{0}$ respectively. We recall the following definition from [7]:

Definition 7. If L is a lattice with $\hat{0}$ and $\hat{1}$, a *cross-cut* of L is a set $C \subseteq L$ such that:

- i) $\hat{0}, \hat{1} \notin C$.
- ii) If $x, y \in C$ then $x \not\leq y$ and $y \not\leq x$. (x and y are incomparable)
- iii) Any finite chain $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$ in L can be extended to a chain which contains an element of C .

In particular, axiom iii) implies that every maximal chain contains an element of C .

Let L be a lattice with $\hat{0}$ and $\hat{1}$ and let C be a *cross-cut* of L .

Definition 8. A finite subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq C$ ‘*spans*’ if and only if

$$x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n = \hat{0} \quad \text{and} \quad x_1 \vee x_2 \vee \dots \vee x_n = \hat{1}$$

Here $x \wedge y$ denotes the largest element $\leq x$ and $\leq y$, and $x \vee y$ denotes the smallest element $\geq x$ and $\geq y$.

Let $K(C)$ be the abstract simplicial complex whose vertices are the elements of C and whose simplices are all finite subsets of C which do not ‘*span*’. We denote $\tilde{H}_i(C) = \tilde{H}_i(K(C))$. Let $K(L)$ be the order complex of the lattice L and denote $\tilde{H}_i(L) = \tilde{H}_i(K(L))$. The following result was proved in [7], Theorem 3.1:

Theorem 9. Let L be a lattice and let C be a *cross-cut* of L , then:

$$\tilde{H}_i(C) \simeq \tilde{H}_i(L).$$

In order to see that the previous isomorphism is also a $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module isomorphism we need the following result:

Lemma 10. Let K be an abstract simplicial complex and let K' be its first barycentric subdivision. Let also G be a finite group of automorphisms of the face poset of K . Then we have the following isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -modules:

$$\tilde{H}_i(K) \simeq_G \tilde{H}_i(K').$$

Proof. First, we need to describe the action of G on K' . Let $\mathcal{L}(K)$ be the face poset of K ; it is clear that the order complex of $\mathcal{L}(K)$ is the barycentric subdivision of K . Thus, we have a straightforward G -action on the order complex of $\mathcal{L}(K)$ and its homology spaces. We have to show that the following two representations are isomorphic:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\rho}_i : G & \longrightarrow & \text{GL}(H_i(K)) \\ g & \longmapsto & \tilde{\rho}_{i,g} \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\rho}'_i : G & \longrightarrow & \text{GL}(H_i(K')) \\ g & \longmapsto & \tilde{\rho}'_{i,g} \end{array}$$

Let $w * K$ be a cone. If $e_\sigma = [a_0, \dots, a_i]$ is an oriented simplex of K , let

$$[w, e_\sigma] = [w, a_0, \dots, a_i]$$

denote an oriented simplex of $w * K$. This operation is well defined and is called the *bracket operation* (see [15], Section §8).

If $\sigma = \{a_0, \dots, a_i\}$ is a simplex, let $\hat{\sigma}$ denote the barycenter of σ . The complex K' equals the collection of all simplices of the form

$$[\hat{\sigma}_1, \dots, \hat{\sigma}_n] \quad \text{where } \sigma_1 \supset \dots \supset \sigma_n.$$

We know from [15], Section §17 that there is a unique augmentation-preserving chain map $\text{sd} : C_i(K) \rightarrow C_i(K')$ called the *barycentric subdivision operator* that induces an isomorphism of homology spaces. There is an inductive formula for the operator sd . It is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sd}(v) &= \hat{v} = v \quad \text{for } v \in V, \text{ and} \\ \text{sd}(e_\sigma) &= [\hat{\sigma}, \text{sd}(\partial_i(e_\sigma))] \quad \text{for } \sigma \in K \text{ with } \dim(\sigma) = i. \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider the following two representations:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \rho_i : G & \longrightarrow & \text{GL}(C_i(K)) \\ g & \longmapsto & \rho_{i,g} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \rho'_i : G & \longrightarrow & \text{GL}(C_i(K')) \\ g & \longmapsto & \rho'_{i,g} \end{array}$$

We want to show that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_i(K) & \xrightarrow{\text{sd}} & C_i(K') \\ \rho_{i,g} \downarrow & & \rho'_{i,g} \downarrow \\ C_i(K) & \xrightarrow{\text{sd}} & C_i(K') \end{array} \quad (3.1)$$

commutes for every $g \in G$. We proceed by induction on i :

