# Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

 $\beta$ -amylase 1 (BAM1) degrades transitory starch to sustain proline biosynthesis during drought stress

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

#### Published Version:

Zanella, M., Borghi, G.L., Pirone, C., Thalmann, M., Pazmino, D., Costa, A., et al. (2016). β-amylase 1 (BAM1) degrades transitory starch to sustain proline biosynthesis during drought stress. JOURNAL OF EXPERIMENTAL BOTANY, 67(6), 1819-1826 [10.1093/jxb/erv572].

Availability:

This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/559691 since: 2022-02-14

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv572

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

- 1 This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:
- 2 β-amylase 1 (BAM1) degrades transitory starch to sustain proline
- 3 biosynthesis during drought stress
- 4 Martina Zanella, Gian Luca Borghi, Claudia Pirone, Matthias Thalmann,
- 5 Diana Pazmino, Alex Costa, Diana Santelia, Paolo Trost, Francesca Sparla
- 6 Author Notes
- Journal of Experimental Botany, Volume 67, Issue 6, March 2016, Pages
- 8 | 1819–1826, https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv572

9

- The final published version is available online at:
- 11 https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv572

12

- 13 Rights / License:
- 14 The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in
- the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's
- 16 website.

**ß-amylase 1 (BAM1) degrades transitory starch to sustain proline biosynthesis** 1 during drought stress 2 3 <sup>†</sup>Martina Zanella<sup>1,2</sup>, <sup>†</sup>Gian Luca Borghi<sup>1</sup>, Claudia Pirone<sup>1</sup>, Matthias Thalmann<sup>2</sup>, Diana 4 Pazmino<sup>2</sup>, Alex Costa<sup>3</sup>, Diana Santelia<sup>2</sup>, Paolo Trost<sup>1</sup>, Francesca Sparla<sup>1</sup> 5 6 7 <sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmacy and Biotechnology FaBiT, University of Bologna, Via Irnerio 42, 40126 Bologna, Italy 8 <sup>2</sup>Institute of Plant Biology, University of Zürich, Zollikerstrasse 107, CH-8008 Zurich, 9 Switzerland 10 <sup>3</sup>Department of Bioscience, University of Milan, Via Celoria 26, 20133 Milano, Italy 11 12 <sup>†</sup>MZ and GLB equally contributed to this work 13 14 e-mail addresses: Martina Zanella (martina.zanella@biol.ethz.ch); Gian Luca Borghi 15 (borghi.gianluca@yahoo.com); Claudia Pirone (claudia.pirone@studio.unibo.it); Matthias 16 17 Thalmann (m.thalmann@botinst.uzh.ch); Diana Pazmino (diana.pazmino@botinst.uzh.ch); Alex Costa (alex.costa@unimi.it); Diana Santelia (dsantelia@botinst.uzh.ch). 18 19 Corresponding author: 20 Francesca Sparla 21 e-mail: francesca.sparla@unibo.it 22 phone: +39 0512091281; fax: +39 051 051242576 23 Co-corresponding author: 24 Paolo Trost 25 e-mail: paolo.trost@unibo.it 26 phone: +39 0512091329; fax: +39 051 051242576 27 28 Running title: The interplay between starch and proline under osmotic stress 29 30 Date of submission: 12 November 2015 31 **Number of figures:** 6 (all figures coloured online-only) 32

Number of supplementary figures: 3

**Number of supplementary tables:** 6

33

2 Total word count: 5870

## Highlight:

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

In response to mild and prolonged osmotic stress, BAM1-dependent starch degradation in mesophyll cells releases carbon skeletons required for the production of osmolytes.

## Abstract:

During photosynthesis of higher plants, absorbed light energy is converted into chemical energy that, in part, is accumulated in the form of transitory starch within chloroplasts. In the following night, transitory starch is mobilized to sustain the heterotrophic metabolism of the plant. G-amylases are glucan hydrolases that cleave  $\alpha$ -1,4-glycosidic bonds of starch and release maltose units from the non-reducing end of the polysaccharide chain. In Arabidopsis, nocturnal degradation of transitory starch involves mainly ß-amylase-3 (BAM3). A second ß-amylase isoform, ß-amylase-1 (BAM1), is involved in diurnal starch degradation in guard cells, a process that sustains stomata opening. However, BAM1 does also contribute to diurnal starch turnover in mesophyll cells under osmotic stress. With the aim of dissecting the role of ß-amylases in osmotic stress responses in Arabidopsis, mutant plants lacking either BAM1 or BAM3 were subject to a mild (150 mM mannitol) and prolonged (up to one week) osmotic stress. We show here that leaves of osmotically-stressed bam1 plants accumulated more starch and less soluble sugars than both wild-type and bam3 plants during the day. Moreover, bam1 mutants were impaired in proline accumulation and suffered from a stronger lipid peroxidation, again in comparison with both wild-type and bam3 plants. Taken together, these data strongly suggest that carbon skeletons deriving from BAM1 diurnal degradation of transitory starch support the biosynthesis of proline required to face the osmotic stress. We propose the transitorystarch/proline interplay as an interesting trait to be tackled by breeding technologies aimed in improving drought tolerance in relevant crops.

