

Supplementary Materials part 1:

Quantitative model description

and parameter values

**THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN OSCILLATIONS IN BRAIN
REGIONS AND FUNCTIONAL CONNECTIVITY: A CRITICAL
ANALYSIS WITH THE AID OF NEURAL MASS MODELS**

Giulia Ricci, Elisa Magosso and Mauro Ursino*

1). The equations of a single ROI are written below:

Pyramidal neurons

$$\frac{dy_p(t)}{dt} = x_p(t) \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{dx_p(t)}{dt} = G_e \omega_e z_p(t) - 2\omega_e x_p(t) - \omega_e^2 y_p(t) \quad (2)$$

$$z_p(t) = \frac{2e_0}{1 + e^{-\tau v_p}} \quad (3)$$

$$v_p(t) = C_{pe} y_e(t) - C_{ps} y_s(t) - C_{pf} y_f(t) \quad (4)$$

Excitatory interneurons

$$\frac{dy_e(t)}{dt} = x_e(t) \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{dx_e(t)}{dt} = G_e \omega_e \left(z_e(t) + \frac{u_p(t)}{C_{pe}} \right) - 2\omega_e x_e(t) - \omega_e^2 y_e(t) \quad (6)$$

$$z_e(t) = \frac{2e_0}{1 + e^{-\tau v_e}} \quad (7)$$

$$v_e(t) = C_{ep} y_p(t) \quad (8)$$

Slow inhibitory interneurons

$$\frac{dy_s(t)}{dt} = x_s(t) \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{dx_s(t)}{dt} = G_s \omega_s z_s(t) - 2\omega_s x_s(t) - \omega_s^2 y_s(t) \quad (10)$$

$$z_s(t) = \frac{2e_0}{1 + e^{-\tau v_s}} \quad (11)$$

$$v_s(t) = C_{sp} y_p(t) \quad (12)$$

Fast inhibitory interneurons

$$\frac{dy_f(t)}{dt} = x_f(t) \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{dx_f(t)}{dt} = G_f \omega_f z_f(t) - 2\omega_f x_f(t) - \omega_f^2 y_f(t) \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{dy_l(t)}{dt} = x_l(t) \quad (15)$$

$$\frac{dx_l(t)}{dt} = G_e \omega_e u_f(t) - 2\omega_e x_l(t) - \omega_e^2 y_l(t) \quad (16)$$

$$z_f(t) = \frac{2e_0}{1 + e^{-rv_f}} \quad (17)$$

$$v_f(t) = C_{fp} y_p(t) - C_{fs} y_s(t) - C_{ff} y_f(t) + y_l(t) \quad (18)$$

To simulate connectivity, we assumed that the average spike density of pyramidal neurons of the presynaptic area (z_p^k) affects the target region via a weight factor, W_j^{hk} (where $j = p$ or f , depending on whether the synapse targets pyramidal neurons or fast inhibitory interneurons) and a time delay, T . This is achieved by modifying the input quantities u_p^h and/or u_f^h of the target region.

Hence, we can write

$$u_j^h(t) = n_j^h(t) + \sum_k W_j^{hk} z_p^k(t-T) + I_j \quad j = p, f \quad (19)$$

I_j represents the external input. In this work we assumed that only the input to the pyramidal populations is non null, while the input to the fast-inhibitory populations $I_f = 0$. $n_j(t)$ represents a Gaussian white noise (in the present work, if not explicitly modified, we used: mean value $m_j = 0$ and variance $\sigma_j^2 = 5/dt$, where dt is the integration step) superimposed on the external input. The sum in Eq. (19) is extended to all presynaptic regions k which affect the post synaptic region h . Table S1 reports the parameter values of the neural populations in the four different ROIs (set to obtain the four different rhythms), including the basal values for the inputs I_p to pyramidal populations; however note that the values of the inputs I_p are changed during the different trials (see text)

Table S1

Part I - Parameters assumed fixed for the four populations

<i>Parameter</i>	value	meaning
e_0	2.5 Hz	Saturation of the sigmoid
s_0	10 Hz	Center of the sigmoid
r	0.56 mV ⁻¹	Slope of the sigmoid
T	10 ms	Delay
Ge	5.17 mV	Synaptic gain of excitatory
Gs	4.45 mV	Synaptic gain of inhibitory slow
Gf	57.1 mV	Synaptic gain of inhibitory fast

Part II – Parameters values used for the four populations

<i>Parameter</i>	ROI_θ	ROI_α	ROI_β	ROI_γ	Meaning
Cep	54	54	54	54	Internal Connectivity Constant
Cpe	54	54	54	54	“
Csp	54	54	54	54	“
Cps	67.5	450	67.5	67.5	“
Cfs	15	10	27	27	“
Cfp	27	35	54	108	“
Cpf	300	300	540	300	“
Cff	10	25	10	10	“
ω_e	75 s ⁻¹	66 s ⁻¹	68.5 s ⁻¹	125 s ⁻¹	Reciprocal of time constant of excitatory synapses
ω_s	30 s ⁻¹	42 s ⁻¹	30 s ⁻¹	30 s ⁻¹	Reciprocal of time constant of inhibitory slow synapses
ω_f	300 s ⁻¹	300 s ⁻¹	300 s ⁻¹	400 s ⁻¹	Reciprocal of time constant of inhibitory fast synapses
I_p	400 s ⁻¹	200 s ⁻¹	400 s ⁻¹	400 s ⁻¹	External input to pyramidal neural population