

ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE DELLA RICERCA

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Self-feeding paper based biofuel cell/self-powered hybrid μ -supercapacitor integrated system

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Self-feeding paper based biofuel cell/self-powered hybrid µ-supercapacitor integrated system / Narvaez Villarrubia, Claudia W.; Soavi, Francesca; Santoro, Carlo; Arbizzani, Catia; Serov, Alexey; Rojas-Carbonell, Santiago; Gupta, Gautam; Atanassov, Plamen. - In: BIOSENSORS & BIOELECTRONICS. - ISSN 0956-5663. - STAMPA. - 86:(2016), pp. 459-465. [10.1016/j.bios.2016.06.084]

This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/566732 since: 2022-01-21

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2016.06.084

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

(Article begins on next page)

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version. This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

C. W.Narvaez Villarrubia, F. Soavi *, C Santoro, C. Arbizzani, A. Serov, S. Rojas-Carbonell, G. Gupta, P. Atanassov*, Self-feeding paper based biofuelcell/self-powered hybrid μ -supercapacitor integrated system, Biosensors and Bioelectronics 86 (2016) 459–465.

Thefinalpublishedversionisavailableonlineat:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2016.06.084

Rights / License:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<u>https://cris.unibo.it/</u>)

When citing, please refer to the published version.

1	Self-Feeding Paper Based Biofuel Cell / Self-Powered hybrid µ-supercapacitor
2	integrated system
3	
4	Claudia W. Narvaez Villarrubia ^{1,a} , *Francesca Soavi ^{2,a} , Carlo Santoro ^{3,a} , Catia Arbizzani ² ,
5	Alexey Serov ³ , Santiago Rojas-Carbonell ³ , Gautam Gupta ¹ , **Plamen Atanassov ³ .
6	
7	¹ MPA-11 Material Synthesis and Integrated Devices, Los Alamos National Laboratory,
8	Los Alamos, NM, USA
9	² Department of Chemistry "Giacomo Ciamician", Alma Mater Studiorum - Università di
10	Bologna, Via Selmi, 2, 40126 Bologna, Italy
11	³ Center Micro-Engineered Materials (CMEM), Department of Chemical and Biological
12	Engineering, University of New Mexico, NM, USA
13	
14	^a the three authors have contributed equally to the manuscript
15	
16	*corresponding authors
17	
18	* Francesca Soavi, Department of Chemistry "Giacomo Ciamician", Alma Mater
19	Studiorum-Università di Bologna, Via Selmi, 2, Bologna, Italy, e-mail:
20	francesca.soavi@unibo.it
21	

22	** Plamen Atanassov, Center for Micro-Engineered Materials (CMEM), Department of
23	Chemical & Biological Engineering, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131,
24	USA, e-mail: plamen@unm.edu

25

26 Abstract

27

28 For the first time, a paper based enzymatic fuel cell is used as self-recharged 29 supercapacitor. In this supercapacitive enzymatic fuel cell (SC-EFC), the supercapacitive 30 features of the electrodes are exploited to demonstrate high power output under pulse 31 operation. Glucose dehydrogenase-based anode and bilirubin oxidase-based cathode were 32 assembled to a quasi-2D capillary-driven microfluidic system. Capillary flow guarantees 33 the continuous supply of glucose, cofactor and electrolytes to the anodic enzyme and the 34 gas-diffusional cathode design provides the passive supply of oxygen to the catalytic layer 35 of the electrode. The paper-based cell was self-recharged under rest and discharged by high 36 current pulses up to 4 mA cm⁻². The supercapacitive behavior and low equivalent series resistance of the cell permitted to achieve up to a maximum power of 0.87 mWcm⁻² (10.6 37 38 mW) for pulses of 0.01 s at 4 mA cm⁻². This operation mode allowed the system to achieve 39 at least one order of magnitude higher current/power generation compared to the steady 40 state operation. Three days durability tests (4200 cycles) were run at current pulses of 0.4 41 mAcm⁻². Results showed a slight decrease in working open circuit voltage (OCV) and a 42 decrease of cell capacitance during the operations.

Keywords: Enzymatic Fuel Cell, Supercapacitor, paper-based microfluidic system, power
pulses

46

47 **1. Introduction**

48

49 Enzymatic fuel cells (EFC) are energy and power harvesting devices, theoretically, 50 capable to obtain high power density from biofuels at circum-neutral pH. However, actual 51 power and energy density is lower than theoretical performance (Minteer et al., 2007; Davis 52 et al.; 2007; Yu and Scott, 2010; Falk et al., 2013; Cosnier et al., 2014; Slaughter et al. 53 2015). Optimum power/energy harvesting still remains a challenge to overcome when 54 compared to commercial batteries and conventional fuel cells (FCs). Consequently, an 55 improved internal design and its integration with other electrochemical devices such as a 56 supercapacitor seems to be appropriate for enhancing the performance up to the level 57 required to power small portable devices or biomedical devices (Southcott el at., 2013, 58 Narváez Villarrubia et al., 2014; Pankratov et al., 2016; Kizling et al., 2015).