**Keywords:** Arabidopsis, β-amylases, drought, proline, transitory starch, osmolytes.

#### Introduction:

1

Starch is a polymer of D-glucose and represents a convenient way to store carbohydrates 2 as semi-crystalline and osmotically inert granules composed of about 70-90% by the 3 highly branched amylopectin polymer and for the remaining 30-10% by the less branched 4 amylose (Denyer et al., 2001; Zeeman et al., 2002; Streb et al., 2012). As a consequence 5 of its structure, glucose units embedded in the starch granule may not be immediately 6 available to satisfy the different demands of the organism in case of urgent request. The 7 tight regulation of several enzymes involved in starch degradation seems consistent with 8 the need to speed up the use of starch under particular conditions, i.e. under stress 9 (Santelia et al., 2015). 10 Two kinds of starch, structurally indistinguishable, are found in plants: secondary and 11 transitory starch. This physiological distinction is mainly based on different storage organs 12 13 and on different rates of synthesis and degradation (Smith et al., 2005). Because of its commercial relevance, secondary starch has been deeply investigated, also with the aim 14 15 of creating new starch structures for industrial applications (Jobling, 2004; Santelia and Zeeman, 2011; Bahaji et al., 2014). Conversely, the physiology of transitory starch has 16 become a major topic of research only in last decades (Zeeman et al., 2007; Stitt and 17 Zeeman, 2012), with increasing evidence of the involvement of transitory starch 18 metabolism in response to stress (Hummel et al., 2010; Valerio et al., 2011; Santelia et al., 19 2015; Prasch et al., 2015). 20 Due to their sessile nature, plants have to cope not only with rapid and daily environmental 21 changes, but they must also balance the energy needed for growth with the energy 22 required for stress responses. Starch biosynthesis is tightly correlated with photosynthesis, 23 another process strongly affected by the environment. In the model plant Arabidopsis 24 thaliana, half of the photo-assimilates produced by the Calvin-Benson cycle during the day 25 26 are typically exported to the cytosol to supply carbon skeletons for anabolic or catabolic processes, whereas the remaining half is retained in the chloroplast for transitory starch 27 biosynthesis (Zeeman and ap Rees, 1999). Under normal growth condition, the export of 28 organic carbon is mediated by two different transport mechanisms, which operate at 29 different times of the diurnal cycle. During the day, photoassimilates mainly reach the 30 cytosol via the triose phosphate/phosphate translocator (TPT) (Flügge, 1999), whereas 31 during the night ß-maltose (the major product of starch degradation) and glucose are 32 exported to the cytoplasm via the maltose (MEX1) (Nittylä et al., 2004) and glucose (GLT 33 and GT) (Cho et al., 2011; Flügge et al., 2011) transporters, respectively. 34

ß-Amylases are the only enzymes that produce ß-maltose, thereby connecting starch 1 degradation in chloroplasts with sugar metabolism in the cytoplasm. Several ß-amylases 2 are encoded by the Arabidopsis genome (Lloyd et al., 2005). BAM3 is a major, catalytically 3 active ß-amylase that is necessary for nocturnal starch degradation under physiological 4 conditions. Conversely, BAM1 is little or not even involved in such process (Fulton et al., 5 2008; Kötting et al., 2010). However, in response to drought or salt stress, BAM1 becomes 6 a predominant ß-amylase of leaves and is required for starch breakdown in mesophyll 7 cells (Valerio et al., 2011; Monroe et al., 2014). 8 9 Water stress has severe negative impacts on plant growth and productivity (Cattivelli et al., 2008; Rockström and Falkenmark, 2010; Osakabe et al., 2014). A common trait of many 10 11 plants affected by drought or salinity stress is the accumulation of osmoprotectants such as proline, glycine betaine, and sugars alcohols (Szabados and Savourè, 2009; Liang et 12 13 al. 2013). Proline accumulation occurs at very high levels when plants experience conditions of low water potential. Proline concentration can increase up to 100-fold in 14 15 comparison to control conditions (Verbruggen and Hermans, 2008; Szabados and Savourè, 2009). However proline does not only function as an osmoprotectant, but it can 16 also efficiently scavenge reactive oxygen species (ROS), thus protecting the cell from 17 oxidative damage (Matysik et al., 2002, Bartels and Sunkar, 2005). 18 In plants, proline synthesis occurs both in the cytosol and in the chloroplast, whereas 19 degradation occurs only in mitochondria. Carbon skeletons for proline biosynthesis are 20 provided by primary metabolism through the glutamate pool. Whether starch degradation 21 is involved in this process is currently unknown. 22 To investigate the possible interplay between transitory starch and proline metabolisms 23 under drought stress, the response to 150 mM mannitol treatments of two single T-DNA 24 insertion mutants, bam1 and bam3, and wild-type plants was studied and compared. The 25 26 findings strongly suggest that in the drought stress response of Arabidopsis, BAM1 and not BAM3 is the major player of starch degradation in the light, a metabolic pathway that 27 provides carbon skeletons for the biosynthesis of sucrose and proline to counteract both 28

osmotic stress and oxidative damage.

