



Article

Attitudes toward the SARS-CoV-2 and Influenza Vaccination in the Metropolitan Cities of Bologna and Palermo, Italy

Marco Montalti ¹, Zeno Di Valerio ¹, Flavia Rallo ^{1,*}, Lorena Squillace ², Claudio Costantino ³, Francesco Tomasello ³, Giulia Letizia Mauro ⁴, Michela Stillo ², Paola Perrone ², Davide Resi ², Davide Gori ¹, Francesco Vitale ³ and Maria Pia Fantini ¹

- Unit of Hygiene, Department of Biomedical and Neuromotor Sciences, Public Health and Medical Statistics, University of Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; marco.montalti7@studio.unibo.it (M.M.); zeno.divalerio@studio.unibo.it (Z.D.V.); davide.gori4@unibo.it (D.G.); mariapia.fantini@unibo.it (M.P.F.)
- Department of Public Health, Bologna Local Health Authority, 40124 Bologna, Italy; lorena.squillace@ausl.bologna.it (L.S.); michela.stillo@ausl.bologna.it (M.S.); paola.perrone@ausl.bologna.it (P.P.); davide.resi@ausl.bologna.it (D.R.)
- ³ Department of Health Promotion Sciences, Maternal and Infant Care, Internal Medicine and Medical Specialties (PROMISE) "G. D'Alessandro", University of Palermo, 90127 Palermo, Italy; claudio.costantino01@unipa.it (C.C.); francescotomasello111@gmail.com (F.T.); francesco.vitale@unipa.it (F.V.)
- ⁴ Department of Surgical, Oncological, and Stomatological Sciences, University of Palermo, 90127 Palermo, Italy; giulia.letiziamauro@unipa.it
- * Correspondence: flavia.rallo@studio.unibo.it; Tel.: +39-051-209-4802

Abstract: Vaccine hesitancy (VH) is known to play a relevant role in thwarting the efforts toward reaching satisfactory influenza vaccination coverage, and has caused similar difficulties during the COVID-19 pandemic. This study aims to describe the phenomenon and produce insights on the reasons behind VH. A survey was administered between December 2020 and February 2021 to adults living in the cities of Bologna and Palermo. Of the 443 subjects enrolled, 47.3% were likely to get the influenza vaccination, while 75.6% were willing to receive the COVID-19 vaccination. The most frequent determinants that motivated the willingness to get the COVID-19 vaccine were trust in the safety of vaccines and belief that the vaccine is an effective tool. As for people's unwillingness to be vaccinated, being exposed to information that produced doubts about the vaccine and lack of trust in a newly developed vaccine were the most frequently involved determinants. Statistically significant positive associations were found between the willingness to be vaccinated and postgraduate education and the propensity towards influenza vaccination. A negative association with being over 40 years old and of female gender was also found. These results might have an impact in better understanding individual reasons behind VH, identifying which categories are more exposed to it and which strategies should be implemented.

Keywords: vaccine hesitancy; vaccination; COVID-19; SARS-CoV-2; influenza; survey; Italy

Citation: Montalti, M.; Di Valerio, Z.; Rallo, F.; Squillace, L.; Costantino, C.; Tomasello, F.; Mauro, G.L.; Stillo, M.; Perrone, P.; Resi, D.; et al. Attitudes toward the SARS-CoV-2 and Influenza Vaccination in the Metropolitan Cities of Bologna and Palermo, Italy. *Vaccines* 2021, 9, 1200. https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9101200

Academic Editor: Giuseppe La Torre

Received: 1 October 2021 Accepted: 16 October 2021 Published: 18 October 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses /by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Italy has been one of the countries most heavily hit by the pandemic. Since 21 February 2020, when the first SARS-CoV-2 infection was detected, the National Health Service has faced increasing pressure, with 4,700,316 positive cases and 131,301 COVID-19 deaths as of 13 October 2021 [1].

In Italy, public health management is shared between the state and twenty regions, which are responsible for the organization and administration of health services. The regions are autonomous territorial entities that differ in demographics, economic development, infrastructure, and even health spending, with a clear north-south divide [2]. The Emilia-Romagna region (northern Italy) and the Sicily region (southern Italy)

Vaccines 2021, 9, 1200 2 of 9

faced enormous challenges in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, with 387,099 and 240,535 positive cases reported to date, respectively, and it was evident in both cases that the regional capitals (Bologna and Palermo) were the territories most affected by the pandemic. [3,4].

On 27 December 2020, the government and regions promoted a COVID-19 vaccination campaign by first immunizing HCWs and all personnel working in healthcare settings. From February onward, the campaign targeted elderly people over 80 and people with comorbidities and gradually expanded to increasingly younger age groups [5].