59 Redox enzymes are employed for enzymatic fuel cell (EFC) applications to harvest 60 energy from biofuels found in nature (Minteer et al., 2007; Davis et al.; 2007; Yu and Scott, 61 2010; Falk et al., 2013; Cosnier et al., 2014). These enzymes are specific for catalyzing the 62 reduction or oxidation of their substrates, offering a high theoretical efficiency, leaving no 63 toxic residues of reaction (Sokic-Lazic et al., 2008; Gellett et al., 2010; González-Guerrero 64 et al.; 2013; Tam et al., 2009; Amir et al., 2009). Enzymatic fuel cells offer the capability 65 to operate at room temperature and neutral pH, conditions which cannot be achieved by 66 conventional FCs (Heller, 1992; Mano et al., 2003; Soukharev et al., 2004; Kang et al., 67 2006). Even though these devices provide several advantages, the effect of various limiting 68 factors on the system result in low power output generation. Stability of the enzymes 69 outside their natural environment, the partial oxidation of the substrates and transport of 70 biofuels to the catalytic sites of the electrodes are factors limiting the performance of EFCs. 71 Certain criteria to mitigate the limiting factors mentioned above need to be satisfied to 72 improve efficiency (Pardo-Yissar et al., 2000; Tarasevich et al., 2002; Moore et al., 2005; 73 Atanassov et al., 2007; Cooney et al., 2008; Ivnitski et al., 2008; Gupta et al., 2009; Rincon 74 et al., 2011; Minteer, 2012a; Minteer et al., 2012b; Reid et al., 2013; Rasmussen et al. 75 2016). In Addition, the need of an efficient transport of fuel to the catalytic sites should be addressed. 76

77 Our group addressed those issues, in previous research, constructing a 78 biodegradable EFC that independently powered a small device for 36 hours (Ciniciato et 79 al., 2012; Narvaez Villarrubia et al., 2014) and, later, used an enzymatic cascade system 80 working with ethanol and methanol (Lau et al., 2015). The catalytic layer was designed to 81 enhance enzyme loading and stability using a highly porous and conductive bucky-paper 82 (multiwall carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs)-based paper). Also, a cellulose paper-based 83 quasi-2D microfluidic system was utilized to self-transport fuel to the catalytic layer on the 84 electrodes as well as to work as proton exchange membrane, electrode separator and 85 structural mechanical support. This self-fed EFC design offered the possibility to power 86 small devices utilizing ubiquitous fuels, and simultaneously addressing environmental 87 concerns. Assembling this EFC to a supercapacitor could enhance its performance to 88 achieve energy/power demand of small devices for various applications. Several studies 89 have shown paper-based systems feasibly used to develop bioelectrodes and microfluidic

systems mainly for biosensors or EFC to power biosensors (Shitanda et al. 2013; Strack et
al. 2013; Li et al. 2015; Reid et al. 2015; Slaughter et al. 2016; Majdecka et al. 2016;
Desmet et al. 2016). Powering small portable or medical devices that demand higher
energy/power is a challenge to overcome by designing hybrid systems.

94 Supercapacitors are high power electrochemical energy storage systems with high 95 capacitance electrodes that can be charged and discharged through fast and reversible 96 processes (Beguin et al.; 2014; Conway, 1999). They are considered the most suitable 97 devices for high power pulse delivery. Examples of hybrid bio-devices integrating 98 supercapacitors such as bio-batteries and biosensors, utilizing enzymatic systems, were 99 developed by Skunik-Nuckowska et al. (2014) and Kizling et al. (2015), respectively. The 100 integration of internal supercapacitors within biofuel cells has been shown in previous 101 studies by Pankratov et al. (2014a; 2014b; 2014c), by González-Arribas et al. (2016) and 102 by Agnes *et al.* (2014).

103 Pankratov et al. have developed, for the first time, a self-charging bio-capacitor 104 using cellobiose dehydrogenase (CDH) and bilirubin oxidase (BOx) as anodic and 105 enzymatic systems, respectively, where the electrochemical capacitor and the EFC function 106 simultaneously (Pankratov et al. 2014a; 2014c). Higher power pulses were obtained by 107 Agnès et al. (2014). They used glucose oxidase (GOx) and catalase enzymes at the anode 108 and laccase at cathode, correspondingly. Both anodic and cathodic enzymatic systems were 109 entrapped in carbon nanotubes (CNTs)-based matrix conforming pellet-like bio-electrodes. 110 The bio-electrodes were immerged into an electrolytic solution containing glucose and 111 oxygen that was actively supplied by a pump (air saturated solution). The open circuit 112 potential was roughly 800 mV with a total equivalent series resistance (ESR) of 37 Ω and the highest power recorded of 18 mW (Agnès et al., 2014). These systems show to be
dependent of external biofuel and oxygen supply and their configuration are similar to biobatteries (functioning in a static electrolytic cell).

116 Hanashi et al. (2009) and Sode et al. (2016) revisited the challenges of biofuel cell 117 exploiting the possibility of combining charge pumps and capacitors in order to create a 118 stand-alone self-powered bio-device. In parallel, in recent research on microbial fuel cells 119 Santoro et al. developed an internal supercapacitor using the electrode reactions to develop 120 electrostatically self-rechargeable bioelectrodes (Santoro et al., 2016a, Soavi et al., 2016). 121 Herein, for the first time, a self-fed paper-based biofuel cell integrated at materials 122 level within an internal self-powered supercapacitor is reported. The glucose 123 dehydrogenase (GDH) enzymatic anode and BOx enzymatic cathode are used as 124 supercapacitors bio-electrodes and galvanostatic discharges are performed at currents that 125 are one order of magnitude higher than what is typical for standard operation of biofuel 126 cells. In open circuit conditions, the EFC stacking is analogous to that of a charged aqueous 127 electrochemical double layer capacitor (EDLC) that can be electrostatically discharged at 128 high current rates and, then, self-recharged by resetting cell in rest, demonstrating the proof 129 of concept for enzymatic electrodes using quasi-2D microfluidic system. The results of the 130 galvanostatic test performed in depletion mode and at different pulse times with currents ranging between 0.4 mA cm⁻² and 4 mA cm⁻² are reported and discussed. Durability tests 131 132 for 4200 discharge/self-recharge cycles over a period of 72 hours (3 days) are also 133 presented.