29

#### Material and Methods:

## Plant materials and growth conditions

- 3 Wild-type, T-DNAs and BAM1 promoter::GUS plants of Arabidopsis thaliana (ecotype
- 4 Columbia, Col-0) were hydroponically grown at a constant temperature of 22°C, under 12
- 5 h light/ 12 h dark cycle with a photosynthetic photon flux density of 110 μmol m<sup>-2</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup>, as
- 6 described in Valerio et al., 2011. GUS line and insertion sites of the T-DNA in bam1
- 7 (SALK 039895) and bam3 (CS92461) mutants were already analyzed (Fulton et al., 2008;
- 8 Valerio et al., 2011).

#### Stress conditions

To better analyze the response of Arabidopsis plants to drought, previously tested conditions (300 mM mannitol up to 8 h; Valerio *et al.*, 2011) were changed in order to obtain a mild (150 mM mannitol) and prolonged (up to 7.5-d) osmotic stress. Mild osmotic stress was applied to 28/31-d-old plants (excluded 3/4 days of stratification time at 4°C in darkness), 1 h after switching on the light. Treated plants were transferred to a freshly prepared hydroponic medium supplemented with 150 mM mannitol. If not differently specified, plants were harvested either at the end of light (12 h light) or the end of dark (12 h dark), every 12 hours for a maximum of 7.5-d after the beginning of the treatment (DAT). Samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C before analysis.

## GUS staining

Histochemical GUS staining was performed as described in Valerio *et al.*, 2011. For each condition and for each time point, 3 independent transgenic plants were analyzed. Control and treated (150 mM mannitol) plants were collected every day during the experiment, always at the end of the 12-h light period. Stained plants were examined by bright-field microscopy using a Nikon Eclipse 90-I microscope. The images show representative plants and leaves.

#### Determination of water loss

The loss of water in leaves was determined as the ratio between the dry weight (DW) and the fresh weight (FW), measured on single plants collected at 12 h of light and 12 h of dark, under control or stress conditions, during a 6-d experiment. FW was scored immediately after excision and DW was determined after incubation at 80°C for 24h. Five independent biological replicates were analyzed.

## Quantification of starch and soluble sugars

Quantification of starch and soluble sugars were carried out on whole rosette leaves of 3-5 plants for each experimental point. Starch was quantified on bleached leaves as described in Smith and Zeeman, 2006. Quantification of sucrose, glucose and maltose was performed as described in Egli *et al.*, 2010 on freeze-dried supernatants obtained from an extraction of 15 min at 80°C with 80% ethanol. Three independent biological replicas were analyzed.

## Lipid peroxidation assay

Oxidative damage was estimated by measuring total lipid peroxidation using the 2-thiobarbituric acid (TBA) assay, as described in Guidi *et al.*, 1999. Briefly, about 200 mg of leaves, powdered in liquid nitrogen, were vigorously mixed with 3 volumes of 0.1% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid (TCA). Samples were centrifuged and 0.5 ml of each supernatant were transferred into a screw cap tube in the presence of 2.0 ml 20% (w/v) TCA and 1.5 µl 0.5% (w/v) TBA. Following a 30 min incubation at 90°C, the reaction was stopped by placing the tubes in an ice-water bath. Samples were centrifuged and the absorbance of the supernatants was monitored at 532 nm, subtracting the non-specific absorption at 600 nm. The amount of MDA-TBA complex was calculated from the extinction coefficient 155 mM<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>. Three independent biological replicas were analyzed.

## Proline quantification

Samples stored at -80°C were ground in liquid nitrogen and free proline content was measured as described by Bates *et al.*, 1973. Briefly, 1.2 ml of 3% 5-sulfosalicilic acid were added to 50 mg of powdered leaves. Samples were centrifuged and appropriate volumes of supernatant were transferred into clean tubes and brought to a final volume of 1 ml with water, mixed with an equal volume of glacial acetic acid and 2.5% ninhydrin reagent (1:1:1). Samples were incubated at 90°C for 1 h, cooled in ice, combined with an equal volume of toluene and mixed vigorously. Following phase partitioning, the absorbance of the upper phase was monitored at 520 nm. The calibration curve was prepared using different proline concentrations as standard. From 3 to 4 independent biological replicas were analyzed.

#### Results:

1

2

12

14

15

25

26

## Mild osmotic stress induces BAM1 promoter activity

- 3 To better understand the activation of BAM1 in response to mild osmotic stress, the
- 4 activity of GUS in Arabidopsis plants stably transformed with the BAM1 promoter
- 5 controlling the GUS reporter gene (BAM1promoter::GUS plants) was examined. Adult
- 6 plants were exposed to 150 mM mannitol and collected every day for one week.
- As previously reported in Valerio et al., 2011, in the absence of stress, GUS activity of
- 8 BAM1promoter::GUS plants was mainly confined to guard cells (Figure S1) and almost
- 9 absent from mesophyll cells (Fig. 1, right panel). Under mild osmotic stress, a slight
- increase in the promoter activity of *BAM1* appeared already at the beginning of the stress,
- albeit confined to leaf veins (Fig. 1A-B, left panel). Upon prolonged stress, GUS activity
  - spread to mesophyll cells, first in young leaves and then throughout the whole rosette (Fig.
- 13 1C-E, left panel).