Even in the context of the COVID-19 vaccination campaign, vaccine hesitancy (VH), listed by the World Health Organization (WHO) as one of the ten global health threats of 2019, [6] and defined as "delayed acceptance or refusal of vaccination despite the availability of vaccine services" [7], played a key role. VH varies in form and intensity depending on where and when it occurs and which vaccine is affected, as confirmed by several studies and reviews on the topic [8–10].

Another key issue addressed within the WHO Global Health Threats report concerns the need to achieve adequate influenza vaccination coverage [6], a goal that has been viewed now more than ever as a public health priority [11].

In Italy, over the last five years, vaccination coverage levels against influenza in the over-65 population show a progressive increase, starting from 49.9% in 2015/2016 to 65.3% during the last year [12]. However, the goals are still distant, considering that in order to significantly reduce morbidity, complications and mortality due to influenza, high vaccination coverage must be achieved in the target population groups, particularly in the elderly (over 65 years of age) and in high-risk individuals of all ages [12].

Understanding the factors limiting a successful reaching of population vaccination coverage for these vaccines is crucial to suggesting implementation strategies for the dual epidemics' vaccination campaigns.

The aim of this study was to investigate the potential determinants of both COVID-19 and influenza VH in the metropolitan cities of Bologna (Northern Italy) and Palermo (Southern Italy), and to investigate the previous influenza VH as a possible determinant of COVID-19 VH.

2. Materials and Methods

An in-person survey was self-administered to people older than 18 years of age living in the metropolitan cities of Bologna and Palermo [13] that were recruited between December 2020 and February 2021. People were recruited in five pharmacies located in the city of Palermo and while they were waiting to undergo a screening swab by the local health authority in Bologna. Adherence to the study was voluntary and data were collected anonymously.

2.1. Questionnaire

Socio-demographic variables were collected. VH contextual, individual/group and vaccine-specific determinants, chosen according to those identified by the WHO Sage Group [14], were investigated. The same vaccine hesitancy variables were transformed into affirmative outcomes to investigate vaccination propensity factors. Lastly, participants were also asked whether they had received the influenza vaccination in the year 2020 and if their decision had been influenced by the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. A complete English version of the survey instrument can be found in the supplementary materials.

2.2. Statistical Analysis

Variables were described as absolute and relative frequencies. Univariate analysis was performed using the $\chi 2$ test for all categorical variables. Independent variables for which the p value was 0.25 or less in the univariate analysis were included in the

Vaccines 2021, 9, 1200 3 of 9

multivariate logistic regression models. A two-sided p value of 0.05 or less was considered an indicator of a statistically significant difference. The following independent variables were included if they met the mentioned criteria: age (<40=0; $\ge40=1$), city (Palermo = 0; Bologna = 1), gender (male = 0; female = 1), level of education (<High school diploma = 0; \ge High school diploma = 1), and propensity for influenza vaccination (no = 0; yes = 1). Multivariable model results are shown as odds-ratio (OR) with confidence interval (95% CI) and p values. The statistical analysis was performed using Stata statistical software 14 (StataCorp, College Station, TX). The Ethics Committee of the University of Bologna, Italy, approved the study protocol (Prot n. 223916, 09/10/2020).

3. Results

Overall, four hundred and forty-three subjects completed the questionnaire, with 217 (49.0%) having been recruited in Palermo and 226 (51.0%) in Bologna. The main sociodemographic features of the sample and the univariate analysis are reported in Table 1. Overall, 224 (50.6%) participants were under the age of 40, and 219 (49.4%) were 40 or above, while 193 (43.6%) of them were male and 250 (56.4%) female. With regard to education, 227 (51.7%) participants had a lower certification than a high school diploma, while 212 (49.3%) had at least a high school diploma. When asked if they were likely to be vaccinated against influenza, 240 (54.7%) answered negatively while 199 (47.3%) answered affirmatively. Overall, 145 (32.7%) of the respondents thought their choice to be vaccinated against influenza was influenced by the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic.

Table 1. Main features of the sample and propensity for COVID-19 vaccination according to several socio-demographic determinants.