- Suppose $i = 0$. It follows from the action of G on the vertices of K and K' that $\rho_{0,g}(v) = \rho'_{0,g}(v)$ for every $v \in V$. Thus:

$$\rho'_{0,g}(\text{sd}(v)) = \rho'_{0,g}(v) = \rho_{0,g}(v) = \text{sd}(\rho_{0,g}(v)).$$

- We now suppose the diagram commutes for $i = n$ and we prove it for $i = n+1$. Let $g.\sigma = \tau$, thus:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho'_{i+1,g}(\text{sd}(e_\sigma)) &= \rho'_{i+1,g}([\hat{\sigma}, \text{sd}(\partial_{i+1}(e_\sigma))]) = [\hat{\tau}, \rho'_{i,g}(\text{sd}(\partial_{i+1}(e_\sigma)))] \\ &= [\hat{\tau}, \text{sd}(\rho_{i,g}(\partial_{i+1}(e_\sigma)))] = [\hat{\tau}, \text{sd}(\partial_{i+1}(\rho_{i+1,g}(e_\sigma)))] \\ &= [\hat{\tau}, \text{sd}(\partial_{i+1}(g.e_\sigma))] = \text{sd}(g.e_\sigma) = \text{sd}(\rho_{i+1,g}(e_\sigma)). \end{aligned}$$

Since the diagram (3.1) commutes and both sd , $\rho_{i,g}$, $\rho'_{i,g}$ commute with the border operator ∂ we have that the following diagram commutes and consequently the lemma is proved:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{H}_i(K) & \xrightarrow{\text{sd}^*} & \tilde{H}_i(K') \\ \tilde{\rho}_{i,g} \downarrow & & \tilde{\rho}'_{i,g} \downarrow \\ \tilde{H}_i(K) & \xrightarrow{\text{sd}^*} & \tilde{H}_i(K'). \end{array}$$

□

Definition 11. Let L be a lattice and G a group of automorphism of L . A cross-cut C of L is G -stable if $G.C = C$, i.e., if C is the union of G -orbits.

Theorem 12. *Let L be a lattice and G a group of automorphism of L . Let C be a G -stable cross-cut of L . Then we have the following $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module isomorphism:*

$$\tilde{H}_i(L) \simeq_G \tilde{H}_i(C).$$

Proof. We briefly recall Folkman's argument. Let $K = K(L)$ be the order complex of L and let $C = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ be a cross-cut of L fixed by G . For each $\alpha \in C$ let L_α be the subcomplex of K consisting of all simplices $\{y_1, \dots, y_t\}$ such that the set $\{y_1, \dots, y_t, \alpha\}$ is totally ordered. By the third property of a cross-cut, the family $\{L_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in C}$ is a covering of K . In the proof of Theorem 3.1 ([7]) Folkman shows that $L_{\alpha_1} \cap \dots \cap L_{\alpha_n}$ has the homology of a point or is empty and shows also that

$$K(C) = \mathcal{N}(\{L_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in C}) \quad (3.2)$$

where $K(C)$ is the simplicial complex associated to the cross-cut C and $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}(\{L_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in C})$ is the nerve of the covering $\{L_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in C}$. Thus, we can apply a nerve theorem. We follow the construction made by Björner in [4] Theorem 10.6. Let $P(K)$ and $P(\mathcal{N})$ be the face lattice associated to K and \mathcal{N} , respectively. Björner defines the following order-reversing map of posets:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}: P(K) &\longrightarrow P(\mathcal{N}) \\ \sigma &\longmapsto \{\alpha \in C \mid \sigma \in L_\alpha\}. \end{aligned}$$