## Water loss in response to stress

- ß-amylase 3 (BAM3) is the major isoform responsible for transitory starch degradation at night (Lao *et al.*, 1999; Fulton *et al.*, 2008). To get insights into the role of BAM1 in starch
- degradation in response to osmotic stress, bam3 T-DNA mutant plants were also
- analyzed. Dehydration rates of bam1, bam3 and wild-type plants in response to 150 mM
- 20 mannitol were determined (Figure S2). The obtained data did not show statistically
- 21 significant differences among the three genotypes, neither in response to stress nor in
- 22 control conditions (Supplementary Table S1). The similar decrease in water content
- 23 observed in the three genotypes during the whole experiment, allows a comparison
- between genotypes of data expressed on a FW basis.

#### Starch content at the end of the light period

- 27 To investigate the involvement of BAM3- and BAM1-dependent starch degradation
- pathways in response to drought stress, starch content was measured in leaves at 12 h
- 29 light, before and after the mannitol treatment (Fig. 2 and Figure S3).
- 30 Consistent with the predominant role of BAM3 in transitory starch degradation (Fulton et
- 31 al., 2008), under control growth conditions bam3 plants showed the well-known starch
- excess (sex) phenotype, characterized by small plants with high starch content (~3-fold
- higher in comparison to wild-type plants) (Fig. 2). Conversely, in comparison to wild-type

- plants bam1 mutant did not show any significant change in starch concentration (Fig. 2;
- 2 Supplementary Table S2), again in agreement with the literature (Fulton *et al.*, 2008).
- 3 In response to osmotic stress, the ratio in starch content between bam3 and wild-type
- 4 samples suddenly decreased from ~3 (in absence of mannitol) to ~2 (in presence of
- 5 mannitol), remaining roughly constant throughout the experiment (Fig. 2). On average, the
- amount of starch contained in *bam3* plants at the end of the day was reduced by ~50 µmol
- of glucose equivalents g<sup>-1</sup> FW as a consequence of the stress. Although with a different
- timing, an opposite behaviour was observed in *bam1* plants. During the first three days of
- 9 experiment starch content in *bam1* plants remained similar to the wild-type, but doubled
- wild-type levels from the fourth day on (Fig. 2). An average increase of ~50 µmol glucose
- 11 equivalents g<sup>-1</sup> FW was calculated.

## Starch content at the end of the night period

- To further analyze the involvement of ß-amylases on transitory starch turnover in response
- to drought, starch concentration was also measured at the end of the night (12 h dark),
- before and after mannitol treatment (Fig. 3). As expected under control condition, wild-type
- and bam1 plants did not differ in their starch content, while bam3 plants confirmed the sex
- phenotype (Fig. 3; Supplementary Table S3)(Fulton *et al.*, 2008).
- High levels of starch were maintained in *bam3* mutants in the first two days of experiment
- 20 (Fig. 3). Conversely, *bam1* plants rapidly responded to 150 mM mannitol with an increase
- in starch concentration that, within the first two days of experiment, made them closer to
- 22 bam3 than wild-type plants. Later in the experiment (from 3 to 6 DAT) no significant
- 23 differences were observed among the three genotypes in response to 150 mM mannitol
- 24 (Fig. 3).

25

26

12

13

#### Lipid peroxidation

- A common effect of osmotic stress is the accumulation of free oxygen radicals (Aranjuelo *et al.*, 2011; Wilhelm and Selmar, 2011) leading to oxidation of unsaturated fatty acids and
- membrane damage (Hernandez et al., 1993; Fadzilla et al., 1997). Lipid peroxidation
- 30 induced by osmotic stress was evaluated as malondialdehyde (MDA) concentration on
- bam1, bam3 and wild-type plants treated with 150 mM mannitol. The exposure to the
- osmotic stress increased MDA concentration in all genotypes in a time-dependent manner
- 33 (Fig. 4; Supplementary Table S4). However, only bam1 samples collected at 4.5 DAT
- showed a ~2-fold increase of MDA concentration in comparison to wild-type, suggesting

that BAM1 is an essential component of Arabidopsis response to the oxidative damage caused by the osmotic stress.

4 Proline content

Proline is considered a compatible osmolyte and its accumulation in response to different stresses has been reported in several plant species (Szabados and Savouré, 2009). In order to test whether proline accumulation in osmotically stressed Arabidopsis plant might depend on the activity of β-amylases, proline concentration was measured in rosette leaves of wild-type, bam1 and bam3 plants subject to 150 mM mannitol treatments (Fig. 5). In the absence of stress, similar proline concentrations (~ 0.67 µmol g<sup>-1</sup> FW) were measured in the three genotypes and no significant differences were observed until 2.5 DAT (Fig. 5; Supplementary Table S5). At 3.5 DAT both bam1 and bam3 mutants showed less proline accumulation in respect to the wild-type. However at later time points, only bam1 mutant showed a limited accumulation of proline, while bam3 plants recovered the same proline concentration of wild-type plants (Fig. 5). Interestingly at 6.5 DAT, the lower proline content of *bam1* mutant in respect to wild-type (and bam3 plants) corresponded to ~37 µmol of proline g<sup>-1</sup> FW (Fig. 5). Considering that the same mutant at the same time point accumulated a surplus of ~48 µmol of glucose equivalents g<sup>-1</sup> FW (Fig. 2), it seems reasonable that impaired starch degradation was the reason of the failure in proline accumulation.