Feature	Total N (%)	Propensity for COVID-19 Vaccination N (%)	p	
	443	335 (75.6)		
Age				
<40	224 (50.6)	188 (83.9)	χ^2 = 16.96, 1 df p < 0.001	
<u>> 40</u>	219 (49.4)	147 (67.1)		
City				
Palermo	217 (49)	172 (79.3)	$\chi^2 = 3.06$, 1 df p = 0.080	
Bologna	226 (51)	163 (72.1)	-	
Gender	, ,	•		
Male	193 (43.6)	157 (81.4)	$\chi^2 = 6.08$, 1 df p = 0.014	
Female	250 (56.4)	178 (71.2)		
Level of education a				
<high diploma<="" school="" td=""><td>227 (51.7)</td><td>165 (72.7)</td><td>χ^2 = 1.86, 1 df p = 0.172</td></high>	227 (51.7)	165 (72.7)	χ^2 = 1.86, 1 df p = 0.172	
≥High School Diploma	212 (48.3)	166 (78.3)	•	
Propensity for Influenza	vaccination a	. ,		
No	240 (54.7)	154 (64.2)	$\chi^2 = 36.01$, 1 df p < 0.001	
Yes	199 (45.3)	177 (88.9)	<u>*</u>	

^a Total may not be equal to "N" due to missing data.

3.1. Willingness to Receive COVID-19 Vaccination

Among all the participants, 335 (75.6%) were willing to receive the COVID-19 vaccination, whereas 108 (24.4%) were reluctant. In Bologna the same responses were 163 (72.1%) and 63 (27.9%), respectively, while in Palermo it was172 (79.3%) and 45 (20.7%), respectively. In Table 2, reasons for a willingness to receive the-COVID-19 vaccination are reported.

Vaccines 2021, 9, 1200 4 of 9

Table 2. Reasons for willingness to receive COVID-19 vaccination in Bologna and Palermo. More than one answer was possible for each question, with no limit.

Question	Answer	Total N (%)
If Yes, which determinants are mainly associated with your decision?	I follow people or groups (political/religious/influencers) that make me trust the vaccine	
	I would trust a newly developed vaccine	
	People I know tend to be confident about the vaccine being released	
	I do not believe the vaccine is a tool for the enrichment of lobbies/pharmaceutical companies	
	I am not afraid of the pain nor the mode of administration	
	I trust the government with deciding which vaccines are mandatory	
	I have read information (internet/media/social media) that makes me trust the vaccine	
	In the past I have never had any problems in accessing vaccinations	
	I trust doctors and health personnel	
	I believe that the risk of contracting COVID-19 is much greater than the risks of vaccination	
	I have not had any unpleasant personal experiences with previous vaccinations	
	I have trust in the safety of vaccines	
	The vaccine is an effective tool for me/my family/my community	
If No, which determinants are mainly associated with your decision?	In the past I have had problems accessing vaccinations	
	I don't trust doctors and health personnel	
	I am afraid of the pain or the mode of administration	
	The vaccine is a tool for the enrichment of lobbies/pharmaceutical companies	
	I have had some unpleasant personal experiences with previous vaccinations	
	The people I know tend to be unconfident about the vaccine being released	
	I follow people or groups (political/religious/influencers) that make me doubt the vaccine	
	I think COVID-19 is not threatening enough for me to risk vaccine injuries	
	The vaccine is not an effective tool for me/my family/my community	
	I know cases of people damaged by vaccines	
	I don't want politics to force me to take the vaccine	
	I have read information (internet/media/social media) that makes me doubt the vaccine	
	I wouldn't trust a newly developed vaccine	

Among the cohort from Bologna, for respondents willing to be vaccinated, the most frequent determinants cited as having influenced their decision were trust in the safety of vaccines (63.2%), belief that vaccination is an effective tool (44.8%), not having had any unpleasant personal experiences with previous vaccinations (35.0%), and trust in doctors and health personnel (33.7%). In the cohort from Palermo, they were: belief that vaccination is an effective tool (67.4%), not having had any unpleasant personal experiences with previous vaccinations (59.9%), and belief that the risk of contracting COVID-19 is much greater than the risks of vaccination (48.8%).

Among respondents not willing to be vaccinated in Bologna, the most frequent determinants cited as having influenced their decision were lack of trust in a newly developed vaccine (58.7%), having read information that made them doubt the vaccine (41.3%), and not wanting politics to force them to take the vaccine (25.4%). In the cohort from Palermo, they were: lack of trust in a newly developed vaccine (55.5%), having read information that made them doubt the vaccine (26.7%), and knowing of cases of people damaged by vaccines (24.4%). Reasons for a willingness to receive the COVID-19 vaccination are shown in detail for each single city in Table S1.