This map \tilde{f} induces a simplicial map f between the respective order complex of $P(K)$ and $P(\mathcal{N})$ which are the first barycentric subdivision of K and \mathcal{N} :

$$\begin{aligned} f: K' &\longrightarrow \mathcal{N}' \\ \{\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_i\} &\longmapsto \{\tilde{f}(\sigma_0), \dots, \tilde{f}(\sigma_i)\} \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_i$ are simplices of K , with $\sigma_0 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \sigma_i$ so that $\{\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_i\}$ is a simplex of K' . Applying Theorem 10.6 of [4] we get, in particular, that f induces a chain map $f_\#$ between $C_i(K')$ and $C_i(\mathcal{N}')$ in the following manner:

$$f_\#([\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_i]) = \begin{cases} [\tilde{f}(\sigma_0), \dots, \tilde{f}(\sigma_i)], & \text{if } \tilde{f}(\sigma_0), \dots, \tilde{f}(\sigma_i) \text{ are distinct} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and moreover an isomorphism f_* on homology spaces:

$$\tilde{H}_i(K') \simeq \tilde{H}_i(\mathcal{N}').$$

We need to describe the action of G on K' and \mathcal{N}' : the G -action on L induces an action on K and therefore on K' (in the sense of 10). Since C is G -stable, every $g \in G$ acts on C permuting its elements. Furthermore, since g is an order automorphism of L , it acts on the covering $\{L_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in C}$ respecting the intersection relations. Therefore G yields an action on the nerve \mathcal{N} and therefore on \mathcal{N}' . We want to show that the following two representations are isomorphic:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho}_1: G &\longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\tilde{H}_i(K')), & \tilde{\rho}_2: G &\longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\tilde{H}_i(\mathcal{N}')). \\ g &\longmapsto \tilde{\rho}_{1,g} & g &\longmapsto \tilde{\rho}_{2,g} \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1: G &\longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(C_i(K')), & \rho_2: G &\longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(C_i(\mathcal{N}')). \\ g &\longmapsto \rho_{1,g} & g &\longmapsto \rho_{2,g} \end{aligned}$$

be the representations on the chain spaces. Since $g \in G$ is an order automorphism of L we have the following: if $\tilde{f}(\sigma) = \{\alpha_{j_0}, \dots, \alpha_{j_t}\} = \beta$ then $\tilde{f}(g.\sigma) = \{g.\alpha_{j_0}, \dots, g.\alpha_{j_t}\} = g.\beta$. We explicitly describe the maps induced by $g \in G$ on the chain spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{1,g} : C_i(K') &\longrightarrow C_i(K') \\ [\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_i] &\longmapsto [g.\sigma_0, \dots, g.\sigma_i], \\ \rho_{2,g} : C_i(\mathcal{N}') &\longrightarrow C_i(\mathcal{N}'). \\ [\beta_{j_0}, \dots, \beta_{j_i}] &\longmapsto [g.\beta_{j_0}, \dots, g.\beta_{j_i}] \end{aligned}$$

where β_j are simplices of \mathcal{N} satisfying $\beta_{j_0} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \beta_{j_i}$. We want to show that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_i(K') & \xrightarrow{f_{\#}} & C_i(\mathcal{N}') \\ \rho_{1,g} \downarrow & & \rho_{2,g} \downarrow \\ C_i(K') & \xrightarrow{f_{\#}} & C_i(\mathcal{N}'), \end{array}$$

$$\text{i.e., } \rho_{2,g}(f_{\#}([\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_i])) = [g.\beta_{j_0}, \dots, g.\beta_{j_i}] = (f_{\#}(\rho_{1,g}([\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_i]))).$$

Therefore the diagram commutes and since $f_{\#}$ is a chain map we have that $\tilde{\rho}_1 \simeq \tilde{\rho}_2$, i.e., $\tilde{H}_i(K') \simeq_G \tilde{H}_i(\mathcal{N}')$. Using the results of Lemma 10 and Equation 3.2 we have the following $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module isomorphism

$$\tilde{H}_i(K) \simeq_G \tilde{H}_i((K(C))) = \tilde{H}_i(C).$$

□

Remark 13. As one of the referees pointed out, in [13] Lasker proved that $K(L)$ and $K(C)$ are homotopy equivalent. It could be shown that this homotopy equivalence is G -equivariant, which would imply another proof of Theorem 12.

4. APPLICATIONS TO MATROIDS

We now specialize the results of the previous two sections to matroids. For basic facts on matroids, the reader may refer to [17]. Let $M = (E, I)$ be a matroid with ground set E and a collection of independent sets I , which forms an abstract simplicial complex. Let $M^* = (E, I^*)$ be its dual. We recall that the rank of $A \subseteq E$ is the maximal cardinality of an element of I contained in A . We say that $A \subseteq E$ is *non-spanning* in M if $\text{rk}(A) < \text{rk}(E)$, i.e., A does not contain any basis of M . Let

$$NS(M) = \{A \subseteq E \mid A \text{ is non-spanning in } M\}.$$

It is easy to see that $NS(M)$ is an abstract simplicial complex.