22 Soluble sugars

Sucrose, maltose and glucose concentrations were measured in wild-type, *bam1* and *bam3* plants in response to 150 mM mannitol both at 12 h of light and 12 h of dark (Fig. 6; Supplementary Table S6). Under control conditions, the concentration of soluble sugars in all genotypes at the end of the day or at the end of the night, resembled the values already reported in the literature (Fulton *et al.*, 2008; Hummel *et al.*, 2010). Glucose was higher than sucrose, that was much higher than maltose, and all three sugars appeared to be more concentrated at the end of the day than at the end of the night.

Alike to what was observed for transitory starch (Fig. 3), during the osmotic stress experiment, soluble sugars concentrations measured at the end of the night were essentially similar among the genotypes (Fig. 6, right panels), with the only exception of maltose in *bam3* mutant at 1 DAT, that was more concentrated than in the wild-type (Fulton *et al.*, 2008). On the contrary, at the end of the day, *bam1* plants showed a general

- decrease in sucrose, glucose and maltose concentrations in respect to both wild-type and
- 2 bam3 plants (Fig. 6, left panels). In comparison to wild-type plants at 5.5 DAT, the
- 3 absence of BAM1 led to a decrease of  $\sim$  2.8  $\mu$ mol of sucrose g<sup>-1</sup> FW,  $\sim$  5.9  $\mu$ mol of
  - glucose g<sup>-1</sup> FW and of ~55 nmol of maltose g<sup>-1</sup> FW.

4

#### Discussion:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

Plants are sessile organisms with a metabolism that essentially depends on light and needs to be continuously adapted to environmental changes. A fundamental aspect of this adaptation consists in the circadian cycles of diurnal synthesis and nocturnal degradation of transitory starch that allow plants to harmonize with the natural rhythm of light availability (Stitt and Zeeman, 2012). Nocturnal degradation of transitory starch sustains basal metabolism and reallocation of organic carbon in the absence of an external input of energy. On top of that, under stress conditions plants need to redirect transitory carbon fluxes in order to fuel stress responses, a decision that often implies detrimental effects on growth. As far as transitory starch is concerned, its degradation and use of the resulting carbon units for stress responses involve a large set of enzymes, including ß-amylases. With the aid of bam3 and bam1 knock out mutants (Fulton et al., 2008; Valerio et al., 2011), we have investigated the relative contribution of BAM1 and BAM3 to transitory starch degradation in response to mild and prolonged osmotic stress. BAM3 is required for nocturnal starch degradation under physiological conditions (Fulton et al., 2008), while BAM1 is dispensable for transitory starch degradation in the absence of stress, but is activated by drought stress at the transcriptional level and post-translationally activated by reduced thioredoxins (Sparla et al., 2006; Valerio et al., 2011). Under control growth conditions, rosette leaves of bam3 mutants contained high levels of starch during the whole day, always higher than wild-type plants. Under osmotic stress, starch levels of bam3 plants suddenly decreased, particularly during the light and became closer to wildtype levels. Different from bam3, under control growth conditions, the levels of leaf starch in bam1 mutants were similar to wild-type plants, in agreement with the notion that BAM1 is confined to guard cells until plants start to flower (Valerio et al., 2011; Prasch et al., 2015). However, in response to the osmotic stress, BAM1 shows up also in mesophyll cells and starch content in bam1 mutants increased, particularly so at the end of the light and after several days of stress. In conclusion a mild, prolonged osmotic stress caused a decrease in daylight starch in plants with no BAM3 and, conversely, an increase in daylight starch in plants with no BAM1, suggesting that BAM1 is involved in daylight starch degradation upon stress. This hypothesis fits with both the induction of BAM1 promoter by the osmotic stress and the redox regulation of BAM1 that favours its activity in the light (Sparla et al., 2006; Valerio et al., 2011). Plants have evolved several different mechanisms to adequately respond to limited water

availability and proline accumulation has long been reported as a part of the drought