3.2. Multivariate Logistic Regression Model of Determinants for Vaccine Confidence

Multivariate analysis (Table 3) showed a statistically significant positive association between willingness to be administered the COVID-19 vaccination and \geq high school diploma (OR = 1.69, 95% CI: 1.04–2.76) and propensity for influenza vaccination (OR = 5.36, 95% CI: 3.12–9.21). A significant negative association with being 40 years old or more (OR = 0.32, 95% CI: 0.19–0.55) and female gender (OR = 0.53, 95% CI: 0.32–0.87) emerged as well.

Vaccines 2021, 9, 1200 5 of 9

Variables	OR	SE	95% CI	р
Log likelihood = -208.14, χ^2 = 7	1.30 (5 df), p < 0.0000	1, No. of obs =	435	
Age				
<40	1.00 a			
<u>≥</u> 40	0.32	0.09	0.19-0.55	< 0.001
City				
Palermo	1.00 a			
Bologna	0.9	0.24	0.53-1.53	0.702
Gender				
Male	1.00 a			
Female	0.53	0.13	0.32 - 0.87	0.012
Level of Education				
<high diploma<="" school="" td=""><td>1.00 a</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></high>	1.00 a			
≥High School Diploma	1.69	0.42	1.04-2.76	0.035
Propensity for Influenza vaccination				
No	1.00 a			
Yes	5.36	1.48	3.12-9.21	< 0.001

Table 3. Multivariate logistic regression model of determinants for Vaccine Confidence.

4. Discussion

In this multicenter study conducted at the beginning of the COVID-19 vaccination campaign in Italy, we found a high overall vaccine acceptance rate (75.6%), in line with other studies that detected VH in the general population during the same time period (70.9%-77.3%) [10,15,16]. Vaccine confidence rates were found to be not particularly different between the two regional capitals surveyed, with 79.3% and 72.1% for Palermo and Bologna, respectively.

Our findings show that males, participants with a higher level of education and younger people (<40 years) were more likely to get a COVID-19 vaccine. As for males, a higher vaccine confidence has already been documented by several studies [17–21], and could be explained by a higher risk perception of COVID-19, which has been shown from the beginning of the pandemic to be particularly severe in this specific population [22]. Similarly, what was found regarding the level of education corresponds with the current scientific literature, with a higher level of education being a protective factor against VH for COVID-19 vaccines [23–25]. This could be due to a greater availability of tools for critical analysis of fake news, the use of more reliable sources of information, and of a greater trust in institutions.

On the other hand, our finding regarding age is particularly surprising in light of the fact that COVID-19 disease has a significant impact in terms of morbidity and mortality in older people [26,27]. This could be explained by the fact that younger people, less affected by the disease, possibly see the vaccine as the most rapid and efficient means to return to their normal lives [28]. In another study, conducted on a representative sample from the Emilia-Romagna region, we found how the relationship between age and vaccine confidence could be described with a U-shape [29], while in several studies increasing age has been shown to be a protective factor against VH [22,25,30], possibly due to a higher reliance on traditional information sources and less exposure to social media [31]. The difference we found could be explained by the particular settings in which the questionnaires were collected (pharmacies and swab-screening for SARS-CoV-2) and the period in which the study was performed (beginning of the vaccination campaign). In fact, it is well established that VH is a highly complex and context-specific phenomenon that depends on place and time [32].

When discussing VH determinants, considering the vaccine as an effective tool for oneself/one's family/community (56.4%) proved to be the main reason for vaccine confidence in respondents who were willing to get vaccinated. Other determinants that most frequently led to vaccine confidence were found to be trust in vaccine safety (51.0%)

^a Variable set as a benchmark.

Vaccines 2021, 9, 1200 6 of 9

of participants who were willing to get vaccinated) and the fact that respondents had never had problems with vaccinations in the past (34.3%). Past adherence to vaccinations and, consequently, having experienced common adverse reactions and having observed vaccination safety firsthand are indeed considered to be contributing predisposing factors for vaccine confidence [33–36].

We found that the fact that the vaccines in use in the COVID-19 campaign were only newly developed (57.4%) and having read any information (internet/media/social media) that raised doubts about vaccination (35.2%) were the most common reasons for unwillingness to get the COVID-19 vaccine. This finding is in line with current literature that identifies the use of social media as a contributing factor to vaccine hesitancy [31,37–39]. New sources of information have certainly played a crucial role in the COVID-19 vaccination campaign, which has been littered more than ever with fake news and anti-vax contents [40,41]. This phenomenon has come to be known as an 'infodemic', described by the WHO as the presence of too much information, including false or misleading information, causing confusion and risky behaviour that can damage health [42].