This design opens the possibilities for the development of self-sustained
environmentally friendly hybrid EFCs-supercapacitors (SC-EFC) that can feasibly be used

136	for practical applications, which demand different ranges of power/current density, and
137	duration of operation such as sensors, ex-vivo biomedical devices or other portable devices,
138	with an autonomy not envisioned before.
139	
140	2. Materials and Methods
141	
142	2.1 Bio-electrodes Fabrication and Device Assembly
143	
144	The paper-based biofuel cell was composed by an anode based on glucose
145	dehydrogenase (GDH) enzyme and by a cathode based on BOx as previously presented
146	(Ciniciato et al., 2012; Narvaez Villarrubia et al., 2014). On one hand, the cathode was a
147	dual-layered passive-gas diffusional electrode constituted of a hydrophobic layer that
148	promoted the flow of oxygen to the catalytic sites and a catalytic layer conformed by the
149	enzymatic system (schematic shown in figure 1.A). Toray paper (TP) was used as current
150	collector. On top of it, the hydrophobic layer was pressed, which consisted of Vulcan XC72
151	carbon black that was teflonized to a 35wt% and is referred as XC35. The loading of this
152	teflonized carbon over the TP-current collector was 83 ± 1 mg cm ⁻² and 263 psi of pressure
153	was applied for 10 minutes to assemble the hydrophobic layer (TP-XC35 pellet). After the
154	pellet was formed, 10 μ Lcm ⁻² of isopropanol were added in order to increase the
155	hydrophobic/hydrophilic gradient within the TP-XC35 and increase the oxygen
156	"breathing" from the atmospheric environment to the catalytic layer (Narvaez Villarrubia
157	et al., 2014; Santoro et al., 2016b). Subsequently, buckypaper (20 gsm C-Grade MWCNTs
158	based-paper with BET area of 33 $m^2 g^{-1}$) was pressed on top of the pellet, for additional 5

minutes at the same pressure (263 psi) to form the catalytic layer were BOx was deposited. For this, 80 mg of BOx enzyme was dissolved in 1 ml of phosphate buffer saline (PBS) 0.1M at pH 7.5 and deposited over night (~12 hours) on the electrode at 4 °C (Santoro et al., 2016b). The electrode had dimensions of 3.5 cm x 3.5 cm (12.25 cm²) and the loading of the buckypaper was roughly 2 mg cm⁻². Further optimization of the immobilization process of the enzyme and the fabrication of high performing gas diffusional electrodes has been proposed (Rojas-Carbonell et. al., 2016).

166 anode was prepared utilizing nicotinamide The adenine dinucleotide 167 (NAD⁺/NADH) dependent GDH enzyme (schematic shown in figure 1.B). The electrode 168 consisted of a bucky paper piece (20gsm C-grade MWNTs based-paper with BET area of $33 \text{ m}^2\text{g}^{-1}$) with rectangular shape of also 12.25 cm^2 (3.5 cm x 3.5 cm) with a 'tail' of 2 cm 169 170 length and 1.5 cm width that served as contact to the external circuit. Also in this case, the 171 buckypaper loading was similar compared to the one used for the cathode (roughly 2 mg 172 cm⁻²).

Methylene green (MG), a mediator for NADH oxidation to NAD⁺, was
electrodeposited as previous research procedure stated (Narváez Villarrubia et al., 2011;
2013; Svobova et al. 2007). Later, 9.93 mg of GDH was dissolved in 496 µl of 95%
Chitosan / 5% MWCNTs and deposited on the electrode overnight (~12 hours) at 4°C
(Narváez Villarrubia et al., 2013, 2014; Svobova et al. 2007). Even though this anode uses
cofactor and mediator to function, its structural design generates higher current densities
when compared to GOx (Narváez Villarrubia et al., 2011; 2013; 2014,).

180 The bio-electrodes were assembled to a quasi-2D capillary-driven microfluidic
181 system (Mendez et al., 2010; Benner and Petsev, 2013). This was a 'fan'-shaped paper-

182 based system, introduced in our previous research (Narváez Villarrubia et al., 2014), 183 consisting of a 3.5 cm \times 3.5 cm rectangle appended to a 180°-circular section of 24 cm of 184 diameter (Grade 1 Whatman filter paper). Both bio-electrodes, placed with the catalytic 185 layers facing the paper, were stacked on the rectangular section of the paper-'fan' (Figure 186 1). The biocathode was placed on the microfluidic system assuring an aperture for passive 187 oxygen diffusion from air. Similarly to our previous research, the device was immersed in 188 an electrolytic solution of glucose 0.1M NAD⁺ 1mM and 0.1M KCl dissolved in PBS 0.1M 189 at pH 7.5.

190

191 2.2 Hybrid Paper-Based EFC-Supercapacitor Characterization

192 Electrochemical tests were performed on the SC-EFC using a potentiostat (SP-50, Bio-193 Logic, France). The capacitive response of the single electrodes and the overall biofuel cell 194 was investigated utilizing cyclic voltammetry (CV) in two- and three- electrode modes. In 195 the latter case, Ag/AgCl (3M KCl) was used as reference electrode and placed in the 196 electrolyte reservoir. Cathode CVs were run by using the cathode as the working electrode 197 and the anode as counter electrode. For the anode CVs, the cathode was employed as 198 counter electrode. This permitted to get the voltammetric response of the single electrodes 199 in-situ, i.e. in the paper-based biofuel cell setup. Anode and cathode CVs were run between 200 -0.2 V and 0.2 V (vs Ag/AgCl 3M KCl) and 0 V to 0.5 V (vs Ag/AgCl 3M KCl), 201 respectively. Cell CVs were run between 0 and 0.6 V in 2-electrode mode with the cathode 202 being the working electrode and the anode the reference and counterelectrode. In the latter 203 case, cell CVs were also carried out with identical electrodes not loaded with enzymes as 204 a control.