stress response (Szabados and Savouré, 2009). The main pathway of proline biosynthesis derives from glutamic acid and it can occur both in the cytosol and the chloroplast. Under stress conditions, however, the plastidial pathway of proline biosynthesis may prevail as a result of the re-localization of  $\Delta^1$ -pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthetase (P5CS1) into chloroplasts (Székely et al., 2008). P5CS1 catalyzes the limiting step of proline biosynthesis and its role in proline accumulation in water stressed plants is recognized (Székely et al., 2008). Although each of the three genotypes investigated in our study (bam1, bam3 and Col-0) accumulated proline under osmotic stress, proline concentration of bam1 mutants did not reach the same levels reached by wild-type and bam3 plants. The lack of adequate proline accumulation in *bam1* mutants correlated with a more severe oxidative stress in these plants, as judged by the extent of lipid peroxidation. Moreover, lower proline levels in bam1 plants went together with lower concentrations of sucrose, glucose and maltose and, as discussed above, higher levels of starch. Following several days of stress, starch content in bam1 plants at the end of the phototosynthetic period exceeded wild-type levels by about 50 µmol of glucose equivalents g<sup>-1</sup> FW. To put this value into context, proline accumulation in these same plants and under the same conditions was lower than in wild type plants by 37 µmol g<sup>-1</sup> FW, while soluble sugars (sucrose and glucose) decreased by 12 µmoles of hexoses g<sup>-1</sup> FW. Based on these numbers, the reason why bam1 plants had less proline and soluble sugars upon stress may well be that carbon skeletons required to make these osmolytes are stuck into starch granules and as such not available. Since BAM1 is suggested to play a role in starch degradation under these conditions, it makes sense that its absence has more dramatic effects during the day, when BAM1 is redox-activated and P5CS1 is sufficiently concentrated (Hayashi et al., 2000; Székely et al., 2008) to catalyse the metabolic flux leading to proline. Although the whole pathway connecting the degradation of transitory starch with the biosynthesis of proline still remains to be discovered, the presented results strongly suggest a link between these two metabolic pathways and suggest a role for BAM1 in this context. Our results suggest that a mild osmotic stress stimulate starch turnover in the light through the activation of BAM1, both at the transcriptional and post-translational level. Indeed, BAM1 activity is strictly redox-regulated and since it requires thioredoxin f to be highly reduced, BAM1 is predicted to be more active under photosynthetic conditions (Sparla et al., 2006). Based on correlative observations, we propose that maltose derived from BAM1 degradation of starch upon stress sustains the biosynthesis of proline (and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

- soluble sugars) thereby alleviating the oxidative stress. Since water availability is a major
- 2 constraint for modern agriculture, the efforts in selecting crops with better water use
- 3 efficiency should take into account this link between starch and proline metabolism.

## 1 Supplementary Data:

2

- 3 Supplementary Figure S1: Activity of BAM1promoter::GUS under control conditions and
- 4 in response to 150 mM mannitol.

5

Supplementary Figure S2: Loss of water in wild-type, *bam1* and *bam3* plants expose to 150 mM mannitol.

8

9 **Supplementary Figure S3:** Starch content in wild-type, *bam1* and *bam3* plants qualitatively evaluated with Lugol staining.

11

Supplementary Table S1: p-value from Student's t-tests performed on loss of water.

13

Supplementary Table S2: p-value from Student's t-tests performed on starch concentration quantified at 12 h of light.

16

Supplementary Table S3: p-value from Student's t-tests performed on starch concentration quantified at 12 h of dark.

19

Supplementary Table S4: p-value from Student's t-tests performed on degree of lipid peroxidation.

22

23 **Supplementary Table S5:** p-value from Student's t-tests performed on proline concentration.

25

Supplementary Table S6: p-value from Student's t-tests performed on sucrose, glucose and maltose concentrations quantified at 12 h of light and 12 h of dark.

28

## 29 Acknowledgements:

- 30 This work was funded by the Progetto Strategico STARCHitecture-University of Bologna
- 31 (to FS, PT) and by the Swiss National Science Foundation SNSF-Grant 31003A 147074
- 32 (to DS). MZ was supported by a short-term fellowship of the Faculty of Mathematics,
- Physics and Natural Science (University of Bologna, Italy). We thank Samuel C. Zeeman
- for providing *bam3* T-DNA insertion mutant plants.

#### Literature:

**Aranjuelo I, Molero G, Erice G, Avice JC, Nogués S.** 2011. Plant physiology and proteomics reveals the leaf response to drought in alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.). Journal of Experimental Botany 62, 111-123.

Bahaji A, Li J, Sánchez-López ÁM, Baroja-Fernández E, Muñoz FJ, Ovecka M, Almagro G, Montero M, Ezquer I, Etxeberria E, Pozueta-Romero J. 2014. Starch biosynthesis, its regulation and biotechnological approaches to improve crop yields. Biotechnology Advances 32, 87-106.

**Bartels D and Sunkar R.** 2005. Drought and salt tolerance in plants. Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences 24, 23-58.

**Bates IS, Waldren RP, Teare ID.** 1973. Rapid determination of free proline for water stress studies. Plant Soil 39, 205-207.

Cattivelli L, Rizza F, Badeck F-W, Mazzucotelli E, Mastrangelo AM, Francia E, Marè C, Tondelli A, Stanca AM. 2008. Drought tolerance improvement in crop plants: An integrated view from breeding to genomics. Field Crops Research 105, 1-14.

Cho MH, Lim H, Shin DH, Jeon JS, Bhoo SH, Park YI, Hahn TR. 2011. Role of the plastidic glucose translocator in the export of starch degradation products from the chloroplasts in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. New Phytologist 190, 101-112.