Finally, as expected, propensity toward influenza vaccination was a significant predictor of willingness to be vaccinated against COVID-19, confirming the results of previous papers [20,43,44]. It is possible that boosting confidence in a well-known and routinely administered vaccine like the influenza vaccine could lead to an improved COVID-19 vaccine uptake as well. This indirect effect on COVID-19 mortality, severity and spread might be further amplified by a direct protective effect of influenza vaccination independent of COVID-19 vaccination, which has been proposed by recent literature [45–47].

Interestingly, nearly one third of respondents appeared to think the COVID-19 pandemic has had a relevant impact on their decision to get vaccinated against influenza. Although we have not investigated the final effect of this influence, the existence of the effect confirms the known large impact that widely-discussed news and real world events have on VH [48,49].

5. Limitations and Conclusions

Some limitations should be disclosed. First, non-randomly selected participants were enrolled during a screening program performed among parents/guardians of children aged 0–18 years (Bologna, Italy) or among customers older than 18 years of age with access to five community pharmacies located in the municipality and in the province of Palermo who voluntarily joined the study. Therefore, (a) it is not possible to calculate a survey participation rate, (b) it is not possible to indicate the confidence limits of the estimated prevalence of VH, and (c) it is not clear which subset of the population the survey participants represent. In addition, the survey was conducted at the beginning of the Italian COVID-19 vaccination campaign when the public debate on this topic was not yet politicized or polarized, so the data may not be representative of people's true perceptions. Furthermore, although our model had acceptable goodness of fit, the set of predictors found cannot be considered exhaustive because other unknown variables may play a role in determining hesitation.

Despite these limitations, the main strengths of the study are the large cohort of enrolled subjects, the multicentricity of the survey conducted in two different regional territories, the absence of operator influence on questionnaire completion, and the homogeneous distribution of participants by age, sex, and educational level.

Results produced at different levels are needed to inform policy-makers and public health professionals in their efforts to target information and communication campaigns to counter vaccine hesitancy. Our study highlights the need to implement an accurate, informative and communicative campaign dedicated to those who are more hesitant to receive COVID-19 vaccination (e.g., the female gender, adults, people with a low level of education or who do not usually adhere to the influenza vaccination campaign). Considering the regional contribution in Italy to the organisation of the COVID-19 vaccination campaign and to the management of the pandemic, these results may