205 All the CVs were run at scan rate of 5 and 50 mVs⁻¹. Energy and power performances of 206 the enzymatic fuel cell were evaluated by analysis of the cell voltage profiles under 207 galvanostatic discharge (GLV). GLV discharges of the SC-EFC were performed from OCV to 0 V at different current densities (i_{pulse}) varying from 0.4 mA cm⁻² to 4 mA cm⁻². 208 209 Ag/AgCl reference electrode was used to monitor the anode and cathode potentials during 210 discharge. The GLV discharge causes the decrease of the open circuit voltage of the 211 charged cell ($V_{max, oc}$) by an ohmic drop (ΔV_{ohmic}) that is related to the equivalent series 212 resistance (ESR) of the SC-EFC, to which contribute electrolyte and electrodes resistances. 213 The ESR is calculated as the ratio between the ΔV_{ohmic} and the pulse current applied (i_{pulse}). Following the ohmic drop, a capacitive decrease of the voltage over time ($\Delta V_{capacitive}$) takes 214 215 place due to the kinetics of the redox processes and to the capacitive features of the SC-216 EFC electrodes. The cell and electrode capacitances (C) were calculated from the ratio between i_{pulse} and the slope (s) in the cell voltage (or electrode potential)-time curve. 217 218 Practical values of maximum energy (E_{max}) and power (P_{max}) were evaluated considering 219 the maximum available voltage after the ohmic drop (V_{max}) calculated as the difference 220 between the open circuit voltage (OCV) of the charged cell ($V_{max, oc}$) and ΔV_{ohmic} . P_{max} was 221 obtained multiplying ipulse and Vmax. As discussed above, the voltage decreases over the 222 discharge pulse, along with the practical energy, E_{pulse}, which is delivered during the pulse 223 and which is calculated by the following equation:

224

225
$$E_{pulse} = i_{pulse} \int_0^{t_{pulse}} V \, dt \, (1)$$

226

227 where t_{pulse} is the pulse time.

228	The pulse power (P_{pulse}) is lower than P_{max} and corresponds to $P_{pulse} = E_{pulse} / t_{pulse}$, (2)
229	
230	PLEASE INSERT HERE FIGURE 1
231	
232	3. Results and discussion
233	
234	3.1 Voltammetric Survey
235	
236	PLEASE INSERT HERE FIGURE 2
237	
238	Figure 2.a compares the voltammogramms of the cell with the presence and the absence of
239	enzymes at 5 mV s ⁻¹ and 50 mV s ⁻¹ . At the highest scan rate, the two cells feature almost
240	the same cathodic currents, therefore unraveling a similar capacitive behaviour. A
241	capacitance of 5.4 mF cm ⁻² (65 mF) is deduced for both systems dividing the current
242	density by the scan rate. The main difference between the two cells is evident in the anodic
243	currents. The enzyme loaded cell exhibits lower currents than the cell without enzymes.
244	The voltammogram of the former cell deviates from the symmetric box shaped one that is
245	expected for supercapacitors assembled with high surface area carbon electrodes, and
246	which in turn is obtained with the no-enzyme cell. Such different behaviour is further
247	evidenced at the lowest scan rate (Figure 2.a). At 5 mV s ⁻¹ , the faradic and irreversible
248	processes that characterize the biofuel cell operation, namely glucose oxidation and oxygen
249	reduction, are driving the CV response of the cell. In order to investigate the contribution

250 of each electrode to the overall cell response, CVs were performed in 3-electrode mode

and the results at 50 mV s⁻¹ are shown in Figure 2.b. Cathode response is almost symmetric
with currents similar to those featured by the cell. This indicates that cell capacitive
response is dominated by the cathode. In turn, the anode CVs are distorted and above 0.1
V vs Ag/AgCl 3M KCl, a steep increase of the anodic current can be appreciated due to
the onset of glucose oxidation.

256 Figure 2 indicates that at the highest scan rates (and currents), the main contribution to the 257 cell capacitance is given by the electric double layer formed at the carbonaceous electrodes 258 interfaces. At the lowest scan rates (and currents), the enzymatic faradic processes, and 259 specifically ORR, are playing the major role and increase cell capacitance with respect to 260 the cell without enzymes. The main difference between the cell with and without enzyme 261 is that, in rest conditions, the open circuit voltage (OCV) of the cell with no enzymes is 262 around 0 V because it is assembled with identical electrodes experiencing the same 263 electrolyte with identical environments. The presence of enzymes allowed the cell to 264 feature an OCV of roughly 600 mV due to the different equilibrium potentials of the redox 265 processes taking place at the anode and cathode. Consequently, this enables the self-266 polarization of the electrodes that can be exploited to design the supercapacitive self-267 powered biofuel cell that is discussed in the further sections.