Proposition 14. $A \subseteq E$ is non-spanning in M^* if and only if A^c is dependent in M .

Proof. If $A \subseteq E$ is non-spanning in M^* we have:

$$\text{rk}^*(A) < \text{rk}^*(E).$$

This is equivalent to:

$$\text{rk}(A^c) + |A| - \text{rk}(E) < \text{rk}^*(E)$$

and therefore to

$$\text{rk}(A^c) < -|A| + \text{rk}(E) + \text{rk}^*(E) = |E| - |A| = |A^c| \iff A^c \notin I.$$

For every $A \subseteq E$, we have $\text{rk}(A) \leq |A|$, thus A is independent if and only if $\text{rk}(A) = |A|$. □

Proposition 15. *Let $IN(M) = I$ be the abstract simplicial complex associated with the independent sets of the matroid $M = (E, I)$ and let I^* be its Alexander dual, then:*

$$I^* = NS(M^*).$$

Proof. Using the result shown in Proposition 14 we claim that:

$$\begin{aligned} I^* &= \{A \subseteq E : A^c \notin I\} \\ &= \{A \subseteq E : A^c \text{ is dependent in } M = (E, I)\} \\ &= \{A \subseteq E : A \text{ is not spanning of } M^*\} = NS(M^*). \end{aligned}$$

□

The previous result, together with Equation (2.6), implies the following:

$$\tilde{H}_i(NS(M)) \simeq \tilde{H}_{n-3-i}(IN(M^*)).$$

This is an isomorphism not only of vector spaces, but also of representations, up to a sign. Indeed, by applying Theorem 2, we obtain:

Theorem 16. *Let G be the automorphism group of a matroid. Then we have the following $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module isomorphism:*

$$\tilde{H}_i(NS(M)) \simeq_G \tilde{H}_{n-3-i}(IN(M^*)) \otimes \text{sgn},$$

where n is the cardinality of the ground set of M .

Similarly, we can specialize the results from Section 3 to the case of matroids. Let $M = (E, I)$ be a simple matroid with $E = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$. Let $\mathcal{L}(M)$ be the lattice of flats of M ordered by inclusion. Since M is simple, each singleton of E is a flat. Thus $\{a_1\}, \{a_2\}, \dots, \{a_m\} \in \mathcal{L}(M)$ and each corresponds to an atom of the poset $(\mathcal{L}(M), \subseteq)$. We now consider a set C defined as

$$C = \{\{a_1\}, \{a_2\}, \dots, \{a_m\}\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(M).$$

Since C satisfies the three axioms of Definition 7, the set C is a *cross-cut* of \mathcal{L} . We want to prove that:

$$K(C) = NS(M).$$

In the following proposition we perform a slight abuse of notation by identifying:

$$C = \{\{a_1\}, \{a_2\}, \dots, \{a_m\}\} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m\}.$$

Proposition 17. *$A \subseteq C$ does not ‘span’ (in the sense of Definition 8) if and only if A is a non-spanning set in $M = (E, I)$.*

Proof.

\implies In $\mathcal{L}(M)$ we have:

$$\hat{0} = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{1} = E.$$

Let $A = \{a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_n}\}$ be a subset of C . If $A \subseteq C$ does not ‘span’:

$$a_{i_1} \vee a_{i_2} \vee \dots \vee a_{i_n} = D \neq \hat{1} \tag{4.1}$$

$D \in \mathcal{L}(M)$ and $D \neq \hat{1}$ implies that D is a non-spanning subset of E because the only spanning subset in $\mathcal{L}(M)$ is $E = \hat{1}$.

It follows from (4.1) that $A \subseteq D$; since D is a non-spanning subset of E therefore A is a non-spanning subset of E .

\Leftarrow) In $NS(M)$ the bases are the maximal non-spanning subsets of E , (i.e., the subsets of E , such that if we add an element they become spanning set) so they are flats, in particular they correspond to the co-atoms of $(\mathcal{L}(M), \subseteq)$.