**Denyer K, Johnson P, Zeeman SC, Smith AM.** 2001. The control of amylose synthesis. Journal of Plant Physiology 158, 479-487.

**Egli B, Kölling K, Köhler C, Zeeman SC, Streb S.** 2010. Loss of cytosolic phosphoglucomutase compromises gametophyte development in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology 154, 1659-1671.

**Fadzilla NM, Finch RP, Burdon RH.** 1997. Salinity, oxidative stress and antioxidant responses in shoot cultures of rice. Journal of Experimental Botany 48, 325-331.

**Flügge U-I.** 1999. Phosphate translocators in plastids. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology 50, 27-45.

**Flügge U-I, Häusler RE, Ludewig F, Gierth M.** 2011. The role of transporters in supplying energy to plant plastids. Journal of Experimental Botany 62, 2381-2392.

Fulton DC, Stettler M, Mettler T, Vaughan CK, Li J, Francisco P, Gil M, Reinhold H, Eicke S, Messerli G, Dorken G, Halliday K, Smith AM, Smith SM, Zeeman SC. 2008. Beta-AMYLASE4, a noncatalytic protein required for starch breakdown, acts upstream of three active beta-amylases in Arabidopsis chloroplasts. Plant Cell 20, 1040-1058.

**Guidi L, Bongi G, Ciompi S, Soldatini GF.** 1999. In *Vicia faba* leaves photoinhibition from ozone fumigation in light precedes a decrease in quantum yield offunctional PSII centres. Journal of Plant Physiology 154, 167-172.

**Hayashi F, Ichino T, Osanai M, Wada K.** 2000. Oscillation and regulation of proline content by P5CS and ProDH gene expressions in the Light/Dark cycles in *Arabidopsis thaliana* L. Plant Cell Physiology 41, 1096-1101.

Hernandez JA, Corpass FJ, Gomez M, del Rio LA, Sevilla F. 1993. Salt-induced oxidative stress mediated by active oxygen species in pen leaf mitochondria. Physiologia Plantarum 89, 103-110.

Hummel I, Pantin F, Sulpice R, Piques M, Rolland G, Dauzat M, Christophe A, Pervent M, Bouteillé M, Stitt M, Gibon Y, Muller B. 2010. Arabidopsis plants acclimate to water deficit at low cost through changes of carbon usage: an integrated perspective using growth, metabolite, enzyme, and gene expression analysis. Plant Physiology 154, 357-372.

**Jobling S.** 2004. Improving starch for food and industrial applications. Current Opinion in Plant Biology 7, 210-218.

**Kötting O, Kossmann J, Zeeman SC, Lloyd JR.** 2010. Regulation of starch metabolism: the age of enlightenment? Current Opinion in Plant Biology 13, 321-328.

Lao NT, Schoneveld O, Mould RM, Hibberd JM, Gray JC, Kavanaugh TA. 1999. An Arabidopsis gene encoding a chloroplast targeted ß-amylase. Plant Journal 20, 519-525.

**Liang X, Zhang L, Natarajan SK, Becker DF.** 2013. Proline mechanisms of stress survival. Antioxidant Redox Signalling 19, 998-1011.

**Lloyd JR, Kossmann J, Ritte G.** 2005. Leaf starch degradation comes out of the shadows. Trends in Plant Science 10, 130-137.

**Matysik J, Alia, Bhalu B, Mohanty P.** 2002. Molecular mechanisms of quenching of reactive oxygen species by proline under stress in plants. Current Science 82, 525-532.

Monroe JD, Storm AR, Badley EM, Lehman MD, Platt SM, Saunders LK, Schmitz JM, Torres CE. 2014. beta-Amylase1 and beta-amylase3 are plastidic starch hydrolases in Arabidopsis that seem to be adapted for different thermal, pH, and stress conditions. Plant Physiology 166, 1748-1763.

**Nittylä T, Messerli G, Trevisan M, Chen J, Smith AM, Zeeman SC.** 2004. A previously unknown maltose transporter essential for starch degradation in leaves. Science 303, 87-89.

Osakabe Y, Osakabe K, Shinozaki K, Tran LS. 2014. Response of plants to water

stress. Frontiers in Plant Science, doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00086.

Prasch CM, Ott KV, Bauer H, Ache P, Hedrich R, Sonnewald U. 2015. ß-amylase1 mutant Arabidopsis plants show improved drought tolerance due to reduced starch breakdown in guard cells. Journal of Experimental Botany 66, 6059-6067.

**Rockström J and Falkenmark M.** 2010. Semiarid crop production from a hydrological perspective: gap between potential and actual yields. Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences 19, 319-346.

**Santelia D and Zeeman SC.** 2011. Progress in Arabidopsis starch research and potential biotechnological applications. Current Opinion in Biotechnology 22, 271-280.

**Santelia D, Trost P, Sparla F.** 2015. New insights into redox control of starch degradation. Current Opinion in Plant Biology 25, 1-9.