268

3.2 Overall and single electrode discharge profiles

270

271 PLEASE INSERT HERE FIGURE 3

273 Cell voltage and electrodes potential profiles of the SC-EFC under a discharge at 0.4 mA cm⁻² (i_{pulse} of 5 mA) and the following self-recharge is presented in Figure 3.a. Cell voltage 274 275 and electrodes potential profiles of the SC-EFC under discharges at different currents and 276 the following self-recharge are presented in Figure S1. The open circuit voltage of the paper 277 based biofuel cell was 563±14mV in agreement with previously presented data. The 278 cathode open circuit potential (OCP) was +496±4 mV vs Ag/AgCl (the positive electrode) 279 and anode OCP was -66±13 mV vs Ag/AgCl (the negative electrode). These values agree 280 with previously reported OCP for glucose dehydrogenase and bilirubin oxidase (Narváez 281 Villarrubia et al., 2013; 2014).

282 The cell voltage linearly decreases during the pulse like in EDLCs. From the slope of the cell voltage over time at i_{pulse} of 0.4 mA cm⁻² a cell capacitance value of 8.25 mF 283 284 cm⁻² is obtained. The profiles of the electrodes potentials evidence that cell capacitance is 285 mainly affected by the positive electrode response. Indeed, while the positive electrode 286 potential linearly decreases during discharge and exhibits 9.4 mF cm⁻², the negative 287 electrode potential increase during the discharge is almost negligible. The positive electrode capacitance is reasonably low because of the low surface area $(33 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1})$ and low 288 289 carbon loading (2 mg cm^{-2}) of the buckypaper. On the other hand, the capacitive response 290 of the negative electrode, with exactly the same surface area and loading of the positive 291 electrode, is much higher and was measured as 67 mF cm⁻².

This suggests that while during discharge the positive electrode behaved like a conventional EDLC positive electrode, the negative electrode responded by a fast redox process, namely the oxidation of glucose by the enzymatic process. Hence, at such high current response, the SC-EFC operates like a hybrid supercapacitor, i.e. a capacitor with a positive electrode working by an electrostatic process and a negative electrode working by
a Faradic process. Note that, this is highlighted by the anode CV reported in Figure 2b, in
which glucose oxidation is not reversible. Therefore, for sake of clarity, we would like to
underline that the negative electrode cannot be termed "pseudocapacitive" as it would be
in a conventional hybrid supercapacitor.

At 0.4 mA cm⁻² the full discharge time was \approx 9.1 s followed by a \approx 16 s recharge obtained without the utilization of any external device (Figure 3.a). Indeed, during the rest period in open circuit after the pulse, electrode potentials moved back to their initial equilibrium values and cell voltage was restored to the value exhibited before the pulse.

The EFC was, then, tested at higher current densities (0.8 to 4 mA cm⁻²), and the cell voltage (Figure 3.b) and electrodes potential (Figure 3.c) profiles for i_{pulse} ranging from 0.8 mA cm⁻² to 4 mAcm⁻² are reported.

As expected, the discharge time decreased with the increase of the i_{pulse}. The 308 309 complete discharge of the supercapacitor took place in ≈ 9.1 sec at 0.4 mA cm⁻² (Figure 3.a) 310 and 0.053 sec at 4 mA cm⁻² applied (Figure 3.b). Cell voltage profiles were shaped by the 311 different rate response of positive and negative electrode. The positive electrode potential 312 profiles were mainly affected by electrode capacitive behavior while the negative electrode 313 potential profiles were mainly affected by the ohmic drop, i.e. by negative electrode 314 resistance. In fact, the overall ESR was 6 Ω (0.49 Ω cm²) in which 4 Ω (0.33 Ω cm²) and 2 315 Ω (0.16 Ω cm²) were due to negative electrode and positive electrode respectively. Indeed, at the lowest current investigated (0.4 mA cm⁻²), the positive electrode ohmic drop was 316 317 only of ≈ 10 mV, to be compared to ≈ 20 mV for the negative electrode (Figure 3.a). During 318 the complete discharge, the voltage decrease of the positive electrode was \approx 478 mV, much higher compared to the voltage decrease of the negative electrode \approx 77 mV. At the highest current investigated (4 mA cm⁻²), the positive electrode ohmic drop was only of \approx 100 mV, to be compared to \approx 200 mV for the negative electrode. The voltage decrease of the positive electrode during the pulse (4 mA cm⁻²) was \approx 160 mV and much higher than that of the negative electrode (\approx 40 mV). Hence, ΔV_{ohmic} of the cell was mainly affected by the negative electrode (which mainly contributes to cell ESR) while the $\Delta V_{capacitive}$ of the cell was mainly affected by the positive electrode.

Additionally, negative electrode and positive electrode contribute differently to cell response under short and long-time pulses. At short time (10 ms) pulses, the cell performance is mainly affected by the ohmic drop, which in turn mainly depends on the negative electrode. Instead, at longer times, cell performance is influenced by the capacitive response of the positive electrode.

The curves reported in Figure 3 were used to evaluate cell performance at very short and at longer time pulse response. The maximum power is calculated on the basis of the cell ESR and does not consider the capacitive behavior, hence it is representative of the short time response of the cell. E_{pulse} and P_{pulse} are calculated considering the complete discharge profile over the entire pulse duration. Thus, they represent the practical cell performance at different time pulses and pulse currents.