Let $A = \{a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_n}\}$ be a non-spanning subset of E , there exist a basis \mathcal{B} of $NS(M)$ such that:

$$\text{if } A \subseteq \mathcal{B} \text{ and } \mathcal{B} \text{ is a flat, then } \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{L}(M).$$

This implies:

$$a_{i_1} \vee a_{i_2} \vee \dots \vee a_{i_n} \subseteq \mathcal{B} \neq \hat{1}$$

therefore A does not ‘span’.

□

Using the result of Proposition 17, we obtain:

$$K(C) = NS(M).$$

Since C is a cross-cut fixed by G , we can apply Theorem 12 to $\mathcal{L}(M)$ and C itself:

Theorem 18. *Let G be the group of automorphism of the simple matroid M . Then we have the following $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module isomorphism:*

$$\tilde{H}_i(\mathcal{L}(M)) \simeq_G \tilde{H}_i(C) = \tilde{H}_i(NS(M))$$

where C is the cross-cut of $\mathcal{L}(M)$ composed of its atoms.

By combining Theorem 16 and Theorem 18, we get the following theorem:

Theorem 19. *Let G be the group of automorphism of the simple matroid M . Then we have the following $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module isomorphism:*

$$\tilde{H}_{n-3-i}(IN(M^*)) \simeq_G \tilde{H}_i(\mathcal{L}(M)) \otimes \text{sgn}$$

where n is the cardinality of the ground set of M .

5. TOP COHOMOLOGY OF HYPERPLANE ARRANGEMENTS

Let \mathcal{A} be a central arrangement of hyperplanes in \mathbb{C}^r and let $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ be its intersection lattice. Let $M_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the matroid associated with \mathcal{A} ; then the lattice of flats $\mathcal{L}(M_{\mathcal{A}})$ of $M_{\mathcal{A}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$. We can assume that the arrangement is essential: then the rank of the matroid is r . We define the complement of the arrangement:

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{C}^r \setminus \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H.$$

Let G be a subgroup of $GL(\mathbb{C}^r)$ that permutes the elements of \mathcal{A} ; it is easy to see that G is also a group of automorphism of the matroid $M_{\mathcal{A}}$. Let \mathfrak{A} be the Orlik-Solomon algebra associated to $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$, and let \mathfrak{B} be the algebra defined by shuffle defined respectively in Section 2 and Section 3 of [16]. These algebras are \mathbb{Z} -graded: we denote by \mathfrak{A}_r and \mathfrak{B}_r the direct summands corresponding to the top degree r . In Theorem 3.7 of the same paper, Orlik and Solomon provide a G -isomorphism:

$$\theta : \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}.$$

Furthermore, we state Theorem 4.3 of [16]:

Theorem 20. *Let L be a finite geometric lattice of rank $r > 1$. Then \mathfrak{B}_r and $H_{r-2}(L)$ are isomorphic $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -modules.*

Combining the previous results we get the following $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module isomorphism:

$$H^r(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})) \simeq_G \mathfrak{A}_r \simeq_G \mathfrak{B}_r \simeq_G H_{r-2}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})). \quad (5.1)$$

Applying Theorem 19 we obtain the following:

Theorem 21. *Let \mathcal{A} be a central essential hyperplane arrangement of dimension r and let $M_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the associated matroid with ground set of cardinality n . Then we have the following $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module isomorphism:*

$$H^r(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})) \simeq_G H_{n-r-1}(IN(M_{\mathcal{A}}^*)) \otimes \text{sgn}.$$

In [14] Lehrer and Solomon conjecture that if W is a Coxeter group and \mathcal{A}_W is the hyperplane arrangement associated to W then there is a $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module isomorphism

$$H^p(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}_W)) \simeq_W \bigoplus_c \text{Ind}_{Z(c)}^W(\xi_c) \quad p = 0, \dots, \text{rank}(W)$$

where c runs over a set of representatives for the conjugacy classes of W such that the dimension of the image of c (viewed as an element of $GL(V)$) is equal to p and ξ_c is a suitable character of the centralizer $Z(c)$ of c in W . They proved the conjecture for group of rank 2 and for $W = \mathfrak{S}_r$. In the case of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_r the arrangement $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}_r}$ is the braid arrangement and the intersection lattice $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ is the *partition lattice* Π_r , that is, the family of all partitions of the set $\{1, \dots, r\}$ partially ordered by refinement. Stanley studied the representations on the homology of the partition lattice in [20]. By Equation (5.1), his result agrees with the conjecture of Lehrer and Solomon.