Vaccines 2021, 9, 1200 7 of 9

represent an important element of knowledge to reinforce the need for continuous surveys conducted at different territorial levels and times.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/vaccines9101200/s1, Survey Instrument and Table S1.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, all authors contributed equally; methodology, M.M., F.R., D.G.; formal analysis, L.S.; investigation, M.M., F.R. and F.T.; data curation, M.M., Z.D.V., F.R., L.S.; writing—original draft preparation, M.M., Z.D.V., F.R.; writing—review and editing, L.S., M.S., P.P.; supervision, C.C., G.L.M., D.R., F.V., D.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of the University of Bologna (Prot n. 223916, 09/10/2020).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Italian Ministry of Health.Covid-19—Situation in the world. Available online: https://www.salute.gov.it/portale/nuovocoronavirus/dettaglioContenutiNuovoCoronavirus.jsp?lingua=italiano&id=5338&are a=nuovoCoronavirus&menu=vuoto_(accessed on 15 October 2021).
- 2. Toth, F. How Health Care Regionalisation in Italy Is Widening the North–South Gap. *Health Econ. Policy Law* **2014**, *9*, 231–249. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1744133114000012.
- 3. Ministry of Health, National Institute of Health. Monitoring Phase 2 Weekly Report, Report 63/Emilia-Romagna. Available online: https://www.salute.gov.it/imgs/C_17_monitoraggi_72_4_fileRegionale.pdf (accessed on 30 July 2021).
- 4. Ministry of Health, National Institute of Health. Monitoring Phase 2 Weekly Report, Report 63/Sicily. Available online: https://www.salute.gov.it/imgs/C_17_monitoraggi_72_16_fileRegionale.p (accessed on 30 July 2021).
- 5. Italian Ministry of Health. Anti-Covid-19 National Vaccination Plan. Available online: http://www.salute.gov.it/portale/nuovocoronavirus/dettaglioContenutiNuovoCoronavirus.jsp?lingua=italiano&id=5452&area=nuovoCoronavirus&menu=vuoto (accessed on 30 July 2021).
- 6. Ten Health Issues WHO Will Tackle This Year. Available online: https://www.who.int/news-room/spotlight/ten-threats-to-global-health-in-2019 (accessed on 30 July 2021).
- 7. MacDonald, N.E. SAGE Working Group on Vaccine Hesitancy Vaccine Hesitancy: Definition, Scope and Determinants. *Vaccine* **2015**, *33*, 4161–4164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.04.036.
- 8. Larson, H.J.; Jarrett, C.; Eckersberger, E.; Smith, D.M.D.; Paterson, P. Understanding Vaccine Hesitancy around Vaccines and Vaccination from a Global Perspective: A Systematic Review of Published Literature, 2007–2012. *Vaccine* **2014**, 32, 2150–2159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2014.01.081.
- 9. Cobos Muñoz, D.; Monzón Llamas, L.; Bosch-Capblanch, X. Exposing Concerns about Vaccination in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review. *Int. J. Public Health* **2015**, *60*, 767–780. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00038-015-0715-6.
- 10. Salomoni, M.G.; Di Valerio, Z.; Gabrielli, E.; Montalti, M.; Tedesco, D.; Guaraldi, F.; Gori, D. Hesitant or Not Hesitant? A Systematic Review on Global COVID-19 Vaccine Acceptance in Different Populations. *Vaccines* **2021**, *9*, 873. https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9080873.
- 11. Gostin, L.O.; Salmon, D.A. The Dual Epidemics of COVID-19 and Influenza: Vaccine Acceptance, Coverage, and Mandates. *JAMA* **2020**, 324, 335–336. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.10802.
- 12. Italian Ministry of Health. Influenza—Vaccination coverage data. Available online: https://www.salute.gov.it/portale/influenza/dettaglioContenutiInfluenza.jsp?lingua=italiano&id=679&area=influenza&menu=vuoto (accessed on 30 July 2021).
- 13. Costantino, C.; Gori, D.; Alba, D.; Cimino, L.; Conforto, A.; Tomasello, F.; Rallo, F.; Mauro, G.L.; Vitale, F.; Mazzucco, W. Willingness to Receive Covid-19 Vaccination in Costumers Accessing Community Pharmacies in the Province of Palermo, Italy. *EuroMediterr. Biomed. J.* **2021**, *16*. https://doi.org/10.3269/1970-5492.2021.16.19.
- 14. Larson, H.J.; Jarrett, C.; Schulz, W.S.; Chaudhuri, M.; Zhou, Y.; Dube, E.; Schuster, M.; MacDonald, N.E.; Wilson, R. Measuring Vaccine Hesitancy: The Development of a Survey Tool. *Vaccine* **2015**, *33*, 4165–4175. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.04.037.

Vaccines 2021, 9, 1200 8 of 9

15. Neumann-Böhme, S.; Varghese, N.E.; Sabat, I.; Barros, P.P.; Brouwer, W.; van Exel, J.; Schreyögg, J.; Stargardt, T. Once We Have It, Will We Use It? A European Survey on Willingness to Be Vaccinated against COVID-19. Eur. J. Health Econ. 2020, 21, 977–982. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10198-020-01208-6.