- 337
- **338 3.3 Power Curves and Ragone Plot**

339

340

341 PLEASE INSERT HERE FIGURE 4

342

P_{max} was calculated at different current densities considering V_{max} of 0.56 V and 343 ESR of 6 Ω (Figure 4.a). The highest value of 1.07 mW cm⁻² (13.1 mW) was measured for 344 345 current pulse of 3.6 mA cm⁻². This value is one order of magnitude higher than the power 346 obtained by the biofuel cell in stationary operation (Narváez Villarrubia et al., 2014). 347 Figure 4.a also reports the P_{pulse} for different t_{pulse} of 1, 0.5, 0.25, 0.1 and 0.01 sec at 348 different currents. As expected, P_{pulse} decreases with the increase of time due to the 349 capacitive response of the cell which decreases the cell voltage over time. The highest value 350 of P_{pulse} was 0.27 mW cm⁻² for t_{pulse} of 1 s, 0.387 mW cm⁻² for t_{pulse} of 0.5 s, 0.509 mW cm⁻² ² at t_{pulse} for 0.25 s, 0.66 mW cm⁻² for t_{pulse} of 0.1 s, and 0.868 mW cm⁻² for t_{pulse} of 0.01 s. 351 352 The values of E_{pulse} and P_{pulse}, for complete discharges, from V_{max,OC} to 0V, at 353 different currents were used to build the Ragone plot reported in Figure 4.b. The highest is 354 the current, the lower is E_{pulse} and the highest is P_{pulse}. 355 The highest energy and power densities for complete discharges are 0.177 µWh cm⁻ 2 (0.4 mA cm², 9.015 s) and 393 μ W cm⁻² (3.2 mA cm⁻², 0.141 s) respectively. Complete 356 discharges of ca. 0.5 s (1-2 mA cm⁻²) provide the best matching for P_{pulse} (200-300 µW cm⁻ 357 358 ²) and E_{pulse} (0.04-0.05 μ Wh cm⁻²). 359 Durability tests over 3 days (4200 cycles) have been conducted and presented in 360 the supporting information [Figure S2]. The results indicated a slight decrease in working 361 open circuit voltage (OCV) and cell capacitance over time [Figure S2]. 362

363 3.5 Outlook

365 For the first time, a self-fed paper based biofuel cell was used as hybrid self-powered µ-366 supercapacitor which delivers significant power under short and high current pulses 367 compared to conventional EFC operation. In this case, positive electrode responded using 368 an electrostatic process while the negative electrode worked using a Faradic process like 369 in a hybrid supercapacitor. The particular configuration of EFC allows a continuous and 370 constant biofuel supply through capillary-driven flow, electrolytes and products of reaction 371 through the quasi-2D microfluidic system. Differently to previous studies (Pankratov et al. 372 2014a; 2014b; 2014c; Agnes et al., 2014), in this research oxygen was not actively supplied 373 in the electrolyte. Furthermore, electrolyte was wetting electrode exploiting capillarity, 374 which might explain the lower cathode capacitive performances registered. In fact, the 375 passive diffusion of oxygen from air to the three phase interface of the catalytic layer of 376 the cathode is driven by a concentration gradient in the hydrophilic/hydrophobic layers 377 formed with the specific configuration of this biocathode. High capacitive response 378 requires that the high surface area of the carbon is entirely wetted with the electrolytic 379 solution. The main advantage of the paper-based biofuel cell / supercapacitor integrated 380 system lays in the fact that this system is self-powered and self-sustained; it could work 381 until complete depletion of biofuel, using no other external power source. This work 382 demonstrates the feasibility of using selective enzymatic electrodes as electrochemical 383 storage systems (i.e. supercapacitors) in a hybrid device that could work independently 384 from external energy sources. Further studies should be completed in the utilization of 385 amplified and pulsed signals into sensors development, or conformations to power ex-vivo 386 biomedical devices or portable devices.

388 4. Conclusions

389

390 A glucose/air paper-based biofuel cell / supercapacitor integrated system was demonstrated 391 with NAD+/NADH-dependent glucose dehydrogenase and bilirubin oxidase enzymes 392 employed at the anode and cathode, respectively. The paper-based microfluidic device 393 allowed self-feeding of glucose (biofuel) and oxygen (oxidant) to the cell. The system has 394 very low equivalent series resistance quantified in 6 Ω . The supercapacitive features of the 395 electrodes generated short and high current pulse discharge up to 4 mAcm⁻². The practical 396 power achieved was 1.07 mW cm⁻² (13.1 mW), which is among the highest power ever recorded for this kind of hybrid systems. A maximum pulse power of 0.87 mWcm⁻² (10.64 397 398 mW) was measured for pulses of 0.01 s. The capacitive features of the nanostructured 399 electrodes integrated in a 'fan' paper-based biofuel cell configuration enabled current and 400 power densities at least one order of magnitude higher than compared to steady state mode. 401 The SC-EFC cathode was limiting cell capacitance and improvements are expected by the 402 use of a carbonaceous substrate of higher specific surface area. Utilization of carbon materials featuring at least 1000 m² g⁻¹ could raise electrode response in the order of Farads, 403 404 and increase pulse energy by 1-2 order of magnitude.

405

406 Acknowledgement

407

408 FS and CA acknowledge financial support by Alma Mater Studiorum - Università di409 Bologna (Researcher Mobility Program).