We remark that Theorem 21 allows us to rewrite Lehrer and Solomon' conjecture in the top cohomology case in the language of matroids:

$$H_{n-r-1}(IN(M_{\mathcal{A}_W}^*)) \simeq_W \bigoplus_c \text{Ind}_{Z(c)}^W(\xi_c) \otimes \text{sgn}.$$

6. CONED GRAPHS AND COMPLETE BIPARTITE GRAPHS

In [11], Woong Kook studied the homology of the independence complex $IN(M(\widehat{\Gamma}))$ of the matroid associated to a *coned graph* $\widehat{\Gamma}$, i.e. the graph obtained by adding a new vertex p to a graph Γ and joining each vertex of Γ to p by a simple edge. We recall the following definition from ([11], Section 2):

Definition 22. An *edge-rooted forest* (F, \mathbf{e}) in Γ is a spanning forest F that contains at least one edge for each connected component of Γ , together with the datum \mathbf{e} of one edge for each component (called *edge root*).

The rank of the only non zero homology group of $IN(M(\widehat{\Gamma}))$ is shown to be equal to the cardinality of the set of *edge-rooted forests* $\mathcal{F}_e(\Gamma)$ in Γ .

In Section 3, Kook constructs a basis $\{z_{F, \mathbf{e}} : (F, \mathbf{e}) \in \mathcal{F}_e(\Gamma)\}$ for $\widetilde{H}_{n-1}(IN(M(\widehat{\Gamma})))$ (where n is the number of vertices of Γ). This basis is indexed by the elements $(F, \mathbf{e}) \in \mathcal{F}_e(\Gamma)$. In the same Section, Kook describes the action of the automorphism group $G = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ on $\widetilde{H}_{n-1}(IN(M(\widehat{\Gamma})))$ for a finite simple graph Γ , showing that this action is isomorphic to the permutation action on $\mathcal{F}_e(\Gamma)$ tensored with the sign representation:

$$g(z_{F, \mathbf{e}}) = \text{sgn}(g) z_{g(F, \mathbf{e})}$$

where $g(F, \mathbf{e}) = (g(F), g(\mathbf{e}))$ (see Theorem 6, [11]). Extending by linearity those two G -actions, we obtain two representations of G , respectively on $\widetilde{H}_{n-1}(IN(M(\widehat{\Gamma})), \mathbb{C})$

and on the vector space $\mathcal{F}_e(\Gamma)$ of formal \mathbb{C} -linear combinations of elements of $\mathcal{F}_e(\Gamma)$, which are isomorphic up to a sign:

$$\tilde{H}_{n-1}(IN(M(\hat{\Gamma}))) \simeq_G \mathcal{F}_e(\Gamma) \otimes \text{sgn}.$$

Applying Theorem 19 we obtain the following $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module isomorphism:

$$\tilde{H}_{l-n-2}(\mathcal{L}(M^*(\hat{\Gamma}))) \simeq_G \mathcal{F}_e(\Gamma) \quad (6.1)$$

where l is the number of edges of $\hat{\Gamma}$.

Furthermore, in [12] Woong Kook and Kang-Ju Lee studied the homology of the independence complex $IN(M(K_{m+1,n+1}))$ of the matroid associated to the complete bipartite graph $K_{m+1,n+1}$. We need the following definition:

Definition 23. A *B-edge-rooted forest* $(F, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{e})$ in a complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ ($m, n \geq 1$) is a spanning forest F in $K_{m,n}$ composed of two kinds of connected components such that

- exactly one component is *bi-rooted*, i.e., has one vertex-root in each bipartite set;
- each of the remaining components is *edge-rooted*, i.e., has one edge marked as edge-root. (See Definition 3.3 in [12]).

The rank of the only non zero homology group of $IN(M(K_{m+1,n+1}))$ is shown to be equal to the cardinality of the set of the *B-edge-rooted forests* $\mathcal{F}_e^B(K_{m,n})$ in $K_{m,n}$.