**Smith AM and Zeeman SC.** 2006. Quantification of starch in plant tissues. Nature Protocols 1, 1342-1345.

**Smith AM, Zeeman SC, Smith SM.** 2005. Starch degradation. Annual Review of Plant Biology 56, 73-98.

**Sparla F, Costa A, Lo Schiavo F, Pupillo P, Trost P.** 2006. Redox regulation of a novel plastid-targeted beta-amylase of Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology 141, 840-850.

**Stitt M and Zeeman SC.** 2012. Starch turnover: pathways, regulation and role in growth. Current Opinion in Plant Biology 15, 282-292.

**Streb S, Eicke S, Zeeman SC.** 2012. The simultaneous abolition of three starch hydrolases blocks transient starch breakdown in Arabidopsis. Journal of Biological Chemistry 287, 41745-41756.

**Szabados L and Savouré A.** 2009. Proline: a multifunctional amino acid. Trends in Plant Science 15, 89-97.

Székely G, Abrahám E, Cséplo A, Rigó G, Zsigmond L, Csiszár J, Ayaydin F, Strizhov N, Jásik J, Schmelzer E, Koncz C, Szabados L. 2008. Duplicated P5CS genes of Arabidopsis play distinct roles in stress regulation and developmental control of proline biosynthesis. Plant Journal 53, 11-28.

Valerio C, Costa A, Marri L, Issakidis-Bourguet E, Pupillo P, Trost P, Sparla F. 2011. Thioredoxin-regulated beta-amylase (BAM1) triggers diurnal starch degradation in guard cells, and in mesophyll cells under osmotic stress. Journal of Experimental Botany 62, 545-555.

**Verbruggen N and Hermans C.** 2008. Proline accumulation in plants: a review. Amino Acids 35, 753-759.

**Wilhelm C and Selmar D.** 2011. Energy dissipation is an essential mechanism to sustain the viability of plants: the physiological limits of improved photosynthesis. Journal of Plant Physiology 168, 79-87.

**Zeeman SC, Tiessen A, Pilling E.** 2002. Starch synthesis in Arabidopsis. Granule synthesis, composition, and structure. Plant Physiology 129, 516-529.

**Zeeman SC and ap Rees T.** 1999. Changes in carbohydrate metabolism and assimilate partitioning in starch-excess mutants of Arabidopsis. Plant Cell Environment 22, 1445-1453.

**Zeeman SC, Smith SM, Smith AM.** 2007. The diurnal metabolism of leaf starch. Biochemical Journal 401, 13-28.

1

## Figure Legends:

1

- 3 Fig. 1: Activity of BAM1promoter::GUS under control condition and in response to
- 4 150 mM mannitol treatment. Plants were grown under 12 h light/ 12 h dark cycle and
- osmotic stress was applied 1 h after the beginning of light period. Plants were collected at
- 6 the end of light period. GUS activity was measured at 0.5 DAT, panel A; 1.5 DAT, panel B;
- 7 3.5 DAT, panel C; 6.5 DAT, panel D and 7.5 DAT, panel E. Scale bar = 1cm. Inset:
  - magnification of a single leaf. Scale bar = 0.5 cm.

9

8

- Fig. 2: Starch content in wild-type, bam1 and bam3 plants measured at 12 h of light
- in response to drought stress. Twenty-eight/31-d-old plants hydroponically grown were
- expose to 150 mM mannitol 1 h after the switching on the light. Wild-type, bam1 and
- bam3 plants were collected at 12 h of light before and after mannitol treatment. Values are
- the means  $\pm$  SD (n=3 independent biological replicates).

15

- Fig. 3: Starch content in wild-type, bam1 and bam3 plants at 12 h of dark in
- response to drought stress. Twenty-eight/31-d-old plants hydroponically grown were
- expose to 150 mM mannitol 1 h after the switching on the light. Wild-type, bam1 and
- bam3 plants were collected at 12 h of dark before and after mannitol treatment. Values are
- the means  $\pm$  SD (n=3 independent biological replicates).

21

- 22 Fig. 4: Degree of lipid peroxidation in wild-type, bam1 and bam3 plants exposed to
- osmotic stress. Lipid peroxidation was measured through TBA assay in wild-type, bam1
- 24 and bam3 plants before and after 150 mM mannitol treatment. Plants were collected at 12
- 25 h light and different length of treatment. Values are the means ± SD (n=3 independent
- 26 biological replicates).

27

- Fig. 5: Proline content in wild-type, bam1 and bam3 plants in response to drought
- stress. Proline concentration was measured in whole rosettes of 28/31-d-old wild-type,
- bam1 and bam3 plants. Plants were collected at 12 h of light before and after 150 mM
- mannitol treatment. Values are the means  $\pm$  SD (n=3-4 independent biological replicates).

- Fig. 6: Sucrose, glucose and maltose content in wild-type, bam1 and bam3 plants
- measured at 12 h of light and at 12 h of dark in response to drought stress.

- 1 Arabidopsis plants hydroponically grown were expose to 150 mM mannitol 1 h after the
- 2 switching on the light. Whole rosettes of wild-type, bam1 and bam3 plants were collected
- 3 at 12 h of light (left panels) and 12 h of dark (right panels) before and after 150 mM
- 4 mannitol treatment. Values are the means ± SD (n=3 independent biological replicates).