411 References

- 412
- 413 Agnès, C., Holzinger, M., Le Goff, A., Reuillard, B., Elouarzaki, K., Tingry, S., Cosnier
- 414 S., 2014. Energy Environ. Sci. 7, 1884-1888
- 415 Amir, L., Tam, T.K., Pita, M., Meijler, M.M., Alfonta, L., Katz, E., 2009. J. Am. Chem.
- 416 Soc. 131, 826-832.
- 417 Atanasov, P., Apblett, C., Brozik, S., Calabrese Barton, S.; Cooney, M., Liaw, B. Y.,
- 418 Mukerjee, S., Minteer, S. D. 2007. Electrochem. Soc. Interface. 16, 28–31.
- 419 Béguin, F., Presser, V., Balducci, A., Frackowiak, E., 2014. Adv. Mater. 26(14), 2219420 2251
- Benner, E., Petsev, D.N., 2013. Potential flow in the presence of a sudden expansion:
 Application to capillary driven transport in porous media. Phys. Rev. E 87 (033008),
 1-10.
- 424 Ciniciato, G.P.M.K., Lau, C., Cochrane, A., Sibbett, S.S., Gonzalez, E.R., Atanassov, P.,
 425 2012. Electroc. Acta 82, 208-213.
- 426 Conway, B.E., 1999. Electrochemical Supercapacitors: Scientific Fundamentals and
 427 Technological Applications, Springer.
- 428 Cooney, M.J., Lau, C., Windmeisser, M., Liaw, B.Y., Klotzbach, T., Minteer, S.D., 2008.
- 429 J. Mater. Chem. 6, 667-674.
- 430 Cosnier, S., Holzinger, M., Le Goff, A.2008. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol., 2(45), 1-5.
- 431 Davis, F., Higson, S.P.J., 2007. Biosens. Bioelectron. 22, 224-1235.
- 432 Desmet, C., Marquette, C. A., Blum, L. J., Doumèche, B., 2016. Biosen. Bioelectron. 76,
- 433 145–163.

- Falk, M., Narvaez Villarrubia, C.W., Babanova, S., Atanassov, A., Shleev, S., 2013.
 ChemPhysChem 14(10), 2045-2058.
- 436 Garcia, S. O., Ulyanova, Y. V., Figueroa-Teran, R., Bhatt, K. H., Singhal, S., Atanassov, P., 2016.
- 437 ECS J. Solid State Sci. Tech. 5 (8) M3075-M3081.
- 438 Gellett, W., Schumacher, J., Kesmez, M., Le, D., Minteer, S.D., 2010. J. Elechem. Soc.
- 439 157 (4), B557-B562.
- González-Arribas, E., Pankratov, D., Gounel, S., Mano, N., Blum, Z., Shleev, S., 2016.
 Electroanalysis. doi: 10.1002/elan.201600096
- 442 González-Guerrero, M.J., Esquivel, J.P., Sánchez-Molas, D., Godignon, P., Xavier Muñoz,
- 443 F., del Campo, F.J., Giroud, F., Minteer, S.D. Sabate, N., 2013. Lab Chip 13, 2972.
- 444 Gupta, G., Rathod, S.B., Staggs, K.W., Ista, L.K., Oucherif, K.A., Atanassov, P.B., Tartis,
- 445 M.S., and Montano, G.A., Lopez, G.P., 2009. Langmuir 25(23), 13322-13327.
- Hanashi, T., Yamazaki, T., Tsugawa, W., Ferri, S., Nakayama, D., Tomiyama, M.,
 Ikebukuro, K., Sode, K., 2009. Biosens Bioelectron. 24, 1837-1842
- 448 Heller, A., 1992. J.Phys. Chem. 96, 3579-3587.
- Ivnitski, D., Artyushkova, K., Rincón, R.A., Atanassov, P., Luckarift, H.R., Johnson, G.R.,
 2008. Small 4, 357-364.
- 451 Kang, C., Shin, H., Heller, A., 2006. Bioelectrochem. 68(1), 22-26.
- 452 Kizling, M., Draminska, S., Stolarczyk, K., Tammela, P., Wang, Z., Nyholm, L., Bilewicz,
 453 R., 2015. Bioelectrochem. 106, 34-40.
- 454 Lau, C., Moehlenbrock, M. J., Arechederra, R. L., Falase, A., Garcia, K., Rincon, R.,
- 455 Minteer, S. D., Banta, S., Gupta, G., Babanova, S., Atanassov, P. 2015. Int. J.
- 456 Hydrogen Energy 40, 1461-1466.

- 457 Li, S., Wang, Y., Ge, S., Yu, S., Yan, M., 2015. Biosen. Bioelectron. 71, 18–24.
- 458 Majdecka, D., Bilewicz, R., 2016. J. Solid State Electrochem. 20, 949–955.
- 459 Mano, N., Mao, F., Shin, W., Chen, T., Heller, A., 2003. Chem. Comm, 518-519.
- 460 Mendez, S., Fenton, E.M., Gallegos, G.R., Petsev, D.N., Sibbett, S.S., Stone, H.A., Zhang,
- 461 Y., López, G.P., 2010. Langmuir 26, 1380-1385.
- 462 Minteer, S.D., Liaw, B.Y., Cooney, M.J., 2007. Curr. Opin. Biotech. 18, 228 234.
- 463 Minteer, S.D., 2012a. Top. Catal. 55, 1157-1161.
- 464 Minteer, S.D., Atanassov, P., Luckarift, H.R., Johnson, G.R., 2012b. Mater. Today 15(4),
 465 166-173.
- 466 Moore, C.M., Minteer, S.D., Martin, R.S., 2005. Lab Chip 5, 218-225.
- 467 Narváez Villarrubia, C.W., Rincon, R.A., Radhakrishnan, V.K.; Davis, V., Atanassov, P.,
 468 2011. ACS App. Mater. Interfaces 3, 2402-2409.
- 469 Narváez Villarrubia, C.W., Garcia, S.O., Lau, C., Atanassov, P., 2013. ECS J. Solid State
 470 Sci. Technol. 2(10), M3156-M3159.
- 471 Narváez Villarrubia, C.W., Lau, C., Ciniciato, G.P.M.K., Garcia, S.O., Sibbett, S.S.,
- 472 Petsev, D.N., Babanova, S., Gupta, G., Atanassov, P., 2014. Electrochem. Comm. 45,
 473 44-47.
- 474 Pardo-Yissar, V., Katz, E., Willner, I., Kotlyar, A.B., Sanders, C., Lill, H., 2000. Farad.
- 475 Discuss. 116, 119-134.
- 476 Pankratov, D., Blum, Z., Shleev, S., 2014a. ChemElectroChem 1, 1798 1807.
- 477 Pankratov, D., Falkman, P., Blum, Z., Shleev, S. Energy Environ. Sci. 2014b, 7, 989-993.
- 478 Pankratov, D., Blum, Z., Suyatin, D.B., Popov V.O., Shleev, S., 2014c. ChemElectroChem
- 479 1(2), 343-346.