In Section 5, the authors construct a basis $\{z_{F,\mathbf{b},\mathbf{e}} : (F, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{e}) \in \mathcal{F}_e^B(K_{m,n})\}$ for $\tilde{H}_{m+n}(IN(M(K_{m+1,n+1})))$. This basis is indexed by the elements $(F, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{e}) \in \mathcal{F}_e^B(K_{m,n})$. In the same section, they proved the following theorem:

Theorem 24. *The action of $\mathfrak{S}_m \times \mathfrak{S}_n$ as a subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}_{m+1} \times \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ on $\tilde{H}_{m+n}(IN(M(K_{m+1,n+1})))$ is isomorphic to the action on $\mathcal{F}_e^B(K_{m,n})$ tensored with the sign representation:*

$$\sigma(z_{F,\mathbf{b},\mathbf{e}}) = \text{sgn}(\sigma) z_{\sigma(F,\mathbf{b},\mathbf{e})}.$$

Now we consider the representations of the group $\mathfrak{S}_m \times \mathfrak{S}_n$ that extend by linearity the two $\mathfrak{S}_m \times \mathfrak{S}_n$ -actions, respectively on the vector space $\tilde{H}_{m+n}(IN(M(K_{m+1,n+1})))$ and on the vector space $\mathcal{F}_e^B(K_{m,n})$ of formal \mathbb{C} -linear combinations of elements of $\mathcal{F}_e^B(K_{m,n})$. Clearly we have the following $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_m \times \mathfrak{S}_n]$ -module isomorphism:

$$\tilde{H}_{m+n}(IN(M(K_{m+1,n+1}))) \simeq_{\mathfrak{S}_m \times \mathfrak{S}_n} \mathcal{F}_e^B(K_{m,n}) \otimes \text{sgn}.$$

Applying Theorem 19 we obtain the following $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_m \times \mathfrak{S}_n]$ -module isomorphism:

$$\tilde{H}_{l-m-n-3}(\mathcal{L}(M^*(K_{m+1,n+1}))) \simeq_{\mathfrak{S}_m \times \mathfrak{S}_n} \mathcal{F}_e^B(K_{m,n}) \quad (6.2)$$

where l is the number of edges of $K_{m+1,n+1}$.

7. THE DUAL MATROID OF THE COMPLETE GRAPH

We now consider the matroid $M(K_m)$ of the complete graph K_m , which has rank $r = m - 1$ and ground set of cardinality $n = \binom{m}{2}$. This matroid is isomorphic to the matroid $M(\Phi_{A_{m-1}}^+)$ associated with the positive roots of the root system of type A_{m-1} . In fact, this is the case of interest in [6].

We recall that the lattice of flats of this matroid is isomorphic to the partition lattice Π_m . In this case, Theorem 19 specializes to the following:

Theorem 25. $\tilde{H}_{n-3-i}(IN(M^*(K_m)))$ and $\tilde{H}_i(\Pi_m) \otimes \text{sgn}$ are isomorphic as \mathfrak{S}_m -modules for every $i \geq 0$.

Remark 26. In [6], de Cataldo, Heinloth and Migliorini apply this result to the computation of the supports of the perverse cohomology sheaves of the Hitchin fibration for GL_m over the locus of reduced spectral curves.

Rephrased in terms of root system of type A_{m-1} , the theorem above yields the following $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module isomorphism:

$$\tilde{H}_{n-3-i}(IN(M^*(\Phi_{A_{m-1}}^+))) \simeq_{\mathfrak{S}_m} \tilde{H}_i(\Pi_m) \otimes \text{sgn} \quad (7.1)$$

where

$$n = |E(M^*(\Phi_{A_{m-1}}^+))| = |\Phi^+(A_{m-1})| = \binom{m}{2} = \frac{m(m-1)}{2}.$$

Remark 27. We can make a dimensional calculation to better understand the dimensional shift. The matroid $M(\Phi_{A_{m-1}}^+, I)$ has rank equal to $m-1$, i.e. each basis has $m-1$ elements. Therefore, the matroid $M^*(\Phi_{A_{m-1}}^+, I)$ has rank equal to:

$$n - (m-1) = \frac{m(m-1)}{2} - (m-1) = \frac{(m-1)(m-2)}{2}.$$

Thus, the dimension of the top homology of $IN(M^*(\Phi_{A_{m-1}}^+))$ is one less than the number of the elements of a basis of $M^*(\Phi_{A_{m-1}}^+, I)$:

$$\frac{(m-1)(m-2)}{2} - 1.$$

By Equation 7.1 we have the following isomorphism of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces:

$$\tilde{H}_{n-3-i}(IN(M^*(\Phi_{A_{m-1}}^+))) \simeq \tilde{H}_i(\Pi_m).$$

We impose

$$n - 3 - i = \frac{(m-1)(m-2)}{2} - 1$$

then we have $i = m-3$ from $n = m(m-1)/2$. Indeed, $H_{m-3}(\Pi_m)$ is the only nonzero homology group of Π_m .