- Lazarus, J.V.; Ratzan, S.C.; Palayew, A.; Gostin, L.O.; Larson, H.J.; Rabin, K.; Kimball, S.; El-Mohandes, A. A Global Survey of Potential Acceptance of a COVID-19 Vaccine. *Nat. Med.* 2020, 1–4. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-1124-9.
- 17. Montalti, M.; Rallo, F.; Guaraldi, F.; Bartoli, L.; Po, G.; Stillo, M.; Perrone, P.; Squillace, L.; Dallolio, L.; Pandolfi, P.; et al. Would Parents Get Their Children Vaccinated Against SARS-CoV-2? Rate and Predictors of Vaccine Hesitancy According to a Survey over 5000 Families from Bologna, Italy. *Vaccines* **2021**, *9*, 366. https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9040366.
- 18. Lin, C.; Tu, P.; Beitsch, L.M. Confidence and Receptivity for COVID-19 Vaccines: A Rapid Systematic Review. *Vaccines* **2020**, *9*, 16. https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9010016.
- 19. Schwarzinger, M.; Watson, V.; Arwidson, P.; Alla, F.; Luchini, S. COVID-19 Vaccine Hesitancy in a Representative Working-Age Population in France: A Survey Experiment Based on Vaccine Characteristics. *Lancet Public Health* **2021**, *6*, e210–e221. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(21)00012-8.
- Ledda, C.; Costantino, C.; Cuccia, M.; Maltezou, H.C.; Rapisarda, V. Attitudes of Healthcare Personnel towards Vaccinations before and during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2021, 8, 18. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18052703.
- 21. Costantino, A.; Noviello, D.; Conforti, F.S.; Aloi, M.; Armuzzi, A.; Bossa, F.; Ficari, F.; Leone, S.; Manguso, F.; Mocci, G.; et al. COVID-19 Vaccination Willingness and Hesitancy in Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Diseases: Analysis of Determinants in a National Survey of the Italian IBD Patients' Association. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.* **2021**, 14. https://doi.org/10.1093/ibd/izab172.
- Guaraldi, F.; Montalti, M.; Di Valerio, Z.; Mannucci, E.; Nreu, B.; Monami, M.; Gori, D. Rate and Predictors of Hesitancy toward SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine among Type 2 Diabetic Patients: Results from an Italian Survey. *Vaccines* 2021, 9, 460. https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9050460.
- 23. Machida, M.; Nakamura, I.; Kojima, T.; Saito, R.; Nakaya, T.; Hanibuchi, T.; Takamiya, T.; Odagiri, Y.; Fukushima, N.; Kikuchi, H.; et al. Acceptance of a COVID-19 Vaccine in Japan during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Vaccines* **2021**, *9*, 210. https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9030210.
- 24. Green, M.S.; Abdullah, R.; Vered, S.; Nitzan, D. A Study of Ethnic, Gender and Educational Differences in Attitudes toward COVID-19 Vaccines in Israel—Implications for Vaccination Implementation Policies. *Isr. J. Health Policy Res.* **2021**, *10*, 26. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13584-021-00458-w.
- 25. Robinson, E.; Jones, A.; Lesser, I.; Daly, M. International Estimates of Intended Uptake and Refusal of COVID-19 Vaccines: A Rapid Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Large Nationally Representative Samples. *Vaccine* **2021**, *39*, 2024–2034. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.02.005.
- Amore, S.; Puppo, E.; Melara, J.; Terracciano, E.; Gentili, S.; Liotta, G. Impact of COVID-19 on Older Adults and Role of Long-Term Care Facilities during Early Stages of Epidemic in Italy. Sci. Rep. 2021, 11, 12530. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-91992-9
- De Pue, S.; Gillebert, C.; Dierckx, E.; Vanderhasselt, M.-A.; De Raedt, R.; Van den Bussche, E. The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Wellbeing and Cognitive Functioning of Older Adults. Sci. Rep. 2021, 11, 4636. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-84127-7
- 28. Verger, P.; Peretti-Watel, P. Understanding the Determinants of Acceptance of COVID-19 Vaccines: A Challenge in a Fast-Moving Situation. *Lancet Public Health* **2021**, *6*, e195–e196. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(21)00029-3.
- 29. Reno, C.; Maietti, E.; Fantini, M.P.; Savoia, E.; Manzoli, L.; Montalti, M.; Gori, D. Enhancing COVID-19 Vaccines Acceptance: Results from a Survey on Vaccine Hesitancy in Northern Italy. *Vaccines* **2021**, *9*, 378. https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9040378.
- 30. Cordina, M.; Lauri, M.A.; Lauri, J. Attitudes towards COVID-19 Vaccination, Vaccine Hesitancy and Intention to Take the Vaccine. *Pharm. Pract.* **2021**, *19*, 2317. https://doi.org/10.18549/PharmPract.2021.1.2317.
- 31. Reno, C.; Maietti, E.; Di Valerio, Z.; Montalti, M.; Fantini, M.P.; Gori, D. Vaccine Hesitancy towards COVID-19 Vaccination: Investigating the Role of Information Sources through a Mediation Analysis. *Infect. Dis. Rep.* **2021**, *13*, 712–723. https://doi.org/10.3390/idr13030066.
- 32. ECDC. Vaccine Hesitancy. Available online: https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/immunisation-vaccines/vaccine-hesitancy (accessed on 30 July 2021).
- 33. Caserotti, M.; Girardi, P.; Rubaltelli, E.; Tasso, A.; Lotto, L.; Gavaruzzi, T. Associations of COVID-19 Risk Perception with Vaccine Hesitancy over Time for Italian Residents. Soc. Sci. Med. 2021, 272, 113688. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2021.113688.
- 34. Ruiz, J.B.; Bell, R.A. Predictors of Intention to Vaccinate against COVID-19: Results of a Nationwide Survey. *Vaccine* **2021**, *39*, 1080–1086. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.01.010.

Vaccines 2021, 9, 1200 9 of 9

35. Costantino, A.; Topa, M.; Roncoroni, L.; Doneda, L.; Lombardo, V.; Stocco, D.; Gramegna, A.; Costantino, C.; Vecchi, M.; Elli, L. COVID-19 Vaccine: A Survey of Hesitancy in Patients with Celiac Disease. *Vaccines* **2021**, *9*, 511. https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9050511.