- 480 Rasmussen, M., Abdellaoui, S., Minteer, S. D. 2016. Biosen. Bioelectron., 76, 91-102.
- 481 Reid, R.C., Giroud, F., Minteer, S.D., Galea, B.K., 2013. J. Electrochem. Soc. 160 (9),
 482 H612-H619
- 483 Rincón, R.A., Lau, C., Garcia, K.A., Atanassov, P., 2011. Electrochim. Acta 56, 2503484 2509.
- 485 Rojas-Carbonell, S., Babanova, S., Serov, A., Ulyanova, Y., Singhal, S., Atanassov, P.,
 486 2016. Electrochim. Acta, 190, 504-510.
- 487 Santoro, C., Soavi, F., Serov, A., Arbizzani, C., Atanassov, P., 2016a. Biosens.
 488 Bioelectron. 78, 229-235
- 489 Santoro, C., Babanova, S., Erable, B., Schuler, A., Atanassov, P., 2016b. Bioelectrochem.
 490 108, 1-7.
- 491 Shitanda, I., Kato, S., Hoshi, Y., Itagaki, M., Tsujimura, S., 2013. Chem. Commun. 49
 492 (94), 11110–11112.
- 493 Skunik-Nuckowska, M., Grzejszczyk, K., Stolarczyk, K., Bilewicz R., Kulesza, P.J. 2014.
- 494 J. Appl. Electrochem. 44, 497-507.
- 495 Slaughter, G., Kulkarni, T., 2015. Biochip. Tissue Chip 5(1), 1-10.
- 496 Slaughter, G., Kulkarni, T., 2016. Biosen. Bioelectron. 78, 45-50.
- 497 Soavi, F., Bettini, L.G., Piseri, P., Milani, P., Santoro, C., Atanassov, P., Arbizzani, C.,
- 498 2016. J. Power Sources. doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2016.04.131
- Sode, K., Yamazaki, T., Lee, I., Hanashi, T., Tsugawa, W., 2016. Biosens. Bioelectron. 76,
 20–28.
- 501 Sokic-Lazic, D., Minteer, S.D., 2008. Biosensens. Bioelectron. 24, 939-944.
- 502 Soukharev, V., Mano, N., Heller, A., 2004. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 126(27), 8368-8369.

- 503 Southcott, M., MacVittie, K., Halámek, J., Halámková, L., Jemison, W. D., Lobel, R., Katz,
- 504 E., 2013. Phys.Chem.Chem.Phys. 15, 6278-6283.
- 505 Strack, G., Babanova, S., Farrington, K. E., Luckarift, H. R., Atanassov, P., Johnsona, G. R., 2013.
- 506 J. Electrochem, Soc. 160 (7), G3178-G3182.
- 507 Svoboda, V., Cooney, M.J., Rippolz, C., Liaw, B.Y., 2007. J. Electrochem. Soc. 154(3),
- 508 D113 D116.
- 509 Tam, T.K., Pita, M., Ornatska, M., Katz, E., 2009. Bioelectrochem. 76(1-2), 4-9.
- 510 Tarasevich, M.R. Bogdanovskaya, V.A. Zagudaeva, N.M., Kapustin, A.V., 2002. Russ. J.
- 511 Electrochem. 38 (3), 335.
- 512 Yu, E.H., Scott, K., 2010. Energies 2010, 3, 23.

Figure Caption

Figure 1. A) Schematic of cathode fabrication, pressing process and BOx deposition. B) Schematic of anode fabrication, MG electrochemical deposition and GDH/Chitosan/CNTs mixture deposition. C) Paper-based EFC assembly, stacking of the cellulose paper-based microfluidic system. D) Paper-based SC-EFC using GDH and BOx as anode and cathode assembled to a quasi-2D microfluidic system inserted in a glucose 0.1M, 1 mM NAD⁺ and KCl 0.1M in PB 0.1M at pH 7.5. E) Schematic of self-powered SC-EFC employing GDH anode and BOx cathode used as negative and positive electrodes of the internal supercapacitor, respectively.

Figure 2. Cell CVs with and without enzymes at scan rate of 5 mVs⁻¹ and 50 mVs⁻¹ (2electrode mode) (a). Anode and cathode CVs at scan rate of 50 mVs⁻¹ (b) (3-electrodemode).

Figure 3. a) Cell voltage and electrodes potential profiles of the SC-EFC under a discharge at 0.4 mA cm⁻² and the following rest period. b) Cell voltage and c) positive and negative electrode potential profiles during discharges at different current densities between 0.8 and 4 mA cm⁻².

Figure 4. a) Power curves at different current pulses. b) Ragone plot of the SC-EFC.

Figure 1







Figure 3