By Theorem 25 these two representations

$$\rho_{n-m} : \mathfrak{S}_m \longrightarrow \text{GL}(\tilde{H}_{n-m}(IN(M^*(\Phi_{A_{m-1}}^+))))$$

and

$$\gamma_{m-3} : \mathfrak{S}_m \longrightarrow \text{GL}(\tilde{H}_{m-3}(\Pi_m) \otimes \text{sgn})$$

are isomorphic. From a result due to Stanley ([20], Theorem 7.3) we know that the representations on the top homology of the partition lattice

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{m-3} : \mathfrak{S}_m \longrightarrow \text{GL}(\tilde{H}_{m-3}(\Pi_m))$$

are the following

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{m-3} \simeq \text{sgn} \otimes \text{ind}_{C_m}^{\mathfrak{S}_m}(e^{2\pi i/m}).$$

Thus, we get

$$\rho_{n-m} \simeq \text{ind}_{C_m}^{\mathfrak{S}_m}(e^{2\pi i/m})$$

or as $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_m]$ -modules:

$$\tilde{H}_{n-m}(IN(M^*(\Phi_{A_{m-1}}^+))) \simeq_{\mathfrak{S}_m} \text{ind}_{C_m}^{\mathfrak{S}_m}(e^{2\pi i/m}).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] K. BACLAWSKY AND A. BJÖRNER, *Fixed points in partially ordered set*, Advances in Math. **31** (1979), 263-287.
- [2] A. BJÖRNER, *Homology and shellability of geometric lattices*, Matroid Applications, ed. N. White, Cambridge University Press, 1992, pp. 226-283.
- [3] A. BJÖRNER, *On the homology of geometric lattices*, Algebra Universalis **14** (1982), 107-128.
- [4] A. BJÖRNER, *Topological Methods*, Handbook of Combinatorics, ed. R. Graham, M. Grötschel, L. Lovász, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1995, 1819-1872.
- [5] A. BJÖRNER AND M. TANCER, *Note: Combinatorial Alexander Duality - A Short and Elementary Proof*, Discrete & Computational Geometry **42** (2009), 586-593.
- [6] M. DE CATALDO, J. HEINLOTH AND L. MIGLIORINI, *A support theorem for the Hitchin fibration: the case of GL_n and K_C* , arXiv:1906.09582.
- [7] J. FOLKMAN, *The Homology Groups of A Lattice*, J. Math. Mech. **15** (1966), 631-636.
- [8] P. HANLON, *The fixed-point partition lattices*, Pacific J. Math. **96** (1981), 319-341.
- [9] A. HATCHER, *Algebraic Topology*, Cambridge University Press, New York (2010).
- [10] J.E. HUMPHREYS, *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*, Springer, New York (1975).
- [11] W. KOOK, *The homology of the cycle matroid of a coned graph*, European J. Combin. **28** (2007), 734-741.
- [12] W. KOOK AND K.J. LEE, *Mobius coinvariants and bipartite edge-rooted forests*, European J. Combin. **71** (2018), 180-193.
- [13] H. LAKSER, *The homology of a lattice*, Discrete Math. **1** (1971), 187-192.
- [14] G.I. LEHRER AND L. SOLOMON, *On the action of the symmetric group on the cohomology of the complement of its reflecting hyperplanes*, J. Algebra **104** (1986), 410-424.
- [15] J.R. MUNKRES, *Elements of Algebraic Topology*, The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company, Inc., Menlo Park (1984).
- [16] P. ORLIK AND L. SOLOMON, *Combinatorics and topology of complements of hyperplanes*, Invent. Math. **56** (1980), 167-189.
- [17] J.G. OXLEY, *Matroid Theory*, Oxford Univ. Press, (1992).
- [18] G.C. ROTA, *On the foundation of combinatorial theory. I. Theory of Mobius functions*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete **2** (1964), 340-368.
- [19] J.P. SERRE, *Linear Representations of Finite Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York Berlin Heidelberg (1977).
- [20] R.P. STANLEY, *Some aspects of groups acting on finite posets*, J. Combin. Theory (A) **32** (1982), 132-161.