- 36. Deiner, M.S.; Fathy, C.; Kim, J.; Niemeyer, K.; Ramirez, D.; Ackley, S.F.; Liu, F.; Lietman, T.M.; Porco, T.C. Facebook and Twitter Vaccine Sentiment in Response to Measles Outbreaks. *Health Inform. J.* **2019**, 25, 1116–1132. https://doi.org/10.1177/1460458217740723.
- 37. Kang, G.J.; Ewing-Nelson, S.R.; Mackey, L.; Schlitt, J.T.; Marathe, A.; Abbas, K.M.; Swarup, S. Semantic Network Analysis of Vaccine Sentiment in Online Social Media. *Vaccine* **2017**, *35*, 3621–3638. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.05.052.
- 38. Puri, N.; Coomes, E.A.; Haghbayan, H.; Gunaratne, K. Social Media and Vaccine Hesitancy: New Updates for the Era of COVID-19 and Globalized Infectious Diseases. *Hum. Vaccin. Immunother.* **2020**, *16*, 2586–2593. https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2020.1780846.
- 39. Guess, A.M.; Nyhan, B.; O'Keeffe, Z.; Reifler, J. The Sources and Correlates of Exposure to Vaccine-Related (Mis)Information Online. *Vaccine* **2020**, *38*, 7799–7805. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.10.018.
- 40. Basch, C.H.; Zybert, P.; Reeves, R.; Basch, C.E. What Do Popular YouTubeTM Videos Say about Vaccines? *Child Care Health Dev.* **2017**, 43, 499–503. https://doi.org/10.1111/cch.12442.
- 41. Blankenship, E.B.; Goff, M.E.; Yin, J.; Tse, Z.T.H.; Fu, K.-W.; Liang, H.; Saroha, N.; Fung, I.C.-H. Sentiment, Contents, and Retweets: A Study of Two Vaccine-Related Twitter Datasets. *Perm. J.* 2018, 22, 17–138.
- 42. WHO. Infodemic. Available online: https://www.who.int/westernpacific/health-topics/infodemic_(accessed on 30 July 2021).
- 43. Di Valerio, Z.; Montalti, M.; Guaraldi, F.; Tedesco, D.; Nreu, B.; Mannucci, E.; Monami, M.; Gori, D. Trust of Italian Healthcare Professionals in Covid-19 (Anti-Sars-Cov-2) Vaccination. *Ann. Ig.* **2021**. https://doi.org/10.7416/ai.2021.2463.
- 44. Domnich, A.; Cambiaggi, M.; Vasco, A.; Maraniello, L.; Ansaldi, F.; Baldo, V.; Bonanni, P.; Calabrò, G.E.; Costantino, C.; de Waure, C.; Gabutti, G.; et al. Attitudes and Beliefs on Influenza Vaccination during the COVID-19 Pandemic: Results from a Representative Italian Survey. *Vaccines* 2020, 30, 8. https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines8040711.
- 45. Amato, M.; Werba, J.P.; Frigerio, B.; Coggi, D.; Sansaro, D.; Ravani, A.; Ferrante, P.; Veglia, F.; Tremoli, E.; Baldassarre, D. Relationship between Influenza Vaccination Coverage Rate and COVID-19 Outbreak: An Italian Ecological Study. *Vaccines* 2020, 8, 535. https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines8030535.
- 46. Fink, G.; Orlova-Fink, N.; Schindler, T.; Grisi, S.; Ferrer, A.P.S.; Daubenberger, C.; Brentani, A. Inactivated Trivalent Influenza Vaccination Is Associated with Lower Mortality among Patients with COVID-19 in Brazil. *BMJ Evid. -Based Med.* **2021**, 26, 192–193. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjebm-2020-111549.
- 47. Del Riccio, M.; Lorini, C.; Bonaccorsi, G.; Paget, J.; Caini, S. The Association between Influenza Vaccination and the Risk of SARS-CoV-2 Infection, Severe Illness, and Death: A Systematic Review of the Literature. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, 17, 7870. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17217870.
- 48. Gunaratne, K.; Coomes, E.A.; Haghbayan, H. Temporal Trends in Anti-Vaccine Discourse on Twitter. *Vaccine* **2019**, *37*, 4867–4871. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2019.06.086.
- 49. Gori, D.; Reno, C.; Remondini, D.; Durazzi, F.; Fantini, M.P. Are We Ready for the Arrival of the New COVID-19 Vaccinations? Great Promises and Unknown Challenges Still to Come. *Vaccines* **2021**, *9*, 173. https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9020